

# Concept of Pathogenesis with special reference to Yoga and Ayurveda

Dr. S. N. BHAVASAR, M. A., Ph. D.

Tilak Ayurveda Mahavidyalaya, Rasta Peth, Poona-11

Therapeutics presupposes Pathogenesis; Pathogenesis again presupposes Genesis. The term Pathogenesis, here signifies cause, course and manifestation of disease. The term Genesis would refer to the notions of Nature (macrocosm), Man (microcosm) an Transcendental.

At the very outset there are two main considerations in Pathogenesis in this context: one is the East and other is the West. In the East the medicine is the same from the earliest times to this day, it is Ayurveda. In the West, however, there is a difference, between the ancient and the modern. It is quite interesting to note that the ancient western system of medicine and that of Ayurvedic, there are some fundamental affinities and similarities, as regards approach and Orientation, in Pathogenesis and Therapeutics. Philosophically speaking they are subjectively oriented as against the modern medicine which has objective orientation. The West contends that the growth and advancement of modern medicine and of modern science in general, is mainly due to its bifurcation from the Church. The problem as a whole rests upon four wings so to say; (i) subjectivity, objectivity, (ii) the east and the west (iii) Ancient and modern, and (iv) Location.

# Ancient Western Medicine

Genesis, according to ancient West, presupposes God (transcendental). Nature according to the ancient west constitutes of four fundmental elements, Air, Fire, Water and Earth. God has created everything out of these four. This is macrocosm and microcosm. In man, the living organism, there are other four corresponding factors, termed as 'Humours'; Blood, Bile (yellow and black), and Phlegm, corresponding four qualities of which again, are, dry, hot, cold and moist; Blood (hot and moist), Yellow Bile (hot and dry), Black Bile (cold and dry). They constitute and determine the bodily state in health and ill-health i. e. Physiology and Pathology. A proper and evenly balanced mixture of humours is responsible for health of body and mind; an imperfect balance resulting in disease, the characteristic of which depended upon, which humour was deficient or predominated. Corresponding again to these quadruple of humours, also was the temperament (psychological make-up), sanguine (Blood) choleric (yellow bile), melancholic (black-bile), phlegmatic (phlegm).

Later on the seasonal and astral considerations were included into the then medicine. On metaphysical level, good and bad spirits, gods, were, with their good and bad effects on man, thought of causing disease and derangements etc.

This was in general the Pathogenesis and so also the Theraputics as its counteract consisting of both physical and metaphysical measures.





After separation of medicine from Church, first the metaphysical factors were ruled out from its domain, and latter the humoural theory itself was discarded giving birth to what is called as to-day, the modern medicine.

# Modern Medicine:

The progress of thought from ancient to modern era, also brought change in the basic issues like that of Nature, Man and Transcendental. The transcendental along with subjectivity have lost their power and significance in this transition and Nature and Man are dominated by Newton, Drwin etc., in natural sciences which gave them a new form and frame. Modern sciences thus put forward the atomic and non-theological or material theory or creation. It maintains that life is an outcome and has developed from matter, which again is made of tinniest particles termed as atoms, which in turn themselves are composed of other sub-particles like electron, proton etc. Atom has its potential energy; having power and force, which has replaced Transcendental and can assume any form Radiation, Electrical, Magnetic, Thermal, Atmospheric, Gravitational etc. Life eventually gives rise to mind and the living organism therefore is termed as Psycho-Somatic one. Along with the concept of Transcendental, the existance of Atman (Soul) also vanished from science, even mind is said to be nothing but an outcome of or emergence from Physico-Chemical interaction in the living body.

Advancement in Chemistry, Biology, Genetics have revealed forth many new dimensions of living organism i. e., creation, like chemical gases, proteins, vitamins, genes, chromosomes, viruses, bacteria, trace-metals, harmones etc.

Psychology is basically a branch of Philosophy. But in modern era its scope is extended to other branches of humanistics, social and biological sciences, so much so that it has become an independent branch and pursuit. In the beginning the role of mind was not much significant especially in medicine. At the end of this century, however its role in health and ill-health has become quite important in as much as that a disease is regarded a Psycho-somatic entity. This definition of disease has tacitly accepted and allowed the subjective element once again in new form as the most predominant factor in medicine. It should be regarded as a step towards reconcilation of the ancient and modern trends of thoughts.

Even in the realm of Physics and Chemistry the entry of subjective element i. e., the role of observer of interpreter has given new orientation to the classical Physics and Chemistry.

The third big milestone in the history of modern culture is the meeting of the East and the West. A synthesis is on the way to emerge out on the horizon. In the East modern seers like Swami Dayananda, Swami Vivekananda, Sri Aurobindo have already paved the way for it. Maharshi Mahesh Yogi and other at present are also trying to head the way in this direction.

All these and such other points while dealing with the problem of Pathogenesis need special attention.

## Pathology in Modern Medicine

Modern medicine perceives disease as a product of mainly two causes (i) Constitutional, and (ii) Environmental. The former consists of hereditary and non-hereditary. Hereditary diseases are due to genes that are inherited through successive generations, governed by genetic laws. It also is the cause of disease proneness of an individual. Non-hereditary factors include nutrition—mal and over, unwholesome mode of living; also includes age and sex. Environmental factors are of two types external and dietary deficiency. The external agents consists of living and non-living agents, like animals, parasites, Fungi, bacteria, viruses and mechanical thermal, chemical and radiational factors respectively.

Upon these factors depends Pathogenesis in medicine. Pathogenesis in modern medicine in its widest sense considers these factors for or after the determination of disease. They are

0

(i) microscopic *i.e.*, anatomical (ii) macroscopic *i.e.*, cellular or histological, (iii) molecular *i.e.*, subcellular (iv) bio-chemical (v) immunological, *i.e.*, infectious (vi) functional *i.e.*, pathophysiological (vii) clinical (viii) experimental *i.e.*, producing disease in living organism for comparative study.

Naturopathy is a modification of the old Western medicine keeping pace with modern medicine. Homoeopathy and Biochemistry differ a little in philosophical consideration and therefore in Theraputics, yet it accepts many concepts of modern medicine. Homeopathy has a great deal of subjective element for its application.

### Ayurveda and Pathogenesis

Genesis according to Ayurveda consists of Transcendental (God), Nature, and Man, a triad. (tryāṇi ekatra saṃyamāt). Ayurveda in a broad sense is a philosophy, science and art together, without missing these three factors, their limit and scope, also without missing their links and relations. The Ayurveda, Theraputics and Pathogenesis therefore presupposes these factors.

Sāmkhya forms the basement of philosophy and science of Ayurveda. To Sāmkhya, creation takes place out of *Prakṛti* and *Puruṣa* the primival duet. *Prakṛti* consists of 24 fundamental principles that take active part in Genesis; while *Puruṣa* of innumerable numbers in practice cooperates the *Prakṛti* each entity the creation constitutes these 24/25 principles covertly or overtly. Creation expresses in term of *Pinda* (living organism in its widest sense) and *Brahmānḍa* and having corresponding identification of both *Bhedābheda* or *Sāmānya-Viśeṣa*. *Prakṛti* is said to have been constituted of three basic characteristics, *Sattva*, *Rajas* and *Tamas* in their equal harmonious proportions the disturbance in which is the beginning of creation. The law of causality *i.e.*, cause and effect phenomena is another base for Ayurvedic medicine.

Ayurveda accepts this philosophy and build up its structure—most simple as well as most complex. Practically Sāmkhya system is said to be *Penta-Bhantika*. Ayurveda therefore conceives creation, so also man (or any other living organism) part of creation as also made up of *Pañca Mahābhūtas* through the agency of the sixth entity—Atman the bodily manifested form of *Puruṣa*.

From a Theraputic and Pathogenetic and clinical point of view Ayurveda defines health as follows:

"Samadoṣāḥ samāgnis ca Samadhatumala Kriyāḥ Prasannat yenindriya Mana Swastha ity abhibhīyate."

He is called healthy who has his dosas in equilibrium and 'Agni' (with all thirteen or twenty three varieties) and whose (bodily physiological and other) functions of mala—urinary, excretary, sweating and other system that expel impurities of all sorts, dhātu (seven with their sub-varieties) are also in equilibrium as well as whose mind, sense-organs and soul (along with this together cosmic health) are happy.

All the bodily constituents like dosas (three with their five sub-varieties) the seven dhatus and upadhatus, malas (of all sorts) the senses, sense-organs even the mind are said to be penta-bhautic in origin. As has been stated above the creation has macrocosm and microcosm as two aspects of manifestation with mutual correspondences in all respects. Therefore Ayurveda as is the case with Indian culture has depicted cosmic man (Loka-puruṣa virāt) as well there is an inter-action commerce and communication between the two. This has been very well reflected in depicting health also of cosmic man as well. It is indeed with this view Vagabhata specifically and explicitely states:

Visargadanaviksepaith somasuryanitas tathā dhāryanti jagad deham kapha-pittanite yatha.





As soma (moon), surya (sun) and anila (air) by way of releasing, withdrawing and scattering (the energy) uphold the macrocosm so also the kapha, pittam and vāta (correspondingly) uphold the body. Ayurvedic authorities while dealing with creation specifically speak of cosmic function of Pañca Mahābhūtas, three guṇas, three dosas (sun, moon and air) their effects on microcosm etc. For all the expressions of energy the sun is regarded as the source.

Sūryo ātmā jagataḥ tasthusaḥ ca Concept and practice of Svasthavṛtta (mode of conduct for living beings for health) depends upon their cosmic considerations which manifest in term of seasons upon which depend the bio-sphere, litho-sphere, hydro-sphere, and atmosphere. Health or ill-health is thus a product of the interaction between the two.

To follow the regime of food and behaviours (āhāra-vihāra) in relation to these factors is to maintain health, to disobey it, is the beginning of ill-health. This is the patha (patha in Sanskrit) and the word Pathya (lit. that which makes one to follow the path properly) from this. Thus pathya and apathya is based in this basic health issue. If one walks properly on the lines laid down in the regime one maintains good health and needs no medicine. Since microcosm is a part of macrocosm there is an automatic efforts i.e., instinctive steps by the microcosm i.e., nature to maintain if not corrected ill-health of man. This is called natural power and natural healing, an effect ascribed to a certain extent also to what we call resistance or immunity. In fact the following statement indicate a concept of cosmic health in its typical oriental style—

Vināpi bhesajair vyādhiḥ Pathyadaḥ eva nivartate Nā tu pathya-vihīnasya Bhesajānām satairapi

Or

Pathye sati gadartasyā Kim auşadha-nirūpaņam Pathye' sati gadartasyā Kim ausadha-nirūpaņam

Prescribing and administering medicine is just to help to bring one to the path, from which one is deviated.

Yoga and Ayurveda in this respect have developed their systems keeping this basic issue in view. The only difference between the two is that Yoga has more a subjective basis and Ayurveda more an objective emphasis. And also therefore Yoga lays more stress on measures within than without measures.

Within this thereputic and practical limit, Ayurveda has defined health and ill-health aetiology and pathogenesis. According to Ayurveda, five mahābhūtas form basic constituting factors, of a living organism, while the three dosas are the practical immediate factors out of which the body is built up through successive series of seven dhātus, till it reaches final form at the time of birth. The five prānas on the other hand constitute functional factors of the same. It is Atman that performs and carries out this scheme through his assistants i. e., manas, buddhi, ahamkāra and citta.

Cause of disease and Pathogenesis within a given framework of living organism is the three dosas which move and function throughout the whole body, when in proper condition and proportion, promote health and otherwise, bring disorder, and manifest in the form of disease, and as and when in a course of time find a weak location in the seven-type of dhātu-system.

Whatever may the initial cause be, within or without, unless the dosas are not disturbed cannot produce disease.

Ayurveda conceives five stages of Pathogenesis to complete the process from its beginning to end. They are (i) nidāna-cause (ii) Pūrvarūpā symptoms (iii) rūpa—actual manifestation of disease as a decided individual entity. (iv) upasaya—symptoms indicative of relief resulting

()

from the time of treatment or aggravation of symptoms of negative side, so as to arrive negatively at the definite cause of disease indicating change of theraputic measures. (v) samprāpti—final full fledged form of disease. These stages are given in theoretical way, while these in practice have to be arrived at reverse way—a flash back method in literary sense.

To arrive at this, there are some more clinical factors, Ayurveda has introduced in the scheme of Pathogenesis. They are (i) Deśa (body as well as country of birth of habitation) (ii) Duṣya—bodily factor that initially get affected i.e., dhātu, or organ etc. (iii) balam—strength of the patient (iv) Kāla—time or condition of the disease, state of disease. (v) prakṛti—constitution of the patient. (vi) anal-agni—in Ayurveda sense with all its aspects. (vii) vayas—age of the patient. (viii) satvam—mental strength of the patient—will-power. (ix) satmyam—immunity or resistance or prophylactic capacity of the patient (x) āhāra—diet in Ayurveda.

To extend the scope of Pathogenesis some more factors, clinically relevent have been accommodated in Ayurveda. One such in vikalpanā—digital or fractional or atomistic consideration in Ayurveda as against holistic considerations. They are grouped under three broad heads in there limited narrow senses, (a) ādhyātmic physical or constitutional (i) ādibalā i.e. cogenital (ii) janmabalā depending upon the factors like mothers food and behaviour while in pregnancy (iii) Deśabalā—depending upon deficiency and defect in Āhār-Vihār. (b) ādhidaivik (iv) sanighatbalā—accidental diseases caused by blow, stroke etc. (v) kālabalā—seasonal, winter, autumn etc. (vi) daivabalā—caused by evil spirits or divine anger etc. (c) (vii) Avabhava-balā caused by natural phenomenon, like hunger, thirst, oldage death etc.

A little more reflection on Ayurveda would show that it has perceived more than these factors which would fall within the perview of Plathogenesis in its widest sense. These points rotate around the points given above. And the framework that is given is the medical system *i.e.*, Ayurveda for Pathogenesis as well as theaputics which we must arrive at and use with the foundation other than these factors. And it is here that Yoga and Ayurveda take resort to the issues that are fundamental and govern the phenomenon of life itself with all its dimensions, Philosophy, Religion, Ethics, Society, Psychology, Astrology, Astronomy etc.

In a definition of health given above, the phrase prasannat yenindriyamanah, indicates and presupposes this. It is well known that *prasannatva* or *prasāda* is the most desired object of any pursuit ultimately. Gita rightly states:

Prasade sarvadukhānām hanir asyopajāyate

Prasanna-cataso hyasuh buddih paryavatisthate.

In prasāda is the end of all sorrows of him. And Buddhi (Intelligence) of his, whose citta has arrived prasannatva, gets overall stability and equanimity.

 $\overline{A}$ tman is said to be j $\overline{n}$ anadhikarana—an instrument of knowledge for he employs antahkarana-catuştaya i. e. manas, buddhi, ahamkāra and citta. The iñāna indicates any kind of knowledge leading upto self and cosmic realisation. Therefore within the range of iñana come all the branches of knowledge, learning and all the sorts of understandings and pursuits. Body is said to be an abode of Atman for his own enjoyment. Moreover prasannatva of Ātman necessarily presupposes prasannatva of manas, buddhi ahamkāra and citta, which depend upon prasannatva of senses, which again are the direct instruments for them to have knowledge. Efficiency and prasannatva of senses depend upon bodily conditions and mental framework or it is senses which in turn decide the fate of body system i.e., dosa-dhātu-mala-agni systems. Role of manas and buddhi are very important. The office of mind, characterised by sattva, rajas and tamas is perception and acquisition of happpiness and unhappiness (sukha-dukhādiupalabdhi-sādhanam-manaḥ); while that of buddhi is to arrive at equality, equanimity, evenness, harmony, balance, right and wrong, good and bad, eternal etc. The faculty of  $\bar{A}tman$  which maintains all functions with all their relations on all the levels is said to prajñā be which resides in every being and cosmic of dhi-dhrti smrti. It is with this background that we can better understand the other definitions and statements of Ayurveda. It says:





 $\bigcirc$ 

0

vikāro-dhātu-vaisamyam, samyam, prakritir ucyate sukhasamjñākam asogyam vikāro dukham eva ca

Vikāra i. e., disease is dhātu-Vaiṣamya—loss of equality, equilibrium, harmony of dhātu-Vaisamya while prakṛti i.e., health is their equilibrium. Health is termed as happiness while ill-health is nothing more than unhappiness. And Caraka, therefore, further states that asatmyendriyartha-samyoga, prajñāparādha and pariṇāma are the causes of unhappiness itself and this is the final aetiological factor of disease. He declares: these be known as the causes of unhappiness, a downfall of man as regards dhi, dhṛti and smṛti which constitute prajñā aided by kāla (time) and action (karma) in its Indian sense; besides absence or loss of satmya (tolerance) immunity or resistance, on the part of the senses when in contact with their respective objects.

Thus summarily and philosophicaly too it is the offence of prjaña which is the ultimate cause of disease: (prajñaparadham tam sisṭaḥ bruvate vyādhi-karaṇam). Therefore generally Caraka enumerates the causes of prajñaparadha.

He states: irritating, provoking, the nature calls that are in motion curbing of those which are irritated, resorting to those adventures of feats, excessive indulgence in women, to take part in those experiences which cause excessive excitement, excess as regards works and time, unwholesome beginning of undertakings, loss of moral conduct and modesty, insulting the respectworthy, resorting to know unrighteous acts and objects willingly travelling in improper region at improper times, friendship with those whose deeds or undertakings are impure and complicated to abandon the wholesome regime behaviour, resorting to envy, pride, fear, anger, greed, captivations, infatuation, intoxication and action done through rajas, tamas, characterstics of mind tends to prajñāparādha. Thus prajñāparādha is an uneven, unwholesome, improper knowledge which is perceptible only to mind i. e., from within, (buddhyaviṣama vijāānam viṣam ca pravartanam).

Pathogenesis thus, commences from  $\overline{A}tman$  downwards to actual manifestation of disease. Theraputics, therefore, has to have also these dimensions. And Ayurveda recommends and prescribes all such measures, besides strict medical treatment and ultimately declares 'Yoge mokse ca sarveṣām vedanānām nivartnam' i. e., in yoga and mokṣa there is final emancipation from all the sufferings.

## Yoga and Pathogenesis

Yoga and Ayurveda is a twin product of Indian culture, the former is known more to the world than the later. These two yoga has been subjected to all the sorts of investigation on modern lines, by modern parametres. However, these are completely align to the aims and spirit and even the methods of yoga. Of course this had to take place and has thereby to-day rendered a good service to Yoga and is still getting profitted by it. The difficulty arises when one tries to see the scientific and philosophic side of it, in itself and in relation to the modern scientific thought. This brings us ultimatly to conform the problem of the West and the East, more seriously than can we imagine. The East and the West have their a totally different, if not diametrically opposite approaches and also therefore the methods. Yoga as such is an irrependentally perfect system, philosophically, scientifically as well as practically. As a therapy its natural affinity and relation is with Ayurvea. Knowing each other we understand each other deeply; which would in turn throw more light on pathogenesis and theraputic today.

In Yoga, we find that mind, prāṇa, naḍīs and kuṇḍalinī, cakras etc., have been given more emphasis, and the pathogenesis, and theraputics depends upon the same. Among Patañjala yoga-sūtra, Śiva-Saṃhita, Gheraṇḍa saṃhita, Haṭhayoga Pradipika and other works dealing with Yoga, the last two books, especially deal with diseases and their cause However, Pathogenesis has not been given by any of these texts. It is only Yogavasiṣṭha that gives us an Indian Pathogenesis. Yoga Vasiṣṭha states that the body is made up of Pañca-Mahābhūtas;

0

the Kundalini characterised by Spanda, Sparsa and samvit (Vibration, Touch and Consciousness) vibrates through Pancapranas, appeals or manifests in phases (Kāla), becomes cit because of being conscient, becomes Jīva because of being alive, and becomes manas because of being minding, becomes samkalpa because of willing, becomes buddhi because of understanding, becomes ahamkāra because of self-asserting. This is Jīva with all to paraphernelia (Jīvarāśi). It is thus a unit of eight members or an eight-limbed city (Puryāṣtka). Yoga-Vasistha. maintains further that it is Kundalini, which generally assumes the form of apana and has naturally a tendency to go downwards, assumes the form of udana and has naturally a tendency to go upwards, if not stopped accordingly the living being succumbs death. And therefore, both these extremes of going upwards and downwards, have to be abandoned and one has to remain in the mid-way being firmly established in one's own self (sarvathā ātmani tisthet). And that is termed as being Svastha. Yogavasistha thereupon insists that this state has to be maintained by all means, otherwise one looses svāsthya—health and consequently disease takes place. As is typical of yogic spirit Yogavasistha maintains that disease could be of two types:— (1) ordinary (sāmānya) or (2) major (pradhāna), which are produced by respective nadī-disorders, i.e., sāmānya and pradhāna nadīs in yogic sense. According to Yoga-Vasistha nadis, really are responsible for causing any sort of disorders. They carry and also supply anna-rasadi (food-rasa etc), evenly throughout the body. Amongst them hundred are the major or main nadīs, while their sub-branches are ordinary or minor or secondary nadīs. As and when and where any or these either because of excess or overload or ever-increase of their activities or commerce or commotion is disturbed (nadī vaidhurya) Vaidhurya means vyāpāra—commerce. It further states that this situation may arise even because of reverse or improper activities or vyāyus (vaidhurya, or vaisamya), leading to uneven absorption of annarasa etc., and when these take place, small or big diseases respectively. This general pathogenesis is in relation to Kundalini, nadis and pranas.

Yoga Vasistha, thereafter, turns to the problem of  $\bar{a}dhi$  and  $vy\bar{a}dhi$ . In this context  $\bar{a}dhi$  means mental disorders, while  $vy\bar{a}dhi$  is somatic disorders. It says that  $\bar{a}dhi$  and  $vy\bar{a}dhi$  cause suffering to the body, and the freedom from them is sukha and their complete destruction is emancipation. The root of them is folly and their total destruction is possible only through  $tattvaj\tilde{n}ana$ , i.e., perfect knowledge of the essence of any entity.

Yoga-Vasistha then contends that vyādhi takes place, essentially, because of man's being overpowered by atattvajñāna (absence of tattvajñāna), because of which, there has also no control over the senses, being attached to Desire and Folly, having abandoned the lightness of body which is the cause of health, because of intake of improper, unwholesome food, because of travelling in unwholesome country or region like śmaśāna etc., because of engaging in activities at improper and unwholesome times (like eating late at night, indulging in sex at pradoșadi occasions) because of responding to unrighteous undertakings, because of being in the company of the wicked and bad people, because of allowing improper and unwholesome thoughts into the mind and heart, because of nadīs being imanciated or overloaded (prapūrnatva), hecause of non-entry or excess of anna-rasadi, in them or because of the spaces getting blocked (srotamsi and randhra-samitati), the pranas loose their proper course, because of the dosas getting vitiated or provoked, ultimately weaken the body (vikrtikrte). Once the body is weakened, the disease manifests in it, which depends upon the providence, good or bad, here or there (ihaparatra). Furthermore, disease is said to be either sara (Janma-maya i. e., cogenital) or sāmānya (vyāvaharika-ordinary) of which the latter can be cured when the person gets his mundane desire of obtaining food, drinks, wife, children etc., fulfilled. Vyādhi could again be caused by ādhi or non-ādhi, both of which could be treated by drug (dravya), mantra, subhakarma (auspicious deeds) medical measures activities like bath etc.

Lastly Yoga-vasistha describe as to how ādhi, gives rise to vyādhi and as to how this process of pathogenesis takes place. It states that ādhi—mental disorder, leads to cittavaidhurya,













i. e., a state or a condition of citta which has lost its proper function, which as a consequent agitates and excites the body as a whole: which further agitates prāṇas. Thereafter Prāṇas in their turn disturb naḍīs. They further loose their original status and stability (naḍi-viṣamsthiti), thereby they loose their harmony and equilibrium, as a result they become either empty or overloaded, and speak upon food. It becomes vitiated by way of Kujīrṇatva (mal-disgestion), ajīrṇatva (non-digestion) or atijīrṇatva (over-digestion) which further causes doṣaduṣṭi, Ama or doṣa-prakopa. (i.e., vitiation of doṣas, provocation of them and poisionous or toxic undigested substance called ama), in a course of time (pariṇāma), giving rise to vyādhi.. To get rid of this pathogenesis there is reverse course that takes place as explained by Yoga-vasiṣṭha. It is in the form of mantra, auspicious or pure, holy deeds, worship of the respect-worthy. This causes citta-suddhi or mano-nairmalya (i.e., purification of consciousness or of mind). This restores normal course of prāṇas, this causes doṣa-suddhi (purification of doṣas) and this consequently leads to anna-suddhi, i. e., purification of food eaten. And this ultimately eradicates disease.

Thus, we find that Yoga-vasistha also like Caraka, begins with  $\bar{a}tman$  and its ignorance i. e., desire and folly, comes down to mind, then to senses, thereafter to some external initiating factor, then to pranas, then to  $nad\bar{i}$ , then to anna food then to dosas, ultimately to disease proper, which again is in relation to praktan i. e., Destiny, daiva, etc. or aihika (mundane act).

It is clear that both Yoga and Ayurveda have almost all the points common as regards the pathogenesis and theraputics.

In the light of Yoga and Ayurveda, today's modern Pathology seems to fall short in grasping the issue comprehensively. A more thorough, detailed, comparative investigation would be a time-honoured endeavour.

\*\*\*

There is one religion. There are many common points in it. One of them is humanism. Our emphasis on humanism is very strong; and the ideal of humanism can be attained through Ahimsa. Ahimsa, compassion, a sense of brotherhood—these are very much involved. If we talk about a Creator there is a conflict. Without talking about this, the followers of all religions should make a common effort to agree on the ground of humanism and compassion; and Ahimsa will be of great value to achive this goal.

--- Dalai Lama

 $\bigcirc$