भारतीय विद्या ग्रन्थावली, ग्रन्थाङ्क ६

रुद्रदास - विरचितं

च न्द्र ले खा

नाम सहकम्

तच संस्कृतच्छाया - आंग्लप्रस्तावना - पाठान्तरादिभिः सह

डॉ॰ आदिनाथ नेमिनाथ उपाध्ये, एम्. ए., डी. लिट्.

विदुषा संपादितम्।
भारतीय विद्या भवन, मुंबई
द्वारा प्रकाशितम्



BHARATIYA VIDYA SERIES; No. 6

RUDRADĀSA'S CANDRALEKHĀ

(A Drama in Frākrit)

The Prākrit Text and Sanskrit Chāyā authentically edited with a Critical Introduction, Notes, Appendix, Select Glossary, etc.

BY

Dr. A. N. UPADHYE, M. A., D. Litt.

(PROFESSOR OF ARDHAMAGADHI, RAJARAM COLLEGE, KOLHAPUR)

PUBLISHED BY

BHARATIYA VIDYA BHAVAN

A. D. 1945]

BOMBAY

[Price Rs. 6-0-0

भारतीय विद्या ग्रन्थावली

⇒ [ग्रन्थाङ्क ६] ∽

रुद्रदास-विरचितं च न्द्र ले खा

नाम सद्दकम्

तच संस्कृतच्छाया - आंग्लप्रस्तावना - पाठान्तरादिभिः सह डॉ० आदिनाथ नेमिनाथ उपाध्ये, एम्. ए., डी. लिट्. विदुषा संपादितम्।

मुंबई-स्थित भारतीय विद्या भवन

द्वारा प्रकाशितम्

विक्रमाब्द् २००१]

मूर्व रू. ६-०-•

BHARATIYA VIDYA SERIES

[Critical editions of rare and important texts, treatises and translations on Indological subjects]

FOUNDER

SHRI K. M. MUNSHI

卐

GENERAL EDITORS

ACHARYA JINA VIJAYA MUNI DR. A. D. PUSALKER



BOMBAY BHARATIYA VIDYA BHAVAN * VOLUME SIX



First Edition 1945

* All Rights Reserved *

| Price Rs. 6

RUDRADĀSA'S CANDRALEKHĀ

(A Drama in Prākrit)

The Prākrit Text and Sanskrit Chāyā authentically edited with a Critical Introduction, Notes, Appendix, Select Glossary, etc.

BY

Dr. A. N. UPADHYE, M. A., D. Litt.

(PROFESSOR OF ARDHAMAGADHI, RAJARAM COLLEGE, KOLHAPUR)



PUBLISHED BY

JAYANTAKRISHNA H. DAVE, M. A., LL. B.

HONORARY REGISTRAR

BHARATIYA VIDYA BHAVAN BOMBAY

v. s. 2001]

[A. D. 1945

CONTENTS

General Editor's Preface	\mathbf{VI}
Preface	VII
Introduction	1-66
r. Critical Apparatus	I
2. Constitution and Presentation of the Text and Chāyā	5
3. Candralekhā: A Critical Study	9-64
i) Dramatis Personae	9
ii) Story of the Play	11
iii) Synoptic Analysis of the Play	12
iv) Prākrit Drama: Saţţaka	19-53
a) Early Indian Drama	19
b) Rūpakas and Uparūpakas	20
c) Nāṭikā and Saṭṭaka	21
d) Prākrits in the Drama	24
e) Sattaka in the Evolution of Drama	28
f) Sattakas known so far	31-52
${f R}$ ājašekhara and his ${f K}$ arpūrama ${f n}$ jar ${f i}$	32
Nayacandra and his Rambhāmañjarī	35
Mārkaņdeya and his Vilāsavatī	43
Viśvośvara and his Śṛṅgāramañjarī	43
Ghanasyāma and his Anandasundarī	48
g) Candralekhā: A Saṭṭaka	52
v) Karpūramañjarī and Candralekhā	53
vi) Candralekhā, as a Literary Piece	56
vii) Prākrit in the Candralekhā	57
viii) Metres in the Candralekhā	63
4. Rudradāsa: the Author	64
Camdalehā: Text with Sanskrit Chāyā and Variants	9-06
Siloa-sūī	७९
Notes	81
Appendix	86
Saddasūī	91
Corrigenda	93



Preface by the General Editor

EXCEPTING the Karpūra-mañjarī of Rājas'ekhara and the Rambhāmañjari of Nayacandra, no other plays of the Sattaka type were published This edition of Camidaleha, which has been brought out, for the first time, with remarkable critical acumen and praiseworthy labours, is a valuable addition to the wealth of our Rupaka literature. So far, very few Prākrit works of non-Jaina authors have seen the light of day: the result has been that most of the scholars are accustomed to look at Prākrit as a sectarian language cultivated only by Jainas and that there is a general neglect of Prākrit studies, as a branch of specialised and higher research, in our University circles. But now from the view-point of the history of linguistic evolution in India, it is abundantly clear that, without critical study of and researches in the Präkrit literature and languages, we cannot get a true picture of our entire medieval Indian culture. There is no doubt that Jaina authors have rendered yeoman service to the cause of Prakrit languages and literature; but now it is becoming more and more clear that others also have tried to contribute their mite in this direction. Through political vicissitudes and foreign attacks, much of the riches of Prākrit literature is lost in course of time. What little from this vast literature has come down to us lies scattered in various old Mss. libraries, and some of the works are almost on the verge of extinction. They could be immortalised by the efforts of learned researchers solely devoted to the cause of Präkrits like Dr. A. N. Upadhye. It is mainly through Dr. Upadhye's efforts and researches that we have become lately acquainted with many Prākrit compositions which were being neglected in the Mss. libraries of the South where Dravidian languages are in vogue; and for this, I offer my sincere congratulations to this dear and modest friend of mine. His Introduction, it will be seen, is a piece of solid research. He has thoroughly reviewed the various problems connected with the Sattaka form of drama; and special attention may be drawn to his critical study of some new Sattakas discovered by him. He has collected and presented the material with remarkable industry; and his study is characterised by systematic thoroughness and sobriety of judgement. We believe, and we have great hopes that in the near future Dr. Upadhye will give us many valuable Prākrit works with learned Introductions which are usually the results of his extensive study and mature scholarship.

It has been one of the chief aims of the Bhāratīya Vidyā Bhavana, Bombay, to bring to light such valuable and important Prākrit works; and we hope, the learned and the wealthy of our nation will extend to us full cooperation in this direction.

Bharatīya Vidyā Bhavana Bombay: April 1945.

JINA VIJAYA MUNI

PREFACE

The present edition of the Camdalehā(Sk. Candralekhā) of Rudradāsa (c. 1660) is based on rare Mss. from the South, the transcripts of which I could procure with great efforts. Though the material was meagre and unsatisfactory, I have exercised utmost care and scrutiny in presenting the text and Chāyā in an authentic manner. Even as it is, it should be a welcome addition to the published Prākrit literature, especially when there has been such a deplorable dearth of Prākrit plays belonging to the Saṭṭaka type which has been all along illustrated only by the Karpūramañjarī.

In the Introduction, after describing the Ms. material and stating the procedure of text-constitution, the various aspects of Candralekhā are subjected to a critical scrutiny; and a biographical note on Rudradāsa, the author, is added at the end. The Saṭṭaka, as a type of drama, is elaborately studied in the background of the evolution of Indian drama. Further, I have presented here a detailed study of half a dozen Saṭṭakas most of which were mere names to us so far. The entire art gallery of Saṭṭaka in Indian literature is no more to be appropriated by a solitary play of Rāja-śekhara, because now there are half a dozen members to occupy it, and some of them quite worthy. It is by such studies, I hope, the bounds of our knoweldge of Prākrit literature would be widened and its land-marks seen in a clearer perspective.

While working on this text, various scholar-friends helped me with suggestions etc.; and it gives me pleasure to remember them with thanks: Mr. K. J. Dikshit, B. A., B. T., Sangli; Dr. A. M. Ghatage, Kolhapur; Dr. T. G. Mainkar, Sangli; Prof. M. V. Patwardhan, Sangli; and Dr. V. Raghavan, Madras. I am specially grateful to Prof. K. V. Krishna Ayyar, Calicut, for his valuable notes on Zamorins and Rudra.

I record my regards to Professor B. H. Khardekar, Principal, Rajaram College, Kolhapur, whose silent sympathy for academic studies has given me the necessary peace and encouragement in my research pursuits.

I cannot adequately express my sense of obligation to Achārya Jinavijayaji, Hon. Director, Bhāratīya Vidyā Bhavana, Bombay. He has an inborn zeal for Indian learning; and if the Candralekhā

appears today in a stately form, much of the credit should go to him. His willing co-operation and guidance, his kindly interest in my studies, and, above all, his akāraṇa-vātsalya towards me have made even my heavy toils smooth and joyful.

My thanks are due to the Regency Council of the Government of Kolhapur for the kind aid given to me in my work on the Candralekhā. I also acknowledge my indebtedness to the University of Bombay for the grant-in-aid given towards the cost of the publication of this work.

karmanyevadhikāras te:

Kolhapur: 25th March, 1945

A. N. UPADHYE

INTRODUCTION

1. CRITICAL APPARATUS

The Prākrit text and the Sanskrit chäyā of the Camdalehā (Sk. Candralekhā), presented in this edition, are based on the material from the following MSS.:

This stands for a Devanāgarī transcript of Ms. No. 597 of the University Mss. Library, Trivandrum; and it was so kindly made available to me by Dr. L. A. Ravivarma. I am informed that the MS. is in charge of the Curator's Office, Trivandrum. It is a paper Ms. Written in Devanāgarī characters, measuring $13\frac{1}{2}$ by $8\frac{1}{2}$ inches and having 152 pages with 18 lines on each It was prepared some twenty years back. The original source from which it was actually copied cannot be traced now, nor is the age of it recorded. It is, however, surmised that the original source might be out of the three under-mentioned palm-leaf Mss.: 1) Candralekhā Saṭṭakam, obtained from Govind Pisharody, Kailasapuram, Vaikom; 2) Ibid., obtained from Brahmadattan Namburippad, Kudallur Mana, Nagalasseri, Pittambi; 3) Ibid., obtained from Narayanan Vasudevan Namburippad, Poonnurkottu Mana, Kunuattunad. I understand that all the three sources, and particularly Nos. 2 and 3, are homes of hereditary scholarship; and MSS. from them are almost always trustworthy. I have had no direct access either to the Trivandrum Ms. or to any of these three palm-leaf Mss.; but all my readings are taken from the transcript ka supplied to me. It contains both the Prākrit text and Sanskrit chāyā, written above and below on a page. There are some minor lacunae in a few places: they are quite negligible, and could be easily filled with the aid of the Sanskrit shade. In some places the text treats a few verses as running prose. It opens thus: श्रीः चन्द्रलेखासहकम् (at the beginning of the Prākrit text) and ends with ॥ चन्द्रलेखा नामेयं कृतिः समाप्ता ॥ (at the close of the chāvā).

a—At the bottom of the pages of the transcript ka, described above, certain variant readings are noted in red ink and are assigned to kha about which the following information is supplied to me.

It belongs to the Palace Library, Trivandrum, and bears the No. 1497. It is a palm-leaf Ms., $8\frac{1}{2}$ by 2 inches in size and containing 42 leaves. It is written in Malayālam script with 9 to 11 lines on a page. Nothing could be ascertained about the age of this Ms. which is noticed as No. 3207, D.C.S.M., Vol. 4, Part I A. I have neither used the palm-leaf Ms. kha, nor have I got a complete transcript of it; all my readings of kha stand for the entries (at the bottom of the pages of ka) which are about 135 in number and record variants of different length. They are usually connected with the Prākrit text and rarely with the chāyā.

#-This is a transcript of a Ms., No. R 3207 (a), belonging to the Govt. Oriental Mss. Library, Madras (war-time camp, Tirupati); and I got it through the kind offices of the Curator, Dr. A. Shankaran. This Madras Ms. called Candralekhā, I am informed, is in Grantha characters, on paper and in good condition. The size is $10\frac{5}{8}$ by $9\frac{1}{2}$ inches, and the work covers 43 pages. actual title of the Ms. is 'Manaveda-caritam'. It was copied in 1920-21 from a Ms. of M. R. Ry. A. Karunakar Menon Avl., B. A., B. L., High Court Vakil, Chalapuram, Calicut, Malabar Dt. Following the Madras Ms., the transcript supplied to me contains only the Sanskrit chāyā and no Prākrit text at all. It gives the Sanskrit rendering of the Prākrit speeches assigning them to different characters but omits the stage-directions obviously because they are in Sanskrit. The prose and verses are not in any way distinguishable. It is full of lacunae, small and big; some passages at the beginning are misplaced; the contents are not well preserved; by itself it is not of much value, but when read with ka it gives help in many places. It is full of scribal errors. It uses I for l here and there. The transcript opens with the title: मानवेदचरितम्, and ends thus: इति चतुर्थं यवनिकान्तरम् । समाप्तोऽयं प्रन्थः ॥

C—My friend Dr. V. Raghavan, Madras, drew my attention to the fact that the text and chāyā of Candralekhā was being published in the Samskrta-sāhitya-pariṣat-patram, Calcutta, for 1932. I procured the numbers (Vol. XIV, Nos. 10 and 11, February and March 1932) and found that the text and chāyā of the first Yavani-kāntara and a few lines of those of the second one (ending with pekkhadu dāva mahārāo at II. 1. 5) are printed so far. Still it remains incomplete. These numbers reached my hands after the first forme of the text and chāyā was struck. I learn from Dr. S. K. Chatterji that it was being edited by the then Editor, Prof. Kshitis

Chandra Chatterji himself, and that he gave it up as the MS. material he had in hand was very bad. The description of the MSS. used by him is not given. But after comparing the actual corrupt readings, some of which are noted by him also, I find that his text appears to be based on a copy of the MS. $k\alpha$ described above. He also records a few readings of $kh\alpha$. As our sources are nearly identical, I have not noted down the readings of this printed text, called c here; but some of them I have discussed in the Notes. With the help of c I could check some uncertain readings in the 2nd and 3rd formes.

This is all the material that was accessible to me after good many efforts. For editing the Prākrit text, ka is the only ms. available with a few readings of kha. The Curator's Office does not suggest any immediate relation between $k\alpha$ and $kh\alpha$, though they belong to one and the same locality. The MS. $kh\alpha$ does show independent readings; it contains some passages not found in $k\alpha$; and here and there it shows different arrangement of speeches and stage-directions. They show some mutual independence: one is not the direct copy of the other. The full copy of kha is not before me; so the siglum $kh\alpha$ just stands for 135 entries of various readings. The editor, therefore, had to constitute the Prākrit text mainly on the basis of ka. The readings supplied by kha are sensible and at times superior to those of ka; but, as their number was limited, their advantage could be taken only in a few places. In all the cases where the readings from both are available, they are clearly indicated in the foot-notes. Whenever a reading of ka is noted, it does not mean, unless specifically mentioned, that the text presented in the body agrees with that of kha: it only means that the editor, for reasons elaborated below, had to improve upon the actual readings of $k\alpha$ which, in important cases, are recorded in the foot-notes with all care and fidelity. If the reading of kha has been accepted in preference to that of ka, it is made quite explicit by giving the readings of both in those places.

As the Prākrit text is primarily based on the Ms. ka, we have to scrutinize its nature more carefully and note some of its important traits. First, it contains many evident blunders which can be unquestionably attributed to indifferent copying; some of them could not be called genuine readings. Secondly, the Ms. exhibits consistently certain peculiarities which deserve to be explained before they are correctly emended without noting the variants: at times short

and long vowels are not rightly distinguished, for instance, niara for niara, pāara for pāāra, turīam for turiam; a short vowel with anusvāra and a long vowel are often mutually confused, for instance, dāņī for dānim, kī for kim; a duplicate consonant is not properly distinguished from a consonant preceded by an anusvāra, as in. samimdha for samiddha, tassa for tamsa, vimkhambha for vikkhambha; conjunct groups are written, almost regularly, as khkh, thth, dhdh; very often aspirated and unaspirated consonants serve each other's purpose; more than once t and th stand for d and dh, l for i, ph for bh, k for t, d for dh, nn for nh, mm for mh; sometimes l is used for l (also in the chāyā, though rarely); some consonants are indiscriminately written, one for the other, p & v, b & v, and l & n. Such lapses are found scattered all over the Prākrit text in a bewildering quantity. Some of these, no doubt, have arisen out of the peculiarities of the Malayalam and Grantha characters and the mode of writing Prākrits adopted therein. Copyists, who were not quite well-versed with Prākrit phonology, have not been able to read correctly the adarsa from which they prepared the copies. Lastly. we have another set of scribal lapses in this text as written in our MSS.: what looks like ya-śruti is seen in words like sayala, loya, samaya etc.: this may be partly due to the influence of Trivikrama's Prākrit grammar which has been quite popular in the South and partly to the preservation of Sanskrit medial y; more than once m is retained at the end of a metrical foot; nasal conjunct groups are often written as in bhingi, bhañjiā rather than as in bhingi, bhañjiā; usually conjuncts are written like hm and hn, and not mh and nh; cch rather than ch is written at the initial of a word; in some words, here and there, we find ai, au, s, pr and a visarga retained as in their Sanskrit counterparts; and we get declensional forms like meinim. līlām etc. It is true that in many respects the dramatic Prākrits, as contrasted with Ardhamāgadhī and even Jaina Māhārāṣṭrī, are moulded after the model of classical Sanskrit from which later on even ready-made forms were subjected to phonetic corruption and imported into Prākrit. The above lapses are definitely due to Sanskrit influence which was working on our text too, for obvious reasons: the contiguous presence of the Sanskrit chāyā with the Prākrit text, the neglect of Prākrit passages in preference to the chāyā in studying the drama, constant checking and correcting of the Prākrit text with the aid of the chāyā, and lastly, the confirmed habit of copyists to write Sanskrit works usually and Prākrit works rarely. We come across these lapses in a greater abundance especially in those texts

which are preserved in the South or in southern scripts. The readings, noted in the foot-notes, are sufficiently exhaustive. Apparent scribal blunders are ignored; but the two other categories of misreadings are sufficiently illustrated. If it is felt that some of them do not deserve to be noted, I can only add that I have erred on the safer side.

2. CONSTITUTION AND PRESENTATION OF THE TEXT AND CHAYA

Though classified here according to a slightly different plan, similar scribal errors were found in the MSS. of Kamsavaho and Usaniruddham' which have been already edited by me. As in them, the Prakrit text has been presented here in a standardised form with regard to orthography and other external details; and the method of text-constitution is nearly the same. The Camdalehā is a late work, to be assigned to the closing period of Prākrit literature; so the grammatical standards, elaborated in earlier Prākrit grammars. cannot be altogether ignored. On the whole, when the threefold scribal lapses, it is expected that the language of the text should conform to the recognised linguistic tendencies of Prākrits and to the rules laid down by Prākrit grammarians. This is a broad principle, though every detail has to be scrutinised on its own merits, at least in some cases. The tendencies of scribal errors being once detected, minor corrections could be made with the help of the chāyā, in view of the metrical needs in verses, in the light of illustrations noted by Pischel2, and after comparing the forms with those in the text and variants presented by Konow in his edition of the Kappūramamjari³ which has served as a model for the Camdalehā. Utmost fidelity to the Ms. is my guiding principle,

¹ Kamsavaho, Hindi Grantha Ratnākara Kāryālaya, Bombay 1940; Usāniruddham: A Prākrit Kāvya, Journal of the University of Bombay, X, 2, Bombay 1941. The latter is also edited by Sastri and Raja, Adyar Library, Madras 1943.

² All the references to Pischel, unless otherwise stated, have in view his Grammatik der Präkrit-Sprachen, Strassburg 1900; a complete Index of the Präkrit words in it is prepared by Wickremasinghe and published in the Indian Antiquary.

³ Harvard Oriental Series, IV, Cambridge, Mass. 1901. The Prakrit text is edited by Konow and an English translation is added by Lanman.

and my corrections do not go beyond the threefold lapses which are sufficienly evident even from the select readings. No genuine reading is consciously sacrificed in the interest of some editorial discipline, a stray rule of grammar, a certain dialectal theory, or some linguistic expectation. Whenever I entertained even a slight suspicion that it is not unlikely that others might have some other alternative correction to suggest, I have carefully noted the actual readings, exactly as they are in my transcript, in the foot-notes.

Both Kappūramamjarī and Camdalehā are Saṭṭakas. The former is edited in a superb style by Sten Konow; and it is quite natural that these two plays should be compared with regard to their Prākrit dialects. It is necessary to note Konow's method of text-constitution and also to indicate how I have proceeded. Pischel points out (§ 12) that Māhārāṣṭrī, the phonetic structure of which was largely determined by the fact that it was above all used in musical stanzas, has been employed in the Gathas and allied songs which lie scattered in the writings of authors on rhetorics and are grafted also in the dramas. According to Viśvanātha (14th century A. D.), women not of lowly origin should speak Saurasenī in drama, but in their songs (āsām eva tu gāthāsu) they should use (Sāhityadarpaṇa VI. 159). 'This Mähārāstrī application of Māhārāstrī', Pischel concludes, 'in lyrical poems destined for musical purposes is doubtless the oldest, and the dropping of consonants in such large proportions is primarily to be attributed to this cause.' In another context he remarks (§ 22): 'The Sauraseni occupies the first place among the Prākrit dialects which are used in the prose of the dramas.' Pischel and Konow were almost simultaneously working on the Grammatik and Karpūramanjari; Pischel introduced a genuine order in the study of Prākrits by his monumental grammar; and, taking their mutual relation into consideration, it is no wonder that Konow wanted to carry with zeal the theory laid down by Pischel into practice. Konow distinguished the dialect of the prose from that of the verses in the Karpūramanjari (p. 202). This is put by Lanman in plain terms: 'The Saurasenī is used as the conversational dialect, that is, in the prose passages; while the Māhārāṣṭrī is regularly used in the stanzas' (p. 200). Acceptance of this rule with universal and retrospective applicability has led Konow beyond the limits of readings supplied to him by nearly a dozen Mss.; and here is his frank confession: 'The chief aim of this edition then is a linguistic one. But besides, I have also

been guided by another consideration. I often had to regret that no chrestomathy of the Prākrits of the plays exists, and it was my hope that the Karpūramanjarī might be used as such one. This consideration has also, to some extent, influenced my work. Thus I have, in some places, introduced the peculiar forms of the two dialects, even against the reading of all MSS. (pp. xxi-xxii, Italics mine). Some of the subsequent editors of dramas have not been able to agree with Konow's practice. Hillebrandt remarks thus in his Preface (p. iii) to Mudrārākṣasam (Breslau 1912): plays Māhārāṣṭrī is restricted to songs: this in an old rule the correction of which I see no reason of doubting; but the restriction of Saurasenī to the prose passages of dramatic works is assumption to which I cannot accede.' He further points out how the best Mss. admit Saurasenī verses. The greatest reaction against Konow's procedure comes from Dr. M. Ghosh who has re-edited the Karpūramanjarī.1

A text-critic is not open to adverse criticism, if his grammatical standards are upheld by the readings of his genuine Mss. systematically collated by him; but when, in spite of a large number of Mss., he has to emend his text against the best readings, simply because he has set before himself certain dialectal standards, one begins to suspect the universality of the standards and the soundness of his procedure. The zeal for scientific thoroughnesss, textual uniformity and strict editorial discipline is allright; but when it is satisfied at the cost of genuine readings, without a word of apologetic explanation, the text-critic is cutting the very sand under his feet.

In editing the text of Camdalehā, the threefold scribal lapses only are corrected; and no genuine reading is silently tampered with to fulfil any dialectal convention. It is a modest and conscientious effort to record faithfully the text of Camdalehā available to the editor from a single Ms. after judiciously eschewing the scribal lapses. In discarding, discriminating and determining the readings I have tried to be as careful and cautious as possible. It is quite likely that critical judgment may vary on minor details. I have, however, given the actual readings in all crucial cases, so that others may be able to correct my errors and build a better text when some more MSS. are available.

In presenting the Prākrit text I have followed nearly the same principles adopted in the Kamsavaho and Usāṇiruddham.

¹ Published by the University of Calcutta, Calcutta 1939.

One point, however, I may touch upon here. This text uniformly reads kim vi; some variant readings are ki vi; and as far as I remember, only once the reading is kim pi. kī for kim is only a misreading belonging to the category that a long vowel often compensates a short vowel with a anusvara in this Ms. It is true that the MS. confuses p and v; but on the basis of this general tendency I have not corrected kim vi into kim pi, because the Ms. uniformly, with one stray exception, writes kim vi. to Hemacandra's grammar (VIII. ii, 218) the Sanskrit particle api is to be replaced by pi and vi. Pischel (§§ 143, 171, 180) looks upon vi after an anusvāra as a mistake; and his view is backed by the authority of Mārkaṇḍeya (Prākṛta-sarvasva, VIII. 17). ciate, in this context, the grammatical authority, linguistic justification and the general practice of earlier texts on which his view is founded. But all this is not enough to emend the uniform reading of a Ms. in view of another consideration. The Camdalehā is a late work in which the author used the Prākrit dialect in a mechanical manner; and once vi got equated with the particle api, it would be used mechanically wherever api was to be put. This practice has been in vogue from a pretty long time. Some of the Mss. of Mudraraksasam, both from the South and North, collated by Hillebrandt do show vi after an anusvāra (see the readings on pp. 12, 17, 38, 66, 109, 132 etc.). In the Mss. of the Mahavira-caritam (London 1928), as observed by Todar Mall (Intro., p. XXXIX), the word api always appears as vi, even after an anusvāra. He thought, following Pischel, that the correct form should be pi and emended accordingly. All this means that vi was, for our author, just a substitute for api without any reservations, and not behaving differently (i. e., becoming pi) after an anusvāra because of its enclitic nature. So I have retained the substitutes of api as they are given in the Ms.

I have retained the Dandas almost as they are found in the Prākrit text, at times checking them with the help of the chāyā. For facility of reading and understanding I have added a comma in some places, after the vocatives (after the last, if there are many) and interjections and in separating small sentences that follow in quick succession. Minor lacunae of a syllable or two are silently supplied; but if the reading is not definite or the passage is long, then it is put in square brackets. The corresponding chāyā is shown by the referential Roman figures I, II etc. The prose lines of the Prākrit text between two verses (which are separately numbered in each Yavanikāntara) are numbered by threes. The letters a, b, c and d stand for the pādas of a verse. Thus I. 17. 22 means First Yavanikā-

ntara, prose line 22 after the verse No. 17; and I. 20b means the second pāda of verse No. 20 of the First Yavanikāntara. This would help the reader to refer to any Prākrit portion conveniently. The actual variant readings of the Prākrit text are noted immediatly below the same.

The chāyā is based on two MSS. $k\alpha$ and $m\alpha$, and only genuine and significant variants are noted just below it. If the chaya agrees in both, but disagrees with the Prākrit text in any place, it is marked with an asterisk to indicate that it is not sanctioned by our text. Often the asterisk implies that the chāyā possibly presupposes a different reading in the Prākrit text. Good many lacunae are found in ma; the longer and the smaller but significant ones are duly noted in the variants. For the benefit of readers that they might easily equate Prākrit and Sanskrit words, the rules of Samdhi or phonetic combination are not strictly enforced on the readings, which are allowed to remain as they are in the MSS. If the two Mss. disagree, the reading that is looser in Samdhi is accepted without noting the other reading. The chaya does not repeat the names of characters and stage-directions, the omission of the latter, when they come in the middle of a line, being indicated by three dots within brackets. It is presented in a running form with Roman serial numbers (corresponding to those put in the Prākrit text) put for successive speeches on a page. Though verse Nos. are given, the lines are continuously printed, because they are no more metrical in the chāyā. Commas are added corresponding to those in the Prākrit text; they also separate some of the lines of In some cases, for instance kasmin for kahim when kutra would be better, one is tempted to correct the chaya, but I have not Some such suggestions are made in the Notes. readings of the chāyā, whether they are phonetic equivalents or sense equivalents, are allowed to remain as they are in the MSS.

3. CANDRALEKHĀ: A CRITICAL STUDY

i) Dramatis Personae

Cakoraka, see Vidūṣaka.

Cāmara-grāhinī, a female Door-keeper who carries a chowrie in her hand.

Candanikā, a maid-servant. 2 चं. हे Candralekhā, see Nāyikā.

Candrikā, a maid-servant, attending on Candralekhā.

Cețī, a maid-servant, Candanikā by name.

Cintāmaṇi-devatā, the Deity presiding over the jewel Cintāmaṇi which was presented to Mānaveda by Sindhunātha through his minister Suśruta.

Devi, the Queen, the first wife of the King, Manaveda.

Gosamjňa, see Vidūsaka.

Kalabhāṣiṇī, a female Door-keeper.

Mānaveda, see Rājan.

Mañjubhāṣiṇī, a female Door-keeper.

Naktamālikā, a maid-servant.

Nāyikā, Candralekhā, the Heroine. She is introduced on the stage first as Adbhuta-kanyakā, Cintāmaṇi- or Ratna-saṃ-bhavā, because she was brought forth miraculously by the jewel Cintāmaṇi. She is the daughter of Candravarman, the king of Aṅgas. Her mother and the mother of the Queen are sisters; so she is a sister to the Queen. She has a brother Candraketu by name. It was prophesied that she would be the wife of a universal monarch. Cintāmaṇi-devatā brought her to the palace of king Mānaveda to whom she was married at last with Queen's consent.

Pāripārśvaka, the Assistant of the Director (sūtradhāra).

Pratihārī, a female Door-keeper, Mañjubhāṣinī.

Rājan, the King, Mānaveda, the Hero, who marries Candralekhā and acquires universal sovereignty.

Sumati, a Minister of king Mānaveda.

Suśruta, the Minister of Sindhunātha. He brings the jewel Cintāmaṇi as a present from his master to king Mānaveda.

Sütradhāra, the Director of the play.

Tamālikā, a maid-servant, attending the Queen.

Vaitālika (I and II), Bards, Manjukantha and Madhurakantha by name.

Vidūṣaka, the Jester, a Companion of the King, also called Cakoraka, Gosamjña.

ii) Story of the Play

The Queen of Mānaveda had her aunt married to Candravarman, the king of Aṅgas. Candravarman has a lovely daughter, Candralekhā by name. She had auspicious marks on her body; and it was prophesied that she would make her husband a universal monarch. Naturally she was an object of attraction for various kings.

During spring, one day, the king Sindhunātha sent to Mānaveda a pleasure gift of the jewel Cintāmaṇi, well-known for its miraculous potency to fulfil any desire. Mānaveda accepted the gift. It was one of his ambitions that he should become the monarch of the earth surrounded by seven seas. Knowing from the King that the jewel is presided over by a Deity, namely, Cintāmaṇidevatā, who grants any object of desire, Vidūṣaka entreated the jewel Cintāmaṇi to bring before them the most lovely girl on the earth.

That day Candralekhā was sporting in the park at Campā. She was, through the power of that jewel, straight-way brought to the palace of Mānaveda. Her identity, however, was not known to any one excepting the Deity. She was so beautiful that her presence was a matter of surprise to all. The Queen welcomed her as a noble-born girl. From the moment the King saw her, he fell in love with her. Candralekhā also felt attachment for him. Once he attended her singing entertainment, and a second time he met her in the Plantain Arbour. Their passion for each other reached almost a fatal point. The Queen suspected and came to know about their love; and hearing about their secret meeting, she kept that poor girl under guard: she was not aware of her personal relation with her.

The sudden disappearance of Candralekhā from Campā was a matter of great concern to the family. Her brother Candraketu came to the court of Mānaveda for Viṣuvotsava. He gave out the distressing news to the Queen (of Mānaveda) how her cousin sister Candralekhā, who was destined to be the wife of a Cakravartin and who was betrothed to king Mānaveda, had disappeared to their great sorrow. The Queen immediately appealed to Mānaveda to inquire from the kings gathered there as to who had kidnapped princess Candralekhā. They all solemnly pleaded innocence in the matter.

King Mānaveda, seeing no other way out, prayed to that Cintāmaņi-devatā who consequently brought forth the same lovely

girl as Candralekhā. It was a surprise and joy for all. The goddess told the Queen that Candralekhā was destined to be the wife of a Cakravartin and that, therefore, she should consent to her being married to Mānaveda. She assented to it. Mānaveda and Candralekhā were duly wedded; and thus all the ambitions of the king are fulfilled.

iii) Synoptic Analysis of the Play

The scenic division, which has no special reference to the canons of Indian Dramaturgy, is primarily meant for the convenience of following the events of the play. The entrances and exits, the place of action and independent episodes, narrated or acted, have served as the guiding principle.

The play is enacted possibly in the Nātyaśālā attached to the palace of king Mānaveda, the audience consisting of the learned people in the royal court at whose instance it was being performed.

Manager's Prelude (sthāpanā)

Scene 1 (I. 1). Recitation of the benediction ($n\bar{a}nd\bar{i}$).

Scene 2 (I. 1. 1-). Enters the Director (sūtradhāra), salutes Pārvatī and Siva, and hears the commencement of the play.

Scene 3 (I. 4.7-). Enters the Assistant (pāripārśvaka); both of them discuss the nature of Saṭṭaka, its author Rudradāsa of the Pāraśava family (described), his teachers Rudra and Śrīkantha (described), the flavour of Prākrit, enactment of the Saṭṭaka at the instance of the learned assembly of king Mānaveda (described elaborately) and the gist of the plot that in this play Mānaveda marries Candralekhā, the daughter of the king of Angas, who brings to him universal monarchy. Entrance of King and Queen announced. Exeunt.

[The seasonal back-ground of the play is Vasanta or Spring, i. e., the two months, Caitra and Vaiśākha. There is a reference to breezes of Caitra at I. 24; and Viṣuvotsava, at the beginning of the year, is being celebrated (IV. 1. 1 etc.).]

Yavanikāntara I

[The action in this Yava. begins possibly late in the afternoon. The bard announces evening at I. 37. 9, and the King also refers to it, I. 40-41.]

Scene 1 (I. 13. 5-). Metropolis, possibly a hall in the palace. Enter King and Queen with retinue. The King broods over his ambition to become the lord of the earth bounded by seven seas, and requests the Queen to enjoy the beauty of the town at the advent of spring. Both of them describe it. Vidūṣaka also joins them with his florid description. Candanikā draws their attention to the hospitable invitation of Emerald Park (marakatārāma); she almost competes with Vidūṣaka in offering a beautiful description; and both want to win royal approbation. Vidūṣaka proposes a visit to the Emerald Park. King's right eye is throbbing, and Vidūṣaka prophesies that the King would win the earth sealed with seven seas. The Queen amens it.

- Scene 2 (I. 18. 8-). Emerald Park: All enter it.—Behind the stage, two bards, Mañjukantha and Madhurakantha, glorify and greet the King drawing his attention to the charms of the Park.—At Vidūṣaka's request to enjoy the wealth of its beauty, the King describes the Park. They all sit to enjoy its charms. The King and Queen congratulate each other on the beauty of the season with its refreshing breezes.—Vidūṣaka says that he forgot his learning at home; and when he demands something on loan, Candanikā is ready to give it. He feels offended. She sings a fine verse fulfilling his conditions and to the approval of the King. Vidūṣaka quietly transfers his own defeat to the King, and announces the arrival of minister Sumati.
- Scene 3 (I. 27. 5-). Enters Sumati with Suśruta, the minister of Sindhunātha. After mutual welfare-inquiry, Suśruta requests the King to accept Sindhunātha's pleasure-gift, namely, the jewel Cintāmaṇi, which was acquired by his master from the Ocean and which would fulfil all the desires. It was put in a gold tray under silk cover. Sumati is asked to look duly after Suśruta. Exeunt.
- Scene 4 (I. 27. 27-). Vidūṣaka removes the silk cover, and the King praises the lustre and power of that Cintāmaṇi. Finding Vidūṣaka cold about its potency, the King tells him that such jewels are presided over by invisible Deities who can bestow any gift. Thereupon, with King's consent, he entreats, with his eyes closed, the Jewel to bring on the stage the most lovely girl.
- Scene 5 (I. 29. 16-). Enters the Heroine (mentioned as Adbhuta-kanyakā, Cintāmaņi-, or Ratna-sambhavā, later on as

Candralekhā). The King admires her beauty in rapturous stanzas. She also feels regard for him. The Queen recognises her as noble-born. Vidūṣaka hints that she would be the queen of a Cakravartin. The King expresses his deep passion for her. The Heroine also is affected with love, and finds the situation unbearable. The Queen, noting that she was new to the place, offers her a seat. The Heroine appreciates her as a matching Queen for the King.—Behind the stage, the Bard glorifies Manaveda and announces the evening in a couple of descriptive stanzas.-The Heroine recognises the King about whom she had heard from her father. The Queen loves her like a sister: and with King's ready consent, she conducts her to the harem while she (i. e., the Heroine) looks at the King affectionately.—The King welcomes evening with slight dejection. He insists on the Jewel being respectfully preserved, when Vidūṣaka tells him that it may by thrown away now because it has already served its purpose. Exeunt All.

Yavanikāntara II

[Some days appear to have elapsed after the King saw the Heroine (II. 15.3). The action here begins in the morning, after the King has come to the hall of audience. At the end there is a reference to $sn\bar{a}na\text{-}vel\bar{a}$, and midday is announced by the bard.]

- Scene 1 (II. 0. 1-). Asthāna-maṇḍapa or the hall of audience: Enter the King and the female Chowrie-bearer. He remembers the Heroine, her charming limbs, the cupid and his armoury etc., and sings enamoured stanzas. The Chowrie-bearer, however, is trying to divert his attention by describing the spring.
- Scene 2 (II. 8. 2-). Enters Vidūṣaka to find the King pining with passion for the Heroine. The King assures him that his partiality for the Queen continues. Vidūṣaka narrates to him his mission thus: "Being appointed by you to get some news from the harem, I went thither early morning. On my way I met Tamālikā, with a statue in her hand, who avoided me. I too proceeded indifferently. The maid-servants (described) asked me severally about the episode of the Emerald Park. Somehow I managed to escape them and entered the harem where the Queen received me

with utmost hospitality. I was seen by the Heroine (the King passionately broods on her). After spending some time happily, I took leave of the Queen. On my way back I met Candanikā who made a truce with me and gave me this letter in Heroine's hand-writing. Here it is." With thrill the King reads the love letter as well as the stanzas from Candanikā and Candrikā. Further Vidūşaka conveys to the King that Candanikā told him how the Queen has come to know Heroine's skill in singing, how she has fixed her musical entertainment in the Ruby Park (padmarāgārāma) nearby so that the King might not know it, and how the King (and Vidūṣaka, of course at Candanikā's request) might witness the same secretly from a secluded spot. As they found the Queen and Heroine going to the Ruby Park, they also proceeded to the Park and took their seat on a sapphire slab under the Tamāla, not being visible to others.

Scene 3 (II. 16. 7-). Ruby Park: Enter the Queen and (love-stricken) Heroine with retinue. The King feels happy to have a glimpse of Heroine's beautiful face; while she, reminded of the Emerald Park, is feeling love-sick.—The Queen, Heroine etc. take their seat on a dias of lapis lazuli. The Heroine begins to play on the lute and sing. Vidūṣaka appreciates her specialised skill, and the King is simply amazed at it. Vidūṣaka describes her various limbs etc. in one line, and the King adds the other line of the stanza interpreting the description with some fanciful imagery. The musical entertainment is over, and the King feels sorry. Exeunt Queen, Heroine and retinue.

Scene 4 (II. 33. 1-). On way back from the Ruby Park: The King and Vidūṣaka stop for a while to hear what Naktamālikā and Tamālikā, the latter with a statue (sālabhañyikā) in hand, are talking as they are going towards them. It is evident from their conversation that the Queen has suspected King's affection for the Heroine. She had received, as a present, a Śārikā bird, Bandhumatī by name, of retentive memory from queen Śāradā of Kāśmīra. She placed the bird within the throat of a statue which was trickily put in the assembly hall where the King and Vidūaṣka conversed. The bird has heard all that the King

spoke, and now Tamālikā is carrying back the statue finding the King absent from the hall. The maid-servants go, as it is the time for Queen's bath.—Behind the curtain, a bard announces midday and greets the King. The king feels anxious about the Śārikā episode which might enrage the Queen; but Vidūṣaka assures him that his (i. e., King's) generosity would soon bring her round. Both go to the harem. Exeunt All.

Yavanikāntara III

[Some days (III. 4.19) have elapsed between the II and III Yava. The action here begins early in the evening (pradosa). There are clear references to the passing of evening (III. 5.3), the spread of darkness (III. 9) and the rise of moon which is elaborately described in different stanzas.]

- Scene 1 (III. 0. 1-). Enter the King and Viduṣaka. The King feels dejected because the fate is not favourable. Vidūşaka tries to divert his attention, but the King feels quite helpless. Vidūṣaka holds some hope for him, because he learnt from Candanikā the following details: The Heroine is suffering from acute pains of passion; she is physically weak; and for a change she has been taken to the Plantain Arbour near the lake. The various cooling remedies have no effect on her; she is almost nearing the end of her life; anyhow the day has passed; and somehow that orphan girl must be saved from death. This report moves the King; and as suggested by Vidūṣaka, both of them start towards the Plantain Arbour: it is late in the evening and the Queen is away to salute Sthaliśvara. It is announced from behind the curtain, as the moon is rising, that the cooling measures are of no avail for the Heroine against the burning sensation of body; and no one knows who would save her.
- Scene 2 (III. 12. 1-). In order to save her, the King (with Vidūṣaka) approaches the Heroine, lying on bed of leaves and attended by Candanikā and Candrikā who are using refrigerants. The Heroine tries to get up to welcome the King, but he sits with her holding her hand: at his touch she feels a sudden change, from conflagration to nectar-ocean. Both of them feel quite happy. The King

welcomes the rising moon.—Behind the stage, the two Bards, Manjukantha and Madhurakantha, greet the King with elaborate description of moonlight. All of them vie with each other in giving poetic descriptions of the rising moon.—As the Queen is returning to the Plantain Arbour after saluting Sthalīśvara, the noise of the retinue was heard; Candanikā requests the King to see that this meeting is not disclosed to the Queen; he consents. Exeunt All.

Yavanikāntara IV

The action here begins in the morning, and we have clear references to prabhāta or prabhāta-velā, IV. 1. 3, IV. 2. 1, IV. 5. 3.1

- Scene 1 (IV. 0. 1-). The hall of audience (asthana-mandapa): Enter the King (dressed for the occasion), retinue and two female Door-keepers, Manjubhasini and Kalabhasini. King is feeling sorry that his contact with the Heroine was shortlived and unfruitful. The Pratihārīs congratulate him on the occasion of the Visuvotsava.—Behind the stage. the Bard wishes that morning might bring welfare to the King.
- Scene 2 (IV. 6. 1-). The King gives audience to various feudatories who have come to pay respects to him. With suitable descriptions Kalabhāsinī and Manjubhāsinī alternatively announce the kings of Vidarbha, Ujjayanī, Maņalūra, Cola, Anga, Magadha and Karnāta, and the rulers of Nepāla, Kāmboja, Pāncāla, Turuṣka, Cedi, Niṣadha, Vārāṇasī, Pārasīka, Mahārāstra, Vanga, Madra, Mathurā, Matsya, Kāśmīra, Kāñcī and Dravida. They were treated with due respects, and they were about to start back to their respective places.
- Scene 3 (IV. 25. 4-). The King is anxious to see the Heroine, and he is waiting for Viduşaka from the harem. Vidūşaka. He informs the King how, after receiving due hospitality, he happened to talk in dream about the meeting of the King and Heroine in the Plantain Arbour near the lake. This enraged the Queen very much. Consequently she has put the Heroine in chains and guarded her with an army of maid-servants (who are enumerated). 3 चं. हे.

- Scene 4 (IV. 26. 31-). The King feels sorry that the Queen is very angry. But Vidūṣaka tells him how her anger would disappear on account of her fresh sorrow. Vidūsaka discloses the following facts: After paying respects to the King, prince Candraketu, the son of her aunt's husband. Candravarman, the King of Angas, visited the Queen. He conveyed to her that his sister Candralekhā, her aunt's daughter, while she was playing in the park, has disappeared from Campā; and as yet she has not been traced. Candralekhā has been betrothed to the King (viz., Mānaveda) knowing from an interpreter of marks on the body that she would make her husband a universal monarch. And all this, added Vidūsaka, has made the Queen sorrowful.—The King became enraged and passed orders to investigate from the kings, gathered there, as to who had kidnapped Candralekhā. -Enters the Queen shedding tears, with Tamālikā, and requests the King to search out her sister Candralekhā. All the kings solemnly declare their innocence. The King appeals to the presiding Deity of the jewel Cintāmaņi to bring back Candralekhā.
- Scene 5 (IV. 27. 23-). Enters Cintāmaņi-devatā. She presents the Heroine, hereafter called Candralekhā. All are surprised. The Queen meets her bashful sister with joy. Cintamanidevatā announces that Candralekhā's husband would be a universal monarch and that therefore the Queen should consent to her marriage with the King. The Queen expresses her consent. Candralekhā is decorated for the All the kings, who had assembled for the occasion. Visuvotsava, are asked to stay for the wedding festival. The King and Candralekhā are wedded to the joy of all, especially of Vidūṣaka who dances with glee.—The goddess wants to know what more can she do. The King felicitates himself; and the play closes with the usual benediction, in which he prays that kings should have stainless fame, that men should recognise mutual obligation, that the learned should be free from jealousy, and that the blessings of Sarasvatī should flow for ever. Exeunt All.

iv) Prakrit Drama: Sattaka

a) early indian drama1

Even in the hands of early play-wrights like Bhāsa, Śūdraka and Kālidāsa, the dramatic compositions exhibit such a perfect form and finish that one is forced to postulate a long period of evolution and extensive experimentation prior to these authors. Aśvaghosa's plays, though found in fragments, are quite mature products. Turning to treatises on dramaturgy by Bharata and others, we are faced with a bewildering mass of theoretic details about the various aspects of drama: it is more an attempt at systematic collection and compilation of the then known materials. This plethora of details, howsoever useful for practical purposes and for understanding the dramatic technique, will not give us a historical sketch of the origin and gradual growth of the drama. The Indian tradition claims divine origin for the drama: Brahman drew elements like recitation, song, mimetic art and sentiment from the four Vedas; Siva and others contributed dancing etc.; and it was Bharata who brought it down to the Earth. Such an explanation cannot satisfy modern scholarship. Consequently the scholars took the drama almost in its final form and tried to trace back its various constituent elements in the different strata of ancient Indian literature, arranged according to relative chronology. The conversation has its counterpart in the dialogue-hymns of the Rgveda which were repeated at a sacrifice perhaps by two parties with musical modulation, which, as an art, was fully developed as seen in the Samaveda. Ethnologically music, dance and drama have developed together among many peoples. The rituals of the Mahāvrata ceremonial, too, possess elements from which the drama might develop. The literary form and the themes of the drama are heavily indebted to the recitations and the contents of the epics like the Mahābhārata and Rāmāyaņa. Pāṇini (c. 4th century B. c.) speaks of natas and nata-sūtras, i. e., dancers and their hand-books. The Mahābhāṣya of Patanjali (c. 140 B. C.) refers to a dramatic performance of some kind connected with the legends of Kṛṣṇa, Kamsa and Bali. All these references presume and would indicate a religious origin of drama. The drama, in its finished form, has much of the palace-life in it and can amuse only an audience

Sylvain Lévi: Le Théatre Indien, Paris 1890; A. B. Keith: The Sanskrit Drama, Oxford 1924; D. R. Mankad: The Types of Sanskrit Drama, Karachi 1936.

of intellectual aristocrats; but that need not preclude us from recognising its popular elements which are closely connected with the lives of common people. There are, then, other scholars who hold different theories of the secular origin for the drama, say, from a popular mime which was introduced into the religious ritual and which, later on, with the epic, forms the fore runner of the early Indian drama. The Indian life was so much permeated with religious spirit that it is difficult to demarcate exclusively the religious from the secular. The presence of non-Sanskritic technical terms, the use of Prākrits, simplicity of the stage, the combination of music and dance, the mixture of prose and lyrics, introduction of a character like Vidūsaka, etc. are adduced as proofs for the popular origin. Bits of evidence are studiously sought in the drama to trace back its source to puppet-play and shadow-play. Some scholars have tried to trace the origin of Indian drama to the influence of the Greeks; but sober scholars feel convinced that its development can be satisfactorily explained by indigenous antecedents.

b) rūpakas and uparūpakas

A critical study of the basic terms and of the types of dramas, unfolds altogether a complicated picture of the evolution of early Indian drama. Though etymologically they are quite akin, it appears that nrtta stands for mere dance, nrtya means dance with gesture or mimetic art, and $n\bar{a}tya$ signifies dance, gesture and dialogue (in prose and verse). It is the $n\bar{a}tya$ that incorporated the essentials of a drama proper. The dramatic entertainments based on $n\bar{a}tya$ have been designated by a general term Rūpaka which originally connoted 'representation,' and those based on nrtya by Upa-rūpaka.¹

When they are mentioned as Rūpaka, there is much unanimity among theorists about the number ten and about the types, namely, Nāṭaka, Prakaraṇa, Bhāṇa, Vyāyoga, Samavakāra, Dima, Īhāmṛga, Aṅka, Vīthī and Prahasana. Hemacandra classifies pāṭhya-kāvya into twelve types which are the same as ten Rūpakas with the addition of Nāṭikā and Saṭṭaka; and Rāmacandra recognises twelve, i. e., ten Rūpakas plus Nāṭikā and Prakaraṇī, as the famous

¹ See Mankad's book noted above, p. 23.

² For more details see Mankad's book noted above, chaps. II-VI.

³ For the necessary extracts see the Appendix.

varieties of abhineya-kāvya. About the exact number and enumeration of the so-called Uparupakas, there is no agreement among theorists. Perhaps different lists, as seen from the Bhāva-prakāśana, were current in different parts of India; and it is only the select ones that received recognition at the hands of different authorities. Putting all the known sub-types together, the number of Uparūpakas comes to about twentyfive. About these Keith remarks thus:1 "The later theory as seen in Viśvanātha adds descriptions of eighteen minor forms of drama, Uparūpakas, which represent refinements on the original scheme. Needless to say, though omitted in the Nātyaśāstra, quotations are found ascribing to Bharata the doctrine, though he mentions in them but fifteen with several variations of name; the Agni Purāņa mentions eighteen with some variants of name, while a verse cited by Dhanika names seven forms of mimetic dramas,2 which it classes in conjunction with the Bhāna. The age of these divisions is, therefore, uncertain; the Dasarūpa condescends to mention only the Nātikā, but obviously knows of the existence of others, confining its scope to the main forms, as its title indicates."

The four types Nāţī or Nāţikā, Prakaranī or Prakaranikā, Trotaka and Sattaka are treated by some as derivatives from or as allied to Rūpaka types and by others as Uparūpakas. The names of the first two are closely akin to Nāṭaka and Prakaraṇa, and the definition of Sattaka is made very much dependent on that of Nātikā. The Nātyasāstra describes Nātikā as a mixture of Nātaka and Prakarana; Daśarūpaka includes it under Nāṭaka; Hemacandra and Rāmacandra treat it on par with ten Rūpakas; Bhāvaprakāśana Sāhitya-darpana includes it follows the Nātyaśāstra; and the under Uparūpakas. The Sattaka is not mentioned by the Natyaśāstra and Daśarūpaka, which, however, mention Nātikā.; Hemacandra mentions Natika and Sattaka separately. Abhinavagupta, Śāradātanaya, Sāgaranandin etc. mention and define Sattaka. Viśvanātha calls Saṭṭaka an Uparūpaka. Almost everywhere the tendency is to define Sattaka in terms of the description of Natika.

c) nāţikā and saţţaka

In order to understand what Saṭṭaka is, we must first note the salient traits of Nāṭikā. According to the Nāṭyaśāstra (XVIII.

¹ The Sanskrit Drama, p. 349.

² Dasarūpaka i. 8.

58-61), Nāṭikā is a variety of Nāṭaka-Prakaraṇa; its subject-matter is invented; the hero is a king; the heroine is either a girl from the harem or the $samgīta-sāl\bar{a}$, and an object of attainment; it has many females, four acts, graceful histrionics ($lalitābhinayātmik\bar{a}$), well-arranged angas, royal (or love) affairs, conciliation, anger and trickery (dambha). It has a hero ($n\bar{a}yaka$), a queen ($dev\bar{\imath}$). a go-between ($d\bar{u}t\bar{\imath}$) and attendants (parijana).

The Dasarūpaka, however, adds that there is an endless variety of the forms of the Nātikā; and it may have one, two, three or four acts, with various combinations of characters and the like. Its subject-matter is taken from the Prakarana, and its hero, a self-controlled and light-hearted (dhira-lalita) king, from the Nātaka. Devi is the elder queen, of the type known as experienced, of royal lineage, serious; and it is through her consent, the hero is united with the heroine. The heroine also is of royal descent, of the type known as inexperienced and is charming and exceedingly fascinating. Being connected with the harem, she is in the vicinity of the hero whose passion for her develops step by step by seeing and hearing about her. The hero is apprehensive through fear of the queen. There are four elements of kaisiki or gay style, as if respectively joined to the four acts. The principal sentiment is the erotic with its various characteristics. The Sähitya-darpana practically covers all that is said in the Natyasastra and amplified by the Dasarūpaka. It is silent about the endless variety of Nātikā and varying number of acts, but plainly calls it catur ankikā. additional points of Bhāvaprakāśana are that a Nāṭikā can have a Vidūsaka but not Vita and Pīthamarda.

The Nāṭyaśāstra and Daśarūpaka do not mention Saṭṭaka.² It is but an accident that Rājaśekhara's Karpūra-mañjarī is the earliest known Saṭṭaka; and so far we know, he is the first to record its definition. According to him, Saṭṭaka much resembles a Nāṭikā; but it does not possess Praveśakas, Viṣkambhakas and Aṅkas. It is a composition in Prākrit (pāūda-baṁdha) and it is a representation to be danced (saṭṭaaṁ ṇaccidavvaṁ). Let us see what the subsequent authors have to say in addition to and amplifying Rājaśekhara's remarks. Abhinavagupta says that Saṭṭaka is like Nāṭikā; and possibly to explain Bharata's silence

¹ The Sanskrit extracts are given in the Appendix.

² For the information about Sattaka in original extracts from different sources, see the Appendix at the end.

about it, he adds that it is defined by Kohala and others. Hemacandra quotes perhaps an earlier verse which specifies that Saṭṭaka is written in one language (eka-bhāṣayā) which is a-Prākṛta-Saṃskṛtā. It is a knotty term to explain; but I think, it implies that in a Saṭṭaka both Sanskrit and Prākrit are not used as in a Nāṭikā. Rāmacandra-Guṇacandra quote the same; they spell it Sāṭaka, and attribute its definition to Kohala. Kohala is an ancient celebrity connected with dramatic theory. Mārkaṇdeya mentions him along with Śākalya, Bharata, Vararuci, Bhāmaha, Vasantarāja etc. in the opening verses of his Prākrit grammar: thus his name is associated with Prākrits as well. It is but natural, therefore, that he is credited to have recognised and defined Saṭṭaka, which was entirely in Prākrit.

According to the Bhāvaprakāśana, Satṭaka is a variety of Nāṭikā based on nṛṭya. It possesses Kaiśikī and Bhāratī Vṛṭti, i. e., Gay and Eloquent styles, and has no raudra, furious sentiment. There are no saṃdhis; and corresponding to the division of Aṅkas, there are Yavanikāntaras. There are no chādana, skhalana, bhrānti and nihnava. It uses Śaurasenī and Māhārāṣṭrī languages: elsewhere he describes it as prakṛṣṭa-Prākṛṭamayī. Some opine that the king should not speak in Prākrit, while others hold that he might speak Māgadhī or Śaurasenī. According to some authors, Saṭṭaka is a variety of Rūpaka, similar to Nāṭikā, but its language is Prākrit.

Sāgaranandin states that Saṭṭaka avoids the vīra heroic, bhayānaka terrible, bībhatsa odious sentiments, besides the raudra furious. It has Śaurasenī, Prācyā and Mahārāṣṭrī. The king, like women, is to speak Prākrit. Though Bādarāyaṇa and others prescribe for the king usually Sanskrit and occasionally Prākrit, still the king is to speak Prākrit. The Sāhitya-darpaṇa states that the Saṭṭaka is to be entirely in Prākrit (Prākrtāseṣa-pāṭhyam) and to possess plenty of adbhuta, marvellous sentiment. Rudradāsa makes it clear that it is in Prākrit and it is to be danced. Ghanaśyāma remarks that the whole of it is in Prākrit, and therefore it is called Saṭṭaka. Whenever an illustration is noted in the above sources, it is that of Karpūra-mañjarī.

¹ It may be noted here that Väsudeva notes samidhyangas like kärana, avamarša, vidhāna in his commentary on the Karpūramanjarī, pp. 27, 55, Nirnayasagar ed., Bombay 1900.

d) präkrits in the drama

The extensive population of Indian sub-continent has been, especially in early days and all along, divided into linguistic units and sub-units according to the languages and dialects. The linguistic compartments are due partly to geographical area, religious grouping, social strata, race-clan distinctions, professional guilds etc.; and naturally there has been ample margin and definite scope for crosscurrent influences. The population presents a veritable museum of languages and dialects. When viewed historically, we see further a cleavage between popular speeches and literary languages; at times the same language may present these phases side by side. The literary languages under grammatical restrictions get fossilised and become stagnant as book-languages: they are now and then imitated in writing by men of letters who otherwise use popular languages for their day-to-day use. The popular dialects flow along their own currents; and now and then some of its stages are raised to a literary status which survives as a book-language. This process is going on for the last few thousand years over a vast area, as evidenced by literary records of different ages and places, beginning with Veda and upto modern times.

The Indo-Aryan speech, to put in broad terms, has flowed in two beds, Samskṛta and Prākṛta which have constantly influenced each other at different stages. The term Prākṛta (spelt as Prākṛit) meaning, 'natural' 'common' etc. primarily indicates uncultured popular dialects, existing side by side with Samskṛta (spelt as Sanskrit), 'the accurately made,' 'polished' and 'refined' speech. The Prākṛits, to begin with, are the dialects of unlettered masses used by them for their secular communication in their every day life; while Sanskrit is the language of the intellectual aristocrat, the priest, pundit or prince, who used it for religious and learned purposes. The language of every day conversation of even these must have been nearer the popular Prākṛits than the literary Sanskrit. The former is a natural acquisition; and the latter, the principal literary form of speech, requires training in grammatical and phonetic niceties.

Contemporary with the Vedic language, which is an artistic speech employed by the priest in his religious songs, there were

Here I am quoting a few remarks from my essay on Prākrit Literature, contributed to the Cyclopedic History or Dictionary of World Literature which is being edited by Mr. Joseph T. Shipley, U. S. A.

popular dialects probably due to tribal groups, social strata etc. and arising out of literary and home usage or the use of Aryan speech by indigenous groups. The book-language has often to be distinguished from the spoken dialects. The Vedic literature gives some glimpses of popular speeches, the primary Prākrits, but no literature in them has come down to us. The classical Sanskrit, as standardised by Pāṇini and his commentators, respectfully shelves all that was obsolate in the Vedic speech and studiously eschews all that belongs to the popular tongue: the use of such a rigorously standardised language was the job for selected intelligence; it is the language of the hieratic academy and not of the populace at large; and its fine specimens are seen in our Kāvyas, Nāṭakas, Campūs and Nyāya treatises.

The ancient Indian drama presents a striking picture of the mixed use of language, different characters speaking different languages and dialects in the same play. This is looked upon by some as something analogous to the use of Doric and Aeolic in certain parts of Greek plays. If we look at the drama in the light of the above remarks, we find some explanation for the use of different Prākrit languages. It bears resemblance, so far as its beginning is concerned, to the natural use of local or vulgar forms of speech in the mouths of uncultured persons. Broadly speaking Sanskrit is spoken by men of high rank and by religious personages, while women, queens not excepted, speak one kind of Prākrit with ordinary characters, minor dialects being used by inferior or special classes.

According to their advocacy of secular or religious origin for the Indian drama, scholars hold that it was entirely either in Prākrit or Sanskrit in the beginning. Neither the earliest treatise on dramaturgy nor the oldest specimens of drama bear out the exclusive claim of one or the other: they admit Sanskrit and Prākrit side by side.

The details about the use of Sanskrit, Prākrit dialects and other languages by different characters look more like a compilation of stray practices and prescriptions than a systematic codification of rules: one feels all the more convinced about this, when the details

¹ Keith: The Sanskrit Drama, pp. 72-75, 85-89, 120-22, 140-42, 166, 181, 185, 203, 211-12:249. 236: 257. 334-38. 350. a.c.

are judged in the light of subsequent practices in dramas and grammatical description of Prākrits. Bharata's details (XVII. 26-64) may be interesting for their own sake, but later writers on dramaturgy have become more concise. The Daśarūpaka¹ gives the following rules: 'Actions are to be characterized by the language, gesture, and costume of a [special] region; [the dramatist] is to employ these suitably, taking them from common life. is to be spoken by men that are not of low rank, by devotees (kṛtātmanām), and in some cases by female ascetics, by the chief queen, by daughters of ministers and by courtezans. Prākrit is generally [to be the language] of women, and Saurasenī in the case of male characters of low rank. In like manner Piśācas, very low person, and the like are to speak Paiśācī and Māgadhī. Of whatever region an inferior character may be, of that region is his language For a special purpose the language of the highest and subsequent characters may be changed." Some of the prescriptions of Bharata that Bhiksu and Sramana are to speak Prakrit, that a Śrotriya is to use Sanskrit and that Candali is resorted to by Pulkasa etc. are certainly significant; and they may by looked upon as reflections of social facts, existing perhaps long before the age of Bharata. What were simple facts once became stereotyped conventions, growing obscure as time passed on. The theory and practice put together clearly show that these conventions are often analogical extensions, right or wrong, and were not quite strict and rigorously applied. The prescriptions from different sources and practices of different places and ages2 have intricately commingled to create a highly complicated situation; and no single hypothesis, howsoever, ingenious, can easily explain all the details in a satisfactory manner.

The Indian drama, there are reasons to believe, had its preliminary aspect in a popular pantomime; and this would easily explain such dialectal practices that all women, including a queen, are to speak in Prākrit, and so also Vidūṣaka, a Brāhmaṇa, of high social rank. The actresses who played the female rôle perhaps came from the lower strata of society, and naturally it is befitting for them that they spoke in Prākrit, or Saurasenī, the normal

Daśarūpakam, Gujarātī Printing Press, Bombay 1927, II. 63-66; The Daśarūpa, Translated into English by G. C. O. Haas, Columbia University Press, New York 1912, 2. 96-99, pp. 74-75.

² Sten Konow: Das Indische Drama, Berlin und Leipzig 1920, pp. 16-18; Keith: The Sanskrit Drama, pp. 334-38.; etc.

prose dialect of the plays. The case of Vidūṣaka stands on a slightly different footing. He is essentially a creation of the popular theatre, whence, just for mirth and joke, he was received and retained, even in court plays, as a conventional figure crystallised into a permanent type. Whatever may be his other traits, Vidūṣaka is a king's companion and guide through thick and thin. I think, he represents a caricature of the learned Purohita who was the sole adviser of the king in almost all home-affairs. The king depends on this confidant in all his affairs of the heart; and Vidūṣaka claims that he is a learned Brāhmaṇa. This claim is confirmed by his name also. The name Vidūṣakaḥ is just a hyper-Sanskritic back-formation of Prākrit viuso or viusao (with k-suffix) which is to be connected with vidvas.¹ There could be no better proof for his being a popular creation than the Prākritic basis of his name; and it is in the fitness of things that he speaks in Prākrit.

We need not hesitate to believe that the origin of the mixed usage of Sanskrit and Prākrit in the drama might have started as a reflection of the actual practice in real life. Conversations in simple Sanskrit and Prākrits could be easily understood by all: this points out to a period long before the rise of the form of court-poetry which assumed an artistic, dignified and elaborate style in the hands of authors like Kālidāsa, Bāṇa and Bhavabhūti. This style was first evolved in epics, lyrics, Kāvyas and prose romances; and side by side with them it cannot but affect the drama too. Even by the time of Bharata's Nātyaśāstra the use of Prākrits had become just a matter of conventional fixing; and it was mechanically followed by most of the authors. In view of the characters introduced therein and the society for which they were meant, plays might have been composed by certain authors solely in Sanskrit or Prākrit. The Sanskrit plays like the Dūtavākya of Bhāsa and some of the early Bhānas have come down to us. It is but natural that the early specimens of entirely Prākrit plays have not survived: they were popular creations of unlettered masses; Prākrit compositions have been uniformly neglected all along, and even some of the best Prākrit poems, only the names of which are known to us, are lost beyond recovery; and the orthodox theorists perhaps never liked to give a status to them among the recognised types of drama.

¹ Words like ajjukā, dauvārika, bhattidārikā, mārşa or mārisa etc. definitely possess a Prākrit tinge; and āma is a Deśi word of Tamil origin.

e) SAŢŢAKA IN THE EVOLUTION OF DRAMA

The treatises on dramaturgy elaborately describe Nāṭaka first, and subsequently they just note in what respects the other types are similar to or different from it. This does not mean that all other types are just the variations of and derived from Nāṭaka. The theorists adopt this procedure for convenience of treatment. They are not presenting these types and sub-types according to their historical evolution: perhaps they never had such an approach in view. They have conveniently classified the information and presented the topics in a suitable manner without worrying when and where the types and sub-types of drama arose.

Various forms of dramatic representation, from just simple to the most complicated, have been in vogue since long in different parts of India; and some of the primitive types survive even to this day in out-of-the-way villages enacted by village folk in their own way. The recognition of only ten Rūpakas by theorists indicates that these were standardised types worthy of the attention of cultured classes and court circles. Later theorists do recognise certain Uparūpakas. What is subsequently recognised is not necessarily later in age. Some of the popular forms of drama could not be easily and bodily recognised by orthodox theorists: that easily explains the attitude of theorists towards the so called Uparūpakas.

It is one thing to trace the early prototypes of dramatic conversation, recitation and action in the Rgvedic dialogues, epic poetry and Vedic ritual, and also try to detect similarities with Greek drama and indigenous mimes; and it is another to trace the possible evolution of Indian drama by arranging its types and sub-types in a logical and evolutionary sequence, after thoroughly studying all the theoretical details and available plays.

Lately Prof. D. R. Mankad¹ has tried to trace the history of the evolution of Indian drama. Though one may not agree with him in all the minor details, the method adopted by him gives interesting results. He starts from nrtta which branches off into two currents: 1) tānḍava (uddhata)>nrtya>Bhāṇa>Uparūpakas like Prekṣaṇaka, Ullopyaka, Sallāpaka, Silpaka>Rūpaka types: Vyāyoga>Samavakāra, Īhāmṛga, Dima; 2) lāsya (masṛṇa)>nṛtya> Bhāṇikā>Uparūpakas like Bhāṇa, Dombī, Nartanaka, Prasthānaka,

¹ The Types of Sanskrit Drama, pp. 147 etc.

Hallīśaka, Kāvya, Goṣṭhī, Kalpavalli, Śrīgadita, Durbhallikā, Mallikā, Vihāsikā>Rūpaka types: Bhāṇa>Vīthī>Prahasana, Aṅka; and both these currents once more conflow to produce the finished types, Nāṭaka and Prakaraṇa. In his opinion (p. 164) Bhāṇa seems to be the first dramatic type to evolve; and he states four characteristics of the first drama: 1) It was entirely in Sanskrit, 2) it was descriptive in nature, 3) it was monologous in form, and lastly 4) it was secular in matter. In the light of the gradual evolution of nṛtta, nṛtya and nāṭya types outlined by him, Prof. D. R. Mankad distinguishes between certain stages of growth or periods in the nāṭya types themselves (p. 165): 1) The nāṭya, in its earliest phase, had a form which required only one actor and one act; 2) then it required many actors but had still only one act; 3) thereafter it came to be represented by less complicated types with many acts; and lastly, 4) the fourth phase is represented by the full-fledged Nāṭaka and Prakaraṇa types, which, when fully developed, gradually sent the other lesser types into oblivion.

The problem of ascertaining the position of Sattaka in the evolution of drama is faced with many a difficulty; still an attempt is worth making. The term sattaka itself is a riddle; variants of spelling like sāṭaka, sāṭaka, sāḍika are known, but they do not improve the situation. The word is undoubtedly un-Sanskritic in etymology like some other names of Uparūpakas such as Dombī, Hallīśaka, Ṣidgaka. Perhaps it is to be traced back to a Deśī word possibly of Dravidian origin. Stripped of its k-suffix, it shows elements like sa + atta or $\bar{a}ta$; and perhaps it stood originally as an adjective of a surpressed term. There is a Dravidian word āṭṭa or āṭṭam, dance, play, from the root āṭu or āḍu meaning to dance, gesticulate, to act a part or play. If the basic meaning was 'dance', the suppressed term may be $r\bar{u}paka$: one can postulate a phrase sattaam $r\bar{u}vaam = a$ dramatic representation accompanied by dance. Or if the basic meaning was 'play', the suppressed term may be nartana: one can postulate a phrase sattaam nattanam (or naccanam or naccidavvam) = a dance accompanied by dramatic representation. Perhaps for brevity only the term sattaam has come in vogue. That Sattaka is closely associated with 'dance' is an accepted fact. In the Barhut Inscription the term sāḍika occurs in the record below a bas-relief of the dancing scene; and the theorist Śāradātanaya describes Sattaka as nrtya-bhedātmaka.

¹ See the extract in the Appendix.

to the prologues of Sattakas, Rājasekhara uses the expression sattaam naccidavvam; Nayacandra remarks aho prakrāntameva nartakaih; and Rudradāsa says paattaha sattaa-nattane.

About the theme, format and language of the Saṭṭaka some broad outlines are available. It is a love intrigue, as suggested by its Gay and Eloquent (kaisikī and bhāratī) styles. It is preeminently associated with dance. It does not possess the orthodox, standardised divisions of anka, introductory scenes like pravešaka and viṣkambhaka and various technical junctures seen in Nāṭaka etc. The whole action is divided into four pauses indicated by the intervention of curtain (yavanikāntara or java°). It is replete with Marvellous (adbhuta) sentiment. It is agreed that it was composed entirely in Prākrit: Bādarāyana, however, allowed an option of Sanskrit in the case of the King.

The popular character and origin of Sattaka are obvious from its close association with dance, its loose format, its unceremonious construction and division, its sentiment of marvel, and above all its composition in Prākrit. An orthodox theorist like Bharata could not recognise and condescend to describe Sattaka, because its mould and format were not of the accepted frame-work. It is only Hemacandra, who must have been favourably impressed by such an outstanding comedy as the Karpūramañjarī of Rājaśekhara, that recognises it on par with other Rūpakas. All others would include it among the related or subordinate types. Though Bharata silently passes over Sattaka, other earlier authors like Kohala, whose works have not come down to us, did give due recognition to it.

Bharata's Nāṭyaśāstra represents only one of the many schools of dramaturgy; and if Bharata does not mention Saṭṭaka, it is no evidence at all against the antiquity of Saṭṭaka as a form of drama. The Indian drama has its roots in dance: dance was its basic and primary element; and the association of Saṭṭaka with dance is quite clear. Further, its loose technique, unconventional form and Prākrit language point out to its high antiquity in the evolution of Indian drama. It is further confirmed by the fact that an ancient theorist like Kohala gave due recognition to it. The Barhut inscription (circa 200 B. C.) mentions sāḍika, a form of dance, which appears to be a forerunner of saṭṭaka. Being popular in vogue and language, earlier specimens have not come down to us. In all probability Rājaśekhara was aware of some of them, for

nowhere does he claim that his is the first creation. His definition only indicates that it was not quite familiar to his court circle.

Bharata's treatise has left a great influence on subsequent authors. He recognises Nātikā, and later theorists define Sattaka in the back-ground of Nāṭikā. This does not mean that Saṭṭaka is a popular and subsequent remodelling of Nātikā. But it is the reverse that appears to be true and historically justified. a dramatic representation with dance, depicting love intrigue, enacted by a troop of girls, replete with marvellous sentiment and composed in popular Prākrit, the Sattaka has something graceful and attractive about it. The theorist may not have liked to admit it as it is, but it was bound to attract his attention. The cultured classes and court circles would certainly enjoy it; it is to satisfy their craving the Sattaka was made to conform to the orthodox canons of Nataka; and the result of such an effort is the Natika which is duly described by Bharata and others. Thus Nātikā is an orthodox edition of the popular Sattaka. The variety of the forms of Nāṭikā², as suggested by the Daśarūpaka, perhaps indicates different attempts to raise the popular Sattaka to a status acceptable to a court audience. Once Nāṭikā was evolved and defined by an authority like Bharata, subsequent authors simply followed him; and Sattaka was, for a while, say during the period between Kohala and Rājasekhara, superseded by Nātikā. Under such circumstances Bādarāyana and others wanted a bit of Sanskrit also to be introduced, through the royal mouth, in the popular Sattaka which was, in the biginning, entirely in Prākrit.

f) sațtakas known so far

Ancient specimens of Sattaka have not come down to us. Prior to Rājaśekhara, the Sattaka, though recognised as a type of drama by Kohala etc., never received a literary status among the accepted forms; it is only his Karpūra-mañjarī that became a classical example of Sattaka. The Prākrit had assumed a static form by Rājaśekhara's time; and a play entirely in Prākrit was bound to be a rigorous task for average poets in subsequent centuries: it is only some poets of rare or erratic genius that were tempted

¹ Prof. Chakravarty holds somewhat different view; see his paper 'Characteristic Features of the Sattaka form of Drama', Indian Historical Quarterly, VII, pp. 169-73.

² For the extracts about Nātikā and Sattaka, see the Appendix.

to compose Sattakas. That would explain, to a certain extent, why we have a small number of Sattakas of a late period. There are possibilities of discovering more by exploring Mss. libraries. So far I have been able to list half a dozen Sattakas: 1) Karpūramanjarī of Rājasekhara; 2) Rambhā-manjarī of Nayacandra; 3) Candralekhā of Rudradāsa; 4) Vilāsavatī of Mārkandeya; 5) Srngāramanjarī of Visvesvara; and 6) Ānanda-sundarī of Ghanasyāma¹. The analysis etc. of Candralekhā have been already presented; so some important details about the rest of them may be given here. These details, I believe, would be useful not only for a comparative study of different Sattakas but also to a student of Indian literature, especiālly in Prākrit.

Rājas'ekhara and his Karpūra-mañjarī

Rajasekhara belonged to the Yāyāvara family. Akālajalada of great virtues was his grandfather, styled as mahārāstra-cūdāmani; his father was Darduka or Duhika, a great minister; and his mother was Silavatī. He had married Avanti-sundarī of the Cāhuāṇa family. He reached eminence as a spiritual teacher or upādhyāya of Mahendrapāla or Nirbhara-rāja, through the stages of bāla-kavi and kavi-rāja: he was thus connected with the court of Kanauj at the time of both Mahendrapāla and his son Mahīpāla. The dates available for these kings from epigraphic sources range from 903 to 917 A.D.; so it is evident that Rajasekhara lived about A.D. 900. It is probable that he wrote some poems like the Haravilasa. present only five of his works have come down to us; four plays and the fifth, an incomplete encyclopedic treatise on different aspects of poetry: 1) Bāla-rāmāyaṇa, 2) Bāla-bhārata, 3) Karpūra-manjarī, 4) Viddha-sālabhanjikā, and 5) Kāvya-mīmāmsā. The Karpūramanjarī² is a Sattaka, entirely in Prākrit and in four Javanikāntaras; and it was brought on the stage at the desire of the author's wife, Avanti-sundarī.

I. In this excellent Saṭṭaka, which is a stream of poetic flavours, king Caṇḍapāla, which name reminds one of Mahendrapāla, takes to wife the Kuntala princess, Karpūra-mañjarī, really a cousin of queen Vibhramalekhā, in order to achieve the rank of an emperor. After the Nāndī and the Prastāvanā in which the author is introduced and

¹ Two more Sattakas, as noted below, are attributed to him; but without inspecting their MSS. nothing can be definitely said about them.

² See the eds. of Karpūramanjarī referred to above, pp. 5, 7.

the theme is suggested, the king and the queen describe the advent of spring. The bards also greet the king by elaborately depicting the scenes of spring. Vidusaka and the maid-servant Vicaksanā dwell on the same theme; they fall out in their poetic competition and begin abusing each other; her superiority being recognised by the king, Vidusaka is about to quit the royal company. But he gets a pretext to come back with a magician, Bhairavananda, who praises the Kaula religion and parades his miraculous ability to achieve any feat. At the king's suggestion and Vidūsaka's request, the magician brings on the stage the lovely Vidarbha princess in her bathing dress. The king falls in love with her and grows eloquent about her physical charms; and she also glances him affectionately. She discloses to the queen that she is the daughter of Vallabharāja and Śaśiprabhā of Kuntala country; the queen finds that she was her cousin sister Karpūramanjari, and takes her into the palace for dressing and toilet.

II. The door-keeper tries, by describing nature, to divert the king who is passionately brooding over the charms of that heroine. Vidūṣaka enters with Vicakṣaṇā with whom he has a truce and who has brought from Karpūramañjarī a love-letter describing her passion for the king: therein a stanza depicting heroine's pangs has been added by her friend. Vicakṣaṇā describes how the heroine was dressed and decorated; and the king frames the details with his own fancy. Both the king and heroine are suffering equally. At Vicakṣaṇā's hint, the king accompanied by Vidūṣaka sees the heroine gracefully playing on the swing. Vidūṣaka offers a poetic description, and the king continues his amorous plaints. It is learnt how she is suffering love-fever. Later the king sees her in the garden while she is embracing the Kurabaka, glancing the Tilaka and kicking the Aśoka tree: all this only heightens king's passion for her.

III. The queen has grown suspicious and has kept the heroine in a guarded room, but the king has got prepared an underground passage from it to the palace garden. The king broods over her charms and narrates to Vidūṣaka a dream in which he met the heroine; Vidūṣaka mocks him by narrating a fantastic counterdream. Both of them have a discussion about the philosophy of love. Karpūramañjarī is suffering excessively, and she discloses her pangs to her companion Kurangikā. The king, with Vidūṣaka, hears it, approaches her to her pleasant surprise, and leads her to the pleasure-

34 CAMDALEHA

garden happily holding her by the hand. Two bards describe in long stanzas the moon-rise; the lovers under shining moon-light are in a mood of supreme felicity. The queen's arrival is announced. All disperse in haste, and the heroine retires to her room through the under-ground passage.

The king and Vidūṣaka describe the summer and its effect on love-stricken persons. Vidūṣaka tells him how the queen discovered the under-ground passage, closed it, and appointed an army of maid-servants to guard the heroine. The king is requested by the queen to attend the vata-savitri festival. After Gauri is worshipped, the magician demands from the queen by way of daksinā that Ghanasāra-mañjarī, a daughter of Candasena of Lāta, should be married to the king who thereby becomes a universal monarch. The queen consents to this and announces her intention to the king. By playing the trick of hide-and-seek, to the great confusion of the queen, the magician produced Ghanasāramanjarī on The marriage function goes on merrily; but soon the queen, to her regret, is made to realize that Ghanasāramañjarī was only another name of Karpūramanjari. The king thanks Bhairavānanda for fulfilling all his desires.

We do not possess today earlier definitions and specimens of Sattaka in the light of which Rajasekhara's performance could be judged. Rājašekhara defines Sattaka as analogous to Nātikā with certain reservations, and there is nothing in this play which is contradictory to Bharata's definition of Nātikā which perhaps he had in view. He has not specified the number of Javanikāntaras, but he has four; there are no Pravesaka and Viskambhaka; and excepting the stage-directions and names of characters, everything is in Prākrit. The abuses in an involved style, the series of names of female guards and the hide-and-seek scene may be interesting, but they lack effect in a play to be enacted; one wonders how that scene can be managed at all on a simple stage excepting through make-belief. Rājaśekhara is more a poet than a playwright; and like those of some of his predecessors his play Karpūra-manjari is more worthy of being read and studied than staged. He is a consummate master of language and expression, rich in vocabulay, idiomatic usages and metrical forms. His descriptions of the spring, moon-rise, swingscene, the carcari dance, etc. are admirable pieces of poetry testifying to his rigorous training in the niceties and conventions of poetics. Some of his pictures are vivid, and his love-lorn songs full of sentiment. He introduces, possibly as an element of popular Sattaka, a carcarī which indicates a group-dance accompanied by songs and poses, described by him (IV. 10-19).

Nayacandra and his Rambhā-mañjarī

Looking at the common verses of biographical interest in the Hammīra Mahākāvya¹ (XIV. 46, 46* 1, 46* 3, 46* 4) and the Rambhā-manjarī² (I. 15-18), it is plain that it is the same Nayacandra that composed these two works. He gives some details about his spiritual predecessors. In the famous Kṛṣṇagaccha, there was one Jayasimhasūri who defeated in dispute Sāranga, an outstanding poet among those who composed in six languages and a great logician (prāmānika), and who wrote three works: Nyāyasāra-tīkā, a new grammar, and a kāvya of Kumāranrpati. Sāranga's identity is uncertain. Of the three works, the first is a commentary on Bhāsarvajña's Nyāyasāra (c. 900); and the third is the Kumārapāla-carita, in ten cantos, finished in Samvat 1422 (A. D. 1364-65). Jayasimha's pupil was Prasannacandra, respected by kings. Our author, Nayacandra, though a pupil of Prasannacandra, calls himself a veritable successor of Jayasimha in poetic merits. He mentions his labours in the field of poetry and refers to the blessings that the goddess of speech bestowed on him. He makes reference to earlier poets like Kukkoka, Śrīharṣa [the author of Naiṣadhīya], Vātsāyana, (Veṇīkṛpāṇa-) Amara i. e., Amaracandra. He styles himself a second Amaracandra in poetry. This Amaracandra is the author of Padmānanda Mahākāvya (following which the Hammīra-kāvya also is vīrānka) and flourished about the middle of the 13th century A. D. The Hammīra-kāvya is a poem of great historical interest, and

¹ Ed. Kirtane, Bombay 1879.

² Ed. Rāmacandra Dīnānātha, Bombay 1889. There is a fine Ms. (dated Samvat 1535) of Rambhā-mañjarī, Text and Sanskrit Gloss, No. 335 of 1884-86, in the Bhandarkar O. R. I., Poona. For some details about it, see Descriptive Catalogue etc. by P. K. Gode., Vol. XIV: Nāṭaka, Poona 1937, p. 246-47. The above ed. is possibly based on this Ms. For some observations on this play, see Chakravarty's paper 'Characteristic Features of the Saṭṭaka form of Drama' Indian H. Quarterly, Vol. VII, pp. 169-173.

³ Jinaratnakośa by H. D. Velankar, Poona 1944.

⁴ M. D. Desai: Jaina Sähityano Samksipta Itihasa, Bombay 1933, pp. 378-81; M. B. Jhavery: Comparative and Critical Study of Mantraśastra, Intro. p. 222-23, Ahmedabad 1944.

it celebrates the heroic career of Hammira (incidentally that of his predecessors) who bravely fought against 'Alāu'ddîn and died on the battle field in 1301 A. D. Nayacandra is aware of the standard of poetry laid down in Kāvyaprakāśa etc.; and he tells how he has tried to make his poem full of matter with flavour: the critics should not mind his faults of expression (for which, if any, he wants to be forgiven) which have not been altogether avoided even by authors like Kālidāsa. He composed this poem full of amorous, heroic and marvellous sentiments to silence court circle of Tomara Vīrama which asserted that no one at present could compose a Kāvya like earlier poets. He claims that his poetry possesses both the lalitya of Amaracandra and vakrimā of Śrīharṣa. As to Nayacandra's age, he must have flourished between A. D. 1365, the date of Kumārapālacarita of his grand teacher Jayasimhasūri, and A. D. 1478, the date of the Poona Ms. of Rambhā-mañjarī. The exact identification of king Tomara Vīrama would help us to bring these limits nearer. The editor of the Hammīra Kāvya has added a remark like this: 'King Tomara Vīrama, whoever he was, appears to have lived seventy years before Akbar.'; but no evidence is given. In the list of the Tomara princes of Gwalior, there is a king Vīrama; and the dates available for his grandson, Dungarendradeva, are 1440-1453 A. D. Deducting 50 years or so for two generations, we get about 1400 as the date of So we might assign Nayacandra to the beginning of the 15th century A. D. Nayacandra, as indicated by his spiritual genealogy, is a Jaina monk; but his mangala verses in the Hammira kāvya are applicable to both Jaina and Hindu deities, and the nāndī of Rambhā-mañjarī invokes Viṣṇu as Varāha. The Rambhā-mañjarī of Nayacandra is a Sattaka; so we might analyse its contents and study some of its aspects critically.

I. After the nāndī in which Varāha is saluted and Madana is greeted by referring to the amorous glances of grown-up girls, Sūtradhāra invokes god of love and glorifies Īśvara and Pārvatī. He introduces in elaborate expressions the king Jaitracandra or Jayacamda, alias Pangu, who is the son of Malladeva and Candralekhā, who usurped the kingdom of Madanavarman, who defeated Yavanas and who was ruling over Benares; and announces his intention to Naṭa that they should enact a prabandha in order to entertain the

¹ C. M. Duff: The Chronology of India, p. 306, Westminister 1899; D. R. Bhandarkar: A List of Inscriptions of Northern India, p. 404.

gathering of good people assembled at Benares for the yātrā (or annual festivity) of Viśvanātha at the time of summer solstice. The subject-matter, full of amorous flavour and with the great king Jaitracandra as the hero, would prove to be of quite absorbing interest. The prabandha to be staged is a Saṭṭaka, Rambhā-mañjarī by name, which is in a way superior to the Karpūra-mañjarī of Rājaśekhara; its author is Nayacandra who, being blessed by the goddess of learning, is a skilled poet in six languages, and who has described himself by comparing his poetic gifts with those of Amaracandra and Śrīharṣa. In this Saṭṭa king Jaitracandra, who has already seven wives, marries Rambhā as the eighth with a view to become the lord of earth.

The king Jaitracandra, praised by bards in Sanskrit, Prākrit and Marāthī, enters with his queens; and he is greeted by a cuckoo from a mango tree with blossoms. The king and queen greet each other and are greeted by the bard on the advent of spring. There ensues an abusive quarrel between Vidūṣaka and Karpūrikā; she laughs at his inheritance of scholarship from his wife's side and slights his poetic skill; both of them present their composition before royalty. Karpūrikā proves superior; Vidūṣaka feels insulted and bids good bye to the palace. The queen describes moon-rise etc., and the king is anxious about Nārāyanadāsa who was to bring some news about Rambhā. Nārāyaṇadāsa accompanied by Rambhā in her wedding dress is brought in by Vidusaka. The king received the name Jaitracandra, because, on the day of his birth, his grandfather defeated the Kharpara army which came to the Daśārna country1. Nārāyaṇadāsa has some happy news to convey: it is announced to the king from behind the curtain that Rambha, born in the Kimmīra vamsa, the grand-daughter of Devarāja and the daughter of king Madanavarman of Lata, who is as beautiful as Pārvatī, was betrothed to (?) Hamsa, but is carried off by her maternal uncle Siva and has been brought here with the wedding kankana on her hand. The king receives her who comes in a palanquin; he begins to describe her limbs with an amorous eye; Vidūsaka and Nārāyaṇadāsa only switch on his appreciation for her; and his passion reaches a higher degree. The bard announces that it is an auspicious moment; the priests mutter the holy mantras; Viduşaka declares that the king Jaitra and Rambhā are duly

¹ The relevant lines stand thus: पत्तं तिम दसण्णगेस पवलं जं खप्पराणं वलं, जित्तं झित्त पियामहेण पहुणा जेत्तं ति नामं तओ। I. 43.

38 CAMDALEHĀ

married; and there are jubilations for the occasion. Morning is announced by the bard; along with other queens Rambhā is sent to the harem by the king who retires for his morning duties.

Being separated from Rambhā, the king is pining for her by remembering her physical charms; and the door-keeper is trying to divert him by describing garden scenes. He is anxious to hear about her. The maid-servant Karpürikä informs him that Rambhā is quite happy in the sisterly company of the harem where she is personally taken care of by the queen Rājīmatī; and by assuring him of Rambhā's deep attachment, she reads to the king her love-letter, despatched secretly, which only heightens his passion. Vidūsaka narrates to him his dream in which he was a bee; later on he was changed into sandal wood the paste of which was applied to Rambha's breast, and pressed by king's embrace he woke up. He interprets it that the king would soon meet Rambhā. The king is nervously anxious to meet her immediately. Karpūrikā, taking support of an Aśoka branch, manages to bring down Rambhā through the window. Both king and Rambhā enjoy amorous pleasures. The queen arrives, and they have to disperse.

III. The love-lorn queen welcomes the king; and after hearty amorous pleasures, the king asks her permission to meet Rambhā like this to which she consents and happily retires to bed. Rambhā comes to the king who receives her affectionately. Singing passionate lines alternatively, both of them go on happily enjoying amorous sports through different conventional stages. The night is easily spent and the dawn is announced by bards. Rambhā is sent to the harem, and the king attends to his duties after morning formalities.

More than once Nayacandra hints that the prabandha of Jaitra-, Jaya- or Jayanta-candra is being enacted; and therefore, it is quite likely that he draws his story from some Prabandhas. There is an old, anonymous Prabandha¹, some important facts from which are: The Rāṣṭrakūṭa Jaitracandra, son of Vijayacandra, ruled at Benares in the country of Kānyakubja. He had a queen Karpūradevī; and he married also Suhāgadevī, the daughter of a Śālāpati. He overpowered Lakṣmaṇasena of Bengal and Paramarddi of Kalyāṇakaṭaka. He was highly praised by the poet Canda. He refused to give his kingdom to Suhāgadevī's son;

¹ Purātana-prabandha-samgraha, ed. by Jinavijayaji, Singhi Jaina Grantha-mālā 2, Calcutta 1936, pp. 88-90.

so she invoked the aid of Suratrāṇa Sahābadīna who was faced by Pṛthvīrāja and halted at Yoginīpura. Jaitra-candra felt happy when his adversary, viz. Pṛthvīrāja, was defeated, but his minister realized the danger to his kingdom. In his second campaign, the Suratrāṇa entered Benares in Samvat 1248, Caitra śu. 10, and was victorious against Jaitracandra who died in the river Yamunā and whose eldest son, in the battle. That Suratrāṇa treated Suhāgadevī with disrespect for her treachery against her husband and her son was converted into a Turuṣka.

According to the Prabandha-cintāmaṇi of Merutuṅga¹, the great monarch (prājya-sāmrājya-lakṣmīṁ pālayan) Jayacandra ot Kāśī had a title Paṅgu; he married Sūhavā, the wife of a Śālāpati; he refused to recognise her son as the heir-apparent; she invited the Mlecchas or Turuṣkas to attack Vārāṇasī; when it was beseiged, the king put her son on his elephant and plunged himself in the stream of Yamunā.

In the prabandha about Śrīharṣa, the author of Naiṣadhīya, the Prabandha-kośa of Rājaśekhara² gives some facts about Jayanta-candra, the son of Govindacandra and the king of Benares, who was famous as Paṅgula. He married a young, beautiful widow, Sūhavadevī, the wife of a Śālāpati from Anahilla-pattana on which Kumārapāla was ruling. To the displeasure of Sūhavadevī, he decided to give the kingdom to prince Meghacandra and not to her son. She managed to invite the Suratrāṇa of Takṣaśilā to attack Benares. The king was ruined in the battle, and the town was taken by the enemy.

About the name of the father of Jayacandra, the Prabandhas do not agree among themselves, nor does any one agrees with Nayacandra's version. Modern historians give this genealogy: Govindacandra (c. 1114-1155), Vijayacandra (c. 1155-1170) and Jayacandra (c. 1170-1193); that means Prabandhakośa has either confused Jaya- and Vijaya-candra or more probably the name of the latter is skipped over in the text; and according to Rambhāmañjarī we will have to admit that Malladeva was another name of Vijayacandra. The Prabandhas have no reference to his seven

¹ Edited by Jinavijayaji, Singhī Jaina Granthamālā 1, Śāntiniketan 1933, pp. 113-14.

² Edited by Jinavijayaji, Singhi Jaina Granthamālā 6, Śāntiniketan 1935, pp. 54-58.

³ H. C. Ray: The Dynastic History of Northern India vol. I, Calcutta 1931, p. 548.

wives and to the eighth wife Rambhā noted by Nayacandra. In one Prabandha, Karpūradevī figures as a queen, but in our play there is a maid-servant Karpūrikā by name. The hero Jaitracandra is a brave king of Benares, and he had a title Pangu: these two facts are unanimously accepted by two Prabandhas. The first Prabandha is silent about this title, though some other details are common. The two Prabandhas and our play explain the title Pangu almost similarly. So it is plain that Nayacandra's hero is the same as Jaitracandra of Prabandhas; but our author appears to have added certain details to make the plot suited for a Sattaka the model for which was the Karpūra-manjarī. Our hero, then, as already hinted above, is the same as Rājā Jaichand, almost the last king of the Gāhaḍavāla dynasty, who had made Benares his principal residence and who was overthrown by Muhammad of Ghor (Shihabu-ddin). It is not clear who this Madanavarman of Lāṭa¹ is; but it is not unlikely that Nayacandra had in view a Chandel ruler of that name. Nayacandra's statement that Jaitracandra brought under control the kingdom of Madanavarman is based possibly on the account of the Prabandha according to which he overpowered Paramarddi who had inherited the kingdom of Madanavarman.

Nayacandra refers to the Karpūra-manjarī (Km.) of Rājaśekhara, and he claims that his Rambhā-manjarī (Rm.) is in a way superior to it. Rm. imitates Km. in many respects. The scene of spring that is being described by the king, queen and bards, the quarrel between Jester and maid-servant in which the former claims hereditary scholarship, and the diversion of the love-lorn king with the description of nature by the door-keeper: all these remind us of similar situations in Km. Some ideas, too, are common, at times with slight variations: in both the Jester has a fantastic dream; the trees like Aśoka, Bakula and Kurabaka are introduced to heighten king's passion; and the contents of the love letter are almost identical. We come across even some common expressions in both the texts (Km. II. 11 & Rm. I. 40; Km. I. 32-34 & I. 49).

If the plot is scanty in Km., there is not even the semblance of it in Rm. Nayacandra's Prākrit verses are not as fluent as those of Rājaśekhara. Nayacandra has, however, a pedantic mastery over Sanskrit expression; and a couple of his Sanskrit verses (III. 3-4) are really beautiful and testify to his potential poetic ability.

¹ This name reminds me of the name Candravarman of Lata in the Viddhaśalabhañjika.

As a play, Rm. does not leave a favourable impression. One wonders how a cultured audience would receive the love dalliance of a king with his two queens, one after the other, on the stage. The tone of the amorous sentiment is devoid of depth and dignity: the depiction of it is more an exhibition than suggestion. In some places, strangely indeed, without making the characters speak and act, the author begins to describe their behaviour outside the stage-directions (II. 18-20; III. 7, 21).

Though the Poona Ms. and, perhaps following it, the printed text of the Rambhā-mañjarī call it a Nāṭikā: samāptā Rambhā-mañjarī nāma nāṭikā, Nayacandra has plainly called Rm. a Saṭṭa or Saṭṭaka (I. 19). The play comes to a close within only three Javanikāntaras, so far as the present documentary evidence is concerned; but the ambition of the king to become the lord of earth is not explicitly fulfilled, though the King marries Rambhā in the very first Yavanikāntara and sports with her in the second and third. Either the play is incomplete, or the author has overlooked what he has put in Sūtradhāra's mouth¹: the abrupt ending of the play just in three Javanikāntaras and the absence of Bharata-vākya go to strengthen the former alternative.

Nayacandra employs both Sanskrit and Prākrit in this play, and the use of them by different characters is interesting. The Naṭa, the queens Vasantasenā and Rambhā, Pratihārī, Vidūṣaka and Ceṭī speak Prākrit, and their verses also are in Prākrit excepting one (II. 14) in the mouth of Ceṭī (with the phrase saṃskṛtam āśritya) which is in Sanskrit. The Sūtradhāra, king, Nārāyaṇadāsa and Maṅgala-pāṭhaka have their speeches in Sanskrit, but their verses are in Sanskrit as well as Prākrit. One bard has a glorificatory prose passage in Prākrit, while others have their songs both in Sanskrit and Prākrit. The Nāndī verses are in both the languages.

The Daśarūpaka, as seen above, admits a varying number of acts in a Nāṭikā which has been a model for later Saṭṭaka; but whenever the number of Javanikāntaras is specified, it is necessarily four; and in this respect, the Rambhā-mañjarī, as a Saṭṭaka, does not conform to its description. Further in the use of languages too, it does not satisfy the accepted condition of Saṭṭaka: it is not

¹ इनखागूण नरेसवंसितलो सो जेत(v. l. जयत)चंदप्पहू, जुत्तीय परिणीय सत्त धरिणी रूवेण जा अच्छरा। एयाणि भिवदुं जहुत्तिविहिणा भूमंडलाखंडलो, रंभं तं परिणेदि अहुमितियं एयिम सट्टे वरे ॥ १-१९॥ 6 चं. ले.

entirely in Prākrit. The Bhāva-prakāśana and Nātaka-lakṣaṇa-kośa record an opinion that Sanskrit was allowed for the king in a Sattaka; but this play allows not only the king but also some other characters to speak in Sanskrit. It is really a note-worthy convention that Nayacandra is making even his Sanskrit-speaking characters utter some of their verses in Prākrit. When a Prākrit-speaking character, the Cetī, utters a Sanskrit verse, the apologetic samskrtam āśritya is there. Unless some more Sattakas of the type of Rm. come to light, the promiscuous use of Sanskrit and Prākrit cannot be satisfactorily explained, nor is it confirmed by the views of any theorists so far known.

Nayacandra makes the bards sing the glory of Jaitracandra in three languages, Sanskrit, Prākrit and Marāṭhī; the Marāṭhī passage deserves our special attention. I am reproducing it below from the Poona Ms. (folio No. 3a) without any corrections:

> जिर पेखिला मस्तकावरी केशकलापु । तिर परिस्खिलिला मयूरांचे पिच्छप्रतापु ॥ जिर नयनविषयु केला वेणीदंडु । तिर साक्षाज्ञाला भ्रमरश्रेणीदंडु ॥ जिर हगोचरी आला विसाल भालु । तिर अर्द्धचंद्रमंडलु भइला उर्णायुजालु ॥ श्रूजुगलु जाणु । देधीकृतकंदर्णचापु । नयननिर्जितु जाला पंजनु निःप्रतापु ॥ मुखमडलु जाणु शशांकदेवताचे मंडलु ॥ सर्व्वांगसंदरतामूर्त्तमंतु कामु । कल्पद्रम जैसे सर्व्वलोकआशाविश्रामु ॥

This is a specimen of literary Marāthī of the age of Nayacandra or, to be more definite, of the period of the Ms., Samvat 1535 (A. D. 1477-78). Perhaps a line rhyming with the ninth is missing. The passage is certainly in Marāthī of an archaic type but not very old. It shows the full development of the characteristic features which belong to the language in its New Indo-Āryan stage and which cannot be traced to the Middle Indo-Āryan, for instance, the formation of the past tense in -l: pekhilā, pariskhalilā, kelā, jālā, ālā and bhaīlā, with its agreement with the subject; secondly, the formation of the Genetive in mayūrāmce and devatāce, both sing. and pl.; and lastly, the form jālā, twice used without the present-day aspirate which came into use some time in the beginning of the 19th century.

Mārkaņdeya and his Vilāsavatī

Mārkaṇḍeya is the author of the Prākṛta-sarvasva¹ which occupies an important position among Prākrit grammars. Very little is known about his personal history. He composed his grammar in the reign of Mukundadeva who ruled over Utkala or Orissa. There was a king of Orissa of this name during the second half of the 17th century A. D. to which period Mārkaṇḍeya may be tentatively assigned. Prohibiting the use of Genetive for purposive Dative, he remarks thus in his grammar (V. 131):

क्रचित्र तादथ्यें विहितायाः चतुथ्याः षष्ठी न स्यात् । 'पाणाअ गओ भमरो रुक्सइ दुक्खं गइंदेसु ॥' 'सुहाअ रजं किर होइ रण्णो ।' इति मम विलासवतीसट्टके ॥

The remark is quite plain, and we learn that Mārkaṇdeya composed a Saṭṭaka, Vilāsavatī by name. Viśvanātha (c. 14th century A. D.) mentions in his Sāhityadarpaṇa (VI. 277-79, prose remark) one Vilāsavatī, a Nāṭya-rāsaka, which obviously must be an earlier and different work. The name of Vilāsavatī as a heroine is pretty old and figures in the stories composed by Haribhadra (8th century A. D.), Sādhāraṇa (11th century A. D.)² etc. If we can discover a Ms. of the Vilāsavatī Saṭṭaka, composed as it is by an eminent Prākrit grammarian like Mārkaṇḍeya, it would be of great value to assess the nature of Prākrit in a Saṭṭaka.

Vis'ves'vara and his S'rngāra-mañjarī

Viśveśvara, the son of Lakṣmīdhara, belonged to Almodhā or Almora, and flourished during the first quarter of the 18th century A. D. The editors of Kāvyamālā have recorded that his eighth descendent, Deveśvara (Cunīlāla) by name, lived some time earlier than 1910 at Anūpaśahara on the Ganges. It is said that Viśveśvara began his literary enterprises at the age of ten and died prematurely at about forty. He is a voluminous writer, and there stand against his name more than twenty works of which Navamālikā is a Nāṭikā and Śṛṅgāra-mañjarī is

¹ Edited by S. P. V. Bhattanatha Swami, Vizagapatam 1927; L. Nitti-Dolei: Les Grammairiens Prākrits, Paris 1938, pp. 89 etc.

² Jinaratnakośa by H. D. Velankar, Poona 1944, p. 358.

³ Kāvyamālā VIII, pp. 51-52; M. Krishnamachariar: History of Classical Sanskrit Literature, p. 355.

a Sattaka. I could get two MSS. of the latter; and its contents are analysed below.

I. After the nāndī verses, in which are invoked Pārvatī and Madana, the Sūtradhāra, who is out to please the audience, hears, the Naṭī describing the spring behind the curtain. As she compares spring with Saṭṭaka, he takes a hint and decides, in consultation with her, to enact the same, depicting amorous sentiment, especially in separation. He explains to her that the Saṭṭaka to be staged is the Simgāra-mamjarī (Sk. Śṛṅgāra-manjarī) which gives rise to great wonder, which has well-shaped characters, and the limbs of which are set at various places (vihāa-samṭhavia-saalam̄gā, p. 2). Its author is Viśveśvara, the son and disciple of Lakṣmīdhara of great learning; and it is being staged at the behest of the learned assembly which has rightly judged its merits. Sūtradhāra feels highly pleased that his beloved well suggested what he had in mind.

In a park, then enters the king, Rājaśekhara, praising sleep which acquaints one with abnormal experiences (in dream). He is yearning for an unknown person. Inquired by Vidūṣaka and with intervening remarks from him, the king narrates to him his latest dream. He saw therein a girl of exquisite beauty, and felt very happy almost like Indra; the girl appeared to be in a mood of disappointment; Vasantatilakā, maid-servant of the queen, led her in saying that it was time for royal assembly; and she went out with heavy steps, looking at him. The king, who is suffering from pangs of separation, expresses his fear that the queen might come to know about this. He is assured by Vidūṣaka that he might soon meet that girl; but he is pessimistic, for he knows neither her name nor place. He has now come to the park where he had seen her. Vasantatilakā comes there: the king suspects whether she overheard his talk; Vidūşaka holds a subtle and shrewd conversation with her; and therein it is disclosed that she did overhear the passionate expressions of

¹ The colophon of the ms. reads śāţaka.

² Both of them belong to the Government collection in the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona 4; their Nos. are: 810 of 1886-92 and 435 of 1892-95. The latter is a good Ms. containing the Präkrit text which has interlinear Sanskrit chäyä in red ink on some three pages at the beginning and some six pages in the middle. It is dated Saka 1639, i. e. A. B. 1716-17, and thus almost contemporary with the author.

the king. The king admires her sharp grasp, takes her into confidence, and tells her his vision in the dream. She realizes that he might have seen her friend Śṛṅgāra-mañjarī; she requests him to paint her portrait; and from that she discloses that it was that of her friend Ś.-mañjarī. She writes on it a verse from her friend who, she conveys to the king, is also in love and pining for him helplessly. She takes king's permission to convey all this to her. Evening is announced.

II. With that painting-board in his hand, the king is brooding over S.-manjari's beauty and poetic skill. Now and then Vidūşaka is adding his comments. Both of them discuss how queen Rūpalekhā is jealously guarding the heroine, Ś.-mañjarī, whom, consequently, they are not able to see. The king tells Vidūṣaka that a woman is always unhappy at the presence of a co-wife. While the king is conveying his plan to Vidūṣaka, he receives an invitation from the queen, through Mādhavikā, to attend the worship of Madana in the park; he goes thither immediately, being led by Vidūṣaka; he is reminded of the heroine seen in that park; both of them wax eloquent on the scenes of spring, visible in the park. They halt under a Mādhavī bower awaiting the queen who arrives with her retinue and begins describing spring. They all proceed to the spot of worship, and the king takes seat with the queen. Vasantatilakā taunts Vidūsaka on the throbbing of his left eye: he feels offended and talks of his learning. He has a quarrel with her. He feels defeated and wants to quit the royal company caring a fig for the prospect of daksinā, because his merits are not recognised. At queen's request, he stays there; and with great reluctance, she had to call S.-mañjarī to act as a judge, expert in sentiments, to settle the dispute between the Jester and maid-servant. Mādhavikā brings Ś.-mañjarī who is quite happy at the opportunity of seeing the king. The queen does not want King and S.-manjari to see each other for long; she requests the king to begin with her Madana's worship, so that the dispute might be decided in the mean time. Vasantatilakā and Vidūṣaka begin their dispute about srigāra in the presence of the judge; Viduşaka feels that the real purpose, namely, that the king might see the heroine, is served; he gives no replies, and thus loses in dispute. As the business of arbitration is over, the queen asks the heroine to go back; and she, along with the love-lorn king, continues her worship. The blessings of all-powerful Madana are invoked from behind the curtain. At queen's suggestion, king retires to the terrace.

- III. After a little discussion with the king on some aspects of love, Vidūṣaka informs him how the queen is strictly guarding the heroine, and how even Vasantatilaka's movements are restrained, lest she might bring about a meeting between king and heroine. Still Vidūşaka managed to see Vasantatilakā. He learnt from her that the heroine is terribly suffering from separation; and every cooling measure is having an adverse effect on her. She is almost ready to end her life in order to get rid of this anguish of passion by hanging herself with a creeper-rope. To save her, she has been assured of a meeting with the king under the Madhavi bower. She is living on that hope; and the king, if he is sincere, should kindly save her. Seeing that pradosa is announced, Vidūṣaka requests the king to go to that spot and save her. Both of them proceed thither, describing various scenes. It is getting late at night, and they are wending their uneven way in thick darkness. They reach the rendezvous. There enters Sringaramañjarī with a blue veil and accompanied by Vasantatilakā: they are chatting about darkness in which the heroine's face is a moon indeed. The king is happy to hear their sound. With auspicious omens they reach the appointed spot. The king catches the opportunity, goes ahead, and leads the heroine to the bower; and they are left to themselves. The bashful heroine is patronisingly consoled by him; and he solemnly assures his whole-hearted love to her, and further confirms it by falling at her feet. As she is ready to go, the king requests her to continue her love: Vasantatilakā expects the same from him. The king assures that for him, as for a bee, she is a lotus in preference to all other flowers.
- IV. The king remembers his meeting with the heroine and thinks about her beautiful limbs. He feels sorry at the cruel behaviour of the queen who has, by this time, imprisoned Vidūṣaka and Vasantatilakā and kept Ś.-mañjarī in a guarded dark room, under solitary confinement. Vidūṣaka enters lamenting over his lot especially in the prison. He approaches the anxious king and tells him how he has been luckily free. Inquired about the heroine, he narrates the following details: 'To-day the queen went to worship Pārvatī; immediately after the jubilations, a divine voice discoursed to the queen about the duties of a devoted wife; taking the hint, she decided to bring together Ś.-mañjarī and the king; and she

released all.' The king felt happy and surprised at this sudden development. The penitent queen comes along with heroine and attendants; and the king welcomes them all. She presents Śrngāramanjari to him, and hestows on her the status of equality, that of a co-queen. He accepts her according to Gandharva marriage; he gives a gift of jewelled wristlet to Vidūṣaka. Vidūṣaka is going home but returns with minister Carubhūti who greets the king as a Cakravartin and discloses the following details: Śrngāra-manjarī is the daughter of Jaṭāketu, the king of Avanti; her husband would become a Cakravartin; she was being carried away by Manimalin who had become a demon according to some curse which came to an end on the way, and she was dropped down in a hermitage; thence she was brought to the palace and kept with the queen. The queen regretfully realized that S.-manjari was the daughter of her brother-in-law (avutta), and she apologised to her for the treatment so far given. The heroine thanks her in return. king prays for an all-round welfare.

As Sattakas, shaped according to the definition of Natika with certain reservations, both Karpūra-manjarī and Śrngāra-manjarī have much in common; and they inherit good many motifs, with or without minor changes, from the earlier plays of Bhasa, Kalidasa, Harşa etc. In certain details Km. and Sm. have close resemblance: their titles, the names of queens, the quarrel between Jester and maid-servant, a philosophical discussion between king and Jester about love, etc. But everywhere Viśveśvara handles the situations and contrivances more skilfully; as constrasted with parallel situations in Km., the quarrel in this play is devoid of bald abuses; and the author is not tempted to give a dry list of names of female guards. Viśveśvara does not slavishly follow Rājaśekhara's special ideas and expressions, though in a few places we are reminded of certain verses and idioms in Km. The king sees the heroine in a dream; he paints her in a picture; then her identity is ascertained: all this reminds us of the plot of Viddha-śālabhañjikā of Rājaśekhara and the development of love-relation between Usa and Aniruddha, and between Kandarpaketu and Vāsavadattā. The idea of bringing the heroine as an arbitrator is well conceived and managed with dignity: thus she is proved to be a veritable sringara-manjari, as her name connotes. Viśveśvara shows a good deal of poetic

¹ Especially Svapnavāsavadattā, Mālavikāgnimitra, Ratnāvalī, Priyadarsikā.

talent, smooth and flavoury presentation of details, and lucidity of expression. Some of his discussions are stiff. All his characters speak Prākrit, and there are four Yavanikāntaras. One of his remarks indicates that samdhyangas may be admitted in a Saṭṭaka; and it is already noted above that Vāsudeva detects some of them in the Karpūra-manjarī, though some theorists explicitly prohibit them.

Ghanas'yāma and his Ānanda-sundarī

The poet Ghanasyāma¹, who calls himself mahārāstra-cūdāmani and who possessed epithets like Kanthīrava, was the son of Mahādeva and Kāśī, and a grandson of Cauṇḍāji Bālāji. Īśa was his elder brother; Śākambarī, his sister; Sundarī and Kamalā, his wives; and Candrasekhara and Govardhana, his two sons. He was born in 1700 A.D., and lived as far as 1750. At the age of 29 he became the minister of Tukkoji I (1729-1735) of Tanjore. He was a voluminous writer, starting his literary career at the age of 18. As he himself reports, he composed 64 works in Sanskrit, 20 in Prakrit and 25 in vernacular; a detailed list of his compositions is already prepared by Prof. Chaudhuri in his paper; and he covers various branches of literature: plays, poems, anthologies, Campūs, commentaries and treatises on technical subjects like grammar, rhetoric, philosophy, etc. Ghanaśyāma was offensively self-conceited, and he paraded his learning in various quarters. He looked down upon earlier authors, even of established eminence; and he held poor opinion about their literary achievements. He styles himself sarva-bhāṣā-kavi, with a mastery over seven or eight languages and scripts. He feels himself quite competent, almost equal, if not superior, to Rājaśekhara, in composing a Saṭṭaka which is entirely in Prākrit. His attitude towards Prākrit is well expressed in the conversation between Vidūṣaka and Sūtradhāra. In his opinion. an eminent poet need not be ashamed of composing works in Prākrit. A heretic shuns a sacrifice; a voluptuory, virtues; a block-head, learning: one vainly condemns whatever is impossible for oneself. Those who are skilled in only one language are part-poets, while he who can compose in many languages is a full-blown poet of renown. According to Prof. Chaudhuri's list, Ghanasyāma appears to

¹ For the life and works of this poet, see Prof. J. B. Chaudhuri's paper 'Sanskrit Poet Ghanasyāma', Indian H. Quarterly, vol. XIX, 3, pp. 237-51.

have composed three Sattakas: 1) Vaikuntha-carita, 2) Ananda-sundarī, and 3) an anonymous one. Two MSS. of A.-sundarī have been accessible to me; and its contents are summarised below.

I. After benedictory verses invoking Viṣṇu-Lakṣmī etc., Sthāpaka or Sūtradhāra and Vidūṣaka discuss a letter from an Association (sāmājika-lekhah) for staging an interesting play; and it is decided to enact a Saṭṭaka, the Ānanda-sundarī, composed, at the age of 22, by Ghanaśyāma (described) who is as competent as Rājaśekhara, who has won a title Kaṇṭhīrava, and who can compose works in various languages. Sūtradhāra, who is wishing for a son, suggests the plot by a simile: Sikhaṇḍacandra marries the daughter of Caṇḍavega; he has a son from her; and he becomes a universal monarch.

The king reflects on his fortune and discloses certain details: The minister Dindīraka has been sent out to subdue Vibhāndaka of Sindhudurga who refused to pay the tribute; and he is expected to come back victorious. The king hopes that his anxiety for a son would soon disappear: the king of Angas has sent his daughter Anandasundarī to win his affection; fearing that the queen might know this, A.-sundarī has been dressed as a man, with a name Pingalaka, and given in charge of the chamberlain Mandāraka; and as foretold by an astrologer, she might have a son. Bards greet the king with morning prayers by describing the advancing day. Vidūṣaka has offended unwittingly another Brāhmaṇa Maṇdūraka; and the king settles the quarrel by a present to the latter. The king wishes to see a nāṭaka staging how Ānandasundarī was brought etc. composed by Pārijāta-kavi. Pingalaka and Mandāraka are also invited, and a garbha-nāṭaka is introduced. It depicts how

¹ It has to be seen whether this anonymous play can be the Navagrahacarita which, though put by Keith under Nāṭaka (The Sanskrit Drama, p. 345), is described by M. Krishnamachariar (History of Classical Sanskrit Literature, p. 248) as Saṭṭaka in Prākrit. It is necessary that Mss. of Vaikuṇṭhacarita and Navagrahacarita should be inspected and seen whether they are Saṭṭakas.

One complete Ms. containing Prākrit text and Bhatṭanātha's Sanskrit commentary belongs to the Government collection at the Bhandarkar Oriental R. Institute, Poona, No. 432 of 1899-1915; and another a transcript of a Ms. No. 683/4681 from The Tanjore Sarasvatī Mahāl Library, Tanjore. The latter is wanting in some portion at the beginning.

A.-sundarī was dressed as a man and brought to the harem. As the characters of the spectators are there in the garbha-nāṭaka, a good deal of fun is created by Vidūṣaka who often misunderstands the situation. The king broods over A.-sundarī's beauty while the play is going on. The time for midday meals is announced, and all get up for bath.

The king tells Vidūṣaka that Hemavatī divulged their secret to the queen with the result that Mandaraka has been chained and Anandasundari is confined in the ornament box by her. He reflects over the miserable lot which has befallen that beautiful girl on account of queen's jealousy. Vidūṣaka blesses him with good luck. Then to divert king's mind, there enters the poet Pārijāta, alias Kanthīrava, vaunting his poetic abilities. high-flown style, full of long compounds, subtle allusions and mythological references, he praises the metropolis Śrnkhalavati and its specialities, the palace Damaruka, and the king Sikhandacandra of great glory and his virtues. The king is highly pleased and is ready to give any present, even his kingdom, to the poet who declines the offer by saying that he already possesses the kavitāmahā-sāmrājya. To divert himself the king proposes to Vidūṣaka that they should describe the various limbs of the heroine A.-sundarī; and they do so by singing the lines of a verse alternatively. The king is suffering acute pangs of separation which are heightened by midday announcements of bards: he realizes that the real remedy is to win queen's favour.

III. The king feels happy now, because he has been able to win queen's favour. With sly interpellations Vidūṣaka wants to know how this could be achieved. The king narrates the details how he met her in the bed-room, how she was angry with him, how he lay prostrate at her feet like a servant, and how thus finally her heart was won over and she promised to celebrate his marriage with the heroine Ānandasundarī. The queen enters with the heroine and attendants, the former in wedding dress: the king must have an issue, so the marriage is approved by all. The queen bestows the heroine on the king, and the wedding ceremony is celebrated. The couple is blessed and greeted by all; and Vidūṣaka exchanges a few bitter jokes with maid-servants. The king, heroine, Vidūṣaka, etc. come to the Śṛṅgāra-vana where the heroine is made acquainted with various trees etc. The evening and rising moon are announced by bards; the king and heroine retire to the bed-chamber.

IV. Vidūṣaka finds the king anxious about heroine's worries whether king's love for her would remain firm, whether she would have a meritorious son, and whether she would deliver happily. The king has assured her duly. Vidūṣaka cuts a few jokes with him, and getting the necessary details he assures him that the period of pregnancy is full and that she would certainly deliver a son. The victorious minister Dindīraka arrives. A garbha-nāṭakā composed by Pārijāta-kavi is staged. It is shown how Dindīraka leads a fleet, how the demon Vibhandaka is overpowered and made to run away by a sudden terrific uproar, and thus the victory was won. The king is highly pleased with this triumph, and is almost ready to give his kingdom to the brave minister. at that moment the report of the birth of the prince is conveyed to the king. By eating a miraculous herb sent by her father Candavega, Anandasundari is quite hale and hearty. The queen enters accompanied by heroine with the child and attendants, and congratulates the king on the birth of a prince. The queen names the prince Ananda-candra and puts him on king's lap. Bards greet the king; and the play ends with a significant Bharata-vākya.

Ghanasyāma almost vies with Rājasekhara in composing a Sattaka; it must be said to his credit that he has a sufficiently independent plot; and excepting a few echoes of expression, he borrows very little from the Karpūramanjari. The introduction of two garbha-nāṭakas is a peculiarity of the A.-sundarī, especially because they represent episodes which are a part and parcel of the theme of the play itself. It appears from a casual remark of Vidūşaka that Ghanasyāma believed that a Saṭṭaka without a garbha-nāṭaka is positively faulty (apahāsa-bhājana). Ghanaśyāma is more a Sanskrit poet; and some of his forms and expressions are so artificial in Prakrit that at times his verses become intelligible only after they are rendered into Sanskrit. That that later authors lacked close touch with the genuine style of early Prākrit works and still finished their compositions mainly by studying Prākrit grammars. In this respect Viśveśvara's expressions are more natural in Prākrit than those of Ghanasyāma. and other authors do use certain Desī words. In a way the same tendency is carried to its logical extreme when Ghanasyāma freely and studiously uses a number of Marāṭhī nouns and roots: some of his usages are current even in present-day Marāthī. To a great extent the interest of the reader or spectator of this play is sustained by the

light humour with which many of the conversations and remarks, especially of Vidūṣaka, are replete. The author creates humour by words of double meaning, stray pricky remarks, caricature of holy things, exaggeration, innocent tricks and slips, sly jokes, somewhat vulgar references, outspoken frankness and by light remarks on serious occasions. Ghanaśyāma is well-known for his pride and show of learning; and it is not surprising that the poet Pārijāta, alias Kaṇṭhīrava, is only his own replica. The entire play in four Javanikāntaras is in Prākrit: Sūtradhāra, however, once quotes a Sanskrit verse which brought to Ghanaśyāma the title Kaṇṭhīrava, and a second time repeats the request of the Association in Sanskrit. The king also speaks in Prākrit; only once in the fourth Yavanikāntara, he sings a verse in Sanskrit (Saṃskrtam āsritya). This sporadic use, I think, has nothing to do with the view of some theorists that the king is to speak in Sanskrit.

g) candralekhā: a sattaka

It is but inevitable that a late author like Rudradasa has in view the earlier definitions1 of Nātikā and Sattaka, and that his Candralekhā is influenced by the existing models of the same. The characters of Mānaveda, Devī and Candralekhā are of the time-honoured mould and quite suited for a Sattaka. The principal sentiment in the Candralekhā is erotic or srigāra presented in its various aspects and through suitable styles or vitti. The sentiment, as marvellous stated Sāhityadarpana. in the supplied in this play by introducing the jewel Cintamani. Other sentiments like raudra, vīra, bhayānaka and bībhatsa are absent. We have a Sthāpanā at the beginning with Sūtradhāra and Pāripārśvaka. There are no Praveśaka and Viskambhaka, and instead of Anka we have Yavanikāntara. All the characters have their speeches and verses in Prākrit. It is only the names of characters and stage-directions that are in Sanskrit. It is natural that they should be in the same language in which the characters speak: this rule was observed by Aśvaghosa; but after him the practice appears to have fallen into disuse and replaced by the convention of using Sanskrit for them. None of the Sattakas has reverted to the practice seen in Aśvaghosa's plays. The adjective bamdhuramgo perhaps indicates that Rudradasa has no objection for introducing Samdhyangas which are prohibited by Śāradātanaya. Thus the

¹ See pp. 21-3 above.

² Keith: The Sanskrit Drama, p. 86.

Candralekhā fulfils the essentials of a Saṭṭaka; and most of the motifs on which its plot is built are found in the Karpūra-mañjarī as well as in some Nāṭikās.

v) Karpūramanjarī and Candralekhā

Reading side by side the two Saṭṭakas, Karpūra-mañjarī and Candralekhā, one is struck by the remarkable similarity between them. Some of the scenes and their sequence in Cl. closely resemble, if not imitate, the parallel scenes in corresponding places in Km; and a few instances may be added here. I. The Prastavana of Km. and Sthāpanā of Cl.; the king, queen and Vidūsaka offer descriptions with the seasonal back-ground of spring; the heralds greet the king; Jester quarrels with a maid-servant; a beautiful heroine is described; Bards announce evening; and the characters retire from the stage. II. The attendant tries to divert king's mind; the king broods over heroine's beauty; in Km. the king sees the heroine on the swing and in Cl. at the singing entertainment; both king and Vidüşaka sing alternative lines of a verse and describe the maiden with fanciful imagery.1 III. The king and heroine meet in a park; the rising moon is described; and the meeting is dispersed by the queen's arrival. IV. The heroine is put into a prison by the queen and guarded by a battalion of maid-servants.

Then there are many ideas and expressions common to both; and the prologue at the opening is a good illustration: therein Isvara and Pārvatī, quarrelling in love, are referred to; Saṭṭaka is defined; the author introduces himself, informs the audience at whose instance the play is staged, and gives the gist of the plot; and in all this even some sentences are identically worded. A few common ideas may be noted here. The Caitra breezes touch the Cola girls, and they are enjoyed by cobras on their way (Km. I. 15, 20 & Cl. I. 23-4); Viḍūṣaka claims hereditary learning (Km. I. 18. 1 & Cl. I. 26. 2); the entrance of a heroine with moon-face (Km. I. 25. 6 etc. & Cl. I. 30, 31); musk cannot be sold in a village (Km. I. 18. 18 & Cl. II. 9. 26); Jester has a truce with the maid-servant (Km. II. 6. 14-15 & Cl. II. 10. 15); the king requests the heroine not to stand up lest there might be physical pain to her (Km. III. 21 & Cl. III. 12. 11-12); the king with horripilations resembles a Kadamba plant

¹ Konow puts both the lines of a verse in the mouth of Vidūṣaka. He has recorded some MSS. which put one line in the mouth of the king and the other in that of Vidūṣaka. Rudradāsa appears to have had before him such MSS. of Km.

(Km. III. 24 & Cl. III. 13); the appearance of earth at moon-rise (Km. III. 25 & Cl. III. 15); the achievement of the king in this play (Km. IV. 23 & Cl. IV. 29); etc. There are also a few common or nearly common expressions, besides those in the Prologue, between the two works: see for instance, Km. I. 19. 7 & Cl. I. 26. 13; Km. I. 36. 1 & Cl. I. 47.7; Km. II. 1.3 (note the variants) & Cl. II. 1.4; Km. III. 24. 2 & Cl. III. 14. 2; Km. III. 26. 1-2 & Cl. III. 16. 2-5; Km. IV. 9. 2 & Cl. IV. 26. 1; etc. Some verses have a similar ring, at times with certain common words; and some of them have the same metre in corresponding contexts: for instance, Km. II. 10-11 & Cl. II. 14-15; Km. II. 32 & Cl. II. 23; Km. III. 29 & Cl. III. 21; etc. and Km. I. 1-2 & Cl. I. 1-2; Km. I. 17 & Cl. 24; etc. Even some of the stage-directions are nearly the same at similar contexts: see for instance, Km. I. 25. 10-12 (see the variants) & Cl. I. 27. 16-17; Km. III. 20. 24-25 & Cl. III. 12. 9-10; etc. In giving the list of maid-servants (Km. IV. 9.8 etc. & Cl. IV. 26.7 etc.) Rudradāsa, somehow setting aside his sense of moderation. mechanically follows the model of Rajasekhara. The opening words bhaddam hou or deu, with some symbolic auspiciousness, are common to both; and it is not unlikely that the title Candralekhā, which in the case of Nāṭikā and Saṭṭaka should be the same as the name of the heroine, has suggested itself to Rudradasa from the title of the Mṛgāṅkalekhā Kathā of Aparājita to which Rājaśekhara has made reference in his prologue. Some of the motifs and situations are common; in a way they are found, with or without minor changes, in most of the Nāṭikās and Saṭṭakas. The king becomes a Cakravartin after marrying a princess who is brought to his palace through some miraculous means; he falls in love with her, and she also loves him; the fever of love increases on both the sides; she sends a love letter to him; he sees her and also meets her; the suspicious queen watches her movements and finally imprisons her; at last the queen becomes favourable; and the wedding ceremony is celebrated.

There are certain aspects of Km. which have not got their counterparts in Cl.: the round-about abuses exchanged by the Jester and Cetī; King's dream and Vidūṣaka's counter-dream; and the discussion about the philosophy of love between the king and Jester. Whatever is ostentatious, excessive and extreme in Km. is often toned down and presented in a sober form in Cl. The vaunting Bhairavānanda with his licentious creed is replaced by the jewel

Cintāmaṇi presided over by a patronising and benevolent deity; the swing and Aśoka scenes have their purpose served by a singing entertainment. Rājaśekhara, as a young court-poet and having his play staged at the instance of his cultured wife Avantisundarī, shows a youthful buoyancy in depicting the amorous sentiment; his descriptions of womanly beauty are frank, and pining plaints of separated lovers are outspoken. Rudradāsa, however, shows a great deal of self-restraint without sacrificing the flow of sentiment: he fully describes his heroine, but she is not half-naked, brought before the king in her bathing dress; he gives fewer opportunities for the king to see and describe the heroine. In fine his amorous sentiment is characterised by a subdued spirit and presented with moderation.

Rudradāsa has his innovations and improvements. episode of the Sārikā bird, which is placed in the throat of a statue put in the drawing-room of the king and which overhears king's talk to divulge it to the jealous queen, is not found in Km.; but it is well used in Cl. to heighten queen's anger. A similar motif is employed by Rajasekhara in his Balaramayana (V. 6). The scene of various feudatories paying respects to the king, introduced in Cl., has nothing dramatic about it; but possibly it is intended to tickle the vanity of Mānaveda who is not an imaginary hero but a ruler contemporary with the author. In Km., the heroine gives all her personal details to the queen, and their relation is clear almost from the beginning: this has forced Rājaśekhara, through the mouth of Bhairavānanda, to give non-factual or imaginary names of the parents of Ghanasāramanjarī which is only another name of Karpūramanjarī whose parents are already once mentioned, and also to introduce that hide-and-seek scene so hard to manage on a simple stage. Rudradāsa has successfully improved on this. The heroine, when she comes first, is recognised only as noble-born; and her real identity and relation with the queen are disclosed almost at the end. In a way all this can be managed better on the stage.

Rudradāsa makes no reference whatsoever either to Rāja-śekhara or to his Karpūra-mañjarī as for instance Nayacandra has done. The above observations make it abundantly clear that Cl. is primarily based on Km. and inherits many of its details. But the Candralekhā, it has to be admitted, distinguishes itself mainly on account of its author's individuality which is that of a rigorously trained poet who is endowed with a sober mind, pious temperament amateur skill, uncreative but orderly genius and subdued zeal.

vi) CANDRALEKHA, AS A LITERARY PIECE

When the spring season is bathing the earth with beauty, the miraculous jewel Cintāmaņi brings to the palace of king Mānaveda a lovely girl, of noble descent and winning appearance. The king falls in love with her, and she also feels attraction for him. The queen is suspicious and guards her movements. The king suffers pangs of separation, and his mind is being diverted by Viduşaka and attendants. Once he gets an opportunity to see and hear the heroine singing in the Ruby park. Along with the passion of lovers, the jealousy of the queen increases. The lovers meet each other in the Plantain arbour. The queen now keeps the heroine in chains. The lovely heroine, it is disclosed, is Candralekhā, the cousin sister of the queen; and she was already betrothed to Manaveda whom she would make a universal monarch. The queen consents to the marriage, and Mānaveda is wedded to Candralekhā. This is the outline of all that we get in the Candralekhā by way of plot. story is neither engrossing, nor are the threads of the plot complicated. This is all quite in keeping with the spirit of most of our dramas; and the subject-matter is just of the pattern dictated by theory for a Nāṭikā, and consequently for a Saṭṭaka. In working out the development of the intrigue and some of the details of the plot (for instance, the Sārikā episode, Vidūṣaka talking in dream, keeping the heroine unrecognised almost upto the end, etc.) Rudradāsa shows some cleverness. The characters are of timehonoured mould; their words, movements and acts have nothing extraordinary about them that they might get individualised and capture the attention of readers or spectators: in short there is no attempt at characterisation. Due to want of action, the Candralekhā is more a dramatic poem with a set of florid and poetic extracts than a play; it deserves to be read, nay studied, rather than witnessed; and its author stands before our eyes more prominently as a poet.

Rudradāsa has created many an opportunity for descriptions in which he could exhibit his poetic ability: the king Mānaveda, his metropolis, the spring scenes in the park etc., physical charms of a maiden, the condition of separated lovers, the singing entertainment, the evening, moon-rise, the feudatory kings etc. He takes peculiar pleasure in presenting long metres and prose passages loaded with lengthy compounds. These long speeches rumbling with compound expressions would certainly torture an audience.

What could have been gracefully acted in sharp conversation is described there at length. Racy conversation with pithy sentences pushing on the plot in a brisk manner is a thing perhaps unknown to our author. Even the Jester's quarrel with the maid servant has nothing particularly light and humorous about it. The pining plaints and the passionate outbursts of the king in the presence of Jester etc. afford nice occasions for the poet to introduce lyrical stanzas describing beauties of nature, graces of women and caprices of cupid. Rudradāsa's depiction of love or amorous sentiment lacks buoyancy and is presented under much restraint.

Apart from the artificiality of his Prākrit dialect, Rudradāsa's style is forceful but heavy. His descriptions present a flurry of high sounding words; and there is little of originality and subtle touch in the imagery projected by him. Some of his ideas in the description of moon-light and heroine's graces have an air of novelty, but it is often obscured by heavy and elaborate expression. His rushing composition often reminds us of the style of Bhavabhūti and Rājaśekhara; the latter, of course, is his immediate model. Though he does not possess much case of Prākrit expression, he can nearly rank with Rājaśekhara in his love for long metres and his mastery over Prākrit versification. Some of his gāthā varieties are quite happy in their sound and sense; and here and there, though to a less extent when compared with Rājaśekhara, he shows some inclination for sound effect and alliteration.

This play, as the author tells us, was composed for the pandita-mandala, the Learned Circle, in the court of Mānaveda. Rudradāsa thus sought the approval of men of learning who were intent on discerning poetic merits and beauties in his play. He has strained every nerve to give elaborate descriptions, highly decked prose passages and high sounding verses; it is a fine exercise in cultivated style through literary Prākrit; but the result has fallen short of what a drama should really be.

vii) Prākrit in the Candralekhā

CAMDALEHĀ

58

he was acquainted with, and what incidental influences on his language have been there from contemporary regional languages, from the author's mother-tongue and from his specialised knowledge etc. A post-medieval Jaina author from Gujarāt and round about writing in Prākrit shows sufficient mastery over Hemacandra's Prākrit His language shows that he is acquainted with Ardhamāgadhī canon, post-canonical treatises and Prākrit (or, as we call it to-day, Jaina Māhārāṣṭrī) works of authors like Haribhadra. The Sanskrit language in which he is normally adept, the popular Apabhrmsa poetry with its various peculiarities, the vernacular idioms and words, and the Jaina technical terms-all these, in some degree or the other, leave their stamp on his language and style. Many post-medieval Prākrit authors from the North not only show, like earlier authors, traces of popular Apabhramsa in their language but also add, without any hesitation, their songs and specific descriptions in Apabhramsa almost in continuation of their Prākrit composition. For us, from a distance, this is rather strange; but for them, and really speaking, composition in Prākrit and Apābhramśa, side by side, was but natural and inevitable in the North in post-medieval times.

The South Indian authors like Līlāśuka, Śrīkantha, Rudradāsa and Rāma Pāṇivāda, who come from the extreme South, have contributed their mite to Prākrit literature under great handicaps which we may try to appreciate. They belong to the decadent period of Prākrit literature; Prākrit had no attraction for them as the language of their religious scriptures; they lived in a part of the country where the current languages were of Dravidian stock and unconnected with any stage of Prākrit; and their acquaintance with the Indo-Āryan languages was mainly through the study of classical Sanskrit grammar.

In the light of the above remarks, a study of Candralekhā shows certain facts. Rudradāsa is following the Prākrit grammar of Vararuci, the first nine chapters, as available in southern MSS. About the Prākrit literature which he had studied there is very little direct evidence in his style and language. He does not appear to have studied any Prākrit and Apabhramśa works of Jaina authors from the North: their influence is not seen at all. The Karpūramanjarī of Rājaśekhara, as preserved in South Indian MSS., was there before him; and he has closely followed it, even reproduced some sentences from it. It is quite likely that he studied Prākrit

portions of plays, gāthās from Hāla's Kośa and poems like Rāvaņavaho. His strength as a Prākrit poet is mainly based on his thorough grounding in Sanskrit language and literature, his ability to corrupt Sanskrit words into Prākrit, directly or analogically, by applying the rules of Vararuci's grammar, and lastly, on his facility of handling various metrical forms including the varietes of gāthā. It is but natural that the Prākrit of Candralekhā sounds artificial, much different from the lively expression in genuine Prākrit literature.

Minor dialectal differences apart, the classical Prākrit stage, as distinguished from Sanskrit, characterises itself by certain phonetic peculiarities: the loss of vowel sounds r, \bar{r} , ai and au; the reduction of three sibilants to one; the final syllable to be necessarily a vowel, at times with $anusv\bar{a}ra$ or nasalisation; simplification of conjunct groups through processes like assimilation; and the law of quantity which 'brings about shortening of long vowels before double consonants, reduction of several consonants to two and sometimes loss of one of the two consonants after an original long vowel or after a vowel which was originally short and has only been lengthened at the same time'.

Speaking about its phonetic aspect, with Sanskrit at one end and the rise of Modern Indian languages at the other, the intervening stage of Prākrit in its wide sense or Middle Indo-Aryan can be split into various consecutive sub-stages:2 Old or Early Middle Indo-Aryan (Early Prākrit Stage), Transitional Middle Indo-Aryan, Second Middle Indo-Aryan (Prākrit Proper), and Third or Late Middle Indo-Aryan (Apabhramsa). The first stage keeps the intervocal single stops intact; during the transitional period they get voiced or spirantised; and in the Prākrit Proper they drop off from the speech. Apabhramsa presupposes almost entirely the Prākritic vocabulary; and then it is an attempt at the grammatical approximation to the language of the people. These sub-stages can be roughly illustrated by various Prākrit dialects: Inscriptional Prākrit, Pāli, Paiśācī; Śaurasenī, Māgadhī; Māhārāṣṭrī; and Apabhramsa. This marking of sub-stages is true so far as theoretical evolution in concerned; but once these stages are given a

¹ H. Jacobi: Ansgewählte Erzälungen in Māhārāṣṭrī, Intro., p. XII, Leipzig 1886.

² S. K. Chatterji: Indo-Aryan and Hindī, p. 84, Ahmedabad 1942.

literary status and used in literature, they may be cultivated as literary languages side by side and even with cross influences and regional colouring. The chronological sequence can no more be maintained. According to Dr. Chatterji: "This spirant pronunciation appears to have been in force for the entire Aryan speech-area during a century or two both before and after Christ—roughly, from 200 B. C. to 200 A. D. Orthography in inscriptions, and hesitancy about intervocal stops are an indication of this spirant pronunciation: also some evidence is obtained from the employment of the Indian alphabet for an extra-Indian language like Old Khotanese, which possessed these spirants. The Indians did not care to invent new signs for these new sounds."

Turning to the evidence supplied by literature, that phase of Prākrit or Middle Indo-Aryan, which shows the general tendency of dropping intervocalic stops, appears to have come into a literary prominence and was used in an artistic style sometime in the first two centuries of the Christian era. It had its blooming expression through its favourite gatha metre; and the collection of seven centuries of gathas attributed to Hala does presuppose a large body of lyrical songs. In general these gathas are elastic in sound, natural in expression and fresh in outlook. A continued literary cultivation was bound to give such a language an artificial appearance. Perhaps it was Mahārāṣṭra, with its area round about Pratiṣṭhāna and under the Andhrabhrtyas, that was the original cradle of this dialect; but soon it gained ground and popularity, as a literary language, elsewhere too. The phonology of Ardhamagadhi of the Jaina canon, which was rearranged at the Valabhi council in 453 A. D., came under its influence. The literary Prākrit cultivated by Jaina authors does possess elements of earlier stage as seen the Vasudevahindī; but even that (which we call Jaina Māhārāṣṭrī), in the works of Haribhadra etc., began to approach very near the dialect of lyrical gathas. Even learned Sanskrit writers felt attraction for it. A highly trained poet like Pravarasena, who possessed a remarkable mastery over Sanskrit vocabulary and metres, chose this Prākrit dialect for a learned kāvya, and we have his Setubandha today. Dandin (earlier than 700 A.D.) compliments it as being written in the best Prākrit current in Mahārāṣṭra. This Prākrit has been called by the name Māhārāṣṭrī. The artistic poems like the Gaudavaho, Līlāvatī etc. in gāthā metre, are also written in the same. Its popularity can be guaged by

some more facts.: Prākrit grammarians give it an elaborate treatment, and accepting it as a standard, note the deviations from it in the case of other dialects; rhetoricians quote plenty of verses in this dialect; and many more Kāvyas, than those available today, were written in it. Delineating its back-ground, Jacobi¹ has suggested its influence even on Sanskrit poetry: "It was probably through its contact with a popular poetical art, the one in Māhārāṣṭrī, that the Sanskrit poetry of the 'Renaissance' also gained those qualities of freshness and naturalness which are praised in the case of Vaidarbhī." The Prākrit metre Gāthā appears as Āryā in Sanskrit; and Somadeva in his Yaśastilaka and Jayadeva in his Gītagovinda imitated some popular Apabhramśa metres.

According to early dramatic theory, to a very great extent confirmed by the Prākrit speeches in plays, Saurasenī was put in the mouth of ladies etc. Saurasenī is mentioned by Bharata (c. 3rd century A. D.) who does not mention the name of Māhārāṣṭrī. The dramatic tradition of Bharata gives a place of recognition to Saurasenī. Phonetically it belongs to the transitional stage in the evolution of Middle Indo-Aryan; but with the gradual popularity of Māhārāṣṭrī, it was sure to be affected by it as a literary language. Jacobi has already detected a Pre-classical Prākrit, which was used in place of Māhārāṣṭrī in early days, in the Dhruvas of the Nātyaśāstra. In some respects it is akin to Śauraseni, a name already given to it by Bharata himself. It possesses many a trait of transitional character. The dialect of the sūtras of Dhavalā etc., the Prākrit prose commentaries therein, and of the Pro-canonical texts of Digambaras4 is more akin to Śaurasenī than to classical Māhārāṣṭrī. Any way Śaurasenī, possibly a literary language based on the popular speech of Śūrasena country, has to be accepted as an eminent Prakrit of the plays.

The Indian dramas, almost from the beginning, contain both

¹ Ausgewählte Erzälungen in Māhārāṣṭrī, 1ntro. p. XVII.

² See Jacobi's discussion in his Essay on the Younger Literary Präkrit, Bhavisattakahā (München 1918), Intro. pp. 81-89.

³ M. Ghosh: Prākṛta Verses of the Bharata-Nāṭya-śāstra, section 4, Indian H. Q., vol. VIII, 1932; The Date of the Bharata-Nāṭyaśāstra, p. 29, Journal of the Department of Letters, vol XXV, Calcutta 1934.

⁴ A. N. Upadhye: Pravacanasāra (Bombay 1935) Intro. p. 124 f.

Sanskrit and Prākrit, and are written in prose and verse. The dialect of the Prākrit prose can be Saurasenī, or Māgadhī or something approximating to it according to conventions which had at their basis actual facts in the society in earlier times. In early days the songs too must have been in Saurasenī like the Dhruvas of the Nāṭyaśāstra. But with the rise of lyrical gāthās in Māhārāṣṭrī, the preeminent Prākrit of poesy, the plays were sure to admit some of them for the entertainment of the audience. Though they are absent in the plays of Bhāsa, their traces appear in the Mrcchakațikam and Śākuntalam. The Prākrit language of the plays in general and of the verses in particular was bound to be influenced by Māhārāṣṭrī tendencies. It is in this back-ground that we have to understand the remark of Viśvanātha in his Sāhityadarpana (VI. 159): 'that women not of lowly origin should speak Sauraseni in drama, but in their songs they should use Māhārāṣṭrī'. The verses used in plays could not escape the influence of that elastic dialect so happily perpetuated in the gathas of Hala etc.

Saurasenī and Māhāraṣṭrī might have had their basis in popular speeches in two different regions; but it is almost beyond detection now. Once they became literary languages and were imitated in literature, all the while evolving side by side, mutual contamination, especially in the plays, was inevitable. When grammarians use these terms, we must try to grasp their limitations. The grammatical tradition they themselves followed and the literature which they had in view give a specific significance to all that they add about them. Though it is true, to a certain degree, that they have a basic common tradition about Prākrit grammar, almost all our Prākrit grammars are partial attempts: their sphere of influence is often limited and the literature which they have taken into account is meagre. Naturally we face a complicated situation today in distinguishing Saurasenī and Māhārāṣṭrī as described by different Prākrit grammarians. In the plays some influence is weilded by Sanskrit also on the Prākrit passages, because the passages in both of them are preserved side by side. Pischel, it is true, has attempted a comprehensive Prākrit grammar² which is admirable; but by rigorously applying his standards to earlier texts we are faced with grave difficulties. A good illustration to the point

¹ See the discussion above pp. 24-27.

² Grammatik der Präkrit Sprachen, Strassburg 1900.

is seen in Pischel's 2nd edition of Śākuntala brought out by Cappaller.1 Without important new Ms. material, dialectal changes in Prākrit passages were effected in view of grammatical demands. With a zeal for thoroughness and uniformity Konow had to correct many forms, even against the readings of all Mss., in order to make the prose thoroughly Saurasenī and verses thoroughly Māhārāṣṭrī in the Karpūra-manjarī. If the best Mss. do not sanction a form, the editor, I think, has no right to restore it, simply because he holds a certain grammatical discipline as his standard. In his edition of Karpūra-manjarī Konow has put all the verses in Māhārāṣṭrī and prose in Saurasenī, following the definitions of these dialects mainly as given by Pischel. But in this edition of Candralekhā, as explained above (p. 5 f.), I have been faithful to the Ms. I believe, neither the standard of Hemacandra nor that of Pischel can be rigorously applied to the Candralekhā. It is true, in a general way, that the verses here show some tendency to use the verbal terminations -i, -u rather than -di, -du; but not that they do not at all use the latter in verses and the former in prose. Rudradāsa writes both his verses and prose in the same language; the terms Sauraseni and Māhārāṣtrī as defined by Hemacandra, Mārkaņdeya or Pischel need not be applied to it; it should be called Prākṛta as the author calls it; it is nearly the same as the one described in the grammar of Vararuci, current in the south; and it is much influenced by the expressions of the Karpūra-manjari.

viii) METRES IN THE CANDRALEKHA

The Candralekhā contains (41+34+24+30=) 129 verses, in different metres, distributed over four Yavanikāntaras. They are arranged below according to Devanāgarī alphabets, with necessary references to Yava. and verse-number.

Aryā (2): II. 32, III. 22.

Udgīti (1): II. 26.

Upagīti (1): I. 11.

Giti (27): I. 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 25, 26, 31, 32, 33 and 37, II. 6, 11, 12, 17, 18, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 33, III. 1 and 7.

Dodhaka (1): III. 21.

Pușpitāgrā (3): I. 2, III. 2 and 13.

¹ Kālidāsa's Śākuntala, Harvard Oriental Series, 16, Harvard University Press 1922, Preface p. 15 f., and also p. 250 etc.

Prthvi (10): I. 17, 21 and 30, II. 23, III. 17 and 18, IV. 7, 25, 26 and 30.

Mandākrāntā (2): II. 8 and 13.

Mālinī (4): II. 5 and 9, III. 4 and 5.

Rathoddhatā (2): I. 18, III. 23.

Vasantatilaka (16): I. 5, 13, 16, 35, 36 and 40, II. 16, III. 3 and 6, IV. 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 and 22.

Sārdūlavikrīdita (26): I. 1, 3, 6, 14, 20, 22, 23, 24, 28, 34 and 38, II. 1, 7, 10 and 21, III. 8, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16 and 20, IV. 1, 2, 5 and 17.

Śikharinī (3): I. 4 and 41, II. 15.

Sragdharā (27): I. 15, 19, 27 and 39, II. 2, 3, 4, 14 and 34, III. 9, 10, 19 and 24, IV. 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 15, 19, 21, 23, 24, 27, 28 and 29. Harinī (2): II. 19, 20.

It is in the fitness of things that our author has included many Gītis etc. which are the verieties of \overline{A} ryā, the same as Gāthā, the preeminent Prākrit metre. One Gīti is short by two mātrās in the 3rd pāda (II. 12). There are some cases of the violation of yati, for instance, I. 19 (4th line), I. 38 (3rd line), II. 5 (4th line), II. 8 (4th line), III. 4 (1st line), III. 19 (2nd line), III. 20 (4th line), IV. 1 (1st line), IV. 9 (first three lines), 11 (4th line) and 13 (3rd line).

4. RUDRADĀSA: THE AUTHOR

The information which the author of Candralekhā gives about himself in the Sthāpanā (I. 5. 4 etc.) of the play is quite meagre. His name is Rudradāsa; he belonged to the Pāraśava community (vamsa) the members of which took pride in their devotion to the feet of Brāhmaṇas, were far famed, and diverted themselves by literary compositions; and he was a disciple (sisya) of Rudra and Śrīkaṇṭha who were eminent in giving instructions.

We may try to shed some light on the above points from other sources. According to the Manusmṛti (IX. 178), Pāraśava is an issue of a Brāhmaṇa from a Śūdra lady. Yājñavalkya says that she is a wife by marriage. Such a son, though living, is as good as dead, i. e. he does not possess all the rights and privileges of a son; and hence his name pāraśava (pārayann eva śavaḥ). Kullūka allows śrāddha etc. for him. The Pāraśavas have a pretty

high antiquity.1 "An inscription from Bengal, belonging to the seventh century, describes a certain individual as 'pāraśava' and makes him the issue of a Brahmin father and a Śūdra mother, which is in conformity with rules of the sacred laws. Bana, in his Harshacharita, also tells us that his father, a Brahmin, had a Sudra wife besides a Brahmin one, and describes her issue as his 'pāraśava brothers'." In the Kerala province Pāraśavas are known as Vāriyaras (also spelt, Warrier) who form a subsection of the group of Ampalavasis (literally, temple-residents) whose hereditary occupation is temple service. The duties of the Variyaras are to sweep the temple premises, cleanse the templeutensils, collect flowers and make garlands. They hold a rank below the Ksatriyas in the social hierarchy of Kerala. They are believed to have had their origin in the union of Nampūtiri (Kerala) Brāhmaṇas with Nāyar women; and like the Nāyars they follow the matrimonial system of inheritance. The text Candralekhā (I. 5. 4 etc.) makes a reference to their menial service in the temple, and perhaps hints that they were not duly respected in the society. Our author, however, would not like them to be condemned, because they render service to Brāhmaņas, they are devoted to literary pursuit, and among them has been born Rudra, of pure character (suddha-vutta-suhao). Pāraśavas are renowned for Sanskrit scholarship in Kerala from very early times.

There is no doubt that Rudradāsa had worthy teachers in Rudra and Srīkaṇṭha, the former definitely and the latter probably of the Pāraśava community. Considering their association with Zamorins of Calicut, it appears that this Rudra is the same as the author of the commentary Bhaktapriyā on the Nārāyaṇīyam (A. D. 1585) of Nārāyaṇa Bhaṭṭatiri (1560-1646 A. D.). Further this Śrīkaṇṭha, it is likely, is the same as the author of the Prākrit Kāvya, the Soricaritta, in which he mentions Rudra as his fellow-student.

Rudradāsa, like his teachers, enjoyed the patronage of the Zamorins of Calicut; and Mānaveda, whose marriage is celebrated in the Candralekhā, was his contemporary Zamorin. The Zamorins of Calicut were not only great warriors, but they were also great

¹ G. S. Ghurye: Caste and Race in India, London 1932, p. 91.

² M. Krishnamachariar: History of Classical Sanskrit Literature, p. 254.

³ See my paper 'The Soricaritta: A Prākrit Kāvya', Journal of the University of Bombay, XII, ii, pp. 47-62. 9 ਚੰਡੇ

patrons of poets and philosophers. Every member of the royal family, prince and princess alike, took keen interest in Sanskrit learning; and some of them hold a high place in the history of Sanskrit literature in Kerala. Every year they convened a grand assembly of the learned, and extended patronage to scholars of outstanding talent and ability. Their generosity bestowed gifts not only on Brāhmaṇas but also on Vāriyars or Pāraśavas. fact the hereditary tutor of the family was the Vāriyar Desamangalam. It is on account of his commentary on the Nārāyaṇīyam that Rudra became a special favourite of the Zamorin Mānaveda (A. D. 1655-58), the author of Kṛṣṇa-nāṭaka. Mānaveda I showed great regard for Rudra by putting in his charge the education of his nephew and successor, Manaveda II, known as Aśvati Tirunāl from the asterism under which he was born. Mānaveda II came to the throne in A. D. 1658 and ruled for four years. He was a man of learning and a lover of the learned. In his court flourished the east coast poet generally called Cidambarakavi who extolled the glories of his patron in his play Lakṣmī-Mānavedam. In all probability it is Mānaveda II who was the patron of our author Rudradasa and who figures as the hero in the Candralekhā. Thus possibly Rudradāsa wrote the Candralekhā some time about 1660 A.D. No other work of his has come to light so far.

रुद्दास - विरइआ

चं द ले हा

[I]

¹⁾भइं देउ गआणणो भगवई भासा मुहे भाउ सा भूदेवा कुसलं कुणंतु कैइणो सब्वे पसीदंतु णो । आणंदाउल-माणसा सहिअआ सज्जंतु णिम्मच्छरं अच्छेसुं परिकीलिउं रस-सुहा-सोन्नेसु सुन्तेसु णो ॥ १॥

(नान्द्यन्ते, ततः प्रविश्वति सूत्रधारः ।)

सूत्रधारः 🗕

11)पणमह सुँइ-राअ-हंसएसुं हर-णअणेसु मुहंबुजसुएसुं। पञ-कमल-पहाविञं उमाए पढम-णइस्मि अवंग-भिंग-मालं॥ २॥

अवि अ।

देवे चंद-सिर्हामणिम्मि पणए सलोअ-कलोलिणि चूडा-मंडणै-लालिअं णिअ-पहा-रत्तंसुअग्गेण जे । सिग्धं छादिअ होंति पेम्म-कलह-च्छेदे दुवे दक्खिणा पाआ पैत्रअ-कण्णआएं पडरं लिच्छ पैअच्छंतु वो॥३॥

अवि अ।

1 K opens thus: श्री: चन्द्रलेखासट्टकम् ॥. 2 Kh भअवई. 3 K कुलगो. 4 K सुत्तंसु. 5 K सुल-6 K पठमणइहिअपाङ्गिकिमालम्. 7 K सिखाम. 8 Kh मण्डल-. 9 Kh गिरिकण्णआअ. 10 K पअच्छेह्, but Kh पअच्छन्तु.

ै[संस्कृतच्छाया]

I) भद्रं ददातु गजाननो भगवती भाषा मुखे भातु सा, भूदेवाः कुश्रांठ कुवेन्तु कवयः सर्वे प्रसीदन्तु ते* । आनन्दाकुलमानसाः सहृदयाः सज्जन्तु निर्मत्सरं, अच्छेषु परि-क्षीडितुं रससुधास्रोतस्सु सूक्तेषु नः ॥ १ ॥ II) प्रणमत श्रुचिराजहंसकेषु, हॅरनयनेषु मुखाम्बुजोत्सुकेषु । पद्कमलप्रधावितामुमायाः, प्रथमनतावपाङ्गभृङ्गमालाम् ॥ २ ॥ अपि च । देवे चन्द्रशिखामणौ प्रणते खलौककल्लोलिनीं, चूडामण्डर्नलालितां निजप्रभारकां-शुकाग्रेण यौ। शीवं छादयित्वा भवतः प्रेमकलहच्छेदे द्वौ दक्षिणौ, पौदौ पर्वतकन्यकायाः

१ M has the opening title मानवेदचरितम्. २ M *भूदेवः कुशलं करोतु *. ३ M से, but corrected as ते. ४ M निर्मत्तरा. ५ M सुक्ष्मेषु. ६ M सुन्विराय हंस . ७ M हरवैदनेषु. ८ KM मण्डलला . ९ M येन. १० K भगवतः. ११ M पादौ तौ गिरिकैंन्यकायाः.

दर-म्हेरे थेरे' गहिअ-बहुमाणे महुमहे सुणासीरे धीरे सुर-अण-मुहे पत्त-वडणे। घणाणंदे खेंदे पणइ-समए भूद-पडणा खणं दिण्णे पुण्णे पणमह कैंडक्खे बहुविहे॥ ४॥

(नेपथ्ये कर्ण दस्वाँ)

कहं आढतो एच सण्णहिज्ञंत-मुरव-रवम्मंसलो कंसत्ताल-स्राण-जणिअ-झणझणाराव-पिच्चरो ओअरण-प्पअट्ट-णट्टई-करामु-चंत-मणि-कंकण-झंकरण-संकुलो पत्त-विसेस-विणिउज्ञंत-सज्ञंत-कुसल-कुसीलव-कलकल-बहलो णट्टण-कोलाहलो। ता पुच्छिरसं दाव कि णट्टिदच्चं ति। (परिक्रम्य नेपथ्याभिमुखमवलोक्यं) मारिस, इदो दाव। (प्रविद्य पारिपार्थकः)

पारिपार्श्वकः - 1)आणवेदु भावो ।

, स्त्रधारः -^{II)}कस्स णदृणं आढण्पइ तुम्हेहिं।

पारिपार्श्वकः - मा सहुओ णहिद्वो ।

स्त्रधारः -(सहर्षम् $)^{\mathrm{IV}}$ अअं $^{\circ}$ अवसरो अम्हाणं पओअ-विण्णाणं दं- $^{\mathrm{1}}$ सिदुं । णिहसो $^{'}$ खु सट्टओ णट्टआणं कईणं च विअष्टदाएँ । (विचिन्त्य)

¹ K दर्न्येरे न्यरे. 2 K कन्दे पग्द, but kh खन्दे पण्डू-. 3 K कटनखे. 4 Instead of this stage direction, which is found in kh, we have प्रिक्रम्य नेपथ्याभिमुख्मवलोक्य in k. 5 K gives this direction above, but kh adds it at this place. 6 K अयं. 7 K णिश्रओ. 8 K विश्रह्माए.

प्रचुरां लक्ष्मीं प्रयच्छतां वः ॥ ३ ॥ अपि च । ईषर्त्-स्रोरान् ब्रह्मणि गृहीतबहुमानान् मधुम्थे, शुनासीरे घीरान् सुरगणमुखे प्राप्तपतनान् । घनानन्दान् स्कन्दे प्रणतिसमये भूत-पतिना, क्षणं दत्तान् पूर्णान् प्रणमत कटाक्षान् बहुविधान् ॥ ४ ॥ (…) कथमारब्ध एव सम्बद्धमानमुरजरवमांसलः कांस्यतालसज्जनजनितद्यैणझणारावपीवरः अपकरणप्रवृत्तः नर्तकीकरामुच्यमानमणिकङ्कणझङ्करणसंकुलः पात्रविशेषविनियुज्यमानसज्जत्कुशलकुशी-लवकलकलबहुलः नर्तनकोलाहलः । तत् प्रक्ष्यामि तावत् किं नर्तितत्यम् इति । (…) मारिष, इतस्तावत् । І) आज्ञापयतु भावैः । ІІ) कस्य नर्तनमारभ्यते युष्माभिः । ІІ। सदृकः नर्तितन्यः । ІV) अयं अवसरः अस्ताकं प्रयोगविज्ञानं दर्शयितुम् । निकषः

१ K ईषद् ब्रह्मणि सोराम्, M दरं खदरे स्वरान्मागृहीत°. २ K मुरवरव, M मुरपरव. ३ M खणखणाराव; hereafter M has a long gap upto the last pada of verse No. 9; a major portion is misplaced further rather irregularly; so only some readings could be noted. ४ K भवान्, M भावः. ५ K omits this sentence.

सो सदृओं सहअरो किल णाडिआए ताए चउज्जवणिअंतर-बंधुरंगो। चित्तत्थ-सुत्तिअ-रसो परमेक्क-भासो विक्लंभआदि-रहिओ कहिओ बुहेहिं॥ ॥॥

मारिसं, कस्स उण सरस्सई-णीसंदो सदृओ णद्दिद्वो ।

णिरपार्श्वेकः — कि वि अच्छरिअं सुणादु भावो । वाअस-वअणादो पंचम-राओ उदंचइ, णिंब-विडवादो मोअं उप्पज्जइ, कारक्खर- किलादो पीऊस-रसो पसरइ, जं देव-मंदिर-बाहिरालिंद-संमज्जणा-दि-वावार-मत्त-पराअणाहिंतो पारसव-पस्हिंतो संझा-समअ-संफुल्ल-मिल्लआ-महुर-मअरंद-णीसंद-गब्भो संदब्भो पहवदि ।

स्त्रधारः — मारिसं, मा एव्वं। ण खुणिंदणिज्जा तैत्य-भवंतो पारसवा। जदो

जाणं बम्हण-लोअ-पार्द-जुअली-सुस्सूसणं भूसणं जाणं णिम्मल-कव्व-चव्वण-कहा-संसीलणं कीलणं। वंसे जाण अ सुद्ध-वुत्त-सुहओ सो रुद्द-मुत्ता-मणी जाओ तीण थुईसु कस्स भुवणे जीहा णिरीहा भवे॥ ६॥

¹ к ताणि चरजावणि, but кh ताए. 2 к सुत्तित-, but кh सुत्तिअ-. 3 к omits मारिस, but кh gives it. 4 к विटपादो. 5 к पापरिणाहिंतो. 6 к पवहदि. 7 к omits मारिस, but кh has it. 8 к तत्तभवन्तो. 9 к पादु-. 10 к थाण.

खलु सहको नर्तकानां कवीनां च विदग्धतायाः।(…) स सहकः सहचरः किल नाटिकायाः, तस्याश्चतुर्यवनिकान्तर्वन्धुराङ्गः। चित्रार्थस्त्रितरसः परमेकभाषः, विष्कम्भकादिरहितः कथितो बुधैः॥५॥ मारिष, कस्य पुनः सरस्वतीनिष्यन्दः सहको नर्तितव्यः। I) किमिष आश्चर्यं श्रणोतु भावः। वायसवदनात् पश्चमराग उदञ्जति, निम्बविर्द्यपन्मोचमुत्पचते, कारस्करफलात् पीयूषरसः प्रसरति, यद्देवमन्दिरवाह्यालिन्दादिसंमार्जनादिव्यापार- मात्रपरायणात् पारश्वपशोः संध्यासमयसंफुल्लमिल्लकामधुरमकरन्दनिष्यन्द्रगभेः संदर्भः प्रभवति। II) मारिष, मैवं। न खलु निन्दनीयास्तत्रभवन्तः [पारश्वाः]। यतः, येषां वाह्यणलोकपादयुगलीशुश्रूषणं भूषणं, येषां निर्मलकाव्यवर्वणकथासंशीलनं क्रीडनम्। वंशे येषां च शुँदवृत्तसुभगः स घद्रमुक्तामणिर्जातस्तेषां स्तृतिषु कस्य भुवने जिह्ना निरीहा

१ м बन्धुराङ्गं. २ м स्क्तिकर्सः. ३ к omits मारिष given by м. ४ м विटपान्मोक्तिकमु. ५ к संमार्ज(मात्र)नादि. ६ к गर्माः सन्दर्भाः प्रभवन्ति. ७ к omits मारिष. ८ к शुद्धवृत्ते सुभगः.

पारिपार्श्वकः —¹⁾तस्स रुद्दस्स सिरिअंठस्स अ सिस्सो रुद्दास-णाम-हेओ किल तस्स कई।

 $oldsymbol{u} = oldsymbol{u}$ तं उववज्जई । तरणि-किरण-जाल-णिरत्य-तंदादो एव्व अरविंदादो महुअराणंद-कंदो पसरइ मरंद-णीसंदो ।

 $\frac{q}{q} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{a} \cdot \hat{a} \cdot \hat{a} \cdot \hat{a} \cdot \hat{a} \cdot \hat{a} \cdot \hat{a}$ स्वधारः $-\frac{1}{2} \hat{a} \cdot \hat{a} \cdot \hat{a} \cdot \hat{a} \cdot \hat{a}$ ।

वैड-दल-गओ वि रुद्दो वक्खाणं जाण सुणिअ सिर-अंपं। मंदंदोलिअ-चंदं फंदंत-फणिंद-कुंडलं देइ॥ ७॥ ^{पारिपार्श्वकः —^{V)}णमो महप्पाणं। तेणं आविज्ञिआ सज्जणा। स्त्रधारः —^{VI)}कहं।}

³ पारिपार्श्वकः –

पाण्यचुव्वज्जइ पुलओ हिअअं उवणेइ आसणं सुहअं। उवहरइ अग्घमच्छी सज्जण-कण्णादिहीण सुत्तीणं॥ ७॥ किं च।

कव्व-णिबंधे कैइणो घम्म-अलं ओहरंति मुह-लग्गं। आणंद-बाह-सिसिरा सिर-अंप-समीरणा खु सूरीणं॥ ९॥

 $^{1~{\}rm K}$ ता उपपज्जई. $2~{\rm K}$ किं फणइ. $3~{\rm Kh}$ वडअलगओ. $4~{\rm Kh}$ भाव तेण. $5~{\rm K}$ कलणो. $6~{\rm K}$ विबुहाणम्, but Kh has सूरीणम्.

भवेत्॥ ६ ॥ I) तस्य रुद्रस्य श्रीकण्ठस्य च शिष्यो रुद्रदासनामधेयः किल तस्य किवः । II) तदुपपद्यते । तरणिकिरणजालिनरस्ततन्द्रादेवारिवन्दान्मधुकरानन्द्कन्दः प्रसरित मकरन्दिन्दान्दः । III) किं तादृशस्तयोः रुद्रश्रीकण्ठयोः शिक्षाविशेषः । IV) किं भण्यते । वटतलगतोऽपि रुद्रो व्याख्यानं ययोः श्रुत्वा शिरःकम्पम् । मन्दान्दोलितचन्द्रं स्पन्दमानफणीन्द्रकुण्डलं ददाति ॥ ७ ॥ V) नमो महँद्भ्याम् । तेन आवर्णिताः सज्जनाः । VI) कथम् । VII) प्रत्युद्वजति पुलकः हृद्यमुपनयत्यासनं सुभगम् । उपहरत्यर्घ्यमिक्षि सज्जनकर्णातिथीनां स्कीनाम् ॥ ८ ॥ किं च । काव्यनिबन्धे कवेः धर्मजलमपहरन्ति मुखलग्नम् । आनन्दबाष्पशिशिराः शिरःकम्पसमीरणाः खलु सूरी-

१ M पूरिणाम् for सुरीणाम्.

अब्भित्थअं च णेण । जह

अगणिअ णवं ति दोसं अणिरूविअ णिअडदा-कअं 'णिदं। पैम्हुसिअ तं असूअं रसिआ ओदंसअंतु किदिमेअं॥ १०॥ स्त्रधारः — किं अण्णं। पाअड-बंधो एव्व रसिआणं आणंदं कंद-लेइ। जदो

जह होई अवर-माला मालइ-माला अ महुआणं। तह होइ अण्ण-भासा पाअड-भासा अ रसिआणं॥ ११॥ पारिपार्श्वकः —^{III}णं तेण चिअ भणिअं।

भासा खु पाअडमई विसओ सिरिमाणवेअ-चरिअ-सिरी। रस-गब्भो संदब्भो संज्जण-पीदीण जोर्ववणं एअं॥ १२॥

स्त्रधारः — में केण णिजुत्ता पुण पअट्टह सट्टअ-णट्टणे ।
पारिपार्श्वकः — गरे तस्स एव्व णिरंतरोणमंत-सिरिमंत-सामंत-विअडमउडंत-णिवडंत-माणिक्क-मणि-समूह-मोह-लेहा-पल्लविअ-पाअपीढस्स क्षंड-परसु-सिहंड-सिस-खंड-पंडुँर-महमहंत-महिअ-जस-पूर-णि- अर-पव्वआअंत-भुवणंतरालस्स अदि-धवल-हिअअ-फलिह-पडिफ-लंत-परमेसर-पअ-पल्लवस्स समर-मुह-बलामोडि-गहिअ-जऔलच्छी- क्षंत-परमेसर-पअ-पल्लवस्स समर-मुह-बलामोडि-गहिअ-जऔलच्छी- क्षंत-परमेसर-पअ-पल्लवस्स समर-मुह-बलामोडि-गहिअ-जी

 $^{1\ \}mathrm{K}$ दिंदम्. $2\ \mathrm{K}$ पम्मुसिअ. $3\ \mathrm{K}$ यंसूअं. $4\ \mathrm{K}$ होल, but Kh होइ. $5\ \mathrm{K}$ माणचरिअसिरी, but Kh has माणवेअचरिअ. $6\ \mathrm{K}$ सज्जपीदीणं, but Kh has सज्जणः. $7\ \mathrm{K}$ जोल्खणं. $8\ \mathrm{K}$ सामन्त. विभमउडन्त. $9\ \mathrm{K}$ णिएडन्त. $10\ \mathrm{K}$ पण्डरमहामहन्त. $11\ \mathrm{K}$ omits पूर. $12\ \mathrm{K}$ णीअर- $13\ \mathrm{K}$ जयलच्छी.

णाम् ॥ ९ ॥ अभ्यर्थितं चानेन । यथा, अगणयित्वा नवमिति दोषमनिरूप्य निकटताइतां निन्दाम् । प्रैस्मृत्य तामस्यां रिसका अवतंसयन्तु इतिमेताम् ॥ १० ॥ । किमन्यत् । प्राकृतबन्ध पव रिसकानामानन्दं किन्दि ल्याति । यतः, यथा भवति अवरमाला
मालतीमाला च मधुपानाम् । तथा भवति अन्यभाषा प्राकृतभाषा च रिसकानाम् ॥ ११॥
। नतु तेनैव भणितम् । भाषा खलु प्राकृतमयी विषयः श्रीमानवेदचरितश्रीः । रसगर्भः
संदर्भः सज्जनप्रीतीनां यौवनमेतत् ॥ १२ ॥ । । केन नियुक्ताः पुनः प्रैवर्तध्वे सदृकनर्तने । । । तस्यैव निर्देन्तरावनमच्छ्रीमत्सामन्तविकटमकुटान्तिपतन्माणिक्यमणिसमूहमयूखलेखापल्लवितपादपीठस्य खण्डपरश्रुशिखण्डशशिखण्डपाण्डुरसर्वतःप्रसरम्
महितयशःपूरकपूरिनिकरपर्वतायमानभुवनान्तरालस्य अतिधवलहदयस्फटिकप्रतिफलितैपरमेश्वरपदपल्लवस्य समरमुखबलात्कारगृहीतजयलक्ष्मीमाल्यधिम्मल्लपर्यस्तमिल्लकामाल्य-

१ м प्रमुख्य; it has minor gaps in this speech. २ к "मानवेत चरित". ३ м प्रवर्तध्वं समिकनर्तने. ४ м निरन्तरोपन . ५ к omits विकट.

मल-धिमल-पंलत्य-मलिआ-मल-बंहल-परिमलासंग-संगअ-भिगरिंद्योलि-संसइअ-लोलुइंड-मंडलग्ग-मंडिअ-पअंड-भुअदंडस्स रिडबल-सलइ-लेहि-चडुल-जाला-जाल-जज्जलंतअ-पंआव-पावअ-पाआर-परिरिक्खअ-सअल-भुवणअलस्स अच्छिण्ण-दिण्ण-सुवण्ण-रंअणसामिद्धि-समिद्ध-मणि-मंदिर-वलही-जाल-कीलंत-सअल-दरिइ-लोअस्स सव्व-भूदाणुकंपिणो संपअं कंअ-करणिज्जदाए जोव्वण-सुहं अणुंहोंतस्स राआहिराअ-परमेसरस्स सिरिमाणवेअस्स अत्थाण-मंडवंमंडणेण पंडिअ-मंडलेण। किं चं।

अस्ति खु सदृअ-वरे णिॐ-भन्नुअस्स चक्केसरत्तण-कराण गुणाण ठाणं। चारुं समुन्वहइ तं किल चंदलेहं अंगेसरस्स तणअं सिरिमाणवेंऔ॥ १३॥

स्त्रधारः — अहो, आअदा एव्व जवणिअंतरे महाराअ-देवीणं का-दूण भूमिअं अय्यो अय्यवलहा अ। ता अम्हेहिं अवसेस-करणिज्जं सैंज्जीअदु। (इति परिक्रम्य निष्कान्तौ।)

बहलपैरिमलासंगसंगतभृङ्गश्रेणीसंश्रितलोलोहण्डमण्डलाग्रमण्डितप्रचण्डभुजदण्डस्य रिपुँबलशालभलेहिचढुलज्वालाजालजाज्वल्यमानप्रतापपावकप्राकारपरिरक्षितसकलभुवन्तलस्य अच्छिन्नदत्तसुवर्णरत्नसमृद्धिसमृद्धमणिमन्दिरवलभीजालाकीडत्सकलद्रिद्दलोकस्य सर्वभूतानुकम्पिनः सांप्रतं कृतकरणीयतया यौवनसुखमनुभवतो राजाधिराजपरमेश्वरस्य श्रीमानवेदस्य आस्थानमण्डपमण्डनेन पण्डितमण्डलेन। किं च। अस्मिन् खलुं सद्दकवरे निजभर्तुश्चकेश्वरत्वकराणां गुणानां स्थानम्। चारुं समुद्वहति तां किल चन्द्रलेखामङ्गेश्वरस्य तनयां श्रीमानवेदः॥ १३॥ I) अहो आगता एव यवनिकान्तरे महाराजदेव्योः कृत्वा भूमिकामार्यः आर्यवल्लभा च। तदसाभिः अवशेषकरणीयं सज्य-

 $^{1\,\}mathrm{K}$ पहान्थ. $2\,\mathrm{K}$ omits बहुल. $3\,\mathrm{K}$ रुच्छोलिसंसलअ. $4\,\mathrm{K}$ प्रभावपाअपाअर. $5\,\mathrm{K}$ दक्षण, but Kh रुअण. $6\,\mathrm{K}$ ततअकरणिजदाए. $7\,\mathrm{K}$ होंतस्स राणनकृत्वा राआहि $^{\circ}$. $8\,\mathrm{K}$ मण्टप. $9\,\mathrm{K}$ कीच. $10\,\mathrm{K}$ णिजभनुतअसकेसर $^{\circ}$, but Kh णिअभनुअस्स चक्केसर $^{\circ}$. $11\,\mathrm{K}$ सिरिमाणमेओ. $12\,\mathrm{K}$ अविसेस. $13\,\mathrm{K}$ संजीवअदु.

१ m has a long lacuna here upto the beginning of verse No. 17. २ к इषु for हिषु. ३ к मानवेतस्य. ४ к मण्टप. ५ к omits खलु. ६ к मानवेतः. ७ к अविशेष, м lacuna.

(स्थापनो)

(ततः प्रविश्वति राजा देवी विदूषको विभवतश्च परिवारः ।)
राजा – (स्वगतम्) ¹⁾अहो किंअ-करणिज्जाणं पि आसाए अणवसा - 6
णदा । जदो

सन्वे साहु पविद्वा खु पैउरा संतोसिआ बम्हणा वित्तेहिं कैउ-संचएहि विहिआ देवा पसादुम्मुहा। पत्ता चेअ चउरसमुद्द-रसणालंकारिणी मेदिणी दाणि सत्त-समुद्द-मुद्दिअमिमं पैत्थेइ मे माणसं॥ १४॥

(प्रकाशं समन्तादवलोक्य संहर्षम्) देवि, इमिस्स सुरहि-समए समारंभ-संभरिज्जंत-सिरि-मणहर-धरणि-कमलिणी-कमलाअंतिम्म अम्हाणं महाणअरिम मअरंद-गंडूसणं संपादेहि जैहिच्छमुच्चलंत-छ्रप्पअ-रिं- अ ह्योलि-लंभिणं अच्छीणं। एअं खु

> लोलंताणंग-तुंगै-ड्रअ-वड-पवणंदोलिआआस-गंगं खेलंतुद्दीम-रामा-चर्लण-हअ-सुहाअंत-कंकेलि-जालं। कीलंतासेस-लोर्अ-प्पअडिअ-मुरवाडंबरं तंबै-चूड-क्रोड-ग्घोलंत-कोलाहल-मुहल-दिसा-अक्रमेक्रं विभाइ॥१५॥

देवी - (सर्वतो विलोक्य सहर्षम्) अध्यउत्त, किं वण्णीअदि इमाए ण-अरीए सुरहि-समअ-समारंभ-जणिअं सोहग्गं। एसा खु

¹ к omits स्थापना, but кh gives it. 2 к किंअरणिजाणं. 3 к पतुरा. 4 к किंभसञ्चएहि. 5 к पेरथेह. 6 кh समअसमारम्भ. 7 к अ अम्हाणं for अम्हाणं. 8 к दिहन्छमुचलतंतचन्वअ-रित्तन्छालिलंभिणं अंहन्छीणं. 9 к तुम्म डूं एवधववणंलो लिआआस-. 10 к गेलंदुहाम. 11 к चलणअं-सुहाअतं-. 12 к लोअंपडिअ. 13 к तमनूढंको ढंबोलंत. 14 к अंकमेकं.

ताम् ॥ I) अहो कृतकरणीयानामपि आशाया अनवसानता । यतः, सर्वे साधु प्रवर्तिताः खलु पौराः संतोषिताः ब्राह्मणाः, वित्तेः कृतुसंचयैः विहिताः देवाः प्रसादोन्मुखाः। प्राप्ता चैव चतुस्समुद्ररशनालंकारिणी मेदिनी, इदानीं सप्तसमुद्रमुद्रितामिमां प्रार्थयते मे मानसम् ॥१४॥(...) देवि, अमुष्मिन् सुरभिसंमये संमारम्भसंश्चियमाणश्चीमन्नोहरधरणिकमलिनीकमलायमाने अस्ताकं महानगरे मकरन्दगण्डूषणं संपादय यथेच्छमुब्रालच्छर्पद्श्चेणिलंक्ष्यैः अक्ष्णोः। पतत् खलु, लोलदनक्षृतुक्षभ्वजपटपवनान्दोलिताकाशगक्षं, खेलदुद्दामरामाचरणहतसुखायमानकङ्केलिजालम्। क्रीडदशेषलोकंप्रकटितमुरजाइम्बरं ताम्रचूडकोर्डधूर्णमानकोलाहलमुखरदिक्चकमेकं विभाति ॥१५॥ II) आर्यपुत्र,

१ к सृष्टिसमयसमारम्भ for सुर्भि etc, M lacuna. २ K लोकं for लोक. ३ k सुरवाडम्बरं. ४ K कोढं धूर्णमान.

तारुण्णएण रमणि व्व सुरूव-रम्मा जोण्हा-रसेण रअणि व्व फुरंत-चंदा । फुलुग्गैंमेण लदिअ व्व पवाल-पुण्णा रेहेइ हंत णअरी महु-संगमेणं॥ १६॥

राजा —^{I)}अहो सन्वदो रैमणीअत्तणं णअरीए। तह अ। भैमंत-भमर-च्छडा-कल-विराविआ वाविआ फुरंत-मअणच्चणा-विहव-णंदिरं मंदिरं। लसंत-णव-णट्टई-लिलिअ-णट्टणं पट्टणं वलंत-मलआणिलाअम-सिलाहिणो साहिणो॥ १७॥

विदूषकः — मो वअस्स, पेक्ख। मंद-मंद-फंदंत-मलआणिल-केलि-घोलिरुपिडिअ-विसैरंत-विसिणी-धूलि-पाली-विडेल-कंचण-विआण-सोहिणी भुअंग-लोजे-कर-कमल-संगअ-गंगेअ-सिंग-मुह-संगलंत-सं-तद-कुंकुम-वारि-धौरा-सिच्चंत-सर्जैल-पहिअ-लोआ वैम्मह-करएका-लिअ-धणुहअ-कोलाहल-मुहल-कउहा-मुहा दिस-विराअ-वेग-मुज्झंत-संचरंत-मुद्धाहिसारिआ-चलण-ईंणझणाअंत-णेउर-विराव-मुहुराविअ-

¹ к सुरूप. 2 к पुरन्त. 3 к ° गामेण इदि एड्व, but кh अड्व for एड्व. 4 к रमणीयत्तणं. 5 к डुमंतममर for भमंत etc. 6 к फरन्तमअणच्छणा. 7 к इलअ for लिल्ज given by кh. 8 к फंदमलआणिल. 9 к विसंत, but кh विसरंत. 10 к विउल्ल. 11 к लोलकरकमलसङ्गंगंगेअसीग-, but кh लोच for लोल-. 12 кh चिरिचिरिआसिंचंत for घारासिंचंत. 13 к omits सजल, but кh has समललोगा. 14 к प्रमह. 15 к दिवविराज. 16 к झणझणायन्त-.

किं वर्ण्यते अमुष्या नगर्याः सुरभिसमयसमारम्भजनितं सौभाग्यम् । एषा खलु,तारुण्येन रमणीव सुरूपरम्या, ज्योत्सारसेन रजनीव स्फुरचन्द्रा। फुलोद्रमेन लितकेव प्रवालपूर्णा, राजते हन्त नगरी मर्चुसंगमेन ॥ १६ ॥ । अहो सर्वतो रमणीयत्वं नगर्याः । तथा च । अमद्भमर् च्छटाकलविराविताः वापिकाः, स्फुरन्मदनार्चनाविभवनन्दनशीलं मन्दिरम् । लसन्नवनर्तकीललितनर्तनं पत्तनं, वलन्मलयानिलागमस्त्राविनः शाखिनः ॥ १७ ॥ । लसन्नवनर्तकीललितनर्तनं पत्तनं, वलन्मलयानिलकेलिघूर्णनशीलोत्पतितविसरिद्ध-सिनीधूलिपालीविषुलकाञ्चनवितानशोभिनी भुजङ्गलोककरकमलसंगतगाङ्गयश्वसमुखसंगलत्संततकुङ्कमवारिधारासिच्यमानसकलपथिकलोका मन्मथकरास्कालितधनुःकोला- हल्मुंखरकज्ञन्मुखा दिशाविराव[वेग]मुह्यत्संचरन्मुग्याभिसारिकाचेरणझणझणायमान-

१ к omits मधु. २ м अमत्प्रवरच्छटा°. ३ м पश्य पश्य. ४ к मुखरकुङ्कु...खादिलाविरागमु°, м ककुन्मुखादिसविरागवेगभज्यमानसुं°. ५ м चरणन्थणायमान.

मार-वीर-विजञ-भेरी-मणहरा मञं वि मएदि रइं वि रमेएदि आ-

चेटी — इदं वि पेक्षेखदु महाराओ । एसो खु मंद-मरुअंदोलिअ-पं- १ ल्लाब-पल्लव-हत्य-सैण्णा-मिस्सेहिं महुर-महु-रस-महमहंत-परिमलंध-मधुअर-माला-मंज्जु-सिंजिआरावेहिं मरगआरामो महाराअस्स महु-मासोदार-विद्वअं अत्तणो सैमिद्धिं ओदंसेदुं विक्ष आआरं करेड ।

राजा –(विलोक्य) ¹¹⁾साहु भणिअं चंदणिआए।

विदूषकः — मो वअस्स, जह दासीए धीदाए वअणं नु स्त्र सिलाह-णिज्ञं तह मह वि चओरअस्स बम्हणस्स जड् सिलाहीअदि वअणं क ता कैहिमि।

राजा - [ए) व अस्सै, को संदेहो । कहेहि ।

विद्वकः - ग्रेमुणादु वअस्सो । एसो जहामिट्ट-मुंजंत-बम्हण-लोअ-॥ कोलाहलो विअ कोइल-कोलाहलो पमोदं उप्पादेइ । महाणस-धूम-प्पसरो व्व कुंसुमुप्पडंत-महुअर-गणो र्णअण-पीदिं करेइ । बहलज्ज-भज्ञंत-सरिसव-गंधो विअ पुंप्फ-गंधो घाणं आणंदेइ ।

¹ ह विरमएदि for रमएदि. 2 ह आणन्तं वि. 3 ह छई for हुअं, but है हैं. 4 ह पैक्ख, but है है कि पदछात्थ for पछत्थ. 6 ह सम्भाति हैं. 7 ह परिमछं थमधू थमधु अर. 8 ह मिंदि for मंज. 9 ह सिमन्ध for सिमिद्धं. 10 ह ओसे दुविश. 11 ह omits तुज्झ. 12 ह बओरस्स, but है चओरअस्स. 13 ह हहे हि।. 14 ह भी वअस्स. 15 ह कुसुमण्य डंत. 16 ह ण्यण. 17 ह पुष्पान्धो.

न्पुरिवरावमुखरीकृतमारवीरिवजयभेरीमनोहरा मदमिष मदयित रितमिष रमयित आनःदमण्यानन्दयित दर्पमिष दर्पयित इयं महानगरी ॥ I) इदमिष पश्यतु महाराजः । एष खलु मन्दमारुतान्दोलितपैर्यस्तपल्लवहस्तसंज्ञामिश्रैः मधुरमधुरससर्वतःप्रसरत्परिमलान्यमधुकरमालामञ्जदिशिक्षतारावैः मैरकतारामो महाराजस्य मधुमासोदारवार्धतामात्मनः समृद्धि दर्शयितुमिव आकारं करोति । II) साधु भणितं चन्दिनकया । III) भो वयस्य, यथा दास्याः पुत्र्याः वचनं तव स्वाधनीयं तथा [ममाषि] चकोर्दस्य ब्राह्मणस्य यदि स्वाध्यते वचनं तत् कथयामि । IV) वयस्य, कः सन्देहः । कथय । V) शृणोतु वयस्यः । एष यथामृष्टभुञ्जानब्राह्मणलोककोलाहल इव कोकिलकोलाहलः भँमोदमुत्पा-दयित । महानसधूमप्रसर इव कुसुमोत्पतन्मधुकरगणो नयनशीतिं करोति । वहलाज्य-

१ KM omit पर्यस्त. २ K मरतकारामी. ३ K मासोदारवर्त्तितां, ध मासोदारप्रविधता. ४ M आकारणं करोति. ५ K चन्द्रिकया. ६ K एव खलु यथाँ. ७ M प्रमोदमुपपादयति. ८ K बहुलाज्यै. २ चंद०

राजा -(संस्थितम्) ¹⁾सर्च एदं ।

विदूपकः — प्यतिसीए धीदे, उच्चिद्धह्व-भिक्षणि, ण तुज्झ एव्व वंअणं अहिणंदिगिज्ञं मह वि वअणं अहिणंदीअदि वअस्सेण।

चेटो -(सावज्ञं हसति।)

विदूषकः — भो वअस्स, गच्छ्रम्ह मरगउज्ञाणं । (इति सर्वे परि-गक्रामन्ति ।)

राजा - (दक्षिणाक्षिस्पन्दनं स्वयन्) ^{IV)}देवि,
फंदए पअल-पर्मह-मालिअं
दाहिणं महिमिदं विलोअणं।
किं फैलं अह विंतिक्किएहि किं
केण देवैव-सरणी मुणीअदि॥ १६॥
देवी - (सहर्षम्) ^{V)}अज्जउत्त, देव्वेण चिअ जाणीअदि।
विदूषकः - ^{VI)}किं च मए वि एकेण वम्हणेण।

 $\hat{q}^{(a)} = \hat{q}^{(a)}$ अय्य चओरअ, किं तुए जाणीअदि । $\hat{q}^{(a)} = \hat{q}^{(a)} = \hat{q}^{(a)}$ होदि, पुव्वं एव्व वअस्सस्स चर्डंसिंसधु-बंध-बंधुरं

वसुंधरं देजेंण वि जिपरितुद्वेण विहिणा पुणो सत्त-समुद्द-मुद्दिअं एअं • वअस्सस्स भुमअंचले बंधिउं अअं आरंभो कीरइ त्तिं ।

¹ Kh उच्छुरण for उच्छिट्ट. 2 K वलअणं, but Kh वअणं. 3 Kh चन्द्रिका for चेटी. 4 K पद्म for प्रमृद्ध. 5 K महिमतं. 6 K पृष्ठं for फलं. 7 K विचिन्तिएहि की, Kh वितिद्धिएहि कि. 8 K देवसरणिम्मुणीअदि. 9 K चउरस्मिन्धु. 10 K दाऊण, but Kh देऊण वि. 11 Kh अकि दरथेण for अपरितृट्टेण. 12 The following speech, which is put in the mouth of देवी by Kh, is just added here by K.

भर्ज्यमानसर्षपगन्थ इव पुष्पगन्थः ब्राणमानन्दयति । I) सत्यमेतत् । II) दास्याः पुत्रि, उच्छिप्टमक्षिणि, न तवैव वेचनमभिनन्दनीयं ममापि वचनमभिनन्दाते वयस्येन । III) भो वयस्य, गच्छामो मैरकतोद्यानम् । IV) देवि, स्पन्दते प्रचलपद्ममालिकं, दक्षिणं मम इदं विलोचनम् । किं फैलं अथ वितर्कितैः किं, केन दैवसरणिर्कायते ॥ १८ ॥ V) आर्यपुत्र, दैवेनैव ज्ञायते । VI) किं च मैयाप्येकेन ब्राह्मणेन । VII) आर्य चको-रक, किं त्वया ज्ञायते । VIII) भवति, पूर्व एवं वयस्यस्य चतुस्सिन्धुवर्धवन्धुरां वसुंधरां दस्वापि अर्ह्नार्थेन विधिना पुनः सप्तसमुद्रमुद्भितामेनां वयस्यस्य भ्रुवांचले

१ м वचनमभिनन्दयति। ममापि etc. २ к मरतकोद्यानम्. ३ м छलं. ४ к अथवा वितर्कितैः, м अथवा तकीयते. ५ м मयाप्येतेन. ६ км omit एव. ७ м After writing पूर्व व there is a long lacuna in м upto मआदो (I. 26. 3); and this is indicated by the transcript with a remark: (अत्र पत्रत्रयपरिमिनो ग्रन्थपातः). ८ км omit बन्ध.

देवी —^{II})भो भअवं विहे, पुणो वि एव्वं एव होही । चेटी - ^{III})एसो मरगआरामो। पविसदु महाराओ। (सर्वे उद्यान- प्रवेशं नाटयन्ति।)

(नेर्पथ्ये वैतालिकयोरेकः)

अत्ताणं वित्थरंतो भेहु-णरवर्षो पाण-गोद्वी-णिएओ लोलंबीणं विडाणं सअल-पिअ-महेलाण संगीअ-साला। कीला-ठाणं पडीराअल-पवण-किसोराण सेणा-णिवेसो एसो तेल्लोक्क-वीरस्स हुँ रइ-वर्षो भार आराम-देसो॥ १९॥ द्वितीर्यं:-^{IV)}किं च। एत्थ सण्णिहिदे महाराए

पच्चव्वज्ञइ चंचलीअ-णिवहो फुँख्रुप्पडंतो जवा कूअंता अ कुणंति कोइल-उला सोत्तामिअं साअदं।

¹ к में, but kh omits it. 2 к होहि. 3 кh चन्दिनका for चेटी. 4 кh रूपयन्ति. 5 к नैपथ्ये. 6 к पाअपारिजाअ. 7 к वअंडपआव. 8 к वादप, кh वाअप for पादव. 9 к अध्याणं विध्यरन्तं. 10 к महुरवअणो, but kh महुणावहणो. 11 kh छोछंबाछीविडाणं. 12 к अ for हु. 13 к omits द्वितीयः, but it is added by kh. 14 к पुरुष्ट्र इन्दो.

बद्धमयमारम्भः कियते देति । I) भी भगवन् विधे, पुनरपि एवमेव भवैति । II) एष मैरकतारामः । प्रविशत् महाराजः । III) जय जय महाराजः, राजाधिराजपरमेश्वर, पादपारिजातपञ्चवळायानित्यविहारनिरस्तसंताप, प्रवण्डप्रताप, पण्डितजनकल्पपादप, मन्मथमनोहराङ्गलावण्यगुणनिगडितसकलमहिलामानस, श्रीमानवेद, सुखदायिनी भवतु महाराजस्य आरामलक्ष्मीः । तथा पश्यतु तावन्महाराजः । आत्मानं विस्तृणन् मधुनर्पतेः पानगोष्ठीनिकेतः, लोलम्बानां विटानां सकलपिकमहिलानां संगीतशाला । क्रीडास्थानं पटीराचलपवनिकशोराणां सेनानिवेशः, एष त्रैलोक्यवीरस्य खलु रतिपतेभीति आरामदेशः ॥ १५ ॥ IV) कि च । अत्र संनिहिते महाराजे, प्रत्युद्वजात चञ्चरीकनिवहः फुल्लोत्पतअवात्, कृजन्तश्च कुर्वन्ति कोकिँतः श्रोत्रामृतं खागतम् । शिव्रं

१ k उत for इति. २ k omits भो. ३ k मरतकारामः. ४ k श्रीश्रीमानवेत. ५ k omits तथा. ६ k omits सङ्गीत...किशोराणां, but this is supplied by kh. ७ k चञ्चलीकः.

सिग्धं अग्धमुवाहरंति मअरंदुग्गारिणो पाअवा दाणि पछव-चामलेहि लिदआ वीअंति वाआहआ॥२०॥ विदूषकः — माहु गीअं मंजुअंठ-महुरकंठेहिं बंदीहिं । पेक्खदु दाव वअस्सो महु-समअ-वित्थारिअं मरगआराम-समिद्धि । राजा - (समन्तादवलोक्य) वअस्स, साहु तुए भणिदं। इह हि विभाइ महिलंगुली-किसल-पाडलं पाडलं समुलसइ फिलुआ पवण-णोलिआ मिलुआ। दिसाओं सुरहेइ णं दर-विआसरं केसरं समुव्वहइ संप्रअं पहिल्ञ-कंप्रअं चंप्रअं॥२१॥

अवि अ।

पक्खंदोलण-मारुएण भसलो आणंदअंतो महुं पल्लत्या कुसुमाउ पास-गमिअं पाएइ पाणेसिरं। उगाउं महुरं च माहव-महा-बंदी जैसं कोइलो माअंदाण मरंद-सार-लहरी-सारस्सअं सेवएँ॥ २२॥

विद्यकः — भो वअस्स, आमूल-पुष्फिअस्स बाल-रत्तासोअस्स मैरगअ-वेदिआअं उवविसिअ मलअ-महीहरादो उवागअस्स महु-

 $^{1\,\}mathrm{K}$ आयं उपाहरिन्त. $2\,\mathrm{K}$ वाअवा. $3\,\mathrm{K}$ दाणी. $4\,\mathrm{K}$ वन्देहिं. $5\,\mathrm{K}$ समय. $6\,\mathrm{K}^\mathrm{h}$ महुरसोि छिआ for प्रवणणो छिआ. $7\,\mathrm{K}$ वहिअकंपअं. $8\,\mathrm{K}$ वासगमिअं. $9\,\mathrm{K}$ वाएइ वाणेसरी. $10\,\mathrm{K}$ उगाछं. $11\,\mathrm{K}$ वसंकोछछो, $12\,\mathrm{K}$ सेवहि, but $12\,\mathrm{K}$ सेवए. $13\,\mathrm{K}$ मअरगवेदिआअं. $14\,\mathrm{K}$ मछयै.

अर्घमुपाहरन्ति मैकरन्दोद्वारिणः पादपाः, इदानीं पल्लवचामरैलंतिका वीजैयन्ति वाताहताः ॥ २० ॥ І) साधु गीतं मञ्जकण्ठमधुरकण्ठाभ्यां बन्दिभ्याम् । पश्यतु तावद्वयस्यः
मधुसमयविस्तारितां मरैकतारामसमृद्धिम् । ІІ) वयस्य, साधु त्वया भणितम् । इह हि, विभाति महिलाङ्कुलीकिसलयपाटलं पाटलं, समुल्लसति फुल्लिता पवनतुन्ना मिल्लका । दिशः सुरभयति एतत् दरविकस्यरं केसरं, समुद्धहति संपदं पथिककम्पदं चम्पकम् ॥ २१ ॥ अपि च । पश्चान्दोलनमारुतेन स्थानरः आनन्दयन् मधु, पर्यस्तात् कुसुमात् पार्श्वगमितां पाययति प्राजन्यरीम् । उद्घातुं मधुरं च माधवमहावन्दी यशः कोकिलः माकन्दानां मरन्दसारलहरीसारस्वतं सेवते ॥ २२ ॥ ІІІ) भो वयस्य, आमूलपुष्पितस्य बालरकाशोकस्य मैरकतवेदिकायां उपविद्य मल्यर्महीधरादुपागतस्य मधुसमयस्फुट-

१ к करकेन्दार्गाभिणः for मकरन्दोद्गारिणः. २ к वीजन्ति. ३ к मरतकारामः. ४ к फुछताः ५ к बहुलः for भ्रमरः. ६ पर्यस्तान् कुसुमान् पार्श्वगतान् पायः. ७ к मरतकवेः. ८ к महीधरमुपाः.

समअ-फुडंत-णव-कुसुम-परिमल-पाहुडअं घेतूण परिसरे ठिअस्स । मलआणिलस्स दे परिसरं पत्तस्स सेवावसरं देहिं। पेक्खम्ह मर-गआराम-लिंछ।

राजा $-^{\mathrm{I}}$ तह । (इति सर्वे यथोचितमुपविशन्ति ।) देवी $-^{\mathrm{II}}$ दाणिं अहं वहुावर्स्सं अय्यउत्तं ।

एदे चूसिअ-चोल-बाल-महिला-भालिंदुं-सेआअमा पिज्ञंता जण-णासिआहि णलिणी-णालीअ-केली-अरा। लीला-लालिअ-केरली-चिहुरआ-आणंद-णीसंदिणो रोमंचुग्गमणाणुमेअ-चलणा कीलंति वाअंकुरा॥२३॥ राजा-^{III)}अहं वि तुमं वड्डावइस्सं।

अत्राणं वि बुभुक्खिदाण पुढमं दाऊण णाआण तो तुंगा मंगल-चंदंणिद्द-भिडणो कादूण पाअं खणा। एंणिंह गण्हिअ सोरहेक-णिलअं कंदप्प-मित्तंतरं तं आलिंगिडमूसुआ परिसरं पत्ता अ चेताणिला॥ २४॥

देवी — पेक्स दु दाव अज्ञ उत्तो सोहग्गं कालस्स । जओ किसलइदासोअ-लदा कीर-मुहाभोअ-कीनुअ-च्छाआ । कीलंति के विं दिअहा केसर-खलमाण-बाल-पवमाणा ॥२५॥

¹ к बाहुलअं for पाहुडअं. 2 кh देसु. 3 к omits दाणि which is given by кh. 4 к फालिन्दु. 5 к चंदणन्दि. 6 к एण्णी गणिणअ. 7 к omits this word, but кh has जतो. 8 к केंSपि दिवसा, but кh has दियाहा for दिवसा of к.

श्रवकुसुमपरिमलप्राभृतं गृहीत्वा परिसरे स्थितस्य मलयानिलस्य तैव परिसरं प्राप्तस्य सेवावसरं देहि। पश्यामः मरकतारामलक्ष्मीम्। I) तथा। II) इदानीमहं वर्धयिष्यामि आर्यपुत्रम्। एते चृषितचोलवालमहिलामालेन्दुस्वेदागमाः, पीयमाना जनना-सिकाभिः निलनीनालीककेलीकरैः। लीलालालितकेरलीचिकुराः आनन्दिनिष्यन्दिनः, रोमाश्चोद्रमनानुमेयगमैनाः श्रीडन्ति वाताङ्कराः॥ २३॥ III) अहमपि त्वां वर्धयिष्यामि। आत्मानमपि बुभुक्षितानां प्रथमं दत्त्वा नागानां ततः, तुङ्कान्मङ्गलचन्दनादि-भृगोः कृत्वा पातं क्षणात्। इदानीं गृहीत्वा सीरभैकनिलयं काद्यं मित्रान्तरं, त्यामालि-क्षितुमुस्तुकाः परिसरं प्राप्ताश्च चैत्रानिलाः॥ २४॥ IV) पृथ्यतु तावदार्यपुत्रः सौभाग्यं कालस्य। यतः, किसलस्यिताशोकलताः कीरमुखाभोगिकद्वाकच्छायाः। श्रीडन्ति केऽपि

१ к omits तव परिसरं प्राप्तस्य. २ к मरतकाराम . ३ к केलीकरम्. ४ к तुङ्गामङ्गळ. ५ к मृङ्गा for भृगोः.

राजा — ग्देविं, किं भण्णइ। महुअर-कलकल-मुहले मलऔाणिल-भंगि-संगअ-तरंगे। महुमास-णई-सोत्ते मअरंदमए णिंमज्ञए भुवणं॥ २६॥

विदूषकः — विञ्च सस, किं वंचिदो मिह। जदो पुरुवं एवव अम्हाणं घर्रए परंपराए समागअं पंडिअत्तणं कड्त्रंणं च पहिसु पिडरोहअभि भारो अम्ह-बम्हणी-सअणि जेक्क-पास-संठिव आअं मंजू सिआअं णिहाविअ लोह-सालाए गाढं वंधिअ मुद्दं वि दाजण साडिम्म समुद्दे संगण्हिअ आअदो। ता अज्ज तुवं तुज्झ कड्त्रणस्स अद्धं मे देहि जेण अहं वि तुम्हाणं कण्ण-पुडस्स पीजस-गंडू सणं करिस्सं। (इत्ली प्रसारयित।)

^{चेटी° -''''}अय्य, पडिगण्ह । अहं दे देमि ।

- , विदू^{षकः —^{IV)}आ दासीए धीदे, को उण पंडिओ पारिआअं उज्झिअ एरंडादो अहिलसिदं कामेईं। अहव तुमं तुज्झ कईत्तणं दंसेहि। तदो पडिगण्हिस्सं।}
- $a=rac{2\pi}{3}$ चंदणिएं, दंसेहि अत्तणों ईंड्छणं। किं चें। सा पिहहा जा ठाणे पसरइ। सा णीदी जा काले उज्जलइ। सा इत्थिआ जा भट्ट-मणोरहे वट्टइ। सा सेत्ती जा अणत्थे ओलंबइ।

दिवसाः केसरस्खलद्वालपवमानाः ॥ २५ ॥ I) देवि, किं भैण्यते । मैधुकरकलकलमु-खरे मलयानिलभिक्षसंगैततरक्ते । मधुमासनदीस्रोतिस मकरन्दमये निमज्जित भुवनम् ॥ २६ ॥ II) वयस्य, किंचिक्विञ्चितोऽस्मि । यतः पूर्वमेव अस्माकं गृहे परम्परया समागतं पण्डितत्वं किवत्वं च पथिषु प्रतिरोधकभैयादस्मद्वाह्मणीशयनीयैकपार्श्वसंस्थापितायां मञ्जूषिकायां निधाय लोहसालया गाढं बद्ध्वा मुद्रामि दत्त्वा शाटके समुद्रे संगुर्ह्मागतः । तद्य त्वं तव किवत्वस्पाधं मे देहि येनाहमिष युष्माकं कर्णपुटस्य पीयूषगण्डूषणं करिष्यामि । III) आर्य, प्रतिगृह्मण । अहं ते ददामि । IV) आः दास्माः पुत्रि, कः पुनः पण्डितः पारिजातमुज्झित्वा एरण्डादिमलितं कामर्यते । अथवा त्वं तव किवत्वं दर्शय । ततः प्रैतिग्रहीष्यामि । V) चन्दिनिके, दर्शयात्मनो वद्ग्ध्यम् । किं च । सा प्रतिभा या स्थाने प्रसरत्ते । सा नितिः या काले उज्ज्वलित । सा स्त्री या भर्तृमनोरथे

¹ k omits देवि. 2 k फणइ. 3 k मलथाणिल. 4 k णिमजाह, but kh णिमजाए. 5 k खरए. 6 k कलत्तणं. 7 k पाअसट्टिनाअं. 8 k साउं वि. 9 kh चन्दिनका for चेटी. 10 k कामिजादि, but kh कामेइ. 11 k कलत्तणं. 12 k चन्दिणिए. 13 k चाउउत्तणं. 14 k की च पिंडहा.

१ к मन्यते for मण्यते. २ к मधुरकल°. ३ к सङ्गतरक्षे. ४ к भयादन्यस्मिन् ब्रह्मणि शय°, м भयाद-स्मद्बाह्मण्यादशय°. ५ к वाटामपि, м साटामपि. ६ к सङ्गल्यागतः ७ м तदन्यत्वं. ८ м करिष्ये. ९ м कामसति. १० м प्रतिगृह्णामि. ११ к हक्षे चन्दिनिके.

15

18

चेटी - "जं भट्टिणी आणवेदि।

विदूषकः — जइ अत्तणों छैइल्लणं दंसेदुं ववसिदा सि ता जमअं कादव्वं, मलआणिलो वण्णिदव्वो, सद्वरा अ वृत्तं।

चेटी⁸-^{III)}तह । सुणाहि तुवं ।

बाला वालालि-तण्हा-पसमण-कुसला चंदणिहस्स तुंगा सिंगा सिंगार-विद्दा-विअरण-गुरुणो मंथरं विष्फुरंतो । लोलं लोलंबंआलं परिमल-अरलं भामअंता हरंता माणं माणंसिणीणं णव-सुरहि-सिरी-बंधवा गंधवाहा ॥ २७ ॥ राजा — प्रास्तु चंदणिए साहु। जदो जमए वि सुहुओ सद्दो सुअमो अत्थो।

विदूषकः - ^{ए)}जइ एव्वं जिअं देवीए पराजिअं वअस्सेण। (पुरो_ं विलोक्य) अहो अमचो सुमई दुवारे।

राजा – 🗥 विं सुमई । पवेसेहि णं।

विदूषकः - (परिक्रम्य, सुमतिना सह प्रविश्य) VII)एसो सुमई ।

[स्रमितिः] - VIII) जेद् देवो ।

राजा -^{IX)}सुमदे किं।

^{छुमतिः - X)}देव, सिंधुणाहामचो सुस्सुदो दहुकामो चिद्वइ ।

¹ кh चन्दिनका for चेटी. 2 к चछइसणं।. 3 кh चन्द्रिका- तह प्रति।, but k has जह for तह. 4 к सीमा सीमारिवन्दा°. 5 к छोलम्बओछं. 6 к adds चिद्युक:- again before this sentence. 7 k puts this speech in the mouth of Vidūṣaka.

वर्तते। सा मैत्री या अनर्थे अवलम्ब्यते। I) यद् भैट्टिनी आज्ञापयित। II) यदि आत्मनो वैदग्ध्यं दर्शयितुं व्यवसितासि तद् यमकं कर्तव्यं, मलयानिलो वर्णयितव्यः, स्रग्धरा च वृत्तम्। III) तथा। शणु त्वम्। बाला व्यालालितृष्णाप्रशमन हशलाः चैन्द्रनाद्रेस्तुङ्गात्, शृङ्गात् शृङ्गारविद्यावितरणगुरवः मन्थरं विस्तृणित्ति। लोलं लोलं लोलम्बनालं परिमलतरलं भ्रामयन्तो हर्रेन्तः, मानं मनस्विनीनां नवसुरिभश्रीषान्धवाः गन्धवाहाः ॥ २०॥ IV) साधु चन्दनिके साधु। यतः यमकेऽपि सुभगः शब्दः सुगमः अर्थः। V) यद्येवं जितं देव्या पराजितं वयस्येन। (…) अहो अमात्यः सुमितिद्वरि। VI) किं सुमितः। प्रवेशय पनम् । VII) एष सुमितः। VIII) जयतु देवः। IX) सुमते किम्। X) देव,

१ м भर्त्री for भट्टिनी. २ к ब्यालालितृष्णाः, м ब्याळाळिक्षणं . ३ к बन्दनादेस्सतुङ्गाः ग्रङ्गाः, м मर्लै-बादेस्तुङ्गात्. ४ м विस्तरन्तिः ५ к वर्मैन्तो for हरन्तः. ६ м श्रीवन्धवो गं . ७ м omits एनम्

राजा $-^{\mathrm{I}}$ ता अविलंबिअं आणेहि णं। सुमतिः $-^{\mathrm{II}}$ जं देवो आणवेदि। (इति निष्कम्य सुश्रुतेन सह प्रविश्य)

12 [स्र^{थ्रुत}ः]-^{III)}जेदु देवो ।

^{राजा –^{IV)}सुस्सुद, अवि कुसलं सिंधुणाहस्स ।}

खुश्रतः — मंपदं देवस्स कुसलाणुजोएण । किं च महाराअ, देवस्स किंसिधुणाहस्स चिर-सेवा-पसादिएण समुद्देण दिण्णो चिंतामणि-जाई-ओ को वि महामणी । तं च सअल-जण-चिंतिअत्य-दाण-कुसलं महा-रअणं महाराअ-प्पसाद-तिंत्तएण सिंधुणाहेण महाराअस्स सि-किरिमाणवेअस्स पाहुदीकरेहि ति दाऊण पेसिओ अहं । ता जिणच वंसो चिंतामणीणं महाराअ-सिण्णिहि-लाहेण । होउ अ महाराअ-प्पसाद-ग्गहणेण सिंधुणाहो सअल-महिवाल-मंडैल-सिलाहणिज्ञो । 21(इति चीनांशुकनिचुलितचिन्तामणि काञ्चनभाजने निक्षिपति ।)

राजा-^{VI)}सुमदे, नुवं एव्व तुज्झ साहिं सुस्सुदं जहोइदं संभाविदूण पेसेहि सिंधुणाह-सिआसं।

 $_{2}$ सु $^{\mathrm{Hfd}:}$ $-^{\mathrm{VII})}$ जं देवो आणवेदि $^{\mathrm{1}}$ । राजा $-^{\mathrm{VIII})}$ सुस्सुद, एवं करेहि $^{\mathrm{1}}$ ।

सिन्धुनाथामात्यः सुश्रुतो द्रष्टुकामस्तिष्ठति । I) तद्विलम्वितमान्यैनम् । II) यद्देव आज्ञापयति । III) जयतु देवः । IV) सुश्रुत, अपि कुशलं सिन्धुनाथस्य । V) सांप्रतं देवस्य कुशलानुयोगेन । किं च महाराज, देवस्य सिन्धुनाथस्य चिर-सेवाप्रसादितेन समुद्रेण दत्तः चिन्ताप्रणिजातीयः कोऽपि महामणिः । तं च सकल-जनचिन्तितार्थदानकुशलं महारसं महाराजप्रसादस्तेन सिन्धुनाथेन महाराजस्य श्रीमानवेदस्य प्राभृतीकुरु इति दस्त्रा प्रेषितोऽहम् । तज्जयतु वंशां चिन्तामणीनां महाराजस्त्रिक्षिलाभेन । भवतु च महाराजप्रसादग्रहणेन सिन्धुनाथः सकलमही-पालमण्डलस्थावनीयः । VI) सुमते, त्वमेव तव सखायं सुश्रुतं यथोचितं संभाव्य प्रेषय सिन्धुनाथसकाशम् । VII) यद्देव आज्ञापयति । VIII) सुश्रुत, एवं कुर्हं।

¹ k puts this speech in the mouth of Sumati. 2 kh महाप्रणि for चिंतामणि. 3 k जातीओ. 4 k वित्र पूण. 5 k एसी for बंसो. 6 kh महाप्रणि for चिन्तामणीणं. 7 k महाराअस्स णिहिं. 8 k मंसूछ for मंडछ. 9 k सही. 10 k सहाअं for सआसं. 11 k adds (इति निष्कान्तो) here. 12 This and the next speech are found only in kh which does not put this sentence in the mouth of the king but adds it in continuation of Sumati's speech जं देवो etc., and puts the next speech in Susruta's mouth.

१ K कुशलानुवादेन. २ K महाराजस्य देवस्य, M किञ्च देवमहादेवस्य. ३ M lacuna, K प्रसादिवित्तेन. ४ K मानवेतस्य, M मानवेदस्य. ५ K एव चिन्तामणि: for वंशः चिन्तामणीनां found in M. ६ M assigns this sentence to the king and the next to Susruta; but they are not found in K.

30

सुश्रुतः - 1) जं देवो आणवेदि । (इति निष्कान्तौ ।)

विदूषकः —^{II)}भो वअस्स, आणवेहि अस्स महामणिणो णिउलं " अवणेदुं।

राजा - 1111)वअस्स, जं दे रोएइ।

(विदूषको मुद्रामवमुच्य निचुलमपनयति ।) राजा – (सकौतुकम्) पहा पहा - पसरो । अज्ज हि

अच्छेरं अमुअस्स अच्छ-मणिणो दीहेहिं मोहेहि णं तेलोकं णव-पोमराअ-रअणुक्किण्णं व लक्खिजए। एसो वासर-दीव-धूसर-छई सूरो वि दूरोसिओ

संझाए कवली-किदों व्य सहसा णहो अअं आदवो ॥ २६॥ विदूषकः - भो वअस्स, अगणिएहिं अणग्धेहिं उम्मीलंत-बहल-दीह-मोह-लेहुज्जोअ-खज्जोआविअ-सहस्सरस्सीहिं महा-रअण-सह-स्सेहिं पूरिअं खु दे कोस-घरअं। किं ताणं पि अहिओ से पहाओं जेण अच्छरीअं करेसि।

 $\sqrt{1}$ वअस्स, एसो खु चिंतामणि ति पसिद्धो असाहारणो एव । जदो

पत्थेइ जं जं सअलो जणो वि हत्थे अअं तस्स खु देइ तं तं। मंदार-कप्पर्हुम-कामहेणू-संताणआणं गणिओ अ मज्झे ॥२९॥

¹ k reads विद्यक:- and puts the stage-direction (in round brackets) in his mouth. 2 k देहेहि. 3 kh interchanges the places of the last two lines. 4 k सम्भाए. 5 k अहिदेवहाओ, but kh अहिओ से पहाओ. 6 k ैह्सआमहेण.

I) यद्देव आञ्चापयति । II) भो वयस्य, आञ्चापय अस्य महामणेर्निचुलमपनेतुम् । III) वयस्य, यत्ते रोचते । IV) अहो प्रभाप्रसरः । अद्य खर्लुं, आश्चर्य अमुष्याच्छेमणे-दीं व्यस्य, यत्ते रोचते । IV) अहो प्रभाप्रसरः । अद्य खर्लुं, आश्चर्य अमुष्याच्छेमणे-दीं व्यस्य, त्रेलोक्यं नवपद्मरागरत्नोत्कीर्णमिव लक्ष्यते । एष वासरदीपधूसर-च्छितिः सूर्योऽपि दूरापसृतः, संध्यया कवलीकृत इव सहसा नष्टः अयमातपः ॥ २८ ॥ V) भो वयस्य, अगणितैरनष्यैद्यन्मीलद्भहलदीर्घमयूखरेखोद्यंतखद्योतीकृतसहस्रर-दिम्भिः महारत्नसहस्रेः पूरितं खलु ते कोशगृहम् । किं तेषामप्यधिकः अस्य प्रभावः विनिध्यर्यं करोषि । VI) वयस्य, एष खलु चिन्तामणिरिति प्रसिद्धः असाधारण एव । यतः, प्रार्थयति यदात् सकलो जनोऽपि इस्ते अयं तस्य खलु ददाति तत् तत् । मन्दार-

१ к अद्य खलु, м अस्य हि. २ м ° ध्याच्छिमादीप्तैर्म्यू . ३ к सूर्यो विदूरापसूतः, м सूर्यो वि lacuna. ४ к अगणणीयर , м अगुणितैरीधेर . ५ к धिकः अर्ध्यप्रमावः, м धिकोऽस्य प्रमावः. ६ м येन त्वमैंप्याक्षर्य. ७ м प्रार्थयते; and some lacuna in this passage.

विद्यकः — अही पंडिआणं वि बुंडी अंध-परंपरं अणुवदृइ, जदो तुए एदाए सिलाए दाण-विअङ्कं वण्णीअदि । परमत्यदो उण ससस्स विसाणुब्भवो, आआसस्स पसूणुग्गमो, मिअतिण्हिआए सलिल-रूवत्तणं, एदस्स सिला-विसेसस्स अभिमद-दाण-पंडिच्चं च अण्तर्यंतरं।

 $^{f \cdot}$ राजा $^{
m II)}$ मा मा एव्वं भण । अचिंतणिज्ञो खु मणि-मंतोसहीणं पहावो ।

विदूषकः — मो वअस्स, जइ एव्वं इदं में कहेहि। कहं पुण एदस्स • अचेदणस्स उवल-विसेसस्स दाणं संभवइ।

राजा —^{1V)}वअस्स, एआरिसाणं अप्पश्चक्ख-चारिणीओ अहिदेव-आओ विज्ञंति ।

^{12 विदूषकः —^{V)}जुज्जइ । ता अहं किं वि पत्थेमि णं महारअणं। ^{(विचिन्त्य, अपवार्य}) भो वअस्स, एणिंह इह महिअले जा कण्णआणं रअण-भूआँ कण्णआ तं पुरदो दंसेहि ति पत्थेमि।}

 $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{z}_{(\mathbf{x})}$ जं अहिरुद्दं वंअस्सस्स ।

(विदूषकः प्रार्थनामीलितलोचनस्तिष्ठति । ततः प्रविशत्यपटीक्षेपेण नायिका । सर्वे सकौतुकमवलोकयन्ति ।)

 16 राजा – $\left($ सविसायम् $\right)^{\mathrm{VII}}$ अहह ।

1 к omits अहो. 2 к बुद्धीए अंध°. 3 к एदाहि. 4 к विअहुमा. 5 к पस्णुडभवोगमो. 6 к omits one मा. 7 к omits मे. 8 к अचेदणं उवल. 9 к भूता. 10 к writes these stage-directions as if they form a speech of Vidūṣaka.

कल्पद्रुमकामधेनुसन्तानकानां गणितश्च मध्ये ॥ २९ ॥ I) अहो पण्डितानामपि बुद्धिरन्धपरंपरामनुवर्तते, येतः त्वया एतस्याः शिलायाः दानविद्ग्धता वर्ण्यते । एरमार्थतः पुनः शशस्य विषाणोद्भवः, आकाशस्य प्रस्नोद्भमः, मृगतृष्णिकायाः सिललक्ष्पत्वम्, एतस्य शिलाविशेषस्य अभिमतदानपाण्डित्यं च अनर्थान्तरम् । II) मा मा
एवं भण । अचिन्तनीयः खेलु मणिमन्त्रीषधीनां प्रभावः । III) भो वयस्य, यद्येवमिदं मे कथय । कथं पुनरेतस्याचेतनस्योपलविशेषस्य दानं संभवति । IV) वयस्य,
एतादशानामप्रत्यक्षचारिण्यः अधिदेवता विद्यन्ते । V) युज्यते । तदद्दं किमपि प्रार्थयामि एतन्महारत्वम् । (…) भो वयस्य, इदानीमिह महीतले या कन्यकानां रत्नभूता
कन्यका तां पुरो दशयेति प्रार्थयामि । VI) यदिमक्चितं वयस्यस्य । VII) अहह ।

१ M lacuna here. २ M °संभवः for 'रूपत्वम् . ३ M हि for ख़्छु. ४ K मो वयस्य. ५ K अपि देवता for अधि , M ॰चारिभ्योऽधिदेवता.

अहो महिअले कहं अविरिलुज्जला विजुला तिहं फुरइ पुण्णिमा कहमहो वहंती कुहुं। अहो कणअ-कुंभए अवि कहं दरिद्दत्तणं घणे पुलिण-मंडले कह णई ण संदीसइ॥ ३०॥

अवि अ।

सुह-गंधो तम-बंधो सविलासा णीलै-णीरअ-वलासा।
अअलंको हरिणंको अदिष्ठ-पुन्वं खु दीसए सन्वं ॥ ३१ ॥
कुह वि अहो पल्लविओ कोरइओ कुह वि कुह वि मंजैरिओ।
को एस संपदाओ कुसुमाउह-विअअ-सिब्ध-विज्जाए ॥ ३२ ॥
नायिका – (स्वगतम्) अहो, वम्मह-लोजं आरोविद म्हि काए वि
देवआए। एसो खु भअवं वम्महो। एसा अ देवी रई। एसो अ
परिअणो।

देवी — अभिजाअ-कुल-संभवा का वि कण्णआ।
विदूषकः — (सावधानं निरूष्य) मि वअस्स, पेक्ख पेक्ख चक्कविट्टमि सिन-पद-लाह-इंध-बंधुराइ इमाए अब्भुद-कण्णआए अंगाइ।
राजा — (अपवार्य) प्रिने अस्स, णं एव्वं भण।
अहमहिमआ-गएहिं पेम्माउँ लिएहि लक्खणेहिं वि।
जह-ठाण-संठिँ एहिं आलिंगिअमंगअं किसंगीए॥ ३३॥

 $^{1 \}text{ K अविरलज्जुला. } 2 \text{ K णीरणीलअविलासा. } 3 \text{ K मुज्जविओ. } 4 \text{ K लोहं for लोअं. } 5 \text{ K मम्महो.}$ 6 K काणि for का वि. 7 K लब्भ for हंध. 8 K पेम्मउलाएहि. 9 K संदिरिएहिं.

१ м कुम्भम् for कुहूम्. २ м कुत्रापि for कापि in this line. ३ м अही अभिजते कुल. ४ м प्रय is not repeated. ५ м एनदेव for नन्वेवं; some lacuna in the following verse,

जदो

नायिका -(राजानमवलोक्य स्वगतम्) किं णु खु एदं पेक्खिअ अदिह-पुरुवस्स अवत्यंतरस्स पत्तं होइ मे चित्तं।

राजा — 11)वअस्स,

णेत्रंदोलण-घोलिदो व्व गलिओ ईसीसि कण्णेउरो लावण्ण-द्रम-मंजैरि व्व लिसओ सेओ णिंडालंतरे। सोहा-संगृहणोसुआइ व करे लग्गाइ पुष्फांइ से तं मण्णे कुसुम-ग्गहम्मि मणिणा णीदा ईअं एदिणा ॥ ३४॥ (स्वगतम्) अहो इह द्विदांए वि से आढत्त-णिव्वहणे अहिणिवेसो।

> प्णणे पसूण-णिअरेहि तर्हि लुएहिं खिण्णे सिरीसअ-सिरी-हसिरे करम्मि । णेत्रेण किंचि परिकुंचिअ-चंचलेणं आदेइ मज्झ मण-फुलुमिअं रसोलुं ॥ ३५ ॥

(इति सस्पृहमवलोकयति।)

नायिका – (अधोमुखी) मिक्तीस उण अअंडे ऊरू मे वेवंति । राजा -(स्वगतम्)

> ^{IV)}पत्तेसु मज्झ णअणेसु मुहंबुअं से पाअंबुअं परिगआ खु अवंग-माला ।

¹ k घोळिद ब्व. 2 k मञ्जारिंव्व. 3 k ललाइंतरे. 4 k संगहआसु. 5 k लगाल, but кһ लगाइ. 6 к पुष्फाल. 7 к इयं. 8 к द्विद्, 9 к अढत्त. 10 к वेपन्ति.

 $[{]f I}$) किं नु खब्वेतं प्रेक्ष्य अर्देष्टपूर्वस्थावस्थान्तरस्य पात्रं भवति मे चित्तम् । ${f II}$) वैयस्य, नेत्रान्दोळनचालितं इव गुळित ईषदीषत् कर्णपूरः, ळावण्यद्वममञ्जरीय ळसितः स्वेदो ळळाटान्तरे शोभासंत्रहणोत्सुकानीव करे लग्नानि पुष्पाण्यस्याः, तन्मन्ये कुसुमप्रहे मणिना नीता इयमेतेन ॥ ३४ ॥ (…) अहो इह स्थिताया अपि अस्या आरब्धव्यापारे अभिनिवेशः । यतः, पूर्णे प्रस्ननिर्करैः तस्मिन् लृनैः, खिन्ने शिरीपँकश्रीहसनशीले करे । नेत्रेण किंचित्परिकुञ्चितचञ्चलेन, आददाति मम मनःफुल्लुमियं रसार्द्रम् ॥ ३५ ॥ III) कस्मात् पुनरकाण्डे ऊरू मे वेपेते । IV) प्राप्तयोर्मेम नयनयोर्मुखाम्बुजमस्याः, पादाम्बुजं प्रतिगता खलु कटाक्षंमाला । हंसाकुलात् कमलात् कमलान्तरे, भृङ्गच्छटेव

१ к अनुमूत for अदृष्ट given by м; м some lacuna. र к वयस्य प्रय, м a short lacuna. ३ K मंजरीविलसितः, M मजरीव लळितस्खदो निटालान्तरे. ४ K इहागताया. ५ K पूर्णेः, M पूर्णेः ६ к निकरैरसिन्, м प्रसूनकरैः तसिन्. ७ к शिरीषश्री, भ शिरीषकश्रीभासुरे करे. ८ अ रसाभ्रम्. ९ к नेपते.

हंसाउलाउ कमला कमलंतरिम भिंग-च्छडी व परिरेहइ धावमाणा ॥ ३६॥

विदूषकः - (राजानं निरीक्ष्य, निर्वर्ण्य, अपवार्य) भो वअस्स, ण केवलं लक्खणाइ तुज्झ णअणाइं वि किं वि पेम्म-परवसाइ से अंगं आलिंगंति।

राजा – (अपवार्य) विअस्स, केवलं इमाए जोव्वं णुब्भेद-तरंगिणं पुलोएमि । पेक्खदु दाव ।

उद्दंसिअ-चण-कुंभं उम्मज्जंतेण जोव्वण-गएणं।

कूलंकस-रस-भरिआ सरिस व्व तरंगिआ पवालंगी ॥ ३०॥ नायिका —(सविषादम् ,स्वगतम्) मिं करोमि । ण सक्कुणोमि एत्य ठाउं । देवी —(निर्वर्ण्यं, स्वगतम्) अदिष्ठ-पुरुव-जण-दंसणेण इमाए विसादो विअ लक्खीअदि । ता एव्वं दाव । (प्रकाशम्) भद्दे एहि, एत्य उव- विस (इति नायिकां इस्ते गृहीत्वा स्वासनार्धे उपवेशयित ।)

नायिका –(उपविद्यं) अणुग्गहीद म्हि देवीए रईए। (स्वगतम्) अहो, सुहओ एदाणं समवाओ।

(नेपथ्ये)

वैतालिकः — पाजि जिल्ला जिल्ला

¹ к भिगझडा. 2 к णअणालुं वि. 3 к जोडवणंभेदतरङ्गणं पुणेएमि. 4 к गईणम्. 5 The stage-direction (स्वगतम), which I have put at the beginning of the next sentence, is put by k immediately after (उपविद्य). 6 к सुन्दर. 7 к omits माण. 8 к सन्छ। होइ.

परिर्राजते धावमाना ॥ ३६ ॥ I) भो वयस्य, न केवलं लक्षणानि तव नयने अपि किमिप प्रेमवरो अस्या अङ्गमालिङ्गतः । II) वयस्य, केवलममुख्याः यौवनोद्भेदतौरिङ्गणं प्रॅलोक-यामि । पश्य तावत्, अँदर्शितस्तनकुम्भमुन्मज्जता यौवनगजेन । कूलंकषरसभिता सरसीव तरिङ्गता प्रवालाङ्गी ॥ ३७ ॥ III) किं करोमि । न शक्कोमि अत्र स्थातुम् । IV) अदृष्ट्वेजनदर्शनेनास्या विषाद इव लक्ष्यते । तँदेवं तावत् । (…) मद्रे एहि, अत्र उपविश् । V) अनुगृहीतास्मि देव्या रत्या । (…) अहो सुभग एतेषां समवायः । VI) जय जय त्रिभुवनैकनाथ, लोकलोचनचन्द्र, सौन्दर्यमन्दीकृतकन्दर्पमान, मानवेद,

१ M परिराजित. २ K भालिङ्गितः, M भालिङ्गिति. ३ M तरङ्गणं. ४ M अवलोकयामि. ५ K आस्तां for तदेवं found in M. ६ M भद्रमुखि for भद्रे. ७ K मानवेत, M मानवेद.

एसा बंधुर-बंधुजीअ-कलिआ-सोहा णिदाहंसुणो रिंछोली पिडिबिंबआण लहरी-वुंदेसु संदीसए। सिग्धं ओदरिउं णहाउ दिण-लच्छीए समुद्दोदए माणिक्केहि मणोहरेहि रङ्जा सोआण-वीहि व्व से॥ ३४॥ किं च।

सेरं सेरंधि-सत्यो विलिहंइ सिहिणे चित्तलं पत्त-लेहं रामाणं णेत्त-लच्छी-कसणिअ-रमणाआण-मग्गंतराणं। आलिखज्जंत-कालाअरु-बहलअरुद्दाम-धूमंधआरो गेहेसुं देइ मेत्तिं रअणि-मुह-महंतुग्गमाणं तमाणं॥ ३९॥ नायिका – (सानन्दं स्वगतम्) अहो, सो एव्व एसो जस्स जसं सव्वदा तादो वण्णेदि। (सस्पृहमवलोक्य) सैरिसं खु तादस्स वण्णणं।

- े देवी प्रें अय्यउत्त, इमाअं अब्भुद-कण्णआअं बहिणि<mark>आअं मिव</mark> मे हिअअं सिणिज्झइ। किंच, अभिजाआ खु एसा, <mark>ता अंतेउरे</mark> एव्व ठाउं उद्दरा। अणुजाणादु अय्यउत्तो अंतेउरं णेदुं।
- त्र राजा -^{III)}देवि, एत्य किं अहं पुच्छिदव्वो । जं अहिर्रंइदं देवीए ।

सुखाय सायंतनसंघ्या भवत देवस्य। अद्य खलु, ऐषा बन्धुरबन्धुजीवकिकाशोभा निद्माधांशोः, श्रेणी प्रतिबिम्बानां लहरीवृन्देषु संद्यते। शीव्रमवतरितुं नभसः दिनै-लक्ष्म्याः समुद्रोदके, माणिक्यैर्मनोहरैः रैंचिता सोपानवीथी वेंास्याः॥ ३८॥ किं च। स्वैरं सैरन्ध्रीसार्थः विलिखति स्तने चित्रवत्पत्रलेखां, रामाणां नेत्रलक्ष्मीकृष्णीकृतरम्णायानमार्गान्तराणाम्। आलक्ष्यमानकालागरुबहलतरोई। मधूमान्धकारः, गेद्देषु द्दाति मैत्रीं रजनीमुखमहदुद्रमानां तमसाम्॥३९॥ I) अहा स एव एष यस्य यशः सर्वदा तातः वर्णयति। (…) सदशं खलु तातस्य वर्णनम्। II) आर्थपुत्र, अमुद्यामद्भुतकन्यकायां भगिन्यामिव मे हृदयं सिह्यति। किं च, अभिजाता खलु एषा, तदन्तःपुर एव स्थातुमुचिता। अनुजानातु आर्यपुत्रः अन्तःपुरं नेतुम्। III) देवि, अत्र किमहं प्रष्टयः।

 $^{1 \}text{ K}$ ओदरउं. 2 K विलहल for विलिह इ. 3 K दमाणं for तमाणं. 4 K तदो. 5 K सिंदुं for सिरसं. 6 K अहि हिदं.

१ K एषो. २ M रिञ्छोली for श्रेणी. ३ K धन for दिन. ४ K रुचिरा for रचिता. ५ K विशिव यस्याः. ६ K सार्थ. ७ K दह्य for आलक्ष्य . ८ K तमोद्दाम. ९ K मुखे महदुमानन्दमासाम्. १० K अथवा for अहो. ११ M अस्या for अमुख्या , १२ K क्नियायां. १३ M अनुज्ञापयतु. १४ K omits अत्र, M किमहमत्र for अत्र किमहं.

देवी - (उत्थाय) एहि भद्दमुहि, अंते उरं पविसम्ह । नायिका - (इत्युत्थाय आत्मगतं नायकं साग्रुरागं तिर्थक् पद्दयन्ती सप-रिवारया देव्या सह निष्कान्ता ।)

राजा -(सनिर्वेदम्)

^{III)}मज्झिम्म हंत पडिजण पमोअ-वंझा संझा कराल-मुह-भीसण-सण्णिवाआ। देवाण दाणव-धैड व्व दिसाण मज्झं पीजस-पाण-विहदिं सहसा करेदि॥ ४०॥

(समन्तादवलोक्य) अहवाँ ।

मुहे राउब्भेओ णअण-सुहओ दीसइ फुडं णिडालग्गे लग्गे अणुसरइ सेए उडुगणो । सरोर्जाणं दाणिं अणुकुणइ कोसे सिहिणंअं पिओं-सारिच्छेणं मह फुरइ संझा सुहअंरी ॥ ४१॥

विदूषकः -(निरूप्य) भो वअस्स, एक्ती खु अम्हाणं तिभुवण-लोह-णिज्जा रूविणी अब्भुद-कण्णआ समासादिआ । पुणो किं णिस्सा-रेण इमिणा मणिणा कज्जं। गहिएसु तंडुलेसुं किं तुसेहि करणिज्जं। अ

¹ k omits आम and adds (उत्थाय) etc., while kh reads आं (इत्युत्थाय etc.) 2 k घटं पिदसाण मंझ. 3 k omits अहवा. 4 k सरोआणि. 5 k माणि, but kh दाणि. 6 k सिहिणिअं. 7 k विआ. 8 k पुठइ. 9 k सुहहरी. 10 k एत्ता. 11 k पुणो की णिस्सारेण, kh पुणो वि किं. 12 k तंसुलेसु.

यदिमरुचितं देव्याः । I) पिह भद्रमुखि, अन्तःपुरं प्रविद्यादः । II) आम । III) मध्ये हन्त पितत्वा प्रमोदवन्ध्या, संध्या करालमुखभीषणसंनिपाता । देवानां दानवघटेव हशोर्मम, पीयूषपानविहातं सहसा करोति ॥४०॥ (…) अथवा । मुखे रागोद्भेदः नयनसुमगः हश्यते स्पुटं, ढँळाटाग्रे लग्नानुसरित स्वेदानुडुगणः । सरोजानामिदानीमनुकरोति कोशान् स्तनं, प्रियासादृश्येन मम स्पुरित संध्या सुखकरी ॥ ४१ ॥ IV) भो वयस्य, एतस्मात् खलु अस्माकं त्रिभुवनलोभेनीया रूपिणी अद्भुतकन्यका समासादिता पुनः किं निस्सारेणीमुना मणिना कार्यम् । गृहीतेषु तण्डुलेषु किं तुषैः करणीयम् ।

१ प्रविशामः. २ प्र omits आम. ३ प्र श्रीमोद for प्रमोद. ४ प्र निटालाग्रे for ललाटाग्रे. ५ प्र कोश-स्तनम्, प्र कोशस्तं. ६ प्र श्रिया for प्रिया. ७ प्र सुखहरी. ८ प्र इतः for एतसात्. ९ प्र श्राघनीया for लोभनीया. १० प्र णानेन for णामुना.

राजा—¹⁾गोसण्ण, मा मा एव्वं । किं इंदिराअं गहिआअं मुउंदेण णाहिणंदीअदि दुद्ध-वारिही । ता उद्घरेहि णं । मणिराअं पुणो वि 'अम्हाणं अणगण्हिउं देवाराहण-मंदिरे अच्चणत्यं ठाविजण गच्छम्ह संझं वंदिउं ।

(निष्कान्ताः सर्वे ।)

॥ इअं चंदलेहाअं पढंमं जवणिअंतरं॥

1 к "णिन्दिअदि. 2 к इअं. 3 к чонं.

I) गोसंज्ञ, मा मा एवं । किमिन्दिरायां गृहीतायां मुकुन्देन नामिनन्यते दुग्धवारिधिः । तदुद्धर एनम् । मणिराजं पुनरिप अस्माकमनुष्रहीतुं देवाराधनमन्दिरे अर्चनार्थे स्थाप- वित्वा गच्छावः संध्यां वन्दितुम् ॥

॥ इति चन्द्रलेखायां प्रथमं यवनिकान्तरम् ॥

१ км put the Danda after मणिराजं.

(ततः प्रविशति राजा चामरग्राहिणी च।)

राजा -(निश्वस्य साशंसम्)

ेलज्जा-जंतण-लंबिंआ वि सहसा तंसांवलोजसुआ तं देसं णव-पुंडरीअ-णिअर-च्छण्णं कुणंता खणं। संचारा चउरं भमंत-भुमंआ मुद्धा सिणिंद्धा अ मं आणंदंतु पुणो वि केअअ-सिहा-लच्छीणमच्छीण से॥१॥ वामर्प्राहिणी – (स्वगतम्) अहो, अज्ज वि महाराओ तं मरगअ- उज्जाण-वृत्तंतं एवव चव्वंतो पव्वायंत-वअण-पंकं के रूअ-रूइअ-चिछत्तेण चित्तेण किं वि किं वि जंपंतो उिछिहिओ विअ चिट्ठइ। ता वसंत-वण्णणेण अण्णिसंस णेमि से माणसं। (प्रकाशं) पेकखदु दाव महाराओ।

सूणाहिंतो पिबंतो भैमइ महुअरो मंदमंदं मरंदं चूंआहिंतो पडंतो महमहइ स-भंगाणुबंधो सुअंधो। मूलाहिंतो हसंतो विलसइ पहिउक्केर-सोओ असोओ सिंगाहिंतो वलंतो मलअ-सिहरिणो वाइ सीओ अ वाओ॥२॥

[संस्कृतच्छाया]

I) लजायन्त्रणलाञ्चिता अपि सहसा त्र्यसावलोकोतसुकाः, तं देशं नवपुण्डरीकनिकरच्छन्नं कुर्वन्तः भणम् । संचाराश्चतुरं भ्रमद्भुवः मुग्धाः क्षिग्धाश्च माम्, आनन्दयन्तु पुनरपि केतकशिकालिश्मणोः अक्ष्णोः अस्याः ॥१॥ II) अहो, अद्यापि महाराजः तं मरकतोद्यानवृत्तान्तमेव चर्चंयन् शुष्यंद्वदनपङ्कजो रणरणिकाश्चिप्तेन चित्तन
किमपि किमपि जल्पन् उल्लिखित इव तिष्ठति । तद्धसन्तवर्णनेन अन्यस्मिन् नयाम्यस्य
मानसम् । (…) पश्यतु तावन्महाराजः । स्तेभ्यः पिवन् भ्रमित मधुकरो मन्दमन्दं
मकरन्दं, चूतेभ्यः पतन् सर्वतः प्रसरित सभुङ्गानुबन्धः सुगन्धः । मूलात् हसन्
विलसित प्रिकोत्करशोकः अशोकः, शुङ्गेभ्यः वलमानः मलयशिखरिणः वाति शीतश्च

¹ к begins this Scene with this sentence: अथ चन्द्रलेखायां द्वितीयं यवनिकान्तरम्। 2 к लिच्छिआ. 3 к तस्साव $^{\circ}$. 4 к भमआ for भुमआ. 5 к सिणिद्धाअमामण्डंदन्तु. 6 к वकुओ for एंकओ. 7 к जप्पंतो उछिखितो. 8 к डुमइ महुरशे. 9 к चूडाहिंतो, 10 к सोगाहिंतो, but кh सिंगाहिंतो.

१ M लक्षिता for लाञ्छिता. २ K क्षिग्धागमा for क्षिग्धाश्च मा . ३ K शिखालक्ष्म्या अ . ४ K omits अस्याः. ५ K omits शुन्यद्. ६ K किमिप only once. ७ K प्रसङ्गानुबन्धान् गन्धमूलात्, M मुङ्गानुबन्धेस्सुगन्धेर्म्लाद्धसन् for समृङ्गा etc. ८ K पिथकोरकशोकः शङ्गाद्. ९ K मातरिश्ववातः for वाति etc. ४ चंद •

राजा-(अनाकर्ष) अहो रूव-सिरी। तह अ।

णेतं कंदोट्ट-मित्रं अहर-मणि-सिरी बंधुजीएक्क-बंधू
वांणी पीजस-वेणी णव-पुलिण-अल-त्योर-बिंबो णिअंबो।
गत्तं लाअण्ण-सोत्तं घण-सिहिण-भरचंत-मुज्झंत-मज्झं
उत्तेहिं किं बहूहिं जिणंद मह चिरा जम्म-फुलुं फैलिलुं॥ ३॥
एणिंह च।

सामंतो सो वसंतो लसइ पिअ-वहू-काहलेहिं कैलेहिं आसण्णो एव्व पुण्णा उण सइअ-वरो णह-तंदो अ चंदो। णासीरो सो समीरो चलइ मलअदो णेत्त-कोणो अ बाणो सण्णडो सो सिणिडो जिणउ तिहुवणं ता असंगो अणंगो॥४॥ (विचिन्त्य) अहव जिअं एव्व अणेण अम्हारिस-मैम्म-हणणुम्मु-हेण वम्महेण तिहुवणं। जदो

> महुर-महुरमेदे हंत गांअंति कित्तिं दिसि दिसि कलअंठा ैंबंदिणो णंदिणो से। अंवि अ गहिअ-गव्वं सव्व-तेलोक्कें-हेला-विअअ-धेंअ-वडाअंदोलिआ बालिआ सा॥ ५॥

 $^{1 \}text{ K}$ अहरसिरी. 2 K पाणी. 3 K मरंचन्त. 4 K जणह for जिणह. 5 K हलीलम् for फिल्हं. 6 K omits कलेहिं. 7 K सङ्अणहदन्तो अ. 8 K सो जअउ तिहुवणं, but Kh सो सिणिन्धो जिणउ तिहुवणं. 9 K व but Kh एवव. 10 K मम्महेणणं मुहेणं मम्महेण. 11 K गायन्ति. 12 K वन्दिणे से. 13 K असिअ. 14 K केल्लोइ. 15 K इअ for धुअ.

वातः ॥ २ ॥ I) अहो रूपथीः । तथा च । नेत्रं नीलोत्पलमित्रम् अधरमणिश्रीर्बन्धुजीवैकबन्धुः, वाणी पीयूषवेणी नवपुलिनतेलस्थूलिबन्वो नितम्बः । गात्रं लावण्यस्रोतः
घनस्तनभरात्पन्तमुद्धनमध्यम्, उक्तैः किं वहुँभिः जयित मम चिरात् जन्मफुल्लं फलवत्
॥ ३ ॥ इदानीं च । सामन्तः स वसन्तो लसित पिकवैधूकाहलैः कलैः, आसन्न एव
पुण्यात् पुनः सचिवपरो नष्टतन्द्रश्च चन्द्रः । नासीरः स समीरश्चलित मलयतो नेत्रकोणश्च
बाणः, सन्नद्धः स स्निग्धो जयतु त्रिभुवनं तँदसंगो अनङ्गः ॥ ४ ॥ (…) अथवा जितमेवानेन असाह्यां मर्महननोन्मुखेन मन्मथेन त्रिभुवनम् । यतः, मधुरमधुरमेते हन्त
गायन्ति कीर्तिं, दिशि दिशि कलकण्ठाः बन्दिनो नन्दिनोऽस्य । अपि चं गृहीतगर्वं सर्व-

१ м वायुः for वातः. २ к पुलिनस्थूलः. ३ м भारात्यन्तो मुः. ४ м वहुना. ५ к वधूहाहाकलैः कालैः. ६ к आसन्ने and lacuna upto पुनः, м सन्न and lacuna upto नः सन्निवः. ७ к तदङ्गसङ्गम्, м देशमे-कोऽनङ्गः for तद्संगो etc. ८ м मधुरमेको. ९ к च निगृहीतः.

चामरत्राहिणी - (स्वगतम्) अहो, णिअ-सासणेक-वसंवदाविअ-सअ-ल-महिअलो हविअ अप्पणा कुसुमसरासणस्स सासणं अणुवट्ट-माणो ण किं वि जाणादि महाराओ । सन्वहा

हरि-हर-सरसिरुहासण-हरिहय-पमुहा मुहा कहिज्ञंति । णाहो खु णवर एक्को मञ्जणो भुवणाण चोदहाणं वि ॥ ६ ॥ राजा - ^{II)}अच्छेरं ।

जं जेहिं णअणंचलेहि तैइआ मंदाणिलंदोलिए पिजसण्णव-सीअलंबु-लहरी-मज्झम्मि णिम्मिज्ञिअं। तं तेहिं महमेण्हिमुण्ह-विसमे कप्पंत-वादाहए घोर-क्खेडअ-पूर-पूरिअ-णई-सोत्तम्मि छिंत्तं मणं॥ ७॥

किंच।

जाला हेला-विहसिअ-रई लोअणागोअरे सा बाला ताला-पैहुदि परुसा चंदिआ-मंद-वाआ। जाला-माला पैलअ-सिहिणो पल्लवाली-मुणाली-माला हालाहलमवि अ कोलाहलो कोईलाणं॥ ৮॥

(विचिन्त्य) किं णु खु वअस्सो में चिराअदि।

त्रैलोक्यहेला-, विजयध्वजपताकान्दोलिता बालिका सा॥ ५॥ I) अहो, निजशासनैक-वैशंवदीकृतसकलमहीतलो भूत्वात्मना कुसुमशरासनस्य शासनमनुवर्तमानो न किमेपि जानाति महाराजः। सर्वथा, हरिहरसरसीरुहासनहरिहयप्रमुखा मुधा कथ्यन्ते। नाथः खलु पर्रमेको मदनो भुवनानां चतुर्दशानामपि॥ ६॥ II) आश्चर्यम्। यँद्यैः नयनाश्चलैः तदा मन्दानिलान्दोलिते, पीर्यूषार्णवशीतलाम्बुलहरीमध्ये निमज्जितम्। तत् तैः मम इदानीमुष्णविषेमे कल्पान्तवाताहते, घोर्यक्षेत्रेडकपूरपूरितनदीस्रोतिस क्षिप्तं मनः॥ ७॥ किं च। यदा हेलाविद्वसितरतिलोंचनागोचरे सा, बाला तदाप्रभृति परुषाश्चन्द्रिकाम-न्दवाताः। ज्वालामालाः प्रलयशिखनः पल्लवालीमृणाली-, माला हालाहलमपि च कोला-

 $^{1\,\}mathrm{K}$ महिअले, but Kh °लो. $2\,\mathrm{K}$ °वटमाणेण कीवि जाणादि. $3\,\mathrm{K}$ सुरवण पुक्को for खु etc. $4\,\mathrm{K}$ तहआआ मन्दा . $5\,\mathrm{K}$ तन्तेहीमहमेिक्कि . $6\,\mathrm{K}$ वादाहते. $7\,\mathrm{K}$ च्छत्तं. $8\,\mathrm{K}$ बहुदिवरूचा for पहुदि परुसा. $9\,\mathrm{K}$ वलय. $10\,\mathrm{K}$ कोइदाणम्. $11\,\mathrm{K}$ omits में which is given by Kh.

१ м विज्ञंभि for विजय. २ к लोलेता लालेका सा for दोलिता etc. ३ м बालका. ४ к वशंवन्दीकृत , м वश्यदीकृत . ५ к किमिप विजानाति. ६ м वरमेको. ७ к यद्याभ्यां नयनाञ्चलभ्यां मन्दा , м lacuna for तदा. ८ к पीयूपं नव . ९ к विषमेकान्तवान्तवाता . १० к वेरक्ष्येलपूरित , м घोरक्षेड lacuna तार्णव-जले झटित्यव क्षिसं मनः। ११ м विलिसता रति lacuna.

(ततः प्रविशति विदूषकः।)

वृक्षः-(सहर्षम्) हंत साहिअं कर्जं । तं वअस्सस्स णिवेदेमि । (पिकम्य, पुरोऽवलोक्य) एसो वअस्सो अहिणव-पिअंगु-सामलंगो वि पच्चूस-सिस-मंडल-पंडुर-महुरेहिं अवअवेहिं णिदाह-गंगा-पवाहो विअ कमेण विमुक्क-गभीरत्तणो बहुल-पक्ख-चंदो विअ दिणे दिणे विमुच्चंत-कला-समुदओ तुहिणाअलो व्व विजंभंत-मअणो तं एव्व अंब्भुद-कण्णअं झाअंतो थिमिओ चिट्ठइ । (विचिन्त्य) अही एआरि- साणं वि महापुरुसाणं माणसं मअणेण कीलामिकी कीरइ । सव्वहा मअण-मारुअ-विलोलिअस्स माणसस्स ण को वि पंडिआरं करेइ । ता कुसुम-सरासण-सरासार-झरंत-हिअअं वअस्सं सूर-इरण-विसू- पंत-कुमुअं व कुमुआअरं सर्रिंदु-सुंदर-मुहीए वुत्तंत-चंदिआ-णीसं-देण आणंदेमि । (उपस्य) जिणउं भवं ।

^{राजा —^{II)}वअस्स, किं दिहा देवी।}

ं विदृषकः —^{III)}किं दमिलमंडआए पवट्टो सि । उँज्जुअं एव्व पुच्छ । किं दिहा तत्र्षेहोदी मणिसंभवेत्ति । किमिणं मूर्लिं मुणंतस्स मम पत्तं

 $^{1\ \}mathrm{K}$ ता for तं. $2\ \mathrm{K}$ णिवाह, but Kh णिदाह. $3\ \mathrm{K}$ ° लोडवंभंतमअणो. $4\ \mathrm{K}$ अडभुदंणअं, but Kh अडभुद्कण्णअं. $5\ \mathrm{K}$ omits अहो. $6\ \mathrm{K}$ किलामिओ. $7\ \mathrm{K}$ परिआरं. $8\ \mathrm{K}$ जणउ भडवं. $9\ \mathrm{K}$ उज्जणीएडव. $10\ \mathrm{K}$ तत्तहोदी. $11\ \mathrm{K}$ मूणं मूलत्तस्स.

हलः कोकिलानाम् ॥ ८॥ (…) किं नु खलु वयस्यो मे चिरायति । I) इन्त साधितं कार्यम् । तद् वयस्याय निवेदैयामि । (…) एष वयस्यः अभिनवप्रियैङ्कदयामलाङ्गोऽपि प्रत्यूषशिशामण्डलपाण्डुरमधुरैः अवयवैः निदाधगङ्गाप्रवाह इव क्रमेण विमुक्तगम्भीरत्वो बहुलपक्षचन्द्र इव दिने दिने विमुच्यमानकलासमुद्यः तुहिनाचल इव विज्ञम्भमाण-मेदनः तामेवाद्भुतकन्यकां ध्यायन् स्तिमितस्तिष्ठति । (…) अहो एतादशानामपि महापुरुषाणां मानसं मदनेन कीडामृगः क्रियते । सर्वथा मदनमारुतविलोलितस्य मानसस्य न कोऽपि प्रतीकारं करोति । तत् कुसुमशरासनशरासारसंतप्यमानहृद्यं वयस्यं सूर्य-किरणविशुर्यत्कुमुदमिव कुमुदाकरं शरदिन्दुसुन्द्रमुख्याः वृत्तान्तचन्द्रिकानिष्यन्देन आनन्द्यामि । (…) जयतु भवान् । II) वयस्य, किं दृष्टा देवी । III) किं ईमिलम्प्डक्या प्रवृत्तोऽसि । क्रैजुकमेव पृच्छ । किं दृष्टा त्वभवती मणिसंभवेति । किमिदं मूलं

१ м वयस्यस्य. २ к व्यामि इति. ३ к omits प्रियं तु. ४ к वदनः for मदनः. ५ к omits वयस्यं, м lacuna. ६ к किं चन्द्रमिलमण्डक्या, м lacuna जया प्रवृत्तोऽसि. ७ к वज्ये पप्रच्छ for ऋजुकमेव etc. ८ м अत्र भवती.

दंसीअदि, रअण-वेदिणो मंदिरे माणिक्क-मणि त्ति काअं विकिणी-अदि[†], अणुभूद-बम्हाणंदस्स पुरदी इंदआल-परमत्यदा समत्यी-" अदि। किं मए ण मुणिअं मरगआरामादो एव्व तुए देवी-पक्ख-वादंस्स सतिलौं सलिलंजली दिण्ण त्ति।

राजा -1 मा मा एववं।

जइ वि मणिमिस्सि कण्णआअं णिबर्डं तह वि गलइ देवी-पक्खवांदो कहं मे। स-रइ कमलिणीअं दिण्ण-केली-पआरो विसुमरइ खुणों सो माणसं राअहंसो॥ ९॥

ता आदिदो पहुदि कहेहिं।

विदूषकः — मुणादु वअस्सो। एसो अहं अंतेउर-वृत्तंतं जाण ति तुए पेसिदो गोसम्मि एव्व पत्थिदो फलिहंकणेणं गंतुं पवुत्तो। राजा — मानितो तटो।

 $^{[aguas:-^{IV}]}$ तदो दूरादो एव्व दिष्टा मए कं विं कीला-सालभंजिअं गण्हिअं आअच्छंती तमालिआ।

राजा –^{ए)}तदो तदो ।

विदूषकः $-^{VI}$ तदो सा मं अपेक्खंती विअ अण्णेण मग्गेण गआ। $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ राजा $-(\frac{1}{3}$ स्वगतम्) $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ किंणिमित्तं। $(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ तदो तदो।

 $^{1~{\}rm K}$ वित्तीणिअदि. $2~{\rm K}$ घुरदो. $3~{\rm K}$ सित्तिलो. $4~{\rm K}$ प्रस्वपादो. $5~{\rm K}$ कहेदि. $6~{\rm K}$ फिलिहङ्करेण. $7~{\rm K}$ किं वि. $8~{\rm K}$ भिक्षआं गृह्धिअ आअधिंछती.

जानतः मैम पत्रं देश्येते, रत्नवेदिनो मन्दिरे माणिक्यमणिरिति काचो विक्रीयते, अनुभूतब्रह्मानन्दस्य पुरतः इन्द्रजालपरमार्थता समर्थ्यते। किं मया न ज्ञातं मरैकतारामादेव
त्वया देवीपक्षपातस्य सितलः सिललाञ्जलिर्द्यत्त इति। I) मा मा एवम्। यद्यपि मन
अमुष्यां कन्यकायां निवद्धं, तथापि गलित देवीपक्षपातः कथं मे। सरित कमिलन्यां
दैंचकेलीप्रचारः, विस्मरित खलु नो सं मानसं राजहंसः॥९॥ तदादितः प्रभृति कथय।
II) शुणोतु वयस्यः। एपोऽहं अन्तःपुरवृत्तान्तं जानीहीति त्वया प्रेषितः प्रभृति एव
प्रस्थितः स्फिटिकाङ्गणेन गन्तुं प्रवृत्तः। III) ततस्ततः। IV) ततो दूरादेव दृष्टा मया
कामिप कीडाशालभिक्षकां गृहीत्वा आगच्छन्ती तमालिका। V) ततस्ततः। VI) ततः
सा मामप्रयन्तीव अन्येन मार्गेण गता। VII) किन्निमित्तम्। (…) ततस्ततः।

१ к omits मम, м perhaps lacuna. २ к दृश्यते. ३ км मरतकारा . ४ к मृत्त for दत्त. ५ к मनी बन्धो for नो स. ६ к omits प्रभाते. ७ м स्फटिकाङ्कणेण. ८ к "पश्यन्तीव अन्येनेव मार्गेण, м "पश्यन्ती चान्येन मा".

विदूषकः — मज्झ अदंसणं अहिलसंतीए किं दंसणेणं ति अहं वि नुणिह एव्व गंनुमाढतो।

ः राजा –[™]तदो तदो ।

विद्यकः — गित्रों ति संमञ्जणादि-वावार-केलि-लग्गेण दासेरई-वग्गेण णव-णिहुवण-केलि-सिढिल-बंध-विगलिअंस-घोलंत-पञ्जुसिअ-मालई-माला-महमहंत-बहल-परिमल-भर-भमंत-भसलं-मंडलेहिं
धैम्मिल्लेहिं सुरअ-भर-किलम्मंत-चंचलेहिं णअणंचलेहिं घोआविसइ-पुराण-कत्यूरी-पत्त-भंग-लेहाहिं गंड-लेहाहिं रमण-दिढ-दिण्ण-बिं"दु-मणहरेहिं अहरेहिं मंडल-किअ-माणिक-मणि-सअल-विण्णाससंका-अर-तंबराअमांण-मणि-माला-दिण्ण-उक्कंठेहिं कंठेहिं वल्लहणव-णह-दल-विलिहिअ-चंदलेहा-मणोहरेहिं पओहरेहिं मोहण-वेअगखंडिअ-कंची-गुण-सिढिल-णिअसणेहिं जहणेहिं नुरिअ-विण्णासमहुर-झणझणाअंत-णेउर-कलकल-सुहअ-संचलणेहिं चलणेहिं आअचिद्यअं पाडिकं एवव मरगउज्जाण-वृत्तंतं अहं पुच्छिदो।

a राजा $-^{ extsf{IV}}$ तदो किं तुए पडिवण्णं।

 $a = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$ इमिणा अत्थाण-रअण-खंभेण किं पडिवण्णं । किं

 $^{1~{\}rm K}$ सिडिल. $2~{\rm K}$ भमल. $3~{\rm K}$ ध्रमिल्लेहिं. $4~{\rm K}$ तोयावसिट्ट. $5~{\rm K}$ ैराअमण्णमणि. $6~{\rm K}$ ऊकण्ठेहिं. $7~{\rm K}$ तुरिय. $8~{\rm K}$ आयच्छिअ. $9~{\rm K}$ अत्ताणरअणधंभेण.

I) ममादैर्शनमिलिषन्ताः किं दर्शनेनेति अहमपि तृष्णीमेव गन्तुमारच्धः। II) ततस्ततः। III) ततस्तत्रं संमार्जनादिव्यापारकेलीलग्नेन दासेरैकीवर्गेण नवनिधुवनकेलीशिथिलवन्धविगलितांसधूर्णमानपर्युषितमालतीमालासर्वतःप्रसरद्वहलपरिमलभरभ्रमद्र्भ्रमरमण्डलैर्मल्लैर्धिम्मल्लेः सुरतभरश्रमह्राम्यचञ्चलैन्यनाञ्चलेः स्तोकावशिष्टपुर्राणकस्त्रीपत्रभङ्गलेखाभिर्गण्डरेखाभिः रमणदृढदत्तविन्दुमनोहरैः अधरैः मण्डलीकृतमाणिक्यमणिशकलिवन्यासशङ्काकरताम्रायमाणमणिमालादत्त्तोत्कण्टैः कण्टैः वल्लभनवनर्ख्दलिवलिखितचन्द्रलेखामनोहरैः पयोधरैः मोहनवेगखण्डितकाञ्चीगुणशिथिलिवसनैः जधनैः
त्वरितविन्यासमधुरझणझणायमानन्तुपुरकलकलसुभगसंचरणैश्चरणैरागत्य प्रत्येकमेव
मरकतोद्यानवृत्तान्तमहं पृष्टः। IV) ततैः किं त्वया प्रतिपन्नम्। V) अनेन आस्थानरत्वस्तम्भेन किं प्रतिपन्नम्। किं कस्त्र्री पैक्षणे विकीयते। किं पुरोडाशं शवरीणां दीयते। किं

१ к मम दर्शन . २ км ततस्तस्मिन्. ३ к दासीवर्गेण. ४ м भ्रमद्भस्तस्रम . ५ к थनानिलै:. ६ м omits पुराण. ७ к लेखाभि: for रेखाभि:. ८ к omits दल. ९ м ततस्त्वया कि प्र . १० к वक्षणे, м पट्टणे for पक्षणे. ११ к समराणां for श्वराणां, м lacuna.

कत्थूरी पेक्कणे विक्किणीअदि । किं पुरोलासं समराणं दिज्जइ । किं पंचगव्वं वाअसाणं पडिवज्जीअदि ।

राजा - (सिसतम्) अहो विअड्डदाए विलासो।

विद्रूषकः - ^{II)} किं एत्थ अच्छरीअं। को उण वासैस्स लिवि-विण्णासे चित्तीअदि। को वम्मीइणो पद-बंधे कोदुअं करेदि। को बुहप्पइणो³⁰ ईंडरगुण्ण-दंसणे विम्हअं गण्हइ।

राजा - "सचं। तदो तदो।

विदूषकः — गणेतदो ताणं ईसा-रज्जु-भमाडिज्जंत-भुमआ-कैवणुच्छि- अ त्रेहिं चडुल-चडुलेहिं कर्डंक्ख-मरगअ-पासाणेहिं तालिओ। कहं कहं वि तदो संकडादो णिक्कमिअ पदुमराअ-सज्ज-वण-पैरिअंतेण गदुअ पलित्त-फलिअ-मणि-सिलालिंद-बहल-जोण्हा-जाल-धवलाअंत-दस- अ दिसा-मुहं माणिक्क-मणिं-मंदिरोल्लिसअ-मंसल-मर्जंह-माला-बालीदव-पल्लविअ-णहंतरं विविह-वावार-नुवरंत-विलासिणी-महुरालाव-को-लाहल-मणहरं अंतेडरं पविद्वो मिह।

राजा - ()तदो तदो ।

विदूषकः - VII) तदो विद्दुम-मणि-मंडिर्अं-मंडव-मज्झे पोमराआसण-णिसण्णा देवी, अणंतर-णिहित्ते फलिह-मणि-सिलासणे चंदांदैविम्म 42

 $^{1\,\}mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{q}$ पङ्गणे. $2\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{d}$ अहुदाए for विअडूदाए. $3\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{cap}$ स्स. $4\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{2}$ संगुण. $5\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{a}$ कवणु°. $6\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{q}$ संख्यः $7\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{d}$ संकटादो. $8\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{q}$ स्तंतेण. $9\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{omits}$ मणि. $10\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{q}$ संख्यः $11\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{q}$ एखादव. $12\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{omits}$ मंडिअ. $13\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{q}$ निद्मि.

पश्चगव्यं वायसानां प्रतिपाद्यते । I) अहो विद्ग्धतायाः विलासः । II) किमनाश्चर्यम् । कः पुनव्यस्य लिपिविन्यासे चित्रीयते । को वाल्मीकेः पद्वन्धे कौतुकं करोति । को बृहस्पतेः षाइगुण्यद्र्शने विस्तयं गृह्वाति । III) सत्यम् । ततस्ततः । IV) ततस्ताः सामीप्यिरिज्जूश्राम्यमाणश्चकवणोत्क्षितः चटुलचटुलैः कटाक्षमरकतपाषाणस्ताद्धितः । कथंकथमपि ततः सङ्कटान्निष्कम्य पद्मरागसर्जवनपर्यन्तेन गत्वा प्रदीप्तस्फिटकमणिश्चिलालिन्द्वहलज्योत्क्वार्जालध्यवलायमानद्शिदङ्मुखं माणिक्यमणिमन्दिरोल्लासिमांस्र लम्यूखमालावालातपपळ्ळवितनभोऽन्तरं विविधव्यापारत्वरमाणविलासिनीमधुरालापः कोलाहलमनोहरमन्तःपुरं प्रविष्टोऽस्मि । V) ततस्ततः । VI) ततो विद्रुमँमणिमण्डिन्तमण्डपमण्डपम्ये पद्मरागासननिषण्णा देवी, अनन्तरनिहिते स्फिटकमणिशिलासने चन्द्राः

१ M lacuna हे ते विदम्भताया. २ K लिपिशैं ने नि, M कि lacuna विशैं नेन चि. ३ K करोति for गृह्णति. ४ M has a long lacuna after ततस्तासां upto हारीतपक्ष etc. (p. 32, 1, 49). ५ K रुवा for रुज्जू. ६ K omits जाल. ७ K omits मणि.

बालादव-लिन्छ व्व समासीणा सा अब्भुद-कण्णआ, ताणं तंबोल-दाणं कुणंतीओ चंदणिआ-चंदिआओ मए दिहाओ।

⁵ राजा —^{I)}तदो तदो ।

विदूषकः — तदो दूरादो एवव मं दहूण चओरओ आअच्छदि ति देवीए कंचणासणं उवणाइअं। तिहं च सुहं णिसण्णो अहं देवीए विअंभंत-चारु-तारुणण-सण्णाह-सुहअ-णव-भूर्सण-वहू-कवोल-पाली-कोमलेहिं तंबोलेहिं हारीद-पक्ख-हरिअ-णिम्मलेहिं पोर्प्फलेहिं मणहर-वाएहिं पसुवइ-जडा-चंद-खंड-धवलेहिं कप्पूर-सअलेहिं च मंभाविओ।

राजा — 111) किं भण्णइ संभावणा-णिवुणत्तणं देवीए।

विदूषकः – (सेर्प्यम्)^{रप})किं एव्वं भणसि । किं णारदे संपत्ते महोण-"मैहिसी ण बहु मण्णइ । किं विसिट्ठे पविट्ठे महुमह-महिला ण सिला-हेइ । किं अरविंद-मंदिरे अब्भागए चंद-सेहर-सुंदरी णाहिणंदेइ ।

राजा $-^{V)}$ जुत्तं एव्व । तदो तदो ।

 ii विदूषकः $-^{\widetilde{V}I)}$ तदो ताए अब्भुद-कण्णआए चंदिआ-धवलं मंद-हिस-अ-चंदण-चिद्यअं दाऊण जिसंदंत-बहुमाण-मअरंद-सोत्तेहिं णेत्तेहिं कंदोष्ट-मालिआ-मंडणं लंभिओ ।

तपे वालातपलक्ष्मीरिव समासीना सा अद्भुतकन्यका, तयोस्ताम्बूलदानं कुर्वन्त्यो चन्दिनिकाचिद्रके मया दृष्टाः। I) ततस्ततः। II) ततो दूरादेव मां दृष्ट्या चकोरक आगच्छतिति देव्या काञ्चनासनमुपानीतम् । तिस्म्थ्य सुखं निषण्णः अहं देव्या विज्ञम्भमाणचाहता-रुण्यसंनाहसुभगनवभूषणवधूकपोलपालिकोमलेः ताम्बूलैः हारीतपक्षहरितनिर्मलैः पूग-फेलैः मनोहरपाकैः पशुपतिजटाचन्द्रखण्डधवलैः कपूरशकलैश्च संभावितः। III) किं भण्यते संभावनानिपुणत्वं देव्याः। IV) किमेवं भणितः। किं नारदे संप्राप्ते मैघवन्मिहिषी न बहु मन्यते। किं वसिष्ठे प्रविष्टे मधुमैथमहिला न स्थावते। किमरविन्दमन्दिरे अभ्यागते चन्द्रशेखरसुन्दरी नाभिनन्दति। V) युक्तमेव। ततस्ततः। VI) ततस्तया अद्भुतंकन्यकया चन्द्रिकाधवलां मन्दहिसतचन्दनचिकां दत्त्वा निष्यन्दमानबहुमान-

 $^{1\,\}mathrm{K}$ मआ दिहा, but Kh मए दिहाओ. $2\,\mathrm{K}$ हूसण. $3\,\mathrm{K}$ फोफलेही. $4\,\mathrm{K}$ वाएही, but Kh वाएहिं उवाएहिं पसुं. $5\,\mathrm{K}$ उवलेहिं. $6\,\mathrm{K}$ मिहिसी. $7\,\mathrm{K}$ णीसन्तबहं.

१ к omits प्राफ्त : and "चन्द्रखण्ड" which are given by м. २ м मधीनो महिधी. ३ м माधव for मधुमथ". ४ к चाह्रत for अद्भत".

राजा -(तामनुसंधाय)

ोतं णेत्रं तह दीहरं जह भवे अंग्णो ण कण्णेडरो बिंबोद्वाण पहा तहा जह घणा ढिल्हांअरा कुंकुमे। वच्छोए तह पंडिमा जह पुरो कुण्णपणो द्पणो लाअण्णं तह अंगअम्मि जह णो गज्झं णिओलंसुअं॥१०॥ अयि, पुणो वि दिहि-गोअरे भवे।

विदूषकः-^{III}भो वअस्स, जावं ण पम्हुसिमि ताव सन्वं वुत्तंत-सेसं सुणिअ पच्छा जहिच्छं चन्वेहि ।

राजा-1111)तदो तदो ।

विदूषकः —^{IV)}तदो तक्कालोइदेहिं [आलावेहिं] कं पि कालं विणोदि-जर्णं देवीए अणुमदो पत्थिदो म्हि ।

राजा – (स्वगतम्) ण लडो को वि दंसणोवाओ। विदूषकः – पाकि तदो तदो ति ण पुच्छीअदि। राजा – पाकि विदूषकः – पाकि ति विदेषकः – पाकि विदूषकः – पाकि विदेषकः – पाकि वि

विदूषकः - भाग निर्मामादो पुरुवं एवव चंद्णिआ जं किंचिं॥ भणिअ णिग्गमिअ मग्गे फलिहं-पडोहरूप्पर-अग्गे लग्गा आसि ।

 $^{1\,\}mathrm{K}$ अण्णेण कण्णे°. $2\,\mathrm{K}$ डिह्याअरा. $3\,\mathrm{K}$ सुञ्जप्पणो, Kh सुंनप्पणो. $4\,\mathrm{K}$ गझण्णिओलोसुणम्. $5\,\mathrm{K}$ पंसुसिमि. $6\,\mathrm{K}$ विणोदऊण. $7\,\mathrm{K}$ दस्सणोवाओ. $8\,\mathrm{K}$ कि च. $9\,\mathrm{K}$ पिलहपडोहरप्पर.

मकरन्दस्रोतोभ्यां नेत्राभ्यां नीलोत्पलमालिकामण्डनं लिम्भितः। I) तन्नेत्रं तथा दीर्घं यथा भवेत् अन्यन्न कर्णपूरं, विम्बोष्टयोः प्रभा तथा यथा स्तनौ शिर्थिलाद्रौ कुङ्कमे। वक्षोजे तथा पाण्डुता यथा पुरः शून्यार्पणो दर्पणः, लावण्यं तथा अङ्गके यथा नो ब्राह्मं निचोलां खुत्वा यथा पुरः शून्यार्पणो दर्पणः, लावण्यं तथा अङ्गके यथा नो ब्राह्मं निचोलां खुत्वा ॥ १०॥ अयि, पुनरिष दिष्टगोचरे भवेत्। II) भो वयस्य, यावन्न प्रस्मरामि तावत् सर्वे वृत्तान्तशेषं अत्वा पश्चात् यथेच्छं चर्वय । III) ततस्ततः। IV) ततस्ततः। बिलां कालापैः कमिष कालं विनोर्यं देव्यानुमतः प्रस्थितोऽस्मि । V) न लब्धः कोऽपि दर्शनोपायः। VI) किं ततस्तत इति न पृच्छयते। VII) वयस्य, चिन्तयामि नास्ति दर्शनोपाय इति । VIII) पुनरिष किंचित् अत्वा निराशो भव । IX) कथय । X) ततो मम निर्गमात् पूर्वमेव चर्न्दनिका य्रिकंचित्

१ K लीलादरी for शिथिलादरी. २ K तथा रेणुके यथामेण माह्यं. ३ K लोलितैर्देन्या आलापै: ४ K विनो-दिगित्वा. ५ M किमिप for किंन्वित्. ६ K चन्दिनिक्या यै.

५ चद०

राजा -(सप्रत्याशम्) नदो तदो ।

¹⁵ विदूषकः -¹¹⁾तदो मए सह किद्-संधी गआ सा। राजा -(सस्मितम्) भा केरिसो स संधी।

विदूषकः —^{IV)}एरिसो। (इति पत्रिकां दर्शयित्वा) एसो तत्थहोदीए अ-" **टमुद-कण्णआए सर**स्सई-विलासो अक्खर-विण्णासो अ।

राजा -(सहर्षमादाय वाचयति ।)

णेको उण कुणइ णिरोहं अवगाहिअ झित पक्खवाद-धुअं। इह को वि राअहंसो उक्कलिअं माणसम्मि वड्ढेई ॥ १९ ॥ (सरोमाश्चम्)

> सवण-उड-गोअरो विं अ फास-सुहाइ व्व झित मह दंतो। उप्पुंलइअमंगं उप्पल-णअणाअ कुणइ संदेसो॥ १२॥

> > (पुनरिप द्विस्त्रिर्वाचयित ।)

विदूषकः —^{VI)}भो वअस्स, किं चिव्वअ-चव्वणेण । पुणो वि पेक्ख । ' चंदणिऔए वि एत्थ एव्व दुवे सिलोआ कदुअ लिहिआ ।

राजा -(वाचयति ।)

vii)रत्तासोंअं वहइ सुरहिं सा वि तं दक्खिजणं चंदो मोहं वहइ भुवणे सा विं तेणं णअंगी।

 $^{1 \}text{ K}$ तत्तहोदीए. 2 K बहेइ. 3 K विअषससुहाइ. 4 K उप्पुलअमंगं. 5 K चिन्दिणिआए. 6 K सोयं. 7 K सुरही. 8 K विथोणं for वि तेणं.

भणित्वा निर्गम्य मार्गे स्फटिकपश्चाद्भागगृहाग्रे लग्ना आसीत् । I) ततस्ततः । II) ततो मया सह कृतसंधिर्गता सा । III) की दृशः स संधिः । IV) ई दृशः । (…) एष तत्रभवत्या अद्भुतकन्यैकायाः सरस्वतीविलासो अक्षरिवन्यासश्च । V) कः पुनः करोति निरोधं अवगाद्य झिटित पक्षपातधुतम्, इह को ऽपि राजहंसः उत्कलिकां मानसे वर्धयति ॥ ११॥ (…) अवणपुटगोचरोऽपि च स्पर्शसुखानीव झिटिति मम द्दॅत्। उत्पुलिकतमङ्गमुत्पलनयनायाः करोति संदेशः ॥ १२॥ VI) भो वयस्य, किं चर्वितचवेणेन । पुनरिष पद्य । चन्दिनकयापि अत्रैव द्वौ स्लोको कृत्वा लिखितौ। VII) रक्ताशोकं विभिति सुर्रीभं सापि तं दृष्ट्वा, चन्द्रो मयुष्वं वहति भुवने सापि तेन निताङ्गी।

१ फ कन्यायाः. २ फ पक्षवाते धुते, м पक्षपात lacuna. ३ फ वर्धयिति ॥ इति. ४ फ दम्भम् for ददत्. ५ फ रक्ताक्षोकं विभक्तः. ६ फ सुरभितं, м सुरभिः. ७ फ मयूखं मोहमिति च वहति. ८ फ सापि नताङ्गी.

सो जीआदो णिवडइ सआ पंचबाणस्स बाणो पंच्छा तेणं दलिअ-तणुआ सा वि बाला खणेणं॥ १३॥ अवि अ।

चंडा ते सास-दंडा तह जह सुहआ गिम्ह-वादाण वादा बाँहा तिन्व-प्पवाहा तह जह सअला दिण्ण-सुद्दा समुद्दा। देहो वड्ढंत-दाहो तह जह पर्लंडदंड-सूरी उ मारो कामो किं कादुकामो ण अ उण सुणिमो दूमिआए इमाए॥१४॥ को उण अप्फंदइ चंदणिअं संदब्भ-सरणीए।

विदूषकः —¹⁾चंदिओं। अप्फंदइ । तिर्रंसे वि सिलोअं पेक्ख । राजा —(वाचयित ।)

¹¹⁾ण चंदो आणंदं कुणइ ण अ कंदोट्ट-कलिआ ण माअंद-स्संद-प्पअलिअ-मरंदो वि पवणो। ण संदो णीसंदो¹⁶ मलअअ-रसाणं वि विसमं वसंतीए तीए किमिह मुणिमो किं च भणिमो॥ १५॥ अहो सुहओ संदब्भो चंदिआए। (विचिन्त्य) वअस्स, कहं पुण अदिट्ट-पुरुवासुं चंदणिंआ-चंदिआसुं से चंदमुहीए विस्संभो।

 $^{1\,\}mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{u}$ पञ्जो. $2\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{fl}$ पवादा, but Kh निहा°. $3\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{tr}$ पादा for बाहा. $4\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{a}$ लखउ°. $5\,\mathrm{Kh}\,\mathrm{tr}$ तुसारो. $6\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{a}$ प्रफुन्दइ. $7\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{a}$ न्दिणिआ. $8\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{fa}$ स्से. $9\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{tr}$ मांअंदंषद. $10\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{oll}$ संदे $11\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{r}$ मलअसरसाणं. $12\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{a}$ न्दिणिआए. $13\,\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{a}$ निदिणिआ°.

स जीवातः निपतित सदा पञ्चबाणस्य बाणः, पश्चात् तेन दिलतितत्तुः सापि बाला क्षणेन ॥१३॥ अपि च । चण्डास्ते श्वासदण्डास्तथा यथा सुभगा श्रीष्मवातानां वाताः, बाष्पाः तीव्रप्रवाहास्तथा यथा सकला दत्तमुद्राः समुद्राः। देहो वर्धमान-दाहः तथा यथा प्रलयोदण्डस्प्रेंस्तु मारः, कामः किं कर्तुकामः न च पुनर्जानीमः दूनायाः अमुष्याः॥१४॥ कः पुनराकामित चन्दिनकां संदर्भसरण्याम्। I) चिन्द्रका आकामित । तस्या अपि क्षोकं पश्य। II) न चन्द्र आनन्दं करोति न च नीलोत्पलकालिका, न माकन्दर्स्पन्दप्रगलितमरन्दोऽपि पवनः। न सान्द्रो निष्यन्दो मलयजर-सानामि विषमं, वसन्त्यास्तस्याः किमिह जानीमः किं च भणामः॥१५॥ अहो सुभगः संदर्भश्चिन्द्रकायाः। (…) वयस्य, कथं पुनरहष्टपूर्वयोः चन्दिनकाचिन्द्रक-

१ к सापि जीवातः जीवादिति च नियतित, м सभ्यातः for स जीवातः. २ к पश्चात् तौ दलितः. ३ к वाता न वाताः ४ м सूर्यस्तुषारः. ५ м दूनयानयाः ६ к निकासन्दर्भसर्ण्याः. ७ к चन्दिनिकामाकाः. ८ к स्पन्दः प्रगः. ९ к भैश्चन्दिनिकायाः.

विदूषकः - ¹⁾चंदणिआ भणादि । भट्टिणीए तस्सि एव्व दिअहे मह बहिणिआ चंदिआ ताए रअणसंभवाए सिहत्रणे णिउता । तदो तं एव्व सव्वदा अणुवद्दंती चंदिआ ताए अप्पणो हिअअं विअ विस्सं-'भदंद्वाणं आसी । अहं च तिस्से भद्रणि ति सिणेह-पत्तं जाअ ति । राजा - ¹¹⁾जुज्जद्र ।

विदूषकः — पणे वि ताए किं वि पित्यअं चै । अज खु चंदिआगुहादो अदिसइद-सुर-सुंदरी-गीअं रअणसंभवाए गीअं ति सुर्णिअ
कोदुएण वद्दमाणाए भद्दिणीए महाराअं संकिअ णादिदूरं पोमराआरामं गमिऊण गीअं सोदव्वं ति समओ किंदो ।

u राजा — IVi तदो तदो ।

विदूषकः — तदो दाणि भट्टिणी रअणसंभवा अ पदुमराआरामं गमिस्संति । तिहें च तुम्हेहिं पेरंत-ठिअस्स बाल-तमालस्स पसरंत-हरिणील-सिला-वेदिआ-मैजह-मालंधआर-मज्झे ठाअव्वं ति ।

राजा - $(सप्रमोदम्)^{VI} अम्हाणं ई अं अञ्मत्यणा ।$

विदूषकः - (कर्णं दत्त्वा) VII) जेण णूडर-णिणादो सुणी अदि तेण पत्थिआ ॥ देवी रअणसंभवा अ पोमराआरामं । ता गच्छम्ह ।

¹ к णिवुत्ता. 2 к संवदा. 3 к °दठाणं. 4 к किस्से. 5 к omits च. 6 к सुणुअ. 7 к कओ, but кh किदो. 8 к वाम for बाल. 9 к मऊहवालंडआर. 10 к इयं. 11 к सुणीहदि.

योरस्याश्चन्द्रमुख्याः विश्रम्भः। I) चन्द्रनिका भणित । भिट्टन्या तिस्मिन्नेव दिवसे मम भौगिनी चन्द्रिका तस्या रक्षसंभवायाः सिखत्वे नियुक्ता । ततस्तामेव सर्वेदा अनुवर्तमाना चन्द्रिका तस्या आतम्बो हृद्यमिव विस्नम्भस्थानमासीत् । अहं च तस्या भगिनीति स्नेहपात्रं जाता इति । II) युज्यते । III) पुनरिष तया किमिष प्रार्थितं च । अद्य खळु चन्द्रिकामुखात् अतिशिधितसुरसुन्द्रीगीतं रक्षसंभवाया गीतिमिति श्रुत्वा कौतुकेन वर्तमानया भिट्टन्या महाराजं शिक्षत्वा नातिदूरं पद्मरागारामं गत्वा गेयं श्रोतव्यमिति समयः हृतः । IV) ततस्ततः । V) तत इदानीं भिट्टिनी रक्षसंभवा च पद्मरागारामं गर्मिष्यतः । तिस्थि युवाभ्यां पर्यन्तिस्थितस्य वार्वतमालस्य प्रसरद्धिरिनीलावेदिकामयूखँमालान्धकारमध्ये स्थातव्यमिति । VI) अस्थाकिमियमभ्यर्थना । VII) येन नूपुरिननादः श्रुयते तेन प्रस्थिता देवी रक्षसंभवा च पद्मरागारामम् ।

१ м भगिनिका च°. २ к हृदयमिवात्मनो for आत्मनो हृदयमिव. ३ к वर्तमानायाः. ४ к omits महिनी. ५ м गमन्भति. ६ м साल for बाल. ७ к लेखालेख for वेदिकामयूख.

राजा $-^{1}$ तहा । (उभावुत्थाय परिक्रामतः।) राजा -(नृपुरश्रवणमभिनीय सहर्षम्)

"सोदूण णेउर-रवं दइआअ पाणा उर्ज्जीविआ मह मणोभव-बाण-खिण्णा। आरावमंबुअ-कुलाण णिदाह-दाह-कुंराविआ विअ मऊर-गणा कुणंति॥ १६॥

विदूषकः —^{III)}भो वअस्स, इमाए विलुलिअ-मुउल-कुल-परिमल-भर-तरलअर-भसल-पंडल-कलकल-सुहआए वाल-बउल-वाडिआए ग-च्छम्ह पोमराआराम-पेरंतं। (उभी परिकामतः।)

विदूषकः -1V)पत्त म्ह पदुमराअ-उज्जाणं । एसो तमालो, एसा इंद-णील-वेदिआ, मजह-मालंधआरे ता एत्थ उर्वविसिअ ठामो ।

रैं।जा - ^{ए)}तहा । (तथा कुरुतः ।)

(ततः प्रविशति देवी नायिका विभवतश्च परिवारः।)

देवी- () भइमुहि, पविसम्ह पउमराअ-उज्जाणं।

नायिका - (सनिःश्वासं स्वगतम्)

प्याविसमो माहव-मासो विलक्ष्यणो एव्व विसमत्राणो सो । अदि-दुल्हहे अ पेम्मं अहह परं मज्झ ईरिसं वैसमं॥१९॥ (प्रकाशम्) तह । (सर्वा उद्यानप्रवेशं नार्ट्यन्ति ।)

¹ к सेद्ण. 2 к उमीविश्रं मह. 3 к पाण for बाण. 4 к झराविश्रा. 5 к प्रश्रुत. 6 к मालंध्यारो. 7 к उपवि. 8 к adds no consent of the king, but puts the stage direction तथा कुरुत: at the close of Vidūṣaka's speech. 9 к विलङ्क्षणे. 10 к ईसिसं. 11 к कम्म. 12 кh रूपयन्ति for नाटयन्ति.

तद् गच्छावः । I) तथा । II) श्रुत्वा नृषुर्यं द्यितायाः प्राणाः, उज्जीविता मम मनोभवबाणिखन्नाः । आरावमम्बुद्कुलानां निद्धिद्दाह-, संतिष्ठिकता इव मयूरगणाः कुवैन्ति
॥ १६ ॥ III) भो वयस्य, अर्भुया विलुलितमुकुलकुलपरिमलभरतरलतरभ्रमर्रपटलकलक्लसुभगया वालवकुलवाटिकया गच्छावः पद्मरागारामपर्यन्तम् । IV) प्राप्तौ स्वः
पद्मरागोद्यानम् । एष तमालः, एषा इन्द्रनीलवेदिका, मयूखमालान्धकारे तद्त्रोपविद्यं
तिष्ठावः । V) तथा । VI) भद्रमुखि, प्रविद्यावः पद्मरागोद्यानम् । VII) विषमो माधवमासः विलक्षण एवं विषमवाणः सः । अतिदुर्लभे च प्रेम अहह परं मम ईदरां कर्म ॥१०॥

१ м द्यितयोः. २ к उजीवितं, м उद्भीवतां. ३ м चूणीं for संतप्ती. ४ м अनया. ५ к भरण or भर. ६ км भसल for भ्रमर. ७ м एष. ८ км वेदिकामयूखमालान्धकारः. ९ к तत्रोपविश्य, м तदत्र प्रविश्य. १० к omits तथा. ११ к इव for एव.

राजा –(आलोक्य सहर्षम्) हित ।

पुण्ण-हरिणंकं-वअणा फुडंत-णव-पुंडरीअ-दल-णअणा।
फुरइ मह एत्य पुरदो पुष्फाउह-पुरुसआर-सामग्गी॥१४॥
नायिका - (अपवार्य) हला चंदिए, मरगआरामो मणोक्री। अअं
दुक्खअरो। तर्हि खु भसल-माला लोअण-रसांअणं उवणेइ, कोइलजालं कण्णामिअं णीसंदेइ, मलआणिल-परिष्फंदणं चंदण-चचं
करेइ। एत्य उण

भैसल-पडलं उप्पादिगि पअच्छइ लोअणे गरल-कवलं कण्णाणं मे कुणंति अ कोइला। दिसइ विसमं देहे दाहं चलो मलआणिलो पिअसिह ण तं एकं जं मे ण भिंदइ जीविअं॥ १९॥ चन्दिनका – (अपवार्ष) विहिणो अणुकूलक्षणे सन्वं ममाणुजलं, वि-वरीअक्षणे सन्वं विवरीअं।

राजा –(सावधानं निरूप्य)^{IV)}वअस्स, पेक्ख । दलिअ-णलिणी-कंदाभोआ कवोलअ-वालिआ चिमिअ-भुमआ-लेहं णेंत्तं पसुत्त-कणीणिअं । किमिह बहुणा तिस्से लोलं मिलाण-मुणालिआ-लुलिअ-लुलिअं गत्तं णेतूसवं पैसवेद्द मे ॥ २०॥

 $^{1~{\}rm K}$ हरिणपङ्कअवअणा. $2~{\rm K}$ मणज्जो. $3~{\rm K}$ °अरो खु तिह खु. $4~{\rm K}$ रसायणं. $5~{\rm K}$ नायिका-एश्य उण. $6~{\rm K}$ हसल for भसल. $7~{\rm K}$ उप्पादङ्गी. $8~{\rm K}$ लेत्तं for णेत्तं. $9~{\rm K}$ लुलुअलुलुअं गेत्तं. $10~{\rm K}$ वसवेइ.

^(…) तथा। I) इन्त । पूर्णहरिणाङ्कवद्ना स्फुटक्षवपुण्डरीकद्लनयना । स्फुरित ममात्र पुरतः पुष्पायुधपुरुषकारसामग्री ॥ १८ ॥ II) हला चिन्द्रके, मरकतारामो मनोक्षः। अयं दुःखकरः । तत्र खल्ल अमैरमाला लोचनरसायनमुपनयित, कोकिलजालं कर्णामृतं निष्यन्दयित, मलयानिलपरिस्पन्दनं चन्द्नचर्चा करोति । अत्र पुनः, अमर्पपटलमुत्पाताग्नं प्रयच्छित लोचने, गरलकवलं कर्णयोः मे कुर्वन्ति च कोकिलाः। दिशति विषमं देहे दाहं चलो मलयानिलः, प्रियसिख न तदेकं यन्मे न भिनत्ति जीवितम् ॥ १९ ॥ III) विधर्यवृक्तत्वे सर्वे ममानुकूलं, विपरीतत्वे सर्वे विपरीतम् । IV) वयस्य, पृश्य । दिलतनिलनिकन्दामोगा कपोलकपालिका, स्तिभितभूलेखं नेत्रं प्रसुप्तकनीनिकम् । किमिह बहुना तस्याः क्षेत्रेलं म्लानमृणालिका-, लुलितलुलितं गात्रं नेत्रोत्सवं प्रैसूते मे ॥ २० ॥

१ к दु:खतरः. २ к omits तत्र, м कस्मिन् for it. ३ к भसल, м भसळ for भ्रमर. ४ к "निल्स्परीपरि". ५ км भसल for भ्रमर. ६ к कल्याणं for क्रणेयोः. ७ м puts this sentence in the mouth of Candrikā. ८ м पदय पदय for वयस्य पदय. ९ к तस्यापि for तस्याः. १० м मुख for लोलं. ११ к वर्धते for प्रसूते.

देवी — भिद्ममृहि, अस्त बाल-बडलस्त वेलुरिअ-मणि-वेदिआअं उपविसम्ह । (सर्वी उपविशन्ति ।)

देवी —^{III)}हंजे चंदणिए, विवंचिं उवणेहि। चन्दनिका —^{III)}जं भट्टिणी आणवेदि। (विपश्चीमुपनयित।)

नायिका - (आददाति ।)

विदूषकः —^{IV)}भो वअस्स, पेक्ख । विवंचि-गाहणं एव्व कहेइ गीए क् परिअअ-णिवुणत्तणं । पोत्थअँ-कवित्ञा-परिगाहो एव्व पिसुणेइ गुरुकुल-वासं । असि-लेहुद्धरणं एव्व सूएइ वैदुरली-सिक्खा-विसेसं । (नायिका विपश्चीं यथास्थानं विन्यस्य तन्त्रीं ताडयति ।)

नायकः -(अवलोक्य)

णतंतीणं हणणेण पाणि-कमलं लोलंगुंली-पल्लवं हेलुण्णामिअ-कोमलेंक-भुमआ-लेहं मुहंभोरुहं। योरो वाम-थणो विपंचि-तइआलावृत्तणं पाविओ मण्णे पंचसरस्स पंचम-सरो एदं खु से आसिअं॥ २१॥ नायिका –(गायित ।)

पा)कुसलं-वसंत-सहाओ कुंडलिउद्दंड-कुसुम-कोदंडो । कुणद्र णिअ-विक्कमेणं कुसुमसरो एक-सासणं भुवणं ॥ २२॥ नायकः –(सिविस्पयम्) पा)अहो गामाणं विवित्तदा । अहो सराणं विसुद्धआ । अहो सुदीणं विणिओॐ-क्कमो । किं च । कदिवंअ-गुण-

 $^{1\,}$ к णिपुण. $2\,$ к पोत्तअ. $3\,$ к खुरुली. $4\,$ к दोलंगुली. $5\,$ к कोमलक. $6\,$ к वामथणे. $7\,$ к विसुद्धता. $8\,$ к अोजकमो. $9\,$ к कदिपअ.

I) भद्रमुखि, अस्य बैालवकुलस्य वैदूर्यमणिवेदिकायां उपविशामः। II) हँ से चन्द्निके, विपश्चीमुपनय। III) यद् भिंदिनी आज्ञापयति। IV) भो वयस्य, पश्य। विपश्चीग्रहण- मेव कथयति गीते परिचयनिपुणत्वम्। पुस्तककविकापरिग्रह एव पिशुनयति गुरुकुल- वासम्। असिलेखोद्धरणमेव स्चयित खुरलीशिक्षाविशेषम्। V) तन्त्रीणां हननेन पाणिकमलं लोलाङ्कुलीपल्लवं, हेलोन्नामितकोमलेकभूरेखं मुखाम्भोरुहम्। स्थूलो वाम- स्तनो विपश्चीद्यतीयालाबुत्वं प्रापितः, मन्ये पश्चशरस्य पश्चमशरः एतत् खल्वस्या आसि- तम्॥ २१॥ VI) कुशलवसन्तसर्हायः कुण्डलितोद्दण्डकुसुमकोदण्डः। करोति निज- विकंमेण कुसुमशरः एकशासनं भुवनम्॥ २२॥ VII) अहो ग्रामाणां विविक्तता। अहो

१ к साल for बाल°. २ м वैद्यं. ३ м इण्डे for इक्षे. ४ к भर्तृणी. ५ к खलूरी for खुरली. ६ м भूलेखं. ७ к क्तं...प्रापितं. ८ к सनाथः for सहायः. ९ м विक्रमेः for विक्रमेण.

ं गाहण-गव्व-वंचिदे विपंचिए, अगणिज्ञ-गुणं अमिअ-गुणाअं इमाए अणुराअंती कहं ण लज्जिसि तंं। अहव परिवादिणीणं अवआसो णितथ संकाए। (निर्वण्ये) अहह इसाए कंचण-कमणीअंगीए

> चलंत-कर-पछवं कल-रणंत-तंती-गुणं वलंत-णअणंचलं मुह-गलंत-दंत-प्पहं। ललंत-मणि-कुंडलं परिलुंलंत-लंबालअं मणं हरइ सामअं महुर-गीअ-लीलाइदं॥ २३॥

विदृषकः - (निर्वर्ष्य) भो वअस्स, अच्छरीअं इमाए चंपअ-दल-को-मलंगीए एक्कमेकं वि अंगं लोअण-पीजस-पूरणं। तह अ। परिलसिअ-चिडर-माला तिस्से णव-फुल्ल-मल्लिआ-सअला।

राजा —

ा)लावण्ण-दिंण-सिरीए रेहइ पच्छा स-तारआ रअणी ॥ २४॥ विदूषकः –

^{III)}कुडि^रला अ कुरल-माला परिलोलइ सललिअं णअंगीए। ^{राजा}—

^{IV)}मअणेण तरुण-माणस-बंधंण-हरिणील-सिंखंला चलिआ ॥२५॥

स्वराणां विशुद्धता । अहो श्रुतीनां विनियोगक्रमः । किं चं । कित्पयगुणग्रहणगर्वविश्विते विपश्चिके, अगणैनीयगुणामेनामनुगायन्ती कथं न ळज्जसे त्वम् । अथवा परिवादिनीनामवकाशो नास्ति शङ्कायाः । (…) अहह अमुष्याः काञ्चनकमनीयाङ्ग्याः, चळ-त्करपछ्छवं कळरणचन्त्रीगुणं, वर्लमाननयनाञ्चळं मुखगळद्दन्तप्रभम् । ळेळन्मणिकुण्डळं परिकुँळ्छम्बाळकं, मनो हरित मामकं मधुरगीतळीळायितम् ॥ २३ ॥ І) भो वयस्य, आञ्चर्य अस्याश्चम्पकद्ळकोमळाङ्ग्याः एकैकमण्यङ्गं लोचनपीयूपपूरणम् । तथा च । परिलिसतचिकुरमाला तस्या नवफुछमिलकाशवेळा । ІІ) लावण्यदिनश्चियो राजते पश्चात् सतारका रजनी ॥ २४ ॥ ІІІ) कुटिकैं च कुरुलमाला परिलेखित सललितं नताङ्ग्याः । ІV) मदनेन तरुणमानसबन्धनहरिनीलग्रङ्खला चिळता ॥ २५ ॥

¹ к गणिज for अगणिज. 2 к omits तं given by kh. 3 к पिर्लुटन्त. 4 к पार्णम् for पूरणं. 5 к किस्से. 6 к सिल for दिण. 7 к कुटिला. 8 к बण्डण. 9 к सीखला.

१ м किं च अयि कति . २ к अगणितगुणामेतां अनुगुणा अन्मनयन्ती कथं, for अगणनीय etc. given by м. ३ м वादीनां for वादिनीनाम्. ४ м कैंसन्नयना for वलमाननयना . ५ к उप्तलन् for कलन्. ६ к परिन्स्कुँट for परिकुल . ७ м पश्य for आश्चर्यं. ८ к एकमेक . ९ к शक्ला. १० м कुटिला बकुलमाला. ११ к परिमल्ति, м परिलोलकिसलयेतं.

विदृषकः –

¹⁾सरसिअ-दल-**ण**अणाए सललिअमुण्णामिआ भुमआ। ^{राजा} –

ा)कार्लिदी-लहरीणं कंदलिओ एव्व परिभवंकूरो ॥ २६ ॥ विदूषकः –

ा। ओदंस-कुवलअं से अवगलिअं किं वि गंड-फलअम्मि । राजा –

^{1V)}तरल-णअणंचलेणं तर्जिअमेअं खु होइ हेट्ट-मुहं ॥ २७॥ विदूषकः –

णकिसलअ-मिदुलंगीए गीएण वि लोअणं किलम्मदि से। राजा-

^{VI)}कण्णंत-दीह-अत्तं खणेण कादूण दूँमिअं एअं ॥ २६ ॥ _{विदुषकः —}

र्णा चप्पल-विलोअणाए उम्मिसिअं सम-अलं कवोलेसुं। राजा –

^{VIII)} उज्जल-पीऊस-कणा उदंचिआ किंचिं पंचमी-चंदे ॥ २९॥ विदूषकः –

^{IX)}परिर्मलअंति दिसाओ पछव-गत्तीअ सुरहि-णीसासा । ^{राजा –}

^{x)}तारुण्ण-सुरहि-समए ता पत्ता मर्लेअ-सेल-पवमाणा ॥ ३०॥

 $^{1\,}$ к तिझअ°. $2\,$ к भूमिअं for दूमिअं. $3\,$ к उम्मिसअं. $4\,$ к सअलं for समअलं. $5\,$ к कि कि * मलयिन्त. $7\,$ к मलय.

I) सरसिजदलनयनायाः सललितमुक्षामिता भूः । II) कालिन्दीलहरीणां कन्दलित एव पैरिभवाङ्करः ॥ २६ ॥ III) अवतंसकुवलयमस्या अवगलितं किमिष गण्डफलके । IV) तरलनयैनाञ्चलेन तर्जितमेतत् खलु भवति अधोमुखम् ॥ २७ ॥ V) किसलयमृदु-लाङ्गाः गीतेनापि लोचनं क्लाम्यित असीः । VI) कर्णान्तदीर्घयात्रां क्षणेन कृत्वां दून-मेतत् ॥ २८ ॥ VII) उत्पलविलोचनायाः उन्मिषितं श्रम्मजलं क्षोलयोः । VIII) उज्जवलपीयूषकणाः उद्श्रिताः किंचित् पञ्चमीचन्द्रे ॥ २९ ॥ IX) परिमैलयन्ति दिशः पल्लव-गाज्याः सुरभिनिश्वासाः । X) तारुण्यसुरभिसमये तिस्नाताः मलयशैलपवमानाः ॥३०॥

१ к मुझाविमति आहुः. २ к परिमलाङ्करः for परिभवाङ्करः of м. ३ к नियनां चालन, अ नयनाञ्चलेखाः जितः. ४ к omits अस्याः. ५ к कृत्वा एतत्. ६ к omits अस्यतः. ७ м विपरिपलः. ८ к तस्मात्प्राप्ताः. ६ चंदः

6

विदृषकः -

ातरलोहर-विवरादो गलंति दंताण चंदिआ-लेसा।

राजा -

¹¹⁾गीअ-ंसुहंबुहि-लहरी-सीअर-णिअरा स-हेलमुछिसिआ ॥ ३१॥ _{विदूषकः —}

 $^{ ext{III}}$ मह ण णिवट्टइ दिँडी मणहर-वअणंबुआ किसंगीए । $^{ ext{राजा}}$ -

^{IV)}को उण हरेइ णेत्रं सचेअणो पुण्णिमा-चंदा ॥ ३२॥ देवी -^{V)}भइमुहि, किलम्मए दे वदणं, ता विरमेहि। नायिका -^{VI)}तहाँ। (विरमिति।)

राजा – (सविषादम्) हंत, अवग्गहो सवण-पीजस-विरिसाणं किदो। देवी – $^{\text{VIII}}$ भद्दमुहि, उट्टेहि गच्छम्ह। नायिका – $^{\text{IX}}$ तह।

(उत्थाय निष्कान्ता देवी परिजनश्च ।)

राजा — X) हंत, किदो णेत्त-पीजस-विरिसाणं चै। वअस्स पेक्ख।
पदुम-वदणाअ दाणिं परिरिह्ओ पदुमराअ-आरामो।
अत्यमिअ-चंदलेहो गअणाभोओ व्व होइ णटु-पहो॥ ३३॥
विदूषकः — XI)भो वअस्स, उद्वैरिअ-णिहाणं महिं व इमं उज्झिअ

 $^{1~\}rm k$ हरविवराओं for तरला etc., but kh तरलाहरविवरादो. $2~\rm k$ सुहम्बुलहरी. $3~\rm k$ दिही. $4~\rm k$ विद्यक:— for देवी. $5~\rm k$ omits तहा given by kh. $6~\rm k$ परिसाणं. $7~\rm k$ परिसाणं. $8~\rm k$ has no = $9~\rm k$ उद्धारिअ°. $10~\rm k$ मही इमं उक्षिआ.

I) तरलाधरविवराद्गलित दन्तानां चिन्द्रकालेशाः। II) गीतसुधाम्बुधिलहरीशीकरनिकराः सहेलमुल्लिताः॥ ३१ ॥ III) मम न निवर्तते दृष्टिः मनोहरवदनाम्बुजात्
छशाङ्ग्याः। IV) कः पुनर्हरित नेत्रं सचेतनः पूर्णिमाचन्द्रात्॥ ३२ ॥ V) भद्रमुखि,
क्राम्यति ते वदनं, तर्साद् विरम। VI) तथा। VII) हन्ते, अवश्रहः अवणपीयूषवर्षाणां कृतः। VIII) भद्रमुखि, उत्तिष्ठ ग्रन्छावः। IX) तथा। X) हन्त, तैथां नेत्रपीयूषवर्षाणां च।वयस्य, पश्य। पद्मवदनया इदानीं परिरहितः पद्मरागारामः। अस्तमितचन्द्रलेखः गगनाभोगो भवति नष्टप्रमः॥ ३३ ॥ XI) भो वयस्य, उद्धृतनिधानां मैही॰

१ к keeps blank space for this line. २ к सुधाम्बुलहरी. ३ к चन्द्र: for चन्द्रात्. ४ м • नं तिद्वरम. ५ к omits तथा. ६ м अन्तरपग्रह for हन्त अवग्रहः. ७ м जातः for कृतः. ८ к गच्छामः. ९ м omits तथा. १० к सहीसिव उज्झित्वा, м निधानिसस्युज्झित्वा.

15

गिमस्सामो । (विलोक्य) वअस्स, एदाओ णत्तमालिआ सालभंजि-आ-हत्या तमालिआ अ किं वि सैलुवंतीओ इदो एव्व आअच्छंति। ता एत्य वि मुहुत्तं णिलुका ठाऊण सुणम्ह इमाणं आलावं।

राजा — 1)तह।

(ततः प्रविशतश्चेट्यो ।)

एका —^{II)}तमालिए, किमत्था एसा सालभंजिआ। इतीया —^{III)}संहि णत्तमालिए, सुणाहि आदिदो एव्व। नक्तमालिका —^{IV)}तमालिए, अवहिद म्हि।

तमालिका — एक्वं मरगआरामे चिंतामणि-संभैवाअं कण्णआअं किं वि सिणिडं पेक्खिअ महाराअस्स दिहिं जं किं वि आसंकमाणाए महिणीए अज्ज पहादे पेसिद म्हि ।

विदूषकः $-^{VI)}$ वअस्स, पहादे दिट्टस्स सुत्तस्स विवरणं होइ । τ राजा $-^{VII)}$ अवहिदा सुणम्ह । τ नक्तमालिका $-^{VIII)}$ कहं ।

तमालिका — IX) हंजे णत्तमालिए, इमं कस्सीर-णाह-वल्लहाए मह पाँहुडीकिदं तत्त्र्यभवदीए सारआए पसादेण समासादिं अ-धारणा-ववहार-पंडिचें बुद्धिमिदं णाम अम्हाअं कीला-सारिअं इमाए साल- 18

 $^{1~\}mathrm{K}$ तमालिआ हरिणन्तमालिआ अ. $2~\mathrm{K}$ सल्लपंतीओ. $3~\mathrm{K}$ आअच्छिदि. $4~\mathrm{K}$ किं एसा, but Kh किमन्था एसा. $5~\mathrm{K}$ णत्तमालिए सिंह for सिंह etc. $6~\mathrm{K}$ संभवाकण्णआं. $7~\mathrm{K}$ सुणसुणह्मा. $8~\mathrm{K}$ पालिडीं, but Kh पाहुंडी. $9~\mathrm{K}$ तत्तभवदीए. $10~\mathrm{K}$ समासादिआ धां. $11~\mathrm{K}$ पाणिडचं. $12~\mathrm{K}$ बुद्धिमदीणाम.

मिव इम्मुज्झित्वा गमिष्यावः। (''') वथैस्य, पते नक्तमालिका शालभिक्षकाहस्ता तमालिका च किमिप संलपन्त्यो इत एवागच्छतः। तसादत्र अपि मुँहूर्ते निर्लक्ष्यं स्थित्वा शूणुंवः अनयोरालापम्। I) तथा। II) तमालिके, किर्मर्था एषा शालभिक्षका। III) सिख नक्तमालिके, शूणु आदित एव। IV) तमालिके, अविहितासि। V) नक्तमालिके, पूर्व मरकतारामे चिन्तामणिसंभवायां कन्यकायां किमिप स्निग्धां [प्रेक्ष्य] महाराजस्य दृष्टिं यिकमिप आशङ्कमानया भिद्वन्याद्य प्रभाते प्रेषितासि। VI) वर्यस्य, प्रभाते दृष्टस्य स्वस्य विवरणं भविष्यति। VII) अविहतौ श्रृंणुवः। VIII) कथम्। IX) हञ्ज नक्तमालिके, इमां काश्मीरनाथवेलभया मे प्राभृतीकृतां कथमैत्रभवत्याः शारदायाः प्रसादेन समासादितधारणाव्यवहारपाण्डित्यां बुद्धिमतीं नाम असाकं कीडाशारिकां अस्याः शाल-

१ м भो मयस्य. २ к omits च. ३ м तदत्र. ४ к एव मूका स्थित्वा for मुहूर्त etc. ५ к ज्रूणुमः. ६ к किमेषा for किमर्था एषा found in м. ७ м omits कन्यकायां. ८ м भो वयस्य. ९ к विद्व्यित for भविष्यति found in м. १० к श्रृणुमः. ११ к वृद्धिमतीनामस्माकं.

भंजिआए कंठ-विवरिम्म ठाविजण चओरएण सह सल्वंतस्स अय्य-उत्तरस अत्थाण-मंडवे सण्णिहिम्मि जह कुह वि छलेण ठावेहि ति। नक्तमालिका — गति तदो ।

तमालिका — तत्रों मए जाव भट्टा देवाराहण-मंदिराँदो ण णिग्गओ ताव चिअ एसा सालभंजिआ तहिं एव्व अत्थाण-मंडैंवे सण्णि- व्हाविआ।

राजा $-^{III)}$ वअस्स, वंचिद मह । विदूषकः $-^{IV)}$ किं एतिएण ।

^{त्र} नक्तमालिका −^{V)}तदो तदो ।

 $\frac{\mathrm{तमा log} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{I}}}{\mathbf{n}}$ तदो दाणि महाराअं तत्य असण्णिहिदं जाणिअ सालभंजिअं घेत्रूण आअद म्हि ।

 $oldsymbol{\pi}$ नक्तमालिका $\dot{\mathbf{p}}^{(\widetilde{\mathbf{n}})}$ किं सुदं अवहारिअं वा महाराअस्स वअणं बुद्धि -मदीए सालभंजिआए ।

 $\overset{\scriptscriptstyle{7}}{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{त}}}$ माळिका $-^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{VIII}}}$ सञ्वं सुद्मवहारिअं च ।

(उभौ हसतः।)

विदूषकः —^{IX)}आ दासीए धीदे बुद्धिमदि, तुह कंठं भिँद । ^{तमालिका —^{X)}णत्तमालिए, तुमं पुण कहिं पवुत्ता ।}

नक्तमालिका —^{XII)}भट्टिणीए ण्हाण-वेला आअद ति पत्थिद मिह ।
 तमालिका —^{XII)}ता गच्छम्ह । (इति निष्कान्ते ।)

l к सण्णिहरिम. 2 к रछछेण. 3 к मन्दिशहो. 4 к ताविरछअ. 5 к मण्टपे. 6 к सास्रभिक्त. 7 к does not present this as the speech of Tamālikā, but adds it to that of Naktamālikā above. 8 кh छोद for भिंद. 9 к संवुत्ता for प्रवृत्ता.

भिक्षकायाः कैण्ठिववरे स्थापियत्वा चकोरकेण सह संलपतः आर्यपुत्रस्य आस्थानमण्डपे संनिधौ यत्र कुत्रापि च्छलेन स्थापय इति । I) ततस्ततः । II) ततो मया यावैत् भर्ता देवाराधनमन्दिरात् न निर्गतः तावदेव शालभिक्षका तिसन्नेवास्थानमण्डपे संनिधापिता । III) वयस्य, वैश्चिताः साः । IV) किमेतावैता । V) ततस्ततः । VI) तत इदानीं महाराजं तत्रासंनिहितं बात्वा शालभिक्षकां गृहीत्वा आगतास्मि । VII) किं श्वतम्वधारितं वा महाराजस्य वचनं बुद्धिमत्या शालभिक्षकया । VIII) सँवं श्वतमवधारितं च । IX) आः दास्याः पुत्रि बुद्धिमति, तव कण्ठं भिन्दं । X) नक्तमालिके, त्वं पुनः कस्मिन् प्रवृत्ता । XI) भट्टिन्याः स्नानवेला आगता इति प्रस्थितास्मि । XII) तद्

१ M क्ण्डिसिख lacuna; some lacuna in this passage. २ K तावत्, M lacuna. ३ M ताव-देवैषा साल . ४ M बिल्वितोऽस्मि. ५ M अहमेतावता for किमेता . ६ K च for बा. ७ M puts this speech in the mouth of ज्ञारिका. ८ M बिल्वि for मिन्द. ९ M a short lacuna.

(नेपथ्ये)

वैतालिकः — "सुहार्अ मज्झम-संझा होइ देवस्स । अज्ञ हि
लोलेहिं उप्पलेहिं हिम-जल-मिलिअं चंदणं मंदमंगे
पावंती औ सुवंते मिणवर-रइए मंदिरे सुंदरीओ ।
तिव्वेहिं आद्वेहिं विहुरिअ-हिअओ संसअं राअहंसो
उदंडाणं फुडाणं पविसद सिणअं पुंडरीआण मूलं ॥ ३४ ॥
विदूषकः — "भो वअस्स, किं चिंतिअं मज्झण्हे वदृद्र ।
राजा — "विक्र्यकः , णं इमं एव्व सारिआ-वुत्तंतं चिंतेमि ।
विदूषकः — "एएत्य का चिंता । णिसग्गदो एव्व दुम्मदणी हु देवी । अज्ञ उण सारिआ-वअणं सुणिअ अहिअअरं किं वि पणअ-कलहं
करेद्र । सा अ तुंह दिक्खण्णदाए मिहिर-पहें ए तिमिर-पडल-पणीसं
पाविहिद्र ।

(इति निष्कान्तौ।)

॥ इअ चंदलेहाअं दुँदिअं जवणिअंतरं ॥

 $1\,\mathrm{K}$ सुहाय. $2\,\mathrm{K}$ लोलोहिं. $3\,\mathrm{K}$ पावन्तीए, but Kh संदंतीओ. $4\,\mathrm{K}$ संसिअं सोअहंसो. $5\,\mathrm{K}$ चिन्तीअ. $6\,\mathrm{K}$ omits जं given by Kh. $7\,\mathrm{K}$ दुम्मइणि उ देवी. $8\,\mathrm{K}$ तुठ. $9\,\mathrm{K}$ दिख्लणदाए. $10\,\mathrm{K}$ वहाए for पहाए. $11\,\mathrm{K}$ भणासं for पणासं. $12\,\mathrm{K}$ जुदिअं, Kh दिदीयं.

गच्छावः । I) सुखाय मध्यमसंध्या मैवतु, देवस्य । अद्य हिं, लोलेष्ठत्पलैहिंमजलिमिलितं चन्दनं मन्दमङ्गे, प्रापयन्त्यैः स्वपन्ति मणिवररचिते मन्दिरे सुन्देर्यः । तीवैरातपैर्विधुरित-हृदयः संश्रयं राजहंसः, उद्दण्डानां स्फुँटानां प्रविशति शनैः पुण्डरीकाणां मूलम् ॥ ३४ ॥ II) भो वयस्य, किं चिन्तैयित्वा मध्याहे वैतसे । III) वयस्य, ईंममेव शारिकावृत्तान्तं चिन्तयामि । IV) अत्र का चिन्ता । निसर्गत एव दुर्मतिः खलु देवी । अद्य पुनः शारिकावचनं श्रुत्वा अधिकतरं किमिप प्रणयकलहं करोति । सा च तव दाक्षिण्यतया मिहिर-प्रभया तिमिर्पटलप्रणाशं प्रापयिष्यते । V) सर्वथा अन्तःपुरमेव गच्छावः ।

॥ इति चन्द्रलेखायां द्वितीयं यवनिकान्तरम्॥

१ m has good many gaps upto the close of this scene. २ m खुलु for हि. ३ k पातं-ह्ययन्त्यः, m lacuna. ४ km सुन्दर्याः. ५ k पुटानां. ६ m चिन्त्यते म . ७ k omits अधिकतरं किमिप, m lacuna न्तरां कर्लंहकोटि करोति. ८ m पटैंलीव नादां.

(ततः प्रविश्वति राजा विदूषकश्च।)

राजा -(स्वगतम्)

¹⁾मह खंण-संठविओ सो देवी-दिढ-कोवै-सेउ-बंधेणं। उक्कंठा-णइ-सोत्तो भिण्णे तैस्सि सुदूसहो वहइ॥१॥ (^{निश्वस्प}) हंत पडिऊलत्तणं भअवदो देवस्स। जदो

र्डभअमिणमहो समं खु जाअं मह विरहो अ जणेण वैल्लहेणं। मदकल-कलकंठ-कामिणीणं कलकल-हालहलाउलो अ कालो॥ २॥

विद्रूषकः — मो वअस्स, किं वि ऊससिअं होउ दे हिअअं। अइ-वाहिओ खु सो कहं कहं वि पिडिदिस-पिलित्त-रत्त-कमल-पैलआ-ग्णल-जाला-जाल-दुरालोओ जुअ-सहस्स-दीहअरो वासरो, पत्तो अ पप्पुल्ल-विमल-मिल्लआ-पुल्ल-धैवलो विअंभणारंभ-णीसरंत-सरस-सीअलामोद-सुहअ-कुमुअ-कोसो पओसो।

> भो पेक्ख सच्छ-महुरो दरमुलसंतो फुलुग्गमो अणह-पलव-मलिआणं।

[संस्कृतच्छाया]

I) मम क्षेणसंस्थापितं तत् देवीदढकोपैसेतुबन्धेन । उत्कण्डानदीस्रोतः भिन्ने तिसन् सुदुस्सहो वहित ॥१॥("") हन्त, प्रतिकूलत्वं भगवतो देवैस्य । यतः, उभर्यमि-दमहो समं खलु जातं, मम विरदृश्च जनेन वल्लभेन । मदकलकलकण्डकामिनीनां, कलकलहालहलाकुलश्च कालः ॥२॥ II) भो वयस्य, किमपि उच्छ्वंसितं भवतु ते हृद्यम् । अतिवाहितः खलु स कथं कथमपि प्रतिदिशापदीप्तरक्तकमलप्रलयानलज्वालाजालदुरान्लोकः युगसहस्रदीर्घतरो वासरः, प्राप्तश्च प्रफुल्विमलमिलकाफुल्चवलः विजृम्भमाणा-रम्भनिस्सरसरसरसशीतलामोदसुभगकुँसुदकोशः प्रदोषः । भोः पैदेय खच्छमधुरो दरोल्ल-

¹ к begins the Scene with this sentence: अथ तृतीयं यवनिकान्तरम् ।. 2 к घणसदृविओ. 3 к ends the first line thus: कोपसेउबन्धउक्कण्ठा ।. 4 к तस्सी. 5 к अवहमिण. 6 к वल्लहेण. 7 к सारसिअं, but кh ऊससिअं. 8 к अतिवाहितो. 9 к पलआणिल. 10 к 'द्ववलो. 11 к सरसी. 12 к फुल्लङ्गमोअणपअल्लमल्लिआणं.

१ к क्षणं for क्षण. २ к कोपबन्धनोत्कण्ठा नदीस्रोतसः विभियेत्र तिसन्. ३ км देवस्य. ४ м उदय for उभय . ५ м इल for हाल . ६ к आश्वासितं for उच्छूसितं. ७ к प्रलयानिल. ८ м दीर्घो वासरः. ९ к प्रोत्फुल . १० к कुमुदाशोकः. ११ к पदय सकलासु, so it has a lacuna; м पुत्लोत्करळप्रचलति मिल्लकानाम्.

दिण्णो दिसासु सञलासु भविस्स-जोण्हा-सण्णाह-बीअ-णिअरो व्व पञ्जासएणं॥ ३॥

राजा - (सोद्वेगं) कि एव्वं भणासि। पंअङ्कंत-मअ-रोस-दूसह-दुट-दुग्धुंट्ट-विसाण-कोणादो कहं वि मोइदस्स कराल-दिढ-दाढा-वेढ-संकंड-विसंकड-सीह-मुह-कुहर-विवर-प्पवेसो कि पाण-रक्खणोवा- भो। पेक्ख दाव।

कुमुअ-णिअर-घोलंतालि-रिंछोलि-पासो दलिअ-धवल-मली-फुल-सोहदृहासो । अहिसरइ पुँरिलो मं पओसाहिधाणो घणतम-तम-कालो हंत कालो करालो ॥ ४॥

किं मे सरणं।

विदूषकः — वअस्स, चंदणिऔए वअणं सरणं। राजा — (सप्रत्याशम्) वअस्स, किं तं चंदणिआए वअणं।

विद्वकः —^{IV)}सुणादु वअस्तो । अहं खु एणिंह तुए पंसादिआए देवीए सेवणं कादूण णिवदृमाणो मग्गे तत्थहोदीए रअणसंभवाए मअण-वेअणा-विणोअणत्थं चंदणारविंद-कंदोट्ट-चंदोज्ञअ-चंदमणि- ' मोत्तिऔ-मुणाल-वलअ-कअली-कप्पूर-पुरस्सरं सिसिरोवंआर-साम-गिंग संपादअंतीए चंदणिआए घडिदो म्हि ।

¹ к जोह्नसह्लाह. 2 к पिअडूंत. 3 к दुब्बट्ट. 4 к संकदिवसंकद. 5 к फुरिछो. 6 к often reads चिन्दिणिआ for चन्दिणआ. 7 к पसादिआहि. 8 к तत्तहोदीए. 9 к विणोअणवर्थ. 10 к चन्दिजोअचन्दमोणिमोख्खिअ. 11 к रोपसारसामग्गीं.

सन् ,फुलोद्गमो अनघपल्लवमिलकानाम्। दत्तः दिशासु सकलासु दिशासु भविष्यज्ञ्योत्सा-, सन्नाहबीजनिकर इव प्रदोषकेने॥३॥ I) किंमेवं भणित। प्रवर्धमानमदरोषदुस्सह-दुष्टवारैणविषाणकोणात्कॅथमिप मोचितस्य कराल्डढदंष्ट्रावेष्टंसंकटविशंकटिसहमुखकुहर-विवरप्रवेशः किं प्राणरक्षणोपायः। पश्य तावत्। कुमुदनिकरघूर्णमानालिश्रेणिपाशः, दिलतधवलमल्लीफुलशोभाट्टहासः। अभिसरित पुरतो मां प्रदोषाभिधानो, घनतमतमः-कालः हन्त कालः करालः॥४॥ किं मे शरणम्। II) वयस्य, चन्दनिकायाः वचनं शर्रेणम्। III) वयस्य, किं तत् चन्दनिकाया वचनंभ्। IV) शूणोतु वयस्यः। अहं खिल्वदानीं त्वया प्रसादितायाः देव्याः सेवनं छत्वा निवर्तमानो मार्गे तत्रभवत्या रत्नसंभवाया मदनवेदनाविनोदनीर्थं चन्दनारविन्दनीलोत्पलकुमुदचन्द्रमणिमौक्तिकमृणालवल-यकदलीकपूरपुरस्सरां शिशिरोपचारसामश्रीं संपादयन्त्या चन्दनिकया घटितोऽस्मि।

१ к प्रदोष एतम्. २ к वयस्य किमेवं. ३ м गज for वारण. ४ м कथं कथमि for कथमि. ५ м वज्र for वेष्ट. ६ м पुरस्तान्मां. ७ км भो वयस्य, ८ к चन्दिनिकां. ९ м omits शरणम्. १० к वदनम्. ११ к विनोहार्थं,

राजा —¹⁾तदो तदो ।

विद्षकः —^{II)}तदो सा मं दहूण दीह-दीह-णीसास-धूसेराविआहर-उडा पर्अल-पम्हं-जालग्ग-लग्ग-णिवडंत-बाह-बिंदु-संदोह-संदाणिआ-"पुव्व-हार-लट्टि-मंडिअ-सिहिण-मंडला तत्थ एव्व ठिआ।

राजा — गाः तदो तदो ।

विदूषकः -^{IV)}तदो चंदणिए, कींस परुण्णा सि त्ति मए पुच्छिदाए "ताए भंणिअं।

राजा –[▽])किं विअ ।

विद्रूषकः - प्राञ्जयर्थं चओरअ, ण-आणामि किं भणामि तेसिंस अदि"ह-पुरुवाअं दिढ-बर्डं-सिणेहा। पुरुवं एव्व मुणिअं खु तुए पिअसहीए रअणसंभवाए दिणे दिणे विअंभंत-मअणाएस-दूसहत्तणं। अज्ञ
उण सा दूसँहाआस-दूमिआ णिसग्ग-दुब्बला बाला अणणुभूअ"पुरुवं अवत्यंतरं अणुहोंती मअण-संताव-विणोदणत्यं अम्हेहिं अविरल-मोत्तिअ-जाल-वालुओं-सीअल-सुहअ-तीराए तुहिणे-जल-दीहिआए पच्छिम-तीर-परिसर-बालुज्जाण-कदली-वणं पाविदा। तिहें चें
"पलअ-समुदिअ-चंड-मत्तंड-किरण-दूसह-संदाव-बाहिआए तिहेंसे णव-

¹ к धूना° for धूस°. 2 к omits some portion here, पुअल etc. to किं भणामि (line 17); but it is given by kh rather in such a corrupt form that only important variants are noted. 3 kh पंम for प्रह. 4 kh किं स वरुण्णा. 5 kh फणिअम्. 6 kh अअ चओर ण. 7 к तिंस, but kh तिर्स. 8 к बंध. 9 к reads thus: दूसहामञ्जूएसमच्छो कलएदे कास्सिरं कचीदमिलपइमुहा भूमिपआसद्भिआ. 10 к omits वालु in वालुआ. 11 к तुद्धिणजतुदी°. 12 к च किस्से प्रअ. 13 к किर्से.

I) ततस्ततः । II) ततः सा मां दृष्ट्वा दीर्घदीर्घनिः श्वासधूसेरीकृताधरपुटा प्रचलपक्ष्मजालाग्रलक्षानिपतद्वाष्पिबन्दुसंदोहसंदानितापूर्वहारयष्ट्रमण्डितस्तनमण्डला तत्रैव स्थिता । III) ततस्ततः । IV) ततश्चन्द्दनिके, कस्मात् प्ररुदितासीति मया पृष्ट्या तया भणितम् । V) किमिव । VI) आर्य चकोरक, न जानामि किं भणामि तस्यामदृष्ट्यपूर्वायां दृढवद्ध-स्नेद्देत् । पूर्वभेव ज्ञातं खलु त्वया प्रियसख्या रक्षसंभवाया दिने दिने विजृम्भमाणमद्दैनायासँदुस्सँहत्वम् । अद्य पुनः सा दुस्सहायासदूना निस्तर्गदुर्वला वाला अननुभूतपूर्वन्मवस्थान्तरमनुभवन्ती मदनसंतापविनोदनार्थमस्याभिरविरलमौक्तिकजालवालुकाशीतलन्तुभगतीरायाः तहिनजलदीर्घकायाः पश्चिमतीरपरिसरबालोद्यानकद्लीवनं प्रापिता । तस्सिश्च प्रलयसमुदितचण्डमार्तण्डिकरणदुस्सहसंतापवाधितायाः तस्याः नवनवपल्लव-

१ м धूसरायिताधर°. २ к °केहा for केहात. ३ к मदनयादुस्सहं. ४ м निस्सहत्वम् for दुस्सहत्वम् । ५ м अन्य lacuna पुन°. ६ м omits पूर्व. ७ к सन्तापिनो निनो°. ८ к तसिंश्च तस्याः प्रकथ°. ९ к omits तस्याः

णव-पल्लव-पल्लंब-णिम्माणेहिं [पालेअ-सलिल-णीसंदणेहिं चंदण-चचा-दाणेहिं] चंदमणि-चुण्णुंच्छुरणेहिं कप्पूर-रेणु-पाडणेहिं कदली-दल-वीअणेहिं चै दूमिआँओं संतद-परुण्ण-मुहीओ सहीकी किल-ग म्मंति । दंड-सेस-बिसिणी-संड-णट्ट-व्भमर-संचार-णिलुकाइ दुक्खा-**उलाइ व सअल-सरिस-जर्लाइ, क्रूंण-सअल-किसलअ-गलिअ-सोहा-**णि संदाव-जणिअ-विवण्णत्तणाइं व पमद-वणाइं, उच्छिण्ण-पवाल-अ दैल-मुंडाइ कदली-काणण-संडाइ होंति । तह वि णिरणुक्कोसो एव्व महुमासो, णिक्करणो एव्व णिसाणाहो, णिग्घिणो एव्व मलञाणिलो, णिरणुक्कंपो एव्व वम्महो, जं एदाए बालिऔ।ए बंधव-विरहिदाएः विदेस-द्विदाए तवस्सिणीए कण्णआए दीहें-वेरं कुणंतो जीविअ-हरेंणे पवट्टंति । तहीं दूसहेहिं तेहिं वम्मह-विसिहेहिं बौहिआणं सहस्सइत्तं आढत्त-णिग्गमाणं तिस्से पाणाणं आसासण-कारणेण तेण वअणो-अ वण्णासेण कह कह वि णिंवारणं [कुणंतीहिं अम्हेहिं अइवाहिओ दिवसो। अओ परं ण सक्कं अम्हाणं। ता सा] बाला जह ण मरि-हिंई तह उवाओ चिंतीअदु ति । 39

¹ к चुण्णच्छु. 2 к omits च. 3 к दूमिआएओ. 4 к omits सहीओ. 5 к संचारि. 6 к दुःखाउताएव. 7 к जलाल for जलाइ. 8 к लूअ for लूण. 9 к दलमण्डालदलकदली. 10 к पालिआए. 11 к वैरं. 12 к धरणे. 13 к तत for तहा. 14 к वाहिआणं. 15 к णिवारणं कुणंति बाला. 16 к मरीहिइ.

पर्यक्किनिर्माणेः प्रालेयसिल्लिनिष्यन्दनेः चन्द्रनचर्चादानैः चैन्द्रमणिचूर्णोच्छुरणेः कर्पूररेणुपातनैः कदलीदलवीजनैः च दूनाः संततप्ररुदितमुख्यः सख्यः क्लाम्यन्ति । दण्डरोपविसिनीपण्डनप्रभ्रमरसंचारमूकानि दुःखाकुलानि इव सकलसरसीजलानि, लूनसकलिकसलयगिलतशोभानि संतापजनितविवर्णत्वानीव प्रमद्वनानि, उच्छिन्नप्रवालदलमुण्डानि कदलीकाननपण्डानि भवन्ति । तथापि निरनुक्रोश एव मधुमासः, निष्करण
एव निशानाथः, निर्धृण एव मलयानिलः, निरनुक्रम्प एव मन्मयः, यदेतस्याः बालिकायाः बान्धविदितायाः विदेशिखतायाः तपिल्वन्याः कन्यकायाः दीर्घवैरं कुर्वन्तो
जीवितहरणे प्रवर्तन्ते । तथा दुस्सहैश्च तैर्मन्मथिविशिखेर्वाधितानां सहस्रकृत्वः आरब्धनिर्मानां तस्याः प्राणानामाश्चौसनकारणेन तेन तेनैं वचनोपन्यासेन कथं कथमपि
निवारणं कुर्वन्तीभिरस्माभिरतिवाहितो दिवसः । अतः एरं न शक्यमस्माकम् । तस्मात्

१ जलमणिचूर्णच्छुर्णैः for चन्द्र etc. २ K विधितायाः स . ३ K श्यासका . ४ M तत् for तस्मात्. ७ चंद्र e

राजा - (सकरणम्) भिअवं तिभुवण-महणिज्ञ-सासण कुसुम-सरासण,
गहिअ-चलणमेअं कं वि पत्थेमि अत्थं
परुसअर-मुहेहिं मग्गणेहिं इमेहिं।
किंसल-मिडलमंगं छिंद मा सुंदरीएँ
णवर मह पहारं एवव तेहिं पदेहि॥ ५॥

विद्रूषकः —¹¹⁾भो वंअस्स, उविद्विदे पाअस-भोअणम्मि किं तं इदं पलविअ कालं खिंवसि । गच्छम्ह तुरिअं तुहिण-जल-दीहिआ-परि-³ सरं । अदिक्कामिआ अ संक्षा ।

राजा - (समन्तादवलोक्य) साहु दिहं वअस्सेण । तह अ ।
रत्तीअ णाह-विरहेण सुदूसहेणं
उत्तिमरी अ उडु-मोत्तिअ-चुण्ण-पुण्णा ।
वाल-प्पवाल-सअणिज्ज-णिहा खु सँझा
देहुँ म्हण्ण विअ सा मिउला मिलाइ ॥ ६॥

किं च।

राई-वासरएहिं आढताअं दिढंकपालीअं। कुंकुम-रसो व्व गलिओ संझा-राओ पओहरालग्गो॥ ७॥

सा बाला यथा न मरिष्यति तथा उपायिधन्यतामिति। I) भगवन् त्रिभुवनमहनीयशासन कुसुमशरासन, गृहीतचरणंमेतं किमिप प्रार्थयाम्यर्थ, परुषतरमुखेर्मार्गणैरेभिः।
किसलयमृदुलमङ्गं छिन्द्धि माँ सुन्दर्याः, नवरं मम प्रहारमेव तैरैपि देहि॥५॥ II) भो
वयस्य, उपस्थिते पायसमोजने किं त्विमिदं प्रलप्य कालं क्षिपित। गच्छावस्त्विरतं तुहिनजलदीर्घिकापरिसरम्। अतिकान्ता च संध्या। III) साधु दृष्टं वयस्थेन। तथा च।
रात्रो नाथिवरहेण सुदुस्कहेन उक्तमनशीला च उडुमौक्तिकचूर्णपूर्ण। वालप्रवालशयनीयिनमा खलु संध्या देहोष्मणा इव सा मृदुला म्लायिति॥६॥ किं च। रात्रिवासराभयामारन्धायां दढाङ्कपाल्याम्। कुङ्कमरस इव गलितः संध्यारागः पयोधैरालक्षः॥ ७॥

 $^{1\, \, \}text{K}$ किसलअमि°. $2\, \, \text{K}$ छिंदमाणा सुं°. $3\, \, \text{K}$ °एणपरमअपहारं. $4\, \, \text{K}$ भो वअस्स एव्वं गए कजे पुणो वि किं पलवसि गच्छम्ह, but the reading of Kh is adopted. $5\, \, \text{Kh}$ विवसि. $6\, \, \text{K}$ संज्ञा. $7\, \, \text{K}$ देहुम्मएण.

१ K चरणमेकमणि. २ M भुरेबैर्स्ताहृशैः शरैः. ३ K च्छिन्दमाना सुन्दर्याः. ४ M परि for अति . ५ K राज्याः ६ K मनःशिलाचा, M उत्तमनशीलायाः मौक्तिक . ७ K मिनाशिलायाः मु . ८ K omits किंच . ९ K धरे लग्नः.

विदूषकः — भो वअस्स, पेक्ख ।

तेल्लोकस्स कराल-काल-फणिणा दहस्स णहुम्हेणो मुर्च्छतैस्स मुहुत्तएण अ पुणो मीलंत-सूरिच्छणो। संझा-सोणिम-सोणिअं पैसरिअं अंगम्मि कालएपहं काओलं व तमिस्स-मंडणिमणं णिएफंदमएफुंदएँ॥ ६॥ राजा —¹¹⁾वअस्स, सर्च एव्व।

कत्यूरी-पंक-दिण्णो णह-सिरि-वअणे भंगुरो पत्त-भंगो वित्यारिल्लं वरिल्लं जलहर-पडली-मेर्दुरं मेर्देणीए। कीलंबंतो पुरत्तो पिअ-विरह-दसा-दुक्ख-हेट्टागआणं आसाणं वेणि-बंधो पसरइ णिबिडो एस कीलंधआरो॥९॥ विदूषकः — गानिता गच्छम्ह इसिणा फलिह-मणि-सउह-दाहिण-भाअ-वाल-बउलाराम-पेरंतेण नुहिण-जल-दीहिओं-परिसरं। एण्हि च गआ देवी भअवंतं पैलीसरं दहुं।

राजा—^{IV)}वअस्स, ॐादेसेहि तुहिण-जल-दीहिआ-परिसरस्स मग्गं। विदूषकः—^{V)}भो वअस्स, इदो इदो एदु भवं। (उभौ परिकामतः।) राजा—(स्वगतम्)^{VI)}अच्छेरं, अपुट्य-वल्लहा-दंसणत्थं पत्थिदस्स मे • अपुट्यो परिवारो अपुट्यं वाहणं च। तह अ।

 $^{1~{\}rm K}$ णहद्वाणो. $2~{\rm K}$ मुझन्तस्स. $3~{\rm K}$ सूरिमणो. $4~{\rm K}$ पसरअं. $5~{\rm K}$ णिप्पन्दमफुन्दए. $6~{\rm K}$ मेहुरं. $7~{\rm K}$ मेभुणीए. $8~{\rm K}$ लम्बन्तो पुरतो विआवि°. $9~{\rm K}$ हेट्टाणसाणं वेणीबन्धो पसरइ. $10~{\rm K}$ कालन्धराओ. $11~{\rm K}$ दीसिआ. $12~{\rm K}$ थलीसरओट्टम्, ${\rm but}~{\rm Kh}$ °सरं दट्टम्। $13~{\rm K}$ आदेहि.

I) भो वयस्य, पश्यं। त्रैलोक्यस्य करालकालफणिना दृष्ट्य नष्टोष्मणः, मूर्च्छतो मुहूर्तेन पुनर्मीलत्स्र्याक्षस्य। संध्याशोणिमशोणितं प्रसतमङ्गे कालप्रभं, कापोलमिव तमिस्नमण्डैलमिदं निष्पन्दमाकामित ॥८॥ II) वयस्य, सत्यमेतैत्। कस्तूरीपँङ्कदत्तो नभःश्रीवदेने चित्रैकः पत्रभङ्गः, विस्तारवित्रचोलः जलधर्षटलीमेदुरो मेदिन्याः। अवलम्बमानः पुरतः प्रियविरहदशादुःखाधोगतानां, आशानां वेणीवन्धः प्रसरति निविदः एष कालान्धकारः॥९॥ III) तद् गच्छावः अनेनं स्फिटिकमणिसाधदक्षिणभागवालवकुलारामपर्यन्तेन तुहिनजलदीर्धिकापिरसरम्। इदानीं च गता देवी भगवन्तं स्थलीश्वरं द्रष्टुम्। IV) वयस्यं, आदेशय तुहिनजलदीर्धिकापिरसरस्य मार्गम्। V) भो वयस्यं, इत इत एतु भवान्। VI) आश्चर्यम्, सपूर्वेवल्लभादशनार्थं प्रस्थितस्य मे अपूर्वः परिवारः अपूर्वे

१ M पश्य पश्य for पश्य. २ K कोकोकलिमिव, M काकोलिमिव. १ K सत्यमेतत्. ४ K पङ्को दत्तो, M पङ्करत्तनभ°. ५ K चित्रमङ्करः for चित्रकः of M. ६ K धरपटलं मेदुरं. ७ M पुरस्तात् प्रिय. ८ K दुःखायोग-ताननां वेणीवन्थः, M दुःखाय आननानामाशानां. ९ K omits अनेन. १० M तदादेशय for वयस्य आदेशय. ११ M omits भो वयस्य.

सन्वेहिं इंदिएहिं सह चलइ मणं अग्गदो मग्ग-देसे बिद्धासंगो अणंगो अणुसरइ करासैज्ज-कोदंड-दंडो। वाहिज्जंतीअ तीए गहिअ-रअमणप्पेहि संकप्पएहिं उक्कंठंदोलिआए पिअ-जण-सविहे एस गच्छामि दाणि॥१०॥ विद्युषकः — विञ्चे सस, अदूरे दाणि तुहिण-जल-दीहिआ।

(नेपध्ये)

कप्पूरेहिमलं नुसार-सिललासारेहि सिंचेहि मा दूरे कीरउ दूसहं सरिसअं घोरेण हारेण किं। दाणिं होर्हिंद देह-दाह-चउरो चंदो खु मज्झे णहं ता घेप्पंतु णिरंतराइ भिसिणी-पत्तादवत्ताइ मे॥ ११॥

विदूषकः - (आकर्ण्य) भो वअस्स, किं गंडूसिअं सवणेहिं पीऊसं, ता दाणि णअणाणं वि कुणउ सुहा-कर्वल-ग्गहं ।

 $_{f a}$ राजा $-^{{
m III}}$ मा जंपैं, जं पुणो वि किंचिं सुणीअदि । विदूषकः $-^{{
m IV}}$ एसो तुण्हीओ म्हि ।

(नेपथ्ये) किं करम्ह।

फेणाअंत-मुहा मुणाल-लदिओं पत्ता मिलाणत्तणं सेज्जा-पल्लव-संचओ वि सहसा संकोईंदो दीसइ।

 $^{1\,\}mathrm{K}$ बन्धासङ्गो. $2\,\mathrm{K}$ करासंज्ञको°. $3\,\mathrm{K}$ वाहिजंकीअ. $4\,\mathrm{K}$ सविए एस. $5\,\mathrm{K}$ दोहिइ. $6\,\mathrm{K}$ घप्पंतु. $7\,\mathrm{K}$ कबल. $8\,\mathrm{K}$ जप्प. $9\,\mathrm{K}$ किं पि अ सुणी°. $10\,\mathrm{K}$ इदिआ for लिदिआ. $11\,\mathrm{K}$ सेज्जो. $12\,\mathrm{K}$ सङ्कोइदं.

वाहनं च। तथा च। सर्वैरिन्द्रियैः सह चलित मनः अत्रतो मार्गदेशे, वद्धासंगः अनङ्गः अनुसरित करासक्तैकोदण्डदण्डः। उद्यमानया तया गृहीतरयमनल्पैः संकल्पैः, उत्कण्ठान्दोलिकया प्रियजनसविधमेष गण्छामीदानीम् ॥१०॥ I) वयस्य, अदूरे इदानीं तुहिनजलदीर्घिका। (…) कर्पूरैरलं तुषारसिललासारैः सिश्चै मां, दूरे कियतां दुःसहं सरिसं घोरेण हारेण किम्। इदानीं भविष्यति देहदाहचतुरश्चन्द्रः खलु मध्ये नमः, तसार्ष् गृह्यन्तां निरन्तराणि विसिनीपत्रातपत्राणि मे ॥११॥ II) भो वयस्य, किं गण्डु-षितं श्रवणाभ्यां पीयूषं, तसाद् इदानीं नयनयोरिप कुर्रं सुधाकवलत्रहम्। III) मा जल्प, यत् पुनरिप किंचित् श्रयते। IV) एष तृष्णीकोऽसि। (…) किं कुर्मः। फेनाय-मानमुख्यः मृणाललतिकाः प्राप्ताः म्लानत्वं, राय्यापह्लवसंचयोऽपि सहसा संकोचितो

१ к "न्दोलिकायां प्रिय". २ м भो वयस्य. ३ к सिन्नेथ मां. ४ к इदानीं तु भवि". ५ м तद् for तस्माद्. ६ м lacuna. ७ к किमण for किंचित् of м.

लाजाइ व्व फुडंति मोत्तिअ-गणा देहस्स दाहग्गिणा । एत्थाणत्थ-भरो इमाअ सरणं किं वा ण जाणिज्ञए ॥ १२ ॥ राजा — अअं अवसरो उवसप्पिदुं । (उभावुपसर्पतः ।)

विदूषकै: -^{II)} किं सअल-भुवणेक्कणाहे महाराआहिराए धरणिअलं परिरक्खमाणे माणवेए किं सरणं ति चिंतीअदि ।

(ततः प्रविश्वति प्रत्वशयनशायिनी नायिका शिशिरोपचारव्यापृते चन्दनिकाचिन्द्रिके च ।) नायिका –करपूरेहिमलं $[III.\ 11]$ इत्यादि पठित ।

चन्दिनकाचिन्द्रके - किं क्राइह [III. 12] इत्यादि पटतः।

विदूषकः - "पिअसहि, अब्सुहाणेण संभावेहि भट्टारं।

नायिका —^{IV)}तहैं। (अवलोक्य स्वगतम्) हिअआदो णिग्गओ लोअण-पहे ठिओ। (उत्थातुमिच्छति।)

राजा -(हस्ते गृहीत्वा संहोपविश्य) पिए चंदमुहि, मा करेहि तुह मार-दूमिअं तणुमिमं पुणो वि तं, तुज्झ एरिस-दैसावलोअणं मज्झ जुत्त-मुवआराडंबरं।

नायिका – (स्वगतम्) VI अच्छरीअं, कहं एसो एको एव्व हिअआव-हारणे पलआणिल-जालंतरे मं भर्जिअ संपअं सरीर-फंसे उण अ-मिअ-समुद्द-मज्झिम मैज्जावेद् ।

¹ K दाहिंगाणो. 2 K puts this in the mouth of the king after (उभावुपसर्पत:), but kh assigns it to Viduṣaka. 3 K puts तह after the stage-direction. 4 K छोअणपने डिओ. 5 K उपविश्य for सहोप. 6 K दसोवछोअणं. 7 K भुपहारंडंबरम्. 8 K भझिअ. 9 K मझावेड.

हश्यते । लाजानीव स्फुटन्ति मौर्किकगणाः देहस्य दाहाशिना, अन्नार्थपैरोऽस्याः शरणं किं वा न ज्ञायते ॥ १२ ॥ I) अयमवसर उपसर्पितुम् । II) किं सकलभुवनैकनाथे महा-राजाधिराजे धरणीतलं परिरक्षति मानवेदे किं शरणमिति चिन्त्यते । III) प्रियसिख, अभ्युत्थानेन संभावय मैर्तारम् । IV) तथा । (…) हृदयान्निर्गत्य लोचनपथे स्थितः । V) प्रिये चन्द्रमुखि, मा कुरूत तवै मारदूनां तनुमिमां पुनर्पि त्वम् , तव ईदशदशावलो-कनं मम युक्तमुपचरावैडम्बरम् । VI) आश्चर्यं, कथमेष एक एव हृदयावैस्थाने प्रलयान नलज्वालान्तरे मां भर्जियत्वा सांप्रतं शरीरस्पर्शे पुनर् अमृतसमुद्रमध्ये मज्जयति ।

१ M मौक्ति a long lacuna upto 'शोकानन्दकारिणा etc. on the next page. २ K मानवेते, M lacuna. ३ K omits तव. ४ K प्रलयान्तरज्वाला'.

राजा -(स्पर्शसुखमभिनीय स्वगतम्)

¹⁾मम वहइ विलोळ-लोअणाए करअल-फंस-सुहा-रसेणमंगं । णव-जलहर-तोअ-बिंदु-विंद-प्पसरण-तुट्ठ-कअंब-लैट्टि-सोहं ॥ १३ ॥

नायिका - II) सिह चंदणिए, किं वसंतं अइक्कमिअ पत्तो गिम्हो जेण सिज्जंति गत्ताइं।

- चन्दनिका (सपरिहासम्) पिअसिह, णं कालोवगएण समीवे वसं-तेण रार्अहंस-तिलएण असोआणंद-कारिणा कंद्प्प-मित्तेण णेणं चिअ दे अंगाइ सिंज्जंति । (नायिका लज्जां नाटयित ।)
- राजा –(सस्पृहम्)^{IV)}हंहो हरिण-लंकैण, मा पुन्विद्द-सिरे तिरोहिअ-तणूँ चिट्ठेहि उट्ठेहि तं अम्हाणं अणुगण्हिउं कुण खणं जोण्हा-पआसुग्गमं । दींणि जेण पिआअ से पिअ-सिह-च्छेउत्ति-संसूअणे संलैक्खिज्जउ णं विलक्ख-हसिअ-च्छाआ-वलक्खं मुहं १४ (नेपथ्ये)

^{वैताळिकः –™}सुह-दाइणी होर्डं देवस्स चंदुज्जोअ-लच्छी । अज्ज खु एअं अंजण-पुंज-पंजर-देंरी-लीणं व लिक्खज्जए तेल्लोकं ण खु जाव दाव किरणंकूरो तुंसारंसुणो ।

 $^{1~\}mathrm{k}$ बिन्दु बिन्दु°. $2~\mathrm{k}$ यहि for लहि. $3~\mathrm{k}$ अतिक्कमिअ. $4~\mathrm{k}$ समी पे. $5~\mathrm{k}$ राजअंस°. $6~\mathrm{k}$ कदम्बिमत्तेण. $7~\mathrm{k}$ सिझन्ति. $8~\mathrm{k}$ लंझण. $9~\mathrm{k}$ °तआ for तणू. $10~\mathrm{k}$ दाणीं. $11~\mathrm{k}$ सल्लिखि॰. $12~\mathrm{k}$ होइ. $13~\mathrm{k}$ दरीणीलं व. $14~\mathrm{k}$ भुसारंसुणो.

I) मम वहति विलोललोचनायाः, करतलस्पर्शसुधारसेन अङ्गम्। नवजलधरतोयिवन्दुवृन्द-, प्रसरणतुष्टकद्मवयिष्टशोभाम् ॥ १३ ॥ II) सिख चन्द्विक, किं वसन्तमितकस्य
प्राप्तो ग्रीष्मः येन स्विद्यन्ति गात्राणि। III) प्रियसिख, नजु कालोपगतेन समीपे वसता
राजहंस्तिलकेन अशोकानन्दकारिणा कन्द्पेमित्रेणानेनैव ते अङ्गानि स्विद्यन्ति।
IV) हंहो हरिणलाञ्छन, मा पूर्वाद्रिशिरिस तिरोहिततजुस्तिष्ठ उन्तिष्ठ त्वं, अस्मानजुग्रहीतुं कुरु क्षणं ज्योत्काप्रकाशोद्गमम्। इदानीं येन प्रियायाः अस्याः प्रियस्वीच्छेकोकिसंस्चने, संलक्ष्यतामिदं विलक्षहसितच्छायावलक्षं मुखम् ॥ १४ ॥ V) सुखदायिनी
भवतु देवस्य चन्द्रोद्योतलक्ष्मीः। अद्य खलु, एतद्ञनपुञ्जपर्जरदरीलीनमिव लक्ष्यते,

१ K गात्राणि for अङ्गानि. २ M उत्तिष्ठत for उत्तिष्ठ त्वं. ३ K अस्माक्रमनु . ४ K शोधमम्. ५ K प्रियायां. ६ M कस्याः for अस्याः. ७ M संलक्ष्यते इदं. ८ K पक्षरज्ञालीन .

मुच्छं उन्झिअ उंद्विआहि कुमुअ-च्छाआहि पच्चुग्गओ सिंगारग्गिम-पल्लवो उवगओ सिंगम्मि पुन्वद्दिणो॥ १५॥ अवि अ।

कंदणोज्ञल-कित्ति-कंदल-दला चंदोज्ज-जीआदुणो राई-हास-लवा पुरंदर-दिसा-मल्ली-पैसूणुग्गमा। उव्वेल्लंतै-तमस्समुद्द-लहरी-लीणच्छ-फेण-च्छडा पुव्विद्दिम कमेण मुंद्ध-धवला बहुंति जोण्हंकुरा॥१६॥ राजा — में सअल-जण-जड-मअरंद-णीसंद-वाहिणा सुभासिअ-कीला-सुअ-पंजरेण मंजुकंठेण बंदिणा उवसिलोइदा चंदुज्जोअ-लच्छी। संपञ्जं पुण सरस्सई-चलण-सरसिअ-महु-सलिल-पूरिअ-किश्वालवाल-बहुंत-बाल-पिडंहा-बल्ली-पल्लवाञ्चंत-सुत्ति-रत्त-कंठस्स महुरकंठेंस्सावसरो।

(नेपध्ये)

विपंडुँर-मुह-च्छई गहिअ-गब्भ-पुण्णोअरी पुरंदर-दिसा खणं भविअ लोअणाणंदिणी। तदो पुण जणेइ णं हरिण-लंझणं णंदणं अअं कुणइ तक्खणं अहिणहंकणं रिखणं॥ १७॥

अवि अ।

दिसा-चिउर-चूलिआ धवल-मालई-मालिआ णिरंतर-विलंबिआ णह-विआण-मुत्ती-लआ।

¹ к उद्विआइ. 2 к पस्णागमा. 3 к उन्नेलन्त. 4 к मुङ्का. 5 к सिलोलदा. 6 к प्रतिहा for पिंडहा. 7 к कण्डंससावसारो. 8 к विषण्डर . 9 к विकंखिआ. 10 к मुतालिआ.

त्रैलोक्यं न खलु यावत् तावत् किरणाङ्करस्तुषारांशोः। मूर्च्छामुज्झित्वा उत्थिताभिः कुमुद्च्छायाभिः प्रत्युद्धतः, शृङ्काराग्रिमपल्लयः उपगतः शृङ्के पूर्वाद्धेः॥१५॥ अपि च। कन्द्पोंज्वलकीर्तिकन्दल्दलाः कुमुदजीवातवः, रात्रीहासलवाः पुरन्दरिद्धामेलीप्रस्नोद्धमाः। उद्वेलत्तमस्तमुद्धलहरीलीनाच्छक्तेनच्छटाः, पूर्वाद्धौ क्रमेण मुग्धधवलाः वर्धन्ते ज्योत्स्नाङ्कराः॥१६॥ I) सक्तलजनकर्णपुटमकरन्दनिष्यन्दवाहिना सुभाषितकीडाशुक-पक्षरेण मञ्जकण्ठेन वन्दिना उपश्लोकिता चन्द्रोद्योतलक्ष्मीः। सांप्रतं पुनः सरस्वती-चरणसरसिजमधुस्तिलप्र्यूरितहृदयालवालवर्धमानवालंप्रतिभावल्लीपल्लवायमानस्किरक-कण्ठस्य मधुरकण्ठस्यावसरः। (…) विपाण्ड्रसुखच्छविः गृहीतंगर्भपूर्णोद्री, पुरन्दर-दिशा क्षणं भृत्वा लोचनानन्दिनी। ततो पुनर्जनयितं इमं हरिणलाञ्छनं नन्दनं, अयं

१ к दिबाली. २ k omits वर्धमानवाल. ३ и गरुक for मृहीत. ४ к पुनर्जयति.

पिवासिअ-चओरिआ-वअण-दुद्ध-धारा करा
गलंति रअणी-वहू-तिलअ-विदुणो इंदुणो ॥ १६ ॥
वन्दिनका — तह पअट्टा एवव चंद-इरणा । तह अ ।
जा पुव्विद्दस्स सिंगे कह वि पसरिआ तिण्ह-तिण्हाउलाणं चंचूंणं पूरणेसुं पि ण चवल-चओराण पारेदि पुव्वं ।
एणिंह पत्थिहि मेज्जा परिलसइ सुणालंतरालेहि पेज्जा
हज्जा हत्यंजलीहिं भरिअ-तिहुवणा सा खु जोण्हा सु-सण्हा१९
नायिका — पिअसिह चंदिए, तुमं वि वण्णेहि चंदिंमा-उज्जोअं ।
चन्द्रका — आणवेदि पिअसही ।
चंदादो किरणंकुरा पअलिआ चंडं चओर-च्छडाचंचू-संचअ-वेअ-खंडिअ-मुहा मुंडत्रणं पाविआ ।
दींसंते धवलाअमाण-कुमुअ-च्छाआहि संबिह्डआ
एणिंह उर्लक्षिक्षद्ध-पल्लव-सहस्सुव्वेल्डिअंग्गा इव ॥ २०॥

1 K विपासिस. 2 K बन्धूणं for चंचूणं. 3 K चन्दिमदुजाअम्. 4 K दीसन्दे. 5 K ओयुह्न सिसदबहुत. 6 K अङ्गा for अगा.

राजा —^{IV)}अहो अहमहमिआए पवुत्तो कड्तण-कलहो ।

करोति तत्क्षणमभिनभोऽङ्गणं रिक्षणम्॥१७॥ अपि च। दिशाचिकुरचूलिका धवल-मालतीमालिकाः, निरन्तरिवलैम्बिता नभोवितानमुक्तालता। पिपासितचकोरिकावदन-दुँग्धधाराः कराः, गलिन्तँ रजनीवधृतिलकिविन्दोः इन्दोः॥१८॥ I) तथा प्रवृत्ता एव चन्द्रिकरणाः। तथा च। या पूर्वाद्रेः शुङ्गे कथमपि प्रस्ता तीक्ष्णतुष्णाकुलानां, चर्ञ्चनां पूरणेषु अपि न चपल्लचकोराणां पारयति पूर्वम्। इदानीं प्रस्थेमेया परिलसित मृणाला-नतरालैः पेया, हार्या इस्ताञ्जलिभिः भिरतित्रिभुवना सा खलु ज्योत्क्षा सुरुक्षणा॥१९॥ II) प्रियसिक्ष चन्द्रिके, त्वमपि वर्णय चन्द्रिकोद्योतम्। III) यथा आज्ञापयति विय-सस्ति। चन्द्रात् किरणाङ्कराः प्रगलितिश्चण्डं चकोरच्छटा-, चश्चसंचयवेगखण्डितमुखाः स्वैत्वं प्रापिताः। दश्यन्ते धवलायमानकुमुद्च्छायाभिः संवैधिताः, इदानीमुह्णसितार्द्र-पह्णवसहस्रोद्वेह्निताया इव॥२०॥ IV) अहो अहमहसिकया प्रैवृत्तः कवित्वकछैहः।

१ к मधिनभोडक्षणं. २ м विद्युलिता for विलम्बिता. ३ к मुग्ध for दुग्ध. ४ к लसन्ति for गलन्ति of м. ५ км तिलकविन्दोरिन्दोः. ६ к प्रस्मृता. ७ к तीक्ष्णतीक्ष्णाकुः. ८ к वन्धूनां for चल्लूनां. ९ м भृत for भरित. १० к प्रगलिताश्वस्यं, ध प्रचलिताः. ११ м संमेलिता. १२ м प्रवृत्ता. १३ м निपुणता for कल्लूहः.

विदूषकः -1) अहं वि मह कइत्तणं पअडीकरिस्सं । सुणह मज्झ सुति-सोहग्गं ।

चंदण-चच्चिअ-सन्व-दिसंतो
चार्य-चओर-सुहाइ कुणंतो।
दीह-पसारिअ-दीहिंद-वुंदो
दीसइ दिण्ण-रसो णव-चंदो॥ २१॥
चिन्द्रका-^{III)}महारांअ, चंदुज्जोए पिअसहीए किदो सिलोओ।
राजा-^{III)}चंदिए, विसेहि सवण-पीऊसं।
चिन्द्रका-^{IV)}पिअसहि, किं पैढिस्सं। (नायिका लज्जते।)
चिन्द्रका-(पठित।)

णेवहइ कलंक-मिसेणं काल-भुअंगं ससी णिउच्छंगे। किरणाली-णालेहिं किरइ कहं अण्णहा गरलं॥ २२॥ राजा-णोअहो संदब्भ-चाउरी रस-णीसंदो अ। पिए,

> आंणणेण तुह णिज्जिओ ससी लोअणेण पुण तैज्जिओ मिओ। ताण जुज्जइ दुवाण संगमो अग्गदो वि चरणं अलज्जदा॥ २३॥

(चन्द्रं प्रति) हंहो हरिण-लंड्रण, तुमं खु इमाए णिअ-रूव-णिज्जिअ-लच्छीए कोमलच्छीए

 $^{1 \}text{ K}$ सुहण मझ. 2 K दीहिंबुन्दो. 3 K महाराअ (हिज्जो) चंदु°. 4 K पिठस्सम्. 5 K अणेण. 6 K निज्जओ.

I) अहमपि मम कवित्वं प्रकटीकरिष्ये। ग्रुणुत मम स्किसौभाग्यम्। चन्द्नचर्चितसर्वदिगन्तः, चारुचकोरसुखानि कुर्वन्। दीर्घप्रसारितदीधितिवृन्दः, दृश्यते दृत्तरसो नवचन्दः॥ २१॥ II) महाराज, चन्द्रोद्योते प्रियसख्या कृतः स्ठोकः। III) चन्द्रिके,
वर्षये श्रवणपीयूषम्। IV) प्रियसखि, किं पैठिष्यामि। V) वहति कल्कक्षमिषेण कालभुजङ्गं शशी निजोत्सङ्गे। किरणालीनालैः किरति कथमन्यथा गरलम्॥ २२॥ VI) अहो
संदर्भचातुरी रसनिष्यन्दश्च। प्रिये, आर्नेनेन तव निर्जितः शशी, लोचेनेन पुनस्तर्जितो
मृगः। त्रैयोः युज्यते द्वयोः संगमः, अग्रतोऽपि चरणमलज्जता ॥२३॥ (…) हंहो हरिण-

१ M वर्ष श्रव°. २ M वस्यामि for पठिष्यामि. ३ K निजकरोत्सङ्गे. ४ K अनेन for आननेन. ५ K छोच-नेन मृगोऽपि तर्जितः, M छोचनेन च मृदो विनिर्जितः. ६ K तसात् न for तथोः. ७ K छज्जताम्। ८ चंद०

चुंबंतो बिंबेएणं रमिस बिस-लआ-पंडुरं गंड-रेहं दिण्णांणंदो कुणंतो विहरिस सिहिणे तक्खणं अंकपांलिं। आलोलेहिं करेहिं कुणिस अ रंसणा-फंसणं संस णं मे किं णं पुँठवं खु पुण्णं किदमहह तुए जेणमेमेअ होमि ॥२४॥

(नेपथ्ये कलकलः, सर्वे ससंभ्रममाकर्णयन्ति ।)

विदूषकः -¹⁾होदि चन्दणिए, जाण को एसो कलकलो ति ।

चन्दिनका – (उत्थायावलोक्य ससंभ्रमम्) एसा खुदेवी यलीसरं दहूण इदो एव्य आअच्छिदि ति से अंतेष्ठर-चारिणो कुज्ज-िकराअ-वैरिस-वर-वामण-पुरस्सरस्स परिवार-जणस्स एसो कलकलो । ता जह देवीए ण जाणिज्जइ एसो वुत्तंतो तह करेष्ठ महाराओ । अम्हे वि अंतेष्ठरं एव्य तुरिअं गिमस्सामो ।

राजा —^{III)}तह ।

(इति सर्वे निष्क्रान्ताः।)

॥ इअ चंदलेहाअं तइअं जवणिअंतरं ॥

 $1\,$ к बिम्बणेणं. $2\,$ к दिण्णाणन्तो. $3\,$ к अंकपालीम्. $4\,$ к रअणावंसणं. $5\,$ к पुण्णं पुन्नं खु for किं णं etc. $6\,$ к परिसवर. $7\,$ к जाहिज्जए.

॥ इति चन्द्रलेखायां तृतीयं यवनिकान्तरम् ॥

१ к omits कोमलाक्ष्याः. २ м चुम्य वि°. ३ м गन्धरेखां. ४ м विसरसि. ५ м स्तनेन for स्तने. ६ к स्पूर्श for स्पूर्शनं. ७ м शंक्षेनन्मे. ८ к किं पूर्व पुण्यं कृत . ९ м परिवारस्थेष. १० м तद् for तस्मात्. ११ к गमिन्यावः.

[IV]

(ततः प्रविशत्यलंकृतो राजा विभवतश्च परिवारः प्रतीहार्यौ च ।)

राजा – (सानुशयं स्वगतम्) हंत, कहं वि संपादिए मुहुत्तं दआलुणा विवेवण चिराभिलिसए चंपअ-दल-कोमलंगीए खण-संणिहाणे इमिणा अविस्संभ-भअ-कारिणा दक्ष्विण्ण-हदएण वंचिदो म्हि। जदो

तुंगाणं सिहिणाण ताण खु अमाअंताण वच्छ-त्यले दूरे चिद्वउ अंकपालि-कहणं फंसो वि णासादिओ। छेओत्तीम् सहीए किं वि णमिअं मुद्धं मुहंभोरुहं तं उण्णामिअ दिक्खअं वि ण मए का वा कहा चुंबणे ॥१॥ प्रतीहारी – (अन्यां प्रति) मंजुभासिणि, एणिह विसुवोसवे सअल-लोअ-लोअणाणंद-पुण्णिमा-चंदं मही-महिंदं माणवेदं पेक्खिअ संव-च्छरं सुप्पभादं करेहि। एसो खु महाराओ पदीव-मणि-दप्पण-सा- अकुंभ-पुण्णकुंभ-प्पहुदीहिं मंगलेहिं अलंकिदे महत्थाण-मंडवे

छत्तेणं णव-पुंडरीअ-रुइणा डिंडीरिआ-पंडुरे पह्नंकिम पलित्त-भूसण-पहा-पिंगेहि अंगेहि अ।

[संस्कृतच्छाया]

I) हन्त, कथमिष संपौदिते मुहूँर्त दयालुना दैवेन चिरामिलिबते चम्पकदलकोम-लाईवाः क्षणसंनिधाने अँनेनाविस्नम्भभयकारिणा दाक्षिण्यहतकेन विश्वतोऽिस । यतः, तुङ्गयोः स्तनयोस्तयोः खलु अमातोः वक्षःस्थले, दूरे तिष्ठत्वङ्कपालीकथनं स्पर्शोऽिष नासादितः । छेकोक्तिषु सख्याः किमिष निमतं मुग्धं मुखाम्भोक्हं, तर्दुक्षमय्य दृष्टमिष न मया का वा कथा चुम्बने ॥ १ ॥ II) मञ्जमाविणि, इदानीं विषुवोत्सवे सकललोक-लोचनानन्दपूर्णिमाचन्द्रं महीमहेन्द्रं मानवेदं प्रेक्ष्य संवत्सरं सुप्रभातं कुई । एष खलु महाराजः प्रदीपमणिदपणशातकुम्भपूर्णकुम्भप्रभृतिभिः मङ्गलैरलंकते महास्थानमण्टपे, छित्रेणं नवपुण्डरीकरुचिना डिण्डीरिकापाण्डरे, पर्यङ्के प्रदीतमूष्णप्रभाषिङ्गैः अङ्गेश्च ।

 $^{1~\}mathrm{K}$ संपादए वि मुहुत्तहुआ°. $2~\mathrm{K}$ कोमलंगाए. $3~\mathrm{K}$ अयमाअंताण. $4~\mathrm{K}$ च्छेओत्तोसु. $5~\mathrm{K}$ often मञ्जूभाषिण. $6~\mathrm{K}$ महीन्दं. $7~\mathrm{K}$ करेदि.

१ к संभावितेऽपि मुं. २ км मुहूर्तदयालुना. ३ м लाङ्गवास्तस्याः क्षं. ४ к अमुना for अनेना. ५ к अयमात्मिन for अमातोः of м. ६ м तदुन्नाम्य. ७ к मानवेतं, м मानवेदं. ८ к कुरुते. ९ к च्छुत्रे, м चित्रेण. १० м दिण्डीरापाण्डरे.

णिहंते णव-भम्म-पंकअ-मुहाराओ अणंतासणे छत्ताअंत-विसल्ल-मज्झम-फणे णाराअणो व हिओ ॥ २ ॥ मञ्जुभाषिणी –(सानन्दम्) अव्वो सुप्पहाअं ईदं। अज्ज सुप्पहादं संव-च्छरं। (विलोक्य) अहो विसुवोसव-विसेसालंकिद्स्स अत्थाणस्स ३ सिरी। एत्थ हि

> णीलंसु-उल्लोक्ष-विलंबिआणं मुत्ता-लदाणं णिबिडंतराणं । रेहंति माला णव-पावुसिम्म जीमूअ-लंबा इव वारि-धारा॥ ३॥

कलभाषिणी — मंजुभासिणि पेक्ख।

पत्तेसुं कंचणेसुं इह कुह वि इमे पोमराआण पुंजा एदे मुत्ताफलाणं पुण तह अ इमे इंदणीलोप्पलाणं। संके बालादवाणं अवि गहिअ-रसं चंदिआणं तमाणं संघा सेवेदुमेदं तिहुवण-सुहअं आअदा णिव्विरोहं॥ ४॥

मञ्जुभाषिणी —^{III)}कलभासिणि, इमं वि पेक्ख ।

वीअंतीण वहूण कंकण-झणकारिल-दो-वलरी-मंदंदोलिअ-चामलाणिल-कला-विच्छोइअग्गा इमे ।

 $^{1\,\}mathrm{K}$ णवसम्बुपङ्कथ. $2\,\mathrm{K}$ वि for च. $3\,\mathrm{K}$ अंबो. $4\,\mathrm{K}$ इहं. $5\,\mathrm{K}$ संव्वहरं. $6\,\mathrm{K}$ उहेथ. $7\,\mathrm{K}$ पायुसंमी. $8\,\mathrm{K}$ लम्पालअवारिं. $9\,\mathrm{K}$ आअदाणं विरोहम्. $10\,\mathrm{K}$ विच्छोलिअंगा.

निर्द्रान्ते नवस्वैर्णपङ्कजमुखारागः अनन्तासने, च्छत्रायमाणविशास्त्रमध्यमफणे नारायण इव स्थितः ॥ २ ॥ I) अवैवो सुप्रभातमिदम् । अद्य सुप्रभातं संवत्सरम् । (…) अहो विषुवोत्सवविशेषासंकृतस्यास्थानस्य श्रीः । अत्र हि, नीस्रांशुको हो चिवस्वतानां, मुक्ताः स्वानां निविद्यान्तराणाम् । राजन्ति मास्रा नवप्रावृषि, जीमूत् कॅम्बा इव वारिधाराः ॥ ३ ॥ II) मञ्जभाषिणि प्रेक्षं । पात्रेषु काञ्चनेषु इह काष्रि इमे पद्मरागाणां पुञ्जाः, एते मुक्ताः फलानां पुनः तथा चेमे इन्द्रनीस्रोपसाम् । शङ्के बास्रातपानां अपि गृहीतरसं चन्द्रिकाणां तमसां, सङ्घाः सेवितुमेतत् त्रिभुवनशर्रणं आगता निर्विरोधम् ॥४॥ III) कस्रभाष्तिण, इदमैपि पद्मय । वीजन्तीनां वधूनां कङ्कणक्षेणत्कारवद्दोर्वहरी-, मन्दान्दोलितचामरा-

१ M निद्रान्तेन प्रसैन्नचारवदनाम्भोजः अनन्तासने. २ K अम्बो, M अहो. ३ K नीलांशुकालोल वि°. ४ K लम्बालकवारियाराः. ५ M omits प्रेक्ष. ६ K कासि इमे च पद्म°, M कचित् for कापि. ७ K ैनीलोत्पलानाम्. ८ K आह्नानितिनिरोधः. ९ M त्वमपि for इदमपि. २० K ैझणत्कार्ट्टरावछ्री, M कङ्कणक्कणत्कार°.

दीवा दीह-सिहा महिंद-पड्णो अत्थाण-रित्थाण से सामग्गीऍ सिलाहणं खु परिदो रेहंति दंता विञ्र ॥ ५॥ (नेपंथ्ये)

सोत्यि, जअ जअ महाराअ परम-माहेसर सिरि-माणवेअ, सुहं देउ पहाद-वेला महाराअस्स । अज्ज हि

शांआसे पंचताइं परिणमिअ-पलंडु-च्छडा-पंडुराइं
ताराइं चंचलीआ कुमुअ-महु-सुहा-पाण-मत्ता पसुत्ता।
जाओ णीसास-कण्हाविअ-मुउर-समो मंदिमो चंदिआए।
पुव्वासा-सोअ-साहा [लहइ] कुसुमिआ पाअ-संगं उसाए॥६॥
कल्माविणी — महाराअ, संणिविडिआ अ सअला चडिंसधु-रस-णा-वंध-वंधुराअं वसुंधराअं राआणो, उविद्विदा अ दुवारुइेसं, महा-राअस्स पसादं पडिपालंता चिहंतिं।

राजा—^{II)}कलभासिणि, तेण खुँ पवेसिज्जउ । मञ्जभाषिणी—^{III)}एसो पुढमं उवैागओ वच्छोम-णाहो । जहिं किल सरस्सई लसइ साहु-रीदिं गआ जिहं कुणइ अप्पणो भुवणमेक्क-रज्जं सरो ।

निलकलाविक्षोभिंतात्रा इमे। दीपाः दीर्घशिखा महीन्द्रपतेः आस्थानदीनामैस्थाः, सामग्र्याः स्टाघनं खलु परितो राजन्ति ददत इय ॥ ५॥ (…) स्वस्ति, जय जय महाराज परमम्माहेश्वर श्रीमानवेदै, सुखं ददातु प्रभातवेला महाराजस्य। अद्य खँलु, आकाशे पञ्चषाणि पैरिणतपलाण्डुच्छटापाण्डुराणि, ताराणि चञ्चलीकाः कुमुदमधुसुधापानमत्ताः प्रसुप्ताः। जातः निश्वासकृष्णितमुकुरसमः मन्दिमा चिन्द्रकायाः, पूर्वाशाशोकशाखा लेभते कुसुमिता पादसंगं उषायाः॥६॥ І) महाराज, संनिपतिताश्च सकलाश्चतुस्तिनधुरशाना-बन्धवन्धुरायां वसुंधरायां राजानः, उपस्थिताश्च द्वारोदेशं, महाराजस्य प्रसादं प्रतिपाल-यन्तिस्तिष्टन्ति। ІІ) कलभाषिणि, तेन खलु प्रवेश्यन्ताम्। ІІІ) एष प्रथममुपागतो वैदर्भनाथः। यस्मिन् करोति आत्मनो

 $^{1 \}text{ k}$ रेहिंदिता. 2 k नैपथ्ये. 3 k आएसेप्पञ्च°. 4 k च्छटापाण्डुराइं. 5 k काणाविअमुउरतमी. 6 k चिट्टदि. 7 k हि for ख़ given by kh. 8 k उपागओ. 9 k रीटी for रीटिं.

१ K क्षोभिताङ्गा इमे. २ M आस्थानन्धीनाम. ३ K मानवेत. ४ M परिणमन्ति for परिणत. ५ K omits लभते, M शाखालुक्या lacuna पाद. ६ M द्वारोद्देशे.

जहिं च पुढमो रसो जअइ दे पसादेण णं अअं अवइ मेईणी-जुवइ-मंडणं कुंडिणं॥ ७॥
एसो अ गंभीर-महुरेहिं चरण-संचरणेहिं उवगमिअ,
अच्छोलंबंत-चूडा-मउडअ-घडमांणेक्क-माणिक्क-छाआरिंछोलीहिं घणाहिं तृह उवंरि गुरुं उव्वमंतो व राअं।
विच्छोहिज्जंतं-हार-च्छइ-कुल-धवलाअंत-सच्छोह-वच्छो
वेदव्भाणं अहीसो पणमइ णिहुअं तुज्झ पाविढ-मूले॥ ६॥
कलभाषिणी — एसो खु उज्जंअणी-वल्लहो । अअं खु
हेट्ठिलाअंत-सग्गाण खु सइ वि महा-काल-चूलेंदु-लेहाजोण्हाहिं अत्त-कित्तीहि वि तह धवलाअंत-रच्छा-मुहाणं।
सिंप्पा-वादंकुराचुंविअ-सुरअ-किलम्मंत-रामाणणाणं
एटाणं सासणेणं तुह णवर अवंतीण रक्खं करेदि॥ ९॥
एसो अ

अरिड-पाअ-णमणो सवणोव्यलंत-कण्णेडरं तुह पुरो णह-दप्पणम्मि । ओलोइजण गलिअं जह-ठाणमेअं ठावेइ संपइ करेदि अ दे पणामं ॥ १०॥

 $^{1\,\}mathrm{K}$ मेइणिजुवइ°. $2\,\mathrm{K}$ घटमाणेक्ष°. $3\,\mathrm{K}$ रिच्छोछीहिं. $4\,\mathrm{K}$ उपरि. $5\,\mathrm{K}$ विच्छोछिज्ञन्त°. $6\,\mathrm{Kh}$ वच्छोभाणं for वेद्ब्भाणं. $7\,\mathrm{K}$ पावीड. $8\,\mathrm{K}$ उज्जञणी°. $9\,\mathrm{K}$ हेट्टीणाअंत, but Kh हेट्टीछा°. $10\,\mathrm{K}$ सुधापादंकुरा°. $11\,\mathrm{K}$ आरण्डपाञ. $12\,\mathrm{K}$ °णोवलन्त.

भुवनमेकराज्यं सर्रः। यिस्श्चि प्रथमो रसो जयित ते प्रसादेन ननु, अयमवित मेदिनीयुवितमण्डनं कुण्डिनम्॥७॥एव च गम्भीरमधुरैश्चरणसंचरणैरुपगम्य, अच्छावलम्बमानचूडामकुटकघटमानैकमाणिक्यच्छाया-, श्रेणिभिः घनाभिः तव उपिर गुरुमुद्धमन्निव
रागम्। विक्षोभ्यमाणहारच्छविवैहुधवलायमानसच्छोभवक्षाः, वैदर्भाणामधीशः प्रणमित
निभृतं तव पादपीठमूले॥८॥ I) एवँ खलूज्जयिनीवह्यभः। अयं खलु, अधोभवत्खर्गाणां
खलु सदापि महाकालचूडेन्दुलेखा-, ज्योत्स्नाभिरात्मकीर्तिभिरिष तथा धवलायमानरथ्यामुखानाम्। सिर्प्रावाताङ्कराचुम्वितसुरतह्माम्यद्रामाननानां, एतेषां शासनेन तव
नवर्यमवन्तीनां रक्षां करोति॥९॥ एष च, आरब्धपादनमनः श्रवणोपरिभैवत्, कर्णपूरं
तव पुरो नर्खदर्पणे। अवलोक्य गलितं यथास्थानमेतं, स्थापयित संप्रति करोति च ते

१ к सुरश्च for सरः. २ к प्रसादेन ननु (तद्), м प्रसादेन तद्पतिमेदिनीं. ३ м रिञ्छोलीसः for श्रेणिभिः. ४ м उज्जिनीवहाभोऽयं सञ्च for एष etc. सञ्च. ५ м रथ्यामुखां. ६ км सृप्रा. ७ к नपर्मं lacuna रमवन्तीनां. ८ к ँदर्पणेषु.

मञ्जभाषिणी —¹⁾एसो रिवु-मंडल-खंडण-प्पअंडो पंडो, कहमस्स सुह-अत्तणं विणिदव्वं ।

लोवामुद्दा-सहाओ स हि मुणि-पवरो अत्थि से सोत्थिवाई मंदोहें मारुएहिं कुणइ अ मलओ चामल-ग्गाहि-लीलं। कोसो साँ तंबपण्णी मणि-गण-जणणी किं व एहिं बहु हिं णूणं अंसंस-मत्तेण वि ण खु सरिसा अस्स भूवाल-लोआ॥ ११॥ तारिसो विं एसो।

> चूलंत-सत्त-णव-मोत्तिअ-सार-जाल-घोलंत-दीर्हिंद-मिसेण पलित्त-रूवं । कित्तिं णिअं सवदिं पाहुडिजण तुज्झ पाअंबुअं पणमए मणलूर-णाहो ॥ १२ ॥

कलभाषिणी —¹¹⁾एसो महिला-बाल-णहे-लेहा-फालिज्जंतै-बहल-कप्पू-र-खंड-पंडुर-जस-मंडल-दिण्ण-त्रेलोक्क-सअलंगिअ-धवल-दुकल-णि-ओलो चोलो। जो

आलोलिजंत-णिच्च-क्कदु-दहण-सिहुद्दाम-धूम-च्छैडाहिं घोलंतीहिं कुणंते मिअ-मअ-मअअं पत्त-भंगं दिसाणं।

 $^{1 \}text{ K}$ सो for से. 2 K लीलाम्. 3 K सोत्तम्ब for सा तंब . 4 K किं वयेहिं. 5 K विसेसो for वि एसो. 6 K दीहिहि. 7 K सपदि. 8 K पालिजन्त. 9 K 'च्छटाहिं.

प्रणीमम् ॥ १० ॥ I) एव रिपुमण्डलखण्डनप्रचण्डः पाण्ड्यः, कथमस्य तु सुभगत्वं वर्ण-यितव्यम् । लोपामुद्रासहायः स हि मुनिप्रैवरः अस्ति अस्य स्वस्तिवादी, मन्दैः माहैतैः करोति च मलयः चामरप्राहिलीलाम् । कोशः सा ताम्रपर्णी मणिगणजननी किंवा एभिः बहुभिँः, नूनमंशांशमात्रेणापि न खलु सहंशा अस्य भूपाललोकाः ॥ ११ ॥ तादशोऽप्येषः, चूडान्तसक्तनवमौक्तिकसारजाल-, घूर्णमानदीधितिमिषेण प्रदीप्तरूपाम् । कीर्तिं निजां स-पदि प्राभृतीकृत्य तव, पादाम्बुजं प्रणमित मणलूरनाथः ॥ १२ ॥ II) एव महिलाबालनख-लेखापाट्यमानवहलकपूर्रखण्डपाण्डुरयशोमण्डलद्त्तत्रलोक्यसक्तिलाङ्गिकथवलदुक्तैलनि-चोलेश्वोलः । यः, औलोल्यमाननित्यक्ततुदहनशिखोद्दामध्यक्रटाभिः, घूर्णमानाभिः

१ к प्रमाणम्. २ к प्रवरः अगस्त्योऽस्य. ३ к वातैः for माहतैः. ४ к किं बहुभिरेभिः. ५ м सहशः ... हे हे मणलूरुनाथः. ७ м साल for बाल. ८ к पाल्यमान. ९ к a short lacuna after बहल. १० м सर्वाङ्गीण for सकलाङ्गिक. ११ м दुक्लं. १२ к निचुलक्षोलः. १३ к आलोक्यमानं.

ओलंबंतग्ग-तंबोलअ-देल-कसणाअंत-दिचक्कवाले चोले पालेइ हेला-विहुणिअ-णिंवई तुज्झ कारुण्णएणं॥१३॥ सो एसो

> माणिक्क-मोर्लि-मणि-मोह-परोह-लेहा-पुंज-च्छलेण जलिअं णिअअं पआवं। दंतो व्व तुज्झ चलणं सरणं जणाणं णं ओणमेइ णरवाल-मणे खणेणं॥ १४॥

मञ्जभाषिणी —¹⁾एसो पलित्त-पञाव-पावञ-र्जजाला-दिण्ण-दिसंगणा-कुंकुमंगराञो । जो खु

र्जंपंतो बंधवाणं अभअमहिमअं मग्गणाणं कुणंतो कंपंतो दुद्व-लोअं अवि सअल-इंड्लाण पीदिं दिसंतो । लुंपंतो सन्नु-रामा-सिहिण-मलअअं पत्त-तुम्हाणुकंपं चंपं संपण्ण-रिड्डिं णिअ-भुअ-सिहरे रक्खए चुक्क-हीणं॥१॥॥

> पैनिडिअस्स सिवहे पडिअस्स तस्स सोहंत-सार-मणि-पप्फुरिओ किरीडो । लंबेहि पर्लुंब-णिहेहि करंचलेहिं संवाहणं कुणइ दे पअ-पंकआणं ॥ १६॥

¹ к दस for दल. 2 к दिक्चङ्कवाले. 3 к रिवई for णिवई. 4 к मौलि°. 5 к जाणा दिण्णा. 6 к जप्पंतो. 7 к अदि. 8 к च्छलंलाणा. 9 к लम्पन्तो. 10 к चुंखहीणम्. 11 к पावीडअस्स. 12 к फंफुरिओ. 13 к वण्णव but кh प्रज्ञव.

करोति मृगमदमयं पत्रभक्तं दिशाम् । अवलैम्बमानाव्रताम्बूलकदलकृष्णायमानदिक्चक्र-पालान्, चोलान् पालयति हेलाविर्धूतनृपतिः तव कारुण्येन ॥ १३ ॥ स एषः, माणि-क्यमौलिमणिमयूखप्ररोहरेखा-, पुञ्जच्छलेन ज्वलितं निजकं प्रतापम् । दददिव तव चरणं शरणं जनानां, नन्ववनमति नरपालमणे क्षणेन ॥ १४ ॥ І) एष प्रदीप्तप्रतापपावकज्वा-लादत्तदिगङ्गनाकुङ्कमाङ्गरागोऽङ्गराजः । यः खलु, जल्पन् बान्धवानामभयमभिमतं मार्गणानां कुर्वन्, कम्पयन् दुष्टलोकमपि सकलविर्दृग्धानां प्रीतिं द्शैंयन् । लुम्पन् शत्रुरामास्तनमलयजं प्राप्तयुष्मदनुकम्पां, चम्पां संप्नार्द्धं निजभुजशिखरे रक्षति प्रमाद-हीनम् ॥ १५ ॥ पादपीठस्य सविधे पतितस्य तस्य, शोभमानसारमणिप्रस्फुरितः किरीदैः । कैंकिः पल्लवनिभैः कराञ्चलैः, संवाहनं करोति ते पदपङ्कजयोः ॥ १६ ॥

१ к आलम्बमानाम. २ м हरितायमान for कृष्णायमान. ३ к omits चोलान्. ४ к हेलाविधृतं. ५ м लेखा for रेखा. ६ к विदण्डानां. ७ м प्रीतिं दिश्च lacuna. ८ м संपन्निधः. ९ м किरीटलम्बैः पछ्छव .

कलभाषिणी — एसो सअले-जण-वलहो मअह-वलहो। जो खु लाहिलो रिवुणो सिरी-करैद्यरी-केस-ग्गहेसुं बला छाँदलो पुर-सुंदरीणमुदिओ घेत्रूणं मुर्त्ति सरो। एकलो सअलिम्म भूमि-वलए वीराण धीराण वा तिकलो तुइ एस पुप्फंणअरं रैक्खेइ सोक्खाअरं॥ १७॥ पुलोअदु महाराओ।

> एसो पडंत-मउडंत-समंप्पिएहिं मुत्ताहलेहि धवलेहि णिरंतरेहिं। तारावरोहण-वहू-णिबिडंकपालिं कारेदि पाँद-णह-बिंब-णिसेसरं ते॥ १६॥

मञ्जभाषिणी —^{II)}एसो समर-मुह-मुहुत्त-दि[†]एण-पुण्णमंत-वल्लहंकपाली-तूसि[†]अच्छरा-चंचलच्छि-कोणै-लच्छी-रिंछोलि-विच्छुरण-सामलंगो कलिंगो।

एिंह एदस्स वीर्वेक्कर-सिरमणिणो कित्ति-जोण्हाहि ताहिं योराआरा चओरा ससहर-मणिणो णिच्च-णीसंदमंती । उन्वेल्ला सिंधु-वेला सइ कुमुअ-गणा होंति णिंद्दा-दलिद्दा येरादो णट्ट-लज्जा विहरइ हरिणा चंचलच्छी अ लच्छी ॥१९॥

¹ к सकछ. 2 к करमरी. 3 к च्छालक्षो. 4 к घत्तूण मुत्ती. 5 к फुल्फ. 6 к रख्वाइ, but кh रख्वेइ. 7 к समंपिओहिं. 8 к णिविडन्तपाली. 9 к पादणवीव, but кh णहविंह. 10 к दिण्णमन्तविद्यहंकै. 11 к त्सिञ्चिअच्छरा. 12 к omits कोणलच्छी. 13 к वीरुंकरसिरमहिणो. 14 к णीसन्तमन्ता. 15 к हान्ति, but кh होन्ति. 16 к णिन्ताविलन्दा for णिद्दा etc.

I) एष सकलजनवल्लभो मगधवल्लभः। यः खलु, लाभवान् रिंपोः श्रीवैन्दिकँचग्रहेषु वलात्, लायावान् मृगँलोचनानामुदितः गृहीत्वा मूर्तिं स्मरः। एकाँकी सकले भूमिवलये वीराणां धीराणां वा, तात्पैर्यवान् त्वीय एष पुष्पनगरं रक्षति सौख्याकरम् ॥१७॥ प्रेलोकयतु महाराजः। एष पतन्मकुटान्तँसमिपितः, मुक्ताफलैधेवलैनिरन्तरः। तारावरोध्यनवधूनिविडाङ्कपालीं, कारयति पादनखिबद्धि शेश्वरं ते ॥१८॥ II) एष समरमुखमुक्तिद्वपुण्यवद्वल्लभाङ्कपालीतोषिताप्सरश्चश्चलाक्षिकोणलक्ष्मीश्रीणिविच्लुरणस्यामलाङ्गः किलिङ्गः। इदानीमेतस्य वीरोत्करियरोमणेः कीर्तिज्योत्स्नाभिस्ताभिः, स्थूलाकाराश्चकोराः श्वाधरमणयः नित्यनिष्यन्दवन्तः। उद्वेलाः सिन्धुवेलाः सदा कुमुद्गणाः भवन्ति निद्वादरिद्वाः, स्थविरात् नष्टलज्ञा विहरति हरिणां चञ्चलाक्षी च लक्ष्मीः॥१९॥

१ к भवो for रिपो:. २ м श्रीकेशमहणेषु. ३ к omits बलात्. ४ к एकः for एकाकी. ५ к त्व lacuna हृष्टनगरं. ६ м विलोक्तयतु. ७ к भुकुलान्तःसमुस्थितैः. ८ м रिज्छोळी for श्रेणि. ९ к ब्रह्मणः for स्थविरात्. १० к हरिणाः.

९ चंद०

एसो अ नुज्झ करुणा-रस-लालसेण चित्रेण चित्र-रअणं णव-पार्द-वीढं। ओल्लीकरेइ णइ-घोलिर्रं-मोलि-माला-णीसंदमाण-मअरंदअ-सारणीहिं॥ २०॥

कलमाषिणी —¹'अअं सअल-रिवु-कुल-विलासिणी-लोअ-कालमेघ-ज-ल-वरिसुं-पाउस-सण्णाहो कण्णाड-णाहो ।

उच्रुंण्णे सन्नु-सेण्णे सवदि णिअ-घरे जस्स सोजण णामं चंडासि-च्डिण्ण-वीर-त्रिअंस-पअ-करं संगरं अंतरेणं। जुत्ताणं संभमेणं पर्र-वरण-समारंभ-जंभालएणं अंगालंकार-लच्छी किल सैलिल-लिवी होइ सग्गंगणाणं॥२१॥ (सकौतुकं निर्वर्ण्यं)

> णक्खेसु तुज्झ सअलेसु फुडं इमस्स चूडामेणीसु वि अ छोल्लण-णिम्मलेसुं। बिंबा दुवाण वि तुहाण बहुत्रणेण सोहंति जोगैगदर-ठाण-पडिडिअंगा॥ २२॥

मञ्जभाषिणी -

ाएसो णेपाल-पालो पणमइ चलणे तुज्झ कारुण्ण-लोलो कलभाषिणी –

^{III)}कंबोएँसो वि एसो पणिवडइ सिहा-लीढ-पौवीढ-पासो।

 $^{1 \, \}text{ K}$ एसो तुझ. $2 \, \text{ K}$ पादपीटम्. $3 \, \text{ K}$ घूरइ for घोछिर. $4 \, \text{ K}$ णिस्सन्द but Kh णीसन्द. $5 \, \text{ K}$ घोरणीहिं, but Kh सारणीहिं. $6 \, \text{ K}$ परिस°. $7 \, \text{ K}$ उझंणे. $8 \, \text{K}$ तिसअपअ°. $9 \, \text{K}$ वरवरण°. $10 \, \text{K}$ सलदिखिं होइ संगंगणाणम्. $11 \, \text{K}$ भिंणस्सुडिअच्छो°. $12 \, \text{K}$ जोगगदराण, but Kh जोगगदर°. $13 \, \text{K}$ omits कंबो. $14 \, \text{K}$ पाविड्र.

एष च तव करणारं सलालसेन, चित्तेन चित्ररत्नं नवपादपीठम्। आद्रींकरोति नित्रधूर्ण-नशीलमोलिमाला-, निष्यन्दमानमकरन्दसारणीभिः॥ २०॥ I) अयं सकलरिपुकुलिवला-सिनीलोक्षेकालमेघजलवर्षपात्रृद्धस्त्राहः कर्णाटनाथः। उत्सन्ने शत्रुसैन्ये सपिद निजगृहे यस्य श्रुत्वा नाम, चण्डासिच्छिन्नवीरित्रदशपदकरं सङ्गरमन्तरेण। युक्तानां संभ्रमेण पर-वरणसमारम्भजृम्भितेन, अङ्गालङ्कारलक्ष्मीः किल सिलैलिपिः भवति खर्गाङ्गनानाम्॥ २१॥ (…) नखेषु तव सकलेषु स्फुटमस्य, चूडामणिष्वपि च शोधनिनमिलेषु। बिम्बी द्वयोरपि युवयोर्वहृत्वेन, शोभेते योग्यतरस्थानप्रैतिष्ठिताङ्गो॥ २२॥ II) एष नेपालपालः प्रणमित चरणौ तव कारुण्यलोलः, III) काम्बोजेशोऽपि एष प्रणिपतित शिखालीढ-

१ м कण for रस. २ м लोचन for लोक. १ м सलिललनिर्भवति. ४ к भमुष्य for मस्य. ५ к omits च. ६ к गोपन for शोधन. ७ м प्रतिष्ठिताङ्गेन. ८ к एव विशेषः प्रणिपतित शिखा एषः for काम्बोज etc.

मञ्जभाषिणी —

 $^{\scriptscriptstyle
m I}$ एसो अचेदि चूडामणि-किरण-पसूणेहि पंचाल-णाहो

कलभाषिणी —

¹¹⁾एसो भूमिं पवण्णो विलसइ विहिआमित्त-दुक्खो तुरुक्खो ॥

मञ्जभाषिणी —

^{III)}एसो चेदि-प्पवीरो

कलभाषिणी —

^{1V)}अअमिह णिसढो

मञ्जभाषिणी --

^{V)}एस वाराणसीसो

कलभाषिणी —

^{VI)}एसो सो पारसीओ

मञ्जभाषिणी 🗕

^{VII)}अअमेवि महरहेसरो

कलभाषिणी --

^{VIII)}एस वंगो।

मञ्जभाषिणी —

^{1X)}एसो मद्दाहिराओ

कलभाषिणी —

^{X)}अअमिह महुरा-वछहो

मञ्जभाषिणी -

^{XI)}एस मच्छो

कलभाषिणी –

💴 एदे कस्सीर-कंची-दिमल-पइ-मुहा भूमिपाला णमंति ॥२४॥

1 к अअमपि∙

पादपीठपार्थः। I) एषोऽर्चयित चूडामणिकिरणप्रस्तैः पाञ्चालनाथः, II) एव भूमिं प्रपन्नो विलसित विहितामित्रदुःखस्तुरुष्कः॥२३॥ III) एव चेदिप्रवीरः, IV) अयमिह निषधः, V) एष वाराणसीशः, VI) एष स पारसीकः, VII) अयमिष महाराष्ट्रेश्वरः, VIII) एष वङ्गः, IX) एष मद्राधिराजः, X) अयमिह मथुरावल्लभः, XI) एष मत्स्यः, XII) एते काश्मीरकाञ्चीद्रमिलपितमुखा भूमिपाला नमन्ति॥२४॥

१ 🗷 मधुरा.

मञ्जूभाषिणी —¹⁾कलभासिणि, पेक्ख । गलंत-कणअंगदो गल-दलंत-हार-छड़ो चलंत-मणि-कंकणो चलण-गुंजि-मंजीरओ । वलंत-मउडंतरो णरवईण दाणि अअं अहं-पुढिमिआ-कओ णमण-संभमो जिंभए ॥ २५॥

कलभाषिणी —¹¹अहो णमणाणंतरं एवव महाराएण जहोइदं संभा-विआ जंभाअंत-णिव्भर-हरिस-वल्लरी-पल्लवाअंत-धंवल-हास-पंडु-³ रिअ-गंड-रेहा-रेहंत-मुह-पुंडरीआ महाराअ-प्पसाद-लाह-किद्त्या णिव-सत्था पत्थादुं एव्व आढता।

राजा – (स्वगतम्) अवि णाम पुणो वि भवे तिस्से हरिणंक-वअ-• णाए दंसणं। (विचिन्त्य) किं णु हु हिज्जो एव्व देवीए अंतेउरं णाइदो वअस्सो विलंबइ।

(ततः प्रविशति विदूषकः।)

 9 विदूषकः – (सरमसमुपस्त्य $)^{\mathrm{IV}}$ मो वअस्स, दिद्विआ वङ्घसि इमिणा विसुवूसवेण ।

राजा -(दीर्घ निःश्वस्य)

ण्दहंति मलआणिला पैरितवंति मं कोइला अअं हरइ जीविअं पैहरणुम्मुहो वम्महो।

 $^{1\ {}m K}$ узिमआ. $2\ {
m K}$ заел. $3\ {
m K}$ इज्जो. $4\ {
m K}$ णालदो. $5\ {
m K}$ "तपन्ति. $6\ {
m K}$ बहरणंमुहो.

I) कलभाषिणि, पश्य । गलत्कनकाङ्गदः गलैदलद्धारच्छटः, चल्रमणिकङ्कणः चरणगुञ्जिमङ्गीरकः । वल्रमकुटान्तरः नरपतीनामिदानीमयं, अहं-प्रथमिकाकृतः नमनसंभ्रमो ज्रम्भते ॥ २५ ॥ II) अहो नमनानन्तरमेव महाराजेन यथोचितं संभाविताः ज्रम्भमाण-निर्भरहर्षवल्लरीपल्लवायमानधवल्लहासपाण्डुरितगण्डरेखाराजन्मुखपुण्डरीकाः महाराज-प्रसादलामकृतार्थाः नृपर्सार्थाः प्रस्थातुमेवारच्धाः । III) अपि नाम पुनरपि भवेत् तस्या हरिणाङ्कवदनाया दर्शनम् । (…) किं तु खलु ह्यः एव देव्या अन्तःपुरमानायितो वयस्यो विलम्बते । IV) भो वयस्य, दिष्ट्या वर्धसे अनेन विषुवोत्सवेन । V) दहन्ति मल्यानिलाः परितपन्ति मां कोकिलाः, अयं हरति जीवितं प्रहरणोन्मुखो मन्मथः ।

१ к गलगलहार. २ к omits इदानीं. ३ к omits अहं. ४ м रेखाः राज. ५ к omits महाराज. ६ м निवासार्थ for नृपसार्थी:. ७ к omits पुनर्षि. ८ к खलु अन्तःपुर. ९ м वर्धस्व for वर्धसे. १० к अमुना for अनेन of м.

हहा कह वि सा खणं हिअअ-वल्लहा दुल्लहा कहं णु पुण दिहिआ भण वअस्स वड्ढामि हा ॥ २६ ॥ कहेहि देाव तग्गअं कं वि वुत्तंतं ।

 $a_{\overline{q}}$ क्षेत्र $a_{\overline{q}}$ मो वअस्स, सा किल देवीए अंतेउर-गब्भ-घरअस्मि कणअ-सिंखलेहिं बंधिअ ठाविआ।

राजा - 11) अहो णिक्करणत्तणं देवीए।

विदूषकः - ^{III)}पुणो वि सुण देवीए णिक्करणत्तणं ।

राजा — ग्रंकि हि ।

विद्र्षकः — विहंगिंआ कुरंगिआ तरंगिआ सारंगिआ पदंगिआ अ पंच चामल-गगहिणीओ पुन्व-दुवारिम्म तिस्से रक्खं कांदुं ठावि-आओ। मंदारिआ माअंदिआ मदअंतिआ अरविंदिआ सिंदूरिआ अ पंच संवाहण-कारिणीओ दाहिण-दुवारिम्म णिओइदाओ। तमा-लिआ णत्तमालिआ णोमालिआ तरिलआ कदिलआ अ पंच ण्हाणो-वअरण-संपादिणीओ पिच्छिंम-दुवारिम्म पेरिदाओ। कलहंसिआ कदिलीआ कमलिआ कदंविआ कलिंगिआ अ पंच सेरंधीओ उत्तर-दुवारिम्म पेसिदाओ। पत्तलेहा चित्तलेहा मअणलेहा विलासलेहा मअलेहा अ पंच तंबोल-दाइणीओ सरीर-रक्खाअं संठीविआओ। अ

¹ к omits दाव given by кh. 2 к विहिङ्गिआ. 3 к दुन्वारिमितिस्सो. 4 к खादुं. 5 к दाहिणि for दाहिण. 6 к णत्ततमालिआ दन्तमालिआ णोमालिआ. 7 к पश्चिम. 8 к omits करिल्डा and adds कादिम्बआ after करिन्बआ. 9 к कलिपित्तआ. 10 к सट्टाविआओ.

हैहा कथमपि सा क्षणं हृदयवल्लमा दुर्लभा, कथं नु पुनर्दिष्ट्या मण वयस्य वैधे तैत् ॥ २६॥ कथय तावत्तद्वतं कमि वृत्तान्तम् । I) भो वयस्य, सा किल देव्याः अन्तःपुर-गर्भगृहे कनकशृङ्खलाभिः बद्धा स्थापिता । II) अहो निष्करणत्वं देव्याः । III) पुनरिप शृणु देव्या निष्करणत्वम् । IV) कथय । V) विहङ्गिका कुरङ्गिका तरङ्गिका सारङ्गिका पतिङ्गिका च पञ्च चामरग्राहिण्यः पूर्वद्वारे तस्याः रक्षां कर्तुं स्थापिताः । मन्दारिका माक-निद्का मदयन्तिका अरविन्दिका सिन्दूरिका च पञ्च संवाहनकारिण्यः दक्षिणद्वारे नियो-जिताः । तैमालिका नक्तमालिका नवमालिका तरिलका कैद्लिका च पञ्च स्नानोपकरण-संपादिन्यः पश्चिमद्वारे प्रेरिताः । कलँहंसिका कद्लिका कर्मलिका कदम्बिका कलपिङ्गिका च पञ्च सैरन्ध्यः उत्तरद्वारे प्रेषिताः । पत्रलेखा चित्रलेखा मदनलेखा विलासलेखा मद्

१ K हाहा. २ K वर्धामि तव. ३ K omits this remark. ४ K मकरनिका. ५ K तमालिका नक्त-तमालिका नव[®], M तमालिका नव[®]. ६ M कदलिका नक्तमालिका च. ७ K कलहंसिका कमलिनीका कद[®]. ८ M कमलिका कलविक्किका कादम्बिका च.

ै राजा —^{III)}को एत्य कोवावसरो । ^{विदूषकः —^{IV)}सुणादु वअस्सो । एअं खु जाणिअं एव्व वअस्सस्स जं हिज्जो एव्व णिसीहिणीअं देवीए आणाइदो म्हि त्ति ।}

 n $^{ ext{visi}}$ $^{- ext{v}}$ तं जाणिअं । पुणो कहेहि ।

विदूषकः — प्राणुणो अंते उरंगओ अहं अहिअं कंचणासण-दाणादिणा देवीए संभाविओ। तिहं आढत्ते सन्वाहिं पुर-सुंदरीहिं महंते अंते-अउर-संगीअ-कोलाहले विरमिए तत्य एन्व तमालिआए पत्यिरिए पट्ट-पैलंक-अले गन्भ-घरए अ पन्भारे वल्लही-मुहम्मि जहिच्छं पैसुत्तो म्हि।

 n राजा $-^{\mathrm{VII}}$ तदो तदो । $^{\mathrm{fag}_{\mathbf{q}}}$ कः $-^{\mathrm{VIII}}$ तदो मए सिविणए पलविअं किल । $^{\mathrm{VIII}}$ किं पलविअं ।

विदूषकः — प्रितिहा - दीहिआ - परिसर - वृत्तंतो । राजा — प्राणि अहो पमादो । (विचिन्त्य) पुणो वि गहिओ एव्व रोस-राहुणा देवीए हिअअ-चंदो । तदो एव्व एसो संरंभो ।

लेखा च पश्च ताम्बूलदायिन्यः शरीररक्षायां संस्थापिताः। I) कस्मात् पुनर्देन्या अयं संरम्भः। II) भो वयस्य, यदि न कोपं करोषि तत्कथयामि। III) कोऽत्र कोपावसरः। IV) शृणोतु वयस्यः। एतत् खलु ज्ञातमेव वयस्यस्य यत् ह्यः एव निशीथिन्यां देन्या औनायितोऽस्भीति। V) तत् ज्ञातम्। पुनः कथय। VI) पुनरन्तःपुरं गतोऽहमधिकं काञ्चनासनदानादिना देन्या संभावितः। तस्मिन् आरब्धे सर्वाभिः पुरसुन्दरीभिः महत्यन्तःपुरसंगीतकोलाहले विरमिते तत्रैव तमालिकथा प्रस्तृते पट्टपर्यञ्चतले गर्भगृहे च प्राग्नारे वलभीमुखे थ्थेच्लं प्रसुत्ते प्राप्ति। VII) ततस्ततः। VIII) ततो मया स्रमे प्रलपितं किल। IX) कि प्रलपितम्। X) तुहिनँदीर्धिकापरिसरवृत्तान्तः। XI) अहो प्रमादः। (…) पुनरिष गृहीत एव रोषराहुणा देन्याः हृदयवन्दः। तत एवैष संरम्भः।

¹ к कोपं for कोवं. 2 к पट्टव छंकसके. 3 к पसुत्तो, but кh पासुत्तो.

१ м वयस्य कसात्. २ к आज्ञापितो for आनायितो. ३ м adds सबहुमानं before प्रस्तृते. ४ к omits प्रस्तृते पट्टपर्यक्कतले. ५ к प्राग्द्वारे, м प्राग्भारवलभीमुखे. ६ к यथेष्टं प्र°. ७ м तृहिनजलदी°.

विदूषकः —¹⁾भो वअस्स, मा उत्तम्म । संपर्अ अम्हाणं अणुजल-देव-अ त्रणेण देवीए ण कोवावसरो ।

^{राजा —^{II)}वअस्स, कीस उण तं।}

विदूषकः - प्राःज्यसहेण दुक्खावेएण पराअत्त-चित्रा खु देवी । राजा - (ससंभ्रमम्) प्राःज कई कई देवीए दुक्खोवणिवाओ ।

विदूषकः $-^{V)}$ अज्ञ खु विंसुवूसवे तुमं पर्णंमिजण देवीए माउसिआ-वइणो अंगेसरस्स चंदवम्मणो पुत्तो कुमार-चंदकेंदू अंतेउरं पविद्वो । $\sqrt{10}$ सज्ज $-^{V1)}$ सिग्घं कहेहि ।

 $a_{\overline{q}}$ प्रकः $-^{VII}$ तंदी देवीए जहोइदं संभाविओ पुच्छिदो अन्नणो परिअणस्स अ कुसलं।

राजा - (राम) तदो तदो ।

विदृषकः — प्राति देण चंदकेर्दुणा देवीए अप्प-माँउसीआ-पूआए चंदलेहा-णामहेआए कुसलं पुच्छिदेण भणिअं। जह। सा खु चंदलेहा व चंद-लेहा-मणहरंगी सअल-कण्णआ-कुल-तिलअ-भूदा मे वच्छा तत्थ चंपा-णअरीअं कदींइ कीला-रिसआ अण्णाहिं अणुरूवाहिं कुमारीहिं सह बालुज्जाणं गआ ण दिद्दी, ण जाणामो कस्सि गआ क्ष केण वा णीद ति। सा अम्हेहिं एसा खु कुमारी णिअ-पाणिग्गाहिणो

¹ к कोपावसरो. 2 к has only one कहं. 3 к दु:खाविणवाओ. 4 к विसुसूसवे. 5 к पिणिसिजण. 6 к omits तदो given by кh. 7 к केतुणा. 8 к मालसीआ. 9 к जण for कुल. 10 к कदाणि. 11 к दिण्णे, but кh दिट्टा.

I) भो वयस्य, मा उत्ताम्य । सांप्रतमसाकमनुकूळदैवत्वेन देव्याः न कोपावसरः । II) वयस्य कसात् पुनः तैत् । III) दुःसहेन दुःखावेगेन परायत्तचित्ता खर्ळुं देवी । IV) कथं कथं देव्या दुःखोपनिपातः । V) अद्य खर्ळ विषुवोत्सवे त्वां प्रणम्य देव्याः मातृष्वस्पतेः अङ्गेश्वरस्य चन्द्रवर्मणः पुत्रः कुमारचन्द्रकेतुः अन्तःपुरं प्रविष्टः । VI) शीघं कथय । VII) तैतो देव्या यथोचितं संभावितः पृष्टश्चात्मनः परिजनस्य च कुश्रसम् । VIII) ततस्ततः । IX) ततस्तेन चन्द्रकेतुना देव्याः आत्ममातृष्वस्रकृतायाः चन्द्रलेखानामध्यायाः कुशलं पृष्टेन भणितम् । यथा । श्वा खर्ळ चन्द्रलेखा चन्द्रलेखानमनोहराङ्गी सक्रसम्यक्ष्यकृतिस्वरुक्ता मे वत्सा तत्र चम्पानगर्यो कदाचित् क्रीडान्रसिका अन्याभिरनुक्पाभिः कुमारीभिः सह वालोद्यानं गता न दृष्टा, न जानीमश्च किस्मन् गता केन वा नीता इति । श्वा चासाभिः एषा खर्ळ कुमारी निजपाणिग्राहिणः

१ k omits तत्. २ m सुदुःसहेन. ३ m भवति for खलु. ४ k omits one कथं. ५ k दुःखाव-निपातः. ६ m कुमारश्चन्दः. ७ k omits ततो. ८ k omits सा. ९ k reads जन for कुल. १० k तसादसाभिः for सा etc.

सत्त-समुद्द-मुद्दिश्च-महिअलेक-णाहत्तणं करिस्सिदि ति लक्खण-वदिणो जणादो जाणिअ महाराअस्स वअणेण दिण्ण ति ।

राजा -(ससंभ्रमं खङ्गमादाय)

ोमोत्तूणं जो खु संकं हैरिअ कुमरिअं मर्ज्झ दिण्णं णिवालो बालो भू-लोअ-गोले णिवसइ णिंभिअं तस्स वेएणमेसो। सत्तूणं णिटुरिड-क्खुडण-चडचडाराव-वाआल-हारो खग्गो रावेइ णूणं रण-सिरि-चलणं सोणिआलत्तरहों॥२०॥ कलभासिणि, गच्छ। णिवारिज्ञंतु णिवाला सन्वे, पुर्विद्धज्जंतु अ केण चोरिआ सा कण्ण ति।

कळमाषिणी $-^{ ext{II}}$ जं देवो आणवेदि । (इति निष्कान्ता ।)

विदूषकः — देवी अतं अवत्यं सोजण हा बहिणिए चंदलेहे किहें गआ सि देहि पडिवअणं ति पलवंती अविरल-पडंतेहिं बाह-प्पवाहेहिं अंतेउरे महण्णवं विरद्धेजण वअस्सस्स णिवेदेइडं आअमिस्सिदि।

(ततः प्रविश्वति मुद्तिता देवी तमालिका च।)

देवी - (सवाष्पम्)^{IV)}हा तवस्मिणि चंदलेहे, कहिं गआसि । पुन्वं वि , सा बैं।ला मंदभाइणीए मए ण दिहा ।

सप्तसमुद्रमुद्रितमहीतलैकनाथत्वं करिष्यतीति लक्षणवेदिनो जनात् ज्ञात्वा महाराजस्य वचनेन दत्ता इति । I) मुक्त्वा यः खलु राङ्कां हैत्वा कुमारिकां मम दत्तां नृपालः, बालो भूलोकगोले निवसति निभृतं तस्य वेगेन एषः । रात्रूणां निष्ठुरास्थित्रुटनचटचटाराववा-चाटधारः, खङ्कः रर्ज्जयित नूनं रेणश्रीचरणं शोर्णितालक्तकः ॥ २०॥ कलभाषिणि, गच्छ । निवार्यन्तां नृपालाः सर्वे, पृच्छयन्तां च केन चोरिता कैन्यका इति । II) यद् देव आज्ञापयति । III) देवी च तामवस्थां श्रुत्वा हा भगिनिके चन्द्रलेखे कुत्र गतासि देहि प्रतिवचनम् इति भँलपन्ती अविरलपत्रद्भः वाष्पप्रवाहः अन्तःपुरे महाणेवं विरचय्य वयस्यस्य निवेदियतुमागिषण्यति । IV) हा तपस्विन चन्द्रलेखे, कुत्र गतासि ।

 $^{1\,\}mathrm{K}$ मुहिअं. $2\,\mathrm{K}$ मेकातूर्ण for मोत्तूर्ण. $3\,\mathrm{K}$ सक्कुअरिअ. $4\,\mathrm{K}$ अझ. $5\,\mathrm{K}$ मुअन्तस्स वेओण°. $6\,\mathrm{K}$ नूर्ण. $7\,\mathrm{K}$ पुश्चिंजन्तुए केणं, but Kh पुन्छि°. $8\,\mathrm{K}$ अन्तअपध्य सो°. $9\,\mathrm{K}$ महिणिए. $10\,\mathrm{K}$ विरल्डण. $11\,\mathrm{K}$ णिवेदअउं. $12\,\mathrm{K}$ omits बाला.

१ K च्छित्वा (सम) for हृत्वा. २ K भूगोल for भूलोक. ३ M वाचालधारः. ४ K रगयित, M राजयित. ५ K रणशिरः श्री . ६ M लोहिता for शोणिता . ७ M निवार्यताम्. ८ M भिगिनि. ९ M विलपन्ती. १० M अन्तःपुरं.

तमालिका — भिट्टिणि, जाव एदे विसूसव-संगमिआ णर्गवइ-लोआ ण गच्छंति ताव गदुअ भट्टिणो णिवेदैं म्ह ।

देवी $-^{II)}$ तह । (उमे परिकामतः।)

तमालिका - भिर्हिणि, एसो भट्टा। ता उवसप्पम्ह।

देवी-(उपस्त्य) जेंदु अज्ञउत्तो । अज्ञउत्त, मज्झ बहिणिका चंद-लेहा णाम कीला-णिमित्तं सह सहीहिं लीलुंजाणं गआ ण जाणी-अदि केण णीद ति ।

(प्रविदय कलभाषिणी)

कलभाषिणी —^{V)}जेदु महाराओ । महाराअ, सन्वे वि णिवा महा-॥ राअ-पाआणं संवंति अम्हेहिं ण जाणिज्ञइ त्ति ।

राँजा – (विचिन्त्य) भअवदी चिंतामणि-देवैदा एवव एत्थ सरणं। (अअिंह बद्धा) भअवदि चिंतामणि-देवदे, एसो अंजली। संणिहिं॥ देहि। उर्द्धर इमादो अणत्थादो ।

(ततः प्रविशत्यपटीक्षेपेण चिन्तामणिदेवता ।)

चिन्तामणिदेवता $-^{VII}$ अलं संभमेण । मए णीदा एत्थ एँव्वाणीदा । 24 एसा अ सा । (इति नायिकां दर्शयित ।)

पूर्वमिष सा बाला मन्दभागिन्या मया न दृष्टा । I) भट्टिनि, यावदेते विषुवोत्सवसंगता नरपतिलोका न गच्छन्ति तावद् गत्वा भर्त्तुर्निवेदैयावहे । II) तथा । III) भट्टिनि, एष भर्ता । तदुपसर्पावः । IV) जयत्वार्यपुत्रः । आर्यपुत्र, मम भगिनी चन्द्रलेखा नाम कीडानिमित्तं सह सखीिभः लीलोद्यानं गता न क्षायते केन नीतेति । V) जयतु महाराजः । महाराज, सर्वेऽिष नृपा महाराजपादान् द्रापन्ति असाभिनं क्षायते द्रित । VI) भगवती चिन्तामणिदेवता एवात्र द्रारणम् । (…) भगवति चिन्तामणिदेवते, एषो-ऽअलिः । संनिधि देहि । उद्धर असाद् अनर्थात् । VII) अलं संभ्रमेण । मया नीता

¹ к णरपइ. 2 к णाअच्छंति. 3 к णिवेदिहा. 4 к भहिणीआ. 5 к इल्जाणं. 6 к सम्वन्ति. 7 к जाहिज्जइ. 8 к puts this speech in the mouth of Kalabhāṣiṇī in continuation, but kh assigns it to the king. 9 к देवता. 10 к उड्डर, but kh omits it. 11 к has a stage direction: (इति निमीलितलोचना तिष्ठति), but it is not given by kh. 12 к एउवणीहा.

१ к मन्दभाग्यया मयाः २ भ "निवेदयामः. ३ к भर्तृदारिके for भट्टिनिः ४ к "पादात् शान्तिरसा" ५ भ भगवति चिन्तामणिदेवदत्ते पालरत्तम् । ६ к मामसुष्माद् for असाद्. १० चंद०

(सर्वे ससंभ्रममवलोकयन्ति।)

 II देवी -(सविस्सयमञ्जिल बद्धा $)^{IJ}$ अययउत्त, किं एअं 1 राजा $-^{IIJ}$ देवि 1 ,

धिमिही इंदणीलं फैलिह-मरगअं लोअणं लोल-तारं माणिकं ओट्ट-बिंबं णव-डसंण-गणे संपैओ मोत्तिआणं। सोआइं पोमराअं कर-चरण-अलं सन्वमंगं पवालं ता मण्णे हंत चिंतामणि-समहिकिंदा देवदा णूणमेसा॥२६॥ ता पणमम्ह। (सर्वे प्रणमन्ति।)

चिन्तामणिदेवता — III) उच्चिद्ध ।

(सर्वे उत्तिष्टन्ति।)

देवी -(बद्धाञ्जिलिः नायिकामवलोक्य सहर्षम्) भा अविदि, णं एसा सा मह बहिणिआ चंदलेहा ।

- े चिन्तामणिदेवता $-^{V}$ एसा सा । देवी $-^{VI}$ वच्छे चंदलेहे, आअँच्छ आलिंगेहि मं । (चन्द्रलेखा राजानं तिर्यक्पश्यन्ती लज्जीनतमुखी तिष्ठति ।)
- श्विन्तामणिदेवता VII)विच्छे, गच्छ । तुह जेट्ट-भइणी दुक्खं वट्टइ ।
 देवी (बाहू प्रसार्थ) VIII)अदि-णिग्घिणे, एहि आर्लिंगेहि । (कण्डे गृहीत्वा
 सहर्षम्) हंत, जीवंत-वच्छा मे माउसिआ । वच्छे चंदलेहे, सहसु
 भह अवराह-सब्वस्सं ।

¹ к omits देवि, but кh has it. 2 к धम्मलो. 3 к पुलिह for फलिह. 4 к सडण for डसण. 5 кh सञ्ज्ञो. 6 к सोमाई. 7 к समिकदा. 8 к आणमेसा for णूण. 9 к आयच्छ. 10 к लज्जानमित.

अत्रैवानीता। एषा च सा। I) आर्यपुत्र, किमेतत्। II) देवि, धिमाह इन्द्रनीलं स्फिटिकमरकतं लोचनं लोलतारं, माणिक्यं ओष्ठविम्बं नवद्शनगणः संचैयो मौक्तिकानाम्।
सौँम्यं वा पद्मरागं करचरणतलं सर्वमङ्गं प्रवालं, तन्मन्ये हन्त चिन्तामणिसमधिकता
देवता नूनमेषा ॥ २८॥ तत् प्रणमामः। III) उत्तिष्ठ। IV) भगवति, नन्वेषा सा मम
भिगनी चन्द्रलेखा। V) एषा सा। VI) वत्से चन्द्रलेखे, आगच्छ आलिङ्ग माम्।
VII) वत्से, गच्छँ। तव ज्येष्ठभिगनी दुःखं वर्तते। VIII) अतिनिर्धृणे, एर्द्यालिङ्ग।
(…) हन्त, जीवद्यत्सा मे मातुष्वसा। वत्से चन्द्रलेखे, सहस्व ममापराधसर्वस्वम्।

१ M °नीता च. २ K omits च. ३ M धम्मछ. ४ M omits वा. ५ K प्रणमावः. ६ K सा (भगवती) चन्द्रलेखा. ७ K परय for गच्छ. ८ K आलिङ्ग मां for एह्यालिङ्ग.

27

नायिका – (स्वगतम्) कहं अहं एव्वं सिणिडाए जेर्ट्ट-भइणीए वि एत्तिअं कालं रोस-पत्तं किद म्हि मंद-भाइणी देव्वेण ।

चिन्तामणिदेवता — महाराअ-महिसि, सुणेसु दाणि । एसा खु चंद-लेहा णिअ-पाणि-ग्गाहिणो सत्त-साअरालंकरणं मेईणि करे सम-एपेइ । ता अणुमण्ण भट्टारं से पाणि-ग्गहणत्यं ।

देवी — अवदी आणवेदि । तमालिए गच्छ, अंतेउरादोः चंदलेहोइदाइ अहिणवाहरणाइ उवणेहि ।

तमालिका -10) जं भट्टिणी आणवेदि । (इति निष्कान्ता ।)

विदूषकः –(सहर्षम्) $^{
m V}$ सुट्टु संजाअं ।

देवी — पाक्स सिणि गच्छ, विसूसव-समागिआ अंगेसर-पमुहा राआणो केहिज्ञंतु विवाह-मंगल-दिअहे अदिवाहिअ गंतव्वं ति ।

कलभाविणी - VIII) **जं भट्टिणी आणवेदि ।** (इति निष्कान्ता ।)

चिन्तामणिदेवता — पाण केवलं इमे ण गच्छंति किंतु सत्त-दीव-वासिणो वि सन्वे संपञ्जं एत्थ संणिवडिहिति।

राजा —^{IX)}किं किं णै फलइ भअवदीए पसादो ।

1 к जह for जेट्ट. 2 к दब्बेण. 3 к महिसी. 4 кh सुणाहु. 5 к मेइणीं. 6 к समाग आएओ अङ्गे. 7 к कहिजातु. 8 к सिण्णपहिन्त. 9 к किं किं ण भणह भअ, кh किं ण भणह भअ,

I) कथमहमेवं स्निग्धाया ज्येष्टभगिन्याः अप्येतावन्तं कालं रोषपात्रं कृतास्मि मन्दभागिनी दैवेन । II) महाराजमिहिषि, शूणुँ इदानीम् । एषा खलु चन्द्रलेखा निजपाणिष्राहिणः सप्तसागरालंकारिणीं मेदिनीं करे समर्पयति । तस्मादनुमन्यस्व भर्त्तारमस्याः पाणिष्रह-णार्थम् । III) यद् भगवत्याज्ञापयति । तमालिके गच्छ, अन्तःपुरात् चन्द्रलेखोचितानि अभिनवाभरणानि उपान्य । IV) यद् भट्टिन्याज्ञापयति । V) सुषुँ संजातम् । VI) कल्ल-भाषिणि गच्छ, विषुवोत्सवसमागताः अङ्गेश्वरप्रमुखा राजानः कथ्यन्तां विवाहमङ्गल-दिवसानितवाह्य गन्तव्यमिति । VII) यद् भट्टिन्याज्ञापयति । VIII) न केवलं इमे न गच्छिन्त किंतुं सप्तद्वीपवासिनोऽपि सैवें सांप्रतमत्र संनिपतिष्यन्ति । IX) किं किं ने

१ к भाग्या दैवेन. २ к महाराजमहिषी, м महाराज! महिषि! ३ к ग्रुणोत्विदानीं. ४ к omits करे. ५ к आभरणानि for अभिनवाभरणानि. ६ к उपनय. ७ м सुष्ठु जातं. ८ км omit न. ९ км omit किंतु. १० м सर्वेऽत्र सां. ११ к भणति for न फलति.

(प्रविदय तमालिका)

तमालिका —¹⁾इमाइ आभरणाइ।

 $oldsymbol{a}$ देवी -(आभरणान्यादाय चन्द्रलेखामलंकुत्य $)^{ ext{II}}$ अय्यउत्त, परिघेरपेउ एसा मह बैहिणिआ चंदलेहा ।

 33 वेबी $-^{\mathrm{IV}}$ अय्यउत्त, जह एसा बंधवाणं ण सोअणिज्ञा तह करेहि। $^{\mathrm{V}}$ जां देवी भैणादि।

देवी -(उपसृत्य चन्द्रलेखां समर्पयति ।)

🚳 राजा –(साद्रं प्रतिगृह्णाति ।)

विदूषकः -(सहर्षमुत्थाय) रा)हंत सफलो मे मरगअ-उज्जाण-पत्थणा-परिंसमो । ता सोत्थि होउ वअस्सस्स, सिवं होउ देवीए, सुहं होउ अदेवीए चंदलेहाए । किं च । मह विभवं होई, कल्लाणं होउ वम्म-हस्स, भद्दं होउ महुमासस्स, कुसलं होउ मलआणिलस्स, मंगलं होउ हरिणलंईं णो, जअउ पुढमो रसो, वड्डउ वम्मह-परक्रम-4सिद्वी । (इति गुलित ।)

चिन्तामणिदेवता — पा चितामणि-संभवाअं किमण्णं पुण देवीअं संपा-दणिज्जं ।

फलित भगवत्याः प्रसादः। I) इमान्याभरणानि। II) आर्यपुत्र, परिगृह्यतामेषा मम भिगनी चन्द्रलेखा। III) कस्य वा देव्याः प्रसादो नाभिनन्दनीयः। IV) आर्यपुत्र, यथैषा बान्धवानां न शोचनीया तथा कुर। V) यद् देवी भणित। VI) हन्त, सफलो मे मैरकतोद्यानप्रार्थनापरिश्रमः। तेत् स्वस्ति भवतु वयस्यस्य, शिवं भवतु देव्याः, सुखं भवतु देव्याश्चन्द्रलेखायाः। किं च। मर्मं विभवं भवतु, कल्याणं भवतु मन्मथस्य, भद्रं भवतु मधुमासस्य, कुईालं भवतु मल्यानिलस्य, मङ्गलं भवतु हरिणलक्ष्मणो, जयतु प्रथमो रसः, वर्धतां मन्मथपराक्रमसिद्धिः। VII) चिन्तामणिसंभवायाः किंमन्यत्

 $^{1~{\}rm K}$ परिष्वउ, ${\rm Kh}$ परिघडवउ. $2~{\rm K}$ भहिणीआ. $3~{\rm Kh}$ आणवेदि. $4~{\rm K}$ परिम्मोत्तो सोस्थि, but ${\rm Kh}$ परिसमो ता. $5~{\rm K}$ होइ. $6~{\rm K}$ लञ्चणो मङ्गलं जअउ. $7~{\rm K}$ मम्महपरिक्कम.

१ M मिट्टिणि इमा. २ M अस्या for कस्य वा. ३ K वन्धूनां for वान्धवानां. ४ K मरतकोद्यानं, M मरतकोद्यानं, ५ K तस्मात् for तत्. ६ K ममापि भवतु कल्याणं, M ममापि भाव्य भवतु. ७ M कुश्छं for भद्रं. ८ M भद्रं for कुश्छं. ९ K ल्ह्मणो मङ्गळं जयतु. १० K देव्याः किमन्यत् for किम to देव्याः, M omits चिन्तामणिसंभवायाः and reads this remark thus: *किमन्यत्पुनस्ते भियं संपादनीयं।

राजा -(अभिनन्द्य) अदोवरं किं मे पिअं।

आणंदं चंदवम्मा कह वि अ गमिओ णंदणा-दंसणेणं पत्ता चित्ते पसादं णिंअअ-बहिणिआ-संगमेणं च देवी। लड्डा मुद्धा अ सत्तण्णव-लिसअ-मही-पत्ति-संपत्ति-मूलं हत्ये मे चंदलेहा भअवदि तुमए तं ण जंसि च्छुहा मे ॥२९॥ तह एैत्तिअं होड।

> कुणंतु कुमुअ-प्पहं तिहुवणिम्म कित्तिं णिवा मुणंतु इअरेअरं उवकिदिं घणा माणुसा । सुणंतु गअ-मच्छरं सहिअआ कईणं किदिं जिणंतु कइणो चिरं वहउ भारई-मंगलं॥ ३०॥

> > (र्निष्क्रान्ताः सर्वे ।)

[॥ ईअ चंदलेहाअं चउत्यं जवणिअंतरं॥]

1 k णंदणं दंसणेणं. 2 k हिअअवहिणिआ. 3 k अत्थअं for एत्तिः. 4 k पुणन्तु गअसझरं. 5 k omits this stage-direction given by kh. 6 k has a Sanskrit colophon: इति चन्द्र- छेखायां चतुर्थं यवनिकान्तरम्॥

पुनर्दें व्याः संपादनीयम्। I) अतः परं किं मे प्रियम्। आनेन्दं चन्द्रवर्मा कथमि च गतो नन्दनाद्श्वेनेन, प्राप्ता चिक्तंप्रसादं निजभगिनिकासंगमेन च देवी। लच्धा मुग्धा च सप्ताणंचलितमहीप्राप्तिसंपैत्तमूलं, हस्ते मे चन्द्रलेखा भगवति त्वया तन्न यिसन् स्पृंहा मे ॥ २९ ॥ तथा व्येतावद् भवतु। कुवैन्तु कुमुद्रप्रमां त्रिभुवने कीर्ति नृपाः, जानन्तु इतरेतरमुपक्वति घना मानुषाः। शृण्वन्तु गतमत्सरं सहद्याः कवीनां कृतिं, जयन्तु कवयिश्चरं भवतु भारतीमङ्गलम् ॥ ३०॥

॥ इँति चन्द्रलेखायां चतुर्थ यवनिकान्तरम् ॥ ॥ चॅन्द्रलेखा नामेयं कृतिः समाप्ता ॥

१ m many gaps in this and the next verse. २ k संप्राप्ति, m संपत्ति. ३ m इति चतुर्थं यवनिकान्तरम्। ४ m concludes thus: समाप्तोऽयं अन्थः.

'अणुदिअहं विफुरंतो मणीसि-जण-सअल-गुण-विणासअरो। रित्तत्तण-दावग्गी विरमंड कमला-कडक्ख-वरिसेण॥'

कप्पूरमंजरी, IV. 24.

"May the forest-fire of Poverty, which day after day gleams far and wide, which brings to naught all the excellences of men of learning, be quenched by the rain of the side-long glances of Fortune!"

सिलोअसूई

अगणिअ णवं ति	I. 10	को उण कुणइ	II. 11
अच्छेरं अमुअस्स	I. 28	गलंतकणअंगदो	IV. 25
अच्छोलंबंतच <u>ू</u> डा	IV. 8	गहिअचलणमेअं	III. 5
अत्ताणं वित्थरंतो	I. 19	चलंतकरपह्नवं	II. 23
अत्ताणं वि बुभुक्खिदाण	I. 24	चंडा ते सासदंडा	II. 14
अस्मि खु सट्टअ	I. 13	चंदणचिञ्जसन्व	III. 21
अहमहमिआग एहिं	I. 33	चंदादो किरणंकुरा	III. 20
अहो महिअले कहं	I. 30	चुंबंतो विवएणं	III. 24
आआसे पंचसाइं	IV. 6	चूळंतसत्तणव	IV. 12
आणणेण तुह णिज्जिओ	III. 23	छत्तेणं णवपुंडरीअ	IV. 2
आणंदं चंदवम्मा	IV. 29	जइ वि मणमिमस्सि	II. 9
आरद्धपाअणमणो	IV. 10	जइ होइ अवरमाला	I. 11
आलोलिजांतणिच	IV. 13	जहिं किल सरस्सई	IV. 7
उच्छण्णे सत्तुसेण्णे	IV. 21	जं जेहिं णअणंचलेहि	II. 7
उद्दंसिअथणकुंभं	I. 37	जंपंतो बंधवाणं	IV. 15
उप्पलविलोअणाप	II. 29	जाणं बम्हणलोअ	I. 6
उभयमिणमहो समं	III. 2	जा पुब्वद्दिस्स सिंगे	III. 19
एअं अंजणपुंजपंजर	III. 15	जाला हेलाविहसिअ	II. 8
पण्डि पदस्स वीरुकर	IV. 19	णक्खेसु तुज्झ	IV. 22
पदे चूसिअचोल	I. 23	ण चंदो आणंद्ं	II. 15
पसो अ तुज्झ	IV. 20	णीलंसु उह्लोअ	IV. 3
पसो चेदिणवीरो	IV. 24	णेत्तं कंदोट्टमित्तं	II. 3
पस्रो णेपाळपाळो	IV. 23	णेत्तं दोलणघोलिदो	I. 34
एसो पडंतमउडंत	IV. 18	तरलाहरविवरादो	II. 31
प्सा वंधुरवंधुजीअ	I . 38	तं णेत्तं तह दीहरं	II. 10
ओदंसकुवलक्षं से	II. 27	तंतीणं हणणेण	II. 21
कत्थूरीपंकदिण्णो	III. 9	तारुणण्ण रमणि	I. 16
कप्पूरेहिम्छं तुसार	III. 11	तुंगाणं सिहिणाण	IV. 1
कव्वणिबंधे कड्णो	I. 9	तेह्लोकस्स करालकाल	III. 8
कंदप्पोज्जलिकित्ति	III. 16	दरम्हेरे थेरे	I. 4
किसलअमिदुलंगीए	II. 28	दलिअणलिणीकंदा	II. 20
किसलइदासोअलदा	I. 25	द्हंति मलणाणिला	IV. 26
कुडिला अ कुरलमाला	II. 25	दिसाचिउरचूलिआ	III. 18
कुणंतु कुमुअपहं	IV. 30	देवे चंदसिहामणिस्मि	I. 3
कुमुअणिअरघोळंताळि	III. 4	धम्मिल्लो इंदणीलं	IV. 28
कुसळ्वसंत् सहाओ	II. 22	पक्खंदोलणमारुपण	I. 22
कुह वि अहो पछ्छविओ	I. 32	पचुव्वज्ञइ चंचलीअ	I. 20

चंद्लेहाए

पञ्चव्वज्ञइ पुलओ	I . 8	मोत्तृणं जो खु संकं	IV. 27
पणमह सुइराअ	\mathbf{I} . 2	रत्तासोअं वहइ सुरहिं	II. 13
पत्तेसु मज्झ णअणेसु	I. 36	रत्तीअ णाहविरहेण	III. 6
पत्तेसुं कंचणेसुं	IV. 4	राईवासरपहिं	III. 7
पत्थेइ जं जं	I. 29	राइपासरपा _र लज्जाजंतणलंखिआ	II. 1
पदुमवदणाअ दाणि	II. 33	, ळाहिल्लो रिचुणो	IV. 17
परिमलअंति दिसाओ	II. 30	. लाह्या (२५०) लोलंताणंगतुंग	I. 15
परिलसिअचिउरमाला	II. 24	लोलेसिं उपलेहिं लोलेहिं उपलेहिं	II. 34
पावीढअस्स सविहे	IV. 16	लोलाह उपलाह लोवामुद्दासहाओ	IV. 11
पुण्णहरिणंकवअणा	II. 18	वडद्लगओ वि रुद्दो	I. 7
पुण्णे पसूणणिअरेहि	I. 35	वहद्द कलंकमिसेणं	III. 22
फंद्र पअलपम्ह	I. 18		III. 17
फेणाअंतमुहा मुणाल	III. 12	्विपंडुरमुहच्छई विभाइ महिलंगुली	I. 21
बाला वालालितण्हा	I. 27	विसमो माहवमासो	II. 17
भद्दं देउ गआणणो	I. 1	वीअंतीण वहूण	IV. 5
भमंतभमरच्छडा	I. 17	वाअताण वहूण सरसिअदळणअणाए	II. 26
भसलपडलं उपाद	II. 19	सरासभद्रुणभणाप सवणउडगोअरो	II. 20
भासा खु पाअडमई	I. 12	1	I. 12 I. 14
भो पेक्ख सच्छमहुरो	III. 3	सन्वे साहु पवद्दिदा	
मज्झम्मि हंत	I. 40	सब्वेहिं इंदिएहिं	III. 10
मम वहइ विलोल	III. 13	सामंतो सो वसंतो	II. 4
मह खणसंठविओ	III. 1	सुहगंधो तमबंधो	I. 31
मह जजस्डापजा मह ण णिवट्टइ दिट्टी	II. 32	स्र्णाहिंतो पिवंतो	II. 2
मह ज जिप्टर दिहा महुअरकलकलमुहले	I. 26	सेरं सेरंधिसत्थो	I. 39
महुअरकलकसुहल महुरमहुरमेदे हंत	1. 20 11. 5	सोदूण णेउररवं	II. 16
महुरमहुरमद् हत माणिकमोलि	IV. 14	सो सहुओ सहअरो	I. 5
	III. 14	हरिहरसरसि	II. 6
मा पुव्वहिसिरे			=
मुद्दे राउब्भेओ	I. 41	हेट्ठिह्यअंतसग्गाण	IV. 9

NOTES

Yavanikāntara I

4) b Looking now at the reading of c (i. e., the Calcutta ed. of a portion of Candralekhā published in the संस्कृतसाहित्यपरिषद् पत्रम्, February and March 1932) and in the light of the chaya of K, we can even read दर्रथेरे म्हेरे = दरं स्थिवरे स्मेरान; see the corrupt chāyā of M. दरं or दर = इंपत is not unknown to Sanskrit, दर being usually used in a compound: दरस्मितसमुह्नसद्भनकान्तिपूरामृतैः etc. in the गङ्गालहरी 49. In d पुण्णे may be better rendered as प्रथान holy.—l. 2 रवम्मंसलो, कंसत्ताल show spontaneous doubling of म and त.—l. 3 ओअरण = अवतरण, waving of light?—l. 4 बहलो = बहल: copious, thick.—l. 6 रत् and नद are treated as synonyms by the छायाकार. 5) l. 3 Here the figure of speech is निद्श्तीना.—l. 6 The मनुस्मृति explains the term पारशव thus: यं ब्राह्मणस्तु शृहायां कामादुत्पादयेत्स्तम् । स पारयन्नेव शवस्तस्मात् पारचावः स्मृतः ॥ ९-१७८. Kullūka's commentary on it runs thus: 'विपन्नस्त्वेष विधिः स्मृतः' इति याज्ञवल्क्यदर्शनात्परिणीतायामेव शुद्रायां ब्राह्मणः कामार्थं पुत्रं जनयेत् स जीवनेव शवतुल्य इति पारशवः स्मृतः । यद्यप्ययं पित्रुपकारार्थं श्राद्धादि करोत्येव तथाप्यसंपूर्णीपकारत्वाच्छव-म्यपदेशः ॥. 6) c A pun on the word वंश is implied; cf. तुहिनिकरणवंशस्थूलमुक्ताफलानां etc. चम्प्रभारत I. 2; and thereon the commentary remarks: नेणुषु मौकिकोत्पत्तिलोकप्रसिद्धा ।.—l. 2 Can we have a danda after किल, and take तस्स कई = तस्य कृतिः l 7) l. 1 महप्पाणं = महात्मभ्याम्. 8) b सुहअं = सुभगम्, even सुखदम. 10) α Better read णव ति = नवा (कृतिः) इति.—c पम्हुतिः = विस्मृत्य, cf. Hema. VIII. iv. 75: विस्मु: पम्हस-विम्हर-वीसरा: 1. 11) α Or even अपरमाला, a garland made of any other flower. 12) l. 4 खंडपरम = °परग्र, an epithet of Siva.—महमहंत, cf. Hema. VIII. iv. 77-8: प्रसरे: पयल्लोबेली । महमहो गन्धे ।.—l. 8 Rather संसइअ = संश्रयित; the idea is that the sword (ম্ভৱায়) in his hand created the illusion of a row of bees. l. 1 जवनिकान्तर is quite usual in Sanskrit: यवनिकान्तर looks like hyper-Sanskritisation.—l. 6 Here is perhaps the only वि after an अनुसार, otherwise we have वि. 14) b Can it be that वितेहिं = वृत्तैः = वर्तितै: performed?—l. 1. Looking at 15. 2, here also we may read द्वाह-समअ-समारंभ etc.—l. 3 Rather read छप्पअ-रिछोलि-लच्छीणं अच्छीणं = षदपदश्रेणिलक्ष्मीकयोः अश्णो: and thus we have alliteration too. 15) c तंबचूड-कोड, bosom or the chest of the cock from which starts its shrill note; c reads तमानुडक्कोडं घोलन्त etc. 16) c फुल = पुष्प, see verse I. 35 also. 17) l. 6 c reads मुहराविश.—ll. 7-8 The text uses both the terminations, इ and दि, quite indiscriminately.—l. 11 The chāyā is not quite 11 ਚੰ. ਡੇ.

satisfactory; it may be read thus: मरकतारामः महाराजस्य मधुमासावतारवर्धिताम् आत्मनः समृद्धिम् अवतंसिर्वेतुमिव आचारं करोति ।, i. e., the मरकताराम is observing hospitality (आचार) towards your Majesty as if in order to crown (अवतंसियतुमिव) its glory which is enhanced by the advent (अवतार) of spring.—l. 13 After this remark of the king, according c, there follows the passage I. 17. 23 to I. 18 (included) and thereafter comes I. 17. 15 to I. 17. 22.—l. 16 जहामिद्ध = जहा + इद्व = यथेष्ट may be an illustration of Samdhi-consonant, see Pischel § 353. पम्ह-मालिअं = पक्ष्ममालिकम्, पक्ष्मन् an eyelash; it also means a filament of flower.—l. 6 भुमअंचले = भ्रुकुट्यञ्चले or भ्रुवञ्चले. 19) There is यतिमङ्ग in the last line. 21) b जोल is connected with बुद by Vararuci VIII. 7, but with Eq by Hemacandra VIII. iv. 143. 22) d Perhaps there is a pun on the word सारखत meaning a stream, or the सारखत grammar, or even the worship of सरवती. 23) b Should we read नालीअ केलीअरा $^{?}$ 24) c सोरहेकणिलअं = सौहदैकनिलयम् $^{?}$ 26) l. 4 णिहाविअ etc. = निधाप्य लोहशालाकया etc.—l. 12 ओलंबइ = अवलम्बते, supports. 27) l. 16 तं = तत्?—l. 2 The figure of speech is निद्शेना.—29) l. 6 This appears to be a well-known saying; compare Dasakumāra-carita, p. 76, l. 9 (Agashe's ed., B. S. S.): अचिन्लो हि मणिमन्त्रीषधीनां प्रभावः, इति प्रस्तेषु लोकप्रवादेषु etc.; also समराइचकहा, p. 413 (Jacobi's ed., B. I.): सची ख एस लोयवाओ, जं अचिंतो हि मणिमंतोसहीण पभावो ति।.—। 12 = पत्थेमि = प्रार्थेये. 30) The figure of speech seems to be अतिशयोक्ति. 35) d आदेइ = आदत्ते. 36) l. 4 For तरंगिण are we to read तरंगे णं = तरज्ञान् नतु. 39) d महंतुग्गमाणं = महोद्रमानां.—l. 6 देवीए = देव्यै. 41) c Rather कोसो for कोसे, and then construe: सरोजानां कोशः स्तनम् अनुकरोति ।.—l. 6 आहाणं = अस्मान्; गच्छम्ह, पविसम्ह etc. appear to be used with the sense of Imperative.

Yavanikāntara II

1) व तंसावलोअ = ज्यश्रावलोक means 'looking horizontally, with side-glances'; cf. Km. II. 1 d: तंसं पुलंतीअ मं 1. c reads तस्सावलोकसभा = तस्यावलोकोत्सुकाः.—l. 2 चव्वंतो = चर्वन; पव्वायंत = म्लान, cf. Hema. VIII. iv. 18: 'म्लेर्वा-पव्वायो'। 3) d Also जणइ = जनयति, 'makes the flower of my life fruitful'. 4) d असंगो = अशङ्कः, fearless? 8) l. 7 विजंभंत-मभणो has a double meaning; मदन is a kind of plant when construed with Himā-laya.—l. 9 कीलामिअ = कीडामृग is an animal kept for pleasure.—l. 15 दिमलमंडआ apparently means 'roundabout way', though the etymology is not clear. It indicates the way of putting things in the fashion of a द्रविड; cf. द्राविडीप्राणायाम in मराठी. 9) c स-रइ = सरित, रत्या सह यथा स्थात तथा, सरित = सहर्षम्, सानन्दम्.—l. 14 विगल्अंस = अंसविगल्अ?—l. 25 Perhaps he wants to suggest that he remained silent like a jewelled pillar in the palace-hall.—l. 26 पक्कण, a house of a low-born person, a village

NOTES 83

inhabited by savages. प्रोलास = प्रोडाश, any oblation, the leaving of an offering, Soma juice, a sacrificial oblation made of ground rice and offered in Kapālas or vessels. Of Course it cannot be given to a low-caste man. पंचगव्य = पश्चगव्यं, the five products of the cow taken collectively: viz., milk, curds, clarified butter or ghee, urine and cow-dung (क्षीर दिध तथा चाज्यं मूत्रं गोमयमेव च।).—l. 30 छागुण्ण = षाहुण्य, this refers to the six expedients to be used by a king in his foreign policy, namely, 1 संधि, peace or alliance; 2 विषद, war; 3 यान, march or expedition; 4 स्थान or आसन, halt; 5 संश्रय, seeking shelter; 6 द्वैष or द्वैषीभाव duplicity; see मनुस्मृति VII. 160: संधि च विष्रहं चैव यानमासनमेव च द्वैधीभावं संश्रयं च षहुणांश्विन्तयेत् सदा ॥—1. 36 सिलालिंद = सिलालिंद, आलिंद standing for आलिए?.—l. 47 उवणाइअं = उपनायितम् 'was ordered to be offered'. 10) l. 3 चव, perhaps चव्च also, is an आदेश for कथ, see Hema. VIII. iv. 2.—l. 13 पडोहर is explained as गृहपश्चिमाङ्गणम्, देशीना॰ ६-२२; उप्पर-अगो, however, is not quite clear. The chāyā gives just a suitable rendering. 11) The sense is somewhat obscure: some words are used with a double meaning. 12) Two मात्रां are lacking in the third pada; perhaps a word like मे or मह is required between अंग and उपाल. 13) α Rather read दुरही; and the first line may be explained thus: The दुरिम (i. e., कामधेन) bears the red अशोक (i. e., turns red through shame) at the sight of the king (who is superior to कामधेन in point of benevolence); and the lovelorn (रका) damsel too bears (suffers) sorrow (शोकं) at the sight of the king (whose love she has not yet won).—b मोह = मयुख as well as मोह.—c can there be a pun here also?: जीवादो = i जीवात: 'from the bow-string', ii जीवात 'from life'; जीवात् निपतित 'falls from life' or 'dies', i. e., 'suffers death pangs'. 14) l. 1 संद=म-सरणी, the path of literary composition. 15) c what is the wrong (विषमं) with her that we should know and speak out?—
l. 6 Obviously विस्तंभदा = विश्रम्भता is doubly abstract; or it may be rendered as विश्रम्भदस्थानम्. 20) l. 7 कवलिशा = कवलिका, a piece of cloth over a sore or wound, a bandage; here it appears to signify something like a cloth-bag for books. 22) l. 1 गाम = प्राम means 'a number of notes, gamut'.—l. 2 गुण = virtue, as well as string.—l. 3 Are we to read गणिजगुण, Voc., qualifying विपंचिए? अमितगुणायाम् अस्याम् अनुरागयन्ती (= अनुसगं क्वेती), attaching yourself to this lady possessed of innumerable गुणं (—also singing after this lady.).—l. 4 परिवादिनी = lute, as well as slanderer. 23) ll. 1–2 have a metrical ring here and there. 32) l. 7 अनगहो is to be understood with -चरिसाणं च. 33) l. 4 णिलुका = निलीना, see Hema. VIII. iv. 55.—l. 13 What event विद्युक has in view for the term सूत्र is not quite explicit: perhaps he thinks that he would get an explanation why तमालिका carried a statue this morning (II. 9.5), or why the Queen arranged the singing entertainment without inviting the King (II. 15. 10).—l. 31 सालमंजिआए = शालमंजिकायाम्.—l. 35 कहिं = कुत्र.—l. 39 होड or होड़ would be the normal reading. 34) l. 1 Either the subject भवं (= भवान्) is understood, or read वृद्दित्—l. 5 दिक्खण्णदाए = दक्षिणतया, दक्षिण्यता is doubly abstract; पाविहिड़ = प्राप्सति.

Yavanikāntara III

4) l. 35 Rather सहस्सहुतं. 5) l. 3 Rather अदिकामिआ = अतिकामिता. 6) The verse may be construed thus: सुदु:सहेन नाथ (i. e., moon)-विरहेण उत्तमनशीलायाः रात्याः देहोष्मणा इव उडुमौक्तिकचूर्णपूर्णा बालप्रवालशयनीयनिभा मृदुला सा संध्या म्लायति खाछ ।. 8) d काकोलः इव 'dark as the raven'?; rather तमिस्स-मंडलमिणं णिप्फंदमप्फंदए. 9) l. 3 थलीसर = स्थलेश्वर, a tutelary god presiding over some particular spot. 11) c मज्झेणहं = मध्येनभः is an अन्ययीभाव compound. 12) लाज is Mas., so लाजा इव्व.—l. 10 मा करेहि etc. has a metrical ring.—l. 13 Can it be हिअआवहारेण?; as it stands, it can be equated with इदयावधारणे, 'while I was trying to know his mind'. 19 b पारेदि \equiv शक्कोति, see हेम $^\circ$ VIII. iv. 86.—d भरिअ = मृत. 20) α पअलिआ = प्रगलिताः or प्रचित्रताः. 23) d Read विचरणं = संचारः; and the two lines may be rendered thus: 'That the two (i. e., the moon and the deer) should unite in friendship is in the fitness of things (because both of them are your enemies, being defeated by you); but that they (your vanquished foes) should move at large in your presence is a shameless affair on their part.' 24) a The reading विवर्णण would do; बिम्बनेन गण्डरेखां चुम्बन्, i. e., 'kissing her beautiful cheek by getting yourself reflected in it'. बिम्ब or बिम्बन also means reflection: निम्बं फले विम्बिकायाः प्रतिविम्बे च मण्डले। विश्वः.—d Better होसिः = भवसि for होसि; the line then may be rendered thus: 'Pray tell me what righteous deeds have you performed in your former birth, so that you should be so (i. e., should have the pleasure of doing the aforesaid acts)?'; this reminds us of a line of Bhartrhari: तन्मे ब्रुहि कुरक्क कुत्र भवता कि नाम तप्तं तप: 1.

Yavanikāntara IV

1) c सहीए = सख्या.—l. 1 विषुव is the equinoctial point. This play has the back-ground of the वसन्त season, and the author has specifically mentioned the चैत्रानिल (I. 24). So विषुवोत्सव is a festivity connected with a day when sun enters the vernal equinox, say about the 20th of March. Apparently the संवत्सर is चैत्रादि. During this festivity, it is suggested in the play, the imperial king holds

NOTES

something like a Durbar and the feudatories pay respects him. 2) c मुहाराओ = मुखरागः, and the lengthening of the vowel is metris causa? 4) d एदं तिहुवण-सुहअं = एतं त्रिसुवनसुखदं? 6) c cf. निःश्वासान्ध इवादरीश्वन्द्रमा न विराजते । $R\bar{a}$ māyaṇa.—d Read [लहिंअ] for [लहर्]; the line means: 'The branch of अशोक in the form of the East has put forth flowers having received the touch of the feet of उपस्'; cf. the poetic convention: पादाघातात् स्त्रीणाम् अशोकः विकसति ।. 8) व अच्छावलम्बमाना चूडा यस्य तादशः मुक्टकः ।. 9) There is यतिभन्न in the first three lines.—a हेहिलाअंत = अधस्तनीभवत्स्वर्गाणां.—b Should we read व for वि?—bc Compare बाह्योद्यान-स्थितहरशिरश्रन्दिकाधौतहर्म्या, and यत्र स्नीणां हरति सुरतग्लानिमङ्गानुकूलः सिप्रावातः प्रियतम इव प्रार्थनाचाडुकारः । मेघ॰ 7, 31; also रघु॰ VI. 32-34. 10) a The chāyā अवणोपरिभवत् presumes a reading like सवणोवरिह्नं; सवणोव्वलंत = श्रवणोद्वलत्; or it is a wrong reading for सवणोज्जलंत = श्रवणोज्ज्वलत्. 11) a Compare सौस्नातिको यस्य भवत्यगस्त्यः । रष्ट्र॰ VI. 61.—d Note the usual यति is violated. 12) d मणहरनाथ is apparently the पाण्ड्य king, cf. चम्पूभारत III. 38-9 and the remarks of the commentary of रामचन्द्र. 13) व आलोइजांत-?—d Should we read विवर्द for णिवर्द?—l. 2 We want कुंकुमंगराओ अंगराओ. 17) d Read better तत्तिल्लो for तक्तिलो, and it stands for तत्पर:. 20) b चित्ररलं or चित्ररचनम्. 21) c नरनरण = choosing of husband'? Construe: निजगृहे यस्य नाम श्रुत्वा चण्डासि.....करं संगरं विना एव शत्रुसैन्ये उत्सन्ने (सित) वरवरणसमारम्भज्मिनतेन संभ्रमेण युक्तानां खर्गाङ्गनानां सलिललिपिः (सेदकणमाला एव) अङ्गालंकारलक्ष्मीः भवति किल ।. 25) 1. 6 अंतेउरं णाइदो = अन्तःपुरं नायितः. 27) l. 7 Context requires रुदिता for मुदिता. l. 10 संगमिआ = संगमिता; rather भन्ने for भर्तु: in the chāyā.—l. 19 Rather °पादै: शपन्ति is the chāyā. 28) b भगो संचओ, that would correspond to other Nominatives in that verse.—c सोआई = श्रोत्रे—l. 16 °सागराल इरणां in the chāyā ?—l. 43 Read the chāyā °संभवायां किमन्यत् पुनर्देव्यां. 29) l. 1. The chāyā presupposes तह वि एतिअं होउ ।. 29) d स्प्रहा of the chāyā presupposes च्छिहा in Prākrit. 30) d Either चिरं वहड भारई-मंगलं = चिरं वहतु भारती-मञ्चलम्, i. e, 'Let or may the blessings of Sarasvatī flow for ever.'; or चिरं इवड भारई मंगलं = चिरं भवतु भारती मङ्गलम्, i. e., 'Let or may the Speech fare well for long'.

APPENDIX

REMARKS AND EXTRACTS ON SAȚȚAKA AND NĀTIKĀ

The नाट्यशास्त्र of Bharata (XVIII. 2-3) does not mention सहक, nor is it specified in describing the Nāṭikā (XVIII. 58-60).
—The अग्निपुराण, of uncertain date, mentions सहक (338. 2) as one of the various types of drama.—Neither the द्रारूपक of धनंजय (10th century A.D.) nor its commentary by his contemporary धनिक makes any reference to सहक.

अभिनवगुप्त (close of the 10th century A.D.) refers, in his commentary on the नाट्यशास्त्र of भरत, to सहक more than once: उक्तव्याख्याने तु कोहलादिलक्षिततोटकसङ्करासकादिसंग्रहः फलं नाटिकायाः उदाहरणत्यादिति । (नाट्यशास्त्र Vol. II, p. 407, G. O. S., LXVIII, Baroda 1934); and अत एव न दशसंख्याविभागार्थी येन सङ्कादीनां लागः स्थात् । (Ibid. p. 408). The description of नाटिका is given by him on p. 435, and is quoted below.

हेमचन्द्र (1089–1172 A. D.) refers to सहक in his काव्यानुशासनम् thus: पाठ्यं नाटकप्रकरणनाटिकासमवकारेहामृगडिमव्यायोगोत्स्रष्टिकाङ्कप्रहसनभाणवीथीसहकादि। (८-३) तथा च नाटकादीनि वीध्यन्तानि वाक्यार्थामिनयस्वभावानि भरतसुनिनोपदर्शितानि, सहकश्च कैश्चित् (р. 432, ed. R. C. Parikh, Bombay 1938); further on p. 444 we have a quotation: विष्कम्भकप्रवेशकरिहतो यस्त्वेकभाषया भवति। अप्राकृतसंस्कृतया स सहको नाटिका-प्रतिमः ॥ इति, and the विवेक commentary runs thus: सहके च नाटिकायामिव रतिफलं वृत्तं व्युत्पायते। एवं नाटकादीनां स्नरूपं तत्फलं च दर्शितम्। तच्छरीरभृतसंधिसंध्यङ्गादिलक्षणविस्तरस्तु भरतादेवावसेयः।.

रामचन्द्र and गुणचन्द्र (middle of the 12th century A.D.), the pupils of Hemacandra, do not include सहक in the 12 types of dramas described by them; but there is a casual remark in their commentary: कियतोऽपि लक्षणविधावभिन्नेतस्य, तेन कोहलप्रणीतलक्ष्माणः साटकादयो न लक्ष्यन्ते । लक्षणीयबाहुल्येऽपि हि यावत्येव भागे लक्ष्यितुः श्रद्धा तावानेव लक्ष्यते ।. Specifying other रूपकः, there is a quotation like this on p. 213: विष्कम्भकन्नवेशकरित्तो यस्त्वेकभाषया भवति । अन्नाकृतसंस्कृतया स सहको नाटिकान्नतिमः ॥ (नाट्यदर्भणम् I, pp. 25–26, G.O.S. XLVIII, Baroda 1929).

The भावप्रकाशनम् (G. O. S., XLV, Baroda 1930) of Śāradātanaya (c. 1175–1250 A. D.) refers to আহুক which is considered to be one of the so-called ভাৰত্যকs. It is interesting to note that in some

APPENDIX 87

places the reading सहक shows variant readings तोटक and नाटक (p. 180, 1. 12, p. 181, l. 1). In this context some extracts from the Introduction (pp. 52-3) may be given here: "The systematic treatment of all the twenty kinds of Uparūpakas, however, is found only in the Bhāvaprakāśana. The list of seventeen Uparūpakas, as supplied by the Agnipurāna, cannot be considered as very early as the Purāna itself contains quotations from the Dhvanyaloka and displays remarkable similarity with the Bhoja's theory of Śringārarasa. Considering all these facts we can conclude for the present that these Uparūpakas were unknown when the Nātyaśāstra was composed, but originated before the time of Dhanika, and probably Kohala was responsible for inventing these Uparūpakas. Among the lists of Uparūpakas supplied by several writers beginning from Dhanika to Visvanātha the heaviest is perhaps that of Šāradātanaya who mentions two lists each having twenty names (pp. 221, 8; 255, 1). But in the first list Sattaka has an independent place while Bhāṇa is omitted and in the second list which seems to be more accurate Bhāna is mentioned instead of Sattaka as the latter is included in the Nāṭikā." "Saṭṭaka also is mentioned here as a different form of Nātikā (p. 244, 15), but once again this subject is brought for discussion at the end of the section dealing with the Uparūpakas (p. 269, 1). Here following Bhoja Śāradātanaya gives the Sattaka an independent place thereby increasing the number of Uparūpakas." The relevant passages may be given here. After the description of the नाटिका, we have the following lines on p. 244: सैव प्रवेशकेनापि विष्कम्भेन विना कृता । अङ्कस्थानीयविन्यस्त चतुर्यवनिकान्तरा । प्रकृष्ट-प्राकृतमयी सहकं नामतो भवेत् ।. In another context, after a remark ते नृत्यभेदाः प्रायेण संख्यया विंशतिर्मता (p. 255). the सदृक is thus described on p. 269: सदृकं नाटिकाभेदो नृत्यभेदात्मकं भवेत्। भाषादिकत्पितम् ॥ अङ्कस्थानीयविच्छेदचतुर्यवनिकान्तरम् । छादनस्खलनभ्रान्तिनिह्नवादेरसंभवात् ॥ न वदेत्त्राकृतीं भाषां राजेति कतिचिजागुः । मागध्या शौरसेन्या वा वदेदाजेति केचन ॥ नाटिकाप्रतिरूपं यद्विशेषो रूपकस्य तत् । सट्टकं तेन तस्याहुर्भाषां तां प्राकृतीं परे ॥ राजशेखरकृतं तद्यथा कर्पूरमञ्जरी ।.

The नाटकलक्षणरत्नकोश (ed. Dillon, London 1937) of Sāgaranandin (c. 13th centerry A. D.) describes सहक thus on p. 133: अथ सहकम्। तच नाटिका-[pp. 113-4] प्रतिरूपकम्। कैशिकी-भारतीप्रधानम्। रोद्रवीरभयानक-नीमत्समवमर्शसंधिश्चत्यम्। यथा कर्पूरमज्ञरी । अन्तर्यमनिकान्तम् । यथाक्के यमनिकयावच्छेदा भवन्ति तथात्रापि । शौरसेनीप्राच्यामहाराष्ट्रीयुक्तम् । स्रीवद्राज्ञोऽपि प्राकृतपाठः । यद्यपि वादरायणप्रमृतिभिरुक्तं राज्ञः संस्कृतपाठः कार्यात् प्राकृतपाठः । तत्र रूपकमेवेदं कार्यमिति राज्ञापि प्राकृतपाठः कर्तव्यः ।. In another context (p. 90), about the language of the सहक, there is a remark: सहके स्रीप्रधानत्वाद्भप्रस्थानुरोधतः । तथः स्रीवत्पठेदेष पाठस्य नियतो विधिः ॥.

The प्रतापरुद्धयशोभूषण (ed. K. P. Trivedi, Bombay 1909) of विद्यानाथ (1st quarter of the 14th century A. D.) mentions ten इपकड in which सहक is not given any place; so the commentary रत्नापण remarks thus on p. 101: दश इपकाणीति । नाटिकासहकादीनामत्रैवान्तभीवादिति भावः । तत्प्रकारस्तु दशहपके द्रष्टन्यः ।.

The साहित्यद्र्पण (ed. P. V. Kane, Bombay 1923) of Viśvanātha (between 1300-1384 A. D.) includes सदृक in the list of 18 उपल्पकs (VI. 4-6); and it is described thus (VI. 276-7): अथ सृदृकम् । सृदृकं प्राकृताशेषपाट्यं स्याद्प्रवेशकम् । न च विष्कम्भकोऽत्र प्रचुरश्चाद्भुतो रसः ॥ अंका जवनिकाख्याः स्युः स्याद्म्यन्यनादिकासमम् । यथा कर्पूरमज्ञरी ॥.

The definition of नाटिका is thus given by the नाट्यशास्त्र (XVIII. 58-61): प्रकरणनाटकमेदादुत्पार्ध वस्तु नायकं चपतिम् । अन्तः पुरसंगीतककन्यामधिकृत्य कर्तव्या ॥ स्त्रीप्राया चतुरङ्का लिलताभिनयात्मिका सुविहिताङ्गी । बहुनुत्तगीतपाठ्या रतिसंभोगात्मिका चैव ॥ राजोपचार-(v. l. कामोपचार-) युक्ता । प्रसादनकोधदम्भ-(v. l. श्द्रज्ञाराभिनयभाव-) संयुक्ता । नायकदेवीदृती-सपरिजना नाटिका ज्ञेया ॥ [अन्तर्भावगता ह्येषा भावयोरुभयोर्यतः । अत एव दशैतानि रूपाणीत्यदितानि वै॥]. The commentary of Abhinavagupta is interesting and deserves to be noted; it runs thus: प्रकरणनाटकाभ्यां भैदात् लक्षणान्यत्वान्नाटिका होयेति दूरेण संबन्धः । उत्पाद्यं वस्तु चरितं च नायकं च चपतिम् अन्तःपुरकन्यां संगीतशालाकन्यां वाधिकृत्य प्राप्यत्वेन अभिसंधाय कर्तव्या। क्षियः प्रायेण बाहुल्येन यत्र । चत्वारोऽङ्काः । यस्याः कस्याश्चिदवस्थायाः सरसोऽवस्थासमावापः कार्य इति यावत् । लिलताभिनयात्मिकेति कैशिकीयं बद्धेत्यर्थः । सुष्ठु पूर्णतया विहितानि चत्वार्यपि कैशिक्यङ्गानि यत्र । 'अङ्गगात्रकण्ठेभ्य' इत्यत्र खाङ्गविशेषणाभावात् ङीष् प्रयोगः । एतदिप न मुनित्रयमतिमत्यनादत्यमिति त्वन्ये । रतिपुरस्सरः संभोगो राज्यप्राप्त्यादिलक्षण आत्मा प्रधानभूतं फलं यस्याम् । अत एवाह राजगतैरुपचौरः न्यव-हारैर्युक्ता, अन्यां चेदुिह्रय तत्र व्यवहारः, तत्पूर्वनायिकागतैः कोधप्रसादवश्चनैरवर्यं भाव्यमिति दर्शयति प्रसा-दनेति, आर्यानुरोधात्कोधस्य पश्चात्पाठः ॥ ननु यस्याः क्रोधो भवति सा न काचिदुक्तेत्याशंक्याह नायकेति । नायकस्य येयं देव्याद्या नायिका तथाभिलिषतनायिकान्तरिवषये दूतीकृतं सपरिजनं परिजनसमृद्धिर्यस्याम् । एतदुभयप्रधानं सर्वं तत्रेत्यर्थः । अन्यत्संध्यज्ञादि सर्वं तत्रेत्यर्थः । अन्यत्संध्यज्ञादि सर्वं पूर्ववदेव । तत्रैका-नायिका तावद् व्याख्याता भवति । षट्पदेयं नाटिकेति संप्रहाणुसारिणो भट्टलोह्नटाद्याः । श्रीशङ्ककर्तु अयुक्त-मेतदित्याभिधायाष्ट्रधेति व्याचष्टे । तथा हि देवी कन्या च ख्याताख्याताभेदेन द्विधेति । घण्टकाद्यस्त्वाहः--नायको नृपतिरित्येतावन्मात्रं नाटकादाञ्चपजीवितः न ^{तु} प्रख्यातत्वमि तद्भेदद्वयादन्येऽष्टाविति षोडशभेदा इति । नायको नृपतिरिति ये प्रथमां पठिन्त तैर्यलेखाध्याहसैकवाक्यतायां तुभयस्य कार्यम् । अन्ये प्रथममार्यार्धं प्रथ-गेव च वाक्यं योजयन्ति प्रकरणभेदात्प्रकरणलक्षणांशात् उत्पाद्यं वस्तु नाटकलक्षणांशाच्य नृपतिर्नायकः स्थिते यत्रेलभिप्राये नाटिकैवंभूतेति ॥ अन्ये तु प्रकरणनाटकभेदात् नाटिका भिद्यते नाटकशब्देनाभिनेयं रूपकमात्रं तस्यां सौकुमार्यप्रदर्शनाय स्नीत्वेन निर्देश इति प्रकरणिकापि सार्थवाहादिनायकयोगेन कैशिकीप्रधाना स्रभ्यत इलाहः ॥.

The द्शारूपक (III. 43–48) defines Nāṭikā thus: लक्ष्यते नाटिकाप्यत्र संकीर्णान्यनिवृत्तये । तत्र वस्तु प्रकरणान्नाटकान्नायको नृपः ॥ प्रख्यातो धीरललितः शृङ्गारोऽङ्गी सलक्षणः । स्त्रीप्रायचतुरङ्कादिभेदकं यदि चेष्यते ॥ एकदित्र्यङ्कपात्रादिभेदेनानन्तरूपता । देवी तत्र भवेज्येष्ठा प्रगल्मा नृपवंशाजा ॥ गम्भीरा मानिनी कृच्छ्रात्तद्वशान्नेतृसंगमः । नायिका तादशी मुग्धा दिव्या चातिमनोहरा ॥ अन्तःपुरादिसंबन्धादासन्ना श्रुतिद्शीनैः । अनुरागो नवावस्थो नेतुस्तस्यां यथोत्तरम् ॥ नेता तत्र प्रवर्तेत देवी-

त्रासेन शक्कितः । कैशिक्यक्कैश्रतुभिश्र युक्ताङ्केरिव नाटिका ॥ My friend Dr. A. M. Ghatage expects the reading एकद्विज्यज्ञपात्रादि etc.; and thus he would remove the possibility of variation in the number of the acts of a Nāṭikā.

The साहित्यद्र्पण describes नाटिका thus (VI. 269-72): नाटिका ऋप्तरता स्थात्त्रीप्राया चतुरिक्कका । प्रख्यातो धीरलिलतस्तत्र स्थान्नायको चपः ॥ स्थादन्तःपुरसंबद्धा संगीत-व्याप्टताथवा । नवानुरागा कन्यात्र नायिका चपवंशाजा ॥ संप्रवर्तेत नेतास्यां देव्यास्त्रासेन शंकितः । देवी पुनर्भवेष्ययेष्ठा प्रगल्भा चपवंशाजा ॥ पदे पदे मानवती तद्दशः संगमो द्वयोः । वृत्तिः स्यात्कैिकि खल्पविमर्शाः संध्यः पुनः ॥.

Turning to Sattakas themselves, Rājaśekhara (c. 900 A. D.) uses the term more than once in his कर्र्मजरी (ed. Konow, Cambridge, Mass. 1901): सहअं णिचदव्वं। (p. 4); किंधदं जेव छड्छेहिं। सो सहओ ति भण्णह दूरं जो णाडिआइ अणुहरइ। किं उण पवेसविक्खंभंकाइं केवळंण दीसंति॥ (विचिन्त्य) ता किंति सकदं परिहरिअ पाउदबंधे पउत्तो कई। (p. p.4-5); चंडवाळधरणीहरिणंको चक्कविष्ठपळाहणिमित्तं। एत्थ सहअवरे रससोत्ते कंतलाहिवसुअं परिणेइ॥. The chāyā gives साटक as its Sanskrit equivalent and even शाटक is used by the Ms. of श्वज्ञारमजरी. The commentators quote a definition of Sudhākara: सैव प्रवेशकेनापि विष्कम्भेण विना कृता। अङ्कस्थानीय-विन्यस्वचर्जुजविनिकान्तरा॥ प्रकृष्टप्राकृतमयी सहकं नामतो भवेत्।.

The following casual references to सहक in the रम्भामजरी (Bombay 1889), besides the verse quoted in the Intro., may be noted here: सदर्थ सहकमाश्रिस (p. 8); नटः—ता किं पयोजणिवसेसं पिडविजय एस सहयप्पवंधवंधप्पारंभो। (p. 10); the word is used in two more places where the passages require slight emendation as shown in square brackets: शार्द् लिकिजित [विकीडितं] सहक (v. l. शाटा)-बन्धमधिक्रस । (p. 7), and संदेशसहयं [संदेस-पहयं] दाइय etc. (p. 33).

What Rudradāsa has to say about Saṭṭaka, we get at चंदलेहा I. 4. 10-5. 1.

Further I could spot some remarks about Sattaka in the आनन्दसुन्दरी of घनश्याम (middle of the 18th century A. D.): अत्थ एत्थ आणंदसुंदरीणामहेअं तारिक्खं सहुअं तं जेक्व इमिर्स महासमाए जोगां । वि०-पाउडं ख तं सक्वं । स्०- अदो जेक्व सहअं ति भणिजाइ । वि०-को णु ख तस्स कई । स्०-को अण्णो पुराअणकईणं वि दुक्तरिम सरस्सईभासाणिबंधणिम्म राअसेहरकइणो परं णिउणो । वि०-अवि सो महरहचूडामणी घणस्सामकई । (B. O. R. I., Ms. p. 2). On this passage the commentator Bhattanātha remarks thus: अनेन सर्वप्राकृतं सहकमिति स्पष्टमुक्तं भवति । तथा चाहुराचार्याः । सैव प्रवेशेन विना विष्कम्भेण विना कृता । अङ्कस्थानीयविन्यस्तचतुर्यविनकान्तरा ॥ प्रकृष्टप्राकृतमयी सहकं नामनामतः । सैव नाटिकेवेत्यर्थः इति ।.

Turning to epigraphic records, the Barhut Inscriptions (c. 200 B. C.) have a line like this: साडिक-संगर्द तुरं देवानं। and it accompanies bas-relief which represents a dancing scene. Some read it sādika, 12 वं. है.

sādikasa. It is translated thus: 'The jovial ravishing music of the gods, joyous with dancing'. Barua and Sinha have a note on it thus: "Hoernle No. 14-translates 'Music of the gods, gay with dancing.' Sāḍika may be taken to be a somewhat irregularly formed equivalent of Sk. Sāṭika, a kind of dramatic performance, applicable to the dancing of apsarasas. Sk. Sārika means gambling with dice (Tawney). Sammada is both an adjective 'gay' and a substantive 'gaity'. Here it forms a compound with sādika. Turam = tūryam, musical instrument, music. It is also likely that the three words sādika, sammada and tura refer respectively to three groups of dancers, singers, and players on instruments as seen in the amusement-scene below label stands. Hultzsch [refs. omitted] translates: 'the music of the gods, which gladdens by (i. e., accompanied with) acting.' Sāṭaka, a nāṭaka-bheda (Bharata-Nāṭya-Sāstra)". (See Barhut Inscriptions, p. 48, Calcutta 1926).

सदसूई

This Glossary gives a few important words which deserve the attention of the students of Prākrit vocabulary. Some difficult words with their meaning are also included.

```
भच्छरीअं I. 28. 4
अच्छेरं II. 6. 1
अज्ञडत (आर्यपुत्र) {f I}. 24. 1
अदिहि (अतिथि) {f I.}~8d.
अदोपरं (अतः परम् ) 1 \, 
m V. 28.45
अपुरुव III. 4. 12
अय्य (आर्य) {f I},~13.~2
अय्यडत्त (आर्यपुत्र) I.\ 22.\ 6
अवग्गह, draught, II. 32. 3
भहव II. 4. 1
अंकण II. 9. 3
अंकपाली, embrace, III. 7b
भाढत्त ( आरब्ध ) {f I.} 34.1, {f IV.} 25. 4
आरद्ध IV.~10a
आलिंद् m, a raised place or terrace
       for sleeping upon, I. 5. 4
इति II. 8. 16
इत्थिभा {
m I.}\ 26.\ 13
ভজুঞ্জ II. 8. 15
ओदार (अवतार) {f I}.~17.~12
कण्णेडर (कर्णपूर) II.\ 10a
 कदश II. 12. 3
कवण, sling, II. 9. 33
कंसत्ताल (कांस्यताल), a cymbal, I. 4. 2
 कारक्खर (कारस्कर m), name of a poiso-
       nous medical plant, 1. 5. 3.
 किसल (किसलय) 1.21a, 111.5c
 कीस III. 4. 14
 कीसुभ (किंग्रुक) \mathbf{I}.~25b
 कुज III. 24, 4
 क्रणंते IV. 13b
 कुइ (कुत्र) II. 33. 20
 \mathfrak{ss}, the new moon, I. 30b
 बुरली, military exercise, practising
        archery, II. 22. 8
```

```
गज्झं (ब्राह्मम्) II. 10d
गण्हिअ {f I}.~24c
गदुअ II. 9. 35
गंगेअ (गाङ्गेय), gold, I. 17 3.
ਚਂਚਲੀਅ IV.~6b
चंदिमा (चन्द्रिका) III. 19. 1
चंदिआ (चन्द्रिका) IV.~4c
चंदोज्जअ, a kind of lotus that blooms
      at moon-rise, III. 4. 6, III.
चामरु (चामर), I. 20d, IV. 5b
चुक (for प्रमाद) IV. 15d
चोद्दह II.~6d
छइछणं I. 26. 12, 16
छित्त (क्षिप्त) II. 7d
छुहा IV. 29d
छोल्लग (तक्षण), \operatorname{trimming}, IV. 22b
जाला II. 8 \alpha
जीहा (जिह्ना) {
m I.}~6d
णिडाल {f I.}~41b
णिहित्त II. 9. 42
त्रिस (for तस्याम्) III. 4. 17
तंबोल (ताम्बूल) {
m IV.}~26.~15
ताला II. 8b
तुषिंह II. 9. 11
थेर (स्थिवर), Brahman, I. 4a; IV.
       19d
 थोर (स्थ्रल) 1 \mathrm{V.}~19 b
 दक्षिक्रणं {f II.}~13a
 दमिल IV. 24
 दलिइ (द्रिज्) IV.~19c
 दंता ( = ददतः ) IV. 5d, also IV. 14c
 दंतो (ददत्) II. 12b
 दिअह I.~25c
 दीहर (दीर्घ) II, 10a
```

हुग्बुह, an elephant, III. 3. 2 देव्य (देव), fate, I. 18dधीदा (दुहिन्) I. 17. 14 पडीर (पटीर), sandal, I. 19c पदुमराञ्च II. 9. 35 पहत्थ (पर्यस्त) I. 17. 10 पंचमराअ, one of the Rāgas or musical modes, I. 5. 3 पाञ्च (प्राकृत) I. 11d, also 12a पाडिकं II. 9. 24 पादवीढं IV. 20bपारसव (पारशव) I. 5. 5f., see Notes पावीढ (पादपीठ) IV. 8d, IV. 16aपाहुड II. 33. 17 पाह्द (प्रामृत) I. 27. 18 पिव्वर (पीवर), abounding with, I. 4.3 पुडम (प्रथम) I. 24aपुरत्तो III. 9c पुरिह्नो (for पुरतो) III. 4c पुरुव 11. 15. 2. पुरुवं (पूर्वम्) I. 26. 1, I. 33. 2, I. 37. 2 **पुरुस II.** 8. 9 पुच्वं I. 31d.पूआ (पुत्री) IV. 26. 44 **पेक्खंती II.** 9. 8 पेरंत II. 15. 13 पोमराभ I. 28b, II. 9. 41. फंस III. 12. 14, III. 13b फास II. 12b बलामोडि D, force, I. 12. 6. बुहप्पइ II. 9. 30 भद्दा (भताँ) ${
m IV.}\ 27.\ 13$ भस**ल (भ्रमर)** I. 22a भिड (भ्रा), the level summit of a of a mountain, I. 24b भुमआ II. 1c **मऊ**ह II. 9. 37 मणोज II. 18, 1 मत्त (मात्र) I. 5. 5

मरंद (मकरन्द) I. 6. 4, I. 22d, II. 2aमिव I. 39. 3 मुख (मुख), a drum, I. 4. 2 मुहल (मुलर), noisy, I. 15d मोअ (मोच n), a plantain, banana fruit, I. 5. 3 मोह (मयुख) I. 28aवच्छोम (वैदर्भ) IV. 6.5वलक्ख, white, III. 14d विह्नही (विरुभी) ${
m IV.}~26.~25$ विआसर (विकस्वर), blooming, I. 21c विदा [विजा] (विद्या) I. 27b, I. 32dविसंकड, dreadful, III. 3. 3 विसुवोसव ${
m IV.}~1.~1$ विस्सव IV. 27. 10, IV. 28. 22 वेलुरिअ II. 20. 1 सइ (सदा) IV. 19cसइअ (सचिव) II 4bसहअ I. 5, see Appendix and Intro. समर (शबर) II. 9. 26 सर (सर) IV. 7b, 17bसरदिंदु II. 8. 12 सहस्सइत्तं (सहस्नकृत्वः) III. 4. 35 संके (शङ्के) IV. 4c संदब्भ (संदर्भ m), a literary or musical composition, I. 5. 6. I. 12cसंदाणिअ, bound together, tied, III. 4. 12 संदाव (संताप) III. 4. 30 सारिच्छ I.~41d.साला (शलाका) I. 26, 4 सिहिणअं I. 41e, II. 3c सिंखल **(श**ङ्खला) IV. 26. 3 सुप्पहाओं (also ॰हादं) IV. 2. 1 सेवेदुं ${
m IV.}~4d$. सोत्त (स्रोतस्) I. 26c. हज (हार्य) III. 19d. हिजो (इ:) IV. 25. 6, IV. 26. 20

Corrigenda

Readers are requested to make some corrections from the correct readings that are given below. According to the Yavanikāntaras they are divided into four paragraphs; and references are to verses and successive lines. The correct readings from the Prākrit text are printed in black and those from the chāyā in ordinary types.

- $I.\ 4.\ 2$ संणिह°. $5.\ 4$ बाह्यालिन्दैं।दि; $12.\ 4$ खंडपरसु-; $12.\ 9$ जालकीड°; $13.\ 3$ सज्यताम्; 15c तंबचूड-; $Page\ 8$, head line चंदलेहाए; $17.\ 5$ ककु मुखा; $18.\ 6$ बन्दुम°; 23c चिहुरआ आणंद; $27.\ 19$ संणिहि; $Page\ 16$ head line चंदलेहाए; $36.\ 2$ प्रेम[पर]वशे; 38d °वीथीवास्याः; 39c आलक्ष्यमाणका°; 40b संणिवाआ; 41b अणुसरह; $41.\ 6$ अणुगणिहउं.
- $II.\ 4b$ सचिववरो ; 4d संणद्धो ; 4d संन्दः ; $9.\ 33$ रज्ज ; $9.\ 48$ संणाह ; 11b पक्षपातधुताम ; 15b माकन्दस्यन्द $^\circ$; $15.\ 4$ ससीत्वे ; 33d $^\circ$ भोगो [इव] भवति ; $33.\ 20$ संणिहिम्म ; $33.\ 23$ संणिहाविक्षा ; $33.\ 28$ असंणिहिद् ; $33.\ 34$ भिन्द ; $Page\ 44$, foot-note 8 chāyā, 8 M विन्धि , 6 भिन्द .
- III. 3c सकलासु भविष्य°; 3d संणाह ...पओसएणं; 3d प्रदोषकेण; 4. 35 दुस्सहै: तै°; 5a °चरणमेकं कमि ; 6b उत्तिमिरीअ; 8d काकोलमिव (and omit the $v.\ l.$ of M); 9b विस्तारवानि°; 9. 5 ऐतु; 10a अग्गदो; 11c मज्झेणहं; 11c मध्येनभः; 12. 8 हृदयानिर्गर्सै; 12. 12. युक्तमुपचारा°; 12. 14. पळआणळ; 13a विळोळ; 14. 2 चन्द्रोह्योत°; 16c; उद्रेल्लत°; 16. 2 चन्द्रोह्योत; 19. 1 चन्द्रिकोह्योतम्; 21. 1 चन्द्रोह्योतं; 23d विचरणं.
- IV. 5a वीजयन्तीनां; 5b विच्छोछिअग्गा; 6d पुट्यासासोअ; 9a महाकाल-; 10.1 तुँ; 11.1 Remove Daṇḍa after एसो; 13c दिक्चकवालान्; 20.2 संणाहो; 25.6 °मानाग्रितो; 27.10 संगता.

BY Dr. A. N. UPADHYE

- 1. Pameasuttam of an Unknown Ancient Writer: Präkrit Text edited with Introduction, Translation, Notes with copious Extracts from Haribhadra's Commentary, and a Glossary. Second Ed., Revised and Enlarged, Crown pp. 96, Kolhapur 1934.
- 2. Pravacanasāra of Kundakunda. An authoritative work on Jaina ontology, epistemology etc.: Prākrit text, the Sanskrit commentaries of Amrtacandra and Jayasena, Hindī exposition by Pānde Hemarāja: Edited with an English Translation and a critical elaborate Introduction etc. New Edition, Published in the Rāyachandra Jaina S'āstramālā vol. 9, Royal 8vo pp. 16+132+376+64, Bombay 1935.
- 3. Paramātma-prakās'a of Yogīndudeva. An Apabhratis'a work on Jaina Mysticism: Apabhratis'a text with Various Readings, Sanskrit Tīkā of Brahmadeva and Hindī exposition of Daulatarāma, also the critical Text of Yogasāra with Hindī paraphrase: Edited with a critical Introduction in English. New Ed., Published in the Rāyachandra Jaina S'āstramālā vol. 10, Royal 8vo pp. 12+124+396, Bombay 1937.
- 4. Varāngacarita of Jatāsimhanandi. A Sanskrit Purānic kāvya of A. D. 7th century: Edited for the first time from two palm-leaf Mss. with Various Readings, a critical Introduction, Notes, etc. Published in the Māṇikachandra D. Jaina Granthamālā No. 40, Crown pp. 16+88+396, Bombay 1938.
- 5. Kamsavaho of Rāma Pāṇivāda. A Prākrit Poem in Classical Style: Text and Chāyā critically edited for the first time with Various Readings, Introduction, Translation, Notes, etc. Published by Hindī Grantha Ratnākara Kāryālaya, Hirabag, Bombay 4, 1940, Crown pp. 50+214.
- 6. Usāniruddham: A Prākrit Kāvya (attributed to Rāma Pāṇivāda), Text with Critical Introduction, Variant Readings and Select Glossary, Published in the Journal of the University of Bombay, Vol. X, part 2, September 1941, Royal 8vo pp. 156-194.
- 7. Tiloyapannatti of Jadivasaha. An Ancient Prākrit Text dealing with Jaina Cosmography, Dogmatics etc.,: Authentically edited for the first time (in collaboration with Prof. Hiralal Jain) with Various Readings etc. Part I, Published by Jaina Samskṛti Samrakṣaka Samgha, Sholapur 1943, Double Crown pp. 8+38+532.
- 8. Brhat Kathākośa of Harisena. A Thesaurus of 157 Tales in Sanskrit, connected with the Bhagavatī Ārādhanā of S'ivārya: The Sanskrit Text authentically edited for the first time, with Various Readings, with a Critical Introduction (covering 122 pages), Notes, Index of Proper Names etc. Published in the Singhi Jaina Series, No. 17, Bhāratīya Vidyā Bhavana, Bombay 1943, Super Royal pp. 8+20+128+406.

- 9. The Dhūrtākhyāna: A Critical Study. This is a critical essay on the Dhūrtākhyāna (of Haribhadra) which is a unique satire in Indian literature. Included in Āchārya Jinavijayaji's edition, Bhāratīya Vidyā Bhavana, Bombay 1944, Super Royal pp. 1–54.
- 10 Candralekhā of Rudradāsa: A Drama in Prākrit. The Prākrit Text and Sanskrit chāyā authentically edited with a critical Introduction, Notes etc. It is an important Saṭṭaka resembling Karpūramañjarī in various respects. The Introduction presents a study of Saṭṭaka in the back-ground of Indian theory of dramas and also a critical survey of some half a dozen Saṭṭakas, most of them brought to light for the first time. Printed in graceful types at the Nirnayasagara Press, Bombay, Bhāratīya Vidyā Bhavana, Bombay 1945, Royal 8vo pp. 8+66+96.

Brhat Kathakos'a of Harisena

Bṛhat Kathākośa of Hariṣeṇa (A. D. 931-32): A Thesaurus of 157 Tales in Sanskrit connected with the Bhagavatī Ārādhanā of Śivārya. The Sanskrit Text authentically edited for the first time, with Various Readings, with a Critical Introduction (covering 122 pages), Notes, Index of Proper Names etc. Published in the Singhī Jaina Series, No. 17, Bhāratīya Vidyā Bhavana, Bombay, 1943, Super Royal pp. 8+20+128+406.

Select Opinions and Reviews

Dr. S. K. CHATTERJI, The University of Calcutta, Calcutta: 'It is really magnum opus for which any scholar may feel proud. The learned introduction is a model of what such an introduction should be. Your extensive learning and your prodigious industry both elicit unstinted admiration;...the subsection... on the language of the text is a very valuable addition to our positive knowledge of Sanskrit, Prakrit (and Bhasha) philology.'

The Adyar Library Bulletin: 'as a source of information on social conditions, religious history, cultural elements, linguistic data, historical and geographical side-lights etc., the work is indeed valuable and would pay amply a detailed study; and for such a study, Dr. Upadhye has already contributed much in his critical apparatus in this volume. (V. RAGHAVAN)

Journal of the University of Bombay: 'His [i. e. Dr. Upadhye's] erudition and his knowledge of the editorial technique coupled with the speed with which he works are the things, very rare and most covetable.....The Editor has adorned the work with a brilliant and an exhaustive Introduction in which he has discussed with a scholarly grasp all possible aspects relating to the work'. (A. S. GOPANI)

Indian Historical Quarterly: 'In a long Introduction the learned editor besides drawing attention to the critical apparatus and the method followed in the presentation of the text, gives a comprehensive account of the narrative tale in old Indian literature with special reference to the literature of the Jains which can boast of a rich store of this material'. (C. CHAKRAVARTI).



भी श्रीकृत्वाससागरसूरि जानमञ्ज भीमहावीर जन आराधना केन कोवा (गांधीनगर) पि. ३८२०००

Printed by Ramchandra Yesu Shedge, at the Nirnaya Sagar Press, 26-28, Kolbhat Street, Bombay 2.

Published by Jayantakrishna H. Dave, Hon. Registrar, Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, 33-35, Harvey Road, Bombay 7.