श्रीहरिभद्रसूरिविरचित



श्रीहरिभद्रसूरिविरचितप्राकृतधूर्ताख्यान, श्रीसंघतिलकाचार्यविरचितसंस्कृतधूर्ताख्यान, तथा पुरातनगुजरातीभाषानिबद्ध बालावबोधात्मक धूर्ताख्यान आदियुक्त

ভাঁ০ আইিনাথ নদিনাথ ভণাঙ্খ

लिखित ऑग्लभाषामय सुविस्तृत समालोचनात्मकाविशिष्टनिवन्शान्वित

सपादक श्री जिन विजय मुनि



समदाबाद



धूर्ताख्यान

श्रीहरिभद्रसूरिविरचितप्राकृतधूर्ताख्यान, श्रीसंघतिलकाचार्यविरचितसंस्कृतधूर्ताख्यान, तथा पुरातनगुजरातीभाषानिबद्ध बालावबोधात्मक धूर्ताख्यान आदियुक्त

> डॉ० आदिनाथ नेमिनाथ उपाध्ये, एम. ए., डी. लिट्. लिखित आंग्लभाषामय सुविस्तृत समालोचनात्मकविशिष्टनिबऱ्यान्वित

> > संपादक श्री जिन विजय मुनि पूर्व आचार्य, भारतीय विद्या भवन - मुंबई



### अहमदाबाद

For Private & Personal Use Only

Published By : SARASWATI PUSTAK BHANDAR HATHIKHANA, RATANPOLE AHMEDABAD - 380001 Ph. 535 6692

### FIRST PUBLISHED IN 1944 UNDER SINGHI JAIN SERIES N0.19 BHARATIYA VIDYA BHAVAN, BOMBAY

### Reprint 2002

Price : Rs. 250/-

Printed at Himanshu Printers, Main Yamuna Vihar Road Maujpur, Delhi 110092 4



धूर्ताख्यान

श्रीहरिभद्रसूरिविरचितप्राकृतधूर्ताख्यान, श्रीसंघतिलकाचार्यविरचितसंस्कृतधूर्ताख्यान, तथा पुरातनगुजरातीभाषानिबद्ध बालावबोधात्मक धूर्ताख्यान आदियुक्त

> डॉ० आदिनाथ नेमिनाथ उपाध्ये, एम. ए., डी. लिट्. लिखित आंग्लभाषामय सुविस्तृत समालोचनात्मकविशिष्टनिबऱ्यान्वित

> > संपादक श्री जिन विजय मुनि पूर्व आचार्य, भारतीय विद्या भवन - मुंबई



### अहमदाबाद

For Private & Personal Use Only

प्रकाशक

सरस्वती पुस्तक भण्डार ११२, हाथीखाना, रतनपोल अहमदाबाद ३८०००१ फोन ५३५ ६६९२

प्रथम संस्करण १९४४ सिंघी जैन ग्रन्थमाला सं० १९ के अन्तर्गत भारतीय विद्या भवन, मुंबई द्वारा प्रकाशित

पुनर्मुद्रण सन् २००२

मूल्य २५०.०० रु.

मुद्रण हिमांशु प्रिन्टर्स, गली नं० १४, अनूप मार्किट मेन यमुना विहार रोड, मौजपुर, दिल्ली ११००९२

For Private & Personal Use Only

# CONTENTS

\*

	A Short	History of the Series	II-VIII
I	Editor's	PREFACE	IX-XIII
11	HARIE	BHADRA'S AGE, LIFE AND WORKS	XIV-XXIII
	1	Haribhadra's Age	XIV
	$2^{\circ}$	Haribhadra's Life	XVII
	3	Haribhadra's Works	XX
111	The DH	IŪRTĀKHYĀNA: A CRITICAL STU	DY 1-56
	1	The Prākrit Text	1
	2	Summary of the Contets	2
	3	Purpose, technique and form	12
	4	Sources of the Purānic Legends	25
	5	Sanskrit and Gujarāti Dhūrtākhyānas	36
	6	Dhūrtākhyāna and Dharmaparīkṣā	41
	7	Prākrit Dialect and Style of Dhūrtākhyāna	a 49
		Index of 'A Critical Study'	55
ıv	<b>DHŪRTĀKHYĀNA TEXT</b>		१–६५
	1	Prākrit	१–३२
	2	Sanskrit	३३-४८
	3	Old-Gujarāti	ક્ષર-દ્દહ
v	Index of the Proper Names of the Dhūrtākhyāna		
VI	Index of the Quotations		

# SINGHI JAIN SERIES

### [A Short History Of The Series]

**Babu S'ri Dalchandji Singhi**, in whose sacred memory the present Series is inaugurated by his son, **Babu S'ri Bahadur Singhji Singhi** was born in Azimganj (Murshidabad) in the Vikrama Samvat 1921 (1865 A. D.), and died in Calcutta on the 30th December, 1927. The Singhi family of Azimganj occupies almost the foremost rank among the few hundred Jaina families which migrated to Bengal from Rajputana in the latter part of the 17th century and took their domicile in the district of Murshidabad. The family rose to its present position and prominence chiefly through the energy and enterprise of that self-made man, Babu Dalchandji Singhi.

Owing to financial difficulties, Dalchandji Singhi had abruptly to cut short his educational career and join the family business at the early age of 14. The family had been carrying on business in the name of **Messrs Hurisingh Nehalchand** for a long time though, in those days, it was not at all a prominent firm. But having taken the reins of the firm in his own hands, Babu Dalchandji developed it on a very large scale; and it was mainly through his business acumen, industry, perseverance and honesty that this comparatively unknown firm of "Hurisingh Nehalchand" came to be reckoned as the foremost jute concern with branches in almost all the important jute centres of Bengal. The fruits of Dalchandji Singhi's toils were immense, and the reputation of the firm in commercial circles was indeed unique.

Having thus brought his jute business to the most flourishing condition, Babu Dalchandji Singhi diverted his attention to the mineral resources of India and spent many lacs of rupees in prospecting the coal fields of Korea State (C. P.), limestone deposits of Sakti State and Akaltara, and the bauxite deposits of Belgaum and Sawantwadi and Ichalkaranji States. His scheme for the Hiranyakeshi Hydro-Electric Project and manufacture of aluminium from bauxite ores, the first of its kind in India, is yet to be developed. His mining firm, Messrs Dalchand Bahadur Singh is reputed to be one of the foremost colliery proprietors in India. While so engaged in manifold business, he also acquired and possessed vast Zamindary estates spreading over the districts of 24-Perganas, Rangpur, Purnea, Maldah, etc.

But the fame of Babu Dalchandji Singhi was not confined to his unique position in commercial circles. He was equally well-known for his liberality and large-heartedness, though he always fought shy of publicity attached to charitable acts and often remained anonymous while feeding the needy and patronizing the poor. A few instances of his liberality are given below. When Mahatma Gandhi personally visited his place in 1926, for a contribution to the Chittaranjan Seva Sadan, Babu Dalchandji Singhi gladly handed over to him a purse of Rs. 10,000.

His War contribution consisted in his purchasing War Bonds to the value of Rs. 3,00,000; and his contribution at the Red Cross Sales, held in March 1917, under the patronage of H. E. Lord Carmichael on Government House grounds, Calcutta, amounted to approximately Rs. 21,000, in which he paid Rs. 10,000 for one bale of jute which he had himself contributed. His anonymous donations are stated to have amounted to more than one lac of rupees.

In his private life Babu Dalchandji Singhi was a man of extremely simple and unostentatious habits. Plain living and high thinking was his ideal. Although he had been denied a long academic career, his knowledge, erudition and intellectual endowments were of a very high order indeed. His private studies were vast and constant. His attitude towards life and the world was intensely religious, and yet he held very liberal views and had made a synthetic study of the teachings of all religions. He was also wellversed in the Yoga darśana. During the latter part of his life he spent his days mostly in pilgrimage and meditation. Noted throughout the district and outside for his devoutness, kindness and piety, he is remembered even now as a pride of the Jaina community.

During the last days of his life, Babu Dalchandji Singhi cherished a strong desire to do something towards encouraging research in important works of Jaina literature and publishing their editions scientifically and critically prepared by eminent scholars. But fate had decreed otherwise; and before this purpose of his could become a reality, he expired.

However, Babu Bahadur Singhji Singhi, worthy son of the worthy father, in order to fulfil the noble wish of the late Dalchandji Singhi, continued to help institutions like the Jaina Pustaka Pracāraka Mandala, Agra; the Jaina Gurukula, Palitana; the Jaina Vidyābhavana, Udaipur, etc.; and also patronized many individual scholars engaged in the publication of Jaina literature. Besides, with a view to establishing an independent memorial foundation to perpetuate the memory of his father, he consulted our common friend, Pandit Sri Sukhlalji, Professor of Jainism in the Benares Hindu University, an unrivalled scholar of Jaina Philosophy, who had also come in close contact with the late Babu Dalchandji Singhi, and whom the latter had always held in very high esteem. In the meanwhile, Babu Bahadur Singhji Singhi incidentally met the late Poet, Rabindranath Tagore, and learnt of his desire to get a chair of Jaina studies established in the Visva-Bhäratī, Santiniketan. Out of his respect for the Poet, Babu S'ri Bahadur Singhij readily agreed to found the chair (provisionally for three years) in revered memory of his dear father, and invited me to take charge of the same. I accepted the offer very willingly, and felt thankful for the opportunity of spending even a few years in the cultural and inspiring atmosphere of Visva-Bhāratī, the grand creation of the great Poet Rabindranath.

During the period of 10 years of my principalship of the Gujarat Purätattva Mandir, Ahmedabad, and even before that period, I had begun collecting materials of historical and philological importance, and of folk-lore etc., which had been lying hidden in the great Jaina Bhandars of Patan, Ahmedabad, Baroda, Cambay, etc. I induced my noble friend Babu Bahadur Singhji Singhi, also to start a Series which would publish works dealing with the vast materials in my possession, and also with other allied important Jaina texts and studies prepared on the most modern scientific methods. Hence the inauguration of the present Singhi Jaina Series.

Babu Bahadur Singhji Singhi is himself a great connoisseur and patron of art and culture. He has an unbounded interest in creative researches in antiquities, and has a very good collection of rare and historic paintings, manuscripts, coins, books, and jewellery. On many occasions the organisers of various exhibitions throughout India have had to call upon him for loan of his art collection; and he has gladly responded to their requests without fail. In 1931 he was the recipient of a gold medal from the Hindi Sahitya Sammelan as a mark of appreciation of his unique collection. He is a Fellow of the Royal Society of Arts (London); a member of the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal, Bangīya Sāhitya' Pariṣat, the Indian Research Institute, and many other similar institutions. He is also one of the Founder-members of the Bhāratīya Vīdyā Bhavan, Bombay. He was one of the prominent working members of the Executive body of the "All-India Exhibition of Indian Architecture and Allied Arts and Crafts" held in Calcutta in February, 1935.

Babu Bahadur Singhji Singhi is a prominent leader of the Swetambar Jaina community. He was elected President of the Jaina Swetambar Conference held in Bombay in 1926. He is also connected with many other Jaina conferences and institutions either as president, patron or trustee.

Though thus a leading figure in the Jaina community, Babu Bahadur Singhji Singhi has always maintained a truly national and non-sectarian spirit and helped also many institutions which are outside the Jain fold. For example, he has donated Rs. 12,500 for constructing a building at Allahabad for the Hindi Sahitya Parishat. In fact his generosity knows no distinction of caste or creed.

Really speaking, he does not in the least hanker after name and fame even though he is a multi-millionaire and a big Zamindar, and even though he is a man of superior intellect and energy. He is by nature taciturn and a lover of solitude. Art and literature are the pursuits of his choice. He is very fond of seeing and collecting rare and invaluable specimens of ancient sculpture, painting, coins, copperplates, inscriptions, manuscripts etc. He spends all his spare time in seeing and examining the rarities which he has collected in his room as well as in reading. He is seldom seen outside and he rarely mixes with society and friendly circles. Wealthy persons like himself usually have a number of fads and hobbies such as seeing the games and races, visiting clubs, undertaking pleasure trips etc., and they spend enormously over them, but Singhiji has none of these habits. Even the managers of his colliery and zamindari travel in first class while he, the master, travels mostly in the second class. Instead of wasting money on such things, he spends large sums on collecting ancient things and valuable curios and on the preservation and publication of important literature. Donations to institutions and charities to individuals are, by him, for the most part given anonymously. I know it from my own experience that these gifts, donations and charities reach a very high figure at the end of every year. But he is so modest that on his being requested so often by me he did not show the least inclination to part with the names and whereabouts of the individuals and institutions that were the recipients of such financial aid from him. By chance I came to know of a very recent example, just now, indicative of this characteristic of his nature. Last year he shifted, like other innumerable inhabitants of Calcutta, his headquarters to Azimganj (Dist. Murshidabad) when the fear of the Japanese invasion was looming large, and decided to stay there with his whole family during war time. Taking into consideration the present grievous condition of the country as well as the excessive scarcity of the grains in Bengal, he had stocked grains in large quantities with a view to distributing them gratis according to his capacity. Thereafter the problem of food became rather more serious and at present the prices have risen inconceivably high. Babu Bahadur Singhji Singhi could have earned four to five lacs of rupees if he had, like many other miserly merchants, sold off the hoarded lot of grains, taking undue advantage of the prevailing conditions. But he resisted the temptations, and has been daily distributing freely the grains among thousands of poor people who shower blessings on him; and he enjoys a deep self-satisfaction. This is the most recent example that puts us in adequate knowledge of his silent munificence. Really he is a very silent and solid worker and he has no desire to take active part in any controversies, social or political, though he has sufficient fitness and energy to do so. Still however he is skilful enough to do what is proper at the particular time. The following incident will best illustrate this statement. It was in the fitness of things that a wealthy multi-millionaire like him should give an appropriate contribution in the war funds. With this view he arranged in the second week of December, 1941, an attractive show, styled Singhi Park Mela in the garden of his residential place at Calcutta in which all the local people and officers of name and fame, including the Governor of Bengal, Sir John Arthur Herbert and lady Herbert as well as the Commander-in-chief (now the GovernorGeneral) Viscount Wavell, had also taken part with enthusiasm. This show fetched thousands of rupees which were considered substantial financial help to the war funds.

The series was started, as mentioned above, in 1931 A. D. when I worked as a Founder-Director of the Singhi Jain Chair in Viśvabhāratī at Shantiniketan, at Singhiji's request. It was, then, our aspiration to put the Singhi Jain Chair and the Singhi Jain Series on a permanent basis and to create a centre at Viśvabhāratī for the the studies of Jain cult in deference to the wishes of the late Poet Rabindranath Tagore. But unfortunately I was forced to leave this very inspiring and holy place on account of unfavourable climatic conditions etc. which I had to face during my stay of about four years there: I shifted, therefore, from Viśvabhāratī to Ahmedabad where I had formerly resided and worked in those glorious days when the Gujarat Vidyāpīth and the Purātattva Mandir had been established as a part of the movement for national awakening and cultural regeneration. I went there in the hope that the reminiscences of those days and the proximity of those places would serve as sourses of inspiration in my literary pursuits.

In the intervening period the activity of the Puratattva Mandir had languished and along with the arrest of its many scholar-workers the vast, precious collection of books also was confiscated and placed in custody by the British Government. After some years when it was refurnedit lay unadored, like images, without its worshippers in the Mandir. My old friends and colleagues of the Puratattva Mandir and the Vidyapith had, like myself, taken to different pursuits at different places. When some of them, namely, Prof. R. C. Parikh (who is, at present, the Director of the Postgraduate and Research Departments of the Gujarat Vernacular Society, Ahmedabad), Prof. R. V. Pathak (who is, at present, the Vice-Principal of the S. L. D. Arts College, Ahmedabad), Pandit Sukhlalji (who is the Head of the Department of Jain Studies in the Hindu University, at Benares) and myself occasionally met, we all revived our old sweet memories of the Vidyāpīth and the Purātattva Mandir, and of also the possibility of a regeneration of the Mandir or of the establishment of another similar institution at Ahmedabad and enjoyed in dreaming dreams of schemes of such institutions.

During this period my aim of life had centred round the Singhi Jain Series and I devoted every iota of my energy to its development and progress.

In June, 1938, I received, to my agreeable surprise, a letter from Sri K. M. Munshi (who was, then, the Home Minister of the Congress

Ministry of the Bombay Presidency), my esteemed friend and the originator and the founder of the Bhāratīya Vidyā Bhavan. In that letter he had mentioned that Sheth Srī Mungalal Goenka had placed a liberal sum of two lacs of rupees at his disposal for the establishment of a good academic institution for Indological studies and he had asked me to come down to Bombay to discuss and prepare a scheme for that. Accordingly, I came here and saw Munshiji. Knowing that he had a fervent desire of founding at Bombay an institution of the type of the Puratattva Mandir, I was extremely delighted and I showed my eagerness to offer for that such services as might be possible for me. We, then, began to draft out a scheme and after some deliberations and exchange of ideas the outline of the Bhāratīya Vidyā Bhavan was settled. Accordingly, on the auspicious full-moon day of the Karttik of 1995 (V. S.) the opening ceremony of the Bharativa Vidva Bhavan took place amidst the clappings and rejoicings of a magnificent party which was arranged at the residence of Munshiji. The Bhavan has completed five years of its career on the last Karttiki full-moon day. The brilliant achievement and the wide publicity which the Bhavan has been able to secure in this short period of five years, bear eloquent testimony to the inexhaustible fund of energy and unsurpassed skill of Munshiji. As I am inseparably linked up with it from the very conception, I also feel the same amount of joy and interest at the Bhavan's progress as Srī Munshiji, its Founder-President and therefore I have been always offering my humble services in its various undertakings and activities.

On the other hand, the Singhi Jain Series is the principal aim of my remaining life and the results of my thinking, meditation, researches and writings have all been devoted to the development of the Series. As life passes on, the time of activity is also naturally shortened and therefore it is quite appropriate, now, for me to chalk out lines of its future programme and permanence.

As Babu Bahadur Singhji Singhi, the noble founder and the sole patron of the Series, has placed the whole responsibility of the Series on me from its inception, he has also the right to expect that more and more works may more speedily and splendidly be published. I have neither seen nor come across any other gentleman who can match with him as regards generosity and unbounded zeal for the revival of ancient literature. On the works of the Series he has spent through me more than 50,000 rupees till now. But he has not even once asked me, during this long period of a dozen years, as to how and for what works the amount was spent. Whenever the account was submitted to him, he did not ask for even the least informatiou and sanctioned it casting merely a formal glance on the account sheets. But he discussed very minutely the details regarding things such as the paper, types, printing, binding, get-up, etc. as well as internal subjects like Preface and others, and occasionally gives very useful suggetrions thereon with deep

### VIII ]

interest. His only desire being to see the publication of as many works as possible in his life-time, he is always ready to spend as much, after it, as required. He does not labour under a delusion that the things should be done in this or that way when he is no more.

As these were his ideas and desires concerning the Series and as every day that passes leaves me all the more convinced of the fickleness of my advanced life too, it was imperative for us to draw out a scheme for its future programme and management. Just at this time a desire dawned in the heart of S'rī Munshiji, to the effect that if the Singhi Jain Series be associated with the Bhāratīya Vidyā Bhavan, both the institutions would not only be admirably progressing but the Series would get permanence and the Bhavan, unique honour and fame by its hereby becoming an important centre for the studies of Jain culture and the publication of Jain literature. This well-intentioned desire of Munshiji was much liked by me and I conveyed it in a proper form to Singhiji who is, besides being a Founder-Member of the Bhavan, also an intimate friend of Munshiji since long. Eventually he welcomed this idea of Munshiji. I also came to a final decision of associating the Series with the Bhavan, having consulted my most sincere friend, life-long companion and co-worker, Pt. Sukhlalji, who is a well-wisher and an active inspirer of the Series, and who is also an esteemed friend of Babu Bahadur Singhji. Luckily we all four met in Bombay in the bright half of the last Vaiśākh and on one auspicious day we all sat together and unanimously resolved, at the residence of Munshiji, to entrust the Series to the Bhavan.

According to that resolution, the publication of the Series is now under the management of the Bhāratīya Vidyā Bhavan and the office of the Series is also permanently located in the building of the Bhavan.

In addition to transferring all sorts of copyright of the Series, Singhiji has also donated a liberal sum of Rs. 10,000 which will be spent on erecting a hall, to be named after him, in a prominent place in the Bhavan. In appreciation of this generous donation of Singhiji, the Bhavan has also resolved to style permanently the Department of Jain Studies as the "Singhi Jain S'āstra S'ikṣāpīth".

Thus the Singhi Jain Series, which is the fruit of the enlightened liberality of Babu Bahadur Singhji Singhi, is flourishing under the management of the Bhāratīya Vidyā Bhavan and contributing to the advancement of wirther advancement of singhight flour - Indological Studies - in all their aspects.

JULY 1. 1943 Bhāratīva Vidyā Bhavan, bombay.

JINA VIJAYA MUNI

धुतारूयान ਅਫਿਨਤੀ ਸ਼ੁੱਖ ਅਮਨੇ ਅਸਿਤੀ ਕਿ ਸੰਗਰ ਸ਼ੁਰੀਰਿ ਸ਼ੁਰੀ ਸਿੰਘ ਤੇ ਸ਼ੁਆਂ ਸ਼ੁਰੀ ਸ਼ੁਰੀ ਸ਼ੁਰੀ ਸ਼ੁਰੀ ਸ਼ੁਰੀ ਸ਼ੁਰੀ ਸੰਗਰ ਸ਼ੁਰੀ ਸੰਗ ਪੰਕਿਆ ਨੇ ਸਿੰਗ ਨੇ ਕਿ ਸਿੰਘ ਸ਼ੁਰੀ ਸੰਗਰ ਸੰਗਰੇ ਸੰਗਰਿ ਸੰਗਰਿ ਸਿੰਘ ਸ਼ੁਰੀ ਸ਼ੁਰੀ ਸ਼ੁਰੀ ਸਿੰਘ ਹੈ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੰਗਰੇ ਸੰਗਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਸਿੰਘ ਸ នទទារលោង៣០៥៣១ នៃអូលោកមើតលេខខំពងតេកាលខ្លាំថានអំនៅកំអូនាលអាអានិន្នាញ់ពេន្ទ នេះប្រទាសអាអូអូអូអូរ៉ានេះខាងទាល់កាន់អេអាននេ ផលោផោភហំគោនអនុមរកម៉ត់កនគរចេញខ្លែងអភីរទាន! សិទ័រមេដែរលោកសេនក្រលោងផងអង់នៃផ្លែងចង្វើនទំនៅកំនុងវិសាខមន៍ពេលក្នុងពីល៉ាក់ថានីទទំពីហុងសាខកនាំហារប្តណាអំពីនិវាខ្មែ និវីន័រជាអាជាអិក័របទហោះស្រុនហោងមានអ្ននៃធ្លានថ្លែងខ្មុំនេះខ្មុងវិសាខមន៍ស្រែកចុំគណិពនានីទទំពាលនេះជាហារប្តណាអំពីនិ ਗ਼॥ଅଇଁପ୍ରିହା ମୁଖ୍ୟଆୟା ମିଥିମଙ୍କୀ ଅ<mark>ମସାମିସ୍ଟି ଓ କର</mark>ଣ୍ଟ ମସାସାରି ଓ ଅସ୍ଥାନ କାଳା ସ୍ଥାନ ଭାଗ । ସହିମ କୁରା ପା । ଜେଇ ଓ ସେ ଅନ୍ୟା କମା କ କା ଅଧି णिहराध्रांश्वाम् सहत्ताहरहाहिक्ष्यमुवमवृष्णि ए ये तो हस्मर्छण इद्य ते उच्च या दि मिसलाइ समय अड यादण मुज्ञाम मुमाद मिश्रे मिशान सह माद्य प्रयात ण भाषण 경망 ŵ, ॳॱॿॕॾॕॾॺख़ॖ ॴख़तोॳॴऀय़ॎॖॶॖॖॖॖॖॖक़य़ऻऎॎॹऻॾऻऀख़ॎग़क़ॎऻ॔क़ऺऻॴऺक़ऺऻ ॳग़ॿऻख़ॸॣॺ॔क़ॏख़ॎऀॺॖऻऀड़ॏख़क़क़ॎॖॾग़ऀख़ग़ॎॎॳय़य़ड़ॎऀॶक़ऀऒख़ऀॼॖऄक़ॎऀ - 12 -विति विक्रमंडदर्भडन जिन्द्र निकृतिक वित्र मिंदि के माएमा। १२ विद् से विमाद्य निवर्त कि विक्रम दिता दिन परि **ਬ**ਲਾ ਦੱਬਗ ਦੀ ਜਿਝ ਜਿਧਟੇ ਕਿ ਕੁਣੇਸ਼ਾਂ ਕਿ ਬਾਲਿ सा । । । ਸ ਵੇ ਦ ਜੀ ਦ दुमामसाम्।सातो मनोरका हिदाडिशासमानेनभयो इतिव दंतिना॥९० प्रद्रयतागय त्रोलेनाचणिडााधतमाविणा।मा तितसमयःश्वह त्ताकृष्युयतय २४त्र संनाय[मिंह 135 6810 ामवत्र मिलायक्षेत्रदेशिवणसादजाक्षद्ध्यद्विद्वयन्त्वाद्वसमयणे बेणिएसाउन्होलाङ् असपरमछं तद उत्ताद लिङ्गि मुग्रम्हे। इदि। त्तिव्याप्य **मूटमस्**ला प्रीः। वित्वित्तद्यतिका में। तो ये विकाह सिंहा उम्मद्व समी सिशे संवासित का तिस .eu? ग. र चा. उ म. फर सियः यस्प्रिभानकाले वदंतिः のかいと言いいの言言で 同時まして सिंघी जैन ग्रन्थमाला ] माडया तीवि। (ताव्यक्त) लोती माञ्चको आइल A संज्ञक संस्कृत Last page of Sanskrit Ms. भ्रन्थ प्रतिसत्क of Dhū. 'A' अन्तिम पत्र प्रतिकृति A संज्ञक माकृत ग्रन्थ Δhū. 'A' A print अन्तिम पत्र page of Prākrit प्रतिगत प्रतिकृति of last Ms. of

www.jainelibrary.org

### PREFACE

LMOST from childhood, I had an aptitude for hearing and knowing the  $\mathbf{A}^{-}$ Puranic and Epic stories. When I was fourteen-fifteen, and could read a bit, I became a pupil of a monk of the Sthānakavāsi sect of the Jaina Śvetāmbara community. Born in a Rājput family devoted to Śiva and Visnu, and brought up under the influence of Hindu samskaras in my childhood, quite instinctively I looked upon Rāma and Krsna as the divinity and addressed Siva as the Parameśvara. A gradual change came on me after my becoming a Jaina monk. The monks, according to their religious prescriptions, visit different places and preach religious and ethical principles to their audience by narrating stories. The Jainas in villages are not quite conversant with the ideology and principles of their religion; and ordinarily they are accustomed to listen to the various topics of the Mahābhārata, Rāmāyaņa, Bhāgavata etc. which are commonly popular with the orthodox Hindu community. Being a minor community, the Jainas, on many occasions, it is quite natural, are influenced by the samskāras and ideas of the other Hindus who form an overwhelmingly majority community with dominating social position. To guard against such an influence and to confirm them in the Jaina faith, the Jaina monks often criticise the inconsistent and unnatural details of the Purānas, Mahābhārata, Rāmāyana etc. in the presence of their Srāvakas whom they want to convince about the hollowness of Vedic and Puranic tales and whose faith in Jainism they want to nourish by didactic tales and religious sermons. Literary example, as we know, is a powerful instrument for the moulding of character. To impress on the mind of the masses the specific ethical and religious principles, the religious teachers and preachers, all over the world and from times immemorial, have used the legends and tales which provide the hearers with examples and principles which they can easily The Jaina monks are in no way an exception. follow.

After attending such sermons of Jaina monks, my instinctive inclinations were being gradually changed, and my attachment for Jaina traditions and tales became deeper and deeper. As a rule, the Sthānakavāsi monks possessed no liking, worth noting, for the study of any branch of literature. They were least acquainted with Sanskrit and Präkrit languages, nor did they care to study them. They had, consequently, no idea of the vast and varied heritage of Jaina literature enriched by the remarkable contributions of eminent Svetämbara and Digambara authors. At the most, they were conversant with and repeatedly read a few late, vernacular texts such as Dhalasāgara and Dhanyasālibhadra-caüpāi which are of quite ordinary merits. After 2

#### DHŪRTĀKHYĀNA :

reading a couple of such texts, my desire for reading many more such stories went on increasing, but they were not then available to me.

A couple of years after my initiation into the order, I happened to go to Ujjain with my teacher and other brother monks. In the Dharma-sthanaka there, among the Sastras collected by the ancestor monks of my teacher, I chanced to see certain Mss. of Bālāvabodha paraphrases on some Sūtras and Kathānakas. It was for the first time in my life that I saw there the Mss. of the Bhuvanabhanucarita- $B\bar{a}l\bar{a}vabodha$  and  $Dh\bar{u}rt\bar{a}khy\bar{a}na$ - $B\bar{a}l\bar{a}vabodha$ . At that stage I could not read their script, nor could I understand their language, with ease; still, after strenuous efforts and repeated reading, I could manage to grasp the contents of the Bhuvanabhānucarita-Bālāvabodha. I liked it immensely, and I mentioned it to my Teacher. He grew angry with me; he at once snatched away the Ms. from me, and quietly put it in the box as before. I came to know, later on, that my Teacher had heard that the Carita contained references to the worship of Jaina temples and of images of Jina: of course, my Teacher had never read it himself. His intention was that a raw mind like that of myself should not be influenced by such stories that did not conform to the accepted creed of the Sthānakavāsi Sampradāya. Fascinated by its very title, he, however, kept with himself and began reading the Dhurtakhyana-Balavabodha. He finished it in a couple of days, and also recommended it to me that it contained nice stories which are quite useful for sermons. He had read it for the first time; and under his advice I began to read it zealously and respectfully. I read it so often, and mastered its contents so thoroughly, as if it was a text book for some examination. The Ms. furnished no details about its author and date; nor did I possess any curiosity to know these things at that time: my mental horizon was not wide enough for such an enquiry. When we left that place after the Cāturmāsa, the Ms. was put in its box; that must be, if I remember right, during the rainy season of 1905. That is how, quite indirectly and accidentally, I came to be acquainted, during my student-life, with the Dhurtakhyana of Haribhadra.

Later on, after some 8 or 10 years, as a monk of the Śvetāmbara Mūrtipūjaka Sampradāya, I had the good fortune of inspecting the Jaina Bhandāra at Pātan. In the meantime I studied Sanskrit and Prākrit; and my zest for perviewing the rich and varied range of Jaina literature was increasing. The Mss. on which the present edition of the Dhūrtākhyāna is based were first seen by me at this time. After studying more about the work and its authors, I desired that this work should be printed and published. When this desire occurred to me, I never dreamt, being quite aware of my ability then, that some day in the future I would have the opportunity of editing it. After some more years of study my mastery of Prākrit increased; and at the suggestion of my scholar-friend, the late lamented C. D. Dalal, the original organiser of the Gaikwad Oriental Series, I began to edit the Kumārapāla-pratibodha of Somaprabhācārya for that Series from a single palm-leaf Ms. found in the Pātan Bhandāra. Since then I earnestly hoped for an opportunity to edit the Dhūrtākhyāna.

It was in 1931, at Śāntiniketana, that the idea of the **Singhī Jaina Granthamālā** was conceived; and along with many other Sanskrit and Prākrit works, I decided to include the Dhūrtākhyāna also in the Mālā. The Mss. of it, seen by me many years back at Pātan, were procured; and the press copy was prepared. But as my hands were fully occupied with many a book in the press, its printing could not be begun till as late as 1941. The Pātan Mss. were lying with me for years together; and when the eminent organiser of the Pātan Bhaṇḍāras, Munirāj Śrī Puṇyavijayaji, specially pressed me to return the Mss., the happy moment of beginning its printing arrived. Consequently, today I feel happy to see that I am able to present this work to the scholarly world as the **19**th volume of the Singhī Jaina Granthamālā.

My original plan was to present the Präkrit text, to give a Hindi or Gujarāti translation, and to reproduce, in an appendix, the various relevant extracts from the Mahābhārata, Rāmāyaņa and Purāņas. In fact, some excerpts were collected too. In the meanwhile, an old and independent Ms. of Sanghatilaka's Sanskrit Version of the Dhūrtākhyāna also was found at Pātan; and I was tempted to include that also in this edition. When the Prākrit and Sanskrit texts were printed, I learnt that there is a Ms. of the Gujarati Bālāvabodha of the Dhū. in the Govt. collection of Mss. deposited at the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona. I got the Ms. and inspected Finding that the study of its language would be of special importance in it. grasping the earlier phases of Gujarāti and western Rājasthānī, I thought it proper to put that also in this Volume. Thus we have, in this edition, the critical text of the original Prākrit Dhūrtākhyāna of Haribhadrasūri of the 8th century, then the metrical Sanskrit version of the same by Sanghatilaka of the 15th century, and also an anonymous rendering in the Lokabhāşā of the 16th or 17th century.

I intended in the beginning to write an Introduction in Hindi or Gujarāti, giving some details about Haribhadra's date and the contents of this work. But when I learnt that this book was prescribed by the University of Bombay in the B. A. course for Ardhamāgadhī, I thought that the edition should contain a thorough study of the work for the benefit of students for whom it was being prescribed. With this idea in mind, I requested my learned friend Dr. A. N. Upadhye, whose study in this connection is both deep and wide, to contribute a critical essay on the Dhūrtākhyāna for this volume. In connection with his original paper on the Apabhramśa Dharmaparīkṣā which was submitted to the All-India Oriental Conference, Hyderabad, and of which he sent to me an advance copy, he had already made a close study of the Dhūrtākhyāna. It was very kind of him that he willingly and readily acceeded to my request. Though he was occupied with editing various important works, within a comparatively short time, he sent to me quite an original, thorough, critical and elaborate Essay on the Dhūrtākhyāna which forms a most important and valuable part of this volume. In his learned dissertation, Dr. Upadhye has made a searching study of the different aspects of the Dhūrtākhyāna with remarkable penetration and judiciousness. I am not aware of any such substantial contribution on this subject by any other scholar.

Dr. Upadhye has not, however, discussed in his essay any details about the life, date, and works of Haribhadrasūri; he left them to me. Some twenty-five years back, the date of Haribhadrasūri had become a point for difference of opinion and dispute between European and Indian scholars. After reaching a decision in this matter, in the light of various fresh materials, especially the specific reference in the Kuvalayamālā of Uddyotanasūri, I presented to the First All-India Oriental Conference, Poona (1919), an essay in Sanskrit, 'Haribhadrācāryasya Samaya-nirnayah' in which I definitely proved that Haribhadra flourished at the close of the 8th century and at the beginning of the 9th century of the Vikrama era. That great German Orientalist, the late lamented Dr. Hermann Jacobi, had discussed this topic thoroughly and with remarkable originality; and he could be looked upon as an authority on the subject. When he read my paper, with typical impartiality he relinquished his earlier view and wholly accepted my conclusion; and that was a great encouragement to me. In the Introduction to his monumental edition of the Samarāiccakahā, published in the Bibliotheca Indica, No. 169, Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1926, he has presented a valuable and learned discussion about the date, life and works of Haribhadrasūri; and therein he has accepted the date of Haribhadra proposed by me and stated the same as an indisputable fact, I am not aware of any other article than this which concisely presents the requisite details about Haribhadra : his date, life and works. The time has not come to add anything specially new; so I thought it proper to give in this Volume the relevant portion of Dr. Jacobi's Introduction of the Samarāiccakahā.

The following Mss. have been used for the edition of Dhürtākhyāna in Präkrit, Sanskrit and Old-Gujarāti.

#### Frākrit Text

- A = This was procured from the Sampha Bhandāra of Pātan. It contains eight folios, measuring  $11\frac{1}{4}$  by  $4\frac{1}{4}$  inches, of thin and strong indigenous paper, and written on both sides. On each page there are 16-17 lines. The hand-writing is clear and beautiful. One inch square unwritten space is left in the centre of the page. A print of the halftone block of the last page of it is given herewith.
- $B = This also belongs to the Samgha Bhandāra at Pātan. It contains 11 folios measuring <math>10\frac{3}{5}$  by  $4\frac{3}{5}$  inches, with 15-16 lines on each page; and its general condition is the same as that of Ms. A.

#### PREFACE

These two Mss. mention neither the name of the writer nor the date of writing. But from the general appearance, it seems that they might have been written at the close of the 16th century of the Vikrama era.

C = Besides the two above Mss., I got a modern Ms. from the Jñāna Bhaṇḍāra of Baroda. In all probability it is copied from Ms. A of Pātan, described above. The copyist has not rightly understood the old script; and he has often written v for v, v With such mistakes the text has become quite corrupt. The readings of this Ms. were not thought to be necessary, and hence they are not recorded.

### Sanskrit Text

- A = This belongs to the Samgha Bhandāra, Pātan. It contains 7 folios. It is quite old, and perhaps belongs to the close of the 16th century of the Vikrama era. In some places it shows corrections. A print of the halftone block of its last page is given herewith.
- B = It is a modern Ms. from the Baroda Bhaṇḍāra; some of its various readings are duly recorded. Perhaps due to hurry the copyist has altogether omitted the numbering of the concluding verses on the last two pages.

#### Gujarāti Text

- A = This Ms. containing 20 folios was procured from the Vijayadharmasüri Jñāna Bhandāra, Agra. From the concluding remark, it is clear that it was written in Vikram Samvat 1758 by Tārācanda, the pupil of Lakşmīcanda of the Kharatara Gaccha, at Udayapura, in Rajputana.
- B = This belongs to the Govt. Collection of Poona. It contains 17 folios. No information about the copyist etc. is available. From the language, however, it looks somewhat older than A.

In conclusion, I wish to express my sincere thanks to my loving and learned friend, Dr. A. N. Upadhye. But for his willing and friendly cooperation, it would not have been possible for me to present this edition in such an attractive and appropriate form.

CHAITRA SUKLA 13, V. S. 2000 MAHAVIRA JANMATITHI \* BHARATIYA VIDYA BHAVAN BOMBAY

JINA VIJAYA MUNI

### An appreciation and opinion of Dr. MANOMOHAN GHOSH, M.A., Ph. D., 'Kāvyatīrtha' Lecturer in Skt., Pkt., and Modern Indian Languages, Post. Graduate Department, Calcutta University.

Among the many series of works on the culture of ancient and medieval India published during the last few years, the Singhi Jain Series occupies a very prominent place. Dealing mainly with varied Jain texts of which the general students of Indian History up till now have no clear idea but which can in many ways supplement their fragmentary knowledge of different aspects of the cultural life of pre-modern India, this series should be reckoned as one of the most important contributions to Indological studies of our times. Sixteen volumes which have been published in the series during its brief existence of thirteen years include no less than fourteen texts and two tran-It is a pleasure to see that these texts edited with slations. great diligence and the most careful scholarship belong to varying subjects like history, biography, logic, philosophy, poetry and folk-tales etc. Acarya Sri Jinavijaya Muniji whose name is a guarantee to the worth and accuracy of any publication. be it in Sanskrit, Prakrit or Apabhramsa or similar language, is the general editor of the series and is mainly responsible for its remarkable success. Hence it is needless to discuss individually the merits of works published. Of the published works no less than seven have been edited by Muni Sri Jinavijayaji himself and among his colleagues he has wellknown and able scholars like Pandit Sukhlalji and Dr. A. N. Upadhye, who have edited some of the publications in the series.

A review of the names of more than forty works which are either in the press or under preparation for the series will convince any student of Indology of the various aspects of its worth and usefulness. The excellent printing and get up of the volumes already published very pleasantly turn our attention to the princely munificence of Sriman Bahadur Singh Singhi of Calcutta and Murshidabad (Bengal) who founded the series in memory of his late father Shri Dalchandji Singhi. The general editor as well as the founder of the series are to be very sincerely congratulated on their highly valuable publications It is our very earnest wish that the series may continue uninterruptedly with uniform success.

4-9-43.

(Sd.) Dr. Manomohan Ghosh.

# HARIBHADRA'S AGE, LIFE AND WORKS BY

### Dr. HERMANN JACOBI

#### 1. Haribhadra's Age.

The death of Haribhadra, the author of the Samarāicca-Kahā, is placed by Jaina tradition, which in this particular can be traced to the beginning of the 13th century A. D., in Vikrama samvat 585 or Vira samvat 1055, corresponding to 529 A. D.<sup>1</sup> This date, however, was recognised to be wrong,<sup>2</sup> since Haribhadra was acquainted with the philosophy of Dharmakīrti who flourished about 650 A. D. The discussion at that time turned on the following point. Siddharsi who finished the Upamitibhavaprapañcā Kathā on the 1st May, 906 A. D., calls, in the Pras'asti of that work, Haribhadra his *dharmabodhakaro guruņ*. Now the question arose whether Haribhadra was actually Siddharsi's teacher of the Sacred Law, or his *Parampurāguru*; in this investigation I took what eventually turned out the wrong side of the question.<sup>3</sup> Meanwhile the Jainas had been publishing a number of Haribhadra's works which were found to contain many interesting details.<sup>4</sup> But the evidence that finally settled the question of Haribhadra's age, was not furnished by any of his own works, but by the Kuvalayamālā, a Prākrit poem by Uddyotana, which he finished on the last day but one of the S'aka year 700,<sup>5</sup> equal to the 21st March, 779 A. D. In the Pras'asti of

- 1 At the end of an old MS. in Jesulmer of Haribhadra's Laghuksetrasamāsavrtti the date of the completion of this work is given in two verses as Vikrama 585, Jyestha su-di 5, Friday, Puşya nakṣatra; this corresponds to either Tuesday, 9th May 528 A.D., or Saturday, 28th April 529 A.D. In the first case the nakṣatra was Puṣya, in the second Punarvasu. As the week-day comes out wrong in both cases, the date must be considered spurious. The nakṣatra carries less weight, because for every day of the lunar year the choice generally lies between three nakṣatras only which may be ascertained beforehand.
- 2 See my edition of the Upamitibhavaprapañcā Kathā (Bibl. Ind) preface p. VIII f.
- 3 L. c. p. vi.
- 4 A full statement of all particulars about Haribhadra's works, their commentators and the latters' dates is furnished by Muni Kalyāņavijaya in the preface (granthakāraparicaya) to his edition of Haribhadra's Dharmasamgrahiņī, Devendra Lālbhāi's Jainapustakoddhāra No. 42, Bombay, 1918.
- 5 चोदसीए चित्तरस किण्ड्यवृक्षक्रिय i. e. Caitra ba-di 14. This date is interesting from the point of view of the calendar. As the Caitrādi-year invariably begins with the Šuklapakša of Caitra, the date in question would seem to be recorded according to the pūrņimānta scheme in which the dark fortnight precedes the bright one. But as Kielhorn (Ind. Ant. 1896 p. 271 f) has shown from dates in inscriptions that in connection with Šaka years almost always amānta months are used, the primā faciē interpretation of our date becomes extremely doubtful. In the year under consideration however there was an adhika Caitra which precedes the nija month; therefore in this case adhika Caitra ba-di 14 is the last day but one of the preceding year, if the year began with nija Caitra, as it ought to do, since the new moon initiating true Caitra immediately preceded by Meşasamkrānti. I, therefore, believe that Swamikannu Fillai's assertion (Indian Ephemetris Vol. I, part I, p. 65), "when there is an adhika Caitra that begins the year", applies only to modern usage.

#### HARIBHADRA :

his work Uddyotana mentions Haribhadra as his teacher in philosophy, praising him as the author of a great many books; the latter statement puts it beyond doubt that the great Haribhadra is the person intended.<sup>1</sup> The first who rightly understood the passage in question and recognised its chronological bearing, was Munirāj Shrī Jinavijaya. In his article "The date of Haribhadrasūri," read at the First Oriental Conference, November 1919, Poona, and published under the title "S'rī Haribhadrācāryasya Samayanirnayah" in the Jaina Sāhityasams'odhaka Granthamālā, Poona, he discusses the whole question, examines the evidence, and puts his case in the clearest light. The following remarks are chiefly based on his paper.

We cannot make out from Uddyotana's remark whether Haribhadra was still alive or not, when it was written; some twenty or thirty years before that date, however, he must have been actually teaching Uddyotana. We may, therefore, take that epoch, say, 750 A. D. or later, as the time of his literary activity, which considering the extraordinary number of prakaranas he wrote must have extended over twenty years at least. He quotes many authors, Brahmanical, Buddhist, and Jaina; a list of them containing thirty names has been drawn up by Jinavijaya, l. c., p. 11. From among them the following may be mentioned as interesting from a chronological point of view: Dignāga, Dharmakīrti, Bhartrhari (author of the Vākyapadīva, about 650 A. D.), and Kumārila. Haribhadra quotes, in his Vivarana of the Nandīsūtra, several passages from the Cūrni of that Sūtra by Jinadāsagani-mahattara without mentioning his name. The Curni was finished in the S'aka-year 598=677 A. D. To about the same time belongs Siddhasenadivākara whom Haribhadra quotes; for he uses, no doubt, Dharmakirti,<sup>2</sup> though he does not name him. We thus see that Haribhadra quoted many of the celebrities who flourished in the century preceding his own. On the other hand he does not quote S'ankara who rose so high above all his contemporaries that Haribhadra could not have ignored him if he had lived at or after his time. We, therefore, conclude that the tradition of S'ankara's school is right, or at least not far wrong, in placing his life in 788-820 A. D. Nor does Haribhadra, as Jinavijaya states (l. c., p. 13), discuss the Mayavāda. He knows the Advaitavāda, and refutes two or three different branches of it in his S'āstravārttāsamuccaya VIII, 1 ff,3 but none of them can be identified with the Māyāvāda.

- 1 The passage in which Haribhadra is referred to is corrupt as is shown by the metre. In the MS. of the Deccan College, the only one that seems to be available, it runs thus: सो सिदंतपुर पमाणनाएण जस्स इरिमदो बहुग्गंधसः वित्यरपयडसमस्या ॥ Munirāj Jinavijaya has satisfactorily emended the text and supplied the missing syllables as follows: सो सिदंतग्मि गुरू; पमाणनाए य जस्स हरिमदो । बहुगन्धसः ववित्यरपयडसमसस्य भा The first pāda is connected with the preceding verse which eulogises Uddyotana's teacher Virabhadra; and the following verse names his father Vatesvara who was a Kşatriya and became a Kşamāśramaņa. [It is interesting to note that my emendations have been lately confirmed by the readings of the Jessalmer Ms. — Jinavijaya.]
- 2 Dharmakirti qualifies pratyaksa as abhrānta (and Dharmottara expressly says bhrāntam hy anumānam while Siddhasenadivākara in Nyāyāvatāra 5 ff claims abhrāntatā for pratyaksa as well as anumāna; similarly he extends the distinction of svārtha and parārtha, which properly applies to anumāna only, to pratyaksa also, ibidem 12 f. Apparently he thought to improve on Dharmakīrti by a wholesale generalisation of nice distinctions! He is different from Siddhasenagani the author of the Tattvārtha-vrtti, because the latter quotes ad. II 25 from Haribhadra's commentary on the Nandīsūtra, see Kalyāņavijaya, l. c., p. 29; Haribhadra, therefore, intervenes between both Siddhasenas. It may be mentioned that the younger one quotes ad. I, 10 a verse by Ārya-Siddhasena, who may or may not be Siddhasenadivākara.
- 3 We should like to know more details about these early Vedänta schools than Haribhadra gives in the work quoted in the text; perhaps he may have given them in his svopajña-vitti to the passage in question. But the vitti is not available to me.

This fact is of considerable interest, since it proves that before S'ankara the Māyāvāda was practically unknown outside of the circles of Aupanisadas; for it had already been established by Gaudapāda, the guru of S'ankara's guru. It is not the intrinsic value of a system, or the originality of its teachings, that secured it notoriety throughout India, unless a great author and debator takes it up, systematically developes it in every detail, and successfully upholds it against all opponents. His fame then outshines that of the original thinker whose merit was apt to be overlooked.<sup>1</sup>

#### 2. Haribhadra's Life.

We know very little about Haribhadra from himself; all that he chooses to tell us is contained in the subscriptions to his numerous works. From them we collect the following information: (1) he obeyed the command of Jinabhata, an ācārya of the Sitāmbaras (S'vetāmbaras); (2) he was the pupil of Acārya Jinadatta, an ornament of the Vidyādhara kula (gaccha); (3) he was a spiritual son of the nun Yākinīmahattarā<sup>2</sup>.

Some more facts are directly manifested by the works of Haribhadra: (4) the use, in the last verse of most of his works, of the word *viraha*, which may have reference to some event in his life; (5) his intimate knowledge not only of Jainism, but also of the teachings of the heterodox systems, evinced in his Anekāntajayapatākā and his Ţikā of this work, as well as by his writing a commentary on Dignāga's Nyāyapraves'a; (6) his writing a great number of works.

It goes without saying that the contemporaries of Haribhadra knew a great deal more about his life than is contained in the above six items, but it is equally true that in oral tradition sober history is apt to be gradually changed into legend, a strange mixture of facts and fiction, which we can separate from each other with some degree of plausibility only in the simplest cases. Occasionally, however, tradition has stories of an entirely fictitious kind and originally unconnected with its hero. Thus, in the case in hand, curiosity was naturally excited, by point (4), to satisfy which a tale full of miraculous and wholly incredible incidents is added in the legendary life of Haribhadra; it exceeds in length all remaining parts of the legends taken together; but no reference to it is made in the oldest accounts.

Before analysing the traditions about Haribhadra's life, I enumerate the sources from which it is known.

(a) A short paragraph at the end of Municandra's Țikā of Haribhadra's Upades'apadăni; this Țikā was finished in Vikrama Samvat 1174 = 1118 A. D.; the passage in question has been printed by Kalyāņavijaya I. c. p. 5a and Jinavijaya I. c. p. 4, note 14.

(b) Eight gäthäs (52-59) in Jinadatta's Ganadharasärdhas'ataka, written between Samvat 1169 and 1211=1112—1154 A. D. The text is edited in A. Weber, Verzeichniss der Sanskrit-und Präkrit-Handschriften, II p. 982 f.

(c) The ninth S'riga of Prabhācandra's Prabhāvakacarita, finished Samvat 1334=1278 A. D. (the name of the author is wrongly given as Candraprabha on the title of the N. S. edition, 1909).

- 1 Thus the Dhvani-theory also seems to have been ignored until Anandavardhana composed the Dhvanyāloka, the commentary on the original treatise in Kārikās by an unknown author, and thereby brought this theory to such prominence, that nearly all later writers on Alamkāra have adopted it. I am, therefore, also persuaded that nihilistic and idealistic teachings which did exist in early Buddhism passed unnoticed by, and did not provoke the opposition, of Brahmanical philosophers until Nāgārjuna did for the Šūnyavāda and Vasubandhu for the Vijāānavāda, what long after them Śańkara has done for the Māyāvāda.
- 2 All the details stated above are given in the subscription of the Sisyahita, his Tikā of the Āvašyakasūtra; in other places only one or other detail is mentioned.

### XVIII]

#### HARIBHADRA:

(d) Rājas'ekhara's Prabandhakos'a, written in samvat 1405=1349 A. D. I know only some extracts of this work given by Kalyāņavijaya l. c. p. 8a, 24a.

(c) Sumatigani's Vrtti of the Ganadharasārdha-s'ataka (see b) finished samvat 1295 = 1239 A. D., occasionally referred to by Kalyānavijaya. An abridgement of Sumatigani's narrative is given in the Laghuvrtti of Sarvarājagani, see A. Weber, l. c. p. 988.

(f) Kathāvalī of Bhadres'vara, date unknown; mentioned by Jinavijaya and not otherwise known to me.

I shall now discuss some traditions about particulars of Haribhadra's life which may be regarded as substantially true.

The birth place of Haribhadra was, according to  $a_i \ c_i \ d_i$ ,  $c_i$  Citrakūta, the modern The ancient fort on the crest of the hill<sup>1</sup> was the capital of Mewar from about the Chitor. 7th century till 1569 when the seat of government was moved to Udaipur. Haribhadra probably lived in Chitor up to his initiation. But the scene of his later life as a monk seems to have been chiefly the neighbouring parts of Rājputānā and the kingdom of Guzerat. In that country, he became the teacher of Uddyotana<sup>2</sup>. Another indication of Haribhadra's activity in Guzerat is furnished by the annals of Jaina families; for according to Kalyānavijaya (l. c. p. 7b) they assert that Haribhadra organised the clan of the Porevals (Poruvāda, Prägväta ) and converted them to Jainism. Now we learn from the Neminahacariu<sup>3</sup> that the Poreval clan originated in S'rīmāla,4 that a warlike member of this clan Nimaya, was induced by Vanarāja (746-806 A. D. ) to settle in his new capital Anahillapāțaka, and that he there erected a temple of Reabha for the Vidyadhara-gaccha. Since Haribhadra, the organiser of the Poreval clan, belonged to the Vidyādharagaccha,<sup>5</sup> it is likely that that clan owed some sort of allegiance to that gaccha, whereof the statement in the Neminähacariu seems to furnish a proof. Although Haribhadra may have lived for the greater part of his life in Guzerat and the adjoining States of Rajputana, yet his wanderings as a Yati probably extended to far distant parts of India. Some hints about his knowledge of India may be gathered from the Samarāiccakahā. In this regard it is significant, that he does not lay the scene of any of his tales in one of the renowned towns of the Deccan or Southern India,<sup>6</sup> but all towns that can be identified<sup>7</sup> are situated in Northern India, from Hastinapura to Tāmralipta. He evinces a more intimate acquaintance with Eastern India between Ayodhyā

- 1 The phrase in e: S'rīcitrakūtācalacūlānivāsino clearly refers to the ancient town on the hill.
- 2 The verses 4 and 6 in the passage quoted from the Kuvalayamala by Jinavijaya (l. c. p. 15) prove that Uddyotana belonged to a line of yatis that flourished in Guzerat.
- 3 See my edition of the 'Sanatkumāracaritam' in the Abhandlungen der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschalten, Munchen, 1921, p. 152, der Bayerischen Arademie der Wissenschaften, Munchen, 192 l, p. 152, VIII-V, and the additional note on p. VI ib.
- 4 The modern Bhīnmāl in the extreme south of Mārvād. Uddyotanasūri names the town Siribhillamāla. It was the capital of Guzerat before the foundation of Anahillapātaka by Vanarāja, the first of the Cāpotkata or Cāvadā kings.
- 5 For he calls his teacher Jinadatta an ornament of the Vidyādharagaccha. According to the Harshanandanagani (1616 A. D.) he was a member of Vrddhagaccha, see Kalyānavijaya. 1. c. p. 11b.
- 6 The cause of his want of acquaintance with Peninsular India was probably the circumstance that in his time there were few, if any, Svetämbara communities south of the Tapti.
- 7 Those in the fabulous country of Aparavideha, of course, do not concern us.

and Campā; in these countries Buddhism was still flourishing, and it is, therefore, possible that Haribhadra there acquired his accurate knowledge of Buddhist philosophy, especially as taught by Dignāga and Dharmakīrti.

That Haribhadra was a Brahman by caste is stated in  $e^1$  and implied in c, where he is said to have been the purchita of King Jitāri.<sup>2</sup> Though there is no such statement in a and b, I have no doubt that it is true. For the learning peculiar to Haribhadra, apart from his comprehensive knowledge of Jaina Lore, is of such a kind as was natural with a Brahman, but quite exceptional in any other case, before Jain literature had received a new impulse from brahmanical science. Municandra (e) truly describes Haribhadra as one 'who had studied the eight grammarians, and was the leader of those whose understanding is hardened by the discussions connected with all philosophical systems.' It is chiefly due to Haribhadra, as will be explained in the next paragraph, that Sanskrit became the learned language of the S'vetāmbaras and replaced Prākrit in several departments of their literature; he would, however, not have had this influence unless he was a perfect master of Sanskrit, an accomplishment which required the customary training of the Brahman. And as regards his mastery in philosophical discussion conducted in Sanskrit it is such that his Anekāntajayapatākā with Tikā favourably compares with any philosophical work of the same age.<sup>3</sup> It may be added that the story of Haribhadra's conversion, the main features of which are already contained in our oldest source (a), points also to the same conclusion, that he was a Brahman by caste.

Haribhadra by acknowledging the nun Yäkini as his spiritual mother (dharmatoYākinīmahattarāsānu) unmistakably ascribes to her his conversion to the 'true faith', which may be regarded as a second birth. How his conversion was brought about, has been recorded by tradition which, in this regard, is probably substantially trustworthy. I shall first give an abstract of the narrative about this part of Haribhadra's life in the Prabhāvakacarita (IX, V. 4-47), and discuss it afterwards.

Haribhadra was purchita of King Jitāri in the town of Citrakūța. He was so proud of his knowledge, that he proclaimed that he would become the pupil of anyone whose proposition he could not understand, and this vow was engraved on a goiden plate he wore on his belly (11). Once a mast elephant having got loose and causing great havoc in the streets, Haribhadra fled before him and made his escape by climbing on a Jain temple. Thence he perceived the image of a Tirthakara whom he derided in a 'sloka (*vapur eva* tavā'casts, etc.) (18). On the next day when he went home about midnight, he heard an old nun reciting a gāthā<sup>4</sup> (cakkidugam Haripanagam, etc.), which utterly baffled his understanding. He asked her to explain its meaning, but she referred him to her guru (27). So he went to see him on the next morning. Passing on his way by the same temple he pronounced the same s'loka (*vapur eva tavā'caste*) changing one word so that it redounded to a praise of Jina. There he saw Jinabhaṭasūri who promised to teach him after he had been initiated. Haribhadra consented, and acknowledged mahattarā Jākinī as his

- 1 Kalyāņavijaya l. c. p. 5a, note.
- 2 Apparently a fictitious name standing for Jitaśatru, the usual name of the king in countless legends and stories of the Jainas, but never met with, as far as I know, in any historical document, inscription etc. The name is absent in the list of Guhila kings of Mewād, see Mabel Duff, Chronology of India p. 282.
- 8 It is true that the Buddhists possessed many other older works of a similar description but the Buddhists had come into contact with Brahminical schools of philosophy at a much earlier time, and many of their great writers have notoriously been *Brahmans* by casto.
- 4 This gatha occurs in the Avasyakaniryukti.

#### HARIBHADRA:

spiritual mother (42). He became so well versed in the sacred Lore of the Jainas, and his conduct was such that the *guru* appointed him his successor. Thus Haribhadra became a Ywgapradhāna (47).

Now all essential points in this account are confirmed by Municandra in the short passage<sup>1</sup> referred to above, with the exception of the incident of the *mast* elephant (v. 12-18) and, as said before, of his being the purohita of King Jitāri. There the same gāthā and the s'loka are mentioned and the name of the guru is given as Jinabhadra<sup>2</sup> apparently by mistake for Jinabhata; some minor details which may be regarded as embellishments, are omitted. It is, therefore, clear that the tradition, on the whole, is old, and as there is nothing extravagant or incredible in it, we may regard it as coming very near the truth.

It remains to mention a curious notice in the Ganadharasārdhas'ataka v. 5f: 'Some, misled by the similarity of the name, have erroneously asserted that Haribhadra was initiated and instructed by the Caityavāsins.' The Caityavāsins were those monks who abode in temples, while their opponents advocated the vasatinivāsa, i. e. the dwelling in the houses of laymen (paragrha). Both sections seem to have coexisted for some time and no separation to have taken place; S'īlānika<sup>3</sup> is said to have been a Caityavāsin. But in the end the *Caityavāsa* seems to have become discredited, and Jines'varasūri, the founder of the Kharataragaccha in Samvat 1080=1024 A. D., established the vasatinivāsa, at least for his sect.

It is just what might be expected that at a time when the enmity between those two sections, or rather sects, was at its height, the  $Caityav\bar{a}sins$  should have claimed the famous Haribhalra for one of their own, and their opponents should have repudiated their claim as null and void; but it is equally certain that if at Haribhadra's time the mode of the monks' lodging had already become an important point of controversy, there would have been no occasion to doubt which side of the question he espoused. The descriptions of monastic life in the Samarāicca Kahā illustrate the practice of monks in his days, or at least one he would approve of; according to it monks put up stay in a park near the town, where they were visited by the faithful and the curious. It is not said explicitly that they lodged there in a temple, but it seems to be excluded that Haribhadra supposed them to lodge in houses belonging to, or furnished by, laymen.

#### 3. Haribhadra's Works.

Haribhadra's fame as a yugapradhāna chiefly rests on his literary activity for the sake of Jainism; he is one of the most fertile authors in Jaina Literature as regards not only the number of the works he wrote, but also the diversity of the subjects he treated. Jinavijaya (l. c. p. 3) enumerates 26 works of H. as the most renowned ones, of which 20 have been edited and Kalyāṇavijaya (l. c. p. 13a-19a) has drawn up a list of all his works, actually

- 1 With it agrees the very short notice in the Laghuvrtti of the Ganadharasārdhasātaka v. 56 p. vi. It mentions besides the golden plate worn by H. on his belly.
- 2 The same form of the name is given in the Laghuvrtti mentioned in the last note.
- 3 In the Laghuvrtti v. 60. According to that source and to the Pattävali of the Kharataragaccha Śilānka was a successor of Haribhadra; but that is impossible, since the date of his Acārāngatīkā is said to be Śaka 798 = 872 A. D. or more than a century later than Haribhadra. According to the same source Śilānka's successor was Uddyotanasūrī, whose successor was Vardhamānasūri, the teacher and predecessor of Jineśvarasūrī. These statements are, no doubt, arbitrary and entirely wrong, for Uddyotanasūrī who wrote his great poem in 779 A. D., cannot have been removed by one teacher only from Jinendrasūrī, who flourished more than two centuries later. Apparently there was no solid, if any, tradition concerning the period which preceded the foundation of the Kharataragaccha.

preserved or known from quotations only; his list contains 88 entries. Great as this number is, still it would be but a small fraction of the total of his writings if tradition might be credited, which ascribes to him the composition of 1, 400 prakaranas.<sup>1</sup> This tradition is found already in our oldest sources of H.'s life (a and b), and it can be traced further back to 1068 A. D., being contained in Abhayadeva's Tikā on H.'s Pañcās'aka finished in that year. Now it is altogether incredible that the Jainas should have been so extremely careless in handing down the works of their famous author that a few centuries after his death even the titles of the great majority of them should have been forgotten, seeing that two works which he left unfinished, viz., Tattvārtha-laghuvrtti and Pinda-niryukti have nevertheless been preserved. Unless, therefore, the number 1,400 be a mere hyperbole without any special meaning, we must assume that in this connection prakarana does not denote as usually a separate systematic treatise, but is used in a more restricted sense so that the Pañcā'saka contains 50 prakaranas, Astaka 32, Sodas'aka 16, etc., but on what principle in other cases his books were split into a great number of prakaranas it is impossible to say.

Haribhadra wrote in Sanskrit and Prākrit both in verse and in prose, mostly of course in illustration of Jaina doctrines. Two sides of his literary activity deserve special notice, his commenting on çanonical works in Sanskrit, and his discussing with an intimate knowledge, the doctrines of Brahmans and Buddhists.

The old commentaries on the canonical books, the Niryuktis, Cūrņis and old Bhāsyas were written in Prākrit throughout.<sup>1</sup> As already mentioned (above p. iii) Jinadāsagaņi's Cūrņi on the Nandīsūtra was finished in 677 A, D., it is, of course, written in Prākrit. Haribhadra composed a commentary on the same Sūtra, making use of the work of his predecessor; but he wrote it in Sanskrit, as well as his remaining commentaries on Sūtras. As we know of no older Sanskrit commentary on any Sūtra in S'vetāmbara Literature, it is very probable that the innovation was due to Haribhadra; at least the new practice was firmly established by him, though it was further developed in the sequel. For according to Prof. Leumann (1. c. p. 582) Haribhadra commented on the text in Sanskrit but retained the kathānakas and certain other parts of the Cūrni in the original Prākrit; while Sīlānka who flourished more than a century later, translates such passages also into Sanskrit.

Haribhadra is emphatically the author of prakaranas in the technical meaning of the word; a prakarana is a systematic treatise in which the subject is exposed in a scientific form, unlike the unsystematic, either diffuse or episodical, treatment of subjects in canonical books; it may be in Prakrit, but as a rule it is in Sanskrit. This way of writing originated, of course, with the Brahmans in whose literature the models of it are to be found. The first instance of it in Jaina Literature is Umāsvāti's (or Umāsvāmin's) Tattvārthādhigamasūtra. which is claimed as their own by both S'vetambaras and Digambaras. The early literature of the latter, who do not recognise the existent Siddhanta, consists largely in the prakaranas both in Präkrit and Sanskrit. But the first undoubtedly S'vetämbara author of prakaranas some of whose works have come down to us, is Siddhasena-divākara. Haribhadra, who is removed from him, as shown above p. x., by two or three generations at the utmost, raised this branch of S'vetämbara Literature to a high degree of perfection. Though a few of these books of his are in Prākrit, the majority of them are in Sanskrit; they contain besides an exposition of the Jaina tenets, concise information about, and discussions or refutations of, the doctrines of opposite schools, Brahmanical and Buddhist. In this connection one of Haribhadra's works, though not a prakarana, has a peculiar interest, viz, his commentary on Dignāga's Nyāyapraves'a. Siddhasena-divākara had written a Nyāyāvatāra which unmistak-

<sup>1</sup> Rājašekhara (1349 A. D.) assigns him 1440 pr. and four writers (between the 15th and 18th century) 1444 pr. see Kalyānavijaya, l. c. p. 115 12s.

<sup>2</sup> See Professor Leumann's learned paper on Daśavaikālikasütra and Niryukti; Z. D. M. G., Vol 46, p. 581 ff.

#### HARIBHADRA !

XXII]

ably presupposes Dharmakīrti's Nyāyabindu<sup>1</sup> apparently intending to provide the Jainas with a manual of Logics. But it is decidedly inferior to the masterly work of Dharmakīrti which it was designed to supersede; nor had it any lasting success. Haribhadra, however, instead of attempting to find a logical school of the Jainas, induced them, by commenting on Dignāga, to study the original works of Buddhist Logicians. He apparently appreciated their paramount importance, though he controverted, at great length, some logical propositions of Dharmakīrti in his Anekāntajayapatākā. The interest of the Jainas in Buddhist Logics continued long after his time; we owe to it the preservation of Dharmakīrti's Nyāyabindu and Dharmottara's Nyāyabinduţīkā in the original Sanskrit; for the oldest manuscripts of these works and of a fragment of a commentary on the latter come from Jaina bhandārs.

The endeavours of great teachers like Siddhasena-divākara and Haribhadra to raise the S'vetāmbaras to the high level of Indian mental culture were brought to their conclusion by Hemacandra who provided them with admirable text books of the principal Indian sciences besides such standard works as more directly concerned their own creed. Thus the S'vetāmbaras, who for many centuries seem to have remained in a comparative obscurity as an exclusive sect, emerged to notoriety, probably about the seventh century, till at last they became, in Guzerat and the adjoining countries, the most influential religious community, and could even, under Kumārapāla, be called the established church of the kingdom.

But to return to the works of Haribhadra, it remains to be noticed that he usually employs, in the last verse of them, the word *viraha* which is known as his "mark". Kalyāṇavijaya (l. c. p. 20a ff) quotes in full the passages where this mark occurs. As exceptions he mentions (p. 12b.) the commentaries on the Das'avaikālika, Āvas'yaka Prajñāpanā-sūtras, 'etc.' and Samarādityakathā, Ṣaddars'anasamuccaya, Lokatattvanimaya 'etc.' It is, however, doubtful, whether the Samarādityakathā is an exception to the rule, since three Mss. read the word *viraha* in the last verse. (See the footnote to the passage in question). According to the legend the 'mark' *viraha* refers to Haribhadra's loss of his nephews and pupils Haṃsa and Paramahaṃsa whom, however, he does not mention anywhere in his writings as far as I know. There may be some truth in this report; but out of the simple fact, if fact it was, there grew a long story full of miraculous incidents, which as I have already said above, forms the greater part of the legendary life of Haribhadra. I subjoin an abstract of it as given in the Prabhāvakacarita. (IX 48-206).

His sister's sons Hamsa and Paramahamsa, who had left their father's house in disgust, became his pupils and were instructed by him in logic. They desired to go to a Buddhist town in order to learn their S'ästra, but Haribhadra foreseeing some disaster entreated them not to go; nevertheless they insisted on it and went, in disguise, to the Buddhist town, where they were instructed by a Buddhist muni. Having learnt the objection raised by the Buddhists against Jainism, they wrote a clever refutation of them on some leaves. A blast of wind carried the leaves away and they were brought to the Buddhist muni, who thus became aware of the presence of some Jaina Yati amongst his pupils. In order to find him out, he had the image of a Jina placed on the gateway so that all must trample on it on entering. But the two brothers painted with chalk the sacred thread on the breast of the image, and then they were not afraid to put their feet on it. Now the Buddhist muni employed another trick. All pupils were confined in an upper room and watches were placed with them; and when they were asleep he caused a number of jars to be rolled down from the upper storey. The sleepers started up in terror and invoked their istadevatās. The two brothers invoking the Jina, were thus found out; but they took a couple of umbrellas and spreading them jumped out of the window. They reached the ground without hurt or injury and fled at great speed. Buddhist soldiers pursued them. When they were approaching them,

<sup>1</sup> See above p. III note 1.

Hamsa advised his brother to take refuge with Sūrapāla, king of a nearby town, who would give him protection; he then fought his enemies of whom he killed a great many, but at last he fell and lied. (94.). Paramahamsa went to Sūrapāla who granted him his protection. Soon after the host of pursuers arrived and demanded the delivery of their enemy. The king, however, did not give up his protege, but proposed that a disputation should be held, and if their speaker should vanquish him, he might do with him as is proper in such cases. The Buddhist agreed under the condition that their opponent should remain behind a curtain, because they would not look at the face of one so infamous. The ensuing disputation was conducted, on the side of the Buddhists, by their S'āsanadevatā, who was invisible and spoke from the mouth of a pitcher. When the disputation had gone on for some days Paramahamsa despaired and invoked Ambā, the S'äsanadevatā of his gaņa. She told him, who had, all the time, spoken against him, and advised him how to act in the disputation. He was thus enabled to reduce his opponents to silence; then lifting the curtain he smashed the pitcher and charged the opponent with fraudulent behaviour. The king, conscious of the sinister intention of the Buddhists, said to them, the who after vanquishing me in battle will take hold of him, may do so without fault.' Then he gave Paramahamsa a wink, who guessing his meaning fled as quick as possible; he was, however, closely pursued by horsemen whom he eluded by the following trick. Seeing a washerman at work he persuaded him to get away as a sudden attack was coming<sup>1</sup>, and he himself resumed his work. When a horsemen enquired of him whether he had seen someone on that road, he pointed out the washerman at a great distance. The soldiers made the washerman prisoner and then returned. Paramahamsa having thus put off his pursuers proceeded in his journey and after a few days reached Citrakūta where he met Haribhadra. He told him what he and Hamsa had done; but when he had related his brother's death, he was so overcome with grief that his heart burst and died. (122). Haribhadra was deeply afflicted by the loss of his nephews and pupils. He conceived an intense hatred of the Buddhists and resolved to destroy them. (133). He went to Sūrapāla and told him his intention. Confident that Haribhadra would vanquish his opponents the King sent a messenger to the town of the Buddhists and persuaded their leader to sign a contract making it binding on him who should be vanquished in disputation, to plunge into a cauldron filled with boiling oil. (157.). The ensuing disputation turned on the Buddhist proposition of the impermanence of everything existent. Not being able to answer Haribhadra's arguments, the Buddhists had to undergo the punishment agreed upon. Some more Buddhist disputants suffered the same death. (168). The Buddhists reviled the Goddess Tārā for having caused their defeat by deserting them; but the goddess explained that it was the just punishment for their having killed Hamsa and Paramahamsa. (179).

Jinabhata, who had heard of Haribhadra's cruel revenge, sent him, through two bhiksus, the three gāthās which contain the argument of the Samarādityakathā (see p. 6, l. 2 ff). Haribhadra guessed his meaning; just as Agnis'arman's hatred had lasted through nine births, so his enmity towards the Buddhists would have the most disastrous consequences for him. He, therefore, took leave of the king and went to his guru; full of contrition he asked his forgiveness and did severe penance as ordered by him. (196). The goddess Ambā rebuked him for still grieving at his bereavement; it was due to his Karma that he left no successors in his line of teachers; his books would stand in their stead. (203). He composed the Samarādityakathā and according to the three gāthās sent him by his guru, and wrote 1,400 prakaranas; they all exhibit the mark viraha in remembrance of his two nephews. (206).

1 The text is corrupt in this place. A similar situation described in Parisista Parvan, 275ff.

#### HARIBHADRA:

The narrative in the Prabhāvakacarita is written to say the least, in a most unconventional style of poetry, rendered frequently unintelligible by the bad preservation of the text. It seems to be based on a popular story, perhaps on a kind of Rās. We are acquainted with another version of the same subject, similar on the whole but differing in many details, from an abstract, or rather skeleton of an abstract in Rājas'ekhara's Kathākos'a (1350 A. D.), the greater part of which has been given by Kalyāṇavijaya (l. c. p. 8b.). According to this account:

Hamsa and Paramahamsa made three strokes on the throat of the image of Jina, thereby changing it into one of Buddha. But remarking that the Buddhist guru regarded them with suspicion they pretended to be ill and escaped taking with them a Buddhist relic (kapālikā or karparikā). To recover the relic the king sent a troop of soldiers after them; but as H. and P. were sahasrayodhins<sup>1</sup>, they defeated them. Now the king despatched a large army, with which H. fought, while P. fled with the relic. H. was at last overcome by the great number of his enemies, who cut off his head and brought it to the King. But the guru insisted on recovering the relic. Therefore soldiers went in search of Paramahamsa. They discovered him sleeping before the walls of Citrakūta the gates of which were closed, and cut off his head. Haribhadra finding the corpse of his pupil and nephew, fell into a tremendous rage. He filled cauldrons with boiling oil and attracted by charm 1,440 Bauddhas through the air to be made a sacrifice of.<sup>2</sup> His guru sent him the three gāthās. Haribhadra's wrath was appeased, and he composed 1,440 granthas in atonement of his passion and sins.

A comparison of this version of the story with the preceding one is of interest for those who investigate the growth of popular tales; but a critical inquirer, will, no doubt, reject both alike as sources for the history of Haribhadra's life. Even that he had two nephews and pupils named Hamsa and Paramahamsa who perished in an attempt at learning by stealth the doctrines of the Buddhists, statements which form the foundation of the legend and are by no means incredible in themselves, must be accepted with the utmost reserve. The legendary account of the circumstances which led to Haribhadra's composing the Samarāiccakahā will be discussed in the next paragraph.

The rest of the account in the Prabhavākacarita contains some details not un worthy of remark. I, therefore, add an abstract of the concluding part of the 9th s'riga of that work (v. 206-221).

Haribhadra diverted the layman Kārpāsika from his partiality for the Bhārata and Itihāsa by telling him the five stories of rogues<sup>3</sup> (211), and won him over to the Jaina faith. He ordered him to make an edition of his works and to distribute them among the yatis (217). He caused other people to construct 84 temples on one platform (*ekapīthe*), 218. He also produced the Mahānis'īthasūtra from a decaying manuscript, (219). Ending his life by *anas'ana* he ascended to heaven (221).



<sup>1</sup> There is an allusion to their drstimelāpaka preceding the combat, the meaning of which is not clear.

3 This apparently refers to his Prākrit Kāvya Dhūrtākhyānam.

<sup>2</sup> This particular is apparently an adaptation of Janamejaya's snake-sacrifice in Mahābhārata, I, adhy. 51ff.

## THE DHURTAKHYANA: A CRITICAL STUDY

#### ΒY

#### Dr. A. N. UPADHYE, M. A., D. Litt.

#### 1. The Prākrit Text

THE DHURTĀKHYĀNA of Haribhadrasūri, whose career is to be assigned to the middle of 8th century A. D.,<sup>1</sup> is a typical literary work that has a special significance in Indian literature. It had already attracted the attention of E. Leumann some forty years back; and it is through him that N. Mironow could refer to some parallel stories in his dissertation<sup>3</sup> on the Dharmaparīkṣā of Amitagati. Some Gujarāti and Hindī renderings of Dhū. have appeared in India, but they were primarily concerned with the contents and not with the Prākrit text of that work.

This unique work, in Prākrit, is now brought out for the first time in this Singhi Jain Series having been critically edited by Ācārya Śrī Jinavijayji. Its Sanskrit version by Sanghatilaka and an Old-Gujarāti prose rendering by an unknown writer have also been included in this edition to facilitate its comparative study.

The Prākrit text, presented here, is based on three MSS., A, B, and C.<sup>8</sup> They do not bear any dates, but A and B, as described by the learned editor in his Preface, look quite old; and they may be assigned to 16th century A. P. C is quite modern and just a copy of A prepared by an inexperienced scribe; consequently the readings of C are not noted. A and B are sufficiently independent and do show some variants noted in the foot-notes. Confusion in numbering the verses is seen in both. B looks not only older but is also more accurate than A. The MS. A shows necessarily y-śruti for the udwrtta vowel (as I surmise, irrespective of the preceding vowel); while B very often puts a (or  $\bar{a}$ ) for the lost consonant: this possibly means that B is following the more strict rule about y-śrutt specified by Hemacandra in his Commentary,<sup>4</sup> and its so-called accuracy perhaps betrays the hand of some revisionist or copyist possessing a thorough mastery over Hemacandra's Prākrit grammar.

- 2 Die Dharmaparikë des Amitagati, Leipzig 1903.
- **3** For their description, see elsewhere.
- 4 See Siddha-Hemacandra, VIII. L 180.

Jinavijayaji: Jaina Sähitya Saméodhaka, vol. I, i, pp. 21-58; Jacobi: Samarāiocakahā, B. I., No. 169, Calcutta 1926, Intro.

These two MSS., A and B, do not show any recensions as such. If B omits a verse (V. 26) and A repeats some line (V. 47), this is due just to scribal lapse. The concluding verse (V. 125) cannot belong to Haribhadra. His composition ends with V. 124 which mentions *bhava-viraha*, Haribhadra's distinguishing mark; and the contents of the following verse do betray that it is composed by some zealous follower of Haribhadra. Certain portions of the Prakrit text are not specifically covered by the Sanskrit (III. 83b, 84; V. 115-18) and Gujarāti versions (III. 83-84; V. 111) which closely follow it; but looking to the context etc., this cannot be a conclusive proof to suspect those portions as later additions in Haribhadra's text.

At the kind request of Acārya Śrī Jinavijayji, I have attempted below a study of this important literary work. Before subjecting its different aspects to a critical scrutiny, it would be proper to give a short outline of the stories occurring in this work.

## 2. Summary of the Contents

Ι

Adjacent to the prosperous town of Ujjaini, there was a fine park of luxuriant vegetation with a garden-house. During their wanderings there arrived hundreds of confirmed rogues who had acquired specific proficiency in the tricks of their trade. They had five leaders : Mulaśri, Kandarika, Elāsādha, Śaśa and Khandapānā.<sup>1</sup> Every one of the first four had five hundred male cheats and Khandapānā had an equal number of female cheats, as their retinue. Mulaśri was their foremost chief. During the height of rainy season, when it was heavily pouring all over the week and when it was not possible to move about, all the rogues, shivering and hungry, began to deliberate as to who would give them a feast for the day. Muladeva<sup>2</sup> stipulated thus: 'Every one should address the chamber of cheats about what one had heard or experienced; and he who proves it to be an incredible lie should give food and drink to the gathering of rogues. But he who confirms the same by quoting parallels from various scriptures like the Purana, Bharata and Ramayana and convinces the audience, is not to give anything; and he would be made the lord of rogues.' They all agreed to this and requested Müladeva to narrate his experience (I. 1-16).

Mūladeva said: "I shall tell you what I experienced as a youth. Yearning for prosperity, I went to the abode of  $\overline{I}$  stora to receive the stream of Gangā on my head. As I was travelling with a gourd-kettle and umbrella in hand, a mad wild-elephant rushed at me like a moving mountain. I trembled with fear; I saw no shelter and escape; so I lept into the gourd-kettle that my life might be saved. The elephant was infuriated and followed me into the gourd-kettle where I deceived it by hide-and-seek for a period of six months.

2 Müladeva and Mülaśri are alternative names.

<sup>1</sup> For the convenience of general readers I have given the names in Sanskrit, though some of them appear to be un-Sanskritic in origin.

#### A CRITICAL STUDY

At last I escaped through the spout of the gourd-kettle; but when the elephant also followed me, its tail-hair was caught in the spout-hole. I approached, however, the ocean-like river Gangā, crossed the rushing stream, and reached the abode of Īśvara. Standing hunger and thirst, I received the stream of Gangā on my head for six months. Thence I came to Ujjainī and met you all here. If you accept all this as true, confirm it by evidence; if you think this to be a lie, well, give us a feast." Kaņḍarīka observed that one who has read Bhārata, Purāṇas and Rāmāyaṇa would not call this a lie (I. 17-34).

Kandarika continued: "What we hear in the Bhārata and Purāņa is reliable, therefore your experience also is true. 1) It is said that the Vipras are born from the mouth, the Kşatriyas from the arms, Vaisyas from the thighs and Śūdras from the feet of Brahman: that means the entire population could be accommodated in Brahman's body. 2). Umā could lead a married life with Isvara whose male organ could not be measured even by Brahman and Visnu. 3) The saint Vyāsa has narrated thus in the Bhārata: 'The chief queen of Virāta king had no progeny. She propitiated a certain saint who asked her to go to the bamboo-bower and eat the dish whereby she would have one hundred children. She did so and went home. After some time there arrived the saint Gāgali<sup>1</sup> and sat practising austerities under the bamboo-grove. He glanced passionately at the nude nymphs bathing in the lake; and out of his first drop of semen there was born Kicaka, as mighty as elephant. Thus were generated one hundred Kicakas who were all first accommodated in bamboos and who were later received by the queen.' 4) Gangā was deluded by Hara in his matted hair for one thousand years. 5) Vișnu, the creator of the world, was practising penance on the bed of ocean; the lotus-seated Brahman, with staff and gourd in hand, came out of the navel of Vișnu, but the lotus was caught stuck there. 6) It is narrated in the Bhārata that Brahman began practising penance for thousand years; and the jealous gods wanted to frustrate his efforts. Indra counselled thus: 'Woman has been a mighty temptress, and none except Mahāvīra could stand the arrows of Madana. Seeing the limbs of Umā, Pasupati became passionate even at the sacred hour of marriage; and Dronācārya was generated from the semen-drop fallen in a pot. Similarly eminent personalities like Gautama, Vasistha,<sup>2</sup> Pārāsara, Jamadagni, Kāsyapa, Agastya, Indra, Hara, Hari, etc. are all victims of cupid. So Tilottamā should be sent to tempt Brahman; and thus his penance would be destroyed." Accordingly Tilottama approached Brahman in a beautiful dress and began to dance before him like a Campaka flower. When Brahman began to gaze at her, she stood dancing by his right side. With a passionate craze for her Brahman developed four faces, as she shifted herself in different directions, When she jumped up, he had on his head a fifth face; but it was plucked by Rudra. Brahman was very much irritated and infuriated. Erom the drop

2 I have normalised these names according to the popular usage

<sup>1.</sup> Gagali perhaps stands for Garga.

of perspiration on his forehead was born Svedakundalin<sup>1</sup> who, at Brahman's order, overthrew Samkara (=Rudra=Īśvara=Hara) who ran to Vişnu for alms while the latter was practising penance in the Badarikāśrama. Vişnu opened the vein on his forehead, but the skull of Brahman, which Īśvara held, could not be filled. When Īśvara dipped his finger in the blood, a man Raktakundalin by name, was generated. At Rudra's behest Raktakundalin fought against Svedakundalin. Their long battle was declared drawn by the gods; one was given in charge of the Sun and the other in charge of Indra so that they might continue their fight in the Bhārata war. When the Sun was enamoured by Kuntī's beauty and cohabited with her, Karna was conceived by her and delivered through the ear. 7) It has been narrated in the Rāmāyana that Pavanasuta, a monkey, crossed the ocean by his arms at the order of Rāghava and reached Lankā. 8) For the benefit of the world, Gangā was invited from the heaven by gods; and her stream was received on the head by Īśvara for thousands of years.

If the above events are acceptable, then that you were accommodated with the elephant in the gourd-kettle  $(Nos. 1-3)^3$ , that you deluded it for six months (No. 4), that its tail-hair was stuck in the spout (No. 5), that you could escape through the spout (No. 6), that you crossed Gangā (No. 7), and that you received her stream on your head (No. 8) are all quite true. You are a good man endowed with wisdom and fame." (I. 35-98).

#### II

Being requested by Mūlaśrī, Kaņdarīka began to narrate his experiences: "Naughty as I was in my boyhood, my parents drove me out of the house. I reached a rich village on the frontier. There a Yakşa, Kamaladala by name, lived under the Vata tree; he possessed miraculous powers; and he bestowed boons on women. A fair was held in his honour, and people gathered together in gallant dress. I joined the fair, paid respects to the deity, and attended the horse-play. All of a sudden there was an attack from a well-equipped party of robbers; consequently one and all from the fair, even the horses, entered into a cucumber; the sports continued therein; and the party of robbers returned saying that the village had disappeared. There arrived a flock of animals; a goat swallowed that cucumber; a boa gulped that goat; and a crane flew up to the top of the Vata tree, after eating up the boa. A king was camping under that tree. Mistaking the crane's leg for a banyanshoot, a mad elephant was tied to it by the driver. When the crane took up its leg, the elephant was lifted up; and the driver raised a cry that some one snatched away his animal. Skilled archers rushed forth and shot the crane

<sup>1</sup> Both Sanskrit and Gujaráti Texts read Sita- or Śveta-kundalin which has no etymological justification. S'veta or sita is being used to balance against rakta, hut we have to remember that one is born from sveda and the other from rakta.

<sup>2</sup> In round brackets are given the numbers of legends, noted above, in the light of which particular remarks are said to be true.

dead. It fell lifeless on the earth like a mountain-peak. At the king's order, its stomach was opened; and vivisection was continued on the inner contents, till at last all the people, horses, etc. came out like a swarm of moths from a nest. They went to their respective places, and I went home. I have myself experienced all this; well, if you disbelieve, give us a feast." (II. 1-25).

Elāsādha, who had studied Purāna, Bhārata, etc., at once said that whatever Kandarika described was quite believable without any doubt. He continued to confirm the same: "1) According to the Vişnupuräna, in the beginning, when the five elements had not come into existence, there was the extensive ocean on the waves of which rolled an egg for a long time. It broke into two halves; and out of one half came this earth. Thus all the living beings, gods, men, beasts, etc. were found accommodated in that egg. 2) In the Aranya-parvan, Märkandeya has narrated his experience to Dharmaputra thus: 'At the time of universal deluge, when there was water everywhere and the world was devoid of living habitation, an extensive and lofty Vata tree was seen. A handsome boy was seated on a bed which was spread on its branches. The saint Mārkandeya stretched his arms to receive the boy<sup>1</sup> lest he might succumb to the floods. He visualised in the stomach of that boy the whole world with its mountains and forests. He entered the stomach and dwelt there for one thousand years, but could not reach its end: so he came out at last. Thus a child's stomach could contain the whole world.' 3) Devaki's waist was so slender that it could be caught in a fist; still Kesava dwelt in her womb; and his stomach contained the earth with its mountains, forests, etc. 4) Without being suffocated to death, all the people on the earth lived comfortably in the stomach of Vāsudeva and continued their activities such as agriculture, trade, battle, festivals, etc. There is no doubt that the world was contained in Kesava's stomach. Once Brahman claimed that he is the creator (karta), because the four Varnas emerged from his mouth, arms, thighs and feet; but Kesava retorted that his claim was ridiculous and that he (Brahman) was as good as his boy. Kesava continued that the earth and sky formed the cavity, the mountains his jaws and the ocean his tongue; if necessary, Brahman should enter his stomach and see that the whole world was there. Kesava further added that Brahman was ungratefully talking thus when he too was born from his navel. 5) At the time of Draupadi's self-choice, it is reported that the mighty bow of Drupada was presided over by deities. Drupada announced that he who strung his bow and shot the specified target would win the hand of Draupadi. Many kings failed to string it. That mighty Sisupāla<sup>2</sup> was nearly successful, but Krsna frustrated his attempt by putting into the bow some additional weight in the form of elephants, mountains, planets, etc. The bow was so heavy that even the earth could not bear the load. Arjuna, however, took the aid of Bhīma's palm for its support, ably strung the bow, and successfully hit the target.

2 The text reads Sasipala.

<sup>1</sup> If we read risino, then it would mean that the boy received that saint.

Draupadī was given to him in marriage. Thus the bow could contain mountains, planets, etc. 6) We hear in the Rāmāyaņa that Jaṭāyu, the vulture, fought with his wings against Rāvaņa who cut his wings in the fight; and when Jaṭāyu fell on the ground, he appeared as big as Mandara mountain. Sītā blessed him that his wings would be restored after meeting the messenger of Rāma. Hanumat was sent by Rāma in search of Sītā; and he mistook for a mountain that body of Jāṭāyu who gave him the necessary information about her. Jaṭāyu got the wings and flew to the heaven. So it means that a vulture can be as big as the Mandara mountain.

If the above events are true, then quite reliable are the incidents that the whole village could be accommodated in a cucumber (Nos. 1-3), that all the people could come out of it with their lives safe (No. 4), that a crane could contain all that (No. 5), and that there could be a big crane of that description (No. 6)." (IL 26-75).

## III

Elāsādha narrated his experiences thus: "In my youth, greedy as I was, I pursued the fad of metallic transmutation and wandered everywhere in search of the specific juice, etc. On getting the information, I travelled a long, distance with steps covering hundred Yojanas, lifted a huge lid-stone, took the necessary juice from the golden pond, put the lid as before, and then returned home. Through the favour of that fluid, I had plenty of wealth and abundant possessions : servants waited on me, bards praised me, and young girls sang and danced round me. I gave gifts to the poor and needy. Hearing about my wealth, a party of well-equipped robbers besieged my palace at night. thought, I must defend my well-earned property. With a bow in hand fought against them and finished many of them on the spot. The rest of them attacked me at once, cut my head, put it on the badara tree, and went away. after robbing all my property. My head comfortably began eating the badara fruits, and this was seen by people early in the morning. They realized that it was still living and joined all my limbs; and here I stand before you in person. If you find my personal experience unreliable, well, then give a feast." (III. 1-19).

Sasa affirmed that Elāşādha's experience was quite reliable, because there are similar cases in Purāņas, Bhārata and Rāmāyaņa: 1) Jamadagni suspected the fidelity of his wife Reņukā who was enamoured of king Asvāpahrta and ordered his son [Parasu-] Rāma to cut her head. He was pleased with his son Rāma who carried out the order and asked him to demand a boon. Rāma solicited that his mother should be restored to life; and she was made aliveby Jamadagni. 2) The two halves of his body were conjoined by Jarā; and laier on he became the great king Jarāsamdha. 3) The gods conspired to destroy the two mighty brothers, Sunda and Nisunda, who proved a terror to them. They contributed bits from their bodies and thus created an extremely beautiful nymph, Tilottamā by name. Humbly she received orders from gods and staried on her mission to destroy the two brothers.; Seeing, her. tempting limbs, Sunda and Nisunda grew passionate for her; they fought mutually for her sake and destroyed each other. Thus the gods could create Tilottamä by putting bits from their own bodies. 4) In his childhood Hanumat was instructed by his mother Añjanā that he was to eat red fruits whenever hungry. He mistook the sun for a fruit; and when he jumped up to snatch the solar orb, his body was reduced to pieces. Seeing his wife lamenting and the son killed, Pavana became enraged and retired to Pātāla. There was no breeze in the world, and people were very much worried. The gods approached and appeased him. The limbs of his son were put together, and he was made alive. His chin was missing; so in the absence of it, he came to be called Hanumat. Thus Hanumat could be made alive by putting together bits of his body. 5) When Rāma went to Lankā, a fierce fight ensued between his army and that of Rāvaņa. In course of fight prince Lakşmaņa fell heavily wounded, and Rāma began to lament. Hanumat, however, went to Dronagiri and brought Niśśalyā herb. Not only Laksmana was cured thereby but all the monkeys that were lying wounded were also healed and made alive. 6) Siva and Pārvatī were enjoying amorous pleasure in a Himālayan cave for a long time; and all the gods were anxious, because they did not want any progery from them. After long deliberations, they decided that Agni, obliging as he was, should go to Isvara who might then perhaps abstain from coition. Agni was rather unwilling, because Siva was a terrible and uncongenial fellow. Indra, however, prevailed upon Agni who was assured that Siva would certainly behave better in the company of Umā. Though intervened by Umā, Īśvara was enraged to see Agni there and forced him to drink his semen. Agni was in flames as it were due to that semen; he rushed to the ocean; and he felt some relief only after vomitting it there. It is said that jewels arose out of this semen. Whatever bit was still remaining in his stomach Agni vomitted in a lotus-lake. Six nymphs, called Krttikā, were bathing in that lake; and they happened to conceive at the contact of semen. After the necessary period of time, they delivered the head, arms, thighs, trunk, etc. When they brought together the limbs with surprise, they got joined mutually at their proper places; and thus Mahāsena was born. He leads the rigorous life of a celebate and dwells in a forest in the South. Thus the limbs produced from different wombs could get joined and Mahāsena could be produced. 7) Rahu has no head; still he moves in the sky and swallows (or eclipses) the sun and the moon. 8) Visnu, in the guise of a Dvija, begged of Bali only three steps of the ground, pervaded thereby the whole world, and deprived him of the earth. 9) It is reported in the Rāmāyaņa that Hanumat, when Laksmana fell wounded in the battle between Rāma and Rāvana, brought the lofty mountain Drona with its vegetation, as he wanted some herb. 10) Madhumatha, in his incarnation of Varāha, lifted up the earth with its mountains, trees, etc.

If the above events are true, it is quite believable that the limbs of your body could get joined (Nos. 1-6), that your head could taste badance fruits (No. 7), that you could have a step measuring one hundred yojanas (No. 8), and that you could lift up the heavy stone of the lid (Nos. 9-10)." (III. 20-98).

Sasa narrated his experience thus: "I have my field near the mountain, and once I went there from my village during autumn. An elephant rushed on me from the mountain. I trembled with fear and somehow managed to climb a lofty sesamum tree. The elephant whirled round the tree; sesamum seeds were showered all round; and when they were crushed under its hoofs, there was a flood of sesamum oil. Stuck deep in mud, the elephant died of hunger and thirst. I breathed a sigh of relief, got down the tree, drank some ten pots of sesamum oil and ate a load of seed-stuff, prepared a bag of that elephant's hide, filled it with oil, and entered my village after placing that bag on a tree outside. I asked my son to bring the bag; but he could not see it; so he pulled out the tree and brought it home. This is my personal experience; well, if any one does not believe all this, he should entertain us with a feast." (IV. 1-13)

Khandapānā said that there were parallels to Śaśa's experience in the Bhārata, Rāmāyaņa etc. She continued to confirm the same: "1) It is reported that, at Pāțaliputra, a drum was carved from the wood of māşa plant. 2) According to the Bhārata the ichor fluid of elephants flowed like a flooded river, washing down elephants, horses and chariots. 3) Bhimasena killed the demon Baka at Ekacakra and gulped pots of rice, a buffalo and a thousand jugs of wine. 4) It is said that Kumbhakarna, whenever he woke up, ate hundreds of men and drank a thousand pots of water. 5) According to Purāņas, the saint Agastya drank the ocean in order to destroy Asuras. 6) On her way from heaven, the river Gangā passed through the matted hair of Hara. When she flowed through the hermitage of Jahnu, he gulped and retained her in his stomach for one thousand years. 7) The saint Kāśyapa had two wives, Kadrū and Vinatā. These cowives once betted : the loser should either become a life-long slave of the other or give nectar for her freedom. Vinatā became the slave of Kadrū who tried to disgrace her now and then. She was always miserable. Once she laid three eggs: the first produced a half-grown scorpion, and the second, the thighless Aruna who later on became the charioteer of the sun. She learnt a lesson, and broke the third egg after it was fully ripe. The mighty Garuda was produced; and he proved a great danger to the sons of Kadrū who, on that account, constantly teased Vinatā. On her being requested by Garuda, Vinatā explained to him how she was miserable due to her slavery and how she would be freed by the nectar the source of which was known to his blind father, now staying in the Badari Asrama. He went to Kāśyapa who recognised him by touch. According to his father's wish, that hungry Garuda satisfied his hunger by eating a huge elephant and an equally huge tortoise which were constantly disturbing the lake by mutual quarrel. On his way back he saw a huge, extensive Vata tree under which millions of Valakhilya saints, the progeny of Brahman, were practising penance.

Garuda sat on its branches, but they cracked easily. Fearing that the saints underneath might be killed, Garuda picked up that tree in his beak, flew across the sky to the great surprise of gods, and dropped it on an island which is known as Lankā and which is the abode of Rāvana. Once more he feasted on the Nisādas. He learnt from his father that the nectar-pond is situated beyond the hells and Pātāla; it is surrounded by burning flames; and that it is constantly guarded by gods, etc. One has to offer oblations to the flames, and then perhaps it might be obtained. He went there, satisfied the flames, and picked up the nectar-pot. Gods equipped with arms and weapons pursued him; and a heavy battle took place with Garuda on one side and the rest on the other. When the party of gods was routed away, Indra came on the field and used his missile without any effect. He invoked the help of Vișnu who pursued Garuda with his Cakra. Saints, planets, etc. approached Vișnu and told him that it was below his dignity to follow Garuda like this. Treaty was effected between the two, and Garuda became the banner of Vișnu. Vinatā was set free by that nectar. Thus the elephant and tortoise could be killed and the banyan tree could be carried by Garuda. 8) When it was heavily raining for a week, Madhumatha lifted the mountain Govardhana. 9) While building the dam the monkeys brought mountains from a long distance and dropped them in the 10) In destroying the park Hanumat easily rooted out big trees. ocean.

If the above details are true, it is quite believable that there could be such a big sesamum tree (No. 1), that there could be a stream of oil (No. 2), that you could eat a load of seed-stuff and drink ten pots of oil (Nos. 3-6), that you could carry the bag made of elephant-skin (Nos. 7-8), and that your son could pull out the tree and carry it home (Nos. 9-10)." (IV. 14-94).

## V

i) Then that Khandapānā, the authoress of the Arthasāstra, suggested that all others should humble themselves before her to get a feast. Of course they were too proud to fold their hands to her. She smiled and began to narrate her experience: "In my youth I was enchantingly beautiful. After the monthly bath, while sleeping in the veranda, I was enjoyed by the passionate Wind. Immediately I delivered a son; and after taking my leave, he went away somewhere. Tell me whether it is a lie; if it is true that the Wind can procreate a son, no barren woman would be found in this world." (V. 1-10).

Müladeva confirmed her experience thus: "1) According to popular scriptures, the Wind procreated Bhīmasena from Kuntī and Hanumat from Nilā. 2) Vyāsa, born from Pārāśara and a fisher-woman, Yojanagandhā by name, walked away soon after his birth, instructing his mother to remember him on occasions. Through the favour of that saint, Yojanagandhā became a virgin again, was married to Santanu and had a son Vicitravīrya who died without issues. She remembered Vyāsa fearing that the family might become extinct; and he procreated Pāņdu, Dhṛtarāṣṭra and Vidura, but cursed his bed-mates in

### DHURTAKHYANA :

the end. In the light of these events, it is quite natural that the Wind generated a child in you; and it walked away immediately after delivery." (V. 11-19).

ii) Khandapānā narrated further: "Once my friend Umā entrusted me with miraculous syllables whereby I attracted the burning Sun who procreated in me a mighty son; but no harm was done to me." 3) Kandarīka said that it was quite possible, because Kuntī too was not burnt in any way by the Sun [who generated Karna from her]. (V. 20-23).

iii) Khandapānā added further: "A second time I attracted Agni, the mouth of gods, by whom a brilliant son was born to me; and I was not burnt in the least. How is it?" Elāşādha silenced her thus: "4) The wife of Yama was being enjoyed by Agni; but on seeing that her husband arrived there, she swallowed Agni. Yama gulped her, and entered the assembly of gods who welcomed him as 'Mr. yourself, the third'. He vomitted his wife; and she vomitted Agni who ran into the forest being pursued by him. The elephants did not give Yama any report<sup>1</sup>, so he deprived them of their speech. Thus if Yama's wife was not burnt, how could you be burnt?" (V. 24-31).

iv) Khandā added again that once she attracted Indra by whom she had a son; and she asked how Indra could come to her in preference to celestial nymphs. Sasa told her that it was quite possible: 5) Ahalyā was enjoyed by Indra who thereby suffered heavily at the hands of Gautama and whose consequent bodily pores became his thousand eyes; 6) secondly, Kuntī also got a son by Indra. (V. 32-37).

v) On her inquiry, Müladeva told Khandapänā that she was the daughter of Nāgaśarman and Somaśrī of Pātaliputra and her Gotra was Gautama. Khandapānā complained that her identity was confused, and narrated a bit of her biography thus: "My name is Dagdhika, the artisan's daughter, well-known among royal washer-men. My profession has been low, though our family is quite prosperous. Thousands of washermen worked under me; and with them, one day, I went to the river with a cart-load of clothes which were all washed clean and spread in the sunshine. Suddenly they were blown off by a whirl-wind. I asked the servants to run away and took the responsibility on me. Lest the king might punish me, I assumed the form of a lizard and reached the city park at night. Early morning I feared, I might be killed for a dish. After a good deal of thought, I changed myself into a mango plant. After day-break I heard the royal drum declaring all washermen to be excused; and once again I became a woman. The leather straps, etc. from the carts were eaten at night by jackals and dogs; but my father procured a mouse-tail out of which he got all of them once more prepared." Sasa said that it is quite believable that the tail of a mouse was so big as to prepare straps, etc., 7) because we know that the male organ of Siv. was immeasurably long, and 8)

## 1 See the Sanskrit version of the Dhurtakhy.

because the tail of Hanumat was so long as to encircle the city of Lankä which was burnt by it on its being covered with clothes, sprinkled with oil and ignited. He further added: 'It is quite possible that you became a lizard and a mango plant, because we hear in the Puränas thus: 9) Gandhärikävara transformed himself into a Kurabaka tree. 10) Then there was that mighty king Nahuşa who vanquished Indra; but being cursed by Brhaspati, he became a boa in a forest. When Pändavas were banished into wilderness, the boa swallowed Bhīma. Dharma gave necessary replies to the boa which consequently vomitted Bhīma and which became the king at last.'

Khandapānā requested the rest of the rogues to accept quietly her authority, and then she would entertain them with a feast. In case she were to defeat them actually, they would be as worthless as a broken cowrie. The rogues thundered in reply that no one, not even a divine rogue, can vanquish them. With a view to put them to shame, she continued her biography and addressed them further: "With king's permission, I visited many places in search of those clothes blown off by the wind. I had lost, moreover, four of my servants since long; and in search of them I came here. I find now that you are those very servants and that you are wearing those very clothes. Either you accept this as true and be my slaves; or if you do not, well, give the feast." All of them felt ashamed; as they were outwitted, they accepted her as their chief; and they requested her to entertain all the rogues during the rainy week. (V. 38-78).

Khandapänä smiled to see that their manly vanity was smashed; but when they all insisted on getting a feast from her, she agreed to that. She went to the burial ground which presented a hedious and horrible spectacle. She managed to get a dead baby which was physically intact and lately dropped there. After bathing it and muffling it in rags, she entered Ujjaini, approached a banker's mansion, and addressed the busy banker that she was a Brāhmin's daughter, that she had lately delivered a son, and that she was badly in need of money. The busy banker got irritated and asked his servants to drive her out. When force was used, she fell on the ground; and she started beating her breast etc. and lamenting loudly that her son, the only hope of her life, was killed by the banker who was so proud of his wealth. The banker was upset, and with all efforts tried to console her, requesting her not to raise a cry. He gave her an ear-ring and asked her to remove the child quietly. She removed the dead body, and by that banker's gift everything was allright. After throwing the dead babe, she went to the jewel-market jubilantly; she sold the ring and gave a rich feast to the rogues who were shivering with cold. They all heartily congratulated her on her skill and generosity, and openly confessed that woman is wiser by birth than man. (V. 79-110).

In this world various personalities like Candra, Indra, Vāyu, Sūrya, Agni and Dharma are all oppressed by passions. According to the scripture, Kṛṣṇa is ubiquitous and all-pervasive<sup>1</sup>; then where is the need of his thinking about those (Gopīs) who are anxious for him? There are many other unbelievable legends current in the world, such as, the origin of (Pavana) Gaṇapati<sup>s</sup> from the limbs of Pārvatī, the births of Brahman, Tilottamā, Urvasī, Drona and Ṣaṇmukha; the release of Narakūbara through Kṛṣṇa; the procreation of Svedakuṇḍala through anger and that of Nara (i. e., Raktakuṇḍala) from a pot of blood; and the birth of Yādava Balarāma through Māyā's mediation. Pārvatī was the daughter of mountain: if mountains are to deliver children, the world would be too small for human population. These and such other stories from the Bhārata and Rāmāyaṇa are like artificial gold; and they do not stand the test of rational scrutiny. The popular scriptures do not contain any attractive stuff. Leaving them, therefore, one should follow the path preached by the Sarvajña with a steady and pure faith. (V. 111-22).

This fine Kathā, with its various legends, has been narrated to the pious residents of Citrakūta<sup>3</sup>; it has been composed by Haribhadrasūri for purifying the faith; and may it bestow bhava-viraha on the faithful who hear and narrate it. (V. 123-24).

Let Haribhadra, the Śvetämbara Sūri, who has such a faith in the brilliant Jaina doctrine, bestow welfare on us. (V. 125).

# 3. Purpose, Technique and Form

Whatever may be their historical explanation and symbolical signification, there are innumerable legends, scattered all over the epics and Purāņas, which, on account of some detail or the other, are unnatural, inconsistent, exaggerated and unacceptable to reason. The 'precious blossoms of immortal poetic art and profound wisdom' seen in the epics are often overpowered by an array of irrational legends. "It is only unpoetical theologians and commentators and clumsy copyists who have succeeded in conglomerating into a heterogeneous mass parts which are actually incompatible and which date from different centuries."4 What is true of the Mahābhārata is much more true about the Purānas which are well-known for their sectarian multiplication of myths and legends and hyperbolic exaggeration of details. Even from amongst the custodians and adherents of these texts the revisionists have tried their hands on them partly to make the legends conform to the changing ethico-moral notions and partly to rationalise and humanise them by removing glaring inconsistencies etc. This is amply borne out by the recensions and textual variations detected by critical editors.<sup>5</sup> The episode of Draupadi's

- 4 Winternitz: A History of Indian Literature, I, p. 326.
- 5 The Adiparvan, Poona 1933, Prolegomena, p. 43f.

<sup>1</sup> Some of these verses present difficulties for interpretation.

<sup>2</sup> Is there any implication here that Ganapati was the leader of the party of Maruts?

<sup>3</sup> The phrase Cittaida-dugga-siri clearly refers to the ancient fort on the crest of the hill. For its description, see Peterson's Reports, I, pp. 46 f.

marriage and the way in which the later Kāvyas etc. use the Purāņic legends sufficiently illustrate the above tendencies. We cannot expect the zealous follower to ridicule in any way the Purāņic legends or to raise a moral protest against the sins in the world of gods, held by him in reverence. That Kannada poet Sarvajña is an exception. He has spared none from his severe satirical stings. According to him our Mahābhārata is a tale of brothers killing brothers and of looseness of marriage institution ( $h\bar{a}dara$ ); and those who respectfully attend to it degrade themselves.

Haribhadra's attack, in the Dhūrtākhyāna, is directed against such legends found in the epics and Purāņas. His conclusion is threefold: the popular scriptures, when one scrutinizingly studies them, are found to contain worthless stuff that looks smooth only from outside (V. 120); the legends narrated in them do not stand the test of rational scrutiny and are unacceptable like artificial gold (V. 119); and the pious, who believed in them so far, should abandon them and follow the path of Sarvajña (V. 121). Indirectly, but inevitably, these works being religious scriptures, the entire Purāņic religion and its followers are being exposed to criticism; the former for having contained such legends and the latter for their acceptance of the same.

Logical polemics and witty criticism are the two recognised modes of attack; their ultimate purpose is to knock down the opponent; but their ways and intermediate tactics are different, and the weapons in their armouries and their movements on the field are dissimilar. The former necessarily requires an elaborate and systematic seige and a trained audience to pronounce the judgement; while the latter needs alert wit and adroit movements. If one is a trenched battle, the other is a guerilla warfare. The success of the former leaves behind acrimony that might involve endless attacks and counter attacks; but that of the latter wounds the opponent without leaving a scar and pricks him without bleeding. Logicians can be trained for the purpose, but a witty critic is an artist by birth.

Haribhadra is an eminent logician, no doubt; but, in this work, on the whole, we see him more as a witty critic assailing the citadel of Purāņic legends. The plot of the work is simple. It is a story of five rogues each of whom recounts an impossible, fantastical experience which is confirmed to be true by one or the other colleague after quoting parallels from the Mahābhārata; Rāmāyaṇa, etc. In the last chapter this mode of presentation is slightly modified. It is their female accomplice that narrates her experiences; and the remaining take their respective chances to confirm the same by Purāņic parallels. She narrates her biography, and suddenly puts them on the horns of a dilemma: if they accept her statement, they have to submit to her as slaves; and if they refuse, they have to give a feast to the whole gathering. They find that they are outwitted; her superiority is accepted; she trickily extracts wealth from a merchant by charging him with the murder of her child; and all the rogues get a grand feast from her. To this plot, which is mainly made up of conversation with casual descriptions, there is a Preamble (I. 1-16) which introduces the rogues, their stipulation and their agreement, besides the opening mangala; and there is also a Conclusion (V. 111f.) which summarily denounces some of the legends, besides giving the author's conclusion, aim and personal details.

The improbable and fantastic tales narrated by the rogues as a part of their experience, are consistent and compact units. Even the elements of exaggeration are quite in keeping with medieval tales. If they were not followed by any legendary confirmation, they could be easily passed off as amusing and adventurous tales, quite innocent in their constitution. But when the author holds them up side by side with the Puranic legends, their sarcastic potency becomes at once apparent. The tales by themselves speak highly for the skilful inventiveness of Haribhadra. As they stand, I think, they are composed by Haribhadra himself, keeping in view the Puranic legends to be denounced. The stories thus are his creation; but quite well known in Indian literature are some of their motifs: namely, a wild elephant pursuing a traveller; people holding a fair in honour of a Yaksa; a robber-party attacking a caravan; an ambitious youth pursuing the mirage of metallic transmutation by procuring some juice from an inaccessible pond; etc. It is the use of these motifs that at once lends a popular air and a sort of reality to the tales of rogues. The first four stories illustrate the author's ingenuity as also the richness of his imagination, whereas the story of Khandapānā shows his wit and fund of worldly wisdom.

Haribhadra has a special fascination for popular stories of humorous and satirical style. Some of them in Präkrit he quotes in his commentary on the Daśavaikālikasūtra.<sup>1</sup> In one we have a group of Kārpatikas; they begin narrating their fantastical experiences; but a rational Śrāvaka tries to expose the weakness in the narration.<sup>3</sup> In another context he quotes a fine dilemmatic short story which reminds us of the dilemma of Khandapānā.<sup>3</sup> In a certain town there was a Parivrājaka who roamed about with a golden bowl declaring that he would give it to one who narrated to him an unheard event. A Śrāvaka addressed him thus, 'Your father owed to my father one hundred thousand coins: if you know this already, please return the amount; but if you do not know it, please give the golden bowl as declared by you.' He also quotes

3 एगम्मि नगरे एगो परिव्वायगो सोवण्णएण खोरएण तहिं हिंडइ। सो भणइ। जो मम असुयं सुणावेइ तस्स एयं देमि खोरयं। तत्थ एगो सावगो। तेण भणियं। तुज्झ पिया मम पिउणो धारेइ अणूणयं सयसहस्सं। जइ सुयपुब्वं दिज्जउ अह न सुयं खोरयं देहि॥

<sup>1</sup> Śrī Daśavaikālikasūtram with Haribhadra's commentary, Bombay 1918, pp. 54, 56f.

<sup>2</sup> एगम्मि देवकुळे कप्पडिया मिलिया भणंति । केण में भमंतेहिं किंचि अच्छेरियं दिट्ठं । तत्थ एगो कप्पडिगो भणइ । मए दिट्ठं ति । जह पुण पत्थ समणोवासओ नत्थि तो साहेमि । तओ सेसेहिं भणियं । णत्थित्थ समणोवासओ । पच्छा सो भणइ । मप हिंडंतेणं पुव्ववेयालीय समुद्दस्त तडे रुक्खो महद्रमहंतो दिट्ठे । तत्स्य गा साहा समुद्दे पहट्टिया पगा य थले । भणइ । मप हिंडंतेणं पुव्ववेयालीय समुद्दस्त तडे रुक्खो महद्रमहंतो दिट्ठे । तत्स्यं गा साहा समुद्दे पहट्टिया पगा य थले । तत्थ जाणि पत्ताणि जले पडंतेणं पुव्ववेयालीय समुद्दस्त तडे रुक्खो महद्रमहंतो दिट्ठे । तत्स्यं गा साहा समुद्दे पहट्टिया पगा य थले । तत्थ जाणि पत्ताणि जले पडंति ताणि जलचराणि सत्ताणि हवंति । जाणि थले ताणि थलचराणि हवंति । ते कप्पडिया भणंति । अहो अच्छेरयं देवेण भट्टारपण णिम्मियं ति । तत्थेगो सावगो कप्पडिओ । सो भणइ । जाणि अद्धमज्झे पडंति ताणि किं हवंति । ताहे सो खुद्धो भणह । मया पुठ्वं चेव भणियं । जह सावओ नत्थि तो कहेमि । pp. 54-5.

#### A CRITICAL STUDY

a fine satirical verse in Sanskrit, possibly summarising some earlier conversation in Prākrit, made up of questions and answers. It is said to be put in the mouth of a Dhūrta and addressed to a Buddhist monk who had a net-like garment on his person. The verse may be freely rendered thus': "Sir, why is your garment thread-bare? Well, it is for netting fish. Do you eat fish? I take them when excited by wine. Do you drink wine? When I mate with a hetaera. Do you visit a prostitute? After kicking on the necks of my enemies. Whence have you enemies? Those whose houses I have broken into. Are you a burglar? Just for the purpose of gambling. Are you a Rogue? Because I am a slavegirl's son." These illustrate Haribhadra's acquaintance with and aptitude for humorous and satirical folktales.

Haribhadra is an adept story-teller. If we casually glance through his Samarāiccakahā, we find that he is well-informed about the workings of human mind and about the human behaviour in general. His experience is wide; naturally he creates characters and situations of engrossing interest. The Samarāiccakahā<sup>2</sup> gives many counter tales which go to confirm the central idea of the main story. He possesses a special liking for symbolical epilogues, apparently meaningless, but full of significance and instruction when they are explained. Enlightenment by absurd stories and situations is a fine weapon which Haribhadra brandishes quite successfully. Arhaddatta (Sixth Bhava), for instance, was enlightened when he was incurably attached to the pleasures of body by telling him that he was as foolish as a person extinguishing fire with grass. Absurd situations are presented illustrating such motifs. Similar tendencies are seen in the Dhū. as well. The fantastic tales expose the absurdity of Purānic legends, and thus enlighten and correct those that put faith in the Purānic religion.

It is the agreement of rogues that supplies a graceful bracket for the projection of the plot. Lest he would be required to give the stipulated feast, every rogue is anxious to confirm the fantastic stories by quoting similar Purāņie legends. It is in the fitness of things that every rogue should be given the chance of confirming the experience of their female companion; otherwise, if only one were to be given this chance, there would arise some jealousy and others would not have the opportunity of being chivalrous. It is not the story as a whole, but just some of the convenient points of it that are selected for confirmation with one or more similar legends and episodes from the epics and Purāņas. The legends get automatically ridiculed, because they are closely parallel to the incidents or points in the fantastic story. As the rogues go on attempting to prove the impossible with the aid of Purāņie

2 Ed. H. Jacobi, B. I., No. 169, Calcutta 1926.

<sup>1</sup> किल कोइ तचणिको (=बौद्धः) जालवावडकरो मच्छगवहाए चलिओ। धुत्तेण भण्णइ। आयरिय अवणा ते कंथा। सो भणइ। जालमेतमित्यादि क्षोकादवसेवम्। 'कन्थाचार्याधना ते, ननु शफरवघे जालम्, अश्वासि मत्स्यान्; ते मे मचोपदंशान्, पिवसि, ननु युतो वेदयया, यासि वेदयाम्। इत्वारीणां गलॅंऽही, वव नु तव रिपवो, येषु संधि छिनमि; चौरस्त्वं, दूतहेतोः, कितव इति कथं, येन दासीमुतोऽसि ॥' इदं लौकिकम् etc. p. 54.

legends, the legends themselves get ridiculed. Thus, to a certain extent, the author is using the mode of *reductio ad absurdum* in denouncing the legends.

All of them are confirmed rogues, quite expert in their business; and it is not without some significence that they should be made to dabble in Puranic legends, as if this was quite on par with their usual routine! It looks like a bit of irony that the legends should be put in the mouth of rogues and that they should come forth to prove the impossible by quoting them ! Akhyana is usually a serious tale, especially an epical or Purānic episode. It is certainly a piece of pungent sarcasm, if Akhyāna refers here (V. 6) to the fantastic tales narrated by rogues. If it refers to the series of Puranic legends and episodes, it is equally ironical that they should be put in the mouth of rogues and that both of them should prominently figure in the title of the book! Thus the plot, the mode and accessories of attack and even the title: all these are not only appropriate but also partial and favourable for the artistic performance which Haribhadra has achieved here. Even minor touches add to the ironical flavour of the atmosphere: Muladeva declares that he is putting up with parisaha such as hunger and thirst (I. 28), a veritable Jaina monk indeed 1; Kandarika salutes the feet of Jina like a pious devotee (II. 24); Khamdavānā qualifies herself as atthārasa-dosavivajjiyā (V. 98), an adjective usually applied to the Tirthakara; and lastly, that hypocritically exacted tip is glorified as a Dana, a pious donation, which renders everything allright (V. 102). There are some drawbacks: sometimes the same legends and motifs are repeated and in some places there is some obscenity. As to the first one wished that the author avoided this repetition; but as to the second, it was inevitable, if the author wanted to expose the obscenity of some of the Purāņic legends.

By assimilating different traditions Western literature has come to possess an astonishing variety of literary expression. The fact becomes obvious even to a superficial student of English literature, if he simply notes its chief landmarks and growth. Scholars comparing the ancient Indian literature with that of the English are struck with the manifold variety, range and artistic appeal in the latter. The disparity can be explained away on the basis of the peculiar lines on which each civilization advanced. Apart from its intrinsic merits and abiding values, in a way, by taking into account the range of time covered by the civilization, the extent of the land and the magnitude of the population, one has to admit that the ancient Indian literature, available to us today, is comparatively small in quantity and limited in variety. The reasons are apparent. On the whole, the Indian mind has enjoyed the comfort of dignified isolation from constant foreign Religious spirit has permeated not only Indian life, but also influence. Indian literature: even secular compositions could not escape religio-moral Both men of letters and literary life in India are confined, as a rule. influence. to an insignificant minority of hereditory intellectual aristocrats. Antiquity is an asset, but not necessarily a virtue. Old arns of expression and moulds of

#### A CRITICAL STUDY

thought got stereotyped, and as conventions they had a metallic grip on ancient Even the training of an Indian poet or author is of Indian literature. a standardised cast: the aims are settled; the themes are dictated; type-characters are supplied; and even ready-made descriptions and stylistic devices are kept at the disposal of an author in the making. Ordinary intellect got crippled, though sufficiently trained; it is only the extraordinary genius that attempted to open new literary paths somewhat deviating from the accepted In isolated branches of literature, the Indian mind exhibits standards. remarkable elevation, successful finish and consummate polish; but the lack of variety is apparent everywhere. The fact that ancient India, which could boast of the greatest master-pieces in epic poetry and certain kinds of fiction and drama, has neither a tragedy after the manner of the Greeks nor satire in Roman fashion is to be attributed to the peculiar way in which the Indian mind evolved and the Indian author was trained for his job. The Sanskrit or even Indian 'poetic theory of super-individual emotion and its complacent attitude towards life', as Dr. De remarks, 'precluded any serious cultivation of the satiric type of literature."

Satire as a form of literature has been conspicuously absent in early Indian literature. India, however, has not been lacking in literary geniuses that were trained according to standard discipline. Every age has its follies and faults; and these geniuses, on account of their righteous indignation and as a wholesome correction, must have brandished their satirical whip against social disorders and diseases. Such satirical compositions could not have the same sanctity as that enjoyed by religious works; and it is not unlikely that many specimens have not come down to us, even if the art of satire was duly cultivated. Satire, moreover, is an indispensable stylistic quality in some forms of literature; and the elements of this quality are found scattered, here and there, in early Indian literature: in stories, in minor poems and in plays.

The Daśakumāracarita, on account of its setting, substance, characters and events, is far from possessing the stereotyped orthodox outlook: in fact, it is a remarkable contribution of a novel type in Sanskrit. It presents a lively and realistic picture of the society, some glimpses of which we get in the Mrcchakațikam. Dandin is unconventional by temperament. Though it is not his aim to give his composition the form of a satire, he has easily meted out some satirical lashes against gods who are dragged down to illustrate the disgraceful deeds of the characters (pp. 29, 44), against Brāhmaņas who are greedy for wealth and divert themselves by cock-fighting (p. 96), against hypocritical ascetics whose passion is easily enkindled (p. 44 f.), against the Digambara Jaina monk who is made to confess the worthlessness of his mode of life (p. 47 f.), and against Buddhist nuns who are ridiculed as go-betweens. The adventurous tales of young princes have an enchanting grip on the reader's mind; and Dandin's tendency to ridicule certain aspects of the society by satire

1

and caricature, quietly but effectively, is apparent in various places<sup>1</sup>. In this context attention may be drawn to the Bharataka-Dvātrimšikā<sup>2</sup>, a late work of the fourteenth century A. D. in popular Sanskrit. The term *bharataka* means a kind of Śaiva monks; and this collection of thirty-two tales is designed for purposes of exposing the *mūrkha-jana-carita* so that one might understand *sadācaraņa*. The Śaiva monks are satirized by means of stories illustrating their moral depravity and stupidity. The *bharataka* is made to quote the parallels of gods and saints to justify his behaviour, thus ridiculing the gods and saints as well with himself. Some of the stories exhibit a good fund of worldly wisdom, wit and humour.

Somehow the element of satire seen in poems and plays is not of a very high order: the art of satirising becomes bald abuse and coarse ridicule, if it does not leave behind a healthy and correcting influence. The Kuttinimata of Dāmodaragupta (c. 779-813 A. D.) is more erotico-comic than satiric.<sup>8</sup> Another polymath who has studiously worked in this line is Ksemendra, of the middle of the eleventh century A. D. Some of his works deserve special attention in this context. His Samayamātrkā deals with the traditional erotic topics. It presents varied pictures of the society, and the situations are sketched in a pointed style. "The merit of the work lies not in its unsavoury story, but in its heightened, yet graphic, picture of droll-life, painted with considerable sharpness of phrasing and characterisation, and with an undertone of mocking satire directed against many forms of prevalent deformity." His Darpadalana is equally interesting. His salutation to viveka in the opening Mangala is quite significant. In seven sections it demonstrates the folly of pride based on kula, dhana, vidyā, rūpa, saurya, dāna and tapas. Besides the gnomic verses on each, they are illustrated with tales invented for the purpose. The form is interesting. More than once the author drags the Puranic deities and personalities on a lower plain by citing how they have not been immune from these flaws (I. 17 f., III. 79 f., IV. 18 f., etc.). The moral tone is quite predominant; and the attack on holiness, though casual, is sufficiently His Kalāvilāsa is a satirical discourse in ten sections, put in pungent. a legendary setting. The first chapter lashes the roguish hyprocrisy, dambha, seen in different walks of life, found not only among men and women of different classes but also among birds and vegetables. He is harsh on Gaudas; and now and then even sacred deities and sages are not spared. The remaining chapters deal with greed, erotic impulse, harlot, Kāyastha, pride, etc. His scourges on the greedy merchant, quack doctor, pretending astrologer, crooked

- 2 Ed. J. Hertel, Leipzig 1921.
- 3 Keith: A History of Sk. Literature, pp. 236 f.; S. K. De: Some Satiric Poems in Sanskrit, Indian Culture, vol. VIII, Noi I, pp. 1-8.

Daśakumäracarita of Dandin, Revised in one vol. by G. J. Agashe, 2nd ed., Bombay Sk. and Pk. Series, Bombay 1919; Keith: A History of Banskrit Literature, Oxford 1928, pp. 296 f.; S. K. De: The Prose Kāvyas of Dandin, Subandhu and Bāņa, Festsoript Prof. Kane, pp. 112-44, Poona 1941.

Kāyastha, etc., are bitter and wounding. This 'work is thus a remarkable and comprehensive discourse, with a legendary framework, on the various activities of notorious tricksters known to Kşemendra; and his easy and elegant style makes the descriptions amusing and the satire effective.' As observed by Dr. De, Ksemendra's compositions are 'noteworthy satirical sketches exaggerated cum grano salis but substantially faithful, having less frequent lapses into squalor or coarseness, and composed in the best literary manner of Ksemendra. There is nothing of melancholy wisdom in Ksemendra. Knowing full well the castigating use of satire, he deals out his blows too liberally, but with precision, with bitter and often foul-mouthed presumption, but with the unerring, insight of a shrewd observer'. Ksemendra's satirical writings assume all the more importance, because his successors like Jahlana, Nīlakantha Dīksita, etc. never rose to his heights. They are all steeped in the time-honoured mould of religious didacticism and hackneyed eroticism. 'Anxious to maintain respectability, they are afraid of descending to the repellent reality which their subject demands, and only touch the fringe of it, from a safe distance, with the stick of romantic verse.'

Among the plays, it is the Bhāna and the Prahasana types<sup>1</sup> that contain a great deal of satirical element. Bhāna is a monologue play; the only character is a Vita or Dhurta who narrates, with imaginary questions and answers and with various gestures, either his experience or that of others. Most of the existing specimens of bhanas are comparatively modern and belong to the South; they lack variety and are of the same pattern, almost made to order; and they are predominantly permeated with erotic sentiment, very often coarse in taste. There is very little of genuine satire in them; we get, however, satirical touches in the descriptions 'of the licentious Paurānika, the old Srotriya, the fraudulent astrologer and (but this rarely) the Jangamas, Saivas and Vaisnavas (as in the Śrngāratilaka).' The Hāsyacūdāmani of Vatsarāja ridicules the Bhagavatas; while the Mukundananda pours a great deal of pungent satire against the Gurjara people. Such satirical touches are almost thrown into back-ground by their mechanichal characters, the roguish parasites and the haterea and by excessive eroticism. The four Bhāņas, which are edited as Caturbhāni<sup>a</sup> and which definitely belong to an earlier age, present 'more variety, greater simplicity, a larger amount of social satire and comic relief, a more convincing power of drawing individuals rather than types, easier and more colloquial style, and some measure of real poetry in spite of certain coarseness." In the Padmaprābhrtaka, the Vita, Šasa by name, a friend of Karnīputra Mūladeva (both of them of Ujjainī), gets a specified individuality. The racy speeches of the Vita, in the Pādatāditaka, are remarkably satirical:

2 Caturbhani, ed. by Kavi and Sastri, Patna 1922.

I have derived much help from two important papers by Dr. S. K. De: A Note on the Sanskrit Monologue-Play (Bhāņa) with Special Reference to the Caturbhānī, JRAS, 1926, pp. 63-90; and The Sanskrit Prahasana; The Poona Orientalist, VII, 3-4, pp. 149-56.

## DHURTÄKHYÄNA :

his ridicule is abundantly directed against the Lātas and the Buddhist order; and the Dāserakas, Saurāstras and Mālavas are not spared from casual hits. These Bhānas are often obscene, if not vulgar; and the primal sensations are portrayed with a rough realism and terrible sincerety. For a student of literature, as Dr. De rightly remarks, 'it is more than useless to read austere morals into these old-time play-wrights or damn them for want of morals.'

Turning to the Prahasana, the popular farce, there is ample scope for social satire in it by the very nature of its subject-matter; but the specimens that have survived are far from being satisfactory. Their wit and humour, which would make one laugh, lie in deliberately vulgar exhibitions and expressions: in short, the whole atmosphere in the Prahasana, on account of conventional characters and incidents and the high-strung erotic sentiment, is low and depressing. The Mattavilāsa of Mahendravikrama (7th Century A. D.) is a slight farcical sketch depicting the drunken and passionate revelry of a Saiva mendicant, calling himself a Kapalin on account of the human skull carried by him in lieu of his alms-bowl which is said to be stolen by a hypocritical Buddhist monk. A degenerate Pāśupata comes to settle the case of theft, and finally the missing bowl is obtained from a madman who had retrieved it from a Thus the wreckless wrangling in the monastic order is broadly satirised. dog. The Prahasanas like Lataka-melaka, Dhurtasamagama, etc. have much to do with rogues and knaves; the Jaina and Buddhist monks are held in ridicule; but with their symbolic characters, whatever little wit and satire they possess is often defaced by open vulgarity and erotic descriptions. Stray satirical verses in Sanskrit and Prākrit may be collected from anthologies and from illustrations on the rhetorical device called vyāja-stuti; but they cannot, being isolated verses, exhibit any sustained style as such. Thus this survey leaves us cold that early Indian literature has not achieved much in the field of satire.

Haribhadra is a genius by birth and a satirist by temperament. He has bequeathed to posterity a valuable contribution to Indian literature through his Dhürtäkhyāna which is unique in various respects. We might try to assess its value, as a work of art, from the point of view of a modern critic of letters. The terminology of English criticism<sup>1</sup> cannot be literally applied to Indian works, because the terms have sometimes specified associations and import. The Dhū. apparently looks like a parody in as much as it ridicules by imitation, but if we go into details we find that it cannot be called a parody for various reasons: no work or class of works is *continuously* ridiculed through word, form- or sense-rendering; secondly, the imaginary tales narrated by rogues do not easily remind us of any one story or of any work or class of works; thirdly, the legends that are ridiculed do not come serially or at random from any one composition, but they are heaped from different sources to hold in ridicule their common motif

<sup>1</sup> See the articles on Parody by Christopher Stone and on Satire by Gilbert Cannan in The Art and Craft of Letters Series. See also English Satires, in the Casket Library Series, especially the Introduction.

#### A CRITICAL STUDY

something like what is already noted in the invented tale; and lastly, there is no deliberate attempt on the part of the author to confound issues by distortion or exaggeration of facts. The Dhūrtākhyāna, therefore, can be better and more appropriately called a satire of incredible Puranic legends and episodes and against the credulity of those that believe them, using parodical imitation as its chief vehicle and casually taking recourse to irony, sarcasm, banter, wit and humour. It is a satire, and a perfect one, because of its unique construction, a delicious mingling of phantasy and reality, subtle sense of characterization (though in a limited quantity) and above all the startling situation of devils discussing scriptures which make it an inimitable piece of raillery and a good humoured amusement for all. Haribhadra possesses a righteous indignation which is passionate, noble and fearless. Like a true satirist, he thoroughly ridicules rather objectively, in his Sambodha-prakarana,<sup>1</sup> the vices that had perhaps crept into the Jaina monastic order of his times: he detests, pities and condemns the vices and the irreligious routine of the ascetic life; while in the Dhū. he satirizes the folly of the degenerating belief of the masses in Purānic legends. Monks are morally and religiously degraded, and the public is on the verge of rational bankruptcy; and here Haribhadra comes like an expert surgeon to ply his satirical instrument, before he can put the bandage of religious and didactic teaching. Like Voltaire, Haribhadra was out to cure, and he had a panacea, Reason. Haribhadra is a champion of rational and logical attitude; he declares in one place:<sup>2</sup> 'I am not partial to Mahāvīra, nor do I bear any ill will against Kapila and others; whose words appeal to reason, his mission is to be upheld.' He has given vent to this spirit more than once. The good satirist, we know, holds a place half-way between preacher and the wit. He has the purpose of the first and uses the weapons of the second. He must both hate and love. For what impels him to write is not less the hatred of error and folly than the love of right and wise vision. The satirist's appeal is always to the intellect, and rarely does he play upon the emotions. His is a perfectly rational attitude strengthened by the nicest sense of proportion. Like a rationalist, Haribhadra's approach is intellectual, apart from his being a champion of logic and reason; but his still greater asset is the mental poise with which he meets his opponents. He is not a logician infuriated with religious fanaticism, but he is a genuine rationalist of a balanced mind; and to a certain extent we see this even in the Dhurtakhyana. As a genius Haribhadra has at his back a fund of good logic. Quite skilfully and humorously, like a creative artist, he applies it to the incredible Puranic ideas which have a sway over men's minds; the invented tales are full of logical extremes and absurdities; and when the Puranic legends are set on par with them, they cannot but be ridiculous and absurd. The minds that start doubting

<sup>1</sup> Ed. Jaina Grantha Prakāšaka Sabhā, Ahmedabad 1916, especially Gurvādhikārs.

<sup>2</sup> In his Lokatattva-nir naya: 'पक्षपातो न मे वीरे न देष: कपिलादिषु । युक्तिमद्रचनं यस्य तस्य कार्थ: परिग्रह: ॥' and in his Yogabindu: 'आग्रही बत निनीषति युक्ति तत्र यत्र मतिरस्य निविष्टा । पक्षपातरहितस्य तु युक्तिर्यत्र तत्र मतिरेति निवेशम् ॥'

### DHURTAKHYANA :

the veracity of invented tales are easily led to denounce the Purāņic legends. With untiring assiduity he scrutinizes, sorts and shifts the Purāņic legends according to their points of weakness, and pours them forth to substantiate the most ridiculous extremes and distortions with the result that not only the purpose of proving the unprovable fails but the Purāņic legends themselves get ridiculed as improbable and absurd. The result is startling, though inevitable. He satirizes the legends rather by exposing them by selection and comparing them with ridiculously fantastic tales than by vehemently denouncing them. As we see below, Haribhadra exhibits a remarkable intellectual honesty; so far as the main motifs are concerned, he does not tamper with the Purāņic legends: he does not distort them to ridicule them.

'The true end of satire is the amendment of vices by correction', says Dryden; and he is perfectly right in hitting at the end and aim of all great satires so emphatically. Haribhadra has undoubtedly a religio-moral purpose, hinted rather than elaborated (V. 122, 124), in writing this Akhyana. As has been observed, the polished raillery and the good natured satire have an ethical under-current, otherwise 'the satire which only seeks to wound is as dangerous as arrows that fly in the dark'. It must be said to Haribhadra's credit that the satirical artist in him has successfully, and also rightly, predominated over the religious preacher in him. 'No artist can do more than set his practised and controlled talent to work on the subject chosen and constantly developed for him by the inspiration of his genius.' Our author just exercises his imagination in the way laid down for him by his characters and circumstances; and one feels that even if he had stopped his work at V. 109 (of course followed by V. 119-24). nothing fundamental would have been missed. Nowhere does he show the temptation of preaching moral lessons like a professional religious teacher. Any other mediocre author would have introduced a Kevalin at the end to give a dhamma-kahā whereby all the rogues would be turned into pious believers who would ultimately reach liberation after observing samlehand. Haribhadra has fully and rightly restrained himself from adding to his composition any such conclusion so usual and normal in Jaina tales. The Dhurtakhyana, therefore, has a literary form, nothing specially religious about it; it is an out-and-out satire, an artist's creation; and as a literary product, it is far ahead of its time. In fact, coming so early as in the 8th century A. D., Dhū. reveals such an artistry and management of the material as one can simply marvel at. Not even the works of Ksemendra, who does possess a satirical skill and whose performance we have reviewed above, can match the Dhurtakhyana, which is nearly three hundred years older than him, with regard to construction and subtle satirical effect. Haribhadra is throughout an artist, while Kşemendra's satire is overladen with and defaced by heavy didacticism. If one understands our author's bhava-viraha as an escape from the tedium of life, Haribhadrasūri will have the credit of hitting at an accepted standard of the greatness of satire in modern times.

The characters introduced by Haribhadra are not altogether imaginary; but, in fact, most of them are well-known figures in Indian popular tale and picaresque literature. Mūlaśrī or Mūladeva<sup>1</sup> is a famous character as a Jack of all trades given to gambling and attached to courtezan Devadatta. It appears that he had alternative names such as Karņīsuta, Mūlabhadra and Kalānkura. Even a treatise on theft or erotics is attributed to him by tradition. Certainly he is an old figure. Haribhadra himself quotes a Prākrit story in his commentary on the Daśavaikālika-sūtra (p. 57 f.) in which Mūladeva figures as a tricky rogue. The Avantisundarīkathā (veres 7) mentions him; Bāņa also, in his Kādambarī, refers to him with his friend Šasa; and both of them are introduced (with Devadatta) in the Padmaprabhrtaka. He figures as a typical hero in the Kalāvilāsa of Ksemendra. The Kathāsaritsāgara also gives his story, and he is associated with the court of Vikramāditya. We get a Jaina edition of the tale of Muladeva and Devadatta quoted in Devendra's commentary on the Uttarādhyayana<sup>2</sup>. Thus Mūladeva appears to have reached the status of a popular hero typifying all that is tricky and roguish. The name Kamdariya is met with in the Ardhamāgadhī canon<sup>3</sup>; he is not a rogue, but a worldlycomfort-seeking character destined to go to hell. Jaina stories mention names like Ilāputra and Āşādhabhūti; and according to the Abhidhāna-Rājendra, Elāşādha is a famous rogue from Avanti referred to in the Nisītha-cūrņī<sup>4</sup>. Sasa, as noted above, appears to have been a close associate of Muladeva. We do not know anything about Khamdavāņā from other sources: her name has a popular ring and baffles Sanskritic etymology. Though most of the characters are already known, there is very little of characterization in the Dhürtākhyāna. It is only Khandapānā, with her coarseness and sharp intellect, that is a bit individualized. She is a good combination of a woman and a rogue. She is intelligent, hypocritical, scheming and witty; her success in getting the wealth from the merchant is quite worthy of her nature and trade; without any difference of opinion she becomes the President of Rogues; and she smashes the vanity and satisfies the appetite of her colleagues by a well-earned and sumptuous feast. One wishes that Haribhadra spent more labour and greater insight in making the characters and stories more individualistic as has been done by Chaucer in his immortal Canterbury Tales.

- 1 On Müladeva see Dr. De's paper on the Bhāņa, JRAS, 1926; Avantisundarīkathā, Madras 1924; Kāvyamālā I, p. 36, foot-note 1; Caturbhāņī, Intro. p. iii; Müladeva's story from Devendra's commentary on the Uttarādhyayana is edited by Jacobi in his Ausgewählte Ersählugen in Māhārāştrī, Leipzig 1886; its English translation 'Hindu Tales' by Meyer, London 1909; also Prākrtakathāsamgraha by Jinavijayaji, Ahmedabad. A paper 'The Oharacter and Adventure of Mūladeva' by M. Bloomfield has 'appeared in the Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society, vol. 52, 1913, pp. 616-650; but it has not been accessible to me.
- Sri-Uttarādhyayanāni, Bombay 1927.
- 3 Näyädhammakahäo, I, 19.
- d This work is not accessible to me, and it is neccessary to see what details are given by the Cürni.

#### DHÜRTÄKHYÄNA :

The literary device of picaros narrating their experience with a satirical vein is seen to a certain extent in the Daśakumāracarita and in the Bhāņa type of dramas, but the manner in which and the purpose for which Haribhadra has adopted it is remarkable. We know some of his successors like Amitagati etc., but we are absolutely in the dark about his predecessors in the field. The form, however, is dramatic in which characters are made to speak; Haribhadra tells us that it was narrated as a tale (V. 123), and not enacted as a play; but with minor changes the whole piece can be turned into a fine **Prahasana of open satire.** 

The most astonishing thing about the Dhurtakhyana is not that it is satirical, nor that it is one of the rare pieces of the kind in early Indian literature, but that it should be so thoroughly modern both in thought and spirit, and such a master-piece of construction. Most of the Roman or the English satire is cast in the mould of a dream or an allegory. This makes it stilted and artificial. It is only in Chaucer's Canterbury Tales and Boccacio's Decameron that an ingenious frame-work is used to impart an air of reality to the narration. The device of emboxing stories within a story is a common trait in most of the Indian sagas. Apart from this consideration, the frame-work of the Dhurtakhyana is certainly one of its notable features. The rogue-chiefs, each telling a fantastic story of his own and asking others to prove its truth or to accept defeat and give feast to rest of them, as they were all hungry during the rainy week and had run thither into the garden in order to manage to get food, afford the satire an amusing yet natural setting. The truth of their wonderful and wild stories is immediately proved by parallels from the Bhārata, Rāmāyaņa and Purāņas. The last chief who is a female recounts a number of autobiographical incidents which are likewise regarded as credible. But then, all this still keeps the main problem unsolved! How are they to get food for themselves and for their retinue? Their female accomplice proposes that she would give them a feast only if they acknowledged her supremacy. This they would never accept. She then, continuing her narration, leads it to such a point that they have to recognize her suzerainty or give feast. Thus the woman is triumphant, and her wit and wisdom enable them all to satisfy their most immediate need of food. The impossible yarns concocted by the Rogues, the replies thereto, and above all the witty and surprising end of the story reveal its architectonic skill and the beauty of design. The Dhurtakhyana has a unity and compactness that can be distinctly felt; and except for the one or two obscene references and blunt outspokenness and crudity of Khandapānā's experience, there is nothing superfluous or inartistic in the satire. Besides, its perfectly original structure is one of its highest distinctions, and sufficient by itself to proclaim the author's genius.

In speaking of the unity of the Dhurtākhyāna it was the organic unity, therefore unity of form and spirit both, that was hinted at. Even though there is an indirect reference in favour of Jainism at the close of the work (V. 121-22), the satire as a whole is general, directed against oredulity and superstition that deaden man's reasoning faculties and lead him away from the path of Truth. According to accepted critical standards, it is modern and not medieval, and it is more Horacian than Juvenalian. It is throughout rational and convincing. It shall be as well called good-humoured, as there is no deliberate attempt to distort or exaggerate facts or indulge in repeated rancour. The main point is that we should not be foolishly credulous and accept the mythological stories as literally true. As seen below, almost all the references to Purānas, etc. are traceable to their origins. The author is always implicit, and never vituperative in his criticism, and makes an intelligent use of dilemma, not only to prove or disprove things but also to give a witty air to everything that is ridiculed. Khandapānā's cornering and outwitting her male accomplices is a brilliant example of this device. The use of such dilemmatic situations has given a logical tone (quite inevitable and essential in the work of an outstanding logician like Haribhadra) and balance to the narration. Except for a few repetitions (elephant-pursuit, mating with Sun, Wind etc.), the story has the fullest variety with its strange, mysterious, awe-inspiring and terrible phantasies set in a realistic back-ground and provided with a rollicking humorous close. Some of the descriptions, short or long, for instance that of the cemetery, are quite epical in style and make-up. The story element in the frame-work is comparatively slight, but has gained a remarkable poise because of Khandapānā's flashing victories over her partners and the banker whom she dups by her superior intelligence and womanly wiles.

The precocious genius of the author<sup>1</sup>, obvious in this unique work, indeed, provides a delightful field for researches in literary developments; and it is hoped that the publication of this edition of Dhūrtākhyāna will inspire others to unearth still richer treasures that lie buried in the literature of ancient India.

## 4. Sources of the Puranic Legends

Throughout the Dhū., in order to confirm the fantastic tale narrated by one Dhūrta, other Dhūrtas have presented a series of Epic and Purāņie legends. It is necessary to study them, wherever possible, in comparison with

<sup>1</sup> Most of the works of Haribhadra are yet to be studied critically. The following are the chief sources where some points about his life, works and date are discussed. H. T. Sheth: Haribhadrasūri-caritram (in Sanskrit), Benares 1917. Śri Kalyāņa-vijayaji: Introduction (in Sanskrit) to the ed. of Dharmasamgrahanih, Devachandra L. P. Fund Series No. 42, Bombay 1918. Jinavijayaji: Haribhadrasūrikā Samaya-nirņaya (in Hindī), Jaina Sāhitya Samšodhaka, Vol. I, part 1, pp. 21-58, also his 'The Date of Haribhadrasūri (in Sanskrit)', Poona 1919; his conclusion, based on sound proofs, is that Haribhadra should be assigned to A. D. 700-770. Becharadas Jivaraj: Introduction to his Jaina Darśana (in Gujarāti), Ahmedabad Samvat 1980. H. Jacobi: Introduction (in English) to the ed. of Samarāiccakahā, B. I., Calcutta 1926; Jacobi accepts 750 A. D. or later as the time of Haribhadra's literary activity; in his account of Haribhadra's life, he has scrutinised the traditional biographies and given a connected narration.

the legends as preserved in present-day texts. Haribhadra is not very particular in mentioning his sources, specifying the work and its author. Usually he refers to them in general terms like Sruti, Purāņa etc. (I. 14, 31, 35, 53, 89; II. 27, 51; III. 20, 53, 88; IV. 15, 27; V. 11, 64); Bhārata<sup>1</sup> and Rāmāyaņa; however, are repeatedly mentioned (I. 14, 31, 35, 41, 82, 85; II. 27, 62; III. 20, 93; IV. 14, 15, 19; V. 60, 119); and there are single references to Viṣṇupurāṇa and Araṇya-parvan (of the Bhārata) and also to Vyāsa, the author of Bhārata (II. 27; II. 31; I. 41). Once Arthaśāstra is casually mentioned (V. 1) but not as a source. In the following paragraphs are presented the Legends found in the Dhū., their sources traced by us, and critical remarks on the same in some cases.

I. \*1\*. 37-38: FOUR VARMAS IN BRAHMAN'S BODY. — Rgveda X. 90. 12; Mahābhārata, Araņyaka-parvan (critical ed.), 187. 18.

I. \*2\*. 39-40: HARA'S MALE ORGAN. — Vāyupurāņa (Ānandāśrama ed., 1905), chap. 55. 23-28. — Verse 26 mentions that they tried to measure its limits for one thousand years.

I. \*3\*. 41-51: BIRTH OF KĪCAKAS (Bhārata of Vyāsa). — [?]. — Haribhadra specifically mentions the Bhārata of Vyāsa as his source. According to Mbh., Virāṭaparvan (critical ed.), App. I, p. 313, passage No. 19, Kekaya, a Sūta king, had from his wife Mālavī one hundred and six sons of whom Kīcaka was the most mighty and was appointed as the commander by the Virāṭa king. This is all that we learn about their origin; and in this context the legendary details noted by Haribhadra are not found. Kīcaka does mean a hollow bamboo.

I. \*4\*. 52: GANGĂ FOILED IN HARA'S JAȚĂ. — Rāmāyaņa (Nirņayasāgara ed. 1921), Bālakāņda, 43. 9. — Haribhadra says vāsa-sahassam, while in the Rāmā. we have samvatsara-gaņān bahūn.

I. \*5\*. 53-7: BIRTH OF BRAHMAN. — Mbh., Āraņyaka-parvan (critical ed.), App. I, pp. 1084-5, passage No. 27, especially ll. 13-30. — There is some verbal agreement with the Mbh. passage; but in this context it is not mentioned that Brahman came out with Daņḍa etc. and that the lotus was stuck, as noted by Haribhadra. According to the Vāyupurāṇa, chap. 55. 14, Brahman is equipped with Kamaṇḍalu.

I. \*6\*. 58-84: i) TILOTTAMĀ RUINS BRAHMAN'S AUSTERITIES<sup>2</sup>; ii) BIRTH OF DROŅĀCĀRYA; iii) BIRTH OF SVEDA- AND RAKTA-KUNDALIN; iv) KARŅA BORN THROUGH THE EAR (Bhārata). — i) Mbh. Ādi. (critical ed.) 201 ff. and Matsyapurāņa (Ānandāśrama ed. 1907), Adhyā. 3. 31 f.; ii) Mbh. Ādi. (critical ed.), 57. 89, 121. 3-5, 154. 1-5; iii) Padmapurāņa (Ānandāśrama ed. 1894), Srşţikhaņda, Adhyā. 14; iv) [?]. — i) According to Ādiparvan 201 ff., Viśvakarman, at the instruction of Pitāmaha (203. 10-17), created Tilottamā, a veritable mine of jewels of beauty, for the destruction of Sunda and Upasunda.

<sup>1</sup> Haribhadra uniformly mentions Bhārata and never Mahābhārata.

<sup>2</sup> The legend is split up for referential convenience. A story, much similar to No. 1; is found in the Brhat Kathākoša of Harisena (Bombay 1943), chap. 99.

She was called Tilottamā, because she was made from small portion of every kind of gem. Mahādeva developed four faces to look at her as she went round (203. 23-25). According to the Matsyapurāņa, however, Brahman created Satarūpā out of his body; and to look at her, he developed four faces (in four directions) with a fifth on the head. This temptation ruined his austerities. ii) Haribhadra attributes Droņa's birth to Paśupati and Umā, but according to Mbh. it is attributed to Bhāradvāja and Ghṛtācī, the circumstances being similar. iii) Though the names noted by Haribhadra are not given, the Padmapurāņa narrates a story quite similar to this in the context of the earlier births of Arjuna and Karņa. Brahman produced a man from the perspiration of his forehead. According to the Padma., Viṣṇu offered blood from his arm. iv) Karņa is considered to be the amisa of the Sun by whom he was generated from Kuntī (Mbh. Ādi., 57. 82, 61. 89); and his birth is described at length in the Ādi. 104. But I do not find any reference to his being born from the ear. The Mbh. mentions, however, that he was born with the Kavaca.

I. \*7\*. 85-88: HANUMAT CROSSES THE OCEAN (Rāmāyaņa). — Rāmāyaņa, Sundarakāņda, Sarga 1, verses 27, 54, Sarga 2, verse 2, etc. — The Rāmāyaņa gives a graphic description how Hanumat jumps (his long arms and the root tr being more than once mentioned) across the ocean. The Sanskrit quotation put in the mouth of Hanumat I have not been able to spot in the Nirņayasāgara ed. in this context.

I. \*8\*. 89-92: HARA RECEIVES GANGA ON HIS HEAD. — Rāmāyaņa, Bālakāņda, Sargas 42, 43 (verse 3 f.); Mbh. Āraņyaka., 108. 9 f.; see also the remarks on I. \*4\*. 52 above. — Mbb. simply describes how Ganga was received by Hara; and there is no reference to her vanity as in the Rāmāyaņa.

II. \*1\*. 27-30: PRIMORDIAL EGG (Vișņupurāņa<sup>1</sup>). — Vișņupurāņa, Wilson's Translation (London 1864), Book I, chap. II, pp. 39-40; Mbh. Ādi. (critical ed.) 1. 27 f.; Matsyapurāņa, 2. 32 ff.; Brahmapurāņa (Ānandāśrama ed. 1895), 1. 40 f. — This is described almost in every Purāņa.

II. \*2\*. 31-39: THE WHOLE UNIVERSE IN A CHILD'S STOMACH (Araņyaparvan). — Mbh., Āraņyakaparvan, 186. — The details closely agree with a few common words even. According to our text, it is the Sage that calls the Boy; but in the Mbh. the Boy calls the Sage asking him to take shelter in his stomach (verses 88-9). The Mbh. says that Mārkaņdeya was in the stomach of that Boy only for varṣānām adhikam śatam (verse 110); but according to Haribhadra divvam vāsa-sahassam. The Boy is none other than Viṣņu-Kṛṣṇa, as it is clear from the names like Śrīvatsadhārin, Pitavāsā, Puņḍarīkākṣa etc.

II. \*3\*. 40-41: KES'AVA IN DEVARI'S WOMB. — Bhāgavata (Nirņayasāgara ed.) 10. 7. 34-36; also the references on II. \*2\*. 31-39 above. — When

<sup>1</sup> The Sanskrit Text (verse No. 102) mentions Bhārata also along with Visnupurāņa.

<sup>2</sup> The Sanskrit Text (verse 106) montions Aranyapatha, a Sastra; but I feel no doubt that Haribhadra is referring to a Parvan of that name in the Mbh.

Yaśodā was feeding Kṛṣṇa, she happened to see the whole universe in his mouth which he opened while yawning.

II. \*4\*. 42-50; BRAHMAN AND KES'AVA. — Compare Vāyupurāņa, Adhyā. 24; see also the references on I. \*5\*. 53-7. — A legend somewhat corresponding to Haribhadra's version is found in the Vāyu. Brahman once approached Nārāyaṇa who was sleeping on the great serpent in the ocean and who had produced a dignified lotus out of his navel for his sport. They do not recognise each other, and each one claims that he is the Adikartā. First Viṣṇu enters the stomach of Brahman and sees the whole universe there, but could not reach the end even after one thousand years; so he comes out of his mouth. Then Brahman enters Viṣṇu's stomach and experiences the same. When Viṣṇu closed the outlets of his body, Brahman assumed a subtle form, came out through the lotus stalk, and took his seat on the lotus. There is some jealousy between the two; but it is quieted on Brahman being admitted as the *putra* of Viṣṇu (verses 57-53) born from his navel-lotus.

II. \*5\*. 51-61: THE MIGHTY BOW OF DRUPADA.—Compare Mbh., Ādi. (critical ed.) 174ff.—The Ādi. describes the bow as drdham, anāyamyam (176. 9), devadattam (foot-note p. 716, No. 1809\*), samhanopapannam (178. 15). Is it that Haribhadra's Mbh. text read 178. 13 as an adjective of kārmukam in verse 15? Then alone the bow can be possessed of Nāgas etc. According to the Mbh., Kṛṣṇa and Bhīma are present at the gathering but merely as spectators. Siśurāla is humiliated, as noted by Haribhadra (Sasipālo being perhaps a misreading); but the passage concerned is found only is S (foot-note p. 725, No. 1828\*) and not included in the critical text.

11. \*6\*. 62-74: HILL-LIKE JAŢĀVG (Rāmāyaņa).—Compare Rāmāyaņa, Araņyakāņda, 50-53.—The opposition of Jaţāyu when Rāvaņa carried Sītā, their mutual fight, Jaţāyu wounded by the sword of Rāvaņa: all these events are described in the Araņyakāņda. Further that dying Jaţāyu gives all the details to Rāma, accompanied by Lakşmaņa (Sarga 67), and dies in their presence. They perform the last rites (Sarga 68). According to Haribhadra, Sītā blesses Jaţāyu that he would get wings after meeting Rāma's messenger; Hanumat, in his search for Sītā, meets Jaţāyu who gives him all the details about her and who gets back his wings after meeting him; but I see no proper context for these in the present text of the Rāmāyaņa of Vālmīki. Jaţāyu is, however, qualified in the Rāmāyaņa as parvata-srngābhah (50. 2). The Mbh., Āraņyaka. (critical ed.), 262-63, which gives this episode, describes Jaţāyu thus: Jatāyur giri-gocarah (262. 41), grdhram nihatam parvatopamam (263. 15).

III. \*1\*. 21-25: RENUKĀ REVIVED TO LIFE.—Mbh., Āranyakaparvan (critical ed.) 116.—That the trees respected her chastity is not specifically mentioned in the Mbh. The name of the king in the Mbh. is Citraratha and not Aśvāpahrta as given by Haribhadra.

III. \*2\*. 26: CONSTITUTION OF JARJSAMDHA.—Mbh., Sabhāparvan (Madras 1932), Adhyāyas 19 (verses 54-55), 20 (verses 1-7). His name is explained thus: Jarayā samdhito yasmāj Jarāsamdho bhavatvayam.

III. \*3\*. 27-37: SUNDA AND NISUNDA DESTROYED BY TILOTTAMĀ.—Mbh., Adi. (critical ed.), 201-4.—Upasunda of the Mbh. is called Nisunda by Haribhadra; perhaps it is a contamination with Nikumbha, the name of the father of Sunda and Upasunda. According to Mbh., Tilottamā was created by Viśvakarman, at the instruction of Pitāmaha, by putting together all that was beautiful in the three worlds. She was like Lakşmī, and her body was a veritable mine of jewels (203. 12-17). Haribhadra, however, says that she was created by taking bits from gods.

III. \*4\*. 38-44: HANUMAT PIECED TOGETHER.—Compare Rāmāyaņa, Kişkindhā-kānda, 66. 8-28, also Uttara-., 35-36.—Hanumat's life in his childhood is given in the Rāmāyaņa according to which it is Indra that wounded him, while he jumped at the Sun, on the left chin whereby he came to be known as Hanumān (66. 24). The instruction of the mother that he should eat red fruits, her lamentation, smashing of his body by the Sun's kick, subsequent piecing together of the same, and the substitution of the chin: all these events, though referred to by Haribhadra, are not mentioned in the Rāmāyaņa in the above contexts.

III. \*5\*. 46-52: HANUMAT BRINGS WOUND-HEALING MEDICINE.-Rāmāyaņa, Yuddha-kānda, Sarga 101, also Sarga 74 (especially verse 70) and Sarga 50.—The details noted by Haribhadra are practically covered by the above contexts in the Rāmāyaņa.

III. \*6\*. 53-86: BIRTH OF MAHASENA.-Compare Mbh., Aranyakaparvan (critical ed ), 213-14; Brahmapurāņa, Adhyā., 128. 7-23; Matsyapurāņa, chap. 158. 26f.; Vāyupurāņa, Chap. 72.-According to the Mbh., Svāhā, the daughter of Dakşa, satisfied the passion of Agni by assuming the forms of the six wives of Saptarsis (excepting Arundhati, whose form she could not assume). She received the semen in hand; and with a desire that she should not be seen, she flew taking the form of a Garudi. The semen was dropped in a golden pot six times, and therein was born Mahāsena with six heads etc. He is also called Rudra's son, Rudra being identified with Agni (218. 27f.) and Svähä with Umā (220.9). According to the Brahma- and the Matsya-purāna, Agni assumes the form of a parrot and approaches Sambhū and Umā. Agni drops semen in the Krttikās and Kārtika was thus born. Haribhadra plainly says devā gabbh'uvaghāyam vicimtamti, but the Sanskrit and Gujarāti versions indicate that gods wanted from Siva and Uma a son who would destroy Tārakāsura. Something like the legend which Haribhadra has in view is found in the Vāyupurāņa. The text of Vāyupurāņa admits a sad confusion of various myths about Mahasena, but it clearly says that Indra did not want any issue to be born from Samkara and Umā; so he sent Agni to them.<sup>1</sup> The concluding details are slightly different. Seeing that the semen had fallen out of her body, Umā forced Agni to hold it in his stomach; he later sought the help of Gangā who delivered in a Himālayan valley; and a mighty son was born.

<sup>1</sup> The relevant lines run thus: अन्योन्यप्रीतिरनयोरुमाशंकत्योरथ । श्रेपं संसक्तयोर्शात्वा शक्तिः किल वृत्रहा ॥ ताभ्यां मैथुनसक्ताभ्यामपत्योद्भवभीरुणा । तयोः सकाशमिन्द्रेण प्रेषितो इव्यवाहनः ॥ अनयो रतिविधं च त्वमाचर डुताशन । सर्वत्र गर्त दव त्वं न दोषो विधते तदा ॥

111. \*7\*. 87-88: SUN AND MOON ECLIPSED BY RAHU'S HEAD.—Mbh., Adi. (critical ed.), 17. 4-8.—The corresponding story in the Mbh. runs thus: The Sun and Moon reported to Vișnu that Rāhu, under the guise of a god, was just drinking nectar churned from the ocean. Vișnu immediately cut his head which began eclipsing the Sun and Moon with enmity towards them.

III. \*8\*. 89-91: VISNU COVERS THE UNIVERSE BY THREE STEPS.-Mbh., Aranyakaparvan (critical ed.), p. 1086, App. I, extract No. 27, lines 66-81.

III. \*9\*. 92: HANUMAT CARRIES THE DROMA MOUNTAIN WITH ALL ITN VEGETATION (Rāmāyaņa).—Rāmāyaņa, Yuddhakāņda, Sarga 101. 30-46, see also Sargas 50 and 74.

III. \*10\*. 96-7: THE EARTH LIFTED BY VARAHA.<sup>1</sup>-Mbh., Araņyakaparvan (critical ed.), 187. 11; also Brahmapurāna, chap. 213. 40-42.

IV. \*1\*. 18: A DRUM FROM MASA PLANT.-[?].-The details are too meagre to indicate the source.

IV. \*2\*. 19-21: A. RIVER OF ELEPHANT ICHOR (Bhārata).--[?].--This quotation can be traced only with the aid of the Verse Index of Mbh.

IV. \*3\*. 22-4: BHĪMA AND BAKA (Bhārata, according to the Sanskrit Text).—Mbh., Adi. (critical ed.), 145-152.—The critical edition describes the demon's share of food at 148. 6; but more detailed descriptions of it are found in different recensions of the Mbh.: see Adi (critical ed.), p. 942, passage Nos. 91-92. Haribhadra's details are more than covered by them.

IV. \*4\*. 25-6: KUMBHAKARNA'S MEAL.—Rāmāyaņa, Yuddhakāņda, 60 (especially verses 32-33, 62-63, 91-92)

IV. \*5\*. 27: AGASTYA DRINKS THE OCEAN.-Mbh., Aranyakaparvan (critical ed.), 101. 10; 102. 18f.; 103. 1-10.

IV. \*6\*. 28-29: GANGA DRUNK BY JAHNU.—Rāmāyaņa, Bālakānda, 43. 84-38.—The exact period of one thousand years, noted by Haribhadra, is not mentioned in this context by the Rāmā.

IV. \*7\*. 31-87: THE MIGHTY GARUDA. — Mbh. Adi. (critical ed.), 14-32. — These chapters practically cover all the details noted by Haribhadra. There are, however, some points of specific difference: The Mbh. notes only two eggs (14. 12) of Vinatā giving birth to Aruna and Garuda, while Dhū. mentions one more egg which was impatiently opened first and which produced a scorpion. Secondly, it is the mother, according to Mbh., that tells Garuda the whereabouts of the nectar and that asks him to eat Nişādas (24. 2); but according to Haribhadra it is Kāśyapa, the father, that gives these instructions. Thirdly, the Mbh. does not connect the dropping of Vața tree with Lankā. Lastly, Vișnu is quite partial to Garuda almost from the beginning in the Mbh. (129. 12f.).

IV. \*8\*. 88: GOVARDHANA MOUNTAIN LIFFED BY KRȘŅA. — Brahmapurāņa, chap. 188. 14f.; Bhāgavata (Nirņayasāgara ed.), X. 25. 19.

IV. \*9\*. 89-80: MONKEYS BROUGHT MOUNTAINS FOR THE SETU. --- Rāmāyaņa, Yuddhakānda, 22 (especially verses 50-60).

<sup>1</sup> The Sanskrit Text does not include this legend.

IV. \*10\*. 91-93: HANUMAT ROOTS OUT TREES IN THE PARK<sup>1</sup>. --- Rāmāyaņa, Sundarakāņda, 41 (especially verses 10-21).

V. \*1\*. 11: BHĪMA AND HANUMAT GENERATED BY WIND. — Mbh., Ādi. (critical ed.), 57. 97, 61. 84; Rāmāyaṇa, Kiṣkindhākāṇḍa, 66. 8-20. — According to Rāmā. Hanumat's mother is called Añjanā and not Nīlā<sup>2</sup>. Or is it that Haribhadra is paraphrasing añjana by nīla?

V. \*2\*. 12-18: BIRTH OF VYĀSA. — Mbh., Ādi. (critical ed.), 57. 55-74; 99-100; also the additional passage No. 37 on p. 895. — According to the Mbh., Vidura is born from a maid servant (100. 23)<sup>8</sup>, and there is no reference to any curse on the three by Vyāsa.

V. \*3\*. 23: KUNTI'S SON BY THE SUN. -- Mbh., Adi., 57-82; see also the references and remarks on I. \*5\*. 58-84, para iv.

V. \*4\*. 25-31: VOLUPTUOUS AGNI. — [?]. — According to the Mbh., **Ara**nyaka (critical ed.), 213, Agni was passionate for the wives of Saptarsis; and with his mind upset with passion, he ran to the forest. It is Svähä, the daughter of Daksa, that satisfied his passion.

V. \*5\*. 33-37: i) INDRA'S MISBEHAVIOUR WITH AHALYĀ, AND ii) HIS SON FROM KUNTĪ. — i) Rāmāyaņa, Bālakāņda, 48. 15-28; Mbh., Anusásana (Bombay ed.) 41, 21; also Brahmapurāņa Adhyā. 87. ii) Mbh., Ādi. (critical ed.) 57. 97. — According to Rāmā., Indra misbehaves with Ahalyā, the wife of Gautama who curses him to lose his testicles. According to the Mbh., he has thousands of pores on his body as a result of the curse; and they were afterwards changed to eyes. According to the Dhū., he was handed over to passionate pupils, but I do not find any such details in the above contexts. The Brahmapurāņa, however, tells us that Indra became a cat, but was cursed by Gautama to have thousand pores (sahasra-bhagavān) on his body. When he prayed for mercy, he was blessed to be Sahasrākṣa.

V. \*6\*. 59: See above I. \*2\*. 39-40. — The natural inference is that there could be a pretty long tail of a mouse.

V. \*7\*. 60--63: THE LONG TAIL OF HANUMAT (Rāmāyaņa).---Rāmāyaņa, Sundarakāņda, 53 (verses 5-8), 54.

V. \*8\*. 64-69: i) GANDHARIVARA CHANGED INTO KURABAKA; ii) NAHUŞA\*

- 1 Here Haribhadra quotes a rhyming couplet (No. 92) in Präkrit which he calls Khamdao. Though I could spot the necessary context, I do not find these lines in the Paümacariya, 53. 78 f.
- 2 The Sanskrit and Gujarāti Texts have Añjanā for Nīlā in this context, see verse No. 336 and p. 62, 1. 1.
- 3 Harişena gives, in his Brhat Kathâkośa, 83, a story corresponding to Haribhadra story. Therein Śamtana, or Śamtanu, has three sons, Citra, Vicitra and Citränga, who leave behind three widows, Ambā, Ambikā and Bālikā (Ambālikā) from whom Vyāsa generates Dhytarāstra, Pāņdu and Vidura respectively. Haribhadra's phrase bhāujjayā tiņņi vi presumes such a story; see also story No. 96 from the same.
- 4 The Sanskrit text gives the name Nahusa, verse 385; but the Gujarāti one Naghusa, p. 64. Is it that kira so is a wrong improvement on the original pahuso, being misread as na hu so?

BECOMES A BOA:---i) [?]; ii) Mbh., Aranyakaparvan (critical ed.), 175-178, also App. I, passages 19-20, pp. 1065f.----i) It is not clear whether Gamdharivara refers to Dhrtarāstra; ii) The Mbh. text has undoubtedly grown, and the present text shows more than seven questions.

As distinguished from the above legends, the sources of which we have tried to trace, there are some casual references in the Dhū. (I. 62-4; V. 114-18) to Purāņic personalities and events. Some of them are mere names; so some additional information may be noted here from second-hand sources.<sup>1</sup>

Haribhadra expresses (once through the mouth of Indra) that Mahāvīra alone is an exception; and that all other so-called great personalities have been victims of cupidity and womanly temptations (I. 64-4), or of passions in general (V. iii): Gautama had a wife Ahalyā by name; at times, whenever taken as identical with Dirghatamas, he is painted to be quite voluptuous.—Vasistha had for his wives  $\overline{\mathbf{U}}$ rjā, Arundhatī etc.; and he had one hundred sons.-Parāsara, unmindful of place and time, fell in passionate love with Satyavatī, the fisher-girl.-Jamadagni demanded, from king Renu, Renukā in marriage; he had five sons from her; but he was a terribly suspicious husband.-Kasyapa had more than a dozen wives and a pretty large number of sons .---Agastya once realized that his ancestors could not be rescued without his begetting a son. Then he formed a girl out of the most graceful parts of different animals and passed her secretly into the palace of the king of Vidarbha. When the child grew up, he demanded her in marriage; somehow the king consented; and she became his wife Lopāmudrā. To satisfy his wife, who had grown up in palace, he begged wealth from different kings.-Indra selected Indrani to be his wife because of her voluptuous attractions; he employed celestial nymphs to excite the passions of holy men; he is called parastrikāma-cārin, a habitual adulterer; he tried to seduce Ruci, wife of Devasarman; and his endevour to seduce Ahalyā, wife of the sage Gautama, is wellknown.-Hara's attachment for Umā, who occupies half of his body, is often mentioned in the Purāna.-Vișnu has his wife in Lakșmi; and in his incarnation of Krsna, he had 16000 wives, apart from his sports with Gopis to whom he is so much attached (V. 112-13).-Candra has twenty-seven wives, of whom Rohini is the most favourite. He carried off Tara, the wife of Brhaspati, from whom he had a son Budha by name.-Vāyu generated Bhīma from Kuntī and Hanumat from Añjanā. The hundred daughters of Kusanābha would not comply with his licentious desires, so he made them crooked in physique; and thus their city came to be called Kanyā-kubja.-Yama-Dharma had several wives as Hemalatā etc. Dharma, one of the Prajāpatis, had married thirteen (or ten) of the daughters of Daksa, and had a numerous progeny. He generated Yudhişthira from Kuntī.

<sup>1</sup> The following works are mainly used: A Classical Dictionary of India by J. Garret (Madras 1871) and its Supplement (Madras 1873); A Classical Dictionary of Hindu Mythology etc. by J. Dowson (London 1879); Vedie Mythology by A. A. Macdonell (Strassburg 1897); Epic Mythology by E. W. Hopkins (Strassburg 1915); Index to the Names in the Mahābhārata etc. by S. Sövenson (London 1904-25); Bhāratavarṣīya-caritrakośa by Chitrava (Poona 1932).

In conclusion, Haribhadra refers to a series of legends, particularly the births of Puranic personages (V. 114-18), and summarily denounces them as unnatural and unworthy of any credence. Some of them, viz., the births of Brahman (I. 53-7), Tilottamā (I. 58-84; III. 27-37), Droņa (I. 60-61), Senmukha (III. 53-86), and of Svedakundalin and Nara, i. e., Raktakundalin (I. 58-84) are already referred to in the earlier discussion; and we have noted the relevant sources above. Some particulars about the rest may be added here: There is a legend that (Pavana-?) Ganapati originated from the scurf of Pārvatī's body.--Ūrvašī was so named, because she was born from the thigh.-Nalakubara and Manigriva were excessively drunk and sporting in a nude condition in the river Ganges. When Nārada came there, the ladies clothed themselves; but these two remained naked. Nārada was offended, and cursed them to become trees for one hundred years. They were relieved by the contact of Krsna who pulled the mortar, to which he was tied by his mother. through the interspace between the pair of Arjuna trees in which they were transformed.--Vasudeva and Devaki were in the prison of Kamsa, and it is there that Devaki conceived Balarāma. But in the seventh month, through the mediation of Māyā, the embryo was transferred to the body of Rohiņī who was staying at Gokula. This Balarāma of the Yādava race is considered to be an améa of Śeşa.--Pārvatī is the daughter of Himālaya and Menā.

The success of Haribhadra's performance depends primarily, if not entirely, on the intellectual integrity and fidelity with which he has presented the Purānic legends that are being satirised. We can start prima facie that he would not dare to change the popular, mythological stories, at least their fundamentals, current in his locality and at his time; for, if he were to do so, he would be cutting the very ground under his feet, and his work would fall in the estimation of his contemporaries to whose gathering it has been already narrated at Citrakūța (V. 123).

On the whole, we have been fairly successful in tracing the counterparts of the legends, quoted by Haribhadra, to their sources available today. Haribhadra is not actually quoting in the very words of the original, but he is rewriting the legends in his words and mostly from memory. Naturally we will have to make ample concession for omissions and minor variations in expression and presentation. In some cases, Haribhadra's legends, when studied in comparison with those in the present-day texts, show differences in details. Their significance depends upon their position in the story and on their relative bearing for the purpose of denunciation. Some divergences are of minor significance: for instance, the names of the parents of Drona (I. \*6\*); whether the Sage called the Boy or vice versa (II. \*2\*); whether Renukā's chastity was respected by plants (III. \*1\*); whether Upasunda or Nisunda is the name (III. \*3\*); whether Vinatā laid two eggs or three (IV. \*7\*); whether Yudhisthira asked the boa seven questions or more (V. \*8\*); etc. Such differences do not affect the chief idea that is selected as the target for the satirical shaft. There are other differences of major significance: for instance,

5

whether; according to the Purānic legend, Kīcakas were accommodated in and born from bamboo-hollows (I.  $*3^*$ ); whether the lotus was caught stuck at Viṣṇu's navel (I.  $*5^*$ ); whether Karṇa was born through the ear (I.  $*6^*$ ); whether Drupada's bow is described in the epic to contain Nāgas etc. (II.  $*5^*$ ); whether Hanumat climbed the mountain-like Jaṭāyu (II,  $*6^*$ ); etc. If these events have no basis in the Purāṇic stories, the very edge of Haribhadra's criticism is blunted; and his denunciation of Purāṇic legends becomes impertinent.

Before we raise the question, whether Haribhadra has really taken liberty with some of the legends, we have to remember that our epics and Purānas have not come down to us exactly in the same form in which they were current at the time of Haribhadra. Their texts have been subjected to so many vicissitudes in different localities and for centuries together that the authenticity of every episode, nay of every passage, is to be judged on its own merits. This is fully demonstrated by the critical edition of the Mahābhārata. The condition of the text-tradition of the Purānas is much worse. They present such an indiscriminate fusion of text-tradition and such a bewildering medley of myths that the wits of a text-critic would be completely stunned. Very good results have been achieved by the study of Mbh. Mss.; and the late lamented Dr. V. S. Sukthankar has brilliantly sketched the pros and cons of the text-variation in the Mbh. in his following observations<sup>1</sup>: "All the difficulties in the explanation of this phenomenal variation vanish, however, as soon as we assume that the epic was handed down from bard to bard originally by word of mouth, as is clearly implied by tradition. That would explain. without any strain or violence, the existence of the mass of variants, of differences in sequence and of additions and omissions. If the text has been preserved, for any considerable period of time, only in memory and handed down by word of mouth, those are just the changes that could not possibly be avoided. It is evident that no great care would be lavished on the text by these custodians of the tradition to guard it against corruption and elaboration, or against arbitrary emendation and normalization: to reproduce the received text, which was not guarded by canonical authority or religious sanction, with any degree of precision would be neither attempted by the bards nor required of them. Whenever and wherever the text was then written down-and it was probably written down independently in different epochs and under different circumstances-these transmissions by word of mouth must have contaminated the written text and innumerable variations in it. The assumption of some such complicated derangement, beyond the normal vicissitudes of transmission, is necessary to account for the abnormal discrepancies and strange vagaries of the Mahābhārata manuscript tradition. In other words, we are compelled to assume that even in its early phases the Mahābhārata textual tradition must have been not uniform and simple, but multiple and polygenous." In another context Dr. V. S. Sukthankar has observed thus with regard to citations; and what is true about

<sup>1</sup> The Adiparvan, Poona 1933, Prolegomena, p. 79.

them nearly holds good with regard to legends and their contents<sup>1</sup>: "Then in the case of citations we must allow for failures of memory, and the quotation was never compared with the original. Moreover we must never forget that probably from time immemorial there have existed local versions of the Mahābhārata. The citations made even by very old writers were from these *local* versions. A citation by a writer of the eighth century or even the sixth century proves nothing for the Ur-Mahābhārata, that ideal but impossible desideratum; though the citation is far older than our manuscripts, it is evidence only for the text of the *local* Mahābhārata in the eighth, respectively in the sixth century, notwithstanding that the differences between the various recensions and versions of the Mahābhārata must diminish as we go back further and further."

No doubt there must have been Mss. of the epics and Purāņas (III. 53) in the days of Haribhadra, but generally the narratives were heard from the mouth of rhapsodists. That they were handed down by word of mouth, as suggested by Sukthankar, is to a very great extent confirmed by the fact that, whenever Haribhadra appeals to the authority of Puranas etc., he uses almost uniformly terms like suvvaï, suam, etc. (I. 35, 41; II. 27, 31, 61; III. 27, 38, 53, 63, 93; IV. 19, 27; V. 11, 33, 37, 60, 64, 112, 114; etc.). It is not unlikely, therefore, that some discrepancies in the details, whether minor or major, might go back to the traditional narration which was recited round about Citrakūta at the time of Haribhadra; and we can hardly hope to trace the same necessarily in the present-day texts. It is equally possible, in some cases where Haribhadra's statements show deviation from the sources, that he is criticising the legends which passed as such according to the tradition from which he got them. One cannot be dogmatic on one or the other explanation, because the sources, so far tapped, are comparatively limited. Further, when legends are quoted from memory, contamination, confusion and variation in details are inevitable. The very fact that most of the legends or their nearest counterparts we have been able to trace to their sources clearly indicates that Haribhadra did not want to put forth imaginary legends and then to condemn Though it is quite plain, it may be noted that the sources recorded by them. us do not indicate that all those works are necessarily older than Haribhadra, but it only means that those legends belong to a period earlier than that of Haribhadra. He mentions only three works by name, Bhārata, Rāmāyaņa and Vișnupurăna; and all other sources are our suggestions.

At times there is no agreement even among the Purāņic legends. For instance, according to one version, Agni was sent by gods to Šiva and Umā for a mighty son who can destroy Tārakāsura; while, according to another version, though less popular, he was sent by Indra to prevent Umā from conceiving a child. Haribhadra narrates clearly the latter version, though the Sanskrit and Gujarāti versions of the Dhū. read there, wrongly of course, the popular legend. The legend given by Haribhadra has its place in the present-day Vāyupurāņa, as noted above. It is interesting to note that, in some cases

<sup>1</sup> Prolegomena p. 29.

 $(I. *5^*; II. *5^*; IV. *3^*)$ , the details of the legends are more specifically traced in the additional passages recorded in the foot-notes and Appendix of the critical edition of the Mbh. That only means, the recension of the Mbh. which reached Haribhadra's ears was more inflated than our critical text which is constituted according to well-established and judiciously evolved canons of text criticism based on the available Mss.

Some of the legends quoted by Haribhadra appear to have been pieced together from different sources, even with mutual contamination (I.  $*6^*$ ; III.  $*3^*$ ). It is creditable to Haribhadra that the number of such cases is quite small. This is inevitable in those days when one had to depend mainly on memory, which was a storehouse of all traditional lore, and not on books, as we do today.

Among the legends, the sources of which Haribhadra has clearly mentioned, some are found in the present-day texts with substantial agreement in details (I. \*7\*; II. \*1\*, \*2\*; III. \*9\* V. \*7\*); but in three cases (I. \*3\*, \*6\*; II. \*6\*), though the sources are given as Bhārata and Rāmāyaņa, we do not find any passages in the present-day texts to support Haribhadra. That Karna was born from Kunti's ear is a common story, quite popular even to this day, though not found in the Mbh.; and it is not surprising, if it was current in the days of Haribhadra too. First, karna means the ear, and popular etymology would certainly weave out such a story; secondly, there is as well a psychological motive, namely, by Karna's birth through the ear Kuntl's virginity remains intact, his generation by Sūrya being after all miraculous, nay supernatural. Though Mbh. makes no mention of such a legend, I think, the birth of Kicakas from kicakas or bamboo-hollows is similarly based on popular etymology; such a legend might have been current in the days of Haribhadra; and it is quite in tune with a host of legends in the epics and Purāņas with which the 'miraculous germination of the semen into human beings' is a regular apparatus for the generation of the great men of the past about whose birth nothing exciting was specially known to the chronicler'. Coming to the episode of Jatayu, the epics do mention the mountain-like shape of Jatayu; and thereby Haribhadra's purpose is served. But the details of the story cannot be fitted in the present mould of Vālmiki's Rāmāyaņa. Haribhadra is quoting a Sanskrit verse' in that context; and it would indicate that he has some definite source before him.

My observations on the sources of Haribhadra's legends, I am quits aware, are tentative; and I hope that those scholars, who have better library facilities at their disposal and who have a closer study of the Purāņas, would try to shed more light on this topic.

# 5. Sanskrit and Gujarāti Dhūrtākhyāpas

The Sanskrit version of the Dhurtakhyana, included in this edition,

1 The Sanskrit and Gajarāti Versions do not give this quatation.

is extracted from the Tattvakaumudi<sup>1</sup> which is a Vivarana or Vrtti by Sanghatilaka on the Samyaktvasaptatikā<sup>2</sup>, attributed to Haribhadra<sup>8</sup> himself. The prasasti of the Vrtti<sup>4</sup> gives a good bit of information about Sanghatilaka and his predecessors in the ascetic line. It opens with the glorification of Candragaccha to which belonged the following teachers: Vardhamāna, Jineśvara, Abhayadeva I (rangan navángi-mahāvrtti-Stambhana-Pārsvanātha jinarāt-mūrti-prakāsaikakrt the author of the commentaries on the nine Angas, [ who became a Sūri in Samvat 1088 or 1031 A. D. ])<sup>5</sup>, Jinavallabha, Jinasekhara, Padmacandra, Vijayacandra, Abhayadeva II (from whom started the Rudrapalliva-gaccah) Devabhadra, Prabhānanda, Śrīcandra & Vimalacandra, Guņaśekhara and lastly Sanghatilaka, the author of Tattvakaumudī. Sanghatilaka had his vidyā-guru in Jinaprabha<sup>6</sup> whose erudition had pleased, Sāhi Mahammada at Dhilli<sup>7</sup>. He composed the Vrtti at the request of Devendra who was an anuja of Somatilaka, an eminent pupil of Sanghatilaka himself. It was composed at Sārasvatapattana (Pāțana?) [ and finished ] during Dipotsava (i. e., October-November ) in the year 1422 (srimad-Vikrama-vatsare dvi nayanâmbhodhi-kşapākrt prame) of the Vikrama era, i.  $e_{.,-57} = 1365$  A. D.

This Sanskrit Dhūrtākhyāna is neither a Chāyā nor a literal and studious metrical rendering or paraphrase of the Prākrit original, though it is solely based on it. Saṅghatilaka, there is not the least doubt, has the Prākrit text of Haribhadra before him; he is trying to present its contents, in simple Sanskrit verses, with sufficient closeness and strictly adhering to the same

- 1 This is published by the Devachandra Lālabhāi Jaina Pustakoddhāra Fund, No. 35, Bombay 1916. This copy reached my hands rather late, so some details I have noted in the foot-notes.
- 2 This Sk. Dhurtākhyāna forms a part of the commentary on verse No. 12 which runs thus: मोहिजाइ मंदमई कुदिट्टिवयणेहिँ गुविलढंढेहि । दूरेण वजित्रयव्वा तेण इमे मुद्धवुद्धीहि ॥ १२ ॥. The story is introduced with this remark: एतदर्थसत्यापनाथे वैश्रमणश्रेष्ठिदृष्टानो मूलदेवादिपद्धधूर्तसरूपोपलक्षित: प्रतन्यते, तथाहि— समस्ति etc. At the close there are 28 verses more than what is printed here; they have nothing to do with Dhu. proper. They tell us that Vaiśramaņa, by hearing all this from Susthita, developed firm Samyaktva. He accepted the vows of a householder. His wife also accepted ratna-traya-dharma. Even when his wife was troubled by a Vyantarī, Vaiśramaņa's faith in Jina was unshaken. In due course he attained liberation. The concluding portion runs thus: इत्थं वैश्रमणस्य चाह चरितं द्वत्वा श्रुतिशीणकं, मा रामायणभारतश्रुतिभवैवोक्धेक्विंबाराक्षमेः । मालिन्यं नयतातिनिमेल्तमं सदर्शनं पावनं, येन स्युभेवतां जिनागमविदा श्रमिशिय: मुस्थिरा: ॥ ४५५ ॥ [ इती ] कुदर्शनवर्जनविषये वेश्रमणस्था ॥. This edition of the commentary does not give any chapter headings or endings. Saṅghatilaka does not appear to have mentioned either Haribhadra or the name of the work, Dhurtākhyāna.
- 5 Jaina Granthāvali, p. 191.
- 4 Peterson: Reports I, pp. 92-4; Reports III, Index of Authors, p. 124; also the Praéasti in the above edition p. 237.
- 5 Peterson: Reports IV, p. iv.
- 6 Peterson: Reports IV, Index of Authors, pp. 37-8.
- 7 This king is apparently Muhammad Tughluq (1325-1351 A. D.) of Delhi. For a detailed biography of Jinaprabhasūri, see the Intro. by Nahtā to the Vidhimārgaprapā, ed. Jinavijayaji, Bombay 1941.

sequence of narration; and he attempts to include most of the ideas from the original, at times in identical expressions (Pk. I. 76, Sk. 66 etc.). He is more after narrating the story with as few secondary details as possible. Whenever there are lengthy descriptions in the Prākrit text, he either summarises them or adds his own with a bit of independence. It may be that even metrical exigencies have frustrated his attempts to be more close and literal in his rendering. Sometimes he expresses the original with remarkable compactness (verses 82, 103, etc.) and in a few cases with elegance and beauty (125, etc.). He shows that he is fairly acquainted with the details of Purānic mythology: the proper names are freely substituted by other synonyms (Svāmin = Skanda, Mahāsena = Şaņmukha, etc.); once an additional source, Bharata (102) is rightly added; and sometimes are added supplementary details, such as, the names Dhūmornā and Nahuşa (verses 351, 385) and the birth of Kārtikeya for the destruction of Tārakāsura (204 f.). Sometimes his references to the sources are not quite to the point (169) and in one place (106) it is a bit obscure.

Perhaps a verse between Nos. 86<sup>1</sup> and 87 is missing. Possibly in his zeal of summarising the story, Sanghatilaka skips over certain portions of the Präkrit text, viz., I. 30-4, V. 115 f. The contents of some verses, for instance, III. 83, V. 80, are not fully covered: the latter, it may be noted presents some difficulties of interpretation. The legend of Varāha lifting the earth (III. \*10\*) is missed by him, somewhere between verses 236-38. As to the quotations in the original, it appears that the author wants to present his text purely in Sanskrit: some of the Prākrit quotations (II. 50, III. 67) are nicely rendered into Sanskrit (125, 215); some of those in Sanskrit are duly reproduced; but it is difficult to say why some (II. 73, IV. 92, V. 4, 5, 103) are skipped over without any trace.

More than once Sanghatilaka not only shows difference in ideas but also adds new ideas, when compared with the Prākrit original (see for instance: Nos. 21d, 23d, 159b, 161d, 199d, 204b, 239d, 242d, 243d, 316ab, 409d, 416d, etc.). Some of these are apt similes, quite creditable to any poet.

There are many places where one can easily take exception to Sanghatilaka's rendering of the original: *lhasiya-celam* (I. 60) =  $d\bar{u}_{s}ita-v\bar{a}sasam$  (52); seam (I. 74) = sesam (64); Sea-kumdalī nāma' (I. 75) = Sitakundali-nāmā (65); namiūna Jiņavarimdam (II. 24) = nrpatim natvā (99)<sup>8</sup>; phuttam du bhāgajāyam (II. 29) = trividhatām agamat (104); etc. His statement that the progeny of Gaurī and Śamkara was required for destroying Tāraka (203-4),

1 On comparing with the ed. of the commentary, I find an additional verse which runs thus: तस्य मध्ये महाकाय: सच्छायो वटपादप:। कमलाख्यो महायक्षस्तन्मूलमधितिष्ठति ॥ [८६ \*१]॥.

<sup>2</sup> The Gujarāti text reads S'vetakundalī nāmi.

<sup>3</sup> In this particular case, as required by the context, Sanghatilaka appears to have had before him a reading like *namiūņa naravarinidam*, because Jina is not at all introduced in the earlier part of the story. The presiding deity is a Yakşa. The Gujarāti text also says: rājānẽ praņamī.

though quite in agreement with a Purānic tradition, is contradictory to the explicit statement of Haribhadra (III. 55) who follows a slightly different tradition<sup>1</sup>.

On account of its being a part of the commentary, the opening and concluding portions of the Sanskrit Dhūrtākhyāna do not give it an appearance of a self-sufficient unit. More than once, it comes to our help in clearly understanding the Prākrit text of Haribhadra<sup>2</sup>.

The Dhūrtākhyāna in Old-Gujarātī, included in this edition, mentions at its close that it was written (*lişitam*) or copied by Pt. Lakşmīkīrti, the pupil of Ratnasundaragaņi of the Jinamānikya-śākhā of the Kharatara-gaecha at Udaipura in Samvat 1758 (-57 = 1701 A. D.), Kārtika Šukla, 12, Saturday. The word *lişitam* should ordinarily mean 'copied'; so this proof is not enough to infer that Lakṣmīkīrti is the author of this Gujarāti work. In fact, we do not know the name of its author; and all that we can say about him is this much: he flourished carlier than 1701 A. D.; he had realised that the Dhūrtākhyāna was an effective attack against the Purāņic religion and the Purāņas; and he wrote the tales, from the Dhūrtākhyāna of Haribhadra, in the *loka-bhāṣā*, that they might be intelligible to laymen (*bālāvabodha-rūpāh kathāh*).

The author's primary aim is to narrate the stories included by Haribhadra in his Dhū. He closely follows the Prākrit text, and mainly concentrates his attention on narrating the stories, ignoring the mosaic descriptions of Haribhadra and spicy similes of Sanghatilaka. At times his rendering is quite literal. To make the narration effective, he amplifies the original by additional remarks (p. 49, ll. 19, 22-3; p. 60, ll. 5-6; p. 64, ll. 21-23; etc.). Some verses in the Prākrit (III. 83, V. 80) are not duly covered, and some details (V. 115 f.) are disposed off summarily. Partly agreeing with Sanghatilaka, some quotations are not included (II. 73, IV. 92, V. 4, 5, 18, 103). Somehow I. 87\*1 is quoted with some different readings.

There is not the least doubt that the author is mainly following the **Präkrit text**: his Gujarāti rendering, as a rule and throughout the work, agrees more with Präkrit original than with the Sanskrit version of Sanghatilaka; two quotations (II. 50, III. 67) which are rendered into Sanskrit by Sanghatilaka, are quoted in Präkrit, as in the original, by our author (pp. 54, 57); and one legend, III. \*10\*, omitted by the Sk. text, is duly given by him (p. 58). Though the Präkrit text is mainly and closely followed, there is sufficient proof to indicate that he has consulted the Sanskrit text of Sanghatilaka. Many points and remarks, which are not found in the Präkrit but are present in

<sup>1</sup> See III. \*6\* above.

<sup>2</sup> In continuation of what my friend Dr. A. M. Ghatage has said (New Indian Antiquary, I, 5, August 1938), I might record here that Sanghatilaka uses the form mutkalāpya (334, 394), gerundive from the root mutkalāp; and it stands once for āucchiūņa, 'having taken leave' (V. 9), and once for pucchium, 'having taken permission' (V. 74) in the original Präkrit.

#### DHURTAKEYANA :

the Sanskrit text, are seen in this Gujarāti version. At I. 35 Haribhadra has Bhārahe purāņe  $\alpha$ ; Sanghatilaka has in the corresponding passage (29) S'rī-Rāmāyaņa-Bhārate; and the Guja. text has Bhārata Rāmāyaṇādika gramthe (p. 50, l. 24). This is perhaps the only reference, in the first two chapters, indicating explicitly the influence of the Sk. text. From the third chap. onwards we see more of this influence, and even some phrases here and there remind us of the Sk. text: Pk. dhāuvāya-pisāeṇa (III. 1), Sk. dhātuvādādayam vyasanaih (150), Guj. dhātuvādādāka vyasanai (p. 55); Pk. no specific number, Sk. stenāh pañca satīmitāh (159), Guj. pāmca saī caura (p. 56); etc<sup>1</sup>. Then in describing the origin of Skanda (p. 57) to destroy Tārakāsura, the Gujarāti version closely follows the Sk. text, so also in supplying the names of Dhūmorņā Nahuşa, etc. Thus the writer of the Guj. Dhūrtākhyāna has used Sanghatilaka's work here and there.

The author claims that these stories are being written in  $loka-bh\tilde{a}_{S\tilde{a}}$ which means the popular language (current, say roughly, in Northern Gujarāta, sometime before 1701 A. D.) as distinguished from the literary languages like Sanskrit, Prākrit and even Apabhramśa. The author, it is clear, is well-versed in Pākrit and Sanskrit; and inevitably the large sprinkling of Sanskrit words, seen in these stories, is due more to the author's command over Sk. vocabulary than to the actual usage of the contemporary loka-bhasa. This inference is quite natural when we look at the percentage of pure Sanskrit words in any modern Indian language as used by a Sastrin, or even an educated man, and an illiterate villager. Loan words are an unsafe evidence in understanding the essential grammatic structure of any loka-bhāṣā, because they can be easily grafted anywhere; and it is all the more true in the case of religious books whose topics were usually described in Sanskrit terms. So the loka-bhāsā, or Old-Gujarāti, in which our author wrote could not have contained so many Sk. words; and we should study its nature by taking into account declensional forms, verbal formations, particles etc. An exhaustive study of the language cannot be attempted here; but I would simply note a few formations which are interesting to a student of Apabhramśa and post-Apabhramśa stages especially in Rājasthāna and Gujarāta. Nominal forms : joyana, thāma 'a place', tarasī 'thirst', pāyaka 'a foot-soldier', be striu, bhojāio (Pk. bhāujjāyā), māchiņi 'a fisher woman', rātā phala 'red fruits'. Pronominal forms: tum, mujha, hum (also hume) etc. Particles : jima, pina 'also, but', v. l. pani (p. 50, l. 11, foot-note 13), ma, hethäi, hethi, v. l., hethum. Verbal forms: ūpanā, kahau, chai, joum, jovai, dājhato, paithum, paisūm, parani, paranai, biha, bhāgo, mūo, mokalajyo, lāgo, sakai, humto, etc<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Compare Sanskrit verses 231, 236, 262 with the corresponding Gujarati portions.

<sup>2</sup> It is interesting to compare these forms with those recorded in the Index of A Study of the Gujarāti Language by T. N. Dave, London 1935. The prose commentary in Old Gujarāti (on the Upadeśamālā) on which Dr. Dave's study is based is called Bālāvabodha; and it may be noted that the Gujarati version of the Dhū. also bears the same name.

The above illustrations are picked up at random, and there is no pretension to an exhaustive study. Apart from the large number of Sanskrit loan words, this  $loka-bh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$  shows many words used in Prākrits; and some of the particles are the same as those in Apabhramśa. The pronouns and verbal formations of the Apabh. stage have undergone a great deal of change which is natural and unavoidable in the evolution of spoken languages. Some changes are just a matter of shifting pronunciation:  $kaha\ddot{u} > kahau$ , dajjhanto>dajhato, mokkalijja $\ddot{u}$  > mokalajyo, sakka $\ddot{u}$  > sakai, etc. Rājasthānī and Gujarāti are more lucky than any other modern Indian language because of their rich heritage of carlier stages of the language; and a careful study of the texts, from century to century, would certainly demonstrate the evolution of these languages through Prākrit, Apabhramśa and post-Apabh. stages of the Middle Indo-Aryan.

The Sanskrit and Gujarāti Dhūrtākhyānas cannot be judged as original contributions like their Prākrit counterpart; they definitely indicate, however, that the performance of Haribhadra did appeal to subsequent generations; and, as they stand today, they are useful aids to understand and check the Prākrit text of Haribhadra.

## 6. Dhurtakhyana and Dharma-pariksa

We come across a pretty large number works called Dharma-pariksā (Dp.)<sup>1</sup>. Most of them are not critically examined as yet. It is Amitagati's Dp. that has been exhaustively studied<sup>2</sup>, and it can be accepted as a representative of Dp. texts for all practical purposes. Vrttavilāsa, Padmasāgara and others have mechanically followed Amitagati's Dp. By the discovery of Harisena's Dp. (Samvat 1044, -56 = A. D. 988), which was written 26 years earlier than that of Amitagati (Samvat 1070, -56 = A. D. 1014), it is clear now that Amitagati is not the creator of the plot of Dp. Amitagati tells us that he composed his work in two months<sup>3</sup>: no doubt, he is a gifted didactic poet with a flowing Sanskrit style; but he does not mention his predecessors. Harisena, however, frankly says that the Dp. which was formerly composed by Jayarāma in Gāthā metre is now composed by him in Paddhadiyā metre. Unfortunately Jayarāma's Dp. has not come to light so far. Harişena's Dp. is in Apabhramsa; there is no conclusive evidence to establish that Amitagati solely followed Harisena; the plot of the story, adopted by both Harisena and Amitagati, is remarkably identical; what Harisena says would indicate that almost all his material was present in Jayarāma's Dp.; and Amitagati's Sanskrit expression betravs Prākritisms in narrative portions. All these facts go to indicate that Jayarāma's

<sup>1</sup> See my paper 'Harişeņa's Dharma-parīkšā in Apabhramśa' in the Silver Jubilee Number, Annals of the B. O. R. I., vol. XXIII, pp. 592-608.

<sup>2</sup> N. Mironow: Die Dharma-parīkšā des Amitagati, Leipzig 1903.

<sup>3</sup> The Sanskrit text with Hindi translation has been published by Pannalal Bakaliwal, Bombay 1901; another edition giving the Marāthi translation of Pt. Bahubali Shurma has appeared lately, Sangli 1931. One feels the necessity of a critical edition of Amitagati's text.

work was in Gāthā metre, the language being Saurasenī or Māhārāstrī; and for the present we might presume that the plot of Dp. owes its birth to Jayarāma.

Comparing the works of Harisena and Amitagati one finds that, so far as the the events of the plot and their sequence are concerned, both of them are in close agreement. This indicates that Amitagati has not taken much liberty with the structure of the plot. Harisena's text is not available in print; so I give below the analysis of the narrative structure<sup>1</sup> of Amitagati's Dp. which would enable us to compare and contrast the two works, Dhū, and Dp.

In Jambūdvīpa, on the southern range of Vijayārdha, in the town named Vaijayantī, there lived a Vidyādhara king Jitasatru and his queen Vāyuvegā who had a son Manovega, endowed with faith in Jina's doctrines. His friend Pavanavega, prince of Priyāpurī, had no such faith; and he was much worried on that account. Once returning from his visit to Jina-temples, his vimana stopped at Ujjainī, in Mālava; and in its northern park there was an omniscient monk, Jinamati, on whom he waited with reverence. Jinamati expounded to the audience the proportion of pleasure and pain in samsara with the explanation of madhu-bindu-drstanta and the consequences of religious and irreligious life. Manovega asked Jinamati whether his friend Pavanavega would possess samyaktva; and he got a reply that he could enlighten his friend by taking him to Pāțaliputra. Pavanavega was anxious to meet his friend Manovega whom he met on the way to the joy of both. Manovega told him how he visited various Jaina holy places and came to Pātaliputra, a prosperous centre of Brahmanic ritual and learning. Pavanavega expresses his impatience to visit Pāțaliputra, and next morning both of them reached there and got down in the park.

I. Both of them, though decked with ornaments, assumed the form of faggot-grass-sellers. It was a surprising sight to one and all who began to speculate about them. They reached the Brahma-sālā, bet the drum, and sat on the golden seat. At this challenge, Brāhmaņas rushed forth for dispute. They wondered at their splendour and grace, and almost mistook them for gods. On being pointedly questioned, Manovega, requesting them not to be irritated, told them that all that they did was out of mere curiosity and that they were mere grass-selling boys whose prototypes were found in Bhārata stories. One Brāhmaņa asked: 'How can there be grass-faggotsellers decorated with jewels? If such cases are found in the Rāmāyaņa

<sup>1</sup> Mironow follows the rule 'divide et impera' and presents the summaries of various stories separately. The method is admirable and quite suited for studying the stories. But the analysis of disjoined stories does not clearly bring out the effect of entire work, especially of the fantastic tales and the bearing of subsequent legends on their points. Just to bring out this aspect of Dp. clearly, I have added here a bit detailed summary. Those who want more particulars about individual stories may either consult the original Sk, text or Mironow's dissertation.

and Bhārata, we would like to hear them.' Manovega said that he would explain everything, if there are any thoughtful (vicāraka) among them; otherwise his fate would be like that of Madhukara who received 16 strokes for telling the truth about the heaps of pepper and grains in different parts of the country. The leader assured him that they were all thoughtful Brāh-Further Manovega told them that he feared to speak, if any of them manas. belonged to the following ten types of men (about whom ten stories are narrated): 1) rakta, a passionate husband duped by his younger wife; 2) dvista, who wants his son to take revenge on his enemy by using his dead body; 3)  $m\bar{u}dha$ , a silly priest outwitted by his unchaste wife and pupil; 4) vyudgrahin, a blind block-head prince; 5) pitta- $d\bar{u}_sita$ , a bilious fellow mistaking sweet as sour; 6)  $c\bar{u}t\alpha$ , a wreckless king who pulled out the mango tree; 7)  $k_{\bar{s}\bar{u}r\alpha}$ , a foolish Tomara chief who illtreated a milch cow; 8) aguru, an ignoramus who could not understand the value of aguru plantation; 9) candana, a washerman incapable of recognising sandal; and 10) balisa: Four fools, once upon a time, saluted a Jaina saint, endowed with various religious and ascetic virtues, who uttered the blessing 'dharma vrddhi'. They began to quarrel as to whom it was meant for; and when they asked the monk, he told them that it was meant for him who was decided to be the most foolish by the wise people of the town after hearing their biographies. 10a) Visameksana narrated thus: 'One day I was sleeping with my two wives on both sides. Just for joke, I placed the lamp on my forehead. A mischevous rat pulled the burning wick, and one of my eyes was scorched. Afraid of disturbing my wives, I allowed my eye to be burnt.' 10b) Kuntahamsagati spoke thus: 'Rksi and Khari are my most ugly wives; their mutual jealous abuse and quarrel made me lose both my legs; and being afraid of them, I tolerated all this.' 10c) Bota (or Boda) said thus: 'I had been to my father-in-law. At night, I had a bet with my wife that one who speaks first should give a rich feast. A thief entered the house and collected all the treasure. He began stripping my wife of her clothes on the body. I kept quiet. She began to abuse me at the loss of wealth and at her insult. I at once told her that she lost the game by speaking first, and she should give the feast now.' 10d) Gallasphotika told his tale thus: 'I had gone to the house of my father-in-law. Out of bashfulness and seeing some hedious sight, I did not take my meals. As time passed, I was overpowered I was just gulping a handful of fine rice kept in a basket by hunger. below the cot when my wife came there, and I could not swallow them. My mouth was full to the brim; I could not speak; and my cheeks grew hard. There was a great row, and different explanations were offered about my disease. A skilful physician diagnosed my trouble, calling it tanduliya ailment; he quietly bored my cheeks and took out rice particles. Thus I made myself an object of ridicule.' After hearing these tales, the Brahmanas assured Manovega that none from them belonged to any of the ten types and that he should answer their question. Manovega spoke thus: 'Visnu is a mighty divinity, full of glory, grace and power; then how is it that he stayed

### **DHŪRTĀKE**ĀTNA :

as a cowherd-boy at Gokula sporting in various ways, that he became a messeager of Pāṇḍavas and a charioteer of Arjuna, that he begged at Bali's door, and that he suffered from Sītā's separation? If that divine Viṣṇu could do mean acts, what is wrong in our selling grass and faggots? The Brāhmaņas felt disillusioned; and they could see how god Viṣṇu was exposed to so many blemishes and inconsistencies, and how Purāṇas were full of contradictions.

II. Second time Manovega became a rough hunter, and his friend, a cat without ears, put in a pot. They met Brähmanas as before, and told them how the cat had the power of warding off rats at miles' distance and that it was for sale. Brähmanas realized its utility and were ready to buy it at the quoted price. On examination, however, it was found that it was devoid of ears which, Manovega explained, were eaten by ferocious rats one night. Brähmanas laughed at his daring contradiction. Manovega refused to admit that the single flaw of not having ears spoiled all other virtues of the cat. The Brähmanas assured him that they were not 'Frogs in the Well'; but they questioned him straight: 'How can this flaw of the cat be tolerated?'

Manovega then narrated the legend of passionate Agni (or of Yama and Chāyā): 'The ascetic Mandapakauśika feared that he might lose heaven for want of progeny, so he married a widow from whom he had a beautiful daughter Chāyā by name. While going on piligrimage, he just thought to what god he should entrust his daughter who would not be able to stand the strain of journey. He began scrutinizing the acts and character of different gods: Isvara is extremely passionate; Hari is not satisfied with 16 thousand Gopis; Brahman was mad after Tilottamā and lost his head, and once he generated Jāmbava from a bear; and Indra misbehaved with Ahalyā. All these gods are victims of cupid. So he kept Chāyā with Yama who too, as days passed, fell in love with her and always carried her in his stomach. Agni was jealous of Yama's prize. One day when Yama had taken her out on the bank of Ganges, Agni mated with her. Just at that moment Yama came there. She swallowed Agni, and Yama swallowed her. Agni was missing to the distress of all. At last Vāyu managed to expose both Chāyā and Agni. Agui tried to conceal himself when pursued by Yama. If Agni can still be a god with all this blemish, my cat's virtues can remain intact without ears." Brāhmaņas admitted that Purāņas were inconsistent. Manovega further pointed out the blemishes of Śamkara, Vișņu, Brahman, Indra, Yama, Agni, Sun, etc.

III. Third time Manovega assumed the form of a nude Jaina monk; and accompained by Pavanavega, he met Brāhmaņas as before. They assured him that they were not like that king of Campā who illtreated his truth-speaking minister, and requested him to narrate how he became a monk etc. Manovega spoke thus: 'My father is Munidatta, a Śrāvaka from Śrīpura; and he entrusted me to an ascetic for study. Once I was sent out with the Kamaṇḍalu to bring water, but I sat playing with it on the road. Having learnt from other pupils that the teacher was angry, I ran towards the town. On the way a mountain-like elephant rushed against me. Helpless and terrified I entered into the Kamandalu which was placed on the branch of bhinda; the elephant also rushed into it, and began tearing my clothes with its trunk. I ran out through the spout; the elephant also followed me; but with its tail stuck there, it fell on the ground. I reached a Jaina temple, and not getting ctothes I accepted this traditional form of a Jaina monk.' The Brahmanas laughed at him as a fantastic lier telling impossibilities. When they assured him to abandon such scriptures as contained these details, be narrated to them the following episodes: Arjana brought the Nāgaloka through an arrow-hole; Agastya drank the whole ocean, and his Kamandalu containing the universe was put on the branch of an atasi plant; and Brahman's hair was caught at Visnu's navel when the former came out of latter's stomach after seeing there the universe which was once missing. If these legends are true, it is quite possible that the elephant could pass through the Kamandaluhole, be accommodated in the Kamandalu, and have it's tail stuck in the spout. The Brāhmaņas were thus silenced; and they were further instructed by him on the faults of divinities and on the true nature of God.

IV. Fourth time Manovega became a Tāpasa, and accompanied by his friend, met the Brāhmaņas as before. On condition that they are quite considerate, he narrated his tale thus: 'At the time of my mother's marriage there was a confusion created by an elephant. She rushed out with the bridegroom at whose touch she fell in a swoon, but he ran away. It was discovered that she was pregnant due to his touch. Hearing of a 12 years' famine from Tāpasas, I remained in the womb all the while and came out when the famine was over. As soon as I was born, I took a pot and demanded food. It was considered ominous; and I had to leave home and become a Tāpasa like this. My mother was righly married again, as though she tried to follow Draupadi's example. I came here on my religious tour.' The Brahmanas said that he was a first-rate lier talking impossible things; they admitted that they were open to correction, if such details were found in their Scriptures. Manovega, to confirm his experience, narrated the following legends: Bhagīratha was conceived at women's mutual contact; Gandhārī became pregnant by embracing a jackfruit tree; Abhimanyu understood cakra-vyūha while he was in the womb; Mandodari conceived at the contact of her father's semen, had her foetus restrained in the womb for seven thousand years, and delivered Indrajit after being married to Rāvaņa; Vyāsa accepted renunciation immediately after his birth, and his mother remained still a virgin; Kuntī remained a kanyā even after begetting a son from the Sun; and Candramati was still a kanyā after Nāgaketu's birth and was married to Uddālaka. If these Purānic legends are true, there is nothing incredible in my mother conceiving me at her beloved's touch, in my hearing about the famine from the womb, in my remaining there for twelve years, in my becoming an ascetic soon after my birth, and in my mother becoming again a kanyā after delivering me.' Manovega further enlightened Pavanavega and all others there by narrating the true tales about Karna, Vyāsa Pändavas, etc. He illustrated to them how people mechanically and thoughtlessly follow others, as in that story of the Copper Pot.

V. Fifth time Manovega, along with Pavanavega, became a Buddhist monk (rakta-pata); and on being assured by Brāhmaņas that they were thoughtful, he narrated his wonderful experience: 'We are devotees of Buddhist monks. Once with sticks in our hands we were appointed by them to guard their clothes spread in sun-shine. Two terrific jackals came there, and we mounted the Stūpa with fear; they, however, lifted it up, and flew away speedily. When they dropped us with a view to devour us, there arrived Bhillas with dogs; and the jackals ran away. As we were in an unknown country, we easily became Buddhist monks.' Brāhmaņas wondered at his astonishing lies; but he reminded them of the following legend: According to the Rāmāyaṇa, each monkey lifted five mountains. If this is true, two jackals can easily lift a Stūpa.

VI. At last Manovega and Pavanavega became Svetāmbara monks (sveta bhiksu) and met the Brāhmaņas who asked them why they became monks and who was their guru. Manovega continued in reply: 'Once our father Arunaśrika sent us into the forest to graze the sheep, because the shepherd had to attend his father who was down with fever. I requested my companion to tend the sheep, so that in the meanwhile I might eat some kapittha fruits. The desire for fruits was very strong, but the tree was too tall. I went near, cut my head, and threw it on the tree requesting it to eat the fruits; as it ate fruits on the tree, my stomach was getting full below; and when I was satisfied, the head came down and joined the body as before. I looked for my brother, but found him asleep and all the sheep lost. We feared that our father would beat us; so we went away to a distant territory and accepted this comfortable garb.' Manovega further confirmed to the Brähmanas the truth of his experience by narrating the following legends: Cutting his nine heads Rāvaņa offered them to Sambhu, and these were again joined to his body; Dadhimukha's head could get itself joined with another's trunk; parts of Angada's body were joined by Hanumat; Jarāsamdha's body was constituted of different parts; Skanda's person was made up of six portions; and when the priests are fed, the ancestors are satisfied. If all this, narrated in Brahmanic scriptures, is true, there is nothing improbable in my own head joining the body, and in my stomach being filled when my mouth eats kapittha fruits.'

The Brāhmaņas were discomfited, and had no replies to give. Manovega explained to Pavanavega various other flaws in the religion and scriptures of Brāhmaņas; he expounded to him how the heretical creeds originated; and he also propounded Jaina principles and practices at length. Pavanavega came to possess samyaktva and duly accepted the vows of a Śrāvaka. Both Manovega and Pavanavega led a happy life of religious householders.

The Purāņa-like beginning, the religio-didactic discourse in the form of madhu-bindu-drṣṭānta, the abnormal proportion of folk-tales introduced by way of illustration, the different names of characters, immediate purpose of converting Pavanavega to Jainism by his pious friend Manovega, constant repetition of moral teachings and religious prescriptions, casual and side attacks on gods

and holy men, and above all the general frame-work of the story make Dp. much different from Dhū. But if we go a bit deeper and study Dp. more analytically and scrutinisingly, the inner kernel of Dp., though heavily superimposed with good many accessories and details, remarkably corresponds with that of Dhū. The mode of presentation is somewhat different, but the purpose is the same. Dhū. is a satiric attack on the Purānic legends referring to deities and holy personalities; and Dp., as indicated even by its name, is out to scrutinize the credentials of other religions by criticising their deities and mythology, of course for a specified and immediate aim of converting Pavanavega. Haribhadra's concluding suggestion (V. 122) that the study of Dhū. leads to darsana-suddhi has been fully developed later1: Dp. actually converts Pavanavega who comes to possess samyaktva; and the narration of the Dhū,story, according to Sanghatilaka, makes Vaisramana-sreathin a pious believer (su-srāddha) with firm faith in Jina. What is implicit, artistic and detached in the Dhurtakhyana becomes outspoken, religio-didactic and propagandistic in the Dharmapariksa. In Dhu. the five Dhurtas narrate tales of fantastic personal experience, and some points from them are confirmed to be reliable by other Dhurtas after quoting parallel legends from Puranas. In Dp. Manovega himself, being a Vidyādhara, assumes six different forms; and then he either presents an inconsistent situation or narrates an improbable tale of personal experience. When his inconsistencies are exposed by the learned gathering of Brāhmaņas, he silences them by quoting similar episodes from their Purānas. Besides this fundamental similitude between Dhū. and Dp., there are closely corresponding motifs in the fantastic autobiographical tales; and some of the confirmatory legends are common. Muladeva and the Jaina monk tell nearly the same episode of Kamandalu and Elephant<sup>2</sup>. Immediatly after their birth, Khandapānās son walked away taking leave of his mother; and Tāpasa demanded food and accepted renunciation. Sasa lifted up the elephant-hide bag full of oil; and the two jackals, in the Buddhist monk's tale, lift up a Stupa. Elāşādha's head, cut off by the thieves, eats badara fruits on the tree: and the Svetāmbara monk throws his head on the tree in order to eat kapittha Legends like Brahman's lotus or hair sticking at Vișnu's navel, fruits. Vyāsa's birth, Monkeys lifting mountains, and the physical make up of Jarāsamdha and Ṣanmukha are quoted in both Dhū. and Dp. to confirm almost common motifs. Though not in identical contexts there are many details and legends common to both Dhū. and Dp.: loose morals of gods (Dhū. I. 60 f.; Dp. XI. 21 f.); Brahman and Tilottamā (Dhū. I. 58-84; Dp. XI. 29 f.);

<sup>1</sup> Though the Dhū. does not mention any such event, the Prabhāvakacarita of Prabhācandra (A. D. 1277) records that Haribhadra diverted that layman Kārpāsika from partiality for the Bhārata and Itihāsas by telling him the five stories of rogues (kitava-kathānaka-pañcaka), and won him over to the Jaina faith (verses IX: 207-12). Prabhācandra quotes V. 120 thus: एवं लोइयकव्वं गद्धहॉलेंड व बाहिरे मट्ठं। मंतो फोडिज्जंत तुसबुसमुसमीसियं सब्बं॥; and to explain this verse Haribhadra is said to have composed the Dhūrtākhyāna.

<sup>2</sup> Two adjectives of the elephant are almost common to Dhū, and Dp.

Indra and Ahalyä (Dhü. V. 83-36; Dp. XI. 61 f.); Agni's mating with Yame's beloved<sup>1</sup> (Dhū. V. 26-30; Dp. XI. especially 82 f.); Agastya drinking the ccean (Dhū. IV. 27; Dp. XIII. 18 f.); Kunti's sons from Sūrya etc. (Dhū. I. 82 f., V. 11, 23, 37; Dp. XIV. 91, XV. 11); the male organ of Siva (Dhū. I. 39-4, V. 59; Dp. XIII. 80)<sup>2</sup>; etc.

The hungry Dhurtas narrating their experiences and confirming the same with Puranic legends during a rainy week, with their dinner depending on the decision of their discussion, present a back-ground (in Dhū.) which is much dissimilar to that depicted in Dp. in which a learned gathering of Brāhmaņas of Pātaliputra is visited on six successive days by Manovega (with his friend Pavanavega) who, modestly avoiding debate with Brāhmaņas even after he beats the drum and sits on the golden seat, narrates fantastic tales and himself confirms the same with Puranic legends, when challenged about the veracity of his statements. In Dhū. it is the autobiographical experience that forms the back-bone of the story, the points of which are confirmed by Puranic legends; and so it is in the last four stories, i. e., III-VI, of the Dharma-parīkṣā. The first two contexts in Dp., i. e., I and II, present not an inconsistent and improbable story, but an incredible situation presented to the eye: those who have ornaments on their body cannot be grass-faggot-sellers, and a cat which is claimed to scare away rats at miles' distance by its presence cannot have its ears eaten by rats. The first situation is justified by the example of Vișnu; and the second by saying that a single flaw of the cat can be tolerated or ignored as in the case of god Agni. The Dhū. has no Brahmanic audience explicitly before it, while in Dp. Manovega is actually made to encounter the Brähmanas in their own citadel and to outwit them by openly pointing out and criticising inconsistent elements in the Purānic mythology. The episode of the Buddhist monk in Dp. (No. V) is not quite successful, because it affords opportunity to satirize only one legend from the Rāmāyaņa.

Jayarāma's Dp. is not available to us, and Harisena's Dp. is still in MSS. If we are to be guided by Amitagati's work, we find that he attacks vehemently the Purānic deities, even when they do not figure in the legends satirised; here and there he adds certain Purānic stories, as they ought to be and as they are accepted by Jaina tradition; now and then he offers pithy maxims of worldly wisdom and sections of didactic teaching; he loses no opportunity to denounce worldly pleasures and prescribe religious discourses; and he not only criticises Purānic religion but adds also substantial details on Jaina mythology, dogmatics and ethics. These are some of the specialities of the Dp. text as it came to be shaped by Amitagati.

<sup>1</sup> The legend in Dhū, is shorter; and we do not . d there the name of Chāyā and her association with Mandapakaušika, given in Dp.

<sup>2</sup> The town of Ujjainī with its northern park is introduced in both Dhū. (I. 2-3) and Dp. (I. 58, 64), though in different contexts.

The points of similarity, already noted above, do indicate that Jayarāma must have used the Dhūrtākhyāna of Haribhadra or some subsequent recension of it. The agreement is of a fundamental character and cannot be brushed aside as accidental or incidental. The illustrative sub-stories and the tales of four fools, included in Dp., show that some other popular stories have been intelligently used. Haribhadra by his satirical genius of a very high order merely smashed the structure of Puranic mythology, but the authors of Dp. have gone a few steps ahead that they tried to erect instead a superstructure of Jaina religious preaching. The artistic mould of Dhū. is missed; but a form of effective propaganda has been evolved, and it became much popular in subsequent centuries as indicated by the number of Dp. texts in different languages1. It is quite natural that Amitagati, or even his predecessors, utilised different and additional Puranic legends for the same purpose. The Dp. texts are stamped with a definite religious bias: perhaps that prohibited them from admitting a character like Khandapānā, and on that account some of the fantastic tales are toned down, in some cases being followed by a few Purānic legends. It is interesting to note that sometimes Amitagati, though he is a full-fledged propagandist, writes in a half-serious, mocking mood, even when he introduces a Digambara monk (Dp. XII, 88 f.). That is a befitting tone for a satirical writer. The Dhūrtākhyāna of Haribhadra, we have seen, is a unique satire; and its successors like the Dharma-pariksa are a fine specimen of Indian. literature which is both interesting and instructive. The caustic prick, which such works might have given to the zealous followers of Puranic religion, has not much effect on the dispassionate student of Indian literature: for him every novel aspect adds to the wealth of the great literary heritage of the past.

### 7. Prākrit Dialect and Style of Dhūrtākhyāna

The Prākrit text of Dhūrtākhyāna, presented in this edition, is based only on two MSS.; and still one is faced with difficulties, here and there, in construing and interpreting it satisfactorily. It is, therefore, far from being final; and any attempt to prepare an exhaustive grammar of it is out of question at present. It is intended here just to note a few salient features of the Prākrit dialect of Dhū., as it stands in this edition.

It has been a recognised convention with the editors of Ardhamāgadhī and Jaina Māhārāstrī texts to use almost uniformly initial n and non-initial n;

1 My friend Prof. D. L. Narasimhachar, Mysore, draws my attention to one more Dp. (in addition to those which I have mentioned in my paper on Harisena's Dp.) in Kannada by Candrasāgara Varņi. He has seen a MS., and has kindly sent some extracts to me. We learn the following details from them: The Dp. of. Vrttavilāsa, the pupil of Rāvala Yatīšvara, could be understood only by the learned; so the Śrāvakas of Belugula requested Cārukīrti Paņditācārya to get propered a rendering of it in modern Kannada (Kamnada-vyäkhyänadalli mädisi kodiembadägi). This then, at the instance of Carukirti, came to be composed by Candrasagara who offers salutation to both Cārukīrti and [Lakṣmīsena] Svāmi of Kolhapur. It is written in simple Kannada, mostly in prose. Following Vrttavilāsa's Dp. it has ten chapters, It is said to be written in Saka 1770 ( +76-1848 A. D. ), Ananda Samvatsara.

Hemacandra's rule (VIII. i. 228-9) is optional; and Dhū. shows definite partiality for n, whether initial or non-initial. Perhaps following the MS. B, which conforms to the more strict rule of Hemacandra<sup>1</sup>,  $\dot{y}$ -sruti is not introduced after vowels other than  $\alpha$  or  $\bar{\alpha}$ ; but the text shows many exceptional cases, for instance: kumdija I. 58, daija III. 48, duggaijā V. 92, dharijā I. 91; mujaha IV. 71, sujam II. 51, hanujāi III, 44. It appears that MS. A represents a more correct situation, namely, to put  $\dot{y}$ -sruti along with the udvrtta vowel,  $\alpha$  or  $\bar{\alpha}$ , irrespective of the preceding vowel; and Jacobi also uniformly follows this rule in his ed. of the Samarāiccakabā of Haribhadra.

In the absence of separate Nāgarī orthographical symbols for  $\check{e}$  and  $\check{o}$ , as distinguished from those for e and o, we find that i and u are easily substituted for  $\check{e}$  and  $\check{o}$  before conjuncts etc., where e and o are to be pronounced short<sup>2</sup>. The point at issue is complicated by the fact that beside the writing of i and ufor  $\check{e}$  and  $\check{o}$ , we must also admit, in some cases, the change of these short vowels to i and u. General principles like the weakening at the end, the original Sanskrit formation, and analogy would establish some system and decide a few points. Sometimes this gives quite a deceptive appearance to certain nominal and verbal forms etc.:  $ekatah eva > \check{e}kkatt\breve{o} ceia > ikkattu$  (also  $ekatto = \check{e}kkatto$ ?) ceia IV. 73; ettio > ittio I. 38;  $kunduiā\check{e}$  I. 40 but givai I. 84 and Nilai V. 11; Kumtie and Kumtii V. 11, 37; gotra > gota > gutta V. 39;  $cakrah iva > cakk\breve{o}$ vva > cakku vva IV. 5;  $ksetra > ch\check{e}tta > chitta$  IV. 1-2;  $yogya > j\breve{o}gga > jugga$ V. 78;  $d\check{o}nni > dunni$  I. 81;  $p\check{e}ccham > piccham$  II. 5, 9;  $p\check{o}tta > putta$  II. 44;  $b\check{e}mti > bimti$  I. 11;  $samūh\check{o}$  tti > samūhu tti III. 57;  $sresthi > s\check{e}tthi > sitthi V. 94;$  $s\check{o}$  ceiya > su ceiya I. 94, V. 113;  $h\check{o}jja > hujja$  I. 59, 88; etc.

Now and then we come across certain forms which show a change in the quantity of vowel:  $-\bar{i}$  of the Present 3rd p. sing. III. 97, IV. 6 (cf. Samarā. p. 249, l. 18; p. 276, l. 6); uahi IV. 30; jia IV. 35; māria IV. 47; laya I. 3; -mmī of the Loc. sing. I. 4, 23, 36, 51, II. 34, IV. 37, 88, V. 14, 78, 112 (usually at the close of a pāda; cf. Samarā. p. 80, l. 12; p. 652, last line);  $v\bar{i}$  V. 17, 32 (also Paüma., 17. 12); sua III. 54; etc. All these are due to exegencies of metre rather than being special grammatical forms. In this category may be included arani for aranya<sup>3</sup> II. 31, tesi for tesim I. 6, kadū for kaddū IV. 35, etc.; also bandhassū for bandhassa in Samarā., p. 652, l. 17.

There are many forms which show that a short vowel followed by an *anusvāra* and the corresponding long vowel get mutually exchanged; in some cases the text itself preserves both the forms:  $chamm\bar{a}sa$  (metrically

3 For the change of y to i, compare a(b)bhyantara > abbhimtara, ma(d)dhyama > majjhima.

<sup>1</sup> It has to be noted that Hemacandra himself knew cases which went against his rule; and it is probable that he is following some grammatical tradition, while the practice even in his own days was to write it in all places irrespective of the preceding vowel.

<sup>2</sup> Pischel: Grammatic der Präkrit-Sprachen (Strassburg 1900), §84. References to Pischel have the sections of this grammar in view.

required) I. 52, chammāsam I. 32, chammasa I. 28, 39; Chardin, and a 1. 28-9; mukkhatthä IV. 37 (also Paumacariya käranatthä 5. 87); vahatthä IV. 27, 80; sakkā III. 20; Sta for Stam II. 67 (Patima. jattā kāuna 5. 86); nivvui for nivvuim V. 51 (see Pauma. uppatti 1. 38, nivvui 5. 124, rai 6. 240); vilavamāni V. 100; sitthi for sitthim V. 99; bhania III. 20. The Abl. sing. of mas. and neu. in  $-a\dot{m}$ , noted by Alsdorf<sup>1</sup> in the VasudevahindI, appears to me a similar case in which  $-\alpha \dot{m}$  and  $-\dot{a}$  are being interchanged. The Paümacariya gives plenty of such cases: I have noted only a few. This exchange has not resulted from any defective writing. Both of them have the same metrical value and phonetic quantity, and they mutually alternate as a purely phonetic variant. This interchange is not sporadic just affecting a few forms of our text. It appears to have been a regular phenomenon which has left its influence on the different aspects of Middle Indo-Aryan<sup>2</sup>. Once we accept it, many strange words an I forms (besides those noted above) get easily explained, for instance, samrakkhana & sārakkhana, simha & sīha, mamsa & māsa; in Pāli vāgīša & vamgisa, sanatana & sanamtana; etc. Probably this has arisen out of uncertain pronunciation of a short vowel followed by an anusvara.

Intervocalic g is not only retained sometime: jage IV. 43; näge IV. 46; sägara III. 75, also säyara IV. 27, but k also is softened to g: egäginä IV. 31; kamaläyara V. 53; etc. Intervocalic d is retained in some cases: udara III. 85; udahi IV. 89; tillodä IV. 21, also tilloä IV. 7.

Instances like ten'ayagarena V. 67, divas'avasāne IV. 9, Bhārah'avayara-kāle I. 82 illustrate Jacobi's rule<sup>3</sup> of dropping of the final a of the first word when followed by a even when in turn followed by a short syllable. As typical Samdhis we might note  $asti + e_{S}a = atthesa$  IV. 14, rtusnātā > uunhāyā >unhāyā V. 8.

Some of the proper names show some phonetic changes: Agastya = Aga-tthi I. 63, IV. 27, the Sanskrit verse regularly reads Agastin 265;  $Ahaly\bar{a} = Ahill\bar{a}$  V. 33,  $M\bar{a}rkandeya = Makkamda$  II. 31,  $P\bar{a}r\bar{a}sura$  I. 63, V. 13 (note the v. l.),  $R\bar{a}mana^4$  II. 62, 68, 71 and rarely  $R\bar{a}vana$  III. 93, Vasistha = Visittha I. 63.

Some words change their bases: kumamdala I. 32-3, beside kamamdalu I. 21-3; Bambhāna V. 116 (also Paüma. 5. 122); manasā I. 50; Khamdavānai for Khamdavānā IV. 14. — A few words stand without the

- 3 Sanatkumāracaritam (München 1921), Intro. p. 6.
- 4 Jacobi: Bhavisattakaha (München 1918) Intro. p. 60\*, line 1,

<sup>1</sup> See his paper 'The Vasudevahindi, a specimen of Archaic Jaina-Māhārāstri', Bulletin of the School of O. Studies, vol. VIII, parts 2 & 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Consult also Pischel's Grammatik. §§ 75, 114, 181 and 339. Dr. A. M. Ghatage has discussed this in his paper 'Linguistic Nature of Prākrit Languages' read at the 11th All-India Oriental Conference, Hyderabad. He regards it as a case of extension of the original alternance arising from cases where it is due to normal causes.

termination of the subject or object: gammāgamma IV. 85, gaya-kacchava IV. 61, sambhama IV. 81, hiahia IV. 85 (for similar cases see Pauma. 1. 6, 36 etc.). - The form Agatthinam is Iust. sing.; such forms are already noted by Pischel (§ 379) in Ardhamāgadhī; and plenty of them are found in the Paumacariya 12. 113, 13. 10, 15. 20, 16. 32 etc. A form like Agatthina would be quite regular in Apabhramsa. Uvvasiya<sup>1</sup> V. 115 is Gen. sing. (for such forms cf. Tiloyapannatti 1. 241, 2. 208, etc., also 4. 42, the termination for Gen. and Loc. being the same). Loc. sing. kucchimmi II. 41, also kucchie II. 38. - Inst. sing. of asmad is me III. 3, V. 21, 32; perhaps me is represented by mi at V. 32, second line. Looking at the use of mi at II. 2 and IV. 2, 4, one finds that it is serving the purpose of both aham (through Inst. sing. me, with p. p. p. as the predicate) and asmi (through the forms amhi, mhi, ammi, mmi). Hemacandra is quite aware of such forms; and Pischel has square-bracketed them in the absence of authentic usage. Lately Alsdorf<sup>2</sup> has noted such cases from the Vasudevahindi; and it will be seen that the forms of asmad have affected analogically those of yusmad. - At IV. 24 tam stands for tvam. Instr. sing. is to I. 87, also tume.

Of the verbal forms we may note a few. Present 1st p. sing.: paribhamami IV. 3, V. 51, also paribhamāmi III. 1; 3rd p. sing.: tappai I. 55, 59, perhaps from the passive base (also Paüma. 9. 55). — Imp. 2nd p. sing de V. 78; in the light of the available material, its stages of evolution can be shown thus: dehi dehi>de-dehi, naturally then de can stand for dehi. Our text gives a form chehi II. 36 (v. l. che chi, perhaps a scribal improvement on cehi); and the Paümacariya gives some such forms: hana hana 69. 112, chehi 44. 53, c-ehi 8. 174, thā-thāhi 45. 10<sup>3</sup>. The form sunjijasu I. 53 (cf. Paüma. 38. 46 khameijāsu) started perhaps with the passive augment but here in the active sense<sup>4</sup>. — The lengthening of i in bhanīhāmo V. 3 has parallels in the Paümacariya: vaccīhāmo V. 190, bhavīhāmi 118. 63, etc. — ahesi IV: 33 is to be traced back to Aorist \*abhavişīt (Pischel 516 and Hema. VIII iii. 164). — peccham II. 5, 9, is apparently used in the sense of the Present 1st p. sing. — At III. 15 chinna is used as the base.

-um or tum is the well-known termination of the Infinitive; but many forms in this text are used with the Gerundive sense : oyarium IV. 2,  $k\bar{a}um$  IV. 50, gamtum I. 66, III. 40, 43, chinnium III. 15, namium II. 9, sāmattheum III. 9, 28, 56 (cf. Pauma 1. 10, 2. 60, 5. 33, etc.). The Gerundives with -ittu and -ūnu are available in this text, see I. 71, V. 43, 48, 87, 102. The form pimdevi III. 18 is Gerundive, quite usual in Apabhramśa; and parallels ara found in the Pauma. 11. 99, 41. 63, 43. 48. — There is one usage of the Infinitive paribhu-

4 See also Hemacandra's Grammar VIII. iii. 177-8.

<sup>1</sup> Such forms are found also in the Vasudevahindī, as noted by Alsdorf in his paper referred to above.

<sup>2</sup> See his paper referred to above.

<sup>3</sup> A. M. Ghatage: Repetition in Prākrit Syntax, New Indian Antiquary, vol. II, 1. p. 55.

ttum-je I. 45 which deserves special attention. je is used as a proclitic here. Such forms are found in the Paümacariya also ghëttum-je 1. 14, hamtum-je 53. 54, nāum-je 118. 62. According to Hemacandra (VIII. ii. 217) this je is merely an expletive for  $p\bar{a}da-p\bar{u}rane$ .<sup>1</sup>

At III. 58 and V. 111 jattena and locna are used in the sense of Loc., so also samthiehim & rattehi either stand for Loc., or even Gen. Similar instances are found in the Acārānga as well. It is interesting to note that Apabh. has a common termination him in the Instr. and Loc. pl. Loc. for Inst.: vālaggamte I. 24, 53 (cf. Paüm. 8. 42, 94); Loc. for Abl.: pāesu II. 45, bāhāsu I. 37, ūrūsu I. 37 (but ūrāhim II. 46<sup>2</sup>). — nāma nāmeņa I. 7, IV. 7, is just a repetition<sup>3</sup>. Parallels for it are found in the Mahābhārata (Virāța 2. 1), Rāmāyaņa and Paümacariya 2. 8, 21. In the Paüma. (41. 46) and Vasudeva-hiņdī (p. 12) nāma is suffixed to the proper name, Aggikeu-nāmo, Jambu- nāmo; and these are later on again followed by nāmeņa. — One feels from giri vva II. 34, limda vva V. 120 that perhaps vva is being used for vat.

The dialect used by Haribhadra has much common with that of Paümacariya; and some of the traits have definite stamp of antiquity in the evolution of Präkrits. In view of its inheritance of certain Ardhamägadhī characteristics and its close affinity with the idiom of Paümacariya, we may call it Jaina Māhārāstrī, following the terminology of Jacobi and Pischel.

The style of Dhurtākhyāna, on the whole, is simple and fluent, and quite suited to the conversational tone in which the rogues are carrying on their learned wrangling. Haribhadra follows here the epic model, and is addressing an audience of mediocre education and not a learned gathering. No artificial attempt is made to embellish the language with poetic ornaments. The author's mastery over canonical language has unconsciously introduced expressions like samnihia-pādihero, samnddha-baddha-kavayā etc. (II. 6, 10) which remind us of Ardhamāgadhī phrases. In descriptions, however, the normal style has not been maintained: long compounds are easily introduced in describing the rainy season (I. 8 f.), Ganges (I. 25 f.), Tilottamā (I. 62 f., II. 29 f.), etc. The description of the cemetary (V. 82 f.), though quite dignified as a piece of composition, is not only out of place and proportion, but also conspicuously pedantic in its construction in this small work. The first four fantastic tales are remarkably racy in their style, and the handling of expression is quite in keeping with the presentation of events.

3 See the paper 'Repetition in Präkrit Syntax', p. 50, noted above.

Some illustrations are already noted from the Panhāvāgaraņāim; and Dr. Sen has observed thus: "The infinitive with *-je*, which occurs twice (ginhium-je p. 122a, ginheum-je p. 113a), occurs in the canon not earlier than in some late gāthā-prakīrņaka." A critical Introduction to the Panhāvāgaraņāim, Würzburg 1936, p. 13. See also Das Mahānisihasutta by W. Schubring, Berlin 1918, p. 92.

<sup>2</sup> Jacobi: Some Ancient Jaina Works, Modern Review, pp. 574-77, December 1914; also his Bhavisattakaha, p. 60 of the Intro. Ghatage: Instrumental and Locative in Ardha-Mägadhi, Indian Historical Quarterly, vol. XIII, NO. 1, 1931.

My most sincere thanks I offer to Āchārya Jinavijayaji, the learned Editor of the Dhūrtākhyāna in Prākrit, Sanskrit and Gujarāti, who kindly requested me to associate myself with his edition by contributing a critical Essay. I had realized the importance of this work a couple of years back, and I gladly welcomed this opportunity of studying the Dhūrtākhyāna which is a remarkable satire in Indian literature. My thanks are also due to Dr. R. N. Dandekar, Poona; Dr. S. K. De, Dacca; Dr. A. M. Ghatage, Kolhapur; and Mr. K. D. Pednekar, M.A., Kolhapur, who helped me with some books, references and suggestions.

Rajaram College, Kolhapur: 25-10-1943.

A. N. UPADHYE

\* \*

### INDEX OF 'A CRITICAL STUDY'.

This Index includes informative references to important names of authors and works, as well as to a few topics, occurring in 'The Dhürtäkhyāna: A Critical Study'. It is just a supplement to the Index of names, added at the end of this volume, which registers all the proper nouns mentioned in the Dhürtäkhyāna of Haribhadra and referred to in the Critical Study. The references here are to the pages of the Study.

Abhayadeva, 37. Agni, voluptuous character of 31. Ahalya, Indra's behaviour with 31. Akhyāna, 16. Amitagati, 41. Asadhabhūti, 23. Avantisundarikathā, 23. Balarāma, transference of the embryo of 33. Bāna, 23. Bhāņa, satire in 19f. Bharataka-dvātrimšikā, 18. Bhima, Baka killed by 30; birth of 31. Boccacio, 24. Brahman, varnas from 26; birth of 26, 28, 33. Candragaecha, 37. Canterbury Tales, 23, 24. Caturbhāņī, 19. Chaucer, 23, 24. Dămodaragupta, 18. Dandin, a satirist 17. Darpadalana, 18. Daśakumāracarita, satire in 17. Daśavaikālikasūtra, 14, 23. Decameron, 24. Devabhadra, 37. Devadattā, 23. Devendra, 23, 37. Dharmaparīkṣā, 1, various texts of 41; summary of the contents of 42f.; Dhū. compared and contrasted with 46 f. Dhürtäkhyäna (Präkrit) acquaintance with, Mss. and the Pk. text of 1f.; contents of 2 f.; purpose, technique and form of 12 f.; a parody or a satire 20 f.: a literary piece 22; characters in 23; a masterpiece of construction 34; sources of the legends in 33f.; legend y divergences found in 33 f.; Sanghatilaka's Sk: version of 36f.; Gujarāti rendering of 39f.; Dharmaparikșă compared and contrasted with 46 f.; Prakrit dialect of 49 f.; style of 53.

Dhurtakhyana (Sanskrit) 36f.; the author etc. of 37; compared with Pk. Dhū. 37; text of 38. Dhurtakhyana (Gujarati), age of the Ms. of 35; compared with Pk. and Sk. texts 39; on the language of 40. Dhūrtasamāgama, 20. Drona, birth of 26, 33. Drupada, bow of 28. Epics, nature of 12 f.; Haribhadra's attack against 13; textual vicissitudes of 34f. Ganapati, origin of 33. Gangā, foiled in Hara's hair 26, 27. Garuda, the mighty one 30. Govardhana, Krana lifts 30. Gunasekhara, 37. Hanumat, ocean crossed by 27; his body pieced together 29; medicine brought by 29; trees rooted out by 31; birth and tail of 31. Hara, male organ of 26. Harişena, 41. Haribhadra, epics attacked by 13; a logician and a witty critic 13; inventive skill of 14; his fascination for humorous and satirical tales 14; a story-teller 15; corrupt asceticism and blind belief in the society attacked by 21; a logician and a rationalist 21; bibliography on the works etc. of 25 foot-note; intellectual integrity of 35. Hāsyacūdāmaņi, 19. Ilāputra, 23. Indra, Ahalyā seduced by 31; 32. Jahlana, 19. Jahnu, Gangā gulped by 30. Jarāsamdha, constitution of 28. Jatāyū, shape of 28. Jayarama, 41. Jinaprabha, 37. Jinaśekhara, 37. Jinavallabha. 37. Jineśvara, 37.

#### DHURTAKHYANA :

Kādambarī, 23. Kalāvilāsa, 18, 23. Kamdariya, 23. Karan, birth of 26. Kathāsaritsāgara, 23. Keśava, Devaki's womb containing 27; 28. Khamdavāņā, character of 23. Kicakas, the birth of 26. Ksemendra, satirical works of 18f.; 22, 23. Kumbhakarna, meal of 30. Kuntī, sons from 31. Kuttinīmata, 18. Latakamelaka, 20. Mahāsena, birth of and two stories about 29. Mahendravikrama, 20. Mattavilāsa, 20. Mrechakatikam, 17. Mukundānanda, 19. Muladeva, 19; various names of and references to 23. Nalakūbara, release of 33. Nilakantha Diksita, 19. NiśIthacūrņi, 23. Pādatāditaka, 19. Padmacandra, 37. Padmaprābhrtaka, 19, 23. Padmasāgara, 41. Parvati, birth of 33. Prabhānanda, 37. Prahasana, satire in 20 f. Rähu, sun and moon eclipsed by 30. Raktaknndalin, birth of 26.

Repukā, revival of 28. Rudrapalliya-gaceha, 37. Sāhi Mahammada, 37. Samayamātrkā, 18. Samyaktva-saptatikā, 37. Sanghatilaka, 37. Sanmukha, birth of 33. Sārasvatapattana, 37. Sarvajña, Mbh. criticised by 13. Śaśa, 19, 23. Satire, in Indian literature 16f.; works containing elements of 17 f.; in Bhana and Prahasana 19f. Somatilaka, 37. Sricandra, 37. Srngaratilaka, 19. Svedakundalin, birth of 26. Tattvakaumudi, 37. Tilottamā, Brahman tempted by 26; Sunda etc. destroyed by 29; birth of 33. Urvasi, birth of 33. Varāha, earth lifted by 30. Vardhamāna, 37. Vatsarāja, 19. Vijayacandra, 37. Vikramāditya, 23. Vimalacandra, 37. Vișnu, Bali outwitted by 30. Voltaire, 23. Vrttavilāsa, 41. Yama-dharma, 32.

\*

# श्रीमद्हरिभद्रसूरिरचितं **(**

## रिख्या न म्। 2 भूता

[ प्रास्ताविकम् ]

नमिऊण जिणवरिंदे तिअसेसरवंदिए धुअकिलेसे ।	
विउसजणबोहणत्थं धुत्तक्खाणं पवक्खामि ॥	ş
ललिअसमिद्धजणवया अत्थि पुरी सुरपुरिं' विसेसंती ।	, a
उज्जुअजणाहिवासा उज्जेणी णाम णामेणं॥	÷.,
तीसे उत्तरपासे णाणाविहऊसुमगुम्मलयगहणं।	·
महुअरिगणोवगीअं उज्जाणं णंदुणसरिच्छं ॥	18.4
तत्युज्जाणगिहम्मी णाणाविहरूवकम्मणिज्जुत्ता ।	u.
धुत्ताण सयाणेगा समागया कहवि हिंडंता ॥	8
मायाणिअडिपहाणा अकज्जणिचुजुआ णिरणुकंपा ।	较
थीबालवुद्धवीसत्थघाइणो वंचणिक्षपरा ॥	لاهر
धूवंजणजोगेहि अ ओसोअणि-धंभणीहिं विज्जाहिं ।	
सर-वन्नभेयकुसला तेसि पहू पंचिमे कमसो ॥	<i>w</i> į
मूर्टंसिरि कंडरीओ एठाँसाहो ससो अ खंडवणाँ।	
इक्किकस्स य तेसिं धुत्ताणं पंच-पंचसया ॥	9.
धुत्तीणं पंचसया खंडवणाए अ णवरि परिवारो ।	
सव्वाण वि ताण पहू मूलसिरी लोअ'विक्खाओ ॥	C
वदृइ अ ताण कालो जलयसमुच्छन्नसूर-ससिमग्गो ।	
इंदधणुविज्जुगज्जिअजलयरमुहलो <sup>°</sup> वरिसयालो ॥	ę
सत्ताहदुद्दिणम्मि अ समंतओ भरिअवप्पकूवसरे ।	29
कयचिर्किखछचिलिप्फिलदुस्संचारासु रच्छासु ॥	१०
एआरिसम्मि काले ते धुत्ता सीअवदलाभिहया ।	
भुक्खत्ता बिंति तहिं–'को अम्हं दिज़ भत्तं' ति ॥	88
अह भणइ मूलदेवो–'जं जेण सुअं च' समणुभूअं वा ।	
सो तं कहेउ सव्वं मिलिआणेआण धुत्ताणं ॥	<b>१</b> २ २०
जो तं न' पत्तिइज्जा असचवयणं ति तेण सब्वेसिं ।	
धुत्ताण' सम्रइआणं दायव्वं भत्तपाणं ति ॥	<b>१</b> ३
1 B सुरपुरवर्रि । 2 B लोग° । 8 B ° सहरो । 4 B व । 5 A ण । 6 A धुत्ताणं ।	<b></b>

Jain Education International

जो पुण पुराण-भारह-रामायण-सुह-समुत्ध'वयणेहिं ।	
तं वयण समत्थित्ता महायणं पत्तिआविजा ॥	१४
सो धुत्ताण अहिवई महामई अत्थि सत्थणिम्माओ ।	
मा देउ किंचि करस वि-' इअ अणिए मूलदेवेण !!	१५
ते सब्वे विअ भणिया'-'इअ होउ सुसोइणं तर भणिअं'।	
किंतु तुमं पढमं चिअ कहेहि जं ते समणुभूअं ॥	१६
*	• •
[१. अथ मूलदेवकथितं कथानकम् ।]	
2	
अह भणइ मूलदेवो <sup>®</sup> -'जं अणुभूअं मए तरुणभावे।	
तं णिसुणेह अवहिआ कहिज्जमाणं सुजुत्तीए ॥	१७
तरुणत्तणम्मि अहयं इच्छिअसुहसंपयं अहिलसंतो ।	•
घाराघरणद्वाए सामिगिहं पत्थिओ सुहरं ॥	१८
छत्तकमंडऌहत्थो पंथं वाहेमि गहिअपच्छ्यणो ।	
मत्तं पव्वयमित्तं पिच्छामि अ गयवरं इंतं ॥	१९
मेहमिव गुलगुलिंतं पभिण्णकरडामुहं महामत्तं ।	
दटूण वणगइंदं भएण वेवंतगत्तो हं ॥	२०
अत्ताणो अ असरणो कत्थ निऌकामि हं ति चिंतंतो ।	
तो सहसा य अहगओ कमंडऌं मरणभयभीओ ॥	<i>`</i> २१
अह सो वि मत्तहत्थी ऊसविअकरो सरोसरत्तच्छो ।	
मुज्झाणुमग्गलग्गो कमंडलुं अइगओ सिग्घं ॥	રર
तो हं भयसंभंतो समंतओ विहुअं पलोअंतो।	
हर्तिथ कमंडऌुम्मी वामोहेऊण छम्मासं ॥	२३
गीवाइ णिग्गओ हं हत्थी वि ममाणुमग्गओ जिन्तो।	
लग्गो वालग्गंते कुंडिअगीवाइ छिदम्मि ॥	ર૪
अहमवि अ णवरि पुरओ गंगं पिच्छामि रंगिरतरंगं ।	
फेणणिअरदृहासं वणगयदंतक्खयतडग्गं ॥	२५
उम्मीसहस्सपउरं झस-मयर्-ग्गाह-कुम्मपरियरियं ।	
जुवइहिअय व्वञ्गाहं उअहि व्व सुदूरपरपारं ॥	२६
पहमन्नं अलहंतो तो हं इसुवेअवाहिणिं सिग्घं।	
बाहाहिं समुत्तिण्णो गोपयमिव भारहिं विउलं॥	२७
तो सामिगिहं गंतुं छुह्-तण्हापरिसहेहिं सहमाणो ।	
छम्मासा सीसेणं धरेमि धारा धरहाए॥	२८

1 B °समत्थ° । 2 A पभणिया ते । 3 A मूलदेओ ।

5

10

15

29

25

3,0

[ अथ कण्डरीकोक्तं मूलदेवकथानकोत्तरम । ] अह भणइ कंडरीओ-'जं सुम्मइ भारहे पुराणे अ'। 15 तं जह सब्वं सचं तो सचं तुज्झ वि य' वयणं॥ 29 हत्थी कमंडलुम्मी अहं पि माओ कहं ति जं भणसि। इत्थ दिआइपसिद्धं वयणं सुण पचयणिमित्तं॥ 35 बंभाणस्स मुहाओ विप्पा, खत्तियजणो अ बाहासु । जरूसु णिग्गया किर वइसा, सुद्दा य पाएसु ॥ **3**9 21 बंभाणस्स सरीरे जइ माओ इत्तिओ जणसमूहो । तो कह कमंडऌम्मी ण मासि तं वणगयसमग्गो ॥ \*?\*? अण्णं च बंभ-विण्हू उहं च अहो अ बेवि' धावंता। अंतं जस्स ण पत्ता वाससहस्सेण दिव्वेण ॥ 39 लिंगं महप्पमाणं कह मायं तस्सुमासरीरम्मि । 25 एवं जइ कुंडिआऍ इत्थी माओ त्ति को दोसो ॥ \*く\*20 अण्णं च इमं सुव्वइ रिसिणा वासेण भारहेऽभिहिअं । जह वेणुपव्वमज्झे कीअगभाइअसयं जायं ॥ ४१ कीओ वंसो भण्णइ तहिं जाया कीअग त्ति भण्णंति। कह तेसिं उप्पत्ती विराडरायग्गमहिसीए ॥ ४२ ३०

1 B मंण्णह। 2 B कुंहीआइ। 3 B सुहुमि॰। 4 B सुदूरतरपारा। 5 B पुराणंसि। 6 A की वयणं। 7 B अहे य दोवि। 8 B भणियं।

तो जइ सचं एअं तो मे हेर्जाहें पत्तिआवेह । अह मण्णह अलिअं तं घुत्ताणं देह तो भत्तं' ॥ ३० अह भणइ कंडरीओ—'को भणिही तुममसचवयणं ति । ३ भारह-पुराण-रामायणाणि पुरिसो विआणंतो' ॥ ३१ परिभणह मुलदेवो – 'सो हत्थी कुंडिआइ' कह माओ । कह भमिओ छम्मासं कमंडले तम्मि वणहत्थी ॥ ३२ 'सुहुमच्छिदेण कमंडलाओं कह णिग्गओ अहं सो अ । णिग्गंतो वणहत्थी वालग्गंते कहं लग्गो ॥ ३३ ॥ कह गंगा उत्तिण्णा वाहाहिं मए सुदूरपरपारा' । कह छम्मासं घरिआ सुक्लिअतिसिएणुदयधारा ?' ॥ ३४

॥ धूर्ताख्याने मूलदेवेनोक्तं कथानकमेकम् ॥

१. मूल्बेकोक्स कथानकम् ।

धारेजण य घारं पयओ अहिवंदिजण महसेणं। संपत्तो उज्जेणिं तुब्मेहिं समं च मिलिओ हं॥ ¥

૨૧

	तीए वि णत्थि पुत्तो तो सा रिसिआसमम्मि रिसिमिकं।	
	आराहिउं पयत्ता तेण वि सो साहिओ चरुओ ॥	৪ই
	भणिआ तेण' य रिसिणा एअं चरुअं तुमं कुडंगम्मि ।	• `
	सजाहि पहिंडमणा होही ते तत्थ पुत्तसयं ॥	88
i	सा तं परिभुत्तुं जे वंसकुडंगम्मि तो गया सगिहं।	••
	कस्सइ कालस्स तहिं गग्गलिणामो रिसिवरु ति ॥	૪૬
	वंसीजालपविद्वो चरइ तवं णवरि तत्थ पउमसरे ।	- ,
	अच्छरसा ण्हायंती रिसिणा दिट्ठा विगयवत्था ॥	४६
	खुहियस्स सुक्रबिंदुं पडिअं णालीइ कीअगो पढमो ।	• 、
	णवणायसहस्सवलो संजाओ पढमबिंदुम्मि ॥	४७
	जह जह णिज्झाइ मुणी अच्छरसं पवररूवलावण्णं ।	•••
	तह तह खिरइ अ बीअं जायं तहिं कीअगाण सयं ॥	28
	वंसीणालीओँ रिसी णिक्खिविउं णिययमालयं पत्तो ।	N
	सु चिय वंसकुडंगो राया रक्खावए णिचं ॥	४९
	संजायसव्वगत्ता गहिआ देवीइ तुहमणसाए ।	•
	तं तीए पुत्तसयं भण्णइ णालीसमुप्पन्नं ॥	५०
	जइ वंससमुप्पन्नं भायसयं कीअगाण माइ तहिं ।	•
		*५१
	वाससहरसं गंगा जडासु वामोहिआ जइ हरेणं।	
	छम्मासं कुंडिआए विमोहिओ' वणगओ सचं॥ 🛛 💥 🗴	*42
	लग्गो वालग्गंते हत्थी अहयं च णिग्गओ कह ण।	
	जं भणसि तं सुणिज्ञसु पुराणसुइआगयं वयणं ॥	५३
	विण्हू जगस्स कत्ता सो किर एगण्णवम्मि लोगस्मि ।	•••
	आगासभूमिमारूअतसथावरजलण'रहियम्मि ॥	લ્૪
	णद्वणरासुरतिरिए ताराससिसूरविरहिआलोए।	
	तप्पइ तवं अणंतो जलसयणगओ <sup>4</sup> अचिंतप्पा ॥	હહ
	तस्स किर पंकयत्थो बंभो णाहीइ पउमगब्भाओ ।	• •
	दंडकमंडऌहत्थो विणिग्गओ पंकयं लग्गं ॥	લજ્ઞ
	एवं कमंडऌगीवाइ णिग्गओ जइ तुमं गयसमं पि ।	• •
ł		*40
	जं पि अभणसि गुणागर कुंडियगीवाइ णिग्गओ किमहं।	. 10
	भारहपसिद्धमित्थ वि वयणं सुण पचयणिमित्तं॥	46
	दिव्वं वाससहस्सं बंभाणो तप्पई तवं रण्णे।	-\@
	तो खुहिआ तिअसगणा भणंति कह हुज से विग्घं॥	હરુ
		<b>7 )</b>
	$1 \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{A}\overline{\mathfrak{g}} = 2 \operatorname{A} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{A} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{A} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{A} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname{A} \operatorname{Bz} \operatorname$	

1 B य तेण। 2 A विसोहओ। 3 A °जण॰। 4 B थ्तयणगगओ। 5 B एव।

8

10

15

28

25

30

तो भणइ सुरवरिंदो थीलोलो पसुवई विवाहम्मि ।	
अग्गीकम्मणिउत्तो दहुण उमं ल्हसियचेलं ॥	80
खुहिओ बीअनिसग्गं करेइ विहुणइ णियंसणं ताहे।	
पडिअं बीअं कलसे दोणायरिओ समुप्पन्नो ॥	<b>६</b> १
एरिसिअइत्थिआहिं एसो खुब्भिज्ज' रूवकलिआहिं ।	5
को इत्थीहिं न खुहिओ मुत्तूण जिणं महावीरं ॥	६२
गोअम-विसिट्ट-पारासुरो अ जमयग्गि-कासचो-ऽगत्थी ।	
अहयं च सुराहिवई हरो हरी तिहुअणवरिटा ॥	ં ઘર
अण्णे वि एवमाई तवसत्तणिही जयम्मि विक्खाया।	
वम्महबाणाभिहया इत्थीहिं कराविआ आणं ॥	<b>६४</b> 10
ता गंतूणं सिग्धं तिऌत्तमा उत्तमा सुरवहूणं।	
खोहेउ तवविसिट्ठं इट्टेण पिआमहं विहिणा ॥	ક્લ
तो सा संगयवेसा तिअसाहिववयणगहिअसंदेसा ।	
गंतुं विशुस्स पुरओ दिव्वं णदं पयासेइ॥	વેલે 🤅
जहणुव्वहणभमिरया उच्छालिअहारमंथरुच्छोहा ।	
घणमसिणंसिअथणहरसुकं पुअपेलव'दुगुछा ॥	ı، تونع
ललिअपणयंगलही संतडकखुब्भेअभुअलयाजुअला ।	•
रेहइ पणचमाणा पवणेरियचंपय क्य व्व ॥	हट
दद्रूण णचमाणं वंभा' एगिंदिओ व्व संघुत्तो ।	
णिज्झाइ अणिमिसच्छो सेसिंदिअमुक्कवावारो ॥	Q Q 20
णाऊण तस्स भावं तो सा संगयमणोहरखवेसा ।	
<b>विविहाहरणविहूसा</b> ं दक्खिणपासे ठिया विहुणों ॥	90
तो तं अपिच्छमाणो बंभाणो मणसि मणसिजाभिहओ ।	
तं दट्टण सतण्हो करेइ बीअं तओ वयणं ॥	હઠ
इत्तोऽवरेण तहयं चउत्थं कुणइ उत्तरेणं सो ।	25
उंहुं उप्पइआएं पंचमवयणं उवरिहुत्तं ॥	৩২
तो तस्स पमत्तस्स य तिऌत्तमागयमणस्स रुद्देणं ।	
तं वयणं पंचमयं णहेण उक्खुंटिअं सहसा ॥	şe
तो बंभा' परिकुविओ दाहिणहत्थप्पएसिणीए उ ।	
उव्वद्देइ णिलाडे असरिसरोसुहिअं सेअं॥	* 80
तत्धुप्पन्नो सेए बलवंतो सेअक्तंडली नाम ।	
बंभेणाणत्तेणं उक्खित्तो संकरो तेणं ॥	ওৰ
	•

1~B खुमिज्ज। 2~A~gआपलव $^\circ$ ।  $3~A~^{\circ}$ चपय $^\circ$ । 4~B~aमो।  $5~B~^{\circ}$ विभूसा। 6~B~विहिणो। 7~B~aमो। 8~A~णिलाडो ।

तो सो भयसंभंतो णासंतो वयरिआसमे पत्तो । तत्थ हरी णियमत्थो भिक्खं देहि त्ति संठत्तो ॥ ७६ ता तेण तक्खणं चिअ मुझसिरा अप्पणो णिलाडम्मि । बंभाणसिरकवालं रुदेण समुदिअं हिट्ठे ॥ ७७ वाससहस्सेण वि तं ण भरिज्जइ तीइ रुहिरघाराए । तं रुहिरमंगुलीए पसुवइणा डोहिअं णवारें ॥ ७८ बंभाणसिरकवाले केसवरुहिरंगुलीइ रुद्दस्स । तो रत्तकुंडलिणरो तिण्हं संजोगओ जाओ ॥ ७९ सो रुद्देणाणत्तो पजुज्झिओ सेअकुंडलीइ समं । जुज्झंताणं 'ताणं वाससहरसं अइक्कंतं ॥ ८०
ता तेण तक्खणं चिअ मुझसिरा अप्पणो णिलाडम्मि। बंभाणसिरकवालं रुद्देण समुद्दिअं हिट्ठे॥ ७७ वाससहरसेण वि तं ण भरिज्जइ तीइ रुहिरघाराए। तं रुहिरमंगुलीए पसुवइणा डोहिअं णवारें॥ ७८ बंभाणसिरकवाले केसवरुहिरंगुलीइ रुद्दस्स। तो रत्तकुंडलिणरो तिण्हं संजोगओ जाओ॥ ७९ सो रुद्देणाणत्तो पजुज्झिओ सेअकुंडलीइ समं।
बंभाणसिरकवालं' रुद्देण समुद्दिअं' हिट्ठे॥ ७७ वाससहरसेण वि तं ण भरिज्ञइ तीइ रुहिरघाराए। तं रुहिरमंगुलीए पसुवइणा डोहिअं णवारें॥ ७८ बंभाणसिरकवाले केसवरुहिरंगुलीइ रुद्दस्स। तो रत्तकुंडलिणरो तिण्हं संजोगओ जाओ॥ ७९ सो रुद्देणाणत्तो पजुज्झिओ सेअकुंडलीइ समं।
वाससहस्सेण वि तं ण भरिज्जइ तीइ रुहिरघाराए । तं रुहिरमंगुलीए पसुवइणा डोहिअं णवारें ॥ ७८ बंभाणसिरकवाले केसवरुहिरंगुलीइ रुद्दस्स । तो रत्तकुंडलिणरो तिण्हं संजोगओ जाओ ॥ ७९ सो रुद्देणाणत्तो पजुज्झिओ सेअकुंडलीइ समं ।
तं रुहिरमंगुलीए पसुवइणा डोहिअं णवारें॥ ७८ बंभाणसिरकवाले केसवरुहिरंगुलीइ रुद्दस्स । तो रत्तकुंडलिणरो तिण्हं संजोगओ जाओ ॥ ७९ सो रुद्देणाणत्तो पजुज्झिओ सेअकुंडलीइ समं ।
बंभाणसिरकवाले केसवरुहिरंगुलीइ रुद्दस्स । तो रत्तकुंडलिणरो तिण्हं संजोगओ जाओ ॥ ७९ सो रुद्देणाणत्तो पजुज्झिओ सेअकुंडलीइ समं ।
तो रत्तकुंडलिणरो तिण्हं संजोगओ जाओ ॥ ७९ सो रुद्देणाणत्तो पजुज्झिओ सेअकुंडलीइ समं ।
सो रुद्देणाणत्तो पजुज्झिओ सेअकुंडलीइ समं।
जुङ्ग्रंगणं वासस्टरमं अर्हनं ॥
। जुज्झताण ताण वाससहरस अइझत ॥ ८०
तो गिणिहऊण दुन्नि सव्वेहि वि सुरवरेहिं मिलिएहिं।
दिण्णो सकस्स णरो सुरस्स समप्पिओ बीओ॥ ८१
भणिआ भारहकाले भारहजुज्झस्स कारणहाए ।
भारहवयारकाले उवणिज्ञह मणुअलोयम्मि ॥ ८२
तो काले संपत्ते सरो क्रंतीइ रूवउम्मत्तो ।
कयसंजोओ तीए कुच्छीइ जणेइ तं गब्भं॥ ८३
सण्णद्धबद्धकवओ कुंतीकण्णेण णिग्गओ कण्णो ।
तो किं तुमं ण गीसरिसि कुंडीगीवाइ मूलसिरी ॥ * ६ * ८४
गंगा अणोरपारा कहमुत्तिण्ण त्ति भणसि मे जं तु ।
हत्थ वि पचयजणणं सुणेहि रामायणे वित्तं॥ ८५
सीआपउत्तिहेउं पवणसुओ राहवेण आणत्तो ।
लंकापुरिं अइगओ बाहाहिं महोअहिं तरिउं॥ ८६
दिद्वाए सीआए पिअपडिवत्तिं सुणित्तु तुद्वाए ।
भणिओ कह ते उअही तिण्णो हेणुअंत सो भणह ॥ ८७
मवति चात्र स्टोकः-
- तव प्रसादात् तव च प्रसादाद् भर्तुश्च ते देवि तव प्रसादात् ।
साधूनते (?) येन पितुः प्रसादात् तीण्णों मया गोष्णदवत् समुद्रः ॥ १ ॥
जइ तेण समुत्तिण्णो तिरिएण महोअही दुरुत्तारो ।
तो किं तए णरुत्तम ण हुज्ज गंगा' समुत्तिण्णा' ॥ * ७ *८८
जं भणसि कह णुधारा छम्मासा धारिया सिरेण मए।
इत्थ वि मे सुण हेउं दिआइसुइआगयं वयणं॥ ८९
लोगहिअडाए किर गंगा अन्मत्थिया सुरवरेहिं।
अवयरसु मणुअलोअं सग्गाओं भणइ सा ताहे ॥ ९०
1 R सिरिकवालं। 2 A समुद्रिअं। 3 B ता। 4 A तुम नर णीसरति। 5 B गंगां।
6 छि भी भा ।

ŧ

5

10

15

20

25

39

A. °तिमिओ ।

को मं घरिउं सक्को निवडंतिं भणइ पखुवई अह्यं। घारेमि तओ पडिया धरिया सीसेण पखुवइणा॥ दिव्वं बाससहस्सं जह घरिया जण्हवी उमावहणा। तो कह न धरेसि तुमं छम्मासं सिरेणुदयधारा॥ * ८ * उत्तमपुरिसो सि तुमं विण्णाणागमगुणेहिं संपुण्णो'। णिग्गयजसो महप्पा विक्खाओ जीवलोअम्मि'॥ [ एवं ] कण्डरीकेनोक्तं मूलदेवं प्रति प्रत्युत्तरकथानकाष्टकम् । ॥ इति धूर्त्ताख्याने प्रथमाख्यानकम् ॥	6 6 6 6 7 8 9 8 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
[ २. अथ कण्डरीककथितं कथानकम् । ]	
अइसइओ मूलसिरी⁺ कंडरिअं भणइ−'सु(भ)णसु इत्ताहे ।	10
जं दिहं जं च सुअं अणुहूयं जं च ते इहई' ॥	8
अह भणह कंडरीओ-'अविणयपुण्णो मि आसि बालत्ते।	•
अम्मापिइदुइंतो रोसेण घराउ णिक्खंतो ॥	ર
परिहिंडंतो अ अहं पत्तो देसंतसंठिअं गामं ।	•
गो-महिस-अजा-एलय-खर-करहसमाउलं मुहर्य ॥	य द्र
आरामुजाणवणेहिं सोहियं कुसुमफलसमिद्धेहिं।	
अयलापुरिसारिच्छं बहुघरसयसंकुलं रम्मं ॥	૪
तस्स बहुमज्झदेसे पिच्छं वडपायवं मणभिरामं।	-
मेहणिउरंबभूअं सडणसहस्साण आवासं ॥	هر
तस्स य हिट्टे जक्खो कमलदलक्खो गुणेहिं परिकिण्णो ।	20
सण्णिहिअपाडिहेरो देइ वरं सो वरत्थीणं ॥	વ
जक्खस्स तस्स जत्ता वद्दइ बहुजणसमाउला मुइया ।	
तत्थेइ जणो मुइओ धूवबलीपुप्फहत्थगओ ॥	e
ण्हायपसाहियजिमिओं सब्वालंकारभूसियसरीरो ।	
णाणाविह्रवत्थधरो चंदणपरिवण्णणविलित्तो ॥	6 25
तो हं सकोउहहो उवागओ तं महायणसमूहं ।	
जक्खस्स कमे णमिउं रममाणे घोडहे पिच्छं ॥	९
सण्णद्ध्यद्धकवया गहिआउहपहरणा य अइबहुला ।	
कुल्युल्ररवं करिंता पडिया चोरा 'णवरि तत्थ ॥	१०
तो सो सबाल्बुह्वो सइत्थिओ जणवओ सपसुवग्गो ।	30
अह घोडएहिं सहिओ वाऌंकं अइगओ सव्वो ॥	88

1 B संपण्णो । 2 B °लोगंमि । 3 A °कथानकाष्टकमिदम् । 4 B मूलसिरिं कंडरीओ । 5 A °तिमिओ । 6 A °र्ति । 7 A चोराणुवरि । 8 B घोडाएहिं ।

	तत्थ वि ते घोडहए रमंतपिच्छाजणे अ मुइअमणे।	
	चोरा वि पडिणिअत्ता णहो गामो ति जपंता ॥	१२
	णवरि तहिं पसुवग्गो चरमाणो आगओ सुवीसत्थो ।	22
	इकाइ पर्सइ तओ ओइलिअं चिन्भडं सहसा॥	१३
8	सा अयगरेण घत्था सो वि अ ढिंकीइ' णवरि ओइलिओ।	7 4
	सा तत्थेव णिलीणा तुंगे वडपायवे विउले ॥	१४
	तस्स अहे खंधारो णवरि ठिओ राइणो अ मत्तगओ।	• •
	ढिंकीपाए जमिओ वडपारोहु त्ति काऊण ॥	१५
	आउंचिअम्मि पाए कड्विज्ञइ गयवरो गुलगुलिंतो ।	
10	तो रवइ मिण्ठवग्गो केणावि गओ समुक्खित्तो ॥	१व
	सोऊण ताण सद्दं संपत्ता सद्दवेहिणो जोहा।	
	इसुचावगहिअहत्था कलयलरावं करेमाणा ॥	<b>୧</b> ୨
	छिण्णा य तीइ पंखा से सीसं 'हत्थिएहिं दक्खेहिं।	
	सा विलवंती पडिआ पव्वयसिंहर व्व महि्वहे ॥	१८
65	फालाविआ य रण्णा पुटे दिहो अ अयगरो विउलो ।	
	सगडस्स ईदरो विव् खोडी विव महिअले प्डिआ ॥	१०
	अह भणह णरवरिंदो फालिज्ञउ एस अयगरो विउलो ।	
	एयस्स विमा मज्झे माणुसतिरिअं च हुजाहि ॥	२०
	अह फालिअम्मि उअरे दिहा सा छालिआं महाकाया।	
28	तीए वि उअरमज्झे रमणिज़ं चिब्भडं दिहं ॥	२१
	ही ही अहो महछं ति चिब्भडं जाव जंपए राया।	
	तो घोडया वि रमिउं णवरि ठिया उज्जवंसकरा ॥	२२
	णिग्गंतुं च पवत्तो वाऌकाओ तओ जणसमहो ।	
	जह सलभाण य सेणा रेप्फविलाओ विणिक्खमइ ॥	२३
25	णमिऊण जिणवरिंदं तो सो सचउप्पओ' जणो संट्वो ।	
	णियणियठाणाइं गओ अहं पि पत्तो इमं णयरिं॥	२४
	एअं मे अणुभूअं पश्चक्लमिहेव माणुसे लोग ।	
	जो में ण पत्तिआयइ धुत्ताणं देउ सो भुत्ति ॥	হৰ্
	॥ कण्डरीकेनोक्तं कथानकमिदम् ॥	
	÷ 	
30	[ अथ एलाषाढोक्तं कण्डरीककथानकोत्तरम् । ]	
	अह भणइ एलसाढो – 'पत्तिज्ञामो णां' किंचि संदेहो' ।	
	पडिभणइ कंडरीओ- 'गामो कह चिब्भडे माओ ? ॥	रद
		•
1 7 A	A डिंकीरिए। 2 B छिण्णाइ। 3 B हथीएहिं। 4 A रंता। 5 B अइगरो। 6 A सो चउप्पओ। 8 A न।	છેલિંગા ।
	where we have the second	

C

पडिभणइ एलसाढो पुराण-भारह-सुईदिट्ठत्थो ।	
किं तुह विण्हुपुराणं कण्णसुइपहं न पत्तं ते ॥	<b>হ</b> ৩
पुन्विं आसि जगमिणं पंचमहाभूअवज्ञिअं गहिरं।	ι-
एगण्णवं जलेणं महत्पमाणं तहिं अंडं ॥	२८
वीईपरंपरेणं घोलंतं अच्छिउं सुचिरकालं ।	5
फुद्दं दुभागजायं अद्धं भूमीइ संदुत्तं॥	२९
तत्थ सुरासुरणायरमणुअचउप्पयमयं जयं सब्बं।	
जइ मायं ता गामो कह णु ण माइज वाऌंके ॥ 🛛 🗰 🛠 १ 🇰	30
अण्णं च इमं सुव्वइ' अर्णीपव्वस्मिं धम्मपुत्तस्स ।	
कहिअं सुअमणुभूअं मर्कडेणं च अण्णजए ॥	<b>28</b> 10
सो किल जुगंतसमए उदएणेगण्णवीकए लोए ।	
वीईपरंपरेणं घोलिंतो उदयमज्झम्मि ॥	३२
पिच्छइ गयतसथावरपणट्टसुरणरतिरिक्खजोणीअं'।	
एगण्णवं जगमिणं पंचमहाभूअपब्भहं ॥	22
एवंविहे जगम्मी पिच्छइ 'णग्गोहपायवं अह सो ।	15
मंदरगिरि व्व तुंगं महासमुद्दं व वित्थिण्णं ॥	३४
खंधम्मि तस्स सयणं अच्छइ तहिं बालओ मणभिरामो।	•
संपुण्णसरीरुदओ मिउमदवर्कुंचिअसुदेसो ॥	રૂલ્
हत्थो पसारिओ से रिसिणा एहेहिं वच्छ ! भणिओं अ।	
खंधे ममं विलग्गसु मा मरिहिसि उदयवाहीए ॥	<b>हे</b> दे 21
तेण य घित्तुं इत्थो ओइलिओ सो रिसी तओ तस्स ।	•
पिच्छइ उअरम्मि जयं ससेलवणकाणणं सट्वं ॥	<b>3</b> 9
दिव्वं वाससहस्सं कुच्छीए सो रिसी परिभमंतो ।	
अंतं न चेव पत्तो विणिग्गओ रिसिवरो तत्तो ॥	३८
जुइ दारयस्स उअरे ससुरासुरमाणुसं जयं मायं।	25
तो चिन्भडस्मि गामो कहणु ण माइज कंडरिअ ! ॥ 🛛 🗰 🕏 🕯	
<b>ढिंकोअरे अयगरो तस्स प</b> सुई अ चिब्भडं उअरे ।	
तत्थ वि य जणसमूहो कहमाओ भणसि सुणसु इमं॥	४०
मुहीगिज्झसुमज्झाइ केसवो देवईइ कुच्छिम्मि ।	-
	: 88
अह भणह कंडरीओ चिन्भडपसुअयगराइमज्झम्मि ।	
अच्छंतो कह ण मओ पुं मे पत्तिआवेह ॥	૪૨
	- 1

1 B सब्बं। 2 A व्युव्यस्मि। 3 B व्जोणियं। 4 B णिग्गोद्द । 5 A एद्देप्रदि। 6 B सुखो। भूव २

	भणइ अ एलासाढो तदिअसं चेअ णिग्गओ तं सि ।	
	पुइई कह <sup>े</sup> ण विवज्जऍ वसुदेवसुअस्स पुटत्था ॥	૪ર
	पुट्टे किसि-वाणिज़ं संगामा बहुविहा य आरंभा ।	
	आवाह-विवाहा 'वि अ उस्सव-पसवा य वद्वंति ॥	88
5	कह पुण हविज एअं जयं तु उअरे वि जस्स ज वुत्धं।	
	बंभाण-केसवाणं पुव्विं किर भंडणं आसि ॥	૪૯
	कत्ता अहं ति बंभा भणइ जओ वयण-बाह-ऊरूहिं।	
	पाएसु अ णिक्खंतं चाउव्वण्णं जगमिणं ति ॥	४६
	पडिभणइ तं अणंतो बंभाणं सपरिहासवयणेहिं।	
10	तं सि मम चेडरूवं ण जुज़ए एरिसं वुत्तुं⁴ ॥	૯૪
	अब्भा-भूमि'कउट्ठे' पव्वयदाढे समुद्दजीहाले ।	
	पविसेहि मज्झ उअरे जा पिच्छ सविव्भमं पुहइं ॥	86
	मह चेव समुप्पण्णो जलसयणे णाहिणिग्गए पउने।	
	बंभाण ! तं ण सोहसि गुरुपुरओ इत्तिअं वुत्तुं ॥	૪૬
15	अवि य –	
	जस्स पभावेणुम्मिछियाइँ तं चेव कह कयग्घाइं' ।	
	कुमुआईँ अत्तसंभाविआईँ चंदं उवहसंति ॥	* 7 * 60
	अह भणइ कंडरीओ पोराणसुईसु कत्थ य सुयं ते।	
	ढिंकी महप्पमाणा जस्सुअरे इत्तियं मायं ॥	५१
20	परिभणइ एलसाढो दोवइदेवीसयंवरे पत्ता ।	••
	जह किर घणुं पविद्वा महिहरणागा य अग्गी य॥	५२
	दुपयणरिंदस्स धणुं सुमहहं देवयापरिग्गहियं।	
	जो आरुहिओ विंधइ वामे अच्छिम्मि सुअरियं ॥	५३
	जिज्जइ कलासु कुसला किर कण्णा तस्स दोवई सोउं।	
25	रायाणो तं धणुहं आरुहिउं तो समादत्ता ॥	લ્ઝ
	ण चयंति आरुहेउं अह ससिपालो महावलो करो ।	
	संधेऊण पयत्तो णवरि घणुं तं अयत्तेणं ॥	دردم
	तो कण्हेणं ताहे गरुलो णागा गया य संखा य।	
	चर्कं णंगलमुसलं मंदरमेरू अ पक्लिता ॥	લ્ફ
30	संघेइ तो वि जाहे ता ससिसुरग्गिउदहिसेला य।	
	पक्खित्ता सव्व चिय वसुहा अह घणुहरे तम्मि ॥	<b>৫</b> ৩
	अद्धंग्रलमित्ते किर असंधिए केसवेण ठाणं' सो ।	
	पाएण वि मोअविउं ताहे पडिओ सहघणू णं ॥	५८
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

1 A णे। 2 A °निवाहोचिअ। 3 B जयंत उयरे। 4 B वुत्तं। 5 B °भूसिमि°। 6 A अक्तरहे। 7 B ?काषाई। 8 B महाबले तीहे। 9 A वाणं।

पत्थेण य तं गहियं अह अइभारेण ण सहई घरणी।	
भीमस्स तओ हत्थे आरुहिअ तं पयत्तेण ॥	७०
कण्णविदिन्नेण सरेण विंधिउं अज्जुणेण तं राहं।	* *
लद्धा दोवइकण्णा वीरपडागं हरतेण ॥	६०
जइ तं महस्रधणुअं णागग्गिगगया य अइगया जत्थ ।	5
तो कह ण होइ ढिंकी महई जत्थित्तियं मार्य॥ *५ अ	* 59
रामायणे वि सुब्वइ सीआहरणे जडाउगिद्ध ति ।	
पंखाउही महप्पा जुज्झेणं रामणस्स ठिओ ॥	६२
तेण वि से रुडेणं चंदाहासेण ऌडिआ पंखा।	• *
तो ऌअपंखो पडिओ मंदरसिहरू व्व महिवद्दे ॥	६३ ॥
सीआए सो भणिओ सीलवईणं सईण तेल्णं ।	
दट्टण रामदूअं होहिंति पुणो वि ते पंखा ॥	<b>६</b> ४
कर्स य कालस्स तओ हणुअंतो राहवेण आणत्तो ।	
सीआपउत्तिहेउं हिंडंतो आगओ तहियं॥	हद
चिंतेइ य हणुअंतो अहो गिरी एस उण्णओऽतीव।	15
इत्थारुहिऊण अहं पुलएमि समंतओ वसुहं ॥	ଷ୍ଣ୍
संलत्तो अ खगेण कोऽसि तुमं 'एरिसेण वेसेण ।	
सो भणइ रामदूओ अहं ति सीआ( ? जं ?) गवेसामि ॥	ह७
भणिओ जडाउणा सो रामवहू रामणेण विलवंती।	•
तेऌकडामरेणं लंकापुरपदृणं णोआ ॥	<b>٤</b> ८ "
मा भमसु अरण्णाई सीआदेवीइ मग्गणहाए।	
दहरहसुअस्स सिग्धं गंतूण पिअं णिवेएहि ॥	ह९
अहमवि सीअटाए जुज्झंतो णिसिअराहिवेणेवं।	
असिणा दुवे वि पंखे छित्तूण अजंगमो मुक्को ॥	90
अह भणइ वाउपुत्तो जं सि ठिओ रामणस्स जुझ्झेण ।	25
जं वा वि अम्ह कहिअं होहि त्ति तुमं पि पिअभागी ॥	૭શ
सोऊण दूअवयणं तो से जाया पुणण्णवा पंखा।	
आयासेणुप्पइओ' गओ अ सग्गं णिष्वसग्गं ॥	૭૨
भवति चात्र स्ठोकः –	•
आख्यास्यति खगः समें पितुर्दशरथस्य मे ।	
एकस्त्रीपरिपाल्येऽपि न रामः शक्तुमर्हति ॥	৽ ভই
मंदरसिंहरागारो जइ आसि जडाउ खहयरो गिद्धो।	•
	<del>\$</del> 0 *

1 B मलय°। 2 A मेहई। 3 A एरिसंमि। 4 A होहि वि उमं। 5 B व्युप्पेओ।

एलासाढेणेवं कंडरिओ जंपिओ भणइ ताहे। एलासाढ ! इयाणि कहेहिं जं ते समणुभूअं ॥

एलाषाढेनोक्तं कण्डरीकं प्रति प्रत्युत्तरकथानकषटूमिदम् । ॥ इति धूर्त्ताख्याने द्वितीयमाख्यानम् ॥ [ ३. अथ एलाषाढकथितं कथानकम् । ] अह भणइ एलसाढो अहयं तरुणत्तणे दविणबुद्धी। धाउव्वायपिसाएण भामिओ परिभमामि जगं॥ इत्थ बिलं इत्थ रसो एसो सो पव्वओ जहिं धाऊ। एवं लक्लगएणं णडिज्जमाणो परिभमामि ॥ ल्द्रो अ आगमो मे जह पुव्वदिसाइ जोअणसहस्से । णवरं गंतूण गिरिं सहस्सवेही रसो तहियं॥ जोअणवित्थिण्णाए सिलाइ तं रसबिलं समुच्छण्णं। उक्खिबिऊण सिलं सो धित्तव्वो कणयक्कंडाओ ॥ आसापासणिबद्धो जोअणसइएहिं तो कमेहिं अहं । गंतूण गिरिपएसे उक्खिबिअ सिलं रसं गहिउं॥ परिढक्किजण य बिलं सिलाइ तो आगयं इमं भवणं। तो जाओ मे विभओ 'धणयसरिच्छो रसपसाया ॥ अह पणइणिपरिकिण्णो धुव्वंतो तह य मागहसएहिं। वरतरुणिसंपउत्तेहिं णाडएहिं च गिज्ञंतो ॥ अच्छामि विलसमाणो अच्छरसा परिगओ धणवइ व्व। तालायर-माहण-भिक्खुआण दाणं पयच्छामि ॥ तो घणयविहवसरिसं णाऊण ममं विणिग्गयजसोहं। चोरा सामत्थेउं रत्तिं पडिआ मम गिहम्मि ॥ सण्णद्धबद्धपट्ठेहिं तेहिं गहिआउहप्पहारेहिं। कयसीहणायबोलेहिं वेढियं मज्झ वरभवणं ॥ पर(°रि ?)संचियस्स अत्थस्स कारणे मरणमागयं तेहिं। सभुअबलज्जिअमत्थं ण देमि हरिउं विचिंतेउं॥ ११ सहसा य उट्टिओ हं धणुअं घित्तूण सरसहस्सं च। तो भंडणमाढत्तं चोराण महंतभीसणयं॥

1 B धणसयसरिच्छो।

www.jainelibrary.org

3

18

15

28

Ź5

Ł

२

Ş

8

4

ę,

9

6

6

१०

१२

રૂ.	एलापाढकथित	कथानकम्	i 9	2	ł
-----	------------	---------	-----	---	---

सत्तद्वसदुवालस अ[ह]यं' इक्षेण सरपहारेणं । जत्तो वलामि तत्तो पेसेभि जमालयं चोरे ॥ १३ तो चोराण सयं में सुहत्तमित्तेण घाइयं तहियं। हयसेसा' सयराहं पडिआ मज्झोवरिं सव्वे ॥ १४ तो मं खंडाखंडिं काउं सीसं च छिण्णिउं मज्झ । बयरीए ठविऊणं मुसिऊण घरं गया चोरा ॥ १५ सरुहिर-सकुंडलं चिय सीसं मे वयरितरुवरारूढं। वीसत्थमणुव्विग्गं खायइ बोरे कसकसस्स ॥ १६ तं सीसं सुरुदए दिहं लोएण वयरिउवरिम्मि । बयराइं खायंतं एस सजीओ त्ति काऊण ॥ **E C §** मज्झं अंगोवंगा जणेण पिंडेवि मेलिआ तुरिअं। जाओ पुणो वि तोऽहं णिरुवहयसरीरलायण्णो ॥ १८ एयं मे अणुभूअं सयमेव इमम्मि माणुसे लोए। जो पुण ण पत्तिअइ मं धुत्ताणं देउ सो भुत्ति॥ 99 ॥ एलाषाढेनोक्तं कथानकमिदम् ॥ 15 [ अथ शशोक्तं एलाषाढकथानकसमाधानम् । ] भणइ ससो सब्भूअं कह सका भाणिऊ(उं) अलिअमेअं। जं पोराणसुईए भारह-रामायणे आयं ॥ २० 🚌 जमयग्गी आसि रिसी पत्ती तस्सासिं रेणुआ णामं। तीए सीलवईए णमंति कुसुमत्थिए रुक्खा ॥ **२१** 20 दिहो अणाइ राया अस्सावहिओ मणोअ से खुहिओं। ण णमंति तओ रुक्खा ताहे जमयग्गिणा रामो ॥ २२ रुडेण समाणत्तो सीसं छिंदाहि 'दुइसीलाए । तेण वि सीसं छिण्णं झड त्ति पिउवयणकारेण' ॥ २३ भणइ तओ जमयग्गी वरसु वरं पुत्त ! जो तुहं इहो । 15 सो भणइ मज्झ माया पुणो वि जीवंतिआ होउ ॥ २४ इय होउ त्ति <sup>°</sup>पभणिए जाया सा तक्खणेण सज्जीवा। जइ सब्भूअं एअं तुमं पि जीवोसि तं सचं ॥ \* ? \* २५ राया वि जरासंधो समरपरकमपयावविक्खाओ'। सो संधिओ जराए रायसहस्साहिवो जाओ ॥ \* ~ \* ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ अण्णं च इमं सुव्वइं सुंद-णिसुंदा सहोअरा सरा। बल्वीरिअसंपण्णा सुरलोअभयं जणेमाणा ॥ ૨૭  $1 ext{ B}$  अप्पं ।  $2 ext{ B}$  हरयसंसा $^\circ$ ।  $3 ext{ B}$  तिस्सासि ।  $4 ext{ B}$  दु $\mathbf{z}^\circ$  । 5 A पिउवयणं कारीणं;

B °वयणकारीणं । 6 B भणिए । 7 B °पयाविवक्खाओ । 8 B सन्वइ ।

## हरिमद्रसृरिक्ठतं धूर्ताख्यानम् ।

सामत्थेतु सुरेहिं तिलोत्तमा' तेसि वहणिमित्तं तु ।	
णिम्मविया तिलमित्तं इक्तिकसुरस्स घित्तृणं ॥	२८
अंगोवंगसुअंगघलावण्णगुणागरा अणोवम्मा ।	
कमलागरवत्थव्वा लच्छि व्व सयं समुत्तिण्णा ॥	२९
विणओणामियगत्ता सरसामरुविकयकमरुद्ररुणयणा ।	
णमिऊण सुरसमूहं महुरुछावा समुछवइ॥	Ęo
जं कायव्वं तं आणवेह देवेहिं जंपिअं इणमो ।	
सुंद-णिसुंदा सुरसत्थकंटया ते समुद्धरसु ॥	इ१
इंअ होउ ति अ भणिउं तिऌत्तमा गिण्हिउं सुराणतिं	l
पत्ता खणेण य तहिं सुंद-णिसुंदा सुरा जत्थ ॥	इ२
हारद्वहारकेऊरभूसिआ जणमणस्स वृब्भूआ।	
विधडुण्णयथणवद्य दो वि जणे ते परोभेइ ॥	22
तो ते मयणवसगया तीइ कए उजिझउं अह पवत्ता।	
णिहणं च गया दुण्णि विं परुप्परं सत्थघाएहिं ॥	ર૪
भवति चात्र <b>स्ठोकः</b> –	
स्रीणां कृते आतृयुगस्य मेदः संबन्धिमेदे स्निय एव मूलम् ।	
अप्राप्तकामा बहवो नरेन्द्रा नारीभिरुच्छेदितराजवंशाः <sup>®</sup> ॥	इफ
अच्छरसा जइ एवं तिऌत्तमा णिम्मिआ सुरगणेहिं।	
तो कह तुज्झ वि अंगा लाइज़ंता न लग्गिज़ा ॥	इव्
अण्णाणंगावयवा जइ लग्गा संमिलिस्सिआ संतो ।	
तो ससरीरावयवा भणसु तुइं किं न लग्गंति ॥	65 * 5 *
सुव्वइ य पवणतणओ वालत्ते अंजण त्ति णामेणं ।	
जनणि पुच्छइ अम्मो को मे छुहियस्स आहारो ॥	३८
रत्ताइं वणफलाइं आहारो तुज्झ तेण अह सूरो(परं ?)।	
गहिउं समुद्धिअं तो तेणावि तलप्पहारेणं ॥	३९
सयसिकरो कओ सो जणणी से दहु तं पइण्णंगं।	
भत्तुसयासे गंतुं विलवइ सोगाउरा कलुणं ॥	४०
दहुं निअयकलत्तं रोअंतिं ब्हुविहाइं कंदतिं ।	
दद्रूण य हणुअंतं पुत्तं परलोअसंकंतं ॥	૪૬
तो पवणो परिकुविओ पायाले पविसिउं ठिओ ताहे।	
ससुरासुरं' जगमिणं यवणणिरोहेण आदण्णं ॥	૪ર
गंतुं च तत्थ दिहो पसाइओ खुरवरेहिं सो पवणो ।	
अंगाइं तस्सुअस्स वि संघाएउं' कओं सजीओ' ॥	৪ই

1 B तिलुत्तमा । 2 A. दुण्णवि । 3 B °हंशाः । 4 B °सुराहरं । 5 B संघाएउं । 6 B सजीवा ।

₿.

18

15

28

25

¥.

इका य तस्स हणुया ण य दिट्ठा सुरवरेहिं सव्वेहिं। हणुयाइ एस अण्णो हणुअंतो तो कओं णामं॥ 88 जइ सचं पवणसुओ खंडाखंडिकओ वि संमिलिओ। तो कह सक्का वुत्तुं तुज्झ अउव्वं वयणमेअं ॥ \* 8 \* 84 दसरहतणयकहाए सीआदेवीइ हरणसंबंधे। सेउं' संधावेउं लंकादीवं गए रामे ॥ 85 दसमुह-रामबलाणं दुण्ह वि भडवाययं वहंताणं । संगामग्मि पलग्गे हण हण सदाउले घोरे॥ 29 मंदोअरिदइयभडुकडेहिं णेगाइं वाणरसयाई। असिपरसु'अद्धचंदप्पहारच्छिण्णंगमंगाइं ॥ 80 14 सत्तीपहरणिरुद्धे महीअलि पडिअम्मि लक्खणकुमारे। रामे सोगाभिहए विल्वंते पवणतणएण ॥ XS गंतुं दोणगिरीओ उवणीआ ओसही जलंतीओ । निस्सह़ त्ति पभावा झडि त्ति सत्ती वि णिस्सरिया॥ چ جا जे णिसिअरकुद्धुग्घाएहिं समरम्मि अभिहया पवया। 15 संछिण्णभिण्णगत्ता ते वि अ सन्वे समासत्था ॥ હરે विवइण्णसरीरा वि अ जइ सच्वें वानरा समुज्जीआ। खंडसहस्सो कओ एलसाढ ! तो जीवदि' तम पि॥ \* 4 \* 4 7 अण्णं च जणपगासा णिहिआ सुअपुत्थएसु बहुएसु। किं वा तुम्हेहि इमा ण खुआ महसेणउप्पत्ती ॥ 6 3 22 हिमसेलगुहगयाई दो वि महामेहुणं णिसेवंति। दिव्वं वाससहस्सं गिरिसुअ ससिभूसणो चेव ॥ હપ્ર तं सोऊण पउत्तिं देवा किर तिहुअणम्मि आदण्णा। सब्वायरेण मिलिउं गब्भुवघायं विचिंतंति ॥ 66 सहरं सामत्थेउं तिहुअणउज्जोअकारओ जलणो। महुरक्खरवयणपयंपिएहिं भणिओ सरगणेहिं॥ G 8 इकस्स वि ता कीरह कज़ं अब्भत्थिएहिं जिअलोए'। किं पुण महाणुभावो जं जंपह सुरसमूह ति॥ କ୍ତ୍ର जस्स य कएण सब्वे देवा चिंतोवहिं समोइण्णा। तस्स य जलेण तुमं हुअवह ! इको समत्थोऽसि ॥ 6C a पविसिद्य 'गुहाविवरे हरपुरओ अप्पर्य पर्यसेसु । तो तुह कयाह विलिओ मेहणतासं विसजिजा ॥ 69

1 B तेमं। 2 A °दुरखु°; B °परुस°। 3 B सर्च। 4 B जीवसिओ। 5 B गव्भवर्ग्धार्थ 6 B जीवकोए। 7 B गहाविवरे।

वेसाणरेण भणिअं को धावइ अभिमुहं' मुहुत्तं पि ।	
इहरा' वि उमावइणो किं पुण एयारिसे भावे ॥	दि०
णरसिरकवालमालाउलस्स खद्दंगवग्गहत्थस्स ।	χ-
जस्स रई पेअवणे को तस्स जणो समछिअइ॥	हर
जो 'दारुवणे रिसिआसमस्मि विणिअंसणो' पलायंतो ।	12
वहिओ उद्धुअलिंगो को नं विदुहो क्षमालवइ ॥	हर
किं बहुणा जणमज्झे जो णचइ उद्धिएण लिंगेणं।	٩. ٢
बलवंतो वज्जहरो तस्स वि णिस्संसयं भाइ॥	हर
जह कह वि सुलपाणी कुप्पड हिमगिरिगुहापबिहस्स।	44
को जाणइ किं मे होहिइ त्ति मा संकडे छुहह ॥	हर
इत्थंतरे अभणिओ सप्पणयं बहुअलोअणेणेवं।	~0
सन्वसुराणं वयणं हुअवह ! इणमो णिसामेहि ॥	દ્ધ
मा भाहि उमावइणो हुअवह ! जेणेरिसो उमासत्तो ।	
गयतुरयपुरिसदमणो किं च इमो आगमो ण खुओ ॥	६६
हत्थी दम्मइ संवच्छरेण मासेण दम्मइ तुरंगो ।	~ ~
महिला पुण किर पुरिसं दमेइ इकेण दिवसेणं ॥	६७
जं भणइ उमादेवी करेइ तं पसुवई अकज्ञं पि ।	
किं वा देहाणुगयं उमं वहंतो ण दिहो ते ॥	६८
संचस आसंकमिणं रुद्दो रुट्टो वि ते सरीरस्स।	<i>च</i> प्र
ण करेइ किं पि पीडं पब्बइचित्तावरक्खाए ॥	६०
इअ होउ त्ति अ जलणो गंतुं हिमवंतगिरिगद्दं विउलं।	
पिच्छइ तिउरंतयरं रइकजसमुग्गयमईणं ॥	٥٥
अब्भासत्थं दहं महदेवो उहिओ समारूढो ।	. •
हुं हुं उमाइ भणिओ उद्धुयलिंगोऽणलं भणइ ॥ उह्न णिअंचिअ वयणं पिब रेअं मा करेहि विक्खेवं।	10.9
उहु णिअंचिअ वयणं पिव रेअं मा करेदि विक्वतं।	৬१
रुद्देण हुअवहो घडघडस्स तो पाइओ रेअं॥	७२
उअरगएण य रेएण सो 'पलित्तगिणा व डज्झंतो ।	υ₹
मुअमरणो संभंतो कहकह वि महोअहिं पत्तो ॥	৬২
दाजणमंगुलिं हुअवहेण उग्गालिओ जले रेओ।	्र
उग्गालिअम्मि रेए ताहे जलणो समासत्थो ॥	હષ્ઠ
तप्पभिइं चिअ सुम्मइ जणसुहवायागयं इमं वयणं।	~0
रेअपभावा किर सागरम्मि रयणाण उप्पत्ती ॥	৩২
1 A अभिमहो । 2 इहरावि ) 3 B टारवणे ) 1 B किल्लाने ह D C	•~~
<b>I AL MINNELL Z SETTER) S KETTER I A R <math>(A, A)</math> A R A R A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A </b>	

1 A. अभिमुहो । 2 इहुरावि । 3 B दारवणे । 4 B विणिअंसमो । 5 B विवद्दो समुझवद्द । 6 B पलत्त<sup>9</sup> ।

Ę

10

15

28

25

30

३. एलाषाढकघितं कथानकम् ।	84
लवणजलाओ अग्गी णीऌप्पलसुरहिकमलगंधहूं।	
सरमेगं गंतुं जो रेअविगे पं समुग्गिलइ ॥	৩ই
जं भण्णह कित्तिअ सह उअंति फुडविअडपायडं ताओ।	•
छक्किर अच्छरसाओ तं पउमसरं समोइण्णा ॥	وى
ता मजजिउमाहत्ता तम्मि सरे णयणमणभिरामम्मि ।	••
मज्जंतीणं जोणीसु ताण बीअं अणुपविहं ॥	90
पउमसरे मुजित्ता पुणरवि ता जोइसालयं पत्ता ।	
पइदिवसं चिअ तासिं छण्ह वि परिवहुए उअरं ॥	90
कालंतरेण केण य समयं चिअ ना तहिं पसुआओ ।	•
इकिकमुत्तिमंगं 'बाहरुसरीररुंडाई ॥	69 11
ता ता वि विम्हियमणा दंसति परुप्परिकमिकस्स ।	
पिच्छसु अच्छेरमिणं लोगम्मि अभूअपुट्वं तु ॥	૮૧
बाहरू अ सरीरं सीसाणि अ 'णिअय-णिअयठाणेसु ।	- 4
लग्गाइं तक्खण चिय महसेणो छम्मुहो जाओ ॥	८२
कोमारबंभयारी णिच्छइ मणसावि ज़वइसंजोअं।	2
सब्वजणम्मि पगासो दक्तिवणदेसे ठिओ रण्णे ॥	نة 23
चउसु अ दिसासु जहिअं धावइ लोगो भवंतरदिसद्वा।	•
सीसेण य छम्मासं धरेइ धारंबरहाए॥	68
जइ महसेणंगाईं <sup>क्ष</sup> पिहुगब्भविणिग्गयाई लग्गाई ।	
	<b>दे # ८५</b> ₂₀
छिण्णा णासा कण्णो अ लग्गए लोअविइअमेअं पि।	1 1 20
'परमाणुपमाणओ पगंपिओं [य] लग्गसि तुमं पि॥	୧୫
तो भणइ एलसाढो पुरिसो कह छिण्णएण सीसेणं।	• 、
सुक्खतो बयराइं खाएउं सक्कए कह णु॥	୧୬
भणइ ससो राहू किर सीसच्छिण्णो जयम्मि सुइवाओ।	
and the man in the second seco	23 9 <b>4 6 6</b>
अह भणइ एलसाढो कह गम्मइ तं विगिटमदाणं ।	• # ••
कह वावि जोअणसयं कमेहिं अक्कमइ भूमीए ॥	ረጓ
पडिभणइ ससो जण्णे बलिस्स विण्हू दिआइवेसेण।	•
तिर्णिण कमे जाइत्ता हरह ससेलं वसमई' सो॥	60 m
जह सब्वा वि वसुमई तिण्णि ण पुण्णा कमे महुमहस्स ।	<i>7</i> ~ 86
	· C # 98
Berero 1 2 B francesson 2 A Day A Day	

1 B बाहूर॰। 2 B णियणिअय॰। 3 A पिह॰। 4 B परमाण॰। 5 B मुक्खतो बोयराई। 6 A अर्द।

1 A उक्सिसि। 2 A पव्वयराउ। 3 B व्चक व्य।

अह भणइ ससो अहयं णिअयं छित्तं गओ सरयकाले। गामाओ दूरत्थं तं छित्तं गिरिवरासन्ने ॥ १ छित्तम्मि अ अच्छंतो तत्तो पव्वयवराओं ओयरिउं। उच्छित्तो मि गएणं पव्वयमित्तेण मत्तेण ॥ २ थरथरथरंतगत्तो हाहा गहिओ मएण चिंतंतो । विवलाइउमचयंतो परिभममि तहिं तहिं चेव ॥ Z भीउव्विग्गेण मए दिहो तिलपायवो अइमहल्लो। तत्थ विलग्गो मि अहं वणगयभयवेविरसरीरो ॥ S पत्तो अ सो वणगओ आरुसिओ तिऌदुमं समंतेणं । परिभमइ गुलुगुलिंतो कुलालचक्कु' व्व आइहो ॥ દ तेण भमंतेण य सो चालिजंतो तिले दवदवस्स । वासासु जलहरो इव जलणिवहं मुंचई घोरं॥

[ १. अथ शशोक्तं खकीयं कथानकम् । ]

## ॥ इति धूर्त्ताख्याने तृतीयमाख्यानकम् ॥

ससेनोक्तं एलासाढं प्रति प्रत्युत्तरकथानकदशकम् ।

पुणरवि एलासाढो भणइ सिला सा मए अइमहल्ला। कह उक्खित्ता गरुआ एअं मे पत्तिआवेहि ॥ ९२ भणइ ससो किं ण सुअं तुमए रामायणे कहिज्ञंतं। रामस्स रावणस्स च संगामे वद्दमाणम्मि ॥ ९३ लक्खणकुमारपडणे हणुएण दोणपव्वओ तंगो। ओसहिमग्गंतेणं समूलडालो समुक्खित्तो ॥ ९४ महइसिलासंघाओं सेलो जइ वाणरेण उक्तिखत्तो । जोअणपमाणमित्तं उक्खिवसि सिलं न संदेहो ॥ \* ? \* ? 4 लोए वि पयरइ सुई वहुंती मेइणी महुमहेणं। काउं वराहरूवं ससेलवणकाणणा धरिया ॥ ९६ जइ तेण समुक्खित्ता ण णज्जई कत्थई ठिएणं ति । उक्खिवसि' ता तुमं पि अ धरणिअलत्थो सिला दो वि॥ ৎ৩ एलासाढो जाहे ससेण अइसंधिओ भणइ ताहे। जं ते सुअमणुभूअं कहेहि सब्वं अपरिसेसं ॥ \* 20 \* 66

5

10

15

28

25

7 B Marti 8 A. eri

सञ्वकलापत्तहा भणह ससं खंडवाणई घुत्ती। अत्थेस आगमो मे भारह-रामायणे अ खुओ ॥ 88. खंडा ससेण भणिआ भारह-रामायणे पुराणे वा। एआरिसाईं अ सहेजआईं भण कत्थ भणिआई ॥ १५ 20 कह तिल्दुमो महछो तिछाण' य कह महाणई वूढा। कह पीआ य दसघडा कह खलभारो मए खइओ ॥ 28 खंडाए ससो भणिओं सचं तं' लोअवाहिरो तं सि । किं कइयाइ सुओ ते बालो वि जणो पयंपंतो ॥ १७ जह किर' पाडलिपुत्तो(°त्ते ?) णिम्मविआ मासपायवो(°वा ?) भेरी। # तो किं सो तिलरुक्खो महप्पमाणो ण हुज्जाहि ॥ \* ? \* ?८ सुव्वइ अ भारहम्मि वि गयाण पुण इत्थ दाणसलिलेणं । महई णई पवत्ता हयगयरहवाहिणी घोरा ॥ १९ उक्तश्व - तेषां कटतटभृष्टैर्गजानां मद्बिन्द्भिः । प्रावर्त्तत नदी घोरा हस्त्यश्वरथवाहिनी ॥ २०अ 1 A समाइण्णो । 2 A बिएहिर्च । 3 B देइ । 4 A पत्तद्र । 5 A तिल्लोणय । 6 A सुनं तं ।

[ अथ खण्डापानोक्तं शशकथितकथानकसमाधानम् । ]

तिलचक्केण व्व तिला ते सब्वे पीलिआ गयवरेणं । तत्थ पबुढा सरिआ तिछोआ णाम णामेणं ॥ .5 खुत्तो अ वणगओ सो खलचलणीए अ तिऌपंकम्मि । आरडिऊण य विरसं भुक्तिखअ तिसिओ मओ हत्थी ॥ C गयभयसंतत्तमणो अहयं पुण-जायओं त्ति मण्णंतो । कहकह वि तिल्दुमाओ दिवसऽवसाणे समोइण्णो'॥ 6 कुसिओ दिअं च काउं भरिओ तिछस्स सो मए हत्थी। ताहे दस तिल्लघडे पाउं भक्खेमि खलभारं॥ १० तिस्ठस्स सुपडिपुण्णं तं दिइअं गिणिहउं' गओ गामं । गामबहिं तं दिइयं पायवसाहाइ णिक्लिविउं ॥ 28 10 णिअयं भवणमइगओ पुत्तं पेसेमि तिछदिइअस्स । जाहे उ ण पावेई रुक्खं पाडिनु तो गिण्हे॥ १२ एअं मे अणुभूअं सयमेव इमस्मि माणुसे लोए। लो ण उ पत्तिअइ महं सो देउं महायणे भत्तं॥ १३ ॥ ससेनोक्तं कथानकमिदम् ॥ 16

	जह गयमयसलिलेणं हयगयरहवाहिणी णई विउला।	
	सरिआ तया पवत्ता तो तिछोदा कह ण होइ ॥	* <b>२ * २१</b>
	रज्जाउ धाडिएणं सुव्वइ लोअम्मि भीमसेणेणं ।	
	गंतूण इक्कचकं घोरो वगरक्खसो वहिओ ॥	२२
5	भत्तं तंदुलकुंभं महिसं तह मज़घडसहस्सं च।	
	जं तस्स भत्तपाणं उवणीअं तेण तं भुत्तं ॥	२३
	जह बगरक्खसभत्तं सुत्तं भीमेण तो किमेगेणं।	
	भारेण वि तं जिमिओं भारसयं किं ण भक्खेसि॥	* ३ * २४
	खुव्वइ अ कुंभयण्णो सुत्तविउद्धुट्टिओ णिअयकालं ।	
10	सो पिअइ घडसहस्सं खायइ णेंगे णरसए अ॥	૨૯
	जइ पिअइ कुंभयण्णो सुत्तविउद्धुट्टिओ घडसहस्सं।	
	दसहिं घडएहिं किं सस ! किं पण्णासं ण पीआ ते ॥	* <b>} *</b> ?Ę
	अण्णं च इमं सुव्वइ पुराणसुइणिग्गयं इमं वयणं ।	
	असुराण जह वहत्था अगत्थिणा सायरो पीओ ॥	* & * ?9
u	सग्गाओ अवइण्णा गंगा हरजडविणिग्गया संती।	
	जण्हुरिसिआसमपयं मज्झेण उवागया णवरं ॥	२८
	पीआ य तेण रिसिणा वाससहस्तं च भामिआ उअरे	1
	तो जण्हुएण मुका किर भण्णइ जण्हवी तेणं॥	२९
	जइ उअहि अगत्थीणं पीआ गंगा य जण्हुरिसिणा य।	
28	तो जइ दस तिछघडा पीआ य तए किमच्छेरं॥	* <b>&amp; * 30</b>
	भणइ ससो सो दिइओ सुमहंतो कह मए समुक्खित्तो	
	अह उक्खित्तो कह पुण णीओ एगागिणा गामं॥	इ१
	उच्चण्फलिअं दाउं हसिऊणं खंडवाणई भणह । पाणं सस । पा कमार कि सको नने ननकी की भ	
	णूणं सस ! ण कयाइ वि सुओ तुमे गरुलवित्तंतो ॥	३२
20	कासवरिसिपत्तीओ कड्डू विणया अहेसि तीअम्मि ।	
	दोहिं वि ताहिं सवत्तीहिं किं पि किल पणिअयं छिप्पं	11 <b>R</b> R
	जा पणिअयम्मि जिप्पइ तीए दासत्तणं च कायव्वं ।	
	जावज्जीवाइ चिय अहवा दायव्वयं अमयं॥	ইপ্ত
	विणया जिअ कड्रुए करेइ दासत्तणं सवत्तीए ।	
20	कडू वि सावत्तीवेहेएण विणयं विमाणेइ॥	३५
	विणया किर गुरुभारा दासत्ते' परमदुक्तिखआ जाया।	
100 may - 100 may - 100	तत्थेब सा पसुआ तीसे अंडत्तयं जायं॥	३६

1 A किणा | 2 B किरि | 3 A नासते |

दासत्तणमुक्खहा' भिंदइ तत्थेगमंडयं विणया। तत्थ किल अंडयम्मी जाया विच्छू असंपुण्णा ॥ 30 दुम्मणमणा य विणया परितप्पइ अंडयं विणहं मे। अण्णह चिंतेमि अहं तं पि अ मे अण्णहा होइ ॥ 36 मुचिज्ज पराहीणत्तणस्स अह णाम' दासणामस्स । कह वि दुरासाइ मए अंडं भिण्णत्थिए भिण्णं ॥ 39 अदिइ लँदाए विलविऊण आसाणिबद्धहिअयाए। कइहि वि दिवसेहिं तओ पुणो वि बिइअंडयं भिण्णं ॥ 80 बिइअंडम्मि अणूरू जाओ किल सो वि मायरं भणह। अम्मो तुम्हेहि इमं किमकाले अंडयं जिण्णं ॥ И У8 जो ते मणोरहो चिंतिउ त्ति सो पूरिओ मए हुंतो। इण्हिं अयंगमो किं करेमि अहयं पराहीणो॥ ४२ एअं पि ताव तइअं परिरक्खसु अंडयं पयत्तेण। जो कोवि इत्थ होही सो दुक्खविमुक्खओ तुम्हं ॥ 85 रहसारही अणूरू ठविओ सुरेण जो जगे अरुणो। 15 सयमेव य विणयाए कमेण तहअंडयं भिण्णं ॥ 88 अहिकुलभयसंजणणों जणवयविम्हावणो महा घोरो। विणयामणपरिओसो जाओ अ महावलो गरुडो ॥ ૪૬ षालत्ते रममाणो णागे कड्डसुए विहाडेइ । पइदि्वसं कडुए विणया खिंसिज्जए एवं ॥ 84 24 विणयाइ दासिआए पुत्तेणं गरुडएण सोमाला। मारिअ अहिपोअलया चंचूचलणप्पहारेहिं ॥ ୪७ एआरिसाइं विणया सोजणमभिक्खणं च रोवंती। पुच्छिज्जइ गरुडेणं रोअसि किं कारणं अम्मे'॥ 28 पुत्तय जीअणिमित्तं पत्ता दासत्तणं सवत्तीए । 25 रर्त्ति दिवा य दुहिआ करेमि आणत्तिअं' तीसे ॥ ४९ कहमुचिज्रसि अम्मे !, अमएणं, तं च जाणइ पिआ ते । कत्थ पिआ, बद्रीआसमम्मि, गरुडो गओ तहिअं ॥ 60 बदरासमम्मि 'गंतुं गरूडो पाएसु णिवडिओ पिउणो। विअलिंदिएण' तेण वि फासेणुवलकिस्तओ पुत्तो ॥ લ્ટ્ર 🛪 गरुडेण जंपिअं सुक्खिओ मि, भणिअं च कासवेणेवं। बारसजोअणहत्थी तत्तुस्ठो कच्छओ वीओ ॥ ધર

1 A अनुदुक्खद्वा । 2 B अणास॰ । 3 B ॰वम्हावणो । 4 B अम्हे । 5 B आणत्तीअ । 6 B गुत्तं । 7 B अरिएगं ।

	अमरिससुअंगवसओ जुज्झंति महासरे महाकाया।	
	रत्तिं दिवा य दुण्णि वि सरसंखोर्भं करेमाणा ॥	६इ
	भक्खेहि ते तुमं गच्छिऊण, मा पुत्त ! सुक्खिओ अच्छ ।	
	गंतूण इकमिकेण तेण ते दोवि परिभुत्ता ॥	૬૪
5	तत्तो अ पडिणिअत्तो पिच्छइ वडपायवं महाविडयं ।	
	पल्यमहामेहं पि व 'ससउणकोलाहलं विउलं' ॥	هره
	चउमुहबीअविणिग्गयाण वालखिछाण तस्स हिट्टम्मि ।	
	उग्गं तप्पंति तवं रिसीणमद्धुटकोडीओ ॥	લઘ
	सो तत्थ समछीणो भग्गो वडपायवो कडकडंतो ।	• •
10	मा होही रिसिवज्झा चंचू वडपायवं गुविलं ॥	<b>৫</b> ৩
	तो सहसा उक्खिविउं छोएमाणु व्व णहयलं सव्वं।	• -
	किण्णरगरुडणरामरविम्हयमउलं जणेमाणो ॥	५८
	सागरजलपक्लित्ते बहुविहवणसंडमंडिओदेसे ।	1-
	दीवम्मि सुवित्थिण्णे संचइ वडपायवं गरुडो ॥	५९
15	वडदुमलंकणिमित्तं लंकादीउ त्ति तो कयं णामं ।	`` <b>`</b>
	दससीसरसावासो आसि जहिं णिसिअरपइरस ॥	Ę٥
		५७
	हिमवंते गयकच्छव भक्खेउं सो गओ पिउसयासं ।	~ ^
	भणइ अ ताय ! ण धाओ भक्खेहि तओ णिसाएँ त्ति ॥	हरु
	भक्लेऊण णिसाए अमयपवर्त्तिं पपुच्छिउं पिअरं ।	
20	अमयं पुत्त ! कहेमो वोलेउं 'णरयपायाले ॥	हिन्
	धगधगधगंतहुअवहपज्जलिआवेढिअं' समंतेणं ।	
	रक्खिज्जइ सव्वसुरासुरेहिं सययं अमयकुंडं ॥	६३
	को पुण तस्स् उवाओ अमयत्थी कासवंगुओ अहयं ।	
	अत्थि उवाओं जह घिप्पइ त्ति अइदुकरो सो उ ॥	ବ୍ଷ
25	सप्पिमहोदहिसलिलाइएण संतप्पिएऽणले धणिअं।	
	गहणं हुज्ज ण हुज्ज व गहिए वि उवद्दवाऽणेगा ॥	فرد
	कासवरिसिवयणेणं गंतुं गरुडेण दोवि संपयया ।	
	पंखाणि अ घयमहुपाणिएण संतर्पिओ अग्गी ॥	६६
	तित्तेण हुअवहेण य अमयसयासं पवेसिओ गरुडो ।	• •
30	गहिअं च णेण अमयं देवेहिं वि किल समुग्धुईं" ॥	ଟ୍ଡ
	अमयं कुंडत्थं चिअ विहगेणेगेण णीअमुक्तिखविउं ।	\
	सोऊणमिणं वयणं खुहियं ससुरासुरं भुवणं ॥	ह८
	and and the Bist and and	रू

1.B रिसीण । 2 B दिजलं । 3 A सुविलं । 4 B सुव्वित्थिण्पे । 5 B पवित्ति । 6 A पंपुव॰ । 7 B ब्वेटिअं । 8 B अहियं । 9 B ओवाओ । 10 A समुष्यद्वं ।

जो जत्तु चिय देवो सहसाऽमयमवहडं णिसामेइ।	
सो अहरफ़रंतुहो' तत्तु िअ मग्गिओ लग्गो॥	हरु
मुग्गर-मुसंढि-पद्दिस <sup>³</sup> -गयकणगप्परसु-भिंडिमालेहिं ।	•
हल-मुसल-लउड-वलयासुलाउहपहरणसमग्गो ॥	90
कलयलरवो सुराणं पूरेइ णहंगणं णिरवसेसं ।	8
हण, छिंद, भिंद, गिण्हह, मा मुयह रसायलगयं पि ॥	૭१
ओलग्गिओ अ गरुडो समंतओ देवसयसहस्सेहिं।	•
परिवेढिओ अ भणिओ अमयाहारी हओसि त्ति॥	७२
इक्कतु चिअ सुवणं एकत्तो कासवंगओ पक्खी ।	
कायरमणकंपणयं तेहिं अ समरं समारदं ॥	<b>II</b>
सुरगणसयं सहस्सं ऌक्खं कोडिं पि चउसु वि दिसासु ।	
पेसेइ जमसयासं गरुडो पक्खप्पहारेहिं ॥	છષ્ટ
विणयसुअस्स सुराण य गयणघले वदृए महाघोरं।	
जुज्झं अमयस्स कए विम्हावणयं तिहुअणस्स ॥	૭૬
तो सो देवसमूहो गुरुडेणिकेण रणमुह्रावडिओ ।	15
हयविहय दीणवयणो खणेण भग्गो णिराणंदो ॥	ଓଟ୍ଟି
देवे अ पराहुत्ते' दहुं पल्यग्गिजालसमसरिसं ।	
तो कुलिसं सहसपलोअणेण गरुडोवरिं मुर्क ॥	99
कुलिसं गरुडसरीरे पद्रुप्कुडिअं सिलायले चेव ।	
इंदो भणइ अणंतं सहोअरं गरुडभयभीओ ॥	96 28
तो तह वज्जाभिहयं ससुरासुरसमरपचयणिमित्तं ।	
गरुडेण चंचुआए सयमेवुप्पाडियं पिच्छं ॥	90
विण्हू विअ पज्जलिओ बारसरवितेअसप्पभं चर्क ।	-
घित्तुं गरुडवहत्था अणुधावइ मग्गओ कुविओ ॥	60
भीउव्विग्गससंभम हाहा किमिअं ति गग्गिरुछावा।	25
रिसिगहसणिच्छराई गंतुमणंतं पर्यपंति ॥	८१
भो भो सब्वगओ विअ पभू अ ससुरासुरस्स लोअस्स ।	
तह वि तुमं णीओ इव सयणस्सुवर्रिं णिराविक्खो ॥	८२
गरुडो अ सहयुरो ते पडिसाहर 'वणदवोवमं कोवं ।	
मा मूढो मिच्छो इव पहरसु नियएसु गत्तेसु ॥	८३ म
रिसिगहवयणं सोउं इमं तु चक्काउहो वि चिंतेह ।	
कोहाइएण पिच्छसु मए सहाओ हओ हुंतो ॥	68

I B 'कुब्तुद्धो । 2 B 'पिट्रस' । 3 B विम्हाविणयं । 4 A 'विद्यु' । 5 B पराइले । 6 A समयुस्य । 7 B वणदेवो' ।

## हरिभद्रसूरिकृतं धूर्तीख्यानम् ।

णाणी सत्यत्थविआणओ वि कोहग्गिजालसंतत्तो ।

गम्मागम्म हिआहिअ कजाकर्ज्ञ 'ण लक्खेइ ॥	८५
गरुडस्स अणंतस्स य जाया संधीज्झओ कओ गरुडो ।	
<b>अमयं दाउं विणया मोअइ दासत्तणा</b> उ त्ति ॥	64
जुइ गय-कच्छभ-वडदुम गरुडेणुप्पाडिआ य णीआ य।	
तो उक्खिवसि सस ! तयं तुमं पि 'दइयं सुबद्धं पि ॥ *७।	4 2/9
सत्ताहवदलम्मी धरिओ गोवद्धणो महुमहेणं ।	
ता कह ण घरेसि तुमं गयदीयं तिछपरिपुण्णं ॥ 🛛 🛛 🛪 ८ ।	\$ < C
सेऊबंधे विय वाणरेहिं णेगाइं जोअणाइं गिरी।	
उक्सिलणिउं उवि(बि १)द्धा पक्लिता उदहिमज्झम्मि ॥	ሪዓ
तो जइ पुत्तेण तुहं रुक्खो उम्मूलिओ महाविडवो ।	
दीअमपार्वितेणं तो भणसु तहिं किमच्छेरं ॥ 🛛 🛛 🐇 ९ 🕫	* 90
वणवाडिअ भंगे वि अ हणुअंतो महिरुहे महाविडवे ।	
उक्खणइ अयत्तेणं जत्थ इमो खंधओ भणिओ ॥	९१
स्तज्जूरी मारुइणो अ कम्महया पछासवग्गंते ।	
सीसेण उत्तरंती अ कं महया पठासवग्गं ते ॥	९२
जइ ते महंतरुक्खा तिरिक्खजोणीगएण हणुएण ।	
उम्मूलिओ समूला तुह पुत्तो किं ण उक्खणह ॥	९३
अइसेइओ भणई ससो खंडावाणीइ तत्थ धुत्तीए।	
खंड भणइ इआणि कहेहि जं तं समणुभूओं ॥ 🛛 🛛 * १० 🕫	* 68
खंडवाणाउक्तं ससकं प्रति प्रत्युत्तरकथानकदशकम् ।	
॥ इति धूर्त्ताख्याने चतुर्थमाख्यानकम् ॥	
[ ५. अथ खण्डपानाकथितं खानुभूतं कथानकम् । ]	
अह भणइ खंडवाणा विहसंती अत्थसत्थणिम्माया।	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	•
बुद्धीइ आहअबुद्धी धुत्ते तुल्लेउं वयणमिणं ॥	۲
ओल्रग्गिअ त्ति अम्हेहिं भणह जइ अंजलिं करिअ सीसे । उषसप्पह जइ अ समं तो भत्तं देमि सब्वेसिं ॥	2
उषसप्पह जइ अ सम ता मत्त दाम सब्यास ॥ तो ते भणंति धुत्ता अम्हं सव्वं जगं तुलेमाणा ।	र
ता त मणात धुरा अम्ह सव्य जग तुल्माणा । कह एव दीणवयणं तुज्झ सयासे भणीहामो ॥	1
भाष् ४५ आगमयमा पुरुश रापाल मणाहामा ॥	•

1 'ण' नास्ति A । 2 A दुइयं । 3 B उक्सिपड़ ।

25

28

5

10

15

20

www.jainelibrary.org

५. खण्डपानाकथितं स्वानुभूतं कथानकम् ।	૨૫
अहवा-अवि उद्वुं चिअ फ़ुट्टंति माणिणो ण वि सहंति अवमाणं । अत्थमणम्मि वि रविणो किरणा उद्वुं चिअ फ़ुरंति ॥ पवणु चिअ आहारो वंकं चंकंमिअं अपरिभूअं ।	8
सन्वजगुन्वेअकरं अहो सुजीअं भुअंगाणं ॥	لع
ईसि हसिऊण तो सा खंडावाणा भणेइ भो सुणह । अक्खाणयं अणलियं जं अणुभूअं मए चेव ॥ आसि अहं तरुणत्ते जुब्वणलायण्णवण्ण'गुणकलिया ।	<b>6</b> , 1
रूवेण अणण्णसमा जणमणनयणूसवब्भूआ ॥	و
णवरि अ कयाइ अहयं उण्हाया मंडवे सुहपसुत्ता। उबसुत्ता पवणेणं 'रूवगुणुम्मत्तहिअएणं ॥ जाओ तेण सुओ मे ताहे चिय जायमित्तओ' तो सो ।	6 16
आउच्छिऊण य ममं कत्ती वि गओ अह खणेणं॥	ę
तो भणह किं ण सचं जइ वाएणं हविज्ज पुत्तु 'त्ति । तो णत्थि का वि रंडा अपुत्तिया जीवलोअम्मि ॥	१०
<b>3</b>	
» [अय मूलदेवादिधूर्तऋतं खण्डपानाकथानकसमर्थनम् ।]	ų
अथ मूलदेवादिधूर्तऋतं खण्डपानाकथानकसमर्थनम् ।] तं भणइ मूलदेवो सुव्वइ लोअस्सुईसु पवणेणं । कुंतीइ भीमसेणो जाओ णीलाइ हणुअंतो ॥	u 99
तं भणइ मूलदेवो सुव्वइ लोअस्सुईसु पवणेणं।	-
तं भणइ मूलदेवो सुब्वइ लोअस्सुईसु पवणेणं। कुंतीइ भीमसेणो जाओ णीलाइ हणुअंतो॥ पारासरेण' वासो' मच्छिणिजणिओ पसुअओ' चेव।	११
तं भणइ मूलदेवो सुव्वइ लोअस्सुईसु पवणेणं। कुंतीइ भीमसेणो जाओ णीलाइ हणुअंतो॥ पारासरेण' वासो' मच्छिणिजणिओ पत्तुअओ' चेव। कतो सरिज्रसु त्ति अ जणणि भणिऊण अवकंतो'॥ जाया अक्खयजोणी जोअणगंघा अ रिसिपभावेणं। संतणुणा तीइ सुओ विचित्तविरिओ त्ति संजणिओ॥	११ १२
तं भणइ मूलदेवो सुव्वइ लोअस्सुईसु पवणेणं। इंतीइ भीमसेणो जाओ णीलाइ हणुअंतो ॥ पारासरेण' वासो' मच्छिणिजणिओ पसुअओ' चेव । कत्ने सरिज्रसु ति अ जणणि भणिजण अवकंतो' ॥ जाया अक्खयजोणी जोअणगंघा अ रिसिपभावेणं । संतणुणा तीइ सुओ विचित्तविरिओ त्ति संजणिओ ॥ मसुए मयम्मि तम्मी जोअणगंघाइ सुमरिओं वासो । संपत्तो अ खणेणं जणणिसयासे रिसिवरो सो ॥	११ १२ 20
तं भणइ मूलदेवो सुव्वइ लोअस्सुईसु पवणेणं। इंतीइ भीमसेणो जाओ णीलाइ हणुअंतो ॥ पारासरेण' वासो' मच्छिणिजणिओ पत्तुअओ' चेव। कतो सरिज्रसु ति अ जणणि भणिऊण अवकंतो' ॥ जाया अक्खयजोणी जोअणगंघा अ रिसिपभावेणं। संतणुणा तीइ सुओ विचित्तविरिओ त्ति संजणिओ ॥ मसुए मयम्मि तम्मी जोअणगंघाइ सुमरिओं वासो। संपत्तो अ खणेणं जणणिसयासे रिसिवरो सो ॥ भणिओ अह माऊए पुत्त ! अपुत्ता ण बुहुए वंसो। ता तह करेहि वच्छय ! जह होइ कुलस्स संताणो ॥	११ १२ १३
तं भणइ मूलदेवो सुव्वइ लोअस्सुईसु पवणेणं। इंतीइ मीमसेणो जाओ णीलाइ हणुअंतो ॥ पारासरेण' वासो' मच्छिणिजणिओ पसुअओ' चेव। कत्ने सरिज्रसु त्ति अ जणणिं भणिऊण अवकंतो' ॥ जाया अक्खयजोणी जोअणगंघा अ रिसिपभावेणं। संतणुणा तीइ सुओ विचित्तविरिओ त्ति संजणिओ ॥ असुए मयम्मि तम्मी जोअणगंघाइ सुमरिओं वासो। संपत्तो अ खणेणं जणणिसयासे रिसिवरो सो ॥ भणिओ अह माऊए पुत्त ! अपुत्ता ण बुहुए वंसो।	११ १२ १३ १४

1 B लायण्णरूवगुणकलिया। 2 B °गुणम्मत्त°। 3 A °मित्तउं। 4 B पुत्त ति। 5 B पारा-सुरेण। 6 B मच्छणि°। 7 B पसूवउच्चेव। 8 B अवकंठो।

, š

भवति चात्र श्लोकः-आहारे चैव योनौ च बीजकर्मणि यः शुचिः । तस्य कृच्छागतस्यापि न पापे रमते मतिः ॥ १८ जह 'सर्च पवणसुओ भीम-हणू' णवर पट्टिओ वासो। उअरविणिग्गयमत्तो तो सचं तुज्झ वी वयणं ॥ \* ?-? \* ?? पुणरवि खंडावाणा भणइ सही आसि मज्झमा देवी। तीए मंतो दिण्णो ससुरासुरलोअआगरिसो ॥ २० आगरिसिओ रवी मे जोइसचकाहिओ अहिअतेओ । तेण वि मे बऌजुत्तो जाओ पुत्तो महासत्तो ॥ २१ छासीइसहस्साइं दुहइ रवी मेइणिं समंतेणं । कह तेण ण दड्वाहं रइकज़समागया संती ॥ २२ अह भणइ कंडरीओ कुंती जह हुंत दहृतूरेणं। तो डज्झंता सि तुमं सचं तं णत्थि संदेहो ॥ \* 3 \* 23 खंडा भणइ पुणो मे जलणो 'आगरिसिओ सुरवराणं। जोवयणं तेण वि मे पुत्तो जाओ जुइमहंतो ॥ н રષ્ઠ दरत्थो डहइ रवी अग्गी अंगेहिं फरिसिओ डहइ। कह तेण ण दहाहं जलणेण समागया संती ॥ ર્વ भणइ अ एलासाढो जमपत्ती हुअवहेण किर सुत्ता। अग्गीहणणणिमित्तं जलणगिहं अइगया संती\* ॥ રદ્દ असमत्तसुरयकज्जो जलणो जा अइगओ जमो ताहे। अचयंतो ओसरिअं जलणो तीए वि ओइलिओ 🛚 ર૭ तं सिढिलिअमेहलिअं असमाणियसुरयकीलियं बालं। ओइलिजण जमो वि य दैवत्थाणिं अह पविद्वो ॥ २८ देवेहि य सो भणिओ अपत्तरइअस्स' सागयं तुज्झ । णिग्गिलह तओ देविं तीइ मुहाओ य जलणो वि ॥ २९ 25 धावंतो उच्छिण्णो जमेण तो अइगओ वणं गहणं। कहिओ गएहिं तो सो तेसिं वायाहिआ तेणं॥ 30 जइ सचं जमपत्ती भुत्ता जलणेण णेअ सा दहा। तो कह डज्झिहिसि तुमं जल्णेण समागया संती॥ キンキ がい खंडा भणइ पुणो मे एरावणवाहणो सहस्सच्छो। 20 आगरिसिओ मि सो वी तेण वि जाओ महं पुत्तो ॥ ३२

2 A. भीमु॰ । 3 A. आगरिओ । \* इयं गाथा पतिता B आदर्शे । 4 A अप-1 A सुचं। त्तइभस्स ।

E

10

५. खण्डपानाकथितं खानुभूतं कथानकम् । २७

अच्छरसाओ मुत्तुं कह इंदो सेवए मणुस्सीओ। भणइ ससो किंण सुआ गोयमपत्ती अहिछ त्ति॥ 33 इंदेणं परिसुत्ता रुहेणं गोयमेण इंदस्स । काऊण भगसहस्सं व(च?)दाण समप्पिओ ताहे ॥ ЗX दढकढिणसरीराणं मयणाणलजालसंपलित्ताणं । δ बडुआण सगासाओ सको विद्वंसणं पत्तो ॥ 34 देवेहिं गोअमाओ कह वि पयत्तेण मोइओ इंदो । जं तस्स भगसहस्सं अच्छिसहस्सं तयं जायं॥ ३६ ऊंतीए इंदेण वि पुत्तो पत्थु त्ति लोअविक्खाओ । जाओ एवं जह सुओ तुज्झ वि इंदेण को दोसो ॥ \* 4 \* 39 10 अह भणइ खंडवाणा तुब्भे जाणह कुलं च गुत्तं च। मज्झं मायावित्तं १, भणिया तो मूलदेवेणं ॥ 3८ पाडलिपुत्ते 'णयरे तं सि सुआ णागसम्मविष्पस्स । सोमसिरीए धूआ गोअमगुत्तम्मि विक्खाया॥ **3**9 सा भणइ णवि अहं सा तुम्हे' सारिक्खविम्हिआ मज्झं। 15 सिप्पिअधूआ अहयं राउलरययस्स विक्खाया ॥ 80 बहुधणधन्नसमिद्धं अम्ह घरं रायरिद्धिसमसरिसं । णामेण दह्विआ हं णीआ गोएंहिं कम्मेहिं ॥ ४१ दंड भडभोइआणं रण्णो अंतेउरस्स सव्वस्स । सिप्पिअसहस्समहिअं जं धोवइ मज्झ वत्थाई ॥ **8**2 20 षत्थाण महासयडं भरित्तु अह बहुविहप्पगाराणं। पुरिससहस्सेण समं पत्तासि णईं सलिलपुण्णं ॥ 83 छडछडछडस्स तहिअं हुं हुं सिंटारवं करिंतेहिं । अण्णुण्णमइसयंतेहिं तेहिं कुंदिंदुधवलाइं ॥ 88 घोआइं वत्थाईं मर्ज्झं पुरिसेहिं चुक्खभूआईं । 25 तो आयवदित्ताइं उव्वाइआइँ मुद्रुत्तेणं ॥ ૪૬ आओ अ महावाओ समंतओ पायवे अ भंजंतो । तो तेणं पवणेणं वत्थाईं हियाईं सब्वाईं ॥ 84 गच्छह तुब्भे चुइयारयं ति कंमारिया मए भणिया।। जो दोसों अवराहो व को वि सो होहिई' मज्झं॥ X9 30 राउलभएण तोहं गोहारूवं करित्तु रयणीए । आया णयरुजाणं ससलिलघणसण्णिहं रम्मं ॥ 85 1 A °पुक्तो पयरे। 2 A तम्हेहिं। 3 A दंउ°। 4 A वत्थाई। 5 B चक्सभुआइं।

1 A. आदर्शे एषा पंक्तिः त्रिङ्ख्लो लिखिता लभ्यते । 6 B सोहिई ।

विउले णयरुजाणे समंतओ हिंडिआ सुवीसत्था।	
अह पच्छिमस्मि जामे भयचिंता मे समुप्पण्णा ॥	४९
गोहं चम्मणिमित्तं मंसणिमित्तं च जणवओ हणह ।	- •
तो को हुज उवाओ जह मरणभयं न हुजामि( हुज ति?	)    40
किं हुज्ज कयं सुकयं कत्थ गया णिव्वुई' लहिज्ज ति ।	
परिभममि समंतेणं भयपवणसमाहयां तहिञं ॥	५१
बहुआइं विचिंतेउं गोहारूवं तयं पयहिऊणं।	
रत्तासोअसयासे चूअल्या हं परावत्ता ॥	५२
दुस्सीला इव जुवई तिमिरपडं गुंठिआ गया रयणी ।	
कमलागरतुडिअरो सहसा य समुडिओ सुरो ॥	લ્ટ્
दिण्णो अ अम्ह अभओ रण्णा पउरेण चाउवण्णेण ।	
जह उब्भिंडंतु ताइँ राउलरयगाइँ' सच्वाइं ॥	५४
तो सो पडहगसदो <sup>क</sup> णवपाउसघणरवं विसेसंतो ।	
आपूरेइ समंतो' सब्भंतर-बाहिरं णयरं ॥	هرهو
सोउं पडहगसइं तो तं मुत्तूण चूअलयभावं ।	
लावण्णगुणाइन्ना पुणरवि इत्थी समुप्पण्णा ॥	લઘ
तस्त य सगडस्स तहिं णाडवरत्ता य तज्जणीओ य।	
रयणीइ कोल्हुएहिं साणेहिं भक्तिखया सब्वे ॥	هرب
अह णवरि मुज्झ पिउणा णाडवरत्ता य मग्गमाणा णं।	
ल्द्धा मुसयछिप्पा णाडवरत्ता तहिं वलिआ ॥	६८
तो किं इत्थं सूचं ?, भणइ ससो – बंभकेसवा अंतं।	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* द * ५९
रामायणे अ सुव्वइ जह हणुअंतस्स आसि लंगूलं।	
बहुईमहप्पमाणं वत्थसहस्सेहिं णेगेहिं ॥	ह०
वेढितु रक्खसेहिं सित्तं तिछस्स घडसहस्सेहिं।	
लंकापुरी वहत्था पलीविअं मंदपुण्णेहिं ॥	· 48
सा देवलोअसरिसा लंकापुरी सब्बलोअविक्खाया ।	
आलीविआ समंता हणुएणं वाउपुत्तेणं ॥	६२
जुइ सचं लंगूलं' सुमहंतं आसि वाउपुत्तस्स ।	
	\$9 <b>*</b> 63
अण्णं च इमं सुव्वइ पोराणसुईसु णिग्गयं वयणं ।	
जह किर गंधारिवरो रण्णे कुरुवत्तणं पत्तो ॥	<i>६४</i>

1 B कतथ णिव्चुई । 2 B परइयाई । 3 णवं । 4 की वसंतो । 5 'णयर' नास्ति A । 6 A गुणई ता । 7 A णंगूलं । 8 B किरि ।

Ş

10

15

29

28

५. खण्डपानाकथितं खानुभूतं कथानकम् । २९ राया आसी किर सो महावलपरकमो अहिअतेओ । सको देवाहिवई परज्जिओं जेण समरम्मि॥ ६५ सो तं अहिक्खिवंतो सुरगुरुसत्तो अरण्णमज्झम्मि। जाओ महा अयगरो रज्जपब्भट्टा य पंडुसुआ ॥ 88 तम्मि अरण्णम्मि ठिआ एगागी णिग्गओ णवरि भीमो। तेणऽयगरेण खद्बो उवलद्धसुई अ धम्मसुओ ॥ ବିତ पत्तो अयगरमूलं सत्तयपुच्छाओ कहयई तस्स । उग्गिलइ अयगरो सो भीमं सावस्स यंतम्मि॥ 36 जाओ पुणरवि राया जइ सचं तो तुमं पि सब्भूअं। गोहा चूअलया वि अ गंतूण पुणण्णवा जाया॥ \* 6 \* 6 1 1 तो भणइ खंडवाणा धुत्ते एवं गए वि कज्जम्मि । मज्झं कुणह पमाणं जाहे भत्तं पयच्छामि॥ 90 जइ कह वि पराजिज्जह सब्वे वि अ समुइआ मए तुब्भे । तो तुम्ह णत्थि लोए काणा वि 'कवड्डिआ मुछं ॥ 90 तो ते भणंति धुत्ता को सत्तो णिज्ञिणित्तु अम्हेहिं । 15 मायाणिअडिपहाणो हरी वि सकं जह हविजा। છર तो सा अवगयतोसा ते धुत्ते खंडवाणई भणइ। पिच्छह इत्ताहिं चिय सब्वे वि करेमि हयवयणे ॥ 93 तेसिं वत्थाण कए रायाणं पुच्छिउं परियडामि । गामागरपुरपद्दणजणवयपरिमंडिअं वसुहं ॥ 98 zı अण्णं च ममं चउरो चेडरूआ जायया चिरपणदा। तेसिं च कएण अहं परिहिंडंती इहं पत्ता ॥ હ્ય ते चेडा तुब्भे हि अ ताणि अ वत्थाणि ते परिहियाणि । जइ वि ण पत्तिओ हेउं तो देह महायणे भत्तं॥ 50 तो ते लज्जिय विलया भणंति अइसंधिया तुमे अम्हं। 'मेढीभूआ इण्हि बुद्धिपयारेण जायासि ॥ 99 एअस्स णरस्स तुमं इक्का जुग्गा जयम्मि विक्लाया। सत्ताह वद्दलम्मी दे भत्तं सव्वधुत्ताणं ॥ \* ? \* ७८ सा भणइ विहसमाणा पुटिंव विण्णविया मए तुब्भे। भो गव्वमुव्वहंता ओहसह जणं अबुद्धीआ॥ **6** 8 तो ते भणंति सुंदरि चाओ घट्टो कओ हवइ जाहे । ताहे सत्तइ जाई एसा पुरिसस्स पयईओ ॥ 20

उप्पत्तिअबुद्धीए अम्हे अभिसंधिआ तुमे सुअगु ।	
तो सब्वे वि भणामो अम्हं भत्तं पयच्छाहि ॥	८१
बाढं ति भाणिऊणं पेअवणं सा गया सुबीहणयं।	-1
सिव-डायणि-पेअ-पिसाय-भूअ-सद्दाउलं विउलं ॥	८२
सूलीगंडुवहाणयपडिसिरचंदोवयाण ठाणे अ।	• •
चित्तपडपद्रसाडयकोअंवयकायडज्झंतं ॥	৻ঽ
तरुकुसुमकेसरूपासवासतल्चत्तयलत्तयविचित्तं ।	•
सुद्धिअद्धईदपउरं' पव्चिट्ट(?)कलेवरं घोरं ॥	68
र दिणिआणं थंभिअमच्छिअसंघायभिणिभिणायंतं ।	90
सडयचितोवरिडज्झंतमाणसिलिसिलिसिलायंतं ॥	64
विज्ञासाहणरभसुद्विअंतण र्चतमडयवेआलं ।	<b>~</b> 1
भोमद्दहासहुंकारतालसद्दालगंभीरं ॥	ଟସ୍
मामदृश्विष्ठकारतालसदालगमार त बसरुहिरक्वियकदमसमंतओ भीमकिमिकुलाइण्णं।	फ <b>प</b>
वसराहरक्षयकदमसमतआ मामाकामकुलाइण्ण । आमिसकज्जसमुज्जयणिट्टयबहुविहगजुज्झंतं ॥	୯୬
	69
रूहिरुग्गालभलभलं सहस्ससूलाविभिण्णमुच्छंतं । बितिरिच्छभमंतसिआलकोलं णिवडंतघुद्दंतं ॥	
	66
अइदुग्गंधियवायंतमारूअं सवसवंतणीसद्दं ।	
बीभच्छुव्वेवणयं भयसंजणयं' सुराणं पि॥	८९
एवंविहे मसाणे पिच्छइ अविणहयं विगयजीवं ।	ο
अचिरविमुकं बालं खंडा तं गिणिहउं ण्हवइ ॥	९०
अब्भंगेऊण तयं जरचीवरसुसंगयं करेऊणं ।	• •
उज्जेणीइ पविद्वा सिडिस्स घरं घणसमिद्धं ॥	९१
दिहो अणाइ सिटी आसणविहो जणेण परिकिण्णो ।	-
भणिओ अणाए भाओ सुत्तिअंधूआ मि दुग्गइया ॥	९२
'कड्र्चयदिवसपसूआू अबंधवा असर्णा विदेसत्था ।	
तुब्भे महप्पभावा पिइहरमुहुं ममं देह ॥	९३
सिद्धी वाउलचित्तो पुणो पुणो तीइ उचरंतीए ।	
रुसिओ भणेइ पुरिसे सिग्घं णीणेह दमिअ त्ति ॥	९४
णिग्गच्छसु त्ति भणिआ अह जंपइ मा च्छिवऽस्स बालस्स ।	
अण्णं ठाणं बप्पिक्कयं' ति तो मे म पिछेह ॥	९५
णिग्गच्छेडं णिच्छइ तेहिं अ पुरिसेहिं पिछिया सहसा ।	
घरणीअले णिवडिआ भणइ महं मारिओ पुत्तो ॥	९इ

1 A परतं। 2 'णचंत' नास्ति A। 3 B कोले। 4 A क्वंजणं। 5 B सुत्तीय । 6 B कयत्रय । 7 B बिप्पक्षयं।

8

10

15

20

25

हा मज्झ अणाहाए णाहो होहि त्ति चिंतयंतीए। सो वि मणोरहतंतू छिण्णो णिच्छित्तगत्तेहिं ॥	
भो पिच्छह जणसमुदय इमेण धणगव्विएण वणिएण ।	69
अहारसदोसविवज्जियाइ माराविओ पुत्तो ॥	e.
अह पहरिउमारदा सीसे अ उरे अ सा असाहारं । भणइ अ सिट्टी मज्झं भग्गं भिक्खाकवाऌ त्ति ॥	ह्यू
तो सिईी आदण्णो सब्वपयत्तेण परिधणसमग्गो।	v. <del>4</del>
अणुणेइ विलवमाणी करेह मा सुअणु बोलं ति ॥ दिण्णा य कण्णिआ से भणिआ' घिन्त्रण वच्चसूपुत्तं ।	805
मा रुअसु मा च कंदुसु तह एत्तिय जीवणं दिण्णं ॥	<b>१</b> ९. हे 10
घित्तूण कण्णिअं मयं कलेवरं च सा तओ अइकंता । सिट्टिस्स णिराबाहं जायं दाणप्पभावेणं ॥	<b>2</b> 10 2

अपि च-

स्यागेन मुतानि व्शीभवन्ति त्यागेन वैराण्यपि यान्ति नाशम् ।	
परोऽपि बन्धुत्वमुपैति दानात् त्यागो हि सर्वव्यसनानि हन्ति ॥	१०३।
सिसुमडयं छड्डेउं खंडा विउलत्थलाहपरिसुद्धां ।	
मणिकणयरयणमुत्तिअचमरसमिद्धं गया हुईं ॥	808
काऊण य विणिओअं तेसिं धुत्ताण तीअविद्वराणं।	-
बहुखजापिजाकलियं सुसक्कयं भोअणं देइ ॥	<b>?</b> & <sup>C</sup> A
सत्तयंतेहिं तओ सब्वेहिं वि 'हट्ठतुट्टमणसेहिं।	• •
भणिआ य खंडवाणा सुजीविअं जीविअं तुज्झ ॥	१०म
जं ते बुद्धिबलाओ धुत्तजणो णिज्जिणित्तु सयराहं।	e v
	# १०७
स्तिसिक्खआ वि पुरिसा ताइं ण जाणंति जंपिअव्वाइं ।	• •
जाई असिक्खिआओ कत्तो वि लहंति महिलाओ ॥	. १०८ :
पढिजण य सत्थाइं पुरिसा णाजण तेसिमत्थाइं।	1
ण समत्था पढिवयणे उप्पण्णमई जला महिला॥	80%
अपि च	7
अषीत्य शास्ताणि विमृश्य चार्थात्र तानि वक्तुं पुरुषाः समर्थाः ।	
यानि सियः प्रत्यमिधानकाले वदन्ति ठीव्यरचिताक्षराणि ॥	<b>११</b> ० /
र्षरिषुवाउसूरा अग्गी धम्मो अ लोयविक्खाया।	
लोएण यूमिया ते वम्हह-रइ-रागदोसेहिं ॥	888
सुव्वह अ आगमम्मी जह कण्हो सव्ववीअमज्झगओ ।	· - •
Nade at all that the date date at a start at the	

1 महणिल । 2 B विउलच्छलापहपसिदा । 3 A हिंह° ।

জহু ম	सव्यगओ कण्हो चिंतिज्ञइ जत्थ तत्थ सो चेव ।	
चिंतिं	तओ 'वि सु चिअ तम्हा सो किं विचिंतेइ ॥	११३
अपप	। पि अलिअवयणं सुव्वइ लोयम्मि णिग्गयं इणिमो ।	3 4 7
जह '	पवणगणाहिवई सेलसुआवयवउप्पण्णो ॥	११४
बंभा	ण समुप्पत्ती तिऌत्तमा उव्वसी य दोणस्स ।	•••
उप्प	त्ति छम्मुहस्स य णरकुव्वर आसि ताणं च ॥	884
कणह	स्स य णिग्गमणं जह कोवा सेअक्तंडलीजाओ।	
जह	सिरकवालमज्झे रुहिरम्मि णरो समुप्पण्णो ॥	399
জাহু আ	जायवस्स माया उप्पत्ती इलहरस्स लोगम्मि ।	
जहः	जाया सेलसुआ विक्खाया जीवलोयम्मि ॥	११७
जह र	हुंति पृव्वयाणं पुत्ता धूआ कुडंबधम्मो वा ।	
तो त	इमम्मि लोए जंबूदीवे ण माइजा ॥	882
एया	चप्फलाइं भारह-रामायणे णिबद्धाईं।	
संचा	ल्णमसहंता जह जुत्तिकयं सुवण्ण व्व ॥	११९
<b>ए</b> अं ृ	लोइअसत्थं गदहलिंड व्व वाहिरे महं ।	
जायं	तं जोइज्जइ तुस भुस-बुसमीसियं सब्वं ॥	१२०
तो ते	भणामि सब्वे कुसमयकुस्सुइपहेण मुत्तूण ।	
सञ्व	ण्णदेसिअम्मि अ लग्गह मग्गे पयत्तेणं॥	१२१
ए	अं धुत्तवखाणं सोऊणं लोइअस्स परमत्थं ।	
तह इ	हणह णिच्छिअमई जह दंसणसुद्धि होइ परा ॥	१२२
~	◆	
	उडदुग्गसिरिसंठिएहिं सम्मत्तरायरत्तेहिं ।	
	रेअसमूहसहिआ कहिआ एसा कहा सुवरा ॥	१२३
सम्म	त्तसुद्धिहेउं चरिअं हरिभद्दसुरिणा रइअं।	
णिसु	णंतकहंताणं 'भवविरहं' कुणउ भव्वाणं ॥	१२४
	*	
से संअंग	गरबरसरी हरिभद्दो कुणउ अम्ह भदाइं।	
जस्स	' ससिसंखधवले जिणागमे एरिसा भत्ती ॥	१२५
	॥ धूर्त्तैरुक्तं खंडवाणाप्रत्युत्तरकथानकदशकम् ॥	
	॥ इति ध्रूर्ताख्याने पञ्चमाख्यानकं समाप्तम् ॥	
	॥ शुभं भवतु । कल्याणमस्तु ॥	

5

19

15

20

# श्रीसपतिलकाचार्यकृतं धूर्ताख्यानसंस्कृतभाषान्तरम् ।

[ प्रास्ताविकम् । ]

y

समस्ति भारते वर्षे हर्षोत्कर्षकरे सताम् । श्रीअवन्त्याह्वयो देशो लेशो यत्र न पाप्मनाम् ॥ १ ॥ स्वःपुरीजयिनी तत्र समस्त्युज्जयिनी पुरी । यां द्रष्टुमिव गीर्वाणा निर्निमेषत्वमाश्रिताः ॥ २ ॥ 5 तमालतालहिन्तालरसालावलिमालितम् । तस्या उत्तरदिग्भागे जीर्णोद्यानाभिधं वनम् ॥ ३ ॥ तन्द्रनाचैरलं फल्गुफलैभोंग्यफलस्त्वहम् । नृत्यतीवेति यद्वातविधूतैः पह्नवैः करैः ॥ ४ ॥ तधासाबद्रमेऽन्येवुर्वाचाला निकृतित्रताः । भूयांसो भूरिदेरोभ्यः समीयुर्धूत्तेपुझवाः ॥ ५ ॥ वैरवस्वापिनी-प्राज्यरूपिणी-मोहिनीमुखैः । धूपयोगाञ्जनाधैश्च दम्भैः कः को न वस्त्रितः ॥ ६ ॥ मूलदेवेः कण्डरीके एलाचेंदः शशाह्वर्यः । खण्डपानाभिधा स्त्रीश्च तेषां पञ्चेश्वरा अमी ॥ ७ ॥ 10 प्रसेकं ते नृधूर्तानां पद्धशत्या परिवृताः । खण्डपाना किलैका तु नारीपद्धशतान्विता ॥ ८ ॥ वर्षाकाल्लसदा प्रादुरासीन्निर्नाझितातपः । प्रकाशयन् घनैः व्लस्य राज्यमेकातपत्रितम् ॥ ९ ॥ सप्ताहवारिदेनाम्बुवृष्टिं विद्धताधिकम् । <sup>\*</sup>बभूवाबालजम्बालपिच्छिलं क्षोणिमण्डलम् ॥ १० ॥ तदा धूर्त्ताः क्षुधाकान्ताः शीतेन परिपीडिताः । परस्परं वदन्ति स्म को नो दाताऽद्य भोजनम्॥११॥ जगाद मूलदेवोऽथ स्वानुभूतं श्रुतं हि वा । यद्येन वृत्तं तत् तेन धूर्त्तानां कथ्यतां पुरः ॥ १२ ॥ 15 यस्तन्न मन्यते तेषु वचः सत्यतया पुनः । तेन देयं समप्राणां धूर्त्तानामद्य भोजनम् ॥ १३ ॥ श्रीभारतपुराणोक्त⁴रामायणनिरूपितैः । संवादिवचनैर्धूर्त्तान् प्रत्याययति यः धुनः ॥ १४ ॥ स धूत्तीनां शिरोरत्नमददानोऽपि भोजनम् । इत्युक्त्वा मूलदेवोऽथ प्रथमं तानभाषत ॥ १५ ॥

# [ १. मूलदेवकथितं कथानकम् । ]

**y** 

मया तरुणभावे यदनुभूतं महाधियः<sup>6</sup> । तदाकर्णयतोत्कर्णाः कथ्यमानमिहाधुना ॥ १६ ॥ <sup>39</sup> एकदा तरुणत्वेऽहमीहमानः सुखासिकाम् । कमण्डलुछत्रपाणिः स्कन्धविन्यस्तकम्बलुः ॥ १७ ॥ दिर्धार्षुः स्वर्णदीधारां प्रस्थितः स्कन्दमन्दिरम् । यावत्तावन्मदोन्मत्तो दन्ती प्रादुरभूत् पुरः ॥ युग्मम् ॥ दिर्धार्षुः स्वर्णदीधारां प्रस्थितः स्कन्दमन्दिरम् । यावत्तावन्मदोन्मत्तो दन्ती प्रादुरभूत् पुरः ॥ युग्मम् ॥ पर्जन्य इव गर्जन्तं सिख्चन्तं क्ष्मां मदाम्बुभिः । अभ्यायान्तमिमं पत्त्यन्नातङ्कव्याकुलोऽभवम् ॥ १९॥ पत्तस्मात् कथमात्मानं कृतान्तात् कुपितादिव । पास्यामीति भयावेशात् प्राविशं कुण्डिकान्तरे ॥ २० ॥ मदभिन्नकटः सोऽपि करटी प्रसरत्करः । ममानुपदिकः कुण्ड्यां प्राविक्षन्नालकाष्ठ्वना ॥ २१ ॥

1 A समस्त । 2 B धनैस्तस्य । 3 A वभूव बाल° । 4 A पुराणोक्ति° । 5 A नः ) 6 B नराधिपः । धू॰ ५ कुम्भी' स कुम्भीनसवत् फुत्कारान्' रोषतः सृजन् । <sup>३</sup>मत्प्रष्ठऌग्नो भ्राम्यंश्च षण्मासीं तत्र वख्नितः ॥२२॥ ममैषोऽद्यापि नो प्रष्ठं मुख्रतीति विचारवान् । ततोऽहं कुण्डिकाद्वारान्निरगां नरकादिव ॥ २३ ॥ मत्प्रुष्ठे निःसरन्नेष सिन्धुरोऽपि मदोढुरः । कुण्डिकारन्ध्रसंऌप्रपुच्छकेशोऽस्खलत् क्षणम् ॥ २४ ॥ ततः स्वर्गनदीं नारीमिव पीनपयोधराम् । दृष्ट्वा गोष्पदवत् तीर्त्वाऽध्यगां स्कन्दस्य मन्दिरम् ॥ २५ ॥

<sup>3</sup> अवधूय क्षुधोदन्ये षण्मासी तत्र तिष्ठता । पतन्ती व्योमतो मूर्प्रा गङ्गाधारा मया घृता ॥ २६ ॥ ततः षण्मुखमानत्याऽऽगत्य चोज्जयिनीं पुरीम् । भवद्भ्यो धूर्त्तराजेभ्यः क्षेमेण मिलितोऽधुना॥ २७॥ इदं चेद् वित्थ सत्यं तन्मां मानयत हेत्रुभिः । अथालीकं बदाऽमीभ्यः सर्वेभ्यो दत्तभोजनम् ॥ २८॥

# [अथ कण्डरीकप्रदत्तमूलदेवकथानकोत्तरम् ।]

÷

कण्डरीकस्ततोऽवादीत् त्वामसत्यीकरोति कः । जानानो हि पुराणं च श्रीरामायण-भारते ॥ २९ ॥ ग्रे यत्त्वयाऽवाद्यऽहं सेभः कथं क्रुण्ड्याममान्तमाम् । तत्प्रत्ययाय विभाणामत्रार्थे रुणु जल्पितम् ॥ ३० ॥ विधातुर्वदनाद् विप्राः क्षत्रिया बाहुयुग्मतः । ऊरूयुगलतो वैइयाः पद्भ्यां इद्राश्च निर्थयुः ॥ ३१ ॥ यद्येतावन्ममौ लोको ब्रह्मणो जठरान्तरे । कमण्डलौ भवांस्तम् किं न मातीभसमन्वितः ॥ ३२ ॥ अन्यच यस्य धावन्तौ ब्रह्म-विष्णू उपर्यधः । दिव्यवर्षसहस्रेणाप्याप्तो पारं न हि कचित् ॥ ३३ ॥ महत्प्रमाणं तलिङ्गमुमायोनौ यथा ममौ । तथा त्वां सगजं कुण्ड्यां प्रविष्टं कीऽत्र दूषयेत् ॥ युग्मम् ॥ <sup>16</sup> अन्यच कीचकशतोत्पत्तिर्या व्यासभाषिता । प्रसिद्धा भारते शास्त्रे तामप्वेतर्हि संश्वणु ।। ३५ ॥ विराटभूपतेरममहिषी पुत्रकाम्यया । ऋषिं <sup>क</sup>गागलिनामानमारराधान्यदाऽऽश्रमे ॥ ३६ ॥ साधयित्वा चरुं सोऽपि दत्त्वा तामिदमूचिवान्। अन्तः कुडक्तं मुक्तेऽस्मिन् सुतानां भावि ते शतम् ॥३०॥ ततो गत्वा कुडङ्गान्तर्बुभुजे तमसौ चरुम् । तत्रस्थो गागलिरपि तप्यते स तपोऽधिकम् ॥ ३८ ॥ सरस्यप्सरसस्तत्र स्नान्तीर्वासोविवर्जिताः । निरीक्ष्य स ऋषिः स्पेरस्परवाणैरवघृतः ।। ३९ ॥ भ कामश्लोभादस्य शुक्रबिन्दुर्यः कीचकेऽपतत् । तस्मादाद्यः कीचकोऽभून्महावीर्यवपूत्तमः' ॥ ४ • ॥ पइयतोऽप्सरससस्य गलिताः शुक्रविन्दनः । नास्यां ये पतितास्तेभ्यः कीचकामामभूच्छतम् ॥ ४१॥ तां नालीं स ऋषिस्तत्र निधाय खाश्रयं ययौ । राजाऽपि रक्षयामास ततो वंशकुडङ्गकम् ॥ ४२ ॥ नाल्याः संपूर्णसर्वाङ्गान् यद्राज्ञी साददेऽभकान् । तेन ते तत्सुतत्वेन प्रोच्यन्ते कीचका जनैः ॥ ४३॥ कीचकानां शतं प्रौढं ताटग् नाल्यां ममौ यदि । ततस्त्वं सगजो मासि कथं नैव कमण्डलौ ? ॥४४॥ » गङ्गा वर्षसद्दस्रं चेज्जटास्वीशेन मोहिता । षण्मासांस्तु त्वयाऽमोहि हस्ती कुण्ड्यामिदं खूतम्<sup>8</sup> ॥ ४५ ॥ कुण्ड्या नालादहं दन्ती लग्नकेशश्च निःस्टतौ । कथमत्रापि हे आतः ! इग्णु पौराणिकं वचः ॥ ४६ ॥ प्रलये सर्वभूतानां जल्लैकार्णवतां गते । जगत्रये जलेशायी तस्यावेको जलेशयः 1 ४७ ॥ जगत्मृष्टिक्वतस्तस्य नाभिपद्माद् विनिर्ययौ । दण्डकुण्डीधरो<sup>10</sup> ब्रह्माऽब्जनालं तत्र चालगत् ॥ ४८ ॥ इत्थं कुण्डीमुखाचेत् त्वं सेभो भ्रातर्विनिर्गतः । तत्केशस्तत्र लमश्च किमयुक्तं भवेत् ततः ॥ ४९ ॥ अ कमण्डलुमुखाद् आतः ! कथं हि निरगामिति । यद् मूर्षे तस्य संवादं ऋणु भारतभाषितम् ॥ ५० ॥

1 B कुम्मीश। 2 B हुंकारान् । 3 B मत्पृष्टि॰। 4 A ॰णाध्याप्तौ। 5 A गङ्गलि॰। 6 B ९रविध्यत। 7 A ॰वदुलमः। 8 B स्पृतम् । 9 A जलाशयः। 10 A कुष्मीकरो । दिव्यं सहस्रं वर्षाणां तपः कुर्वति धातरि । क्षुब्धाः सुराः स्म जल्पन्ति विघ्रमस्य भवेत् कथं॥ ५१॥ इन्द्रोऽवक् स्त्रीपु लोलोऽयं यदुमापाणिपीडने । अग्निकर्मणि नियुक्तो गौरीं दूषितवाससम् ॥ ५२ ॥ हृष्ट्वा क्षुब्धो बीजसर्गं कृत्वा वासो व्यधूनयत् । अथ तत् पतितं कुम्भे द्रोणाचार्यस्ततोऽभवत् ।। युग्मम् ।। सप्तर्षेयः सुराधीशा देवा हरिहरादयः । मुक्त्वैकं श्रीमहावीरं खण्डिता हि मनोमवा ॥ ५४ ॥ उप्रव्रतधरास्तीव्रतपश्चरणकारिणः । अन्येऽपि स्मरबाणात्ती दासा स्त्रीभिर्न के कृताः ॥ ५५ ॥ 5 उत्तम। सर्वदेवीनामतो गत्वा तिलोत्तमा । पितामई सारोद्रेकाद् विद्धातु तपष्ठयुतम् ॥ ५६ ॥ इन्द्रादेशादथ क्षिप्रं खपरिच्छदसंयुता । गत्वा तिलोत्तमा धातुः पुरो नृत्वमसूत्रयत् ॥ ५७ ॥ यथा यथाऽसौ नृत्यन्ती करेणोझासितांग्रुका । विधेरदर्शयत् स्वाङ्गं चुश्लोभ' स तथा तथा ॥ ५८ ॥ तस्या गिभालयन्नङ्गं विधाता निर्निमेषदृक् । त्यक्तान्यसर्वव्यापारः कामिषत् तत्स्प्रहोऽभवत् ॥ ५९ ॥ विज्ञाय सापि तद्भावं छावण्यामृतसारणिः । ब्रह्मणो दक्षिणे पार्श्वे भूत्वा नृत्यमसूत्रयत् ॥ ६० ॥ 10 तद्रुपमोहितः खान्तः सोऽपि कामब्बरार्दितः । तां विलोकयितुं वर्फ द्वितीयं निर्ममे पुनः ॥ ६१ ॥ एवं हतीयं वारुण्यां कौबेर्यां च चतुर्थकम् । <sup>2</sup>तस्यामूर्ध्वगतायां तु स चके पद्धमं मुखम् ॥ ६२ ॥ तिलोत्तमानुरक्तस्व वसणः पद्धमं मुखम् । रुद्रेण पर्शुतीक्ष्णेन नखेनाऽछिद्यताऽऽछु तत् ॥ ६३ ॥ ततो ब्रह्मातिकोषेनापसव्यकरजातचा । ब्रदेशिम्बोद्धर्स्थ भालं शेषं समुपपाटवत् ।। ६४ ॥ सितकुण्डलिमामां स कोधाइ धात्रा म्ययोज्यत । गौरी प्राणिश्वर हस्तुं व्याधवन्मार्गजो मृगे ॥ ६५ ॥ 15 नदर्वसाख भिया शन्भगित्वा बदरिकाऽऽश्रमे । लियमखं हरिं महां भिक्षां देहीत्यभाषत ॥ ६६ ॥ ततसीन निजाद भालाद रक्तधारा व्यमुच्यत । तद्धो स्थापबस् सोऽपि ब्रह्मणः पद्धमं शिरः ॥६७॥ रक्तेनापरिपूर्ण्ण तत् सहस्रैः शरवामपि । हरो विलोडयामास कराङ्गछिकयैकया ॥ ६८ ॥ पितामहशिरोविष्णुरक्तेशाङ्गलियोगतः । समुत्पेदै महांस्तत्रेको नरो रक्तकुण्डली ॥ ६९ ॥ रुद्रेणादेशि योद्धं स सितकुण्डलिना सह । ततो वर्षसहस्रं तु जातमायोधनं तयोः ॥ ७० ॥ 29 तयोर्युद्धनिषेधाय नरं दत्त्वा सुरेशितुः । भास्करस्य द्वितीयं तु सुरा उच्चरिदं रविम् ॥ ७१ ॥ वरदानक्षणे सूर्य ! त्वया भारतसंयते । भारतावतारकाळेऽसाववतायों नृजन्मनि ॥ ७२ ॥ तस्मिन् काले रविः कुन्तीमुपभुज्यर्तुसङ्गताम् । तत् कुक्षो तं नरं चार्यु गर्भत्वेनोदपादयत् ॥ ७३ ॥ सन्नद्रबद्धसन्नाहः कुन्ताः कर्णात् स निर्ययौ । यथा तथा त्वमप्यसात् कुण्डौरन्ध्राद् विनिःसृतः ॥ ७४ ॥ यचावोचः कथं गङ्गामपारां तीर्णवानहम् । अदोऽर्थसाधकं भद्र ! इग्रु रामायणोदितम् ॥ ७५ ॥ 25 हनुमान् राघवादिष्टो जानकीशुद्धिहेतवे । तीर्त्वी भुजाभ्यामम्भोधि क्षणाइंकापुरीमगात् ॥ ७६ ॥ दृष्टया सीतया तत्र प्रियसन्देशतुष्टया । पृष्टः कथं त्वया सिन्धुस्तीर्णः सोऽप्यत्रवीदिति ॥ ७७ ॥

> तव प्रसादात् तव च प्रसादाद् भर्त्तुश्च ते देवि तव प्रसादात् । साधूनते येन पितुः प्रसादात् तीणों मया गोष्पदवत् सम्रुद्रः ॥ ७८ ॥

यदि तेन तिरश्चापि सग्रुत्तीर्णों महार्णवः । तदा गङ्गा त्वया किं न छङ्घयते स्म नरोत्तम ! ॥ ७९ ॥ 30 यदवादीः कथं धारा षण्मासीं मूर्श्नि धारिता । अस्मिन्नर्थेऽपि द्देतुं त्वं श्र्णु भूदेवभाषितम् ॥ ८० ॥ सुरैरभ्यर्थिता गङ्गा लोकानां हितदेतवे । भूमाववातरत् खगौत् साऽप्येवं तानवोचत ॥ ८१ ॥

1 A चुछुमे । 2 A तस्या मूद्रे । 3 B समुदपादयत् ।

युष्माखिलां पतन्तीं मां को घत्तेंशस्तदाऽवदम् । अहमित्यूचुषा तेन सा घृता निजमूर्ढनि ॥ ८२ ॥ दिव्यं वर्षसहस्रं चेत् तेन गङ्गा घृता स्वके । तत्त् त्वं कथं न षण्मासीं वारिधारामधारयः ? ॥ ८३ ॥

## ॥ इति धूर्ताख्याने प्रथमं मूलदेवकथानकम् ॥

<u>~~~</u>

## [ २. कण्डरीककथितं कथानकम् । ]

#### \$

» एवं निरुत्तरो मूलदेवः प्रोवाच तं प्रति । कंडरीक ! त्वमप्यात्मानुभूतं वद किन्चन ॥ ८४ ॥ कण्डरीकोऽवदद् बाल्ये दुर्विनीतो भवन्तराम् । पितृभ्यामत एवाहं हठान्निर्वासितो गृहात् ॥ ८५ ॥ ततो भ्राम्यन्नहं देशानेकं गोमहिषीवृतम् । कूपाऽऽरामसरोराजीराजितं ग्राममासदम् ॥ ८६ ॥ पद्वोपचारबलिभिः स जनैः पूजितोऽनिशम् । समीहितं वरं दत्ते को न मान्यो भवेदु गुणैः ॥ ८७ ॥ ततस्तं कौतुकाद् यक्षं नत्वा माम्यजनेष्वहम् । यावद् विचित्रक्रीडाभिरात्मानं स्म विनोद्ये ॥ ८८ ॥ सन्नद्धबद्धकवचा विविधायुधपाणयः । सुतरां दारुणास्तावन्निपेतुस्तत्र तस्कराः<sup>1</sup> ॥ ८९ ॥ सवालयुद्धाः सम्त्रीका प्राम्या जनपदान्त्रिताः । पशुभिश्च समं भीत्या वालुक्ल्यां विविशुर्दुतम् ॥ ९० ॥ तत्रस्थानपि तान् प्राम्यानपदयन्तोऽन्धला इव । चौरासतस्ते व्यावृत्ता नष्टो प्राम इतीरिणः ॥ ९१ ॥ पशुष्टन्दं घरत् तत्र विश्वस्तं समुपेयिवान्<sup>2</sup> । तन्मध्यादेकया छाग्या वालुङ्की परिजयसे ॥ ९२ ॥ साऽपि प्रसाऽजगरेण सोऽपि ढिङ्केन जमसे । स चोड्डीय वटैऽतिष्ठद् भूमिप्राप्तपदः खगः ॥ ९३ ॥ मतत्र केनापि भूपेन सैन्यावासे विनिर्मिते । स्तम्भन्रान्या ढिङ्कपाई बढ़ो मिण्ठेन कुझरः ॥ ९४ ॥ दिद्वेनोद्दीयमानेन सह यान्तं विलोक्य तम् । पृत्रकुरिति हा मिण्ठाः केनापि द्वियते करी ॥ ९५ ॥ **तेषां कलकलं श्रुत्वा सुभटाः शब्दवे**धिनः । तत्रेयुराकुला वाणवाणासनकरा रयात् ॥ ९६ ॥ सुभटैः शरधोरण्या छिन्नमूर्धा वटच्युतः । ढिङ्कपक्षी पपाताग्च वज्रपात इव शितौ ॥ ९७ ॥ पक्ष्यक्ने दारिते राज्ञाऽजगरो निरगात् ततः । अजा तस्याश्च वालुङ्की ततो प्रामादयोऽखिलाः ॥ ९८॥ » ते सर्वे नृपतिं नत्वा स्वत्वस्तुसमन्विताः । निजस्थानं ययुरहमत्रायातश्च संप्रति ॥ ९९ ॥ एवं मयानुभूतं भो गदितं भवतां पुरः । यो न यन्येत धूर्तानां स ददात्वद्य भोजनम् ॥ १०० ॥

#### [ अथ एलाषाढकृतं कण्डरीककथानकसमाधानम् । ]

-----

9

एलाषाढरततः प्रोचे प्रतीमो नात्र संशयः । कण्डरीकोऽवदद् मामो वालुझ्यन्तः कथं ममौ ॥ १०१॥ एलाषाढोऽथ तं स्माद्द किं आतर्न श्रुतास्त्वया । दृष्टान्ता विष्णुपुराणे भारते च किलेदृशाः ॥ १०२॥ अ आसीन् पुरा जगत् पख्रमहद्भूतविवर्जितम् । जलेनैकार्णवीभूतं तत्राण्डममवत् किल्ल ॥ १०३॥ ऊर्मिप्रेङ्कोलनाभिस्तद्भिन्नं त्रिविधतामगात् । त्रिविष्टप-महीपीठ-रसातलविभेदतः ॥ १०४ ॥

1 A MET 1 2 A TT 1

यगण्डे तादृशं सर्वं सममाजगतीत्रयम् । वालुक्ल्यां तहिं स ग्रामः कथं माति न बान्धव ! ॥ १०५॥ शास्त्रेऽन्यचारण्यपथे मार्कण्डेयोऽवदन्मुनिः । धर्माङ्गजस्यान्ययुगानुभूतं तच्छूतीकुरु ॥ १०६ ॥ स<sup>ª</sup> किलाम्भोभिरभ्रोत्थैर्लोक एकार्णवीछते । लोलकझोलमालाभिः प्रेर्यमाणोऽम्बुधौ गतः ॥ १०७ ॥ सर्वशून्यं जलाऽऽकीर्णं जगत् पत्रयत्रृषिस्ततः । एकं तत्र महाकायं वटवृक्षमुदेक्षत ॥ १०८ ॥ शाखायां तस्य पल्यङ्कमपत्रयत् तत्र चार्भकम् । सर्वोङ्गसुन्दराऽऽकारं तेजस्तर्जितभास्करम् ॥ १०५ ॥ \* प्रसारितकरं तं चावादीदेहोहि दारक ! । ममांसे <sup>३</sup>छगतामम्भस्सु पतनान्मा स्म भून्मृतिः<sup>\*</sup> ॥ ११०॥ सोऽप्यंसमबलम्ब्यास्यावातारीत् स ततो मुनिः । आस्ये प्रसारितेऽपत्रयद् विश्वं तस्योदरेऽखिलम् ॥१११॥ प्रविष्टसत्र वर्षाणां सहस्रं दिव्यमञ्जसा । अमन् पारमपारस्य नाऽऽपर्षिर्निरगात् ततः ॥ ११२ ॥ सशैलकाननं विश्वं ममौ चेद् दारकोदरे । तदा माति न किं प्रामो वालुङ्ग्यां कण्डरीक भोः ! ॥११३॥ ढिङ्कोदरे हाजगरस्तस्याजाऽस्याश्च चिर्भटी । तस्यां प्रामः कथमिति प्राख्यस्तच्छ्रणु वच्म्यहम् ॥११४॥ " यदि त्रिजगती सर्वा ममौ विष्णोः किलोदरे । कुक्षौ सोऽपि हि देवक्यास्तल्पस्याभ्यन्तरेऽपि सा ॥११५॥ यदि सत्यं पुराणोक्तं वचनं प्रतिभासते । तदा प्रामादिप्रवेशा वालुक्व्यादौ न दोषदाः ॥ ११६ ॥ वालुझ्यजगरान्तः स्थः कथं नासादयं मृतिम् । इति तेन ऋते प्रश्ने ह्येलाषाढोऽव्रवीदिति ॥ ११७ ॥ तसिन्नेवाहनि भवान्निर्गतो न मृतो हातः । चिरं विष्णूदरे तिष्ठज्ञगज्जीवति नाद्भुतम् ॥ ११८ ॥ यस्मिन्नदरगे सन्ति वाणिज्यं योधसंयतः । आरम्भा व्यवहाराश्चोत्सवा वैवाहिकादयः ॥ ११९ ॥ 15 कथमस्गोदरे विश्वमिति प्रच्छसि तच्छुणु । पुरा परस्परं युद्धं ब्रह्म-केशवयोरभूत् ॥ १२० ॥ दीनो ब्रह्मा हरिं स्माह वक्त्रबाहूरुपादतः । मदीयेभ्यो जगत् सर्वं चातुर्वण्यं विनिर्गतम् ॥ १२१ ॥ विधिमाक्षिप्तवान् विष्णुर्वचनैः कठिनैरलम् । रे रे त्वं चेटरूपो मे बुवन्नेवं न लज्जसे ॥ १२२ ॥ कण्ठस्थरोषे भूगीधराढे सागरजिह्नके । मन्मुखे त्वं प्रवित्रयाग्नु पदय विश्वं चराचरम् ॥ १२३ ॥ जलेशयस्य मे नाभिसमुत्थाम्भोजतो भवान् । प्रादुर्भूतो छतो वक्तुं मत्पुरो नोचितं तब ॥ १२४ ॥ 20

> अपि च–यत्प्रभावात् प्रकाशकत्वमगमत् कौम्रदं वनम् । कलङ्कीति निशाधीशं स्रोत्कर्पात् तद्धसत्यहो ।। १२५ ।।

कण्डरीको बभाषे किं पुराणादौ श्रुताः क्वचित् । दिङ्का एवंप्रमाणाः स्युरेतन्माति यदन्तरे ॥ १२६॥ एलापाढोऽपि तं स्माह द्रौपद्या हि स्वयंवरे । प्राविक्षत् धनुषि श्लोणीभूधरवह्निभुजङ्गमाः ॥ १२७॥ यः श्रीद्वपदराजस्य तद्देवाधिष्ठितं धनुः । राधां भेत्ता<sup>र</sup> समारोप्य स इष्ठण्णां परिणेष्यति ॥ १२८॥ आघोषणामिमां श्रुत्वा तत्रेस बलिनो नृपाः । धनुरारोपयन्तस्ते पतिता इसिता जनैः ॥ १२९॥ आघोषणामिमां श्रुत्वा तत्रेस बलिनो नृपाः । धनुरारोपयन्तस्ते पतिता इसिता जनैः ॥ १२९॥ आधोषणामिमां श्रुत्वा तत्रेस बलिनो नृपाः । धनुरारोपयन्तस्ते पतिता इसिता जनैः ॥ १२९॥ अध मानोन्नतः शूरः शिशुपालक्षितीश्वरः । आरोपयितुमारेभे यावद् राधाभिदे धनुः ॥ १३०॥ तावत् तत्र इरिर्मेष्ठं गरुडं मुझलं इलम् । नागान् झङ्कं गदां चकं मन्दरं श्विप्तवांश्च सः ॥ १३१॥ सन्धानावसरे सूरं विधुमाप्निं पयोनिधीन् । सशैलामचलांस्तत्र चापे चिश्लेप केशवः ॥ १३२ ॥ अर्ढाङ्गल्यमाणेऽस्मिस्तेनाइष्टे गुरुद्विषा । छलेन मोचिते बाणे सचापश्चेदिपोऽपतत् ॥ १३३ ॥ मार्थो रथासहमानायामुर्व्यां तद् भारमञ्जसा । आरुद्य मीमहस्ताप्रे चापारोपणमातनोत् ॥ १३४ ॥

1 B जगतां त्रयम्। 2 B सकला°। 3 B लगतेऽ°। 4 B गान्मतिः। 5 A प्रविभासते। 6 A. बिष्नोदरे। 7 A मेरना। 8 A पार्थों वा।

यदि जापान्तरे ते ते पदार्था मिखिळा ममुः । तत् कि दिद्वे महाकाये न मात्यजगरादयः ॥ १३६ ॥ किख्न रामायणे आतः ! श्रूयते विहगोत्तमः । जटायुः सीतापहारे युयुघे रावणेन सह ॥ १३७ ॥ दशानमेन रुष्टेन चन्द्रहासमहासिना । छित्वा पश्चयुगं भूमौ स भूघ इत्र पातितः ॥ १३८ ॥ सीतया च स पक्ष्यूचे शीलमाहात्म्यतो सम । पक्षौ भविष्यतो रामदूतसम्दर्शनात् तव ॥ १३९ ॥ सीतया च स पक्ष्यूचे शीलमाहात्म्यतो सम । पक्षौ भविष्यतो रामदूतसम्दर्शनात् तव ॥ १३९ ॥ अन्यदा दाशरथिना जानकीशुद्धिहेतवे । आदिष्टो हमुमानुर्वी आर्म्यस्तत्र ससाययौ ॥ १४० ॥ अहो गिरिसाबुचैईनुमानित्यचिन्तयत् । यदत्रारुद्ध पश्यानि समंतान्महिमण्डंस्ठम् ॥ १४१ ॥ ततो जटायुषा ष्टष्टा कस्त्वं भोः ! स तमञ्चतीत् । रामदूतोऽस्मि सीतायाः प्रष्टस्वर्थमिहागमम् ॥१४२॥ पक्ष्यप्याख्यद् रामजाया विळपन्ती पथाऽमुना । अपहृत्य दशास्वेन लङ्गापुरमनीयत्त ॥ १४१ ॥ कि आम्यसि मुधाऽरण्यं हनुमांस्त्वरया त्रज । इदं सन्देशवाक्यं च रामाय कथयेर्मम ॥ १४४ ॥ ततो वायुसुतोऽवादीद् यत् त्वं रक्षोरणं व्यधाः । आख्यंश्च वृत्तं तेन स्थात् तवापि हि हितं सस्वि ! ॥१४४॥ ततो वायुसुतोऽवादीद् यत् त्वं रक्षोरणं व्यधाः । आख्यंश्च वृत्तं तेन स्थात् तवापि हि हितं सस्वि ! ॥१४४॥ वतो वायुसुतोऽवादीद् यत् त्वं रक्षोरणं व्यधाः । आख्यंश्च वृत्तं तेन स्थात् तवापि हि हितं सर्वि ! ॥१४४॥ वती वायुसुतोऽवादीद् यत् त्वं रक्षोरणं व्यधाः । आख्यंश्च वृत्तं तेन स्थात् तवापि हि हितं सर्वि ! ॥१४४॥ इति दृतवचः श्वत्वा जटायुर्जातपक्षतिः । उद्दीय व्योममार्गेण त्रिदशाश्रयमाश्रयत् ॥ १४७ ॥ यदि शैलसमो गृध्रो जटायुरभवत् पुरा । तत् कि डिक्को महाकायः कण्डरीक ! भवेक्ष किम् १ ॥१४४॥

॥ इति धूर्ताख्याने द्वितीयं कण्डरीकथानकम् ॥

-ce-

[ ३. एलाषाढकथितं कथानकम् ।]

#### ÷

एलाधाडमथावादीत् कण्डरीको गतोत्तरः । ब्रूहि त्वमपि किञ्चित्रः स्वानुभूतं महाद्वुसम् ॥ १४९ ॥ एलापाढोऽप्यवग् बन्धो ! यौवने यनलौलुपः । नटिती यातुवादार्थव्येसनैर्निरमां गृहात् ॥ १६० ॥ बिलमत्र रसो द्यत्र भूधरोऽत्र सधातुकः । एवमाशाप्रहमस्तो बंभ्रमीमि स्म भूतल्लम् ॥ १५९ ॥ एकदेत्यागमो लेभे यद्योजनसहस्रके । पूर्वस्यां भूधरसत्र रसो वेधसहस्रकृत् ॥ १५२ ॥

- <sup>20</sup> शिलया रसरन्ध्राखं छन्नं योजनमानया । तां चोत्पाट्य रसं धीरा गृह्वन्ति खर्णकुण्डतः ॥ १५३ ॥ योजनानां शतमितैः क्रमैः क्रामन्नईं महीम् । गिरौ गत्वोत्पाट्य शिलामगृहं कुण्डतो रसम् ॥ १५४ ॥ पुनस्तच्छिलयाऽऽच्छाद्य गृहमेत्य ततो रसात् । धनं कनकमुत्पाद्य धनदोपमतामगाम् ॥ १५५ ॥ भोगानभङ्गरान् मुखन्नार्थभ्यो द्रविणं ददन् । प्रसिद्धिमगमं लोके लोकेश इव मूर्त्तिमान् ॥ १९६ ॥ प्रेयसीभिः समं गीतनृत्यवादित्रकेलिभिः । क्रीडन् श्चीपति मन्ये न तृणायापि संषद्या ॥ १९७ ॥
- <sup>28</sup> प्रसिद्धि च समृद्धिं च मम विज्ञाय दारुणाः । निशायां निशितास्त्रीधकराश्चौरा गृहेऽपत्तम् ॥ १५८ ॥ कृतसिंहनिनादास्ते स्तेनाः पञ्चशतीमिताः । प्रष्टता छण्ठितुं गेहसारे यावद् दुराशयाः ॥ १५९ ॥ तावत् कथं मे न्यायात्तो जीवतो वित्तसञ्चयः । चौर्य्य्तेधियत इति ध्यायर् साइसमाद्रिये ॥ १६० ॥ झस्त्राणि प्रगुणीकृत्य चौरैः सह रणाङ्गणम् । कुर्वन्नहं महाधोरं सुरैरप्यभिनन्दितः ॥ १६९ ॥ एकेन शरघातेन दश द्वादश पञ्चषान् । स्तेनाननैषं कैनाशविशान्तातिथितामहम् ॥ १६२ ॥
- <sup>30</sup> निमेषाद् घातिते चौरशते तेऽपि मसोपरि । संभूय कोपतः पेतुरित्र कीनाशकिङ्गराः ॥ १६३ ॥ झीर्ष ने कणशः छत्वा बद्धा च बद्ररीतरौ । सुधित्वा वेदमसर्वस्वं जरमुखौरा यथागतम् ॥ १६४ ॥ रक्ताई छण्डलोझासि मच्छिरो बद्रीस्थितम् । जिर्वाधं वद्रप्रातं अरुभन्द् दस्यो वद्रांसुस्वम् ॥ १६५ ॥

सूर्योदयेऽथ कोलीस्थं बदराखादि तच्छिरः । सजीनमिति विजायाऽऽद्विरे नागरा वराः ॥ १६६ ॥ अङ्गोपाङ्गानि सर्वाणि संपिण्ड्योपरि तत्र्यधुः । ततोऽहं रूपऌावण्यभागअूवंतरां पुनः ॥ १६७ ॥ मयायं खानुभूतोऽर्थः प्रत्यपादि अवत्पुरः । यो न मन्भेत तत्तत्तां धूर्त्तानां सोऽद्य ओजनम् ॥१६८॥

#### [ अथ शशकृतं एलाषाढकथानकसमाधानम् । ]

\$

शशोऽवोचद्रशो कस्तेऽलीकं कुर्यादिदं वचः । प्रमाणितं पुरावृत्त-स्मृति-रामायणेषु यत् ॥ १६९ ॥ 🥂

#### तथा हि-

यमदग्निऋषिः पूर्वमभूद् भार्याऽस्य रेणुका । यां नेमुस्तरवः पुष्पार्थिनः झीलप्रभावतः ॥ १७० ॥ अधाश्वाषहतं दृद्वा नृपं सर्वाङ्गसुन्दरम् । रेणुका समभूत् तत्र रागादाश्रेषशालिनी ॥ १७१ ॥ अथानमस्यतो यक्षान् वीक्ष्य शीलच्युतां च ताम् । मन्वानो यमद्ग्निद्रांक् पर्श्वरामं समादिशत् ॥ १ ५२ ॥ समापुरस्याः पापायाधिछन्धि शीर्षं खपर्शुना । तेनापि पितुरादेशस्तथैव बिदघे क्षणात् ॥ १७३ ॥ 11 यमदग्निसादा तुष्ठो रामं स्माह वरं वृणु । सोऽप्यूचे तात ! मे माता पुनर्जीवतु सत्वरम् ॥ १७४ ॥ एवमस्त्विति तेनोक्ते सजीवाऽजनि रेणुका । सद्भूतं चेदिदं आतर् ! जीवितस्त्वमपीह तत् ॥ १७५॥ जरासन्धोऽषि भूपालः खण्डद्वितययोजनात् । जरया सज्जितो राजसहस्राधीश्वरोऽजनि ॥ १७६ ॥ अन्यच श्रूयते सुन्द-निसुन्दौ हौ सहोदरौ । असुरौ सुरवृन्दस्य क्षयकालवदुत्थितौ ॥ १७७ ॥ पर्यालीच्याथ गीर्वाणैसाद्वधाय तिलोत्तमा । तिलं तिलं खदेहेभ्य उपादाय विनिर्ममे ॥ १७८ ॥ 15 सर्वाङ्गसुन्दराकारा मोहिनी सर्वकामिनाम् । प्रणम्य सा सुरान् स्माह सुधामधुरया गिरा ॥ १७९ ॥ यत्कर्त्तव्यं मया देव तदादिशत तेऽपि ताम् । प्रोचुरुद्धर वः सुन्द-निसुन्दातङ्कसङ्कटान् ॥ १८० ॥ इलाज्ञां शिरसि न्यस्य ययौ तत्र तिलोत्तमा । यत्र तावसुरौ सुन्द-निसुन्दौ परितिष्ठतः ॥ १८१ ॥ हाराईहारकेयूरनूपुरादिविभूषिता । सुरूपोचकुचा सज्जीकृतनेत्रोडुपानना ॥ १८२ ॥ सा ताभ्यां युगपद् दृष्टा दृष्टिपीयूषपारणम् । ततश्च सानुरागौ तौ तस्यामेव बभूवतुः ॥ युग्मम् ॥ 20 तदास्ये युध्यमानों तो झस्तेरसेश्च दारुणेः। मृतौ बन्धू अपि हाहा ! स्त्रीभिः को न विडुम्बितः ॥ १८४॥

### स्रीणां कृते आतृयुगस्य भेदः संबन्धभेदे स्निय एव मूलम् । अप्राप्तकामा बहवो नरेन्द्रा नारीभिरुच्छेदितराजवंशाः ॥ १८५ ॥

देवाझल्खवसंयोगात् संजाता च तिलोत्तमा । ऌ्नलग्नेसदोपाङ्गेस्तवदझं किं न जायताम् ॥ १८६ ॥ भूयते शैशवे वायुसुतो मातरञ्जनाम् । अप्राक्षीत् को ममाहारः क्षुधितस्य भविष्यति ॥ १८७ ॥ <sup>23</sup> सोचे वत्सातिरक्तानि फलानि तव भोजनम् । तेनापि जगृहे सूर्योऽथोद्यन् पक्वफल्छभ्रमात् ॥१८८॥ तेनापि चूर्णितं तं च वीक्ष्य माता शुचातुरा । भर्तुर्निवेदयामास करुणं रुदती सती ॥ १८९ ॥ कन्दन्तीं दयितां दृष्टा मृतं च पवनः सुतम् । ततो रसातलं कोपात् प्रविदय स्थितवानसौ ॥ १८० ॥ पवनस्य निरोधेन सदेवमनुजासुरम् । जगज्जातमतीवार्त्तं मृत्युद्रोपमद्येषतः ॥ १९१ ॥ ततो दीनानना देवास्तं प्रसादयितुं गताः । इनुमन्तं सजीवं च संयोज्यावयवान् व्यधुः ॥ १९२ ॥ इनुरेकास्य नो दृष्टा शोधयित्त्वा सुरैस्तदा । तद्वियुक्तोऽपि हनुमानिति तस्याभिधा छता ॥ १९३ ॥ पवनस्याङ्गजश्र्र्य्याभूतश्चेन् मिलितोऽभवत् । अपूर्वं तत्कथं भ्रातस्त्वद्वचो चूमदे वयम् ॥ १९४ ॥ कथायां रामभद्रस्य सीतापहरणे क्षणे । सेतुबन्धे कृते लङ्कापुरी दाशरथौ गते ॥ १९५ ॥

राम-रावणयोवीरिधोरे समरचिङ्वरे । तरब्धे क्षुब्धपाथोधौ सिंहनादस्फुटन्नरो ॥ १९६ ॥

लङ्केशभटनिर्मुक्तरोष्ठवावलभलकः । शक्तिभिश्वासिभिश्छित्राङ्गोपाङ्गकपिसैनिके ॥ १९७ ॥ शक्तिप्रहारनिर्वद्वभूमिपतितलक्ष्मणे । रामप्रलापनिर्घापप्रतिशच्दितभूधरे ॥ १९८ ॥

<sup>s</sup> द्रोणाद्रेर्वायुपुत्रेण समानीतविज्ञल्यया । सौमित्रेर्वेक्षसः शक्तिर्निरगात् पापधीरिब ॥ १९९ ॥ -चतुर्भिः कलापकम् ।

तया विशल्यया सिक्ताश्विष्ठन्नाङ्गा अपि वानराः । सजीवाः सहसोत्तस्थुर्मिलितावयवव्रजाः ॥ २००॥ छिन्नाङ्गा अपि चेज्जाताः सजीवाः प्रवगासतः । भवान् ग्वण्डीकृतः किं न आतः ! प्राणिति संप्रति ॥ २०१॥ जगत्प्रसिद्धमन्यच कार्त्तिकेयस्य संभवम् । किं नाश्रौषीद् भवान् भूयो प्रन्थेषु प्रथितं बुधैः ॥ २०२ ॥

हिमाचलगुद्दामध्ये मैथुनासकयोस्तयोः । गौरी-शङ्करयोर्जज्ञे दिव्यं वर्षसहस्रकम् ॥ २०३ ॥ असित्रवसरे देवास्तारकासुरपीडिताः । अशक्तास्तद्वधेऽन्योन्यं पर्यालोचं वितेनिरे ॥ २०४ ॥ ग्रंभोर्वीर्यं विना नान्यस्तारकं हन्तुमीश्वरः । स तु शंभुः संलीनोऽस्ति दर्यां हिमभूभृतः ॥ २०५ ॥ भिया कोऽपि न तत्पार्श्वं यायन्यो द्यनलं विना । अतः प्रार्थ्वते गन्तुमिति ते तं बभाषिरे॥ २०६ ॥ भिया कोऽपि न तत्पार्श्वं यायन्यो द्यनलं विना । अतः प्रार्थ्वते गन्तुमिति ते तं बभाषिरे॥ २०६ ॥ भिया कोऽपि न तत्पार्श्वं यायन्यो द्यनलं विना । अतः प्रार्थ्वते गन्तुमिति ते तं बभाषिरे॥ २०६ ॥ भरोपकारिणः कार्यमेकस्याध्य प्रकुर्वते । विशिष्य सर्वदेवानां इत्ये कः स्यात् पराड्युखः ॥ २०७ ॥ पद्ध्वंमखिला देवा मग्नाश्चिन्तामहार्णवे । तत्रानल ! भवानेव गन्तुमीशो न चापरः ॥ २०८ ॥ तद् गत्वा दर्शयात्मानं हिमाद्रिस्वस्य ग्रूलिनः । कदाचित् त्वां विलोक्येशः स्वरकीडां विमुख्वति॥२०९॥ हव्यवाहोऽथ तानूचे कः शंभोः संमुखं त्रजेत् । विशिष्येद्दगवस्य तस्य श्रेयोऽभिलाषुकः ॥ २१०॥ कर्दूयाङ्गधरिणं ग्रूलपाणिं नरकपालिनम् । इमशानवासिनं कस्तमभिगच्छेज्ञिजीविषुः ॥ २११ ॥ ऊर्द्वुमुझास्य यो लिङ्गं ताण्डवेन प्रन्तुत्वति । बलारिपि तत्पार्श्वं गन्तुमीशो मवेन्न हि ॥ २११ ॥ कदाचित् कन्दरान्तस्यं ग्रूलपाणिर्निद्दन्ति माम् । तदा मे का गतिः स्यात् तन्न मां प्रेषयतामराः !॥२१४ ॥ रक्तं च-

मासेन दम्यते वाजी वर्षेणोन्मत्तकुझरः । निमेषोन्मेषमात्रेण पुमांस्तु प्रमदाजनैः ॥ २१५ ॥ यदेव रोचते गौर्यास्तदेव कुरुते हरः । किं नानल ! त्वया दृष्टोऽढांङ्गे तामुद्रहज्ञयम् ॥ २१६ ॥ 28 अतस्यज त्वमाशङ्कामेतां यत् कुपितो हरः । न कर्त्ता भवतः पीडां गौरीस्वान्तानुवृत्तये ॥ २१७ ॥ पवमस्त्विति गत्वाऽग्निर्हिमवत्कन्दरान्तरे । प्रेक्षत त्रिवुरारातिं रतिलीलाविसंस्थुलम् ॥ २१८ ॥ पद्यमस्त्विति गत्वाऽग्निर्हिमवत्कन्दरान्तरे । प्रेक्षत त्रिवुरारातिं रतिलीलाविसंस्थुलम् ॥ २१८ ॥ पद्यमस्त्विति गत्वाऽग्निर्हिमवत्कन्दरान्तरे । प्रेक्षत त्रिवुरारातिं रतिलीलाविसंस्थुलम् ॥ २१८ ॥ तसुपान्तगतं दृष्ट्वा रुषोत्तिष्ठन् हरस्ततः । हुं हुं गौर्या निषिद्धः स ऊर्द्धुलिङ्गोऽनलं जगौ ॥ २१८ ॥ मुखं व्यादेहि रे ! रेतः पिवेत्यथ वदन् हरः । तत् तस्यै पाययामास मानुतेज इवोल्वणम् ॥ २२० ॥ रेतसा दक्षमानोऽग्निः कथञ्चित्त्राप्य वारिधिम् । तद् ववाम ततः सोऽपि वभूव सुस्तितो मनाइ॥ २२१ ॥ ततः प्रश्वति लोकेषु श्रूयते रत्नसन्ततिः । समुद्रे रेतसो जाता प्रस्फुरत्तेजसाञ्चिता ॥ २२२ ॥ अथ पद्माकरे पद्मभासुरे शिशिराग्भसि । अग्निस्तद्रेतसः होषं वान्त्वा शीतोऽभवत्तराम् ॥ २२३ ॥ तत्र पण्णां कृत्तिकानां स्नान्तीनां स्परमन्दिरे । तस्मिन् शुके प्रविष्टेऽभूत् समकं गर्भसंभवः ॥ २२४ ॥ ततसा विस्मितस्वान्ता दर्शयाञ्चक्रिरे मिथः । यावत्तावत् किलाङ्गानि मिमिलुस्तानि सूत्वत् ॥ २२६ ॥ सस्यस्थानाङ्गसंयोगात् कार्त्तिकेयः पडाननः । आसीदाशैशवाद्येराऽजिद्यान्दव्रत्वरान्याः ॥ २२७ ॥ विजिग्ये समरे येन तारकाख्यो महासुरः । महातेजाः स याम्यायां 'ब्रह्मावनकृते सितः ॥ २२८ ॥ पृथग्गभेषु जातानि यद्यङ्गान्यमिलन् प्रभोः । तान्येवैकत्र जातानि संगच्छेरन्न ते कथम् ॥ २२९ ॥ एलाषाढोऽवदङ्ग्नं परिभ्राम्यत् कथं शिरः । फलानि मुङ्के सोझासमिति धूर्त्त वदाधुना ॥ २३० ॥ शशोऽप्यूचे श्रुते(तौ ?) किं न श्रुतं चक्रेण चक्रिणः । राहोश्छिन्नं शिरो भ्राम्यद् गिलत्यर्यम-शीतगू ॥ यश्व ब्रूषे योजनानां शतमेकपदेन गाम् । उल्रह्वय विषमं शैल्मगां तत्रोत्तरं श्रणु ॥ २३२ ॥ विष्णुर्थागे दिजीभूय बलिं प्राप्य कमत्रयम् । सशैल्काननामुर्यामाक्रम्यैनां जहार च ॥ २३३ ॥ विष्णुर्थागे दिजीभूय बलिं प्राप्य कमत्रयम् । सशैल्याननामुर्यामाकम्यैनां जहार च ॥ २३३ ॥ विष्णुर्थागे दिजीभूय बलिं प्राप्य कमत्रयम् । सशैल्वाननामुर्यामाकम्यैनां जहार च ॥ २३३ ॥ विष्णुः कमत्रयेणाशु यद्याचकाम मेदिनीम् । शतयोजनमात्रां तद्गतिं कस्ते न मन्यते ॥ २३४ ॥ शिला योजनमाना सा कथमुत्पादिता मया । इति प्रच्छासमाधानं क्रण्डली कुरु कर्णयोः ॥ २३५ ॥ रामायणे रणे जाते लक्ष्मणे शक्तिपातिते । हन्तुमान् द्राग् विश्तल्यार्थं द्रोणाद्रिमुदपीपतत् ॥ २३६ ॥ चेद् विशालशिलः शैलः प्रोत्क्षिप्तः कपिनाऽपि सः । तदा योजनमात्रा किं शिला नोत्पाट्यते त्वया॥ २३८ ॥ एलाषाढः प्रतिक्षिप्तस्तेन प्रत्युक्तियुक्तिभिः । शशं स्वाह त्वमप्याशु स्वानुभूतं वदाधुना ॥ २३८ ॥

# ॥ इति धूर्ताख्याने तृतीयमेलाषाढकथानकम् ॥

[ ४. शशकथितं कथानकम् ।]

**y** 

सोऽप्यवादीदद्दं क्वापि प्रामे कौटुम्बिकोऽभवम् । क्षेत्रोपजीवी वर्षासु इतवान् क्षेत्रकर्षणम् ॥ २३९॥ शरत्काछागमे द्रष्टुं क्षेत्रं गिरितऌस्थितम् । प्रामाद् दवीयः स्थानस्थं सानन्दो जग्मिवानहम् ॥ २४० ॥ तत्र शैलात् समुत्तीर्य शैलाभो मत्तकुञ्जरः । रुषाऽरुणेक्षणस्तूर्णमधावत् स ममोपरि ॥ २४१ ॥ तद्रिया कम्पमानाङ्गः परिभ्राम्यन्नितसतः । तिलवृक्षं पुरोऽद्राक्षं वद्धसख्यमिवाद्रिणा ॥ २४१ ॥ तद्रिया कम्पमानाङ्गः परिभ्राम्यन्नितसतः । तिलवृक्षं पुरोऽद्राक्षं वद्धसख्यमिवाद्रिणा ॥ २४१ ॥ तद्रिया कम्पमानाङ्गः परिभ्राम्यन्नितसतः । तिलवृक्षं पुरोऽद्राक्षं वद्धसख्यमिवाद्रिणा ॥ २४१ ॥ तच्छाखायां विल्प्रं तु स मामारुष्टुमक्षमः । तिलव्रुं धूनयामास पात्राङ्गं क्षेत्रपाळवत् ॥ २४३ ॥ पेतुसत्कम्पिताः प्रथ्व्यां तिलौधास्तिलपादपात् । भ्रमता तेन ते चूर्णीक्रत्वा च तिलयन्नवत् ॥ २४१ ॥ ततः प्रादुरभूत् तैलनदी कुण्डादिवापगा । यस्थाः कल्ठोलमालाभिर्जीयन्तेऽत्यूर्मयोऽम्बुधैः ॥ २४५ ॥ ॥ ततः प्रादुरभूत् तैलनदी कुण्डादिवापगा । यस्थाः कल्ठोलमालाभिर्जीयन्तेऽत्यूर्मयोऽम्बुधैः ॥ २४५ ॥ ॥ ततः प्रादुरभूत् तैलनदी कुण्डादिवापगा । यस्थाः कल्लोलमालाभिर्जीयन्तेऽत्यूर्मयोऽम्बुधैः ॥ २४६ ॥ तत्तसस्य मतङ्गस्य मया चर्ममपसत्तन् । निमग्नः क्षुत्तृपान्नान्तो विपद्यत स कीटवत् ॥ २४६ ॥ तत्रतसस्य मतङ्गस्य मया चर्ममपसार्थ तत् । टतीक्टरा च तैलेन पर्यपूरि समन्ततः ॥ २४८ ॥ तत्राहं बुढितो भुज्जे खलभारं क्षुधातुरः । पिवामि तैलकुम्भानां दद्यकं तृषितोऽनिशम् ॥ २४८ ॥ ततां दर्ति तैलसम्पूर्णां स्कन्घे रुत्वादर्कततूलवत् । प्रामं प्रत्यटितोऽध्वद्रावलम्च्य गृहेऽनमम् ॥ २५९ ॥ ततः सपादपस्तेन प्रोन्मूल्य गजराजवत् । दितिरानीयत प्रामलोकानां पद्यतां गृहे ॥ २५२ ॥ अद्यतां दतिमानेतुं संकतितमहातरौ । मया निजसुत्य वास्रवेत् मे तदेभ्यो दत्त भोजनम् ॥ २५३ ॥

 $\sim$ 

# [ अथ खण्डपानाकृतं शशकथानकसमाधानम् । ]

\$

शशं स्माह महादक्षा खण्डपानाऽथ धूर्त्तिका । भारते सुप्रसिद्धोऽर्थः श्रुतो रामायणेऽपि सः ॥ २५४॥ शशः प्राक्ष(ख्य)त् कचिद्दृष्टः श्रुतो वेटग् तिल्रदुमः । खण्डपानाऽपि तं प्रोचे श्रुतं किं न त्वया जनात् ॥ यत्पाटलीपुरे माषवृक्षादति गरीयसी । भेरी व्यथायि केनापि तत् तिल्रदुर्न किं महान् ॥ २५६ ॥ <sup>5</sup> व्यूढा तेल्जनदीत्यत्राप्युत्तरं भारतं श्र्णु । यद्दन्तिदानसंभूता सरित् प्रावयते स्म गाम् ॥ २५७ ॥

यतः-

तेषां कटतटभ्रष्टैर्गजानां मदविन्दुभिः । प्रावर्त्तत नदी घोरा हस्त्यश्वरथवाहिनी ॥ २५८ ॥ यदि दन्तिमदाम्भोभिरभूत् कूलंकषा नदी । तथा तैलात्र जायेत वाहिनी किं दुवाहिनी ? ॥ २५९॥ यचोक्तं खलतैलादि भश्चितं तादृशं मया। तत्राप्याकर्णयोदन्तं भारतयन्थसंगतम् ॥ २६० ॥ <sup>10</sup> यद्राज्यात्रिर्गतो भीम एकचकां पुरीं गतः । महाबलं बकं रक्षोराजं व्यापादयद् रुपा ॥ २६१ ॥ सहस्रं मद्यकुम्भानां षोडशाशनखारिकाः । बकायोपस्थितान्येको भीमो भश्चितवांस्तदा ॥ २६२ ॥ एकाकिनाऽपि भीमेन पीतं भुक्तं च तदु यथा । तथैव संगतं तेऽथ भोजनं खलु-तैलयोः ॥ २६३ ॥ पिवेदु घटसहस्राणि कुम्भकर्णः सद्। यदि । दशतैलघटानां ते तदा पानं न चित्रकृत् ॥ २६४ ॥ अन्यचैतन् पुराणेषु श्रूयते यदगस्तिना । दानवानां विनाशाय निपीतः क्षारवारिधिः ॥ २६५ ॥ 13 खगोंत्तीणां जटाजूटाच्छम्भोगेङ्गा विनिर्गता । वहन्ती जहुसंज्ञस्य मुनेश्चाश्रममीयुषी ॥ २६६ ॥ तेन पीत्वा सहस्रं सा वर्षाणां आमितोद्रे । तन्मुक्ता पप्रथे लोके जाह्ववीलमिधानतः ॥ २६७ ॥ यदि ताभ्यामृषिभ्यां भोः ! पीते सिन्धुसुरापगे । दुशतैलघटापानं तदा कस्ते न मन्यते ॥ २६८ ॥ गजचर्मद्रतिस्तादृग् मयोढैकाकिना कथम् । प्रामं नीता च तत्रेदं गरुडाख्यानकं शृणु ॥ २६९ ॥ काइयपस्य ऋषेः पत्नयौ द्वे कद्र-विनताह्वये । ताभ्यां परस्परं चके पणवन्धोऽन्यदेदृशः ॥ २७० ॥ 2 कार्यं दास्यं तयाऽन्यस्या या पणेन विजीयते । अथवाऽत्र समानीय दातव्यममृतं द्रुतम् ॥ २७१ ॥ ततः कद्रा जिता दास्यं विनता तन्वती भृत्रम् । तया विमान्यते नित्यं सपत्नीति विरोधतः ॥ २७२ ॥ आजन्मदासभावेन विनता दुःखिताऽधिकम् । गर्भभारालसाङ्गी च सुषुवे साऽण्डकत्रिकम् ॥ २७३ ॥ ओत्सुक्याद् दास्यमोक्षायैकस्मिन् भिन्ने तयाऽण्डके । वृश्चिकान्निर्गतान् वीक्ष्य विषादो विद्षेऽधिकम् ॥

यस्या दैवहताया मे प्रसूतिरजनीदृशी । कथंकारमहं पारं तद् यास्ये दास्यवारिषेः ॥ २७५ ॥ <sup>25</sup> कारुं कियन्तमप्येषा व्यतिकाम्यातिदुःखिनी । आशानिबद्धस्वान्ताऽण्डं द्वितीयं विनताऽभिनम्॥२७६॥ तस्मादनूरुर्निर्गच्छन् सखेदं स्माह मातरम् । किमकाण्डे द्वितीयाण्डमौत्सुक्याद् विभिद्दे त्वया॥२७७॥

अपूरविष्यं तेऽवश्यमहं मातर्मनोरथान् । चेदजङ्गमकायो नाभविष्यं त्वदभाग्यतः ॥ २७८ ॥ तस्मात् वृतीयमण्डं त्वं चिरं रक्ष विचक्षणे ! । अस्माद् यो भविता सूनुः स ते दुःखं इरिष्यति ॥२७९॥ सोऽनूरुः सारथिश्चके रथे किरणमालिना । विनताऽपि वृतीयाण्डं पूर्णं मत्वाऽभिदन् खयम् ॥२८०॥ अ तस्मादाविरभूद् भोगिकुलकालो महावलः । गरुडो विनताखान्तमहोदधिसुधाकरः ॥ ९८९ ॥ शैशवेऽपि हि स क्रीडन् निजाहिसुतमृत्युक्तन् । विल्लोक्य कट्रा विनता प्रत्यहं क्विरयतेऽधिकम् ॥ २८२ ॥ विनतामश्चनीरेण सिद्धन्तीमवनीतलम् । विलोक्य गरुडः स्माह मातः ! किं रुद्यते त्वया ? ॥ २८३ ॥ साऽप्यूचे जीवितस्यार्थे सपल्या दास्यमाश्रिता । तदादेशं भयभ्रान्ता करोमि प्रतिवासरम् ॥ २८४ ॥ तच्छ्रत्वा गरुडोऽवादीद् दास्यात् त्वं मुच्यसे कथम् ? । साऽप्यूचे यदि वत्स ! त्वममृतं स्फुटमानय ॥ तत् क्वास्यम्बेति प्रष्टा साऽऽचष्ट वेत्ति पिता तव । स चाश्रमे बदर्याख्ये तप्यते दुस्तपं तपः॥२८६॥ अ तत्क्षणाद् गरुडस्तत्र गत्वा पादोऽनमत् पितुः । ज्ञातोऽध्यक्षेण तेनापि करस्पर्शान्ममैष तुरु ॥ २८७ ॥ ताताहं क्षुधयाकान्तस्तन्मे वितर भोजनम् । इत्युक्तो वैनतेयेन कत्रयपस्तमभाषत ॥ २८८ ॥ इतः समीपगे पद्मसरत्यत्ति महागजः । योजनद्वादशायामत्तन्मानः कच्छपत्तथा ॥ २८९ ॥ कोपाटोपोत्कटावेतो युध्यमानौ परस्परम् । कुर्वाते सरसः क्षोमं मन्थाद्री इव जङ्गमौ ।। २९० ।। तो व्यापाद्य यथा स्वैरं सुङ्क छिन्धि क्षुधाव्यथाम् । गत्वैकेन क्रमेणाथ तौ हत्वा तेन भक्षितौ ॥२९१॥ и ततः प्रतिनिष्टत्तोऽसावपदयद् वटपादपम् । प्रलयाम्बुदसंवादिपक्षिकोलाहलाकुलम् ॥ २९२ ॥ महाबीजसमुत्पन्ना ऋषयोऽध्युष्ट कोटयः । वालिखिल्याभिधास्तस्याऽधस्तादु विदधते तपः ॥ २९३ ॥ गरुडो यावदारूढो भग्नसावद् वटदुमः । तेन चक्रवा गृहीतश्च मुनीनां मृतिरस्तु मा ॥ २९४ ॥ समुत्क्षिप्य व्रजन् व्योमाच्छादयन् विस्मयाकुलान् । सुरासुरान् प्रकुर्वाणः सोऽमुचत् सिन्धुकानने ॥ तद्वटालड्कता भूमिर्जनैर्ल्डद्वेति सोच्यते । या निशावरराजस्य प्रसिद्धा ते महापुरी ॥ २९६ ॥ 18 ततो हिमाचले गत्वा पितरं गरुडोऽवदत् । दन्तिकर्कादनेनापि बुभुक्षा मे न जग्मुषी ॥ २९७ ॥ निषादान् खाद तेनेति प्रोक्तो जग्ध्वा स तांस्ततः । एत्याख्यत् कइयपं तातामृतं क्वास्ति समादिश ॥ सोऽवादीद् वत्स पातालसप्तकाधः श्वकुण्डके । धगधगिति जाज्वस्यमानाग्नौ तद्धि वर्त्तते ॥ २९९ ॥ सावधानैः खयं तब देवाचैस्तत्र रक्ष्यते । अतोऽमृतं न केनापि गृहीतुं शक्यतेऽर्भक ! ॥ ३०० ॥ तत्प्राप्तौ कोऽप्युपायो मेे तातास्तीति तदीरितः । स आख्यदाज्यसक्षौद्रदृध्यम्भोभिरनारतम् ॥ ३०१ ॥ अ छशानौ तर्पिते वत्स ! छभ्यते यदि वा न वा । प्राप्तेऽम्रतेऽपि जायन्ते तत्रोघा विन्नराशयः ॥ युग्मम् ॥ इति कद्रयपवाक्येन वैनतेयेन सत्वरम् । गत्वा मध्वादिभिर्वह्निः संतर्प्य परितोषितः ॥ ३०३ ॥ अग्निना प्रीणितेनास्यामृतकुण्डं प्रदर्शितम् । तेनाप्यादाय पीयूषं मंक्षु तस्माद् विनिर्गतम् ॥ ३०४ ॥ तद्रक्षकसुरैर्घुष्टं यत् पक्षी कुण्डतोऽसतम् । आदाय यातीति श्रुत्वा चुक्षुभुक्षिदशादयः ॥ ३०५ ॥ ततः सर्वाभिसारेण सुराः प्रहरणोद्धराः । कोलाहलरवैराशाः पूरयन्तः समन्ततः ॥ ३०६ ॥ 25 भिन्त छिन्त हन्ताऽऽहन्तात्रापि माऽमुं च मुख्रत । इति जल्पपराः कूराः संभ्रमात् ते तमन्वगुः ॥ त्रयक्किंशत्कोटिदेवा वेष्टयित्वाऽथ तं जगुः । हतोऽसि रे सुधाचौर ! क याखखखदमतः ॥ ३०८ ॥ एकतः सकलं विश्वमन्यतस्त्वेक एव सः । समरं कर्त्तुमारेभे कातरद्रवणौषधम् ॥ ३०९ ॥ स पक्षी पक्षघातेन चतुर्दिक्षु दिवौकसाम् । लक्षं सहस्रं कोटींश्चानयत् कीनाशपत्तनम् ॥ ३१० ॥ गरुडस्य सुराणां च युद्धं पीयूषहेतवे । जह्ने सा विसायध्वंसि विश्वाश्चर्यकरं तथा ॥ ३११ ॥ 38

1 B भित्रच्छित्रहताइन्ता°। 2 B व्यलायनौषधम् ।

ततः समूहो देवानामेकेन विनताभुवा । त्रस्तो रणाङ्गणाद् दिक्षु बलिवन्निर्ममेऽखिलः ॥ ३१२ ॥ अथेन्द्रखिदशान् भग्नान् दृष्ट्वा ज्वालाशताकुलम् । मुमोच कुलिशं घोरं विघाताय गरुत्मनः ॥ ३१३ ॥ तहजं वज्रसाराभ्यां पक्षिभ्यां पक्षिणः पतन्। भाग्यादेव दिवो भर्त्तुर्नागात् खण्डसहस्रताम् ॥ ३१४ ॥ किमप्यशनिना तेन चिच्छेदे भोः सुरा इति । तत्प्रत्ययाय पक्षं स चक्कवोत्पाट्य ह्यदर्शयत् ॥ ३१५ ॥

<sup>3</sup> ततो दन्दद्यमानोऽसौ केशवः कोपवहिना । द्वादशार्कप्रमं चक्रं छात्वा तद्धतयेऽचछत् ॥ ३१६ ॥ भीतभीताः ससंभ्रान्ता हा हा किमिति<sup>1</sup> छापिनः । महर्षयस्ततो गत्वा विष्णुमेवं व्यजिज्ञपन् ॥ ३१७ ॥ ससुरासुरह्णेकस्य स्वामी सर्वगतो भवान् । नीचवन्निरपेक्षः किं गरुडं प्रतिधावसि ? ॥ ३१८ ॥

त्तवैष गरुडो बन्धुस्यज कोपमतः प्रभो ! । व्यामूढम्लेच्छवद् गोत्रं मा नय क्षयमात्मनः ॥ ३१९॥ ऋषीणां तद्वचः श्रुत्वेत्यच्युतोऽचिन्तयद् हृदि । कथं कोधान्मया बन्धुर्हा हा ! व्यापादितो भवेत् ॥३२०॥

10 कोधाग्निविह्वलीभूतः पुरुषो ज्ञानवानपि । क्रुत्याक्रत्यं न जानाति तदेतैः साधु वोधितः ॥ ३२१ ॥ जाते ततस्तयोः सन्धौ रथीचक्रे स चक्रिणा । दत्त्वाऽमृतं च माताऽपि पश्चिणाऽमोचि दास्यतः ॥३२२॥

कुम्भिकर्कवटास्तेनोत्पाटिता यदि पक्षिणा । टतेरुत्पाटनं तत् कः श्रुण्वंस्तव न मन्यते ॥ ३२३ ॥ सप्ताहं दुर्दिने विष्णुरधाद् गोवर्द्धनाचल्रम् । तत् त्वं तैल्रभृतां बन्धो ! टतिं धरसि नो कथम् ॥ ३२४॥ कपिभिर्बन्धने सेतोरुत्क्षिप्योत्क्षिप्य पर्वताः । बहुभ्यो योजनेभ्योऽथानीय क्षिप्ताः पयोनिधौ ॥ ३२५॥

<sup>15</sup> अशोकवनिकाभङ्गे इनुमानुबशाखिनः । द्देल्यैवाभिनत् कोपादित्युदन्तं विभावयन् ॥ ३२६ ॥ पादपोन्मूलनानीततमायास्त्वत्तनूभुवा । हतेः को विस्मयं धत्ते वद धूर्त्तीशिरोमणे ! ॥३२७॥ युग्मम् ॥

॥ इति धूर्तांख्याने चतुर्थं रात्रोक्तं कथानकम् ॥

∞∽∽∽

[ ५. खण्डपानाकथितं कथानकम् । ]

ध्वं निरुत्तरीभूतः शशः खण्डामभाषत । खानुभूतं त्वमप्यर्थं धूर्त्तिके ! ब्रूहि किछ्बन ॥ ३२८ ॥ ॥ साऽप्यवादीद् वदाम्येव भवतां पुरतः परम् । नमतैतर्हि मत्पादौ यदि तद् भोजयामि वः ॥३२९॥ धूर्तास्तां स्माहुरम्याः स्मः पुरुषेषु कथं नु ते । महिलायाः पुरो दीनं ब्रूमो भोजनद्देतवे ॥ ३३० ॥

ईषद् इसित्वा सा स्माहाकर्णयध्वं सकर्णकाः । आख्यानकं मयाऽऽचीर्णं वर्ण्यमानं च सूतृत्म् ॥३३१॥

यौक्नोइयसञ्चातलावण्योत्सेकशालिनी । रतिरूपा सारोन्मादशुण्डा रण्डाऽभवं पुरा ॥ ३३२ ॥

अभ्यदाऽइम्रतुस्नाता प्रसुप्ता मण्डपे ग्रुचौ । पवनेन यथा स्वैरमुपभुक्ता विलासिवत् ॥ ३३३ ॥ अन्यात् सुतो मयाऽसावि तत्कालं सोऽपि मां बलात् । मुत्कलाप्य क्षणात् क्वापि जगाम स्वप्नदृष्टवत् ॥ सद्द बूयं ब्रुत किं सत्यं यदेवं स्युस्तनुद्भवाः । तदा काऽपि न जायेत रण्डा पण्डितमानिनः !॥३३५॥

# [ अथ मूलदेवादिधूर्तऋतं खण्डपानाकथनसमाधानम् । ]

\$

मूल्टरेवोऽथ तां स्माह – श्रुतिषु श्रूयते यतः । जातः कुन्सा भीमसेनोऽज्जनया हनुमानपि ॥ ३३६ ॥ कैवर्त्तीकुश्चिजो व्यासः पराशरमुनेरभूत् । कार्येषु मां स्मरेर्मातरित्युक्त्वा स वने ययौ ॥ ३३७ ॥ जाता योजनगन्धाऽप्यक्षतयोनिर्मुनेर्गिरा । विचित्रवीर्यं साऽसूत भूत्वा सान्तनुवछभा ॥ ३३८ ॥ विचित्रवीर्ये व्यापन्ने छष्णद्वीपायनो मुनिः । तत्राजगाम मात्राऽसौ स्पृतो योजनगन्धया ॥ ३३८ ॥ विचित्रवीर्ये व्यापन्ने छष्णद्वीपायनो मुनिः । तत्राजगाम मात्राऽसौ स्पृतो योजनगन्धया ॥ ३३९ ॥ व्यासोऽभाणि तया वंशः पुत्राभावाद् विनंक्ष्यति । तथा यतस्व वत्स !त्वं कुलं संवर्ग्वते यथा॥३४०॥ उद्योसोऽभाणि तया वंशः पुत्राभावाद् विनंक्ष्यति । तथा यतस्व वत्स !त्वं कुलं संवर्ग्वते यथा॥३४०॥ उद्ये तेन वंशोऽयं पाण्डुं जनयता न्टपम् । धृतराष्ट्रं च राष्ट्रेशं विदुरं विदुरोत्तमम् ॥ ३४९ ॥ रन्त्वा प्रजावतीसिस्नो व्यासः संजातजातकः । शशाप हा तपोभ्रष्टः छताघाभिः छतोऽस्म्यहम् ॥ ३४९॥

#### <sup>उक्त</sup>ख्र – , आहारे चैव योनौ च बीजे कर्मणि यः छुचिः । तस्य क्रत्स्नगतस्यापि न पापे रमते मतिः ।। ३४३ ।।

भीमाञ्चनेयव्यासानामुत्पत्तिर्यदि सुनृता । तदा वायोः सुतावाप्तिः प्रसवस्ते न किं मतः ॥ ३४४ ॥ पुनः खण्डाऽवदद् गौरी देवी मे समभूत् सखी । तया मन्त्रो ददे मह्यं देवाद्याक्ठष्टिकारकः ॥ ३४५॥ तेन सौभाग्यमन्नेणाक्रष्टसीत्रकरो रविः । मां रन्त्वा सबलं पुत्रं जनयां चक्तवान् जवान् ॥ ३४६ ॥ षडशीतिसद्दस्नाणि योजनानां महीं रविः । दद्दसद्दं क्रथं तेन न प्लुष्टाऽङ्गेन सङ्गता ।। ३४७ ।। 15 कण्डरीको जगादाथ कुन्ती चेदकेसङ्गता । न सुष्टा तत् कथं रण्डे खण्डे त्वं द्यसेऽमुना ॥ ३४८ ॥ पुनः साऽभाषताक्रष्टो ज्वलनः प्रज्वलन् मया । मामालिझ्य महावीर्यं सोऽप्युत्पादितवान् सुतम् ॥३४९॥ रविर्दद्दति दूरस्थोऽङ्गलग्रस्तु हुताशनः । तत् तेनाहं कथं नैव दग्धा संभोगसङ्गता ॥ ३५० ॥ पलाषाढो बभाणैतां धूमोर्णा यमगेहिनी । होतुं हुताशनावासं गता रेमेऽप्रिना तु सा ॥ ३५१ ॥ यमं खप्टष्ठमायान्तं वीक्ष्यापूर्णे रतेऽपि सा । अपसर्तुमनीशाऽप्निं पपौ ! नीरमिवातुरा ॥ ३५२ ॥ 2Ô असमाप्तरतां तां तु शिथिलीक्ठतमेखलाम् । गिलित्वा प्रेतराजोऽपि ययौ त्रिदग्नसंसदि ॥ ३५३ ॥ खागतं खरतीयस्य तवेति व्याक्वतः सुरैः । यमो ववाम धूमोर्णां तन्मुखं चाछा गुक्षणिम् ॥ ३५४ ॥ यमेनानुगतो धावस्त्रमिर्नष्टो बनान्तरे । गजैस्तदुप्रे न प्रोक्तः स तद्वाचुमतोऽच्छिदत् ॥ ३५५ ॥ यदि प्रेतपतेर्जाया न दग्धा वह्निसेविनी । हे खण्डे ! त्वं हुतारोन भोगिनी दद्यसे कथम् ? ॥ ३५६॥ पुनर्वभाषे खण्डा तन्मयैरावणवाहनः । आऋष्टः सोऽपि संगत्य मयाऽजीजनदङ्गजम् ॥ ३५७ ॥ देवीरिन्द्रः कथं सक्त्वाऽविश्रा मामुपभुक्तवान् । शशोऽथोचे न किं रेमे सोऽहल्यां गौतमप्रियाम् ॥३५८॥ गौतमोऽथ रुषाऽङ्गेऽस्य कृत्वा भगसहस्रकम् । समादिदेश दुष्टात्मा बदुंस्तदुपभुक्तये ॥ ३५९ ॥ कन्दर्भाम्रिप्रतप्तानां बद्रनामविवेकिनाम् । सकाशात् त्रिदशाधीशस्तामवाप विडम्बनाम् ॥ ३६० ॥ प्रसादिसात् तथा देवेगोंतमादतिभक्तिना । अक्ष्णां सहस्रं तत्स्थाने कार्यते सा कथछान ॥ ३६१ ॥

1 A प्रसादितादयो । 2 B ॰भक्तितः ।

1Ê.

कुन्ट्याऽपीन्द्रस्य संभोगादर्जुनः सुषुवेऽङ्गभूः । तद्भोगात् ते सुतोत्पत्तिं को न मन्येत शास्त्रवित् ।।३६२।।

**.** 

पितरं मातरं वंशं नाम वित्तं च वित्थ में ? । इति ते खण्डया प्रष्टा मूळदेवस्ततो जगौ ॥ ३६३ ॥ अभूस्त्वं पाडलिपुरे नागशर्मद्विजात्मजा । सोमश्रीकुश्चिभूः खण्डाभिधा गौतमगोत्रजा ॥ ३६४ ॥ सोचे नाहं भवन्तस्तु आन्ताः सदृशरूपतः । किन्त्वस्मि राजरजकपुत्रिका दग्धिकाभिधा ॥ ३६५ ॥ <sup>s</sup> बहुधान्यसमाकीर्णं नानावाहनभासुरम् । धनैरमानैः संपूर्णं मद्वेइम नृपवेइमवत् ॥ ३६६ ॥ भूपते राजलोकस्य शुद्धान्तस्य च शिल्पिनाम् । सहस्रेण युताऽजस्तं वासांसि क्षालयाम्यहम् ॥ ३६७ ॥ अंशुकैः शकटीर्श्वत्वा शिल्पिसाहस्रिका युता । तरङ्गिणीं तरङ्गालिमालितामन्यदाऽगमम् ॥ ३६८ ॥ छटच्छटिति हुं छुं च रोण्टिकाशब्दपूर्वकम् । शिल्पिनः क्षालयामामुर्वासांसि मम सेवकाः॥ ३६९॥ विस्तारितानि वस्नाण्यातपे शोषयितुं भुवि । रौद्रेण वायुना तानि हृत्वा नीतानि च क्वचित् ।।३७०॥ " ततो भृत्या मया प्रोक्ता नंष्ट्वा गच्छत रे! रयात् । वस्तापहारदोषोऽस्तु ममैवातो गता हि ते॥३७१॥ गोधारूपमहं छत्वा नराधिपतिमीतितः । सच्छायं नगरोद्यानं रजन्यां समुपेयुषी ॥ ३७२ ॥ कीडन्तं तत्र च स्वैरं पश्चिमप्रहरे निज्ञः । अचिन्तयं जनो मांसचर्मार्थी मां हनिष्यति ॥ ३७३ ॥ तन्निर्भया क तिष्ठामीत्येवं चिन्ताख्रिता सती । अभ्राम्यं रम्यमारामं को हि मृत्योर्विभेति न ॥३७४॥ गोधारूपं ततस्त्यकरवा रक्ताशोकमहीरुद्दः । पार्श्वे चूतल्लतारूपं विधाय स्थितवत्यद्दम् ॥ ३७५ ॥ ॥ तमःपटावृता रात्रिरसतीव विछासिनी । व्यतीता यत् ततो भानुरुदगात् पद्मबोधकृत् ॥ ३७६ ॥ वस्तापहारवृत्ताम्सं श्रुरवा राजा जनादथ । पटहोद्घोषणापूर्वं रजकानां ददेऽमयम् ॥ ३७७ ॥ ततोऽइं पटहारावं श्रुत्वा श्रुतिपटामृतम् । हित्वा चामुं छताभावं पुनः स्वं रूपमाश्रिता ॥ ३७८ ॥ शकटीनां वरत्राद्यं तासां चर्ममयं निशि । श्रगालमण्डलवातैः सकलं परिभक्षितम् ॥ ३७९ ॥ तदन्विष्टिकृता पित्रा मम तत्रैकमौन्दुरम् । पुच्छं छब्धं ततः सर्वं वरत्राद्यं विनिर्मितम् ॥ ३८० ॥ अ तद्रोः ! सत्यं किमेतन्न तयेत्युक्तः शशोऽवदत् । यत्र लिङ्गान्तगौ ब्रह्म-विष्णू तत्कोऽत्र विस्मयः ॥३८ १॥ रामायणेऽपि हि हनुमझाङ्गूलं श्रूयते महत् । येन लङ्कापुरी दग्धा वेष्टयित्वाऽग्निनाऽखिला ॥ ३८२ ॥ इयत्प्रमाणं लाङ्गलं यद्यासीद् वायुजन्मनः । तदा मूषकपुच्छात् किं संभवेयुर्ने रज्जवः ॥ ३८३ ॥ श्रूयते हि श्रुतौ राजाऽरण्ये गन्धारिकावरः । यन्मानववपुस्त्यागादभूत् कुरुवकद्वुमः ॥ ३८४ ॥ नहुषो नाम राजाऽभूद् विक्रमाक्रान्तरात्रवः । शकोऽपि वज्रभिद् येन जिग्ये कातरवद् रणे ॥३८५॥ 28 अधिश्चिपन् पुनः झत्रुं रोषतः सुरसूरिणा । शप्तः सोऽभूदजगरोऽरण्यदेशे महावपुः ॥ ३८६ ॥ अन्येशुः पाण्डवा राज्यभ्रष्टासत्र वने ययुः । ततो भीमो भ्रमंस्तेनाजगरेणाशु जमसे ॥ ३८७ ॥ युधिष्ठिरोऽथ विज्ञातोदन्तसस्यविधं मतः । तत्प्रष्टः सप्तप्रच्छानां प्रत्युत्तरमदाच सः ॥ ३८८ ॥ उदगीर्णमीमः शापान्ताद् विमुच्याजगरीं तनुम् । पुनर्नहुषराजा स बभूव भुवनाद्भुतः ॥ ३८९ ॥ यधेतत् सकछं सत्यं तदा खण्डे भवत्यपि । गोधाचूतछताभावाझारीभूता किमद्भुतम् ॥ ३९० ॥

1 B अश्रास्यद्दमारामं।

पुनः साऽऽख्यद् धूर्त्तराजा! मन्यध्वं चेद् वचो मम। सत्करोमि तदा सर्वान् प्रचुरैभोंज्यपानकैः ॥३९१॥ जयामि चेत् कदाचिद् वः कयाचिन्मेधया तदा । स्फुटं कपर्दिकामात्रं मूल्यं न प्राप्स्यथ क्वचित् ॥ तेप्यूचुरेनां सोत्कर्षाः कोऽस्मान् धूर्त्तशिरोमणीन् । जेतुमीष्टे परमेष्ठि-शंभु-विष्णुसमोऽपि सन् ॥३९१॥ तत्तत्तान् स्माह सा खण्डा मुत्त्कल्लाप्य महीपतिम् । पुरागतांशुकार्थेऽद्दं पर्यभ्राम्यं दिशो दश ॥ ३९४॥ जन्यच्च दासाश्चत्वारो नंद्वा क्वापि गता मम । गवेषयन्ती तांश्चात्र सांप्रतं समुपेयुषी ॥ ३९५ ॥ अन्यच्च दासाश्चत्वारो नंद्वा क्वापि गता मम । गवेषयन्ती तांश्चात्र सांप्रतं समुपेयुषी ॥ ३९५ ॥ भवन्तत्ते च मे दासाः सिचयाश्चौरिता न हि<sup>°</sup> । यदोतन्नेव मन्यध्वं तइत्तैभ्योऽद्य भोजनम् ॥३९६॥ हीणहीणास्तत्सोऽपि खण्डां स्माहुरखण्डिताः । त्वयैव खण्डिता विश्वजनतावश्चका वयम् ॥ ३९७ ॥ भारस्यैतस्य योग्याऽसि त्वमेव वरवर्णिनि ! । यया प्रज्ञामदोन्मत्ता विजिताः पुरुषा आपि ॥ ३९८ ॥ औत्पत्तिक्या महाबुद्ध्या विजिता यम् त्वया वयम् । अतस्त्वं प्रार्थ्यसे देहि धूत्तांनां पानभोजने ॥३९८॥ ओसित्युदीर्य सा भूतपिशाचप्रेतसङ्कुल्म् । घृकघूत्कारदुर्वारं फेरुफेत्कारदारुणम् ॥ ४०० ॥ कल्लेवरविनिर्गच्छद्दशारुधिरपिच्छिल्यम् । ज्वल्धितानल्जचालं य्घपक्षिरणोत्करम् ॥ ४०२ ॥ ग्रालान्निनामज्ववित्रासितजनव्रजम् । दुर्गन्धगन्धवाहोप्रं खण्डा पित्ववनं ययौ ॥ ४०२ ॥

तत्राखण्डितसर्वाङ्गं बालमेकं गतासुकम् । तत्कालसुक्तमादाय खण्डाऽसिम्नपदम्भसा ॥ ४०३ ॥ सा वाससा तमाच्छाद्य विधाय च सुसङ्गतम् । उज्जयिन्यां धनाढ्यस्य श्रेष्ठिनः सदनं ययौ ॥४०४॥ म तत्रेन्द्रसटशः श्रेष्ठी टष्ट्रा श्रेष्ठजनैर्वृतः । तयोचे दुर्गतस्याहं दुहिताऽस्मि द्विजन्मनः ॥ ४०५ ॥ अबन्धुरशरण्याऽद्यसूता वैदेशिकी द्विजी । अतस्त्वां प्रार्थये देहि धनं बालकवृद्धये ॥ ४०६ ॥ स श्रेष्ठी व्याकुलः कार्ये भूयो भूयोऽर्थितस्तया । कुधा भृत्यानुवाचैनां निष्काशयत दुर्गताम् ॥४०७॥ अतिदीनं ज्रुवाणाऽपि भृत्वा तैः प्रेरिताऽथ सा। भूमौ निपत्य चाक्रन्दन् मारितो हा ! मदङ्गभूः ॥४०८॥ अनाथाया ममाधारो भावीखेव मनोरथः । इ हा दुरात्मनानेन भग्नो दुरिव दन्तिना ॥ ४०९ ॥ 24 पर्यतागत्व भो छोकाः ! वणिजा धनगर्विणा । मारितस्तनयः शुद्धबाह्मण्या मम पाप्मला ॥ ४१० ॥ ताडयन्ती शिरो वक्ष उद्रं च पुनः पुनः । भग्नं भिक्षाकपालं मेऽनेनेति विललाप सा ॥ ४११ ॥ मुद्रिकां मे गृहाणैनामात्तवाला व्रज द्वतम् । मुख्र शोकं च दत्ता ते गुर्व्येषा जीविका मया ॥ ४१३ ॥ कर्णिकां साऽप्युपादाय शिशुं च निरगाद् गृहात् । श्रेष्ठ्यपि प्रगतापायो दानमाहात्म्यतोऽजनि ॥४१४॥ 28 खण्डाऽपि सुदिता खान्ते तं खक्त्वा मृतमर्भकम् । प्रोह्रसन्मणिमाणिक्यरब्रहट्टमगात् ततः ॥ ४१५॥ विक्रीय तामथादाय वस्तूनि विविधानि सा । यथेच्छं भोजयामास धूर्त्तान् श्राद्धे द्विजानिव ॥४१६॥ तैः सर्वैस्तोषसंपूर्णमनस्कैः सेत्यवर्ण्यत । खण्डे ! तवैव जानीमो जीवितं सुष्टु निश्चितम् ॥ ४१७॥ यत् त्वया धूत्तवर्गोऽयं विजित्य प्रतिभोदयात् । क्षुधात्तेः प्रीणितो बाढं विपुलैः पानभोजनैः ॥ ४२८॥ सुशिक्षिता अपि बुधास्तन्न जानन्ति जल्पितुम् । अशिक्षिता अपि वचः प्रवदन्ति यद्भनाः ॥४१९॥ »

<sup>1</sup> A ततोऽस्मान् । 2 B सन्ति यैश्वोरितानि हि ।

उक्तक्ख –

अधीत्य शास्ताणि विमृत्रय चार्थान् न तानि वक्तुं पुरुषाः समर्थाः । यानि स्तियः प्रत्यभिधानकाले वदन्ति लीलारचिताक्षराणि ॥ ४२० ॥ ॥ इति धूर्तीख्याने खण्डपानोक्तं पश्चमं कथानकम् ॥

\$

अनद्रेन्द्रवायुभाखन्तो धर्मामिमुनयोऽपि च । दुःखिता<sup>1</sup> निखिला लोके स्मरापस्माररोगिणः ॥ ४२१ ॥ जीवांस्तस्थावभिव्याप्य सूक्ष्मस्थूलविभेदतः । भगवान् सर्वगो विष्णुरिति श्रुतिषु गीयते ॥ ४२२ ॥ यदि सर्वगतो विष्णुरिति सत्यं वचो भवेत् । तदा स्मरातुरो गोपीः किं चिन्तयति कामिवत् ॥४२३॥ असंभाव्यमिदं लोके श्रूयते यदुमामलात् । मृतोऽपि प्राप्तचैतन्यः पप्रथे स गणाधिपः ॥ ४२४ ॥ इत्येते लोकिकालापाः पुराणादिसमुद्भवाः । विशीर्थन्ते प्रतिपदं विचक्षणविचारिताः ॥ ४२५ ॥ ॥ अतोऽदो लौकिकं वाक्यं रासभोचारवद् बहिः । रम्यमन्तश्चिन्त्यमानं पुनखुषवसाकुलम् ॥ ४२६ ॥

\$

sec-

# ॥ इति धूर्ताख्यानसंस्कृतभाषान्तरं समाप्तम् ॥

# बालावबोधरूपग्र्जरभाषामय धृती ख्या न क था

॥ श्रीगणेशाय नमः ॥

सदुपनिषदनेकग्रन्थसन्दर्भभाभिः, परसमयतमांसि ध्वंसयित्वाऽपुनाद् यः । गगनमिव दिनेदाः ज्ञासनं जैनमेतत्, स जयतु हरिभद्रसुरिष्ठदामधामा ॥ १ ॥

इह हि चतुर्दशशतसंख्यप्रकरणप्रणेतृभिः सितपटपटलमुकुटमणिभिनिंःप्रतिभव्रतिभावाग-ल्भ्यपराजितामरस्ररिभिः श्रीहरिभद्रस्ररिभिः मिथ्याद्दष्टिभिः प्रणीतानां समयानाम्, अन्तर्वाणि-चेतश्रमत्कारकारणि(रिणी)भिः स्वोपज्ञ सयुक्त(क्ति)श्रेणीभिः क्रुसु(स)मयतां सम्यग् व्यव- " स्थाप्य, तैरेव प्रतिपादितानां भारत-रामायण-पुराणादीनां कथाग्रन्थानामपि धूर्त्ताख्यानसमानतां दर्शनाय निर्मितस्य धूर्त्ताख्यानाभिधानस्य कथाग्रन्थविशेषस्य लोकभाषायां कथा लिख्यते ॥

श्रीमालवदेशे<sup>1</sup> उजेणी नगरी, तेहनै<sup>2</sup> उत्तरदिसिं एक उद्यान छइ<sup>4</sup> । ते उद्यान मांहि<sup>4</sup> मायावंत, अकार्य<sup>6</sup> करवा नित्यौद्यमवंत, निर्दय, स्त्री वाल घृद्ध विश्वासीना घातक, वंचनापंडित, धूप अंजन चूर्ण योगें अवस्वापनी, स्वंभनी प्रमुखविद्याइं, स्वरभेद, वेषभेद, वर्णभेदें करी जगना छेतरणहार, <sup>15</sup> अनेक धूर्त्त किहांथी भमता आव्या । ते मांहिं मूलदेव १, कंडरीक २, एलासाढ ३, सस ४, खंडवणा ५ – ए नामीं पांच अधिकारी । एकेकाने पांच सय<sup>7</sup> पांच सय धूर्त्तनो परिवार छइ<sup>8</sup> । पांचमी संडवणा स्त्री अधिकारिणी । तेहनै<sup>8</sup> पांचसय धूर्त्ता<sup>10</sup> स्त्रीनो परिवार छइ । इम सर्व संख्याइं अढी हजार धूर्त्त एकठा थया । ते मांहिं<sup>11</sup> मूलदेव सर्वलोकविख्यात, सर्वधूर्त्तशिरोमणि छइ । एहवे<sup>12</sup> महावर्षा आव्यो । तेणे कूप तटाक वापी सर्व जल स्थानक भर्यां । चिक्कण<sup>13</sup> कर्द्यइ <sup>10</sup> करी दुःसंचार चतुःपथ मार्ग थया । ष्टटिआकुल थयो लोक कोई फरी न सकै । एहवे<sup>14</sup> वर्षाकाले ते धूर्त्त भूप तरसी<sup>16</sup> पीड्या हुंता कहिवा लागा जे – 'लोक कोई चोहटे<sup>16</sup> नथी आवतो, जेहने छेतरीन द्रॉटेंय आणीनै भौजन करीई । आपणने भोजन छंण<sup>17</sup> दिइं? । तिवारे मूलदेवइ कह्युं जे – 'लेणे जे सांभल्यूं होइ, अनुभव्यूं होइ ते ते कही । जे धूर्त्त सांभल्लीनइ ए असत्य किम मिले<sup>18</sup>, एहवुं कहद ते आ सर्व धूर्त्तनें मोजन दिइं । अने जे भारत रामायण पुराणादिकने वचने से वात समर्थइ<sup>19</sup>, आ<sup>12</sup>

1 P देशि। 2 P तेइनें। 3 P अदेशें। 4 P छैं। 5 B उद्यानने घोरे। 6 P अकार्यना करनार। 7 P पांचसें २ 8 P छैं। 9 P तेइनें। 10 P की धूर्तनो। 11 P माहि। 12 P एहतें। 13 P चैकिंगे कर्दमें। 14 P एहवें वर्षोकारुं। 15 P तरसें। 16 P चउटें। 17 A कोण। 19 P मछे। 19 P समयि। धू॰ ७ सर्व महाजननें प्रखय ऊपजावइ, ते सर्व धूर्त्त मांहिं मुख्य महामतिवंत जाणवो । तेणे भोजन न देवुं' – एहवुं मूलदेवे कह्यूं<sup>1</sup> । तिवारे° ते कहिवा लागा जे – 'ए तुम्हे भलुं कह्युं, तुम्हे वडा माटै<sup>®</sup> जे अनुभव्युं होइ ते प्रथम कहो' । [१,१–१६]

तिवारे मूलदेवइ कहिवा मांडगुं4 - 'यौवन' समइ हुं वांछित संपदा वांछतो, मास छ लगि माथै · जलधारा घरं, तो माहरो खामी प्रसन थई, सकल संपदा दीइ-इम चींतवी शंबल लेई छत्र कमंडल हाथि राखी खामीनें सेववा चालिउ<sup>6</sup>। मार्गि जातां अटवी मांहिं एक मदोन्मत्त, पर्वत-समान, वनगज साहमो आवतो दीठो । ते देखी भयकंपमानझरीर निराधार असरण, हिवै' किहां पइसं - इम चिंतवतो हुं मरण भय करी सहसा कमंडल माहिं 'पइठूं। ते वनगज पिण एक शुंडारंड ऊंचो करी रोषारुण लोचन हुंतो मुझ पुठि ज उतावलो कमंडल मांहिं पइठूं। पछइ<sup>10</sup> हं भयश्रांत " हुंतो सघछे पासि" नासवानो ठांम जोतो, ते कमंडल माहिं ते वनगजनइ छ मास लगि भोलवी, कमंडलनी मीवाइ थई बाहिर नीकल्यो । वनगज पिण माहरी पुठि12 नीकल्यौ; पिण18 कमंडलनी **शीवानें छिद्रइं एक वालाग्रनो अंत वलगी रहाँ**<sup>14</sup> । तेणि करी तिहां अडक्यउ<sup>15</sup> माहरी पुठि नावी .सक्यउ16 । पछै हुं आगलि17 चाल्यो । मार्गि अगाध जलभरी गंगा नदी आवी, ते देखी आकुल थयों । पछिं अन्य मार्गनइ अभावइ<sup>18</sup> ते गंगा नदी भुजाईं तरी स्वामीनै घरे<sup>19</sup> जई क्षुधा ऌपा » सहतो, छ मास लगि माथै जलधारा धरी, महसेन खामीनें वांदीने उजेणी नगरीइं आवी<sup>20</sup> तुम्हनें मिल्यों। जो ए सत्य होइ तो मुझनें दृष्टांति करी प्रतीति उपजावो। जो ए असत्य जाणी न मानो तो सर्व धूर्त्तनें भोजन दिओ। एहवुं मूलदेवनुं वचन सांभली कंडरीकै कहिउं जे - 'भारत-रामायणा-दिक" जाणतो होइ, ते तुम्हारं वचन असत्य किम कहइ'। तिवारे मूलदेव कहिवा लागो जे - 'जो ए सत्य छइ तो कहा, हाथी कमंडल मांहिं किम मायो । ते कमंडल मांहिं छ मास लगि हाथी किम 20 भोलन्यो, ते कचंडलथी हूं अने ते हाथी वीवानें सुक्ष्म छिट्रें किम नीकस्यां22, नीकलतां22 हाथीनो बालायनो अंत किम विलगो, में गंगा नदी मुजाइं किम तरी, किम छ मास लगि क्षुधा तृषाई पीडिते में माथे जलधारा धरी;-ए सर्व वात शास्त्रसाखि मेली आपउ'। [ १,१७-३४ ]

### ॥ इति धूर्त्तांख्याने मूलदेवेनोक्तं कथानकम् ॥

 $\infty \sim \infty$ 

हिवइ कंडरीक कहइ छइ -- 'भारत रामायणादिक प्रंथे जे सांभलीइ छइ, ते जो सत्य छइ, तो 28 ताहरुं ए वचन सत्य छइ; अनै जे हाथी कमंडल मांहिं किम मायो इत्यादिक तें जे पूछ्यां तेहनुं समाधान सांभलि । ब्रह्माना मुखथी विप्र<sup>34</sup> नीकल्या, बांहथी क्षत्री, साथलथी वैदय, पगयी इद्भ नीकल्या । जो ब्रह्माना शरीर मांहिं एतलो लोक मायो तो तुं अनै वनगज वेहुं कमंडल मांहिं किम न माउं । १ । [ १,३५-३८ ]

तथा जे ईश्वरना लिंगनुं मान काढवा दिव्य वर्ष सहस्र लगि ब्रह्म। ऊंचो चाल्यो, विष्णु नीचो

<sup>1</sup> A कह्युं। 2 P तिवारें। 3 P माटिं। 4 P मांडिउं। 5 P जोवन समये। 6 P बास्वी। 7 हवें। 8 P पेस्युं। 9 P मांहें पैहठों। 10 P पछें। 11 P पासें। 12 P पूठलि। 13 P परि। 14 P रहिउं। 15 P अडकड। 16 P सकड। 17 P आगल। 18 P अभावें। 19 P परें। 20 P आविडं। 21 P रामायण माहिं। 22 P नीसरया। 23 P नीसरतां। 24 P बाइएण।

चाल्यो, तोहि<sup>1</sup> जे लिंगनुं मान नाव्युं । ते जो लिंग पार्वतीनइ शरीरे मायुं तो कमंडल मांहिं हाथी मायो इहां कोण दोष । २ । [१,३८-४० ]

तथा भारत मांहिं व्यास ऋषि कहिउं छइ जे – 'वंशनइ पविं कीचक सौ<sup>8</sup> भाई ऊपना। 'कीचक' शब्दइं वंश कहीइं तिहां ऊपना माटिं कीचक नाम थयुं। तेहनी कथा सांभलि<sup>4</sup>-वराट राजानी अप्रमहिषीइं पुत्रनइ अर्थे आश्रमें जईनै एक ऋषि आराधिओ<sup>6</sup>। तेणइ ऋषि छपा करी अभिमंत्रित ' एक चरू आपीनइ कहिउं जे – 'वननिकुंज मांहिं जई हर्षइ चरू खाजे, ताहरइ सौ पुत्र थास्यइ'। पछइ वराट राजानी अप्रमहिषी वंशजाल मांहिं जई वेसी ते चरू जमीनइ पोतानै घरि गईं। एहवे ते वंशजाल मांहिं बहुकालनो तप करतो गांगील नामा<sup>6</sup> एक ऋषि छइ, तोर्णि तटाकें वस्तरहित क्रान करती एक देवांगणा दीठी। तेहनें दर्शनें मुनि क्षोभ पाम्यौ। तेणै करी एक वीर्यविंदु खरिनै हेठइ' वंशनी नालि मांहिं पड्यो। तेथी नवगज सहस्त प्रमाण बल्दवंत कीचक नामै पुत्र थयौ। जिम " जिम ऋषि ते देवांगणानें जोवइ<sup>®</sup> तिम तिम वीर्यविंदु वंशनाली मांहिं खरि खरिनै जे पड्या, तेथी निवाणू<sup>4</sup> पुत्र ऊपना। पछइ ते ऋषि ते शतवीर्यविंदु सहित वंशनालि अलगी मूक्तीनइ गयो। पछइ ते वंशनाली वराट राजाइ रखावी। तेह मांहिंथी सवाँगोपांग सहित सौ बेटा नीसखा। ते वराट राजानी अत्रमहिषीइ पोतइ पुत्र करी लीधा। ते माटि तेहना बेटा वंशनाली समुत्यन्न कहि-वाय<sup>10</sup> छइ। जो एक वंशनाली मांहिं सौ कीचक भाई माया तो कमंडल मांहिं वनगजसहित तूं " स्या माटइ न माईं। ३। [ १,४१–५१]

तथा ईश्वर वर्षसहस्र लगि जे जटा मांहिं गंगा भोलवी, ते जो सत्य छइ, तो तें छ मास लगइ कमंडल मांहिं वनगज भोलव्यौ ए असल किम होइ। ४। [१,५२]

तथा तें कहिउं जे -- 'कमंडलनी प्रीवाइं हुं नीसखों किम, अनइ वालामनइ अंति द्दायी वलगी किम रह्यों ?, ते उपरि पुराणनुं एक वचन सांभलि -- जगत्कर्त्ता विष्णु आकाश, भूमि, मारुत, वहि, त्रस, 24 थावर, मनुष्य, देव, तिर्यंच रहित महाप्रलय कालिं जलशच्याइं बइसी तप करइ छइ । तेहनें करनें कमलि रह्यों नह्या नाभि थकी कमंडलदंड हाथी धरतो वाहिर नीसखों । कमल विष्णुनी नाभि बलगुं । जो बह्या विष्णुनी नाभि नीकल्यों अनै कमल वलगी रह्यों -- ए सल छै, तो तुं कमंडल प्रीवाइं बाहिर नीसखों अनै हाथी वालाप्रनइ अंति वलगी रह्यों, इहां स्युं अयुक्त छइ । ५ । [ १,५३-५७ ]

तथा गुणाकर मूलदेव तई पूछिउं जे -- 'हुं कमंडल मीवाइ किम नीकल्यौ ?, ते ऊपरि भारतनुं षचन सांभलि -- कोइक समयइ ब्रह्मानइ तप तपतां दिव्य वर्षसहस्र थयुं, ते जांणी क्षोभ पाम्या हुंता सर्व देवताइ चिंतव्युं<sup>11</sup> जे एहनें<sup>18</sup> विन्न कीजई। तिवारई इंद्रइ कह्युं जे -- 'पूर्वइ महेसीइं अग्निकर्म करतां उर्द्धस्थितवस्ता पार्वती दीठी। तेथी क्षोभना थई, ते वेलाइ वीर्य खर्युं, तेणि खरडायुं वस्त खंखेरता, वीर्यना बिंदुआ पासि कलस हतो ते मांहिं पड्या। तेथी द्रोणाचार्य ऊपनो। इम जो भ ईयर सीथी क्षोभ्यो तो बीजो कुंण क्षोभ न पामइ ?। एक वीतराग देवाधिदेव त्रैलेक्यपूजित श्रीमहावीर विना सकल लोक स्तीइं वस कखौ। गौतम, वशिष्ठ, पाराग्रर, जमदग्नि, काइयप,

1 P तोहे। 2 P कह्युं छें। 3 P सड । 4 P सांभल । 5 P आराष्यो । 6 P नामें । 7 P हेटुं। 8 P जूहं। 9 P नवाणू । 10 P कहिवाइं। 11 P निकल्यो । 12 A. चीतचुं। 13 P बेहति ।

अगस्ति प्रमुख महाऋषि, अमराधिप, हरिहरादिक सर्वे महापुरुषनें स्त्रीइं दास कर्या । ते माटइं त्रिलोत्तमा स्वर्ग वेस्यानइ कह्यों जे – 'ब्रह्मानें तपथी 'चुकाविइ' – एहवूं इंद्रनुं वचन सांभस्री त्रिलोत्तमा वेश्या अद्भुत वेप श्रंगार करी, ब्रह्मानी आगलि, पीनोन्नतथन कुचकलज्ञयुगल उद्रदेश नाभी-भाग मुजमूल जघनस्थल नितंबविंव दिखाडती नाचवा लागी। ते नाचती देखी एकेन्द्रियनी परें <sup>5</sup> सकलेंद्रिय व्यापार रहित हुंतो निर्निमेप स्निग्ध लोचनें ब्रह्मा जोवा लागो । तिवारिं तेइनुं सविकार मन जांणी, त्रिलोत्तमा दक्षिणपासे रही । तिवारि ब्रह्माइ ते दिशि वीजुं मुख कखुं । इम पश्चिम दिशि त्रीजुं मुख कखुं । इम उत्तर दिशि चउधुं मुख कखं । तेवारि त्रिलोत्तमा ऊंची उत्पती, ब्रह्माइ पणि उपरि पांचमुं मुख कखं। ते वेळाइ ब्रह्मानें मदनपरवश थयो देखी ईश्वरइ' नखइ करीनें ते पांचमुं मुख उखेडयुं । तेथी कोपांध थयो हुंतो ब्रह्मा, दक्षिण हस्तनी तर्जनी आंगुलीइ करी ण पोतानें भालिं कोधथी ऊपनो प्रखेद हतो ते मूकतो हुओ। तेथी बलवंत श्वेतकुंडली नामि<sup>4</sup> एक पुरुष नीसखौ। ते त्रझानें वचनें ईश्वरनें पुठिं मारवा धायो। तेथी ईश्वर भयभ्रांत हुंतो नासतो बदर्यासन पाम्यो । तिहां नियम करता विष्णुनइ जईनइ कहिउं जे मुझनइ भिक्षा आलि । तेवारि विष्णुइं पोतानें भालस्थलिं रुधिर सिरा उदाडी । ईश्वरिं ब्रह्मातुं मस्तक कपाल हेठि धर्यं । ते मस्तक कपाल, ते रुधिरधाराई दिव्य वर्ष सहस्रइ पणि न भराइ। पछइ ते रुधिर ईश्वरें' एक अंगुलीइं 15 डोहिउं, तेवारि ब्रह्मानुं मस्तककपाल १, विष्णुरुधिर २, ईश्वरनी अंगुलि ३, - ए त्रिणिनइ संयो-गइ रक्तकुंडली नामि एक पुरुष नीकल्यो । ते ईश्वरनी आज्ञाइ स्वेतकुंडली साथिं वढवा गयो । पछै ते बेहुंनइं विढातां एक दिव्य वर्ष सहस्र थयुं । पछै देवताइं युद्ध करता निवारी, एक इंद्रनइ, एक सूर्यनइ आपीनै कहिउं जे - 'भारतकालि भारतनु मुद्ध वधारवा मनुष्य लोकि ए विहुंनइ मोक-लज्यों'। तिवार पछि भारतावतार काल आने हुंते, सूर्य क्वंतीने रूप लावण्यइं मोह पांमी भोग-" वीनइ ते रक्तकुंडली पुरुष गर्मि अवतसौ । पछे पूरे मासि सन्नाह सहित कुंतीनइ कानि ते पुरुष प्रसिविओ<sup>20</sup>। तेहनुं नाम कर्ण दीधुं। जो ते पुरुष कानिं नीसख्यौ तो तुं कुमुंडद्रग्रीवाह किस न नीसरइ । ६ । [ १,५८-८४ ]

सथा तें पूछिउं<sup>11</sup> जे – 'अगाध जलभरी गंगा नदी मइ भुजाइ किम तरी ?' ते ज्यरि प्रतीसिनुं कारण राम्मायणतो वृत्तांत सांभलि – इनुमंत रामचंद्रनी आज्ञाइ, सीतानी शुद्धि लेबा बाहाई<sup>13</sup> समुद्र तरी 28 लंका नगरीइं गयो। सीताइ भ्रणीनो कुसल समाचार पूछीनइ कहिन्नं जे – 'तें समुद्र किम तक्यों ?' तिवारि हनुमंति कहिन्नं –

#### तव प्रसादात् पत्रनप्रसादाद् भर्त्तुश्च ते देवि ततः प्रसादात् । त्रिभिः प्रसादैरनुगम्य सोऽयं तीण्णों मया गोष्पदवत् समुद्रः ॥ १ ॥

जो तेणि हनुमंतें भुजाइ महासमुद्र तस्त्रों, तो तुं गंगा भुजाइ किस न ऊत्रइ । भ [१,८५-८८] स्त्रा तें कहिएं जे - भाइ छम्मास स्लगि माथह जलधारा किम भरी ?' ए डमरि पनि दिज्ञाझेकनी असिथी आव्युं वचन सांभलि - देवताइ लोकनि<sup>18</sup> हितनि अर्थि प्रार्थना करीनइ गंगामें कहिएं जे - 'हं

<sup>1</sup> A चुकावइ । 2 P ईश्वरें नखें । 8 P मार्ले । 4 P नामें । 5 P कर्खु । 6 P आल । 7 P ईश्वरि । 8 P बढतां । 9 P आवि छुंति । 10 P प्रसब्यो । 11 P पुछुं । 12 P मुजाई । 13 P लोकमें हितनें अर्थे ।

मनुष्थ लोक जा'। तिवारि गंगाइ रहिंड जे – 'मुझनें गगनथी पडती कोण धरी सके ?'। ईश्वरें कहिउं जे – 'हुं धरुं'। प्रछइ गंगा पडी, ईश्वरइ माथै धरी। जो ईश्वरिं माथै दिव्य वर्ष सहस्र लगि गंगा धरी, तो तुं महापुरुष गुणवंत ल्लम्मास लगि जलधारा माथै धरइ, इहां सी वडाई ?। ८। [ १,८९-९३ ]

# ॥ कण्डरीकेनोक्तं मूलदेवं प्रति प्रत्युत्तरकथानकाष्टकमिदम् ॥ ॥ इति धूर्त्ताख्याने प्रथमाख्यानकम् ॥ १ ॥

हवइ मुळद्रेव कंडरीकनइ कहिउं जे – 'हविं तइं जे दीठुं, सांभल्युं, अनुभव्युं होइ ते बोलि'। तेवारिं कंडरीक बोल्यो - 👸 नान्हपणि सहाअविनीत महादुर्दांत, माटि मातप्रिताइ रीसिं घरथी काढ्यौ । भगतो भमतो देशनइ अंति कोईक गो, महिष, अजा, एलक, खर, करभ समाकुल, पुष्प फल समृद्ध, अनेक वन खंड शोभित, महासमृद्ध गामि गयो । तेहनें मध्यई मेघनिकुरंब समान एक वड 10 वृक्ष दीठो । ते हेठें सप्रभाव एक कमल नामा यक्ष छै । तेहनी जात्रा पूजा क़रता, स्नान करी निर्मल धौत वस्त्र पहिरी, फल फूल चंदन धूपादिक पूजोपकरण लेई पूजता, महाजुन समूहनइ वांछित बर दीइ छइ। हूं ते यक्ष्नें प्रणाम करिवा गयो । तिहां गामना छोक रमता दीठा । एहवें अकस्मात् सन्नद्धबद्ध कवचवंत अनेक आयुध नांखता, कलकल सब्द करता, चोरनी धाडि आवी पडी । तेवारें हुं, समस्त गामना लोक, समस्त गो महिषादिक पग्नु समूह, नासीनै चीभडा मांहिं 15 पैठउ<sup>3</sup> । तिहांए<sup>4</sup> ते ऌोकइ हर्षइ क्रीडा करिवा<sup>5</sup> मांडि । चोरनी धाडिए<sup>4</sup> गामनो<sup>7</sup> स्रोक नास्ने जाणी पाछी फरी। एहवें तिहां चरती एक बोकडी आवी। तेणीइ ते चीभड़ं सहसा गलिउं ! से बोकडी एकइ अजगिरइ गली । ते अजगिर एक दिंक<sup>10</sup> पंखिणीइ गहबौ । पछड़ ते हिंक पंखिणी उडीने ते चटपृश उपरि चढी बैठी । एहवें ते चटवृक्ष हेठि राजानुं अटक आवीं अतर्ख । राजानों मातो पट्टइस्ती, वड उपरि बैठी ए ढिंक पंखिणीनो एक पग भूमि लंबातो हतो से साथि, बडवाई जाणीइ " बांधिउ । तेवारें तेणइं मोवानो मग ऊंचो कह्याँ । ते साथिं चीस नांखतो हाथी ऊंचो दणायो । ते वेखी 'कोईएक हाथीनइ ऊपाडी गगनि लीइ छइ' इस झंब पाडता महावत राजा पासि गुझा। वेहनी हुंब सांभुली सुझ लेई सब्द्वेधी अनेक सुभट धाया । तेणि रुझि हेट्नी प्रांत्र मुझक छेदां" । ते विंक पंक्रिणी भूमि पड़ी । राज्ञाइ तेहनुं पेट चीराव्युं । ते मांहिंशी अजगिर चीसखाँ । अजगिर सिमा चीराव्यो, ते माहिंशी वोकडी नीकली । तेड्न पणि प्रेट फाडन, ते माहिंथी जीमहं नीकल्य । " बेहनें चीर्युं, ते मांहिंथी हूं अने गामना लोक रमवा थका, रमवाना वांस हाथि धरता नीसखा। बीजोए" साई लोक सर्व पशुसमूह नीकल्या"। पछड ते सर्व द्वोक राजानें प्रणमी पोता पोताने ठामि गया। इंए14 उज़ेमी नगरीए आव्यो । ए मह प्रत्यक्ष मणइ अनुभुव्युं । जो न मांनो तो सर्व धूर्त्तनें भोजन द्यो1, नहीतर प्रंथ साखि प्रतीति इपूजावो [ 28-२५ ]

# ॥ इति धूत्तीख्याने कंडरीकेनोक्तं कथानकम् ॥

1 P कोएक। 2 P घाड पडी। 3 P पेठा। 4 P तिहा तेणें ठोकें। 5 P करदा। 6 P धाडें। 7 P गरमना लोक ताठा। 8 P पहार्वि। 9 P गल्युं। 10 P इंक पंखणीई। 11 P छेदायां। 12 P बीजोई। 13 P पछ पण नीकल्या। 14 P हुंपणि। 15 P दिछं। 16 P ब्रंग झाये।

38

हिवइ<sup>1</sup> एलाषाढ वोल्यों<sup>2</sup> – 'ए सर्व सत्य छइ'। तेवारिं कंडरीकइ पूछ्युं – 'कहि, चीभडा मांहिं गाम किम मायो ?'। तेवारिं एलाषाढ कहिवा लागो जे – 'स्युं तइ विष्णुपुराण नथी सांभल्युं, जे एहवुं पूछइ छै ?। ते सांभलि – पूर्विं ए जग पंच महाभूत रहित जलिं एकार्ण्णव करी मूकउं हतुं। तिहां एक महा मोटुं इंडुं हतुं<sup>8</sup> ते जलकल्लोल मांहिंद्दं घणा काल लगिं घोलांता फाटुं<sup>4</sup>। वे <sup>8</sup> अर्घ थयां, ते मांहिंथी एक अर्द्ध भूमिका थयुं। जो ते अर्द्ध इंडा मांहिं सर्व सुर, नारक, मनुष्य, चतुःपद मायां तो चीभडा मांहिं गांम किम न माइ ?। १ शा [२,२६-३०]

तथा आरण्यपर्व मांहिं मार्कंड ऋषि युधिष्टर आगलि पोतानुं अनुभव्युं कहिउं छै ते सांभलो-मार्कंड ऋषि, युगांत कालि जलिं एकार्णव सकल जग करि हुंति, कल्लोल मालाइ जल मांहिं घोलातो, त्रस, थावर, सुरनरादिक वर्जित ए जग जोतो, समुद्र समान विस्तीर्ण, मेरु समान उन्नत, एक "वटवृक्ष देषतो हुओ। तेहनी शाखा उपरि शय्याई वैठो एक रूपनिधान बालक दीठो । तेहनें ऋषिई कह्युं - 'हे वत्स जलि तणाईश ते माटि' आ माहरइ हाथि वलगि'। इम कही ऋषिई हाथ पसारीओ । ते हाथि झाली, ते बालकइ मार्क[ड] ऋषि गलिउ। ते ऋषिइं ते बालकना उदर मांहिं रोल कानन सहित पृथ्वी दीठी। एक दिव्य वर्ष सहस्र लगि भमतां, ते बालकाना उदरनो पार पांग्या विना, ते ऋषि बाहिर नीसखो। जो ते बालकना उदर मांहिं सुरासुर जग मायुं, तो चीभडा " मांहिं गांम किम न माइ। २। [२,३१-३९]

तथा तई पूछिउं जे – 'ढिंक पंखिणीना पेट मांहिं अजगर, तेहना पेट मांहिं अजा, तेहना पेट मांहिं चिभडुं, ते मांहिं तेतलो लोकसमूह किम मायो ?' ते उपरि<sup>6</sup> समाधान सांभलि – मुठि मांहिं आवई एहवो मध्यभाग छइ जेहनो एहवी देवकीनी कूखि ऋष्ण वस्यौ, तेहना पेट मांहिं अनेक पर्वत कानन सहित पृथ्वी माई । जो एह सत्य छै, तो ढिंक पंखिणीना पेट मांहिं अजगिर मायो, # इत्यादिक असत्य किम होई । ३ । [ २,४०-४१ ]

तथा कंडरीक' तई पूछिउं जे – 'चीभडा प्रमुखई रहिउं हुं अनै गामलोक किम मरण न पांम्या ?' ते उगरे समाधान सांभलि – जे प्रथ्वीइं कृषि व्यापार युद्धादिक आरंभ, विवाहादिक उत्सव थता<sup>8</sup>, ते जो प्रथिवी कृष्णना पेट मांहिं जीवती रही, तो तुं जीवतो किम न रहइ ? अनइ तुं कहीस जे कृष्णना पेट मांहिं जग किम मायो ? ते उपरि सांभलि – पूर्वे ब्रह्मानें अनै कृष्णनें मांहोमांहिं श्र विवाद थयो । ब्रह्म कहिवा लागो जे – 'माहरा मुख, बाहु, ऊरु, पादथी अनुक्रमइ च्यार वर्णा नीसखा, ते माटि हुं जगनो कत्तां' । तिवारि कृष्ण कठिन वचनें कहिवा लागो जे – 'तुं तो माहरो चेट छइ; स्युं मूर्ख ए बोलतो लाजनो नथी ? जे 'मुझनें आकाश, भूमि गाल छै, पर्वत दाढा छै, समुद्र जिहवा छइ, ते माहरा मुख मांहिं तुं पईसि जग सर्व जोउ । माहरी नाभिना कमलनो ऊपनो तुं माहरी आगलि इम बोलि ते उचित नही ।

38

जस्स पभावेणुम्मिल्लियाइँ तं चेव कह कयग्घाइं । क्रमुआइँ अत्तसंभाविआइँ चंदं उवहसंति ॥ ४ । [ २,४२–५० ]

1 P हवें। 2 P बोलिउ। 3 A हसो। 4 A फूटो। 5 P माटें आ माहरें हाथें वलगा 6 P ऊपर। 7 P कंडरीकइ तई। 8 P घणा। 9 A मुखनें। वली कंडरीक कहिवा लागो जे – 'ढिंक पंखिणी एवडी मोटी ते कहिं सांभली छइ, जेहना पेट मांहिं ए अजगरादिक सर्व मायां ?' । तेवारि एलापाढइ कहिउं जे – 'स्युं बांधव ! तइं द्रौपदीनइ स्वयंवरा मंडपइ, धनुष मांहिं, पर्वत सर्प अग्नि माया ते वात नथी सांभली । द्रुपद राजाइं उदघोषणा करावी जे माहरुं देवताधिष्ठित धनुष चढावी राधावेध करइ ते राजा द्रोपदीनें परणै । ते सांभलि तिहां अनेक बलवंत राजा आवीआ । धनुष आरोपतां पड्या । लोकिं उपहस्या । तिवारि जेतलें महामानी • शिग्रुपाल धनुष चढाविवा उठिओ, तेतलें धनुष उपरि छुष्णें मेरु पर्वत, गरुड, हल, मूसल, सर्प, शंख, गदा, चक्र नांखियां । तोहे बलिष्टपणाथी आरोपवा लागो । तिवारि वली चंद्र, सूर्य, अग्नि, समुद्र, पर्वत, प्रथ्वी नांखियां । तोहे धनुष आरोपतां अर्ढांगुल प्रमाण आसंधित रहिउं । तेवारि कृष्णइ पगइ ठेलिउ शिग्रुपाल, धनुष साथि भूमि पड्यो । पछै ते धनुष्य अर्जुनइ लीधुं । तेदनो महाभार प्रथ्वीइ सहिवायो नहीं, ते माटि भीमनइ हार्थि भार मूकी, धनुष चढावी, कर्णइ ॥ आप्यो बांण लेई, राधावेध करी द्रोपदी अर्जुनइं परणी । जो ते धनुष एहवुं मोटुं जे मांहिं ते पर्वतादिक माया, तो ते ढिंक पंखिणी मोटी किम न होइ, जेहने उदारि अजगिरादिक माया । ५ । [ २,५१-६१ ]

तथा रामायणि कहिउं छै – सीतानें हरंतां जुद्ध करवा जटायु पंखी आव्यो, ते रावणई चंद्रहास खब्न इं पांख छेदी भूमि पाड्यो । तेवारि सीताइं ते जटायु पंखीनइ कहिउं – 'माहरा सीलनै महिमाइ, 15 रामचंद्रना दूतना दर्शनथी ताहरी पांखड<sup>1</sup> फिरी<sup>3</sup> आवस्त्रे' । पछइ केतलाइ कालिं, रामचंद्रनी आज्ञाइ सीतानी ग्रुद्धि करवा प्रथ्वीइं फिरतो हनुमंत तिहां आव्यो । 'आ कोईक मोटो पर्वत दीसे छै, ए उपरि घढी सकछ प्रथ्वीमंडल जोउं' – इम चींतवी हनुमंत जटायुध पासि गयो । तेवारि जटायुइं पुछिउं-'तुं कोण किहांथी आव्यो ?'। हनुमंतें कहिउं – 'रामचंद्रनो दूत छुं। सीतानो समाचार लेवा जाउं छुं'। तिवारि जटायुइं कहिउं जे – 'सीतानै लंका नगरीइ रावण लेई गयो, तुं फोगट वनइ भमइ छै । अ ए समाचार जतावलो जईनै रामचंद्रनें कहि । मुझनें पणि रावणईं सीता माटि जुद्ध करतां बि पांख छेदीनै भूमि मूक्यों'। ते सांभलि हनुमंतइ कहिउं जे – 'तइं रावण साथिइ युद्ध कर्यु अनै अन्हनें सीतानो समाचार कह्यो तेथी तुझनें पणि भलुं थाउ' – इम हनुमंतनुं बचन सांभल्युं, तेथी जटायुनइ पांख आवि, तेणें उडिनै गगनमार्गे थई स्वार्गं गयउ । जो जटायु पंसी पर्वत सरिषो हतो, तो ढिंक पंखिणी मोटी किम न होइ । ६ । [२,६२ – ७४]

॥ एलाषाढेनोक्तं कंडरीकं प्रति प्रत्युत्तरकथानकषट्कमिदम् ॥

### ॥ इति धुर्त्ताःख्याने द्वितीयमाख्यानं समाप्तम् ॥ २ ॥

इम एलापाढेइ उत्तर दीधइ हूंतै कंडरीक कहिवा लागो जे-'एलापाढ, तइं जे अनुभव्युं, दींटुं, सांभल्युं ते कहि'। तेवारिं एलापाढ बोल्यो--'हुं योवन समये धनलोभे धातुवादादिक व्यसनइ जग भम्यो। इहां बिल छइ, आ ते पर्वत जिहां धातु होई, ए मूल, ए मंत्र, इम धननी आसाइ भमतां अ एक आगम पांम्यो जे पूर्वदिशि योजन सहस्ति एक पर्वत छै। तिहां सहस्रवेधी रस छै। तेहनू

1 P पांखों। 2 P फरी।

विल योजनप्रमाण शिलाइं ढांक्यो छै । ते शिला ऊपाडीनइ स्वर्णकुंडधी ते रस लेवो'। पछड़ योजन शतमान कर्मि पृथ्वी उद्धंघतों ते पर्वतिं जई, शिला ऊपाडी, रस लेई, ते बिल ते शिलाइं ढांकी, पोतानें घरि आव्यो । ते रसें घणुं सोनु ऊपाई धनें करी धनद समान थयो । पछड़ं हुं भोग भोगवतो, याचकनें वांछित द्रव्य देतो, लोक मांहिं घणो प्रसिद्ध थयो । एहवें माहरी ऋदि अने ' प्रसिद्धि सांभली अकस्मात् मध्यरातिं पांच सइ चौर माहरें घरि आवी पड्या । घर ऌटवा लागा । तेवारि मुझ जीवतां माहरूं धन किम लेई<sup>2</sup>-इम चींतवी, साहस आदरी, शख लेई युद्ध करतां, मइ एकेक बाणनें प्रहारइं दस दस चोर मार्था । ते देखी ते सर्व चोर एकठा थई यमकिंकर समान कोपांध हुंता मुझ उपरि पडी, माथुं खंड खंड करी, पासें एक बोरडिइं बांधी, घर लुंटिनै गया । रुधिर खरडिउं कुंडल सहित माथुं बोरडिइं बोर खावा लागुं । प्रभातें सूर्योदयें बोर खातुं मुकिउं । पछड्द हुं निरुपम रूप लावण्यइ विराजमान जेहवो पूर्वे हतो, तेथी विशेष रूपवंत थयो । ए मइ प्रसक्ष आ लोकइ अनुभव्युं । जो ए न मानो तो सर्व धूर्त्तनें मोजन द्यो°, नहीतर शाखनी सार्थि समर्थी आपड । [ ३,१-१९ ]

#### ॥ एलाषाढेनोक्तं कथानकमिदम् ॥

हवै शशनामा धूर्त्तमुख्य बोल्यो - 'तइं जे कह्युं ते सर्व सत्य छइ, झुठुं कोण कहै ? जे माटिं पुराण स्मृति भारत रामायणनइ विषइ एहवी घणी वात छै। ते सांभलि – पूर्वि जमदग्नि नाम ऋषि हतो, तेहने रेणुका नामि छी हती। तेहना शीलनइ महिमाइं कुसुमित प्रक्ष नमता। कोई वेलाइं अश्व-वाहनिकाइं आब्यो कोई एक राजा देखी रेणुकानइ अभिलाष ऊपनो। तिवारि पछि कोई एक वेलाइं शीलभंगथी युक्ष अणनमता देखी, ऋषिई करसराम पे ना बेटाने कहिउं जे – 'ए पापिणी रेणुकानी 20 मस्तक छेदि'। तेगरि जमदग्नि पुत्रनइ आज्ञा कारी जाणीने संतुष्ट थई कहिउं – 'जे बरस ! वर मागि'। तेणै माग्युं जे – 'याहरी माता जीवती थाउं। तिवारि, ए जीवती दोड, इम ऋषिई कहिए हुते ते रेणुका ततकाल जीवती थई। जो ए सत्य छइ, तो तुं जीवतो थयों ए किंम असत्य होई। ४। [ ३,२० – २५ ]

तथा जरासिंध राजा वे खंड जोडिनें जराइ सांध्यो, सहस्र राजानो स्वामी थयो, एह पिणि ते <sup>25</sup> एक वचन छइ। २। [३,२६]

तथा वली सांभलीइ छै – सुंद १ निसुंद २ नामि बै दैस सहोदर, सुर लोकनै क्षय करवा काल सरिपा उठ्या। तिवारि सर्व देवताइं तेहना वधनै काजें पोताना शरीरनो तिल तिल लेई सवाँग सुंदराकार रूप लावण्यनुं निधान एक तिलोत्तमा नामि अप्सरा नीपजावी। ते सर्व देवतानें प्रण-मीनइ कहिवा लागी जै – 'जे आदेश दिउ ते करुं'। देवताइं कहिउं जै – 'सुंद निसुंद वै दैसेने क्षय अपमाडि'। पछै तिलोत्तमा सुंदरि दैसपासि जई हावभाव विश्रम विलास देषाडती नाचवा लोगी। तेहनि रूपि मोद्या विषयांध हुंता मांहोमांहिं शखई वृंदी मरण पाम्या। मैवति चात्र स्रोंक: –

 $1~{
m A}$  लीयो ।  $2~{
m P}$  लीई ।  $3~{
m P}$  देंउ ।  $4~{
m P}$  समर्थि आपो ।  $5~{
m P}$  बोलिंड ।  $6~{
m P}$  कुण कहुई ।  $7~{
m P}$  घणिउ वातो छे ।

### स्रीणां कृते आतृयुगस्य भेदः संवन्धभेदे स्तिय एव मूलम् । अप्राप्तकामा बहवो नरेन्द्रा नारीभिरुच्छेदितराजवंज्ञाः ॥ १ ॥

जो सर्व देवनें तिल तिलइ तिलोत्तमा अप्सरा नीपनी, तो छेद्यां ताहरां अंगोपांग मेल्यां, तेथी तुं किम न नीपजइ । ३ । [ ३,२७-३७ ]

तथा बीज़ुं सांभलि – पवन पुत्र हनुमंत वाल्यावस्थाइ मातानइ पूछवा लागो जे – मात ! हुं भूष्यो थाउं तिवारि सूं खाउं ?' मा बोली – 'वत्स ! राता फल खाजे' । तेवार पछे कोइक वेलाइं ऊगतो सूर्य देखी, रक्तवर्ण फल बुद्धिं खावानें महिओ । तेवारि तेणि लत्ताप्रहारि हनुमंतनें झतखंड चूर्ण करिउं । ते जांणी माता अंजना विलाप करती रोवा लागी । ते हनुमंतनो परलोके गयानो समाचार जांणी, स्त्रीनें रोती देखी, पवन कोप पांमी पाताल मांहिं पइसी रहिओ । तिवारि पवननइ निरोधइ देव मानव सर्व जग अति आकुल धई मरण पांमवा लागुं । पछे सर्व देव पातालि जई पवननें मनावी आण्यो । चूर्णित सर्व अंगोपांग मेली हनुमंत जीवतो करिओ । सर्व ठामि सोधी पणि एक हनु न लाधी । तेणि रहित छै, तो हनुमंत नाम दीधुं । जो पवननो पुत्र चूर्ण कस्त्रो, ए मिल्यो, ए सत्य छै, तो तइं कह्युं जे हुं जीवतो थयो, ते पिण सत्य ज छै । ४ । [ ३,३८-४५ ]

तथा राम रावणनें महासंत्राम लागो । तिवारे रावणने सुभटें खड़ बाणादिक प्रहरणि अनेक वानरनां सईंकडां छिन्नांग कखां । लक्ष्मणए रावणे शक्ति माखो हुंतो भूमि पड्यो । रामईं शोकातुर धई विलाप करवा मांड्यो । तेवारि हनुमंत द्रोण पर्वत विशल्या ओषधी आणी लक्ष्मणनी शक्ति काढी । जे वानर राक्षसनें शक्ति अस्तिं छिन्नांग हता, तेह पणि सजीव कखा । जो छिन्नांग ए वानर जीवता थया, ते साचुं छै तो तें कहिडं, तेहि साचुं छइ । ५ । [ ३,४६-५२ ]

तथा मित्र एलापाढ ! स्युं तई स्कंदनी उत्पत्ति नथी सांभली ? । बहुप्रंथ प्रसिद्ध ते बात छइ, ते सांभलि – दिमाचलनी गुफा मांहिं संभोग करतां ईश्वर पार्वतीने एक दिव्य वर्ष सहस्र गयुं ! ते » अवसरे देवता, तारक दैत्यइ पीड्या, तेहनें मारवानो उपाय चिंतववा लागा जे – ईश्वरना वीर्य विना बीजो कोई तारक दैत्यद्द पीड्या, तेहनें मारवानो उपाय चिंतववा लागा जे – ईश्वरना वीर्य विना बीजो कोई तारक दैत्यद्द पीड्या, तेहनें मारवानो उपाय चिंतववा लागा जे – ईश्वरना वीर्य विना बीजो कोई तारक दैत्यद्त पीड्या, तेहनें मारवानो उपाय चिंतववा लागा जे – ईश्वरना वीर्य विना बीजो कोई तारक दैत्यद्द पीड्या, तेहनें मारवानो उपाय चिंतववा लागा जे – 'अभ्यर्थना करता माटि अग्निनै मोकलिइं । इम विचारी अग्निनै पासें जई कहिवा लागा जे – 'अभ्यर्थना करता मतुष्यनोप उपकारी पुरुष उपगार करइ, तो महानुभाव सर्व देवसमूहनें तो विशेषइ उपकार करें । केहने काजि सर्व देवता चिंतासमुद्र मांहिं बूड्या, तिहां अग्नि तुं ज समर्थ छै, ते गुफाघर मांहिं ॥ पहसी ईश्वरने तुं पोतानुं दर्शन दिई, तो कदाचित तुझने देखी संभोग क्रीडा मूकइ'। तेवारि अग्नि केछीड – 'ईश्वरनें संमुख्ति बीजी अवस्थाइं न जवाइं तो संभोगी अवस्थाई किम जवाइं । पडवांग पारी, ग्रूलपाणि, नरकपाळधारी, इमसानवासी – एहवा ईश्वरनिं सन्मुख कुंण मंगलीक वांछतो जाइ। घणुं स्युं कहीइ ? जे ईश्वर बहुजन देवता लिंगोत्थान करी नाचइ, तेथी इंद्र सरिपो वीहइ, तो माहरी वात किसी । जो कदाचित ईश्वर कोपे तो माहरी गति सी थाइ ? ते माटि देवताउ तुम्हइ ॥ मुझनै संकटि न पाडउ'। तेवारि इंद्रइं कहिउं – 'अग्नि ! तुं ईश्वरथी म वीह । ए पार्वतीनें वश छै । जे कोणिई दमाइ नहि तेहनें स्त्री एक दिवस मांहिं दमइ । यदुक्तम् –

> हत्थी दम्मइ संवच्छरेण मासेण दम्मइ तुरंगो । महिला पुण किर पुरिसं दमेइ इकेण दिवसेणं ॥ १ ॥

 $<sup>1~\</sup>mathrm{P}$  संमुखें कुणें जवाइ।

पार्वती जे कहिं ते कार्य ए ईश्वर करइ । स्युं ईश्वर अर्द्धांगई पार्वतीनइ वहतो ते नथी दीठो ? ते माटि ए शंका मूंकि । ईश्वर रूठस्यइ तोहे पार्वतीनुं मन राखवा ताहरें शरीरि उपद्रव करी सकस्यै नही'' । एइवुं इंद्रनुं वचन सांभली हिमाचलनी गुफाई अग्नि गयो । तिहां संभोगासक्त ईश्वर दीठो । तिवारि ईश्वर अग्निने संभोग मांहिं अंतराय करतो देखी रोषिं मारिवा उठ्यउ हुंतो पार्वतीइ वारिओ । पछि ईश्वरइ कहिउं – 'तुं मुख मांहिं माहरुं वीर्य पी' । इम कही वीर्य पायुं । तेहनें तापि दाझतो अग्नि, महाकष्टि समुद्र मांहिं जई वीर्य वमतो हुओ । ते दिवसथी लोकप्रसिद्ध(द्वि)चाली जे-सर्व रज्न समुद्र मांहिं वीर्यथी उपना छे । पछइ अग्निई कांइएक शेष वीर्य उदर मांहिं रहिडं हतुं ते पद्मसरोवर मांहिं वर्मिंथ । वे समयि छ इत्तिका नामि अप्सराओ न्हातीउ हुंती तेहनी योनि मांहिं ते वीर्य पहठुं । तेथी गर्भ रद्या' । कालांतरि छहइ अनुक्रमि मस्तक १ बाहु २ हृत्य ३ रुंह ४ ॥ मूंड ५ शरीर ६, ए ६ अंग जण्यां । तेवारि आश्चर्य पामी ते छ इत्तिकाइं ते छ अंग मांहो मांहिं देवाडी यथास्थानकि जोड्यां हुंतां, पारानी परि मिली गयां । तेह ज वेलाइ पण्मुखधारी स्कंद ऊपनौ । तेणि संत्राम करतां तारक देख जीत्यों । जो जू-र्जूई स्त्रीनें उद्ररी ऊपना अंग मिल्यां, इहां कोण संदेह राखह' । ६ । [ ३,५३-८५ ]

तथा रछाषाढ ! तई पूछिउं जे – 'माहरुं छेदिउं मस्तक बोर किम खाई सकइ ?' ते उपरि सांभलि – ऋष्णनइ चकई छेदिउं राहुनुं माथुं आकाशि हिंडइ छइ, सूर्य-चंद्रनै प्रसइ छै; जो ए सत्य छइ, तो ताहरुं माथुं छेदिउं हुंतुं बोर खाइ, ते वात मांहिं कोण असंभव'। ७। [ ३,८६–८८ ] तथा बली एलाषाढइ पूछिउं – 'कहि, मई ते मार्गि चालतां एकेकइ पगलड सड योजन प्रामाण भूमी किम उक्तंघी ?'। तेवारि शश बोलिउ – 'छष्णइ यज्ञनइ विषय बलि राजा पासि त्रिणि डगला अप्रमाण भूमि मांगी। पछइ त्रिणि डगलिं पर्वत कानन सहित पृथ्वी आक्रमी। जो छष्णइ त्रिणि डगलइ सर्व भूमि आक्रमी, तो तुं एक डगलइ सउ योजन भूमि किम न उक्तंघइ'। ८। [३,८८–९१] तथा बली एलाषाढ बोलिउ – 'सित्र ! मई ते सो जोजन प्रामाण शिला किम उपाडी, ए प्रतीत उपजावि'। तिवारें शश बोलिउं – 'राम-रावणनें संप्रामे शक्तिप्रहारइं मूर्छा पामी लक्ष्मण कुमार पडिउ, तेवारिं इनुमंते विशिल्या उषधीनइ कार्जि समूल द्रोण पर्वत उपाडी आण्यौ। जो अनेक भ महाशिलामय द्रोण पर्वत इनुमंतिं ऊपाडिओ, तो तुं एक योजन प्रमाण शिला किम न उपाडइ । ९। [ ३,८२–९५ ]

तथा लोक मांहिं संभलाइ छई जे – 'पृथ्वी वधवा मांडी देखी छुष्णइ वराहरूप करी नइ उपाडी । जो ए सत्य छै, तो तई शिला उपाडी तेह पणि सत्य ज छईं। १०। [ ३,९६–९८ ]

॥ शशेनोक्तं एलाषाढं प्रति प्रत्युत्तरकथानकदशकम् ॥

20

॥ इति धूर्त्ताख्याने तृतीयमाख्यानकम् ॥

हिवइ शशइ निरुत्तर कर्यो हुंतो एलापाढ कहिवा लागो – 'मित्र शश ! तई जे अनुभविउं होइ ते कहि' । तेवारि शश बोल्यो – 'हुं सरत्कालि, प्रामयी दूर पर्वतनइ पासि एक क्षेत्र हतुं तिहां गयो । ते वेलाई एक मत्त वनगज पर्वतथी ऊतरी मुझनें मारिवा घस्यो । तेवारि हुं सघले पासि नासवानो

1 P तुझनें उपद्रव नहि करे। 2 P रहिउं।

ठाम जोतो एक अति मोटो तिल वृक्ष देखी ते उपरि चढिओ। हाथिइं मुझनें वारंवार हेठें पाडवा तिल वृक्ष धंधोल्यो । तेणें करी तिल वृक्षथी अनेक तिलना पुंज हेठि पड्या। भमता ते सर्व हाथीइं यंत्रनी परि पील्या। तेणें करी तिहां महा असराल तेलनी नदी वही। हाथी चीकणा खउल् माहिं पूंतो, चीस पाडतो, भूप-तरसि<sup>8</sup> मरण पाम्यो । पछि हुं हाथीनें मूओ जाणी, तिहांथी हेठो उत्तरी, हाथीनुं चर्म ऊतारी, तेहनो मोटो दइडो<sup>8</sup> करी, तेल दश घडा प्रमाण पीई, ते खल भार खाई, तेलें इ दहडउ पूरी खांधि चडावी, गाम बाहिर एक मार्ग वृक्षनी शाखाइं वलगाडी घरि आव्यो । पछे महं ते दइडो लेवा अहिनांण देई, ते वृक्षें पोतानो बेटो मोकल्यो। तेणें ते तिहां न दीठो। पछै ते वृक्ष गजनी परि उन्मूली ते दइडो सर्व लोक देखतां घरि आण्यौ। हुंए पोताना घरथी पाघरो इहां आव्यो। ए महं इहलोकि प्रसक्ष अनुभव्युं। जे न मानइ ते सर्व धूर्त्तनें भोजन दिइ॥ छ॥ [४,१–१३]

## 

हवि सर्वकलाप्रवीण खंडपाना धूर्ता बोली - 'शश ! तई जे कहिउं ते सर्व भारत-रामायणादिक साथि मलतुं छैं । तिवारइ शशई पूछिउं - 'जो ए सल छै, तो कहि - तिलबृक्ष अति मोटो किम होइ ? तेलनी महानदी किम वही ? दस घडा तेलना किम पीवाइ ? खडल भार प्रमाण किम खवाइ ? - ए सर्व साम्न साखि मेली आपि'। तेवारि खंडपाना बोली - ए सर्वना दृष्टांत सांभलि - 15 पाडलिपुरे माषबृक्षनी मोटी भेरी घडी, एहवी सर्वप्रसिद्ध लोकवात छै, ते सल छै, तो तिलबृक्ष अति मोटो किम न होइ ? । १ । [४,१४-१८]

तथा भारत मांहिं छै – जे गजनइ मदजलि महानदी चाली । तिहां अनेक गज रथ हय पायक तणाया । उक्तक्स –

> तेषां कटतटअष्ठैर्गजानां मदबिन्दुभिः । प्रावर्त्तत नदी घोरा हस्त्यश्वरथवाहिनी ॥ १ ॥

20

जो गजमदजल्ली महानदी वही, तो ते तेल्लनी किम न होई । २ । [ ४,१९–२१ ]

तथा लोक मांहिं संभलाइ छै जे – भीमि राज्यथी नीकली चकापुरीइं जई वक राक्षस मास्नो, तेइने खावाने काजइ एक महिष, सोल खारी अन्न, हजार मद्य घडा आण्या हता ते सर्व एकलइं भीमईं खाधा। जो ए सत्य छइ, तो तुं दस घडा तेल किम न पीइ १ खडल भार किम न खाइ १ थ । ३। [४,२२–२४]

तथा छंभकर्ण सूतो ऊठी एक इजार मद्य घडा पीतो, तो तइं तेल्लना दस घडा पीधा, ए वात कोण न मानै । ४ । [ ४,२५–२६ ]

ते अगस्ति ऋषिइं समुद्र पीघो । तथा स्वर्गेथी ऊतरी संमुना जटाजूटथी नीकली वहती गंगा नदी जहु ऋषिनइ आश्रमि गई । तेवारि ते ऋषिइं पीई, एक इजार वरस ऌगि उदरि रासीनइ अ मूंकी । ते माटि गंगानुं नाम जाह्ववी थयुं । जो अगस्ति समुद्र पीघो, जहु ऋषि गंगा पीघी, तो तुं तेलना दस घडा किम न पीइ । ५–६ । [४,२८–३०]

वली शशर पूछिउं - 'कहि, मइं ते मोटो गजचर्मनो दइडो किम ऊपाड्यों । कदाचित कष्टिं अपाड्यो, पणि एकलइ मई गांमि किम आंण्यो ?'। तिवारि खंडपाना कहिवा लागी जे- 'शश ! रयुं तई केवारि गरुडाख्यान नथी सांभल्यु, जे एहवूं पूछे छइ । ते सांभलि – काइयप ऋषिनइ कद्र विनना एहविं नामइ वे स्त्रीउ । ते वेहूंइ कोईक समये क्रीडा करतां होडि बकी - जे जीतइ तेहनें घरि, <sup>5</sup> जे हारइ ते दासपणुं करे । अने ते दासपणुं जावजीव करे; अथवा जे हारी होइ तेहनो अंगजात वेटो थाइ ते पातालथी अमृत आंणे तेवारिं ते दासपणुं टलइ । इम होड बकी वेहुं झोकि रमिउ । विनता हारी, कद्र जीती । तेहने घरि दासी थई रही । शोकिनै वैरि कद्र विनताने घणुं दुःख दिइ । इम घणा काल लगि दासपणुं करतां विनता गर्भवंती थई । समइ त्रिणि ईंडा जण्यां । उता-वलि दासपणुं टालवा एक ईंडु भेदिउं। ते मांहिंथी विंछी नीसह्या। वली केतले कालि बीजुं ईंडु मोदिउं, ते मांहिंथी साथल जंघारहित पुरुप नीसरिओ । तेणें माताने कहिउं जे, जो मा तें पहिलुं ईंडुं काचुं भेदिउं, बीजुं पणि ईंडुं पूरुं पाकाविना भेदिउं। तेणि करी हुं अधूरो जण्यो । तेथी ताहरुं दासपणुं नथी गयुं । हिवइ प्रयत्नइ आ त्रीजुं ईंडुं पालजे, एहथी ताहरो मनोरथ पूरो थास्ये । पछे ते अनूरु सूर्यद्दं सारथी कस्रो । पछइ केतले कालि स्वभावद्दं त्रीजूं ईंडुं भेदायुं । ते मांहिंथी सर्प-छुलनो काल महाघोर महाबलवंत गरुड पंखी प्रगटिड । ते बालपणिइं रमतो कद्रना चेटा सर्पनइ मिस मारइ। ते सगछा सर्प रोता कद्र आगलि जईनइ रोवा लागा। तेवारि कद्र विनताने ओलंभो देवा लागी, जे दासीडी' तूं ताहरा बेटा गरुडनइ वारे नहीं, तर तुं दुःख पामीसे । एहवो उलंभो सांभली विनता दुःख धरती रोवा लागी । तेइनें देखी गरुडइं रोवानुं कारण पूछे हुंति, सर्व दासपणानो इत्तांत सांभलावीने, विनताइ कह्युं जे - 'अमृत ल्यावे तो दासपणुं टलइ' । तिवारि गठ-डइ पूछिउं - 'अमृत किहां छे ?' विनताइ कहिउं - 'बद्रिकाश्रमिं अंध ताहरो पिता वसे छइ, ते 20 अमृत ठाम जाणइ छै, तेइनें पूछ'। इम वचन मातानुं सांभली गरुड पितानइ पासि जई पगे लागो। पिताई स्पर्शेई पुत्र ओलख्यो । गरुडई कहिउं - 'तात ! हुं भूख्यो छऊं, स्युं खाउं ?' । काइयप ऋषिई कहिउं - 'इहां पासि एक पद्म सरोवर छै, तिहां बार योजन प्रमाण झरीर एक गज, एक कच्छप छै, ते बेहूं निसइ रीसे मांहो मांहिं वटइ छै, ते बेहूंनइ खा, भूख्यो न रहि'। पछै गरुडें तिहां जई ते वेहूंनइ भखी, पाछा फिरतां मार्गि एक पक्षीनो निवास महावट वृक्ष दीठो । ते हेठि व्रक्षाना 28 वीर्यना ऊपना वालिखिल्ल नामि अउठ कोडि ऋषि तप तपइ, ते ऊपरि बइठो । पोताना शरीरना भारिं कडकडतो वड भागो। पछे गरुडइं, रखे ऋषि चंपाइ, इम चींतवी चांचें ते वड उपाडी आकास ढांकतो, सर्व देव दानवने चमत्कार उपजावतो, समुद्रना बेट वचि मुक्यों । ते वटइ अर्ऌकरी भूमिकानुं नाम लोकई लंका दीधूं। तै लंका रावणनी राजधानी नगरी थई। पछै वेली गरुडइं आवी अमृतनो ठाम पूछिउं, तो ऋषि बोलीउ – 'वत्स ! सातमा पातालनें हेठि, धगधगाय-2 मान अग्नि सर्व दिसि वींटीरं, सर्व सुरासुरइ निखइ जालव्यं अमृत कुंड छै । तिहांथी अमृत लेवाई नहि ! जो अनेक मधु, घृत, जल आपइ, अग्नि संतुष्ट थयो हुंतो अमृतकुंड मांहिं जना दिइ, तो कदाचित लेवाई। तोहे ते लेतां अनेक विन्न उपजइ छैं'। एहवुं काइयप ऋषिनुं चचन सांमली अल्घारी, पाताळें जई, पोतानी वे पांखइ जे अनेक मघु, घुत, जल भरी लेई गयो हतो ते आपी, अभिनइ संतोषी, तेणइ देषाड्या अमृतकुंडथी अमृत लेई नीकलतो गरुडनइ देखी, अमृतकुंडरक्षक ग्वेवई उद्घोषणा करी, जे कोई पंसी अमृत लेई जाइ छइ। ते सांभली सर्व देव दानव क्षोग

1 P दासबी। 2 P ठेई कोई न शकह।

पाम्या। जे देवता जिहां हता ते तिहांथी मुद्गर, शक्ति, हल, मुसल, खन्न, दंडादिक शस्त्र लेई पूठि धाया। कोलाहलि जग वधिर करता, गरुडनइ वीटीनइ कहिवा लागा जे – 'पापी अमृतचोर, आ मारो। हिविं किहां नासी जाईस ?'। पछइ गरुडइं महासंग्राम करी, च्यारे दिशि पांखनइ प्रहारि, शत, सहस्र, लक्ष, कोटि संख्य देवतानें यमघरि पहुचाड्या देखी, सर्व देवतासंघ क्षणेकमांहिं हारी, रीन थई, नासतो जांणी, इंद्रइं ज्वालासहस्तें जलतुं वज्र गरुडनें मारवा मुकिउं। ते पणि s शरीरें सफलाई हेठो पडिउं। ए वज्रइं गरुडनइं कांईए नथी कर्धुं, इम सर्व देवतानिं जणाववा गरुडइं चांचइ धरी राख्युं। तिवारि गरुडथी भयभ्रांत थयो हुंतो इंद्र विष्णु पासि गयो। विष्णु कोपि प्रज्वलतो बार सूर्य समान तेजवंत चक्र लेई गरुडनइं मारिवा धायो। तिवारि सर्व शनैश्च-रादिक महइं सर्व ऋषि जई विष्णुनैं वीनव्युं जे – 'स्वामी ! तुं सर्व व्यापी छइ, सर्व भुवननाथ छइ। तुं विचास्ता विना कोप करि, ते वारु नहि। ए गरुड ताहरो बंधु छइ। ते माटि कोप मूंकि। ॥ म्लेच्छनी परि गोत्रनो क्षय म करि'। इम सर्व प्रहतुं, सर्व ऋषितुं, बचन सांभली, झांत थई इष्ठणइं गरुड साथें मेल कस्त्रो। पछइं गरुडें घर जई, अम्रत आपी, विनता मातातुं दासपणुं टाल्युं। जो गरुडैं गज, कच्छप, वटवृक्ष ऊपाड्या आने अन्य ठामि मूक्या, तो शश ! तुं दृइडो ऊपाडी किम गामि नाणइ। ७। [४,३१-८७]

तथा, जो सात दिन लगि वरसात वरसतां छष्णइ गोवर्छन पर्वत धर्यों, तो तुं तेलै भर्यो ॥ दइडो किम न ऊपाडे । ८ । [४,८८ ]

तथा, जो समुद्रे सेतु बांधवा वांनरे अनेक योजनथी पर्वत ऊखेडी आण्या, तो ताहरो बेटो इक्ष उन्मूलइ तिहां कोण आश्चर्य १ । ९ । [४,८९-९०]

तथा, अशोकवाडीइं इनुमंत वानरिं अनेक अशोकादिक वृक्ष उन्मूल्या। जो ए सत्य छै, तो ताहरो पुत्र उन्मूलइ इहां किसी वडाई। १०। [४,९१-९४]

> ॥ खंडपानयोक्तं शशं प्रति प्रत्युत्तरकथानकदशकमिदम् ॥ ॥ इति धूर्त्ताख्याने चतुर्थमाख्यानकम् ॥

हवइ इणि प्रकारिं निरुत्तर कयों हुंतो शश खंडपानानइ कहिवा लागो जे – 'खंडपाना तें जे अनुंभव्युं होइ ते कहि'। तिवारि खंडपाना बोली – 'जो तुम्हइ सघला दीन थई माहरें पगे लागो तो 25 सर्वनइं भोजन दिउं'। तिवारि धूर्तों कहिवा लागा जे – 'एक भोजनहेति अम्हइ' महापुरुष तुं आगलि दीन किम भाखुं ?'। तिवारइ कांइक हसीनइ खंडपाना बोली – 'मई जे अनुंभव्युं ते सांभलड। हुं यौबन समइ रूप लावण्यनुं निधान हती। कोईक समयि ऋतुवंती थई मंडपि सूती हती; तिहां आवी माहरें रूपि विस्तय पामी पवनें हुं भोगवी। केतलि कालि पुत्र आव्यों, ते मुझ साथि जन्म कालि ज वात करी, मुझनें मूंकी बलात्कारि खप्रदृष्टनी परि कहिं गयौ। ए मई अनुभव्युं ते सत्य 26 की वा असत्य ?। जो असत्य होई, तो सर्वनें भोजन दिउ; जो सत्य होइ, तो आ जगमांहिं कोई की विधवा अपुत्रवती न होई ॥ [ 4, १ – १ ० ]

॥ इति खंडपानयोक्तं कथानकम् ॥

1 P इणो इणो, छेदो छेदो, साहो साहो, इस कहेता गुरुडनैं कहिवा लागा। 2 P अम्हो। 3 P मुंनि मुंकी किहांई गयो। हिवे मूलदेव बोलीओ – 'छंतीनें पवनि भोगवी, भीम नामि पुत्र प्रसच्यो; तथा अंजना पवनि भोगवी इनुमंति नामि पुत्र जण्यो । जे ए पुराणनी वात सत्य छइ, तो तुंए पवनि भोगवी पुत्र आव्यो तेह पणि सत्य ज । १-२ । [ ५,११ ]

तथा तुं पृछिसि जे – 'माहरइ पुत्र आव्यो ते मुझस्युं आलाप संलाप करी, तरत जई किम सकइ, तेह उपरि व्यासनी वात सांभलि – पाराशर ऋषिईं योजनगंधा माछिणी भोगवी, व्यास नामि पुत्र जनम्यो । 'माता ! अवसरि मुझने संभारजे' – इम जन्मकालि ज कही तनकाल वनि गयो । पछइ ऋषिनइ प्रभावईं योजनगंधा अक्षतयोनि थई । शांतनु राजाईं भोगवी विचित्रवीर्य नामि वेटो आव्यो; ते अपुत्रिओ मरण पाम्यो । तिवारि योजनगंधाईं वंशनो उच्छेद थयो जांणी वेदव्यास संभार्यो हुंतो वनथी आव्यो । माई कहिउं – 'पुत्र ! वंश उद्धरि' । पछैं तेहनें प्रभावि पांडु, घृतराष्ट्र, 1 विदुर नामि त्रिणि पुत्र आव्या । ते मोटा थया । ते त्रिणिनी स्त्रीओ – भोजाईओ वेदव्यासिं भोग-वीओ । पछइ तपलोपनुं कारण जाणी कोपिं त्रिणिं सराप्यो<sup>1</sup> । जो वेदव्यास जन्मसमये ज मातानें वीनवी वनि गयो, तो ताहरो पुत्र जन्म समये जे आलाप संलाप करीनें तरत अद्य धई किहांए गयो, ए कोण न माने ? । ३ । [ ५,१२–१९ ]

॥ इति खण्डपानां प्रति मूलदेवेनोक्तं प्रत्युत्तरकथानकत्रयम् ॥ १-३॥

म् वली खंडपाना बोली – 'माहरे उमादेवी सखी हती, तेणीइं सर्व देव दानवने आकर्षे एहवी आक-र्षणी विद्या आपी । ते विद्याइं मई सूर्यनइ आकर्षी आण्यो । तेणई हुं भोगवी । तेथी महाबलवंत पुत्र आव्यो । जे सूर्य ब्यासी हजार योजन प्रमाण पृथ्वी दहइ, ते साथिं संभोग सुख भोगवती हुं किम न बली ?' ॥

## ॥ इति खण्डपानयोक्तं कथानकम् ॥

अतिवारि कंडरीक बोलिओ – 'जो छंती सूर्य साथइ भोग करतां न बली, तो तुं किम बलह १' ॥ [ ५,२०-२३ ]

॥ इति खण्डपानां प्रति कण्डरीकेनोक्तं प्रत्युत्तरकथानकम् ॥ ४ ॥

वली खंडपाना बोली – 'मई कोइक समइ अग्नि आकर्षिओ, तेणई हुं भोगवी । तेथी महातेजवंत पुत्र थयो । जे अग्नि अंग लागो हुंतो बालै, ते साथइ भोग भोगवतां हुं किम न बली ?' ॥

॥ इति खण्डपानयोक्तं कथानकम् ॥

िवारि एलापाढ बोलिओ – 'कोइक समइ यमनी स्त्री धूमोर्णा होम देवा अग्निनै घरि गई इती । तिहां अग्नि साथइ कीडा करवा लागी । तिवारि अकस्मात् आवतो यमनइ देषी भयभ्रांत थई, धूमोर्णाइ नीरनी परि, संभोग पूरो थया विना ज, अग्निनें पेट मांद्दि ऊताखो । यमइ पणि शिथिलां-भोपांग कटि मेसला इत्यादिक लक्षणइ स्त्रीनै सापराध जांणी उदरइ गली, देव सभाइ गयो । तिहां

1 त्रिणे सापीउ।

28

देवताइ हासी करी पूछिउं - 'तुम्हनें त्रिणनइं सुख छै ?'। तिवारि यमनें मुखें थई उदरथी बाहिर धूमोर्णा नीसरी । तेहना उदरथी मुखमार्गइ अग्नि नीकली नासीनइ वन मांहिं पइठो । यम ते पूठि धायो । वन मांहिं जई अग्नि आव्यानो समाचार हाथीनइ पूछिओ । तेलिं न कह्यो । ते माटि गजनी बाचा छेदीनइ यम पोताने घरे आव्यो । जो यमनी स्त्री अग्नि भोगवी अनइ न बली, तो तुं अग्नि साथि भोग भोगवतां किम बलइ ?' ॥ [ ५,२४-३१ ]

इति खण्डपानां प्रति एलाषाढेनोक्तं प्रत्युत्तरकथानकम् ॥ ५ ॥

वली खंडपाना बोली – 'मइं कोइक समये इंद्र आकर्षीओ । तेणइं हुं भोगवी । एक इंद्र समान पुत्र आव्यौ । अप्सराउ नइ मूकी इंद्र मुझनें भोगवी, ए वात किम संभवइ ?' ॥ ॥ इति खण्डपानयोक्तं कथानकम ॥

तिवारि शश बोलिओ - 'स्युं तइं नथी सांभल्युं, गौतम ऋषिनी भार्या अहिल्या इंद्र भोगवी; ते जांणी रीसें गौतम ऋषि सराप देई सहस्रभग करी इंद्रनें छात्रनइ आप्यो । ते छात्र कामज्वरइ पीड्या हुंता इंद्रनइ घणुं ज कष्ट देवा लागा। पछै सर्व देवताइं गौतम ऋषिने वीनती करीनै इंद्र मूकाव्यो । सहस्र भगनइ ठामि सहस्र लोचन थयां । तथा इंद्रइ छुंती भोगवी अर्जुन नामा महा-धनुर्धर पुत्र आव्यो । जो इंद्रइ अहिल्या छुंती भोगव्यां, तो तुझ सरीषी रूपक्ती स्त्रीने किम न भोगवइ । १-२। [ ५,३२-३७ ]

इति खण्डपानां प्रति शशेनोक्तं प्रत्युत्तरकथानकम् ॥ ६-७ ॥

तथा वली खंडपाना बोली – 'तुम्हे माहरुं नाम भोत्र ठाम मायावीपणुं जाणउ छो, किं वा नथी जाणता ?' तिवारि मूलदेवे कहिउं - 'पाटलीपुत्र नगरि गौतम गोत्री नागशर्म बाह्मणनी पुत्री, नाग-श्रीनी क्रूखिनी उपनी, खंडपाना नामि प्रसिद्ध छैं'। तिवारि खंडपाना बोली -- 'तुम्हने सरिख़ु रूप देखी भ्रांति थइ छइ, पणि हुं नागशर्म बाह्यणनी बेटी नही । राजाना रजकनी दग्धिका नामि बेटी छुं । 20 माहरुं घर राजमंदिरनी परें धन-धान्य संकीर्ण छइ । राजानां अंतःपुरनां वस्त इजार मजूरस्युं परवरी हुं धोउं छुं । कोइक समइ हुं बहु वस्ति शकट भरी हजार मजूर साथइ नदीतटइं गई । तिहां छड-छड, हुं, छे, शं, टा शब्द बोलता हजार मजूरइ सर्व वस्त्र धोई तापें सुकवा मूक्यां । एइवि महावायु वायो । तेणि सर्व वस्त्र अपहरी उडाड्यां । तिवारइ मईं माहरा सर्व मजूरनइ कहां - तुम्हे जिहां जवाइ तिहां नासी जाओ । किसी चींता न करस्यो । राजा जे करस्यइ ते हुं अ सहीस । पछे हुं गोहनुं रूप धरी रातइ नगरनें उद्यानि कीडा करती रही । प्रभातें, रखे कोई गोह जाणीनइ मारइ - इम चीतवी आम्रलतातुं रूप धरी अशोकिं वलगी रही । पछइ पवनइ हयाँ वस्त जांणीनइ न्यायवंत ते राजाइं पटह वजाड्यो जे - 'अहो रजको ! जे जिहां गया होइ ते सर्व तिहांची पोतानें घरि आवो । तुम्हनें अभय छइ' । ते वात सांभल्ली सर्व रजक घरि आव्या । द्वंए आम्रखता-पणुं मूंकी मूलगूं स्वीनुं रूप धरी घरि आवी । पछइ माहरो पिता नदी तरी ते शकट लेवा गया । # तिहां बाधर सगलां छगालि खाधा देखी, वन मांहिं वाधर जोवा भमतां, एक उंदरनुं पूंछडु लाधुं। तेइनां अनेक मोटां वाधर पूरां नीपजावी हर्षि घरि पिता आव्यो । ए सर्व सत्य, किंवा असत्य छे १' ॥ [ ५,३८-५८ ]

॥ इति खण्डपानयोक्तं कथानकम् ॥

Jain Education International

तिवारि शश बोलिओ – 'जो ब्रह्मा विष्णु जेइनो पार न पांम्या तेहवुं मोटुं ईश्वरनुं लिंग इतुं तो उंदरनुं पूंछ मोटुं किम न संभवइ १।

तथा, शास्ति हनुमंतनुं पूंछडुं अति मोटुं संमलाइ छइ। जेणि पूंछडइ आसी लंका नगरी बीटी अग्निइ बाली। जो हनुमंतनुं पूंछडुं एवडुं मोटुं हतुं, तो उंदरनुं पूंछडुं मोटुं किम न होइ १ ४ [ ५,५९-६३ ]

तथा, पुराण मांहिं सांभल्युं छइ - गंधारिकावर राजा अरण्यइं मनुष्यपणुं मूंकी कुरुवक हुम थयो । ते पूर्विं राजा नघुष हतो, जेणइ संग्राम करतां इंद्र जीतो । ते इंद्रनइ तिरस्कार करतो जाणी वृहस्पति शराप्यो हुंतो, अरण्यइ अजगिर थयो । एहविं राज्यभ्रष्ट पांच पांडव अरण्य आव्या, ते मांहिं एकाकी भमतो भीम वनिं गयो, ते अजगिरें गल्यो । ते वात सांभली युधिष्ठिर ते अजगिर "पासिं गया । तेणिं सात प्रश्न पूछियां । तेहना युधिष्ठिरे उत्तर दीधा । पछी भीम पाछो वन्यो । शापने अंतें अजगरपणुं मूंकी वली नघुष राजा थयो । जो ए सत्य छइ, तो गोधा आम्रलत्वानणुं धरीनइ तुं वली स्त्रीपणुं किम न पामइ ? ॥ [ 4,६४-६९ ]

॥ इति खण्डपानां प्रति शशेनोक्तं कथानकत्रयम् ॥ ८-१० ॥

तिवारि खंडपाना बोली – 'जो धूर्त्त राजाओ ! तुम्हे सघला माहरुं वचन प्रमाण करो, तो हुं 15 सर्वनइ भोजन दिउं । अनइ जो कदाचित तुम्हने हुं माहरी खुद्धिं हरावीस, तो तुम्हो जग मांहिं कउडीमात्र मूल्य नही पांमो' । तिवारि ते सर्व धूर्त बोल्या – 'अम्हनें ब्रह्मा, बृहस्पति, विष्णु जीती सकइ नहीं, तो तुं खी मात्र अम्हनें किम जीतीस ?' । तिवारि खंडपाना बोली – 'तुम्हें जमासड जुओ । हवडां तुम्हने हरावुं छुं । पछै हुं वायुइं अपहर्यां ते राजानां वस्त जोवा राजानी आझाइं नीकली । तथा, पूर्वे घणा कालना माहरा च्यार दास नासी गया हता तेहनी अनइ बस्तनी छुद्धि 24 करती प्रथिवीइं भमती इहां आवी । ते माहरा दास तुम्हे च्यार छो, ते वस्त तुम्हे लीघां छइं । जो ए असत्य जाणी न मानो, तो सर्व महाजननइ भोजन दिओ; जो सत्य जाणो तो तुम्हो वस्त्र चोर छो, माहरा दास छो । [ ५,७०-७६ ]

तिवारि ते सर्व धूर्त जाज्या, विषाद पांग्या । बेहुं प्रकारि उत्तर देवा असमर्थ हुंता, दीन धई कहिवा लागा - 'जे खंडपाना, तुझथी अधिक जग मांहिं कोई बुधिवंत नथी; जेणीइ अस्ह सरीखा महाधूर्त्त महाबुद्धिवंत जीत्या । ते माटि हिवइ सात दिननइ वरसाते भूष्या सर्व धूर्त्तनइ तुं भोजन करावि' । पछे खंडपाना ते सर्व धूर्त्तनें वचनइं हर्ष पामी सर्वनें भोजन देवा महाभीषण रमशानि गई । तिहां ततकाल्जनो मरण पाम्यो बालक कोईक लोक मूकी गया हता, तेहनें तिहांथी लेई जलि न्हवरावी, वस्त पहिरावी, डजेनी नगरी मांहिं जई, एक महाधन-वंत सेठनें घरि पइठी । तिहां आसने बैठो, अनेक लोके परिवर्यो, व्यापार मांहिं व्यमचित्त, घरनो अधणी व्यवहारीओ सेठ दीठो । तेहनें पासि जई, दीन धई, रीस चढावचा खंडपाना कहिवा लागी -'अहो सेठ ! हुं दरद्री बाझणनी स्ती छुं, अनाथ छुं, असरण छुं; ते माटि आ बालकनें पाळवा, धन मांगवा, तुं महाउपकारी पुरुष पासि आवी छुं । इम तेहनुं वारंवार वचन सांभल्ली, व्यापार मांहिं व्यमचित्तपणा माटि व्यवहारीइ पोताने सेवकनें आज्ञा दीधी जे - 'ए पापिणी रंडानें घर बाहिर मारी काढड । पछइ ते व्यवद्दारीआना सेवकइ दीन भाषती ते धूर्त्तानें घर बाहिर घसरडीनें काढवा मांडी । तिवारि ते कपट करी सहसात्कारि भूमिकाइं पडी महास्वरइ आकंद विठाप करती उठी --हा हा अरे छोको ! जुओ आ पापी व्यवहारीइ धननें गर्वइ आंधळइ हुं अनाथ निराधारनो पुत्र मार्यो । मुझने आसा इती जे आ पुत्र मोटो थास्थे, तेथी सकल मनोरथ संपूर्ण थास्थे । ते आसा-रूपणी वेल, ए पापी व्यवहारीइ मत्त गजनी परि उन्मूली नास्ती । इम विलाप करती, हृदय ताडती, s केश विखेरती, भूमि आलोटती, ते धूर्त्ता स्त्रीनइ देखीनें -- मई माहरा सेवकनें आज्ञा देई सोकल्या, तेणइ आ बाह्यणीनो पुत्र मारी, महा उत्पात कस्त्रो, जो ए वात राजा सांभलस्ये तो मुझने दंडस्ये --इम चिंतवतो ते व्यवहारीओ पोताना परिवार साथइ जई दीन थई मनावा लागो जे -- वहिनी ! भवितव्यता हती ते थई; हवि विलाप करें स्युं थाइ ? ताहरे जे द्रव्य जोईह ते लिइ'-- इम कही पोतानी रक्रजटित मुद्रका आपी । सम देवरावी उठाडी । पछइ ते मुद्रका लेई उद्यानि आवीनइ ॥ संखपानाइ सर्व धूर्त्तनइं ते मुद्रिका देखाडी । चडहटइ जई वैची । तेहनें द्रव्ये सर्व भोज्य वस्तु आणी सर्व धूर्त्तनइं मोजन कराव्युं । पछइ ते सर्व धूर्त्त संतुष्ट थई खंडपानानइ वपाणवा लागा --'जे तुं महा बुद्धिवंत, ताहरुं जीवित सफल छइ । जे वचन महा पंडित बोली न जाणइ, तेहवां वचन सांभस्त्या विनाए पणि स्त्रीओ बोली जाणइ । उकछ --

इम खंडपानानइं वषाणी । मूल्लदेव प्रमुख सर्व धूर्त्त पोता पोतानें ठांमिं गया । [५,७७-१०९]

۶

तथा, विष्णु सर्च व्यापी छइ, तिल तुष मात्र किसी वस्तु अण व्यापी मथी रही । जो विष्णु सर्च ध्यापी छइ, तो अछगी कोण वस्तु रही, जेहनें ज्ञानें करी देषइ ? । तथा, गणेश पार्वतीना शरीरना मइल्धी ऊपनो, तथा पार्वती हिमाचलधी ऊपनी -- इत्यादिक, भारत रामायण पुराणादिकनां वचन, 20 कूट कांचननी परि परीक्षा करतां विघटइं । ए सर्व सिध्यात्वीनां वचन, गर्धभलींडांनी परि बाहिर सुंदर, अंसर दृत्ति तुस-सूंस सरिषां जाणी, सम्बग् टट्टीइं, सम्यक्त्व शुद्धि करवा त्रिविध त्रिविध मिध्यात्वीना प्रंथ परिहरि, सकछ पुरुषार्थ साधक, परमानंद पददायक, पूर्वापर विरोधगंध रहित श्री वीतराग त्रैलोक्यपूजित सर्वज्ञनां वचन सांभळवां, सइहवां, अनुमोदवां, ध्याववां ।।

॥ इति सकलश्वेताम्बरशिरोमणि-सर्वशास्त्रालंकारायमाण-भद्दारक-श्रीहरिभद्रसूरिविरचितस्य धूर्ताख्यानप्रबन्धस्य बालाव-बोधरूपाः कथाः समाप्ताः ॥

संबत् १७५८ वर्षे कार्षिकमासे ग्रुष्टपक्षे द्वादशीतियौ श्वनिवासरे श्रीउदैपुरमञ्चे हिपितं पं० रुक्ष्मीकीर्ति[ना]-खरतरगच्छे जिणमाणिक्यशाखायां वाक रब्बसुन्दरययिनां शिष्यरुक्ष्मीकीर्तिः ॥ ॥ चिरं ताराचंद् ॥ ॥ ग्रुमं मवतु ॥ ॥ श्रीरस्तु ॥ ॥ श्रीरस्तु ॥

38

15

25

## INDEX OF ALL THE PROPER NAMES.

This is an alphabetical list of all the proper names, in their Sanskrit form, occurring in the Dhūrtākhyāna of Haribhadra. The Devanāgarī numbers refer to the chapters and gāthās of the Prākrit text. The English numerals refer to the pages of 'The Dhūrtākhyana:  $\Lambda$  Critical Study', included in this volume. Thus this Index will help the reader to spot the required name in the Prākrit text, and also to refer to the critical remarks etc. about it in the Study.

अगस्स १-६३, ४-२७, -३०; pp. 3, 8, 30, 32.	कीचक १-४१ इ., -४८, -५१; pp. 3, 26, 34, 36.
अग्नि ३–७६, ४–६६, ५–२५,–१११; $\operatorname{pp.} 7, 10, 11,$	उन्ती १-८३ इ., ५-११, -२३, -३७; pp. 4, 9,
29, 31, 35.	10, 27, 31, 32, 36.
अअना ३-३८; pp. 7, 31.	<b>कुम्भकर्ण ४-२५ इ.;</b> pp. 8, 30.
अनन्त २-४७, ४-८६.	कृत्तिका ३-७७; pp. 7, 29.
अनऌ≕अग्नि ३–७१.	कृष्ण २-५६, ५-११२ इ., -११६; pp. 5, 12, 27,
अन्र ४-४१, -४४; pp. 8, 30.	28, 30.
अरण्यपर्व २-३१; pp. 5, 26.	केशव १-७९, २-४१, -४५, -५८, ५-५९; pp. ö,
अरुण, see अनूर.	27, 28.
अर्जुन २६०; pp. 5, 27.	खण्डपाना १-७, -८, ४-१४ इ., -१७, -३२, -९४,
अर्थशास्त्र ५-१; pp. 9, 26.	४-१, -६, -२०, -२४, -३२, -३८, -७०, -७३,
अश्वापहत ३-२२; pp. 6, 28.	-80, -908, -908; pp. 2, 8, 9, 10, 11.
अहल्या ५-३३; pp. 10, 31, 32.	गग्गछि (=गागछि) १-४५; p. 3.
इन्द्र १-६०, ५-३३ इ., -३७, -१११; pp. 3, 4, 9,	गङ्गा १-२५, -३४, -५२, -८५, -८८, ४-२८; pp.
10, 11, 29, 31, 32.	2, 3, 4, 8, 26, 27, 29, 30.
ईयार, see स्वामिन, also, pp. 3, 4, 7.	गणाधिपति ५-११४; pp. 12, 33.
उज्जेनी ३-२, -२९, ५-९३; pp. 2, 3, 11.	गन्धारिवर ५-६४; pp. 11, 31, 32.
उमा १-४०, -६०, -९२, ३-६०, -६६, -६८, ५-२०;	गरुड ४-४५, -४७ इ., -५० इ., -६६, -७२, -७४,
pp. 3, 7, 10, 27, 29, 32, 35.	-७६ इ., -८३, -८६ इ.; pp. 8, 9, 30.
उर्षशी ५-११५; pp. 12, 33.	गिरिसुता ३-५४.
<b>एकचफ्र ४-२२;</b> p. 8.	गोवर्धन ४-८८; pp. 9, 30.
पुरुषाषाढ १-७, २-२६ इ., -४३, -५२, -७५ इ., ३-१,	गौतम १-६६, ५-३३ इ., -३६, -३९; pp. 3, 10,
-42, -29, -29, -92, -96, 4-28; ${ m pp.}2,5,$	31, 32.
6, 10, 23.	चकायुध ४-८४.
ऐरावण ५-३२.	चतुर्मेख ४-५६.
कण्डरीक १-७, -३१, -३५, २-१ इ., -२६, -३९,	चन्द्र ५-१११; pp. 11, 32.
-82, -49, -08 2., 4-22; pp. 2, 3, 4, 5,	चित्रकूट ५-१२३; p. 12.
10.	जटायु २-६२, -६७, -७४; pp. 6, 28, 34, 36.
ت المعرفي المع المعرفي المعرفي	जमद्गि १-६३, ३-२१ इ., -२४; pp. 3, 6, 32.
<b>कमछदुछ २-६</b> ; p. 4.	जम्बूहीप ५-११८.
कर्ण ३-८४; pp. 4, 10, 26, 27, 34, 36.	जरासंध ३२६; pp. 6, 28.
काइयय १-६३, ४-३३, -५२, -६४, -६६, -७३,	जहु ४-२८ इ.; pp. 8, 30.
pp. 3, 8, 30, 32.	आह्रयी १-९२, ४-२९; see गाता also.

### १७

ज्वलन=अग्नि ३-७०, ५-२४ इ., -२९, -३१. तिलोत्तमा १-६५, -७३, ३-२८, -३२, -३६, ч-яяч; pp. 3, 6, 7, 12, 26, 27, 33. त्रिपुर- ३--७०. दक्षिणदेश ३-८३. दग्धिका ५-४१; p. 10. द्रामुख ३-४७. वरारथ २-६९, -७३, ३-४६. देवकी २-४१; pp. 5, 27. द्रपद २-५२; pp. 5, 28, 34. **द्रोण १~६१,५-११५;** pp. 3, 12, 26, 27, 32, 33, द्रीणगिरि ३-५०, -९४; pp. 7, 30. द्रीपदी २-५२, -५४, -६०; pp. 5, 6. धनद ३-६, -९. धर्म ५-१११; pp. 11, 32. धर्मपुत्र २-३१, ५-६७; pp. 5, 11. धूर्ताख्यान १-१, ५-१२२. धतराष्ट्र ५-१६; p. 9. नर १-८१, ५-११६; p. 12. नरकूवर ५-११५; pp. 12, 33. नागशर्मन् ५-३९; p. 10. निषाद ४-६१; pp. 9, 30. निसुन्द ३-२७, -३१ इ.; pp. 6, 7, 29, 33, निःशल्या ३-५०; p. 7. नीखा ५-11; pp. 9, 31. परछराम ३-२२; p. 6. पवन ३-४२ इ., ५-८, -११, -१९, -११४; pp. 7, 12. पवनतनय ३-३८, -४९. पवनसुत १-८६, ३-४५, see हनुमत्; p. 4. पद्मपति १-६०, -९१; pp. 3, 27. पाटलीपुत्र ४-१८, ५-३९; pp. 8, 10. पाण्ड ५-१६, -६६; р. 9. पाराझर १-६३, ५-१२; pp. 3, 9, 32. पार्षेती pp. 7, 12, 33. पुराण १-१४, -३१, -३५, -५३, २-२७, ४-१५, -२७, ५-६४; pp. 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 11, 26. वक ४-२२, -२४; pp. 8, 30. बदुरिकाभम १-७५, ४-५० इ.; pp. 4, 8. बछि ३-९०; p. 7. **बृहस्पति=सुरगुरु, ५-६६; p.** 11. महास १-३७ इ., -४६, -४९, -६९, -७१, -७४ इ., - 00, -09, 2-24, -20, -29, 4-49, -994; pp. 3, 4, 5, 8, 12, 26, 27, 28, 33.

भारत १-१४, -३१,-३५, -४१, -५८, -८२, २-२७, ३-२०, ४-१४ इ., -१९, ५-११९; pp. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 26, 30. भीम २-५९, ४-२२, -२४, ५-११, -१९, -६७ इ.; pp. 5, 8, 9, 11, 28, 30, 31, 32. मधुमथ ३-९१, -९६, ४-८८; pp. 7, 9. मन्दरगिरि २-३४, -५६, -६३, -७४; p. 6. मन्दोद्री ३-४८. मन्मथ (=मदन) १-६४, ५-१११; p. 3. महादेव ३-७१. महाबीर १-६१; pp. 3, 32. महासेन १-२९, ३-५३, ३-८२, -८५; pp. 7, 29. माया ५-१९७; p. 12. मार्कण्डेय २-३१; pp. 5, 27. मूलदेव १-१२, -१५, -१७, -३२, ५-११, -३८; pp. 2, 9, 10, 23. मूलश्री १-७, -८, २-१; pp. 2, 4. यम ३-१३, ५-२७ इ., -३०; p. 10. यमपत्नी ५--२६, --३१. यादव ५–११७; p. 12. योजनगन्धा ५-१३ इ.; p. 9. रक्तकुण्डलिन् १-७९; pp. 4, 26, 32. रवि ५--२९ इ., -२५. राचन ९-८६, २-६५; p. 4. राम २-६४, -६७ इ., -७३, ३-४६ इ., -७२, राम(व)ण २-६२, -६८, -७१, ३-९३; pp. 6, 7, 9, 28. रामायण १-१४, -३१, -८५, २-६२, ३-२०, -९३, ४-१४ इ., ५-६०, -११९; pp. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 12, 26. राह ३-८८; pp. 7, 30. रुद्र १-७७, -७९, ३-६९, -७२; pp. 3, 4, 29, रेणुका ३-२१; pp. 6, 28, 32, 33. डहमण ३-४९, -९४; pp. 7, 28. छड्डा १-८६, २-६८, ३-४६, ४-६०, ५-६२; pp. 4; 7, 9, 11, 30. वज्रभर ३--६३. वराह ३-९६; pp. 7, 30. बसिष्ट १-६३; pp. 3, 32. वसुदेवसुत (=वासुदेव) २-४३; p. 5. वायु ५-१११; pp. 11, 32. वायुपुत्र २-७१, ५-६२ इ. बाङसिक्य ४-५६; p. 8.

विचित्रवीर्य ५-१३; p. 9. विदुर ५–१६; pp. 9, 31. विनता ४-३३, -३५ इ., -४४, -४७ इ., -७५; pp. 8, 9, 30, 33. विराटराज १-४२; p. 3. विष्णु १-३९, -५४, ३-९०, ४-८०; pp. 3, 4, 7, 9, 27, 28, 30, 32, 34. विष्णुपुराण २-२७; pp. 5, 26, 27. ज्यास १-४१, ५-१२, -१९; pp. 3, 9, 26, 31. হাক ৭-৫৭, ৬-২৬, - ६৬, - ৩২. शंकर १-७५; pp. 4, 29. भन्तनु ५-१३; p. 9. सन्न १−७, ३-२०, *−८८, −*९०, *−*९८, ४−१, −१४ ぎ., -90, -そ年, -えり ぎ.,-くと, 4+ええ, -44; pp. 2, 6, 8, 10, 19, 23. शरीपाल (=शिशुपाल) २-५५; pp. 5, 28. शशिभूषण ३-५४. शिव pp. 7, 10, 29, 35. रग्रूलपाणि ३-६४. शैलसुता ५–११४, –११७. श्रुति १-५३, -८९, २-२७, ३-८८, ४-२७, ५-६४; p. 26.

श्वेतकुण्डलिन् see स्वेद-. **वेताम्बर ५-१२५;** p. 12. षण्मुख ३-८२, ५-११५; pp. 12, 32. सितकुण्डलिन्, see स्वेद-. सीता १-८६ इ., २-६२, -६४ इ., -६७ इ., -६९ इ., ३-४६; pp. 6, 28. सुन्द ३-२७, -३१ इ.; pp. 6, 7, 29. सूर्य १-८१, ८३, ५-१११; p. 11. सोमश्री ५-३९; p. 10. स्वामिन्=ईश्वर १-२८; pp. 2, 3. स्वेदकुण्डलिन् १-७५, २-११६; pp. 4, 12, 26, 32. हनुमन् १-८७, २-६५ इ., ३-४१, -४४, -९४, 8-89, -82, 4-99, -98, 4-80, -82; pp. 6, 7, 9, 11, 27, 29, 30, 31, 34. हर १-५२, -६३, ३-५९, ४-२८; pp. 3, 4, 8, 26, 27, 32. **हरि १**-६३, -७६, ५-७२; p. 3. हरिभद्र ५–१२४ इ. हलधर (=बलराम) ५-११७; pp. 12, 33. हिमवत् ४-६१. हतवह=अग्नि ३-५८, -६५ इ., -७१ इ., ५-२६.

## धूर्ताख्याने समुद्धृतानां पद्यानां वर्णानुक्रमसूची

	Prākrit Text	Sanskrit Text	Gujarati Text
अषीत्य शास्त्राणि	<b>V. 110</b>	420	p. 65
अवि उड्ठं म्रिअ	<b>V.</b> 4	3	
आख्यास्यति पितुः	II. 73	<u> </u>	
आहारे चैव	<b>V.</b> 18	343	
खज्जुरी मारुइणो	IV. 92		Sec. 20
जस्स पभावेणु°	<b>II.</b> 50	125	p. 54
तव प्रसादात्	I. 87*1	78	p. 52 (?)
तेषां कटतट	IV. 20	<b>258</b>	p. 59
त्यागेन भूतानि	V. 103		
पवणुषिअ आहारो	V. 5.		-
स्रीणां कृते	III, 35	185	p. 57
हरथी दम्मइ	III. 67	215	p. 57

1

Jain Education International

# PUBLICATIONS OF THE SINGHI JAIN SERIES

- Prabandhacintāmaņi of Merutungācārya (A. D. 1306): Part I, Text in Sanskrit with Variants, an Appendix and Indices of Stanzas. This is an important collection of stories, legends and anecdotes connected with kings like Vikramārka, Bhoja, Kumārapāla etc. and author-poets like Siddhasena, Māgha, Dhanapāla etc. Critically edited with various research accessories by JINA VIJAVA MUNI. Demy quarto pp. 12+136. Price Rupees 3-12-0.
- Purātana-Prabandha-Saingraha: This is a noteworthy collection of many old Prabandhas similar and analogous to the matter in the Prabandhacintāmani. Authentically edited with Indices of Verses and Proper Names, a short Introduction in Hindi describing the Mss. and materials used in preparing this part and with plates by JINA VIJAYA MUNI. Demy quarto pp. 15+ 32+156+8. Price Rupees 5-0-0.
- 3. Prabandhacintāmaņi (Complete Hindi Translation): A complete and correct Hindi translation is given in this volume, so as to enable the purely Hindiknowing public to grasp fully the contents of the original. The translator is Pandit Hajāriprasād Dwivedi, Ācārya, Hindi Deptt. Vis'vabhāratī, S'āntiniketan. Along with the translation has been given an exhaustive Introduction in Hindi by the General Editor, MUNI JINA VIJAVAJI, which contains useful material for the proper understanding of the text. Demy quarto pp. 12+ 12+156. Price Rupees 3-12-0.
- 6. Prabandhakos'a of Rājas'ekharasūri (A. D. 1349): Part I, Text in Sanskrit with Variants, Appendices and Alphabetical Indices of stanzas and all Proper Names. This gives twentyfour biographical Prabandhas dealing with celebrities of ancient India such as Bhadrabāhu, Mallavādi, Haribhadra, Sātavāhana, Vastupāla etc. Critically edited in the original Sanskrit from good old Mss. with Variants, Hindī Translation, Notes and elaborate Introduction etc. by JINA VIJAYA MUNI. Demy quarto pp. 8+8+136+14. Price Bupees 4-0-0.
- 7. Devānanda-Mahākāvya of Meghavijayopādhyāya (Sam. 1727): This is a Sanskrit poem in ornate style composed as a Samasyā-pūrti incorporating some line or the other, in each verse, from the S'is'upālavadha of Māgha. In its seven cantos, it presents a biography of Vijayadevasūri who was honoured by both Akbar and Jehangir. Critically edited from an old Ms. with Notes, Index and Hindi Introduction, summary etc. by Pt. BECHARDAS J. DOSHI. Demy quarto pp. 8+16+80. Price Rupees 2-12-0.
- Jaina Tarkabhāşā of Yas'ovijaya (A. D. 1624-1688): It is a manual of Nyāya dealing with Pramāņa, Naya and Niksepa. Edited by Pt. SUKHALALJI SANGHAVI with his Tātparyasaingrahā Vrtsi and an Introduction in Hindī. Super Royal 8vo pp. 8+8+14+78. Price Rupess 1-12-0.

- 9. Pramānamīmāmsā of Hemacandrācārya: This is a treatise on Nyāya left incomplete perhaps by the author himself. It propounds the Jaina point of view after reviewing the tenets of other systems. Edited with a valuable Introduction and still more valuable Notes in Hindī by Pt. SUKHALALJI SINGHAVI and Pts. MAHENDRAKUMARA and MALAVANIA. Super Royal 8vo pp. 8+16+56+ 76+144+36. Price Rupees 5-0-0.
- 10. Vividhatīrthakalpa of Jinaprabhasūri (A. D. 1332): Part I, Text in Sanskrit and Prākrit with Variants, and an Alphabetical Index of all Proper Names. This work gives a description of nearly 60 holy places together with the names of their founders etc.; and thus forms a sort of guide-book or gazetteer of Jaina sacred places of India of the 14th Century. It contains valuable information of historical and topographical interest. Critically edited with Variants, Notes and elaborate Introduction etc. by JINA VIJAYA MUNI. Demy quarto pp. 8+16+114+14. Price Rupees 4-4-0.
- 11. The Life of Hemacandrācārya: The eminent German orientalist, Dr. G. Bühler, wrote in 1889, an epoch-making essay in German on Hemacandra (A. D. 1088-1173) who occupies a place of honour in Indian literature. This essay is a fine model of historical research; and, as such, for the benefit of English knowing readers, it has been translated here into English by Dr. MANILAL PATEL. Demy quarto pp. 16+104. Price Rupees 3-8-0.
- 12. Akalanka-Granthatrayam comprising Laghiyastrayam with Svopajña-vrtti, Nyāya-vinis'caya and Pramāņasamgraha: These are three noteworthy Nyāya works of Akalankadeva (c. A. D. 720-780), the last two being brought to light for the first time. Edited with Critical Notes, Variant Readings, Introduction [ in Hindi ] and Indices etc. by Pt. MAHENDRAKUMARA. Super Royal Svo pp. 8+14+118+184+60. Price Rupees 5-0-0.
- 13. Prabhāvakacarita of Prabhācandrācārya (A. D. 1277): Part I, Text in Sanskri, with Variants and Indices of stanzas and all Proper Names. It presents in ornate style the traditional biographies of twenty eminent personalities including religious teachers like Vajrasvāmi, authors like Haribhadra and Hemacandra and poets like Mānatunga who have contributed to the glory of Jainism and the Jaina church. Critically edited from many old Mss. with Notes, Index and Hindī Introduction by JINA VIJAYA MUNI. Demy quarto pp. 10+6+226. Price Rupees 5-0-0.
- 14. Bhānucandra-caritra of Siddhicandra Upādhyāya: This is a remarkable composition of Sanskrit litarature in which an able pupil, namely, Siddhicandra has chronicled, without the least exaggeration, acts of social and religious service rendered by his great Guru Bhānucandra. It is not only a biography of the Guru but also an autobiography of the pupil, both of whom had connections with and were honoured at the Moghul court by Akbar and Jehangir. The English Introduction by the Editor is a rich mine of historical information. Critically edited from a single rare Ms. with elaborate Introduction, Summary, Appendices, Indices etc. by M. D. DESAI. Demy quarto pp. 3+12+104+68, Price Rupees 6-D-O.

- 15. Jhānabindu-prakaraņa of Yas'ovijaya Upādhyāya: This is a systematic manual of Jaina epistemology. The Hindī Introduction of the editor is a brilliant exposition of Jaina theory of knowledge in the back-ground of Indian metaphysics. The Sanskrit Text edited with Introduction, Notes and Index etc. by Pt. SUKHLALAJI SANGHAVI, Pt. DALSUKH MALVANIA and Pta HIRA KUMARI DEVI. Super Royal 8vo pp. 12+8+84+136. Price Rupees 3-8-0.
- 16. Brhatkathākos'a of Harisenācārya: The work contains a number of narrative tales—as many as 157—on all sorts of subjects including folk-tales and parables which inculcate religio-moral principles of Jainism and glorify men of religion and ascetic heroes. They have been selected from the different strata of Jaina literature. The Introduction which is exhaustive and illuminating, evinces mature erudition of the editor. It is the first of its kind in so far as it presents an outlinear survey of Jaina Kathānaka literature in the back-ground of Indian literature. The Sanskrit text is critically edited with Introduction, Notes and Indices by Dr. A. N. UPADHYE, M. A., D. Litt. Super Royal 8vo pp. 20+128+402. Price Rupees 12-0-0.
- 17. Jainapustakapras'astisamgraha: Vol. I. The work contains 111 Pras'astis and 544 colophons, attached at the end of the ancient palm-leaf manuscripts of the Jaina and other works. They throw enormous light on the historical facts, social conditions, the Kulas, Ganas and Gacchas of the Jainas. Their historical importance is on a par with that of the inscriptions and the copperplates. Critically edited with an informative Introduction and ten Appendices by Achārya JINA VIJAYA MUNI. Demy quarto pp. 20+180. Price Rupees 6-8-0.
- 18. Dhūrtalhyāna: The Original Prākrit Text of Haribhadrasūri, Sanskrit metrical Version of Sanghatilaka, an Old-Gujarati Prose Rendering; also an elaborate Oritical Essay on the Dhūrtākhyāna by Dr. A. N. Upadhye; Critically edited by Achārya JINA VIJAYA MUNI. This is a unique satire in Indian Literature, remarkable for its originality of thought and plot. De luxe edition, Ledger Paper, Super Royal 8vo pp. 8+24+56+70. Price Rs. 5-8-0, Bombay 1944.

### [ To be Shortly out ]

- 19. Kathākos'aprakaraņam of Jines'varasūri: The Prākrit Text with Sankrit commentary. Critically edited by Achārya JINA VIJAVA MUNI. The commentary contains many Prākrit stories, which illustrate various religious virtues and are interesting from the sociological point of view. The tales are a fine specimen of Prākrit narrative literature.
- 20. Yugapradhāna-gurvāvalī. This is a gurvavalī which is unique in Indian Annals inasmuch as it records the year-to-year events in the lines of outstanding Achāryas of Kharatara gaccha, from the 11th to 14th century A. D. It is written in simple and popular Sanskrit. Critically edited by Achārya JINA VIJAYA MUNI.
- 21. Kumārapālacaritra Samgraha: This is a collection of some unpublished prabandhas connected with the life and times of the great Gurjara King, Kumārapāla of the Chālukya dynasty. They unfold a great deal of fresh historical material. Critically edited with Index etc by Achārya JINA VIJAYA MUNI.

ъ

- 22. Dharmābhyudaya-Mahākāvya of Udayaprabha: This is a stylistic kāvya in Sanskrit celebrating the religious activities of Vastupāla, the great minister of the Gūrjara King Viradhavala, and the patron and lover of fine arts & literature. The author is not only a contemporary but also the religious preceptor of Vastupāla. Critically edited with various Indices etc. by Munirāj S'rī PUNYAVIJAYAJI
- 23. Kīrțikaumudī and other Kāvyas etc. This volume contains various poems & Pras'astis written by authors who flourished at the time of Vastupāla. Kīrtikaumudī of Somes'vara, Sukrtasamkirtana-mahākāvya of Arisimha, Sukrtakirtikallolinī of Udayaprabha and Vastupālapras'astis of Naracandra, Narendraprabha, Jayasimha etc. Included in this volume are a superb supplement of the Dharmābhyudayamahākavya. Critically edited by Munirāj S'rī PUNYA VIJAYAJI.

### To be had from-

# BHARATIYA VIDYA BHAYAN BOWBAY 7.

SARASWATI PUSTAK BHANDAR 112, HATHIKHANA, RATANPOLE AHMEDABAD - 380001