# Ancient Indian Palace Architecture

V. S. AGRAWALA

It is necessary to have a clear idea of the palace architecture in ancient India together with its terminology so that the relevant portions of the Harsa-carita and the Kādambarī may be properly understood. The material is quite elaborate and consistent with the traditional terms relating to a royal palace. This material occurs at four places in the Harsa-carita, namely (i) description of the elephant Darpaśāta in which Bāṇa has strongly grafted the terminology of a royal palace; (ii) description of the palace of Harşa when Bāņa himself was introduced to the king; (iii) description of Rājyaśrī's marriage inside the palace; and (iv) the illness of Prabhākaravardhana giving details of his apartments. The material in the Kādambarī is spread throughout the book. We shall first deal with the evidence of Harsa-carita and then take up that of the Kādambarī. expansive unit was known as the Skandhāvāra that contained the Rājakula and the Rājakula included the royal palace known as Dhavalagrha, the white house. Skandhāvāra was a term of the entire military encampment which included the area for the horse and elephant wings, camel corps, encampments of guest kings and miscellaneous establishments relating to the army. These various portions were assigned special places for them in the vast, extensive area outside the Rājakula. The Rājakula proper was entered through a principal entrance known as Rājadvāra. Inside the Rājakula was the palace containing the living apartments of the kings and queens, that was known as Dhavalagrha. An attempt is made here to interpret the relative position of the various component parts with the help of the evidence from Bāṇabhaṭṭa and from other literary texts.

#### Skandhāvāra:

In chapter II of Harşa-carita (58-60) and chapter V (152-156) we find a description of Skandhāvāra, Rājakula and Dhavalagrha. Bāṇa had his first meeting with Harsa in the temporary palace built for the king on the bank of the Ajirvatī (modern Rāptī) in the village The area necessary for the army encampment, named Manitārā. Skandhāvāra, was very extensive. The whole army was settled there. From the point of view of planning the Skandhāvāra was divided into two portions, firstly the army encampment outside and secondly the royal palace. The outer area of encampment had in the beginning extensive grounds for horses on the one side, and elephants on the other. The area for horses  $(mandur\bar{a})$  also provided for the stables of camels. After this there were camps of kings and other royal guests who came on State business. This area was known as Sivira. Thus this portion of the army encampment looked like a city with its own market and shops to which admission was free and unrestricted for the public. In the fifth chapter it is stated that Harsa, returning from his march, first passed through this Bazar (153). This was called Vipani-vartma and later on in Muslim times known as Urdu Bazar, i.e., the market place of the army hordes. The very extensive area in front of the red fort in old Delhi was once known as Urdu Bazar, which was but a translation of Vipani-vartma. This wide plot of ground accommodated the camps for kings and rulers and feudatories who came to meet the emperor. In this Skandhavara area of Harsa there were ten kinds of encampments.1 These included kings from many countries, enemy kings and feudatory kings defeated in battles, embassies from many foreign lands, Mleccha races, principal representatives from amongst the people and also religious heads and teachers who specially came to see the king. There was additional open area all of which was known as Ajira (p. 25).

# Rājakula:

At the end of the Skandhāvāra the Rājakula was situated in a well defended portion. It was also named Rājabhavana, Rājaprāsāda or

<sup>1</sup> Harsa-carita: A Cultural commentary, pp. 37-38.

Rājamandira; its entrance was known as Rājadvāra, and the place was well guarded. There was no restriction against entry in the Skandhāvāra which was open to all the public but entry into the Rājakula was restricted and strictly controlled. The entrance of the Rājakula was guarded by the Vāhya Pratihāras, i.e., chamberlains posted outside or in the palace. The first portion of the Rajadvara on both sides of the entrance consisted of several rooms in one or more storeys known as Dvāra Prakostha or Alinda. It is stated that at the time of Rājyaśrī's marriage goldsmiths were seated in the Alinda portion and working to make golden ornaments (142). The etymology of Alinda  $(Ali\dot{m}\,dad\bar{a}ti)$  may be traced to an earthen cup called  $Ali^2$  in which some drink or eatable was offered to the guests. The Alinda was named Bahirdvāra Prakostha in Gupta Sanskrit (Hindi Barautha). Pāninian Sanskrit the Alinda portion of the house was known as Praghana or Fraghana (see Rajakula, Plate 23, in Harsa-carita: A Cultural Commentary).

# The system of Kaksa:

Inside the Rājakula there was a regular scheme of courts (kakṣā). The palace of Harṣa was planned in three courts. In the Kādambarī the palace of Tārāpīḍa, father of Candrāpīḍa, was divided into seven courts which the prince had had to pass through in order to meet his father. In the Rāmāyaṇa the palace of Daśaratha is stated to have five courts. The palace of Rāma as crown prince (Yuvarājabhavana) had only three courts (Ayodhyā., 5.5). In the first court of Harṣa's palace on the left side of the Rājadvāra was an extensive pavilion (Āsthānamanḍapa) for the royal elephants (ibha-dhiṣṇyāgāra) in which the king's own elephant Darpaśāta was kept. On the right side opposite to

<sup>2</sup> The word Ali for an earthen cup is still current in the dialect of western Uttar Pradesh. The word is also preserved in Sanskrit Alinjara meaning a very large storage jar. (Alimajarayati Alinjarah = Mahākumbha. Amarakośa, 2.9.31.) These have been found in the excavations at Nālandā, Rājaghāṭa, Kāśīpurā. The reason of such a big jar being named as Alinjara was that when these were made all the available clay was diverted for them and the smaller cups went by default.

<sup>3</sup> There is a sūtra in Paṇini, that the Praghaṇa denoted a portion of the house (agāraikdeśe) (Ref. 3.3.79) which the Kāśikā equates with Bāhyadvāra Prakoṣṭha. Bāṇa also has used Bahirdvāradeśa, i. e., a portion of the outer gate.

it was the stable (mandurā) for the king's own horses who were known as Bhūpālavallabhaturanga. Later on such horses for the king's own use began to be called merely as Vallabha. It should be noted that the arrangement for the horse and elephant wings and the army outside in the Skandhāvāra was a general one but inside the Rājakula in the first floor it was intended to accommodate the horses and the elephants for the king's own use. It is therefore that Bana often refers to the king riding on the royal elephants or horses entering the entrance and crossing the four courts mounting near the big staircase (Mahā-sopāna) leading to the hall of public audience.

In the second court at the centre was located the Vāhyāsthāna Mandapa, i.e., the hall of public audience also called Sabhā from ancient time or Sadas, also known as Āsthāyikā or Sarvosara. In Moghul palace architecture this was known as Darbare-am. In front of it was the extensive first court also called Ajira. Upto this point the king had the privilege to mount his horse or elephant. In order to gain access into the audience hall the king had to dismount at the foot of the staircase. After mounting the steps the entry into the audience hall was obtained. We may understand in the light of the above why Harsa rode on the back of his she-elephant upto the foot of the stairway and dismounting there entered the Asthanamandapa and put his seat on the throne placed (Ityevam āsasāda āvāsam, mandiradvāri ca visarjitarājalokah, pravišya ca avatatāra, bāhyāsthānamandapasthāpitam āsanam ācakrāma.) Candrapida took the decision of his military conquest in the Asthānamandapa. In the Kādambarī it is described as Sabhāmandapa (Kādambarī, 111). In the Delhi fort there is an open court in front of the Darbare-am which may be identified as Ajira. At the time of Prabhākaravardhana's illness, his friendly kings and feudatories assembled in the Ajira to express their sympathies (154). When the king used to convene a Darbar or hold assembly or courts of justice it was always in the Darbare-am or Bahyāsthānamaṇḍapa.4 After the death of Rājyavardhana, Harşa took counsel with his Senāpati Simhanāda and Marshal of the Elephants Skandagupta in the Bāhyāsthānamaṇḍapa. At that time there were many kings present in that hall. When Harsa had taken the decision to go on a military expedition for the conquest of the whole earth and had dictated the same to his minister for peace and war (mahāsandhivigrāhaka) named

<sup>4</sup> The Darbare-am has been called Sarvosara = Sanskrit pasara in the language of its time in the Prthivicandra-carita (1421).

Avanti, he having dismissed the assembly of kings left the Bāhyāsthānamaṇḍapa to go for his mid-day toilet. (Iti kṛtaniścayaś ca muktāsthāno visarjitarājalokaḥ snānārambhākānkṣī sabhām atyākṣīt. 194.)

In the Rājakula there were two Āsthānamandapas of audience chamber. One was the Bāhyāsthānamandapa or Darbare-am described above which was placed in the second court of the Rājakula. The other was inside the Rājakula in the Dhavalagrha portion usually at the end of the series of courts wihch was known as Bhuktāsthānamandapa corresponding to the Darbare-khas of Moghul times. The distinction between the outer and the inner Āsthānamandapas is very clear both in the Harsa-carita and the Kādambarī. In the Bhuktāsthānamandapa the king used to take rest and enter into discourse or consultation with his selected friends, ministers and inmates of the harem.

This he did after taking his meals when he wished to retire and hence the name Bhuktāsthānamandapa was justified. This was also known as Pradosasthanamandapa, i.e., the place when the king gave audience in the evening. It is stated that Harsa on the day of his taking the decision about his military conquest did not stop for any length of time in the Pradosasthanamandapa but left it quite soon to go to his retiring room. (Pradosāsthāne nāticiram tasthau. 195.) used to be a court (Ajira) in front of the Bhuktāsthānamandapa with a pavilion in it. We can, therefore, understand the statement that Bana at the time of his first meeting with Harsa after crossing the three courts saw him in the Bhuktāsthānamandapa when the king was seated under a pavilion in the court of the hall of private audience. (Dauvārikeņa upadišyamānavartmā samatikramya trīni kaksāntarāni caturthe bhuktāsthānamandapasya purastād ajire sthitam. 69.) In the Kādambarī the Candala girl carrying the parrot in the cage saw the king in the Bāhyāsthānamandapa. The elaborate description given by Bāṇa relates to the hall of public audience. After the king had accepted the parrot Vaisampāyana from the Cāṇḍāla girl he dismissed the assembly of kings and returned to the inner portion of the palace to fulfil his midday routine and for bath and food, etc. (Visarjitarājalokah kṣitipatilu āsthānamandapād uttasthau. Kādambarī, 13.) After the king had finished his toilet and usual routine he retired to the Bhuktāsthānamandapa, hall of private audience, where in company of his friends, ministers and queens he listened to the story as recited by the parrot Vaisampāyana.

The portions included in the first and the second courts of the Rājakula was known as Bāhya or the outer one, and hence the chamber-

lains and attendants moving in this portion were known as  $B\bar{a}hyapratih\bar{a}ra$ . Beyond this was the palace proper and the chamberlains and attendants specially appointed to that portion were known as  $Antarapratih\bar{a}ra$  or Abhyantaraparijana.

Bāṇa had given an elaborate description of the *Dhavalagṛha* or the palace situated in the third court. Round the *Dhavalagṛha* there used to be a contingent or other as follows:

- 1.  $Grhody\bar{a}na$  (The palace garden): It was also known as  $Bhavanody\bar{a}na$ . It contains a number of flowers and flowery plants and trees and green houses or groves covered with creepers. Sited in it were the lotus ponds and the  $Kr\bar{\iota}d\bar{a}parvata$ , named in the  $K\bar{a}dambar\bar{\iota}$  as  $D\bar{a}ru-Parvata$ , which was an artificially improvised hill intended to serve for the pastime of the king and queens.
- 2.  $Grha-Dirghik\bar{a}$ : In the palace garden and other portions of the palace there was a flowing canal of water known as  $Grha-Dirghik\bar{a}$  which derives its name from its length. In its intermediate stages small fountains and water ponds  $(Krid\bar{a}v\bar{a}p\bar{\imath})$  were improvised for lotuses and  $Ha\dot{m}sas$ , who were the inmates of the palace, enjoyed themselves. It was also a feature of the palace architecture in Iran in the time of Khusaru Parvez. In his palace such a long canal had been brought from Kohe Bihistuna to supply water to the Kasare Siri which was the name of his palace.

 $Vy\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ - $bh\bar{u}mi$ : the place for the king's gymnasium. It is stated that king Sudraka after dismissing his court went to his  $Vy\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ - $bh\bar{u}mi$  or gymnasium, where he took a course of routine

I am indebted for this information to my friend Maulavi Mohd. Asraf, Supdt. of Archaeology in Delhi. This was known as Nahare Bihista, heavenly canal. That such a canal of water existed also in Moghul palaces inside the red fort in Delhi is well known. This feature of palace architecture continued during mediaeval times also. Vidyāpati in his Kīrtilatā has mentioned Krīdā-śaila, Dhārā-grha, Pramadavana, Puṣpavāṭikā and also Kṛtrima-nadī, i. e., an architectural canal of flowing water, which was another name of Bhavana-Dīrghikā. The reference in Vidyāpati's Kīrtilatā preceded the Moghul palaces by a couple of centuries. This feature of the architecture in royal palaces existed not only in India but also outside. In the palace named Hampton Court of Tudor Henry VIII this was known as Long Water which seems to be so close to the Indian Dīrghikā.

exercises. That the king observed such a routine is also mentioned in the Arthaśāstra of Kauṭilya. We learn from Pāṇini's Aṣṭādhyāyī that the senior wrestlers who gave wrestling training to the king were known as  $R\bar{a}jayudhv\bar{a}$  (3.295).

Snāna-gṛha or Dhārā-gṛha: The yantradhārāgṛha and snānabhūmi, i.e., the fountain and the swimming pool, formed a contingent part of the Vyāyāma-bhūmi. Kṣemendra has named it Nimajjanamaṇḍapa in his Lokaprakāśa and the Pṛthivīcandra-carita calls it Mājaṇaharam (Sanskrit Majjanagṛha), 14th century.

Deva-gṛha: Inside the Dhavalagṛha there used to be a religious shrine with an image of the deity worshipped by the king and other inmates of the palace. It was known as Devagṛha, which Kṣemendra names as Devārcanamaṇḍapa in Lokaprakāśa.

Toyakarmānta: The place for storage of drinking water, which was placed in charge of an officer named Toyakarmāntika, or the Superintendent of water-works.

Mahānasa: the royal kitchen.

 $Ah\bar{a}ramandapa$ : The dining hall or the pavilion where the king took his meals.

In addition to the above we also find in the Kādambarī a reference to Sangītabhavana or the Music Hall (91), Āyudhaśālā or the armoury (87), Bāṇayogyāvāsa or the ground for the practice of archery (90), and Adhikaraṇamaṇḍapa or the court of justice (88) which were located in the different portions of the palace. Hemacandra (12th century) speaks of a Śrama-grha inside the palace in which the king gave himself exercise in wrestling and archery, which corresponds to the Vyāyāma-bhūmi and Bānayogyāvāsa of the Kādambarī.

Besides the component parts of the palace the main portion was the *Dhavala-grha* also named *Śuddhānta* in which the king and his female inmates resided.

Dhavala-grha: The Dhavalagrha was the palace proper forming the residential quarter. In Hindi it is called Dhaurāhara, literally, the White House. The entrance to the Dhavala-grha, according to Bāṇa, was known as Grhāvagrahaṇī, in which Grha signified Dhavala-grha and Avagrahaṇī the Dehalī or threshold, i.e., the point where strict checking was made by the chamberlains posted for the purpose. The doorkeepers appointed here were much more experienced and trustworthy. In the Rāmāyaṇa this portion of the palace is named

Pravivikta-kakṣa (Ayodhyā., 16.47), i.e., the secluded court, where Rāma along with Sītā was given accommodation as prince-regent and where only trustworthy persons were allowed to enter. The chamberlain in charge of this portion has been mentioned in the Rāmāyaṇa to be an aged person holding a staff in his hand (Vetra-pāṇi) and placed as superintendent over the inmates of the harem (Stryadhyakṣa). This is confirmed by the description of Bāna.

The Dhavalagrha or the palace proper was architecturally formed, planned in two or more storeys. The king and the queen usually lived in the upper stories. Just after entering the Dhavalagrha one found two staircases on right and left leading to the upper floor. Bana has stated that king Prabhākaravardhana at the time of his illness was occupying the upper floor of the palace. It is also said that the chamberlains angrily forbade the noise or the sound produced by treading on the staircase. Harsa went to see his father several times in the upper storey (Kṣaṇamātram ca sthitvā pitrā punarāhārārtham ādiśyamānah dhavalagrhād avatatāra. 159) and then came down. There used to be an extensive court in the middle of the Dhavalagrha and round it were series of rooms or the Salas because of which that portion was The Catuhśāla was known in Gupta Sanskrit as named Catuhśāla. Samjavana. Bana has used the word Samjavana which formed part of the palace of Prabhākaravardhana (155). Prabhākaravardhana himself was in the upper storey of the palace but servants were assembled on the ground floor in the Catuhśāla and sorrowing for the king's illness. It appears that the rooms of the Catuhśāla portion were used for the king's guest and for storage of clothes (Vastrāgāra) and other objects.

In the middle portion of the Catuḥśāla there used to be a pavilion supported on pillars known as Subīthi which was enclosed by triple screens (Triguṇa Tiraskāraṇī. 155). This was separated from the rooms of the Catuḥśāla by a pathway alround open to the sky. The Subīthi portion was entered into by side entrances (Pakṣadvāra). In the Ajanta painting we may see the kings and queens seated in the Subīthi portion enclosed by the triple screens and furnished with side-entrances which helps us to understand this portion of Dhavalagrha (Chief of Aundha, Ajanta, Plate 67.77). The middle portion of the Subīthi was like a platform known as Vitardikā which was a covered pavilion (178) (see Dhavalagrha, Plate 27, in Harṣacarita: A Cultural Commentary).

Upper Storey of the Dhavalagrha: In the upper storey of the Dhavalagrha on the front side the central portion was called Pragrīvaka

and the two side portions were called Saudha and Vāsabhavana or Vāsagṛha. In the Vāsagṛha was located the Sayanagṛha or the sleeping chamber. There were wall paintings in the Vāsabhavana (127). Hence this particular room as known was Citraśālikā (Hindi: Citrasārī). Queen Yaśovatī used to sleep in Vāsabhavana. Harṣa also occupied for his nightly rest the Vāsabhavana. The Saudha portion was exclusively occupied by the queens. It had an open roof on which queen Yaśovatī used to enjoy moonlight by putting off her upper garment (127). The central portion was called Pragrīvaka because it occupied the grīvā or neck portion of the palace. In the Arthaśāstra also in the mention of Pragrīvaka in the palace of the princess (Kumarīśāla) (Arthaśāstra, 2.31), it is stated that the female guests that had come during the illness of Prabhākaravardhana were accommodated in the Pragrīvaka portion which was enclosed by screens on all sides (155).

The Pragrīvaka was also known as Mukhaśāla; corresponding to it the back portion on the upper storey was known as Candraśālika which was a roofed pavilion supported on pillars in which the king and queens enjoyed the moonlight. Queen Yaśovatī in her stage of pregnancy used to sit in the Candraśālikā and enjoyed herself looking at the Sālabhañjikā figures.

The two long verandahs on right and left connecting the Candraśālika and the Pragrīvaka were known as Prāsādakukṣi furnished with ventilators or latticed windows (Vātāyana). In them the king enjoyed music and dance (Antaḥpura-samgīta) together with his select friends and guests, and inmates of the palace (Kādambarī, 58, Plate 28).

# Comparative literary differences:

The above picture of a royal palace given by Bāṇa may be understood with the help of literary references preceding or following the works of Bāṇa. The Rāmāyaṇa of Vālmīki describes the palace of Daśaratha and of Rāma as prince. The palace of Daśaratha has five courts. Rāma entered three of them riding in his chariot and then entered the last two on foot (Ayodhyā., 17.20). King Daśaratha also occupied the upper storey of his palace like king Prabhākaravardhana. When Rāma went to see his father he went up to the upper floor (Prāsādam āruroha. Ayodhyā., 3.32.32). Similarly Vasiṣṭha coming to king Daśaratha ascended to the upper floor (Prāsādam adhiruhya. Ayodhyā., 5.22).

Rāma was prince-regent. His palace was separate from that of king Dasaratha but from the point of architecture the two resembled

each other very much. Rama's palace consisted of three courts. In Rama's palace Vasistha rode on his chariot upto the third court (Ayodhyā., 5.5).

In this connection the evidence of Bāṇa is valuable. When prince Candrāpīda returned from the college where he received his education, a separate palace was provided for him called Yuvarāja-bhavana or Kumāra-bhavana. Similarly for a princess a separate palace named Kumārī-antaḥpura was provided. In the palace of Candrāpīda there were two portions: Śrī-maṇdapa and Śayanīya-gṛha. Śrī-maṇdapa was the outer portion and Śayanīyg-gṛha the inner portion of the palace (Kādambarī, 96). In the Kumārī-antaḥpura of Kādambarī also there was a Śrī-maṇdapa portion (Kādambarī, 186).

We find that in the Tudor palace named Hampton Court there was a palace for the prince-regent in the same portion but separate from. the main royal palace. This had three portions named Presence Chamber, Drawing Room and Bed Room. Of these the Presence Chamber corresponded to Srī-maṇḍapa of the Indian palaces where the prince received his guests. There was a well furnished seat which was occupied by prince Candrapida (Kādambarī, 96). The Sayanīya-grha was the Bed-room in these palaces. In the palace of Rama in the first court there was accommodation for the royal horses and elephants. There were rooms for Rāma and Sītā in the third court, which was a secluded portion (pravivikta kaksa). In between the first and the third court there was most probably the drawing room of the audience chamber which was usually situated in the second court. It is stated that in the third court old chamberlains holding staff in their hands were appointed. They were known as Stryadhyaksa and devoted young men were appointed as guards holding weapons in their hands (Ayodhyā., 16.1). There is a marked resemblance between the palace of Rāma and The palace of Harsa (Kumāra-bhavana) was separate from that of Prabhākaravardhana as was the palace of Rāma from that of When Harsa returned from his hunting expedition he first came to the entrance of Rajakula where the chamberlain bowed to him; and from that point he entered the Dhavalagrha and going upstairs he saw his ailing father, king Prabhākaravardhana. Then he came down from the upper floor of the Dhavalagriha and went to his own palace with an attendant. In the evening time he came again to see his father and mounted up the staircase. He remained there the whole night and again came down the next morning, and although the groom was ready with the horse he preferred to walk on foot to his palace

(160). This indicates that the palace of Harşa was located outside the entrance of the Rājabhavana or royal palace proper. In the Rāmāyana there is a detailed description of the palace of Rāvana (Sundarakānda, ch. 6-7). The whole area which is described as Alaya, contained the palace (bhavana) of Rāvaṇa architecturally planned in several portions These three words correspond to Rajakula, Dhavalagrha and Vāsabhavana which were placed one inside the other. Rāvana's Mahāśāla had a staircase. In the extensive palace (Mahāniveśana) or Rājakula of Rāvaņa there were several component parts, e.g., Latāgrha. Citrasālāgrha, Krīdāgrha, Dāruparvataka, Kāmagrha, Cāpasālā (armoury), Candraśālā (moonlight house), Niśāgṛha (night chamber), Puṣpagṛha, Several of these features correspond to those in the palace described by Bana. The Candraśālā is common to both. The Citraśālā of the Rāmāyana was the same as the Vāsabhavana of Harşa, where there was the Bed-Chamber with paintings on the walls and which was therefore justifiably known as Citraśālikā.

Aśvaghoşa (1st century A.D.) describes the house of Nanda as Vimāna comparing it to Devavimāna. That house was divided into Once Buddha arrived at the entrance of Nanda's spacious courts. house, on his begging round. At that time Nanda was seated with his wife Sundari on the upper floor of his house. As soon as Nanda learnt about it he got down and passing through the wide courts ran to meet the Buddha. But the courts were so spacious that it took him a long time to pass through them and therefore he felt agitated as to why they were so expansive.6 Aśvaghosa has also mentioned that in the upper storey of his palace there were  $Gav\bar{a}ksa$  ventilators (4.28). This seems to be evidently a reference to the Prāsādakukṣi or the two galleries connecting the Pragrīvaka in front and the Candraśālikā at the back. These galleries were provided with carved latticed works as clearly mentioned by Bana. They were closed with shutters which could be opened to give a clear view of the outside (Vighaţitakapāţaprakaţavātāyaneşu mahāprāsādakuksisu. Kādambarī, 58). These galleries were utilised for dance and musical performances.

In the  $P\bar{a}dat\bar{a}ditakam$  the large houses of the courtesans are stated to be divided into spacious courts well separated from each other ( $Asambaddhakaks\bar{a}vibh\bar{a}g\bar{a}ni.$  1.12). They were well sprinkled with water (Sikta) and cleansed off their dust with air blown through hollow

प्रसादसंस्थो भगवत्तमन्तः प्रविष्टमश्रीषमनुष्रहाय ।
 अतस्त्वरावानहमम्युपेतो गृहस्य कक्षामहतोऽभ्यस्यन् ॥ (५.८)

tubes (Suṣīraphūtkṛta). There are many other technical details mentioned about their construction, e.g., Vapra (raised foundations), Nemi (deep foundation), Sāla (ramparts), Harmya (upper storey), Sikhara (spires), Kapotapālikā (a special moulding with a row of pigeons like motif), Simhakarņa (two corners of the Gavākṣa raised aloft like the two ears of a lion), Gopānasī (the projecting top above the Gavākṣa resembling a long nose of a bull), Valabhī (a small pavilion on the top storey), Attālikā (towers of the gateway), Avalokana (a room on the upper storey for looking outside), Pratolī (rooms for connecting the towers of the gateway) (Hindi: pola), Viṭaṅka (a platform on the ground floor), Prāsāda (a palace), etc. Bāṇa also refers in his description of Sthāṇvīśvara to Prāsāda, Pratolī and Sikhara (142). As in the palace of Prabhākaravardhana there is mention of Vitardi (platform in the Catuḥṣāla of the ground floor), Samjavana (Catuḥṣāla), Vīthi in the Pādatāḍitakam.

In the description of Vasantasenā's house as given in the Mṛcchakaṭika we find reference to eight courts (Prakoṣṭha) which has same meaning as Kakṣa).

These traditions of house-building continued with minor changes even during the mediaeval period. We read of these features in the Dvyāśraya Kāvya of Hemacandra (12th century) and Kīrtilatā of Vidyāpati (14th century) and in the Varnaratnākara of Jyoteśvara Thakkura and Pṛthivīcandra-carita (1421) and also find them continued in the Moghul palace of Delhi and Agra. In the Kumārapāla-carita, Āsthānamandapa is called Sabhā or Mandapikā. There is mention also of the Grhodyāna adjacent to the Dhavalagrha, as shown in the illustration of the Rājakula. The Grhodyāna is referred to as Bhavanodyāna or Mandirodyāna in the Brhatkathā-Śloka-Samgraha of Budhasvāmin and was known as Najara-bag, in Muslim times. Hemacandra has given a detailed account of Bhavanodyāna located inside the royal palace (Dvyāśraya Kāvya, 3/1—5/87). The Bhavanodyāna occupied an extensive area between the Asthanamandapa of the second court and the Dhavalagrha proper of the third court. In this palace garden there were many kinds of trees, creepers, flowers of which a full list is given by Hemacandra. There was also a lotus pond and a Krīdāparvata, an artificial hillock for royal pastime, with many other contingent buildings and bowers and also an elaborate water fountain. The summer house (Hima-grha) described by Bana in great detail in the Kādambarī also forms part of the palace garden, and continued as Sāvana Bhādo in Mughal times. There are many points of similarity

between the descriptions of Bāṇa and Hemacandra. In these a study of the horticultural material in ancient Indian literary texts is a vastly interesting subject. It is stated that queen Yaśovatī held in high affection the jasmine creeper ( $J\bar{a}ti$ -guccha), the pomegranate, the young Bakula, and the mango sappling at the door of the house ( $B\bar{a}la$ - $sahak\bar{a}ra$ ) (164-165).

In the Kīrtilatā of Vidyāpati the description of the palace includes several motifs of ancient tradition, namely Kāñcana-kalaśa (golden vase on the top of the spire), Pramadavana (female garden), Puṣpa-vāṭikā (flower-garden), Krtrimanadī (long canal), Dīrghikā, Krīda-śaila (artificial hillock), Dhārāgṛha (water fountain), Yantra-vyajana (mechanical fan), Śṛṇgārasanketa, Kāmagṛha (dalliance house), Mādhavī-maṇḍapa (grove of Hiptage creeper), Khaṭvāhiṇḍola (swing with a bed stretch), Kusuma-śayyā (flower bed), Catuḥsamapallava (a small pond of the Catuḥsama perfume), Citraśālā (a room with paintings). Side by side with this Indian tradition several new terms of Muslim palace architecture had been current which have also been mentioned by Vidyāpati, e. g., Khas-darbar (Bhuktāsthānamaṇḍapa), Dar sadar (Rājadvāra), Nimaj-gah (Deva-gṛha), Khvabgah (Āhāramaṇḍapa), Shoramagah (Sukha-mandira: a term still applied to a portion of the Amīra palace, same as Ranga Mahala of a Moghul palace).

In the Prthivicandra-carita also (18th century) several component parts of the palace have been mentioned, e.g., ventilators (Anekagavākṣa), platforms (Vedikā), thrones (Caukis), painted hall (Citra- $\delta \bar{a}l\bar{i}$ ), latticed works (Jāli), three stūpikas on the top (Trikalasam), palace with a Torana (Toranadhavalagrha), under-ground cellar (Bhumi-grha), store-house (Bhāndāgāra), granary (Kosthāgāra), armshouse (Sastrāgāra), fortress (Gadha), hostel (Matha), temple (Mandira) basement (Padavan), throne room (Pataśālā) basement, the staff above the spire (Dandakalaśa), the fluted stone on the top (Āmalasāra), flag  $(\tilde{A}\tilde{n}cal\bar{i})$ , bunting (Bandanavāra), five-coloured banner (Pa $\tilde{n}cavarna$ patākā), hall of public audience (Sarvopasara), hall of special audience (Mantrosara), Bathroom  $(Manjanahara = Ma\tilde{n}jana-grha)$ , seven courts (Sapta dvārāntara), city gate (Pratoli), forecourt (Rājāngaṇa), horsemarket (Ghodahādī), court (Rangamandapa), main pavilion (Sabhāmandapa) — Prthivīcandra-carita, pp. 131-32. In this list there are several terms mentioned by Bana. Gavākṣa, Vedikā, Citraśāli, Toraṇa-dhavalagrha, Sabhāmandapa and Pratoli were the older terms. Mañjanagrha, Sarvosara, Mantrosara and Rāyangana were new terms but with older meaning which had become current in Bana's time.

It is necessary to have a clear picture of the mediaeval literary description and surviving monuments in order to understand the description of palace architecture given by Bāṇa in the Harṣacarita and the Kādambarī. The need for different component parts of palaces remain more or less the same leading to an identical planning to the component parts of the royal palaces, and this is the reason why there was so much similarity between the ancient Hindu palaces and the mediaeval Mughal palaces.

If we look carefully to the palaces of Akbar, Jehangir and Shahjahan, the Mughal forts of Delhi and Agra, we may discover the meaning of Bāṇa's description in several respects. The apparent reason is that the Mughals had inherited the older building traditions which they adopted in their palaces and at the same time introduced several features of their own. We may tabulate these common features between the palaces of Bāṇa's time, the Mughal palaces and also the Tudor palace, named Hampton Court. It is not at all our intention to suggest that any one of them knew or copied the other, but the striking resemblance proves that the basis of their architectural planning was to cater to common needs. This comparative chart does render one service essential to our purpose, namely that it brings us to understand the evidence of Bāṇa in a more clear and consistent manner.

	Bāna's palace (7th cent.)	Mughal palaces in old Delhi	Hampton Court palace (16–17)
1	The Skandhāvāra encampment in front of the Rājakula or palace, and the market place in its Vipani-mārga.	The extensive open ground in front of the Red fort known as Urdu bazar.	•••••
2	The Moat and the Rampart (Parikhā) (Prākāra).	The ditch & high wall of the red fort.	Moat & bridge.
3	Entrance to the palace (Rājadvāra).	Sadar-darvaja, the main entrance to the palace.	The Great Gate House.

<sup>7</sup> Urdu was the word of a Turkish language meaning army. Later on denoting an army encampment. Hindi Vardī (literary uniform) and English Horde are derived from it.

	Bāṇa's palace (7th cent.)	Mughal palaces in old Delhi	Hampton Court palace (16–17)
4	Contingent of rooms and towers of the gateway (Alinda, or Bāhyadvāra prakoṣṭha).	Rows of rooms inside the Sadar-darvaja where now shops are accommodated.	Barracks and Porter's Lodge, in the Entrance.
5	First court (Pra- thama Kaksa) where the royal elephant and royal stables were kept.	Open Court.	Base Court.
6	The Hall of public audience (Bāhyā-sthānamaṇḍapa) with the grand staircase (Mahāso-pāna) and the forecourt (Ajira).	Dewane-am and the open space in front of it.	Great Hall and Hall-Court.
7	The staircase leading from the Forecourt into the (Ajira) Audience Hall (Asthāna-Mandapa).	The staircase in front of the Dewane-am.	Grand staircase. King's staircase.
8	King's throne in the Asthāna-Maṇḍapa.	The royal throne in the Dewane-am.	
9	Inner court (Abhyantarakakṣā).		Clock Court.
10	Dhavala-grha (inner palace).	Inner palace.	Principal Floor.
11	Gṛhodyāna (Palace Garden), Pond (Krīḍā-vāpī) and Lotus pond (Kamalavana).	Nazar bagh and its pond.	Privy Garden, Pond Garden, (Vinery, Orangery etc.)
12	Royal canal (Grha-dīrghikā).	Nahar-e-bahiste.	Long canal, "Long water".

	Bāṇa's palace (7th cent.)	Mughal palaces in old Delhi	Hampton Court palace (16-17)
13	Bath (Snāna-gṛha), Fountain (Yantra- dhārā), Bath tub (Snāna-droṇī), Kitchen (Mahānasa), Dining Hall (Ahāramaṇḍapa).	Hammam, Houze and Phavvare.	Bathing Closet, King's Kitchen, Banqueting Hall, Private Dining Room.
14	Temple (Devagṛha).	Masjid or Namaj-gah.	Royal Chapel.
15	Catuḥśāla.		Cellars on the ground floor.
16	Corridors (Bīthī).	Khurramgah.	Galleries.
17	Hall of private audience (Bhuktāsthā-namaṇḍapa).	Darbare-khas.	Audience Chamber.
18	Pragrīvaka, Gavākṣa front room with latticed work.	Musemmam Burja (Suhag-mandir).	Queen's Gallery. Great watching Chamber.
19	Mirror House (Darpana-Bhavana).	Sisa-mahala. The Adarśa bhavana is also mentioned in Tilaka-mañjarī (11th century).	
20	Sayana-gṛha, Vāsa-gṛha (Citra-śālikā), Saudha, Hāthi-dāta and Muktāśaila (white stone). The Particular Room made of ivory and marble or agate (Muktāśaila); sometimes it was made of carved ivory and inset with diamonds and therefore called Vajramandira.	Personal Chambers for kings and queens. Khvabgah with paintings on wall and roof.	King's Drawing Room, Queen's Drawing Room, King's Bed Room, Queen's Bed Room
21 G.J.	Music-hall (Sam- gītagrha). v. 17		

	Bāṇa's palace (7th cent.)	Mughal palaces in old Delhi	Hampton Court palace (16–17)
22	Moon-light pavilion (Candra-śāla).	••••	••••
23	Palace galleries (Prāsādakukṣis).	••••	Presence Chambers.
24	Chamberlain's court (Pratihāra-grha).	Khwajasar.	Lord Chamber- lain's Court, where he and his officials had their lodgings.

This list indicates that the architecture of the palace described by Bāṇa had come from earlier times and continued even after him. Actually many other items of palace life and routine in the Indian palaces of the 7th century were borrowed from earlier time, e.g., attendants, chamberlains, amusements, performances etc. This was the outcome of the natural historical process. The picture painted by Bāṇa has to be given greater relief. It is expected that a study of the palaces built in the time of Uttaravartī Gurjara and Pratihāra kings, Pāla, Paramāra, Cālukya, Yādava, Kākati, Gaṅga, Vijayanagaravaṁśī kings and also of the later Mughal emperors will fill the picture of Bāṇa which will throw light on the details and evolution of Indian palace architecture.

The Hampton Court Palace was built by Cardinal Woolsey in 1514 and made over to the Emperor Henry VIII in 1529 and the latter completed it in 1540. It represents the architecture of the early 16th century. It was restored after a century and a half in 1680 in the time of William the Third and Queen Anne. In the 17th century also in the time of Shahjahan the old palaces were retouched with marble structures. We find several points of similarity between the palaces in Delhi and Hampton Court. There did not exist any historical connection between these three classes of palaces but the common needs of royal life brought about an internal identity in their planning.

The Rashtrapati Bhavana at New Delhi has the following planning:—

Central Vista upto India Gate (Skandhāvāra), Secretariat (Adhikaraṇamaṇḍapa), Main Gate (Rājadvāra), Fore Court (Bāhya-kakṣa), Grand stair-case (Prāsādasopāna), Darbar Hall (Bāhyāsthāna-

# ANCIENT INDIAN PALACE ARCHITECTURE: 259

maṇḍapa), Military Secretary's Wing (Pratihārabhavana), Audience Room (Bhuktāsthānamaṇḍapa), Banqueting Room (Āhāramaṇḍapa), Ball Room (Prāsādakukṣi for Antaḥpura-saṅgīta), Mughal Garden (Gṛhodyāna), Flowers area (Kamalavana), Pond (Krīḍāvāpī), Fountain and Long Canal (Dīrghikā).

