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Books Received

Short exposition of the tenets of Jainism in relation to other Indian thoughts.

Life of Sagarmal Baid who died at the age of ninety.

Life of Siremal Bapna, humanitarian administrator of Indore.

Present new chronology of Bimbisara-Kharavela and Jaina explanation of Hathigumpha Inscription.

Describes the Jaina temples of Khajuraho. Illustrated.

Pages xxxvi+138+11. Price Rs. 2.00.
Criticises the tenets of the Jaina Svetambara Terapanth sect and its founder Acarya Bhiksu.

Gives short life sketch of Lord Rsabhanatha, the first Jaina Tirthankara.

Guide to Jaina temples of Karkal. Illustrated.

PUTTASWAMY, D., *Moodabidi*, (Kannad, Hindi & English) Vivekahyudaya Karyalaya, Mangalore, 1967. Pages 10+9+8. Price Re. 1.00
Guide to the Jaina temples of Moodabidri. Illustrated.
BOOK REVIEW

SRI MAHAVIR JAINA VIDYALAYA GOLDEN JUBILEE VOLUME, edited by a Board of Editors and published by Hon. Secretaries of the Vidyalaya from Gowalia Tank Road, Bombay 26, 1968. Part I, Pages xxiv+335+83+420 (D. Crown 1/8), Price Rs. 35.00 and Part II, Pages 24+224+248 (D. Crown 1/8), Price Rs. 15.00.

As it appears, perhaps in atonement of its long indifference to cultivate things literary and scholastic, the Jaina community of India during a brief span of the past few years has produced a number of bulky volumes to honour its individuals and institutions. The two parts of the Sri Mahavir Jain Vidyalaya Golden Jubilee Volume, now under review, take their place among them. This volume running in all a little over 1300 pages was printed on the occasion of the completion of fifty years by the school which runs a chain of educational institutions on the West Coast under the secterian patronage of the prosperous Jaina community there. Of these about 224 pages contained at the commencement of Part II are dedicated to recount the story of the steady growth of the school from its inception to date. Its principal work has been in the field of education where the pursuit of a general curriculum is supplemented by spiritual training and cultural activities. But no less has been its interest in the field of reproducing standard works in Jaina literature. The school has already brought out a revised edition of Hemacandra’s Kavyamudana a celebrated work in Indian poetics, and is going ahead with an ambitious project of bringing out a critical edition of the Ardhamagadhi canon with its commentaries in Prakrit and Sanskrit. But it has done a little more specialisation in the production of commemoration volumes in which the present one under review was preceded in 1956 by another in honour of a Jaina Acarya Vijayavallabha Suri.
Since education is an important window to the past and equips man for the future, any institution that is dedicated to this commendable role is rendering useful service, and by this criterion the school has reason to be proud of its achievement during the past half a century of its existence. During this period, it has trained many that have left a mark on the life of the society and brought it within the main stream of contemporary life. The Gujarati version of the life-story of the school in Part II is fairly exhaustive; but the reviewer feels that since the story is one of much wider interest, a summary of this in English, if not a verbatim rendering of the entire 224 page material into that language, would have brought it within the grasp of the wider world of academicians who do not have the equipment of the Gujarati language. The suggestion may be worth keeping in view at the time of the diamond jubilee of the school a few years hence.

In the educational sphere of this country, linguistic diversity is fast becoming a barrier between one region and another, and at a not very distant future we Indians between one region and another may be confronted with a situation in which we understand the foreigners better than we do one another. When this happens, particularly in the field of research, we may not know what people are really doing in different parts of this country. On international plane, to resolve such a difficulty, important documents use English and French side by side. In this country, where we have more than a dozen officially recognised regional languages, and since these are being increasingly used these days, much output, particularly in the field of research may but evoke a limited interest and may be virtually non-existent for the rest who do not have the equipment of a particular language. It is time therefore we start the practice of providing in English a summary of important findings of all the research papers produced in regional languages including Hindi so that they may not get lost to the world of scholarship. The observation should assume great relevance in the context of the volume currently under review where not only the history of the school but the majority of research papers on themes of Jaina cultural interest—this latter portion alone running over a little less than 600 pages—are produced in Gujarati. The plea should not be misconstrued as a disparagement of a regional language which can be developed only through increasing use; the intention is to impress the necessity of bringing the outcome of research within the reach of a wider world of scholarship. For this still English is an invaluable medium. In this connection the reviewer cites an instance which the editor of this Journal only recently brought to his notice. A couple of years back, Śrāmana, the Journal of the P.V. Research Institute, Varanasi, had printed an article in Hindi
On researches in Jainology currently in progress in India. The Editor had preferred to put the whole thing in English in a recent issue of this Journal and he has already started receiving communication from scholars from abroad who are interested in Jainology.

In terms of authors and dignitaries and works, the assortment presented in the volume has a wide coverage. Among the former, we have Haribhadra, Stulabhadra, Siddhasena, Sripala, Vastupala, Kanakakusala, Hemacandra, Yasovijaya, Megharaja, Hemaratna and others and among the latter the more important, that have been used are Pramāṇa-mimāṃsā, Yogabindu, Pārastika-prakāśa, Vasudhārā-dhāraṇī, Bhogavādigītā, Kuvalayamāla and Bhagavati Sūtra. There are philosophical topics like jñāna, pratyakṣa, sat-asat, ātman, parisjāna, anekānta, etc. There are dogmatic topics too on prayāscittra and samlekhāna. Some papers are devoted to Jaina literature and philology while others deal with Jaina art, history and archaeology. There are important plates, including a few in miniature paintings from early Jaina manuscripts. The overall production of the volume is pleasant and praiseworthy.

A review of a volume like this is easy as well as difficult. It is easy because there is no single thread connecting one article with another and the reviewer is at liberty to take specific notice of the more important ones. At the same time it is difficult because the contributions collected together cover a wide field, from general literature at one extreme to specialised branches like art, archaeology, grammar, rhetoric, phonetics and mathematics. To review such a thing one need be a general practitioner rather than a specialist. Two observations may however be pertinent, one applying to all collections of articles which are often making appearance these days and another specific to the volume under review. A volume of collections to be more useful need follow a certain line of classification of articles, as has been done, for instance, in the case of the Ramakrishna Mission Publication entitled Cultural Heritage of India. When it is absent, as it often is in all Jaina Commemoration volumes the reviewer has come across, it causes a certain amount of bewilderment to the reader. This bewilderment has been increased in the case of the volume under review by the fact that the two bunches of articles in Gujarati have been set in two different parts at a distance of at least 728 pages from each other. A better presentation would have been to start with history of the school in Part I, followed by all the articles in Gujarati in the same part, duly classified of course, and to include the entire material in English and Hindi in the second part.

Coming to specific articles in Gujarati in Part I, the first article by Rasiklal C. Parikh considers Haribhadra Suri's Jñāna-tattva-cintana.
Jñāna (knowledge) has been viewed as an invaluable aid to salvation in all the Indian religious attitudes, the more so in the Jaina where the liberated souls are characterised more by omniscience than anything else. This is followed by another article on the notion of pratyākṣa as it is discussed in Hemacandra’s Pramāṇa-mīmāṁsā. Pt. Dalsukh Malvania has made an exhaustive discussion on vyavahāra and niścaya naya of the Agamic age. The notion of sat-asat, nītya-anītya, etc., is important in Indian religious tradition and Pt. Sukhlal Sanghvi has presented a brief and neat comparative study on this based on Haribhadra’s Anekānta-jayapatākā. Mansukhlal T. Mehta’s article ‘Possession : the Root of Crimes’ should be of topical interest in view of the express antagonism to the possessor class at this time and the rise of extremist philosophy like the one propounded by Herbert Marcuse which has detected ‘irrationality’ in the existing system and desires its early demolition. There are two articles: one on poet Sripala and another on merchant prince Bastupala, both of the kingdom of Patana. There is an anthology of verses from Yati Kanakakusala, a poet from Kaccha, and another, a hymn dedicated to the twentyfour Tīrthankaras by a Gujratī poet Lavanyasamaya. Though Jainism has no place for bhaktivāda, some Jaina devotees have taken their cue from their Hindu counterparts and written poems expressing devotion to the liberated souls. This literature, though less known than the Vaisnava literatures of the Hindus, is pretty rich and claims many celebrated writers like Anandagana and Cidananda. On this literature in Gujrati Pannalal R. Shah has made an important contribution. Muni Ramankavijay has edited a long poem entitled Ananda-sandhi written in Apabhramsa by Vinaya Candra, a disciple of Ratnasimha Suri. Ramanlal C. Shah has written an exhaustive article on Vacaka Megharaja’s Nāla-Damayanti-carita. Vijayarai K. Vaidya throws light on the medieval Jaina writers of Gujrāt. Pt. Bechardas J. Doshi has written on a Persian encyclopaedia entitled Pārāśika-prakāśa compiled by one Krishnadas Misra. Manjulal R. Mazumdar has written on folk life of Gujrāt. Prabhāsa Sarkar Teraiya’s contribution deals with dvirukta (reproductive) in Gujarati language. Of Ludwig Alsdorf’s essay on Itthiparimna, a work on chanda (metre), there is a Gujarati translation by Arunodaya. N. Jani Narasimha L. Shah writes on Jaina Mathematics and its significance. Hirabahen R. Pathak’s long essay on viraha (separation of love) would be read with interest. Viraha has been an important element in the works of many poets, dramaticists and fiction-writers. Muni Jinavijaya has written on Jaina poet Hemaratna’s heroic poem entitled Gorā-Vādal-Padmamān-kathā-caupāi. Muni Punyavijaya has written on the lesser known inscriptions and panegyrics of Vastupala; they have been quoted at length. Sri Saraju B. Doshi has contributed an illustrated article on the
ancient palm-leaf paintings obtained from Jaina bhandāra in the Mysore. Some of these writings should be of wider interest.

* * * * *

Comparatively weak is the Hindi section only with nine papers. Sadhvi Nirmala has written a comparative essay on ātma-vāda. Within a narrow span she has compiled diverse Indian attitudes on ātman with the Jaina relegated to the end. No comparison has, however, been instituted. K. Risabhachandra has contributed two papers, one on the spread of Jainism in different regions in the country and another on the Pauranic literature of the Jainas. The Pauranic literature of the Jainas is very much posterior to that of the Hindu and is very much influenced by the latter in its style and presentation, though the theme of the two are distinctly separate. The dominant theme of the Jaina Pauranic literature is the life of the Tirthankaras along with that of the Cakra-varūtis, Baladevas, Vāsudevas, Pratīvāsudevas, etc. Jinasenacarya’s definition of Purāṇa as anything old is interesting and when more than one dominant personality is taken note of it becomes Mahāpurāṇa. Much of the Jaina Pauranic literature is still in manuscript in the private collections and may be of interest to researchers. There is an essay by Agarchand Nahata and Bhanwarlal Nahata on some unknown writings on the Kharatara gaccha Acaryas, and another by Kailash Chandra Shastri on Acarya Siddhasena of the Digambara order. Rajaram Jain writes on the celebrated Apabhramsa poet Raidhu who flourished in Central India. Bhanwarlal Nahata has written on a long scroll running 32 feet in length, of which 17 feet contain illustrative paintings and the rest provide an explanation in prose and poetry written in Sanskrit and Marwari languages. The text has been provided at length. The whole thing is a good specimen of workmanship. Deven-dra Kumar Jain has provided a catalogue of Apabhramsa literature. The last article is on the Jaina archaeological remains of the ‘Parasnath kila’ in the district of Bijnaur in U.P.

* * * * *

The English section has some very stimulating papers. In presenting the comparative stand of the Jainas and the Sankhya-Yoga School on parināma, Indukala M. Jhaveeri is confronted with the problem that if “Jiva and pūdgaḷa are fundamentally different from each other how the transformations of one can ever be related to those of the other”. The dichotomy is over-emphasized and the timeless association of the two seems to have been lost sight of. Her feeling that in
Samkhya, puruṣas and prakṛti “never come in real contact” may perhaps be disputed. Besides, are prakṛti and pudgala interchangeable expressions? The two brief articles by Nathmal Tatia seek evaluation of two Jaina tenets in the light of the Buddhist stand and yet they raise more issues than they resolve. The first article is a brief study of the Jaina anekānta vis-s-vis the Buddhist madhyamā-pratipada. One wonders if the two are really comparable or if anekānta was developed to shelter both hedonism and asceticism, as Tatia desires us to believe, while the Buddha was carving a “middle course”. The second article considers samlekhana or suspension of aliment till death which the Jaina monk and laity alike, considers a pious way of cutting the bondage of the material body. But on the authority of Tattvārthabhaṣya, Tatia gives the impression that this mode of discarding body is resorted to under condition of ‘age, disease and decrepitude’ when ‘the life has forfeited its moral justification’. He quotes at length from Buddhaghosa’s Samanta-pāśādikā to support the alleged Jaina stand. While it is debatable if ‘physical disability entails spiritual and moral bankruptcy’, it is worth investing how many samlekhana cases among the Jainas were really occasioned by a growing sense of bankruptcy and how many in the ecstasy of entering the domain of piety. His reference to Gandhi’s ‘fasts in this connection is irrelevant, since these were intended neither to put an end to the ailing body as with the Buddhist Buddhaghosa nor to enter into a state of salvation as with a devout Jaina but were purely self-purificatory, as he himself used to say. V. M. Kulkarni in the course of a lengthy article strives to establish on the basis of scanty evidence the Jaina acceptance of svabhāvavāda (Naturalism) without objectively checking it with the Jaina notion of timelessness of the universe on the one hand and the outcome of karma on the other. This is not to deny their inherent nature to ajīva items; but so far as the jīvas are concerned in the Jaina view, they are only subject to their own acts which they may consciously determine. The logical and historical significance of the Jaina philosophical tradition by K. K. Dixit has produced a curious and controversial thesis that on the crucial question of permanence and transience the Jainas agree more closely with the Buddhist than with the Nyaya-Vaisesika view. His view on the historical position of Jainism needs a little recast. Padmanabha S. Jaini’s Vasudhāra-dhāraṇī, which is a magic formula derived from the Buddhists now in use in Gujarat by the Svetambara Jaina community in their uparāyas as a useful text for the material prosperity of their lay followers (itales provided by the reviewer) should be read with interest. In its degenerate state, Buddhism had created many magic formulas which were widely used in India and the adjoining states of Tibet and Nepal. It is interesting to learn that while most of them could not
of Sankara, *Vasudhārā-dhāraṇī* still thrives in the abode of the Svetambarsa monks on the West Coast. The full text is provided. Gustav Roth has written a learned paper on the Prakrit word ‘taī’ (*tyāgi* in Sanskrit) which stands for a ‘renouncer’. The word however does not find place in the epithets of the Jaina *Tīrthankaras* and wherever in later Jaina literature it has been used, it stands for ‘a saint like that’. Its use is thus more recent, and its interpretation as a ‘saviour’ by savants like Atmaramji is not strictly speaking correct. The word smacks of the cult of *bhakti* (devotion) and has been popular only with such Jainas as uphold the *bhakti* cult. Nagin J. Shah’s ‘Nature of Time’ is a comparative study starting with the western viewpoint and ending with the Jaina, the Hindu and the Buddhist coming in between. The author rejects the claim of ‘Time as an independent substance’, as claimed by the Jainas, and prefers to call it ‘the modes of five substances’. Cailette Caillat has made a scholarly study of the religious *prāyaścittas* (atonement) mostly restricting the investigation to the Svetambars’ oldest doctrine. The reviewer would prefer to call them ‘confessions’, as frequent as possible, in vogue in the holy order, followed by some *dānda* (punishment) prescribed by the senior (not necessarily Acarya). Whatever its spiritual value, it has inculcated a habit of submission and perpetuated discipline in the order. The nature of punishment varies according to the intensity of the lapse, from the prescription of some physical discipline to demotion in rank, even expulsion from the order in extreme case. Jainism in the days of Mahavira had a living contact with the western fringe of Bengal, though the reception accorded to the last *Tīrthankara* was not always palatable. The story has been recounted by historian R. C. Majumdar on the basis of Jaina texts. He is of opinion that Jainism declined in Bengal after the 7th century A.D. *though on the western fringe there are still some local people, the Saraks, for instance, who are professedly Jaina* (Italics provided by reviewer). R. C. Sharma has written on the ‘Jaina Sculptures of the Gupta age in the state Museum of Lucknow’, B. N. Puri on ‘Jainism in Mathura in the Early Centuries of the Christian Era’, and Ambalal P. Shah on ‘Some Inscriptions and Images on Mount Satrunjaya’. The *Kuvalayamālā* of Uddyotana Suri is an important Prakrit *campu* which was critically edited by A. N. Upadhye and published by the Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan in 1959. The work was taken note of as early as 1909 and during these fifty years a number of scholars have worked on it. A. N. Upadhye has written a review article on their work. Jayantabhatta’s *Āgamadāmbara*, a four-act play written in the 9th century A.D. throws light on ‘the practical religious life of various sects in vogue’ at that time in Kashmir. The work is reviewed by Anantalal Thakur. On the basis of a parallelism between the ninth chapter of the *Uttarā-
dhyayana Sūtra and the well-known story of Janaka Videhin in the Mahābhārata, J.P. Thaker has questioned the genuineness of at least three ślokas (34-36) in that chapter of the Jaina Sūtra which it is for the scholars to resolve. S. N. Ghosal writes on ‘the Non-inflected Genitive in Apabhramsa’ on the basis of Hemacandra’s observation in his Prakrit Grammar as examined by a number of western scholars. M. L. Nigam has written on the ‘Glimpses of Jainism through Archaeology in Uttar-Pradesh’. J. C. Sikdar has made ‘A brief Survey of Phonetics and Grammar as found in the Bhagavati Sūtra’. Yogendra Misra seeks to establish that the Sindhudesa of Jaina literature is Tirahbukti in north Bihar. Not only Sindhudesa but a good many places of Jain interest including the sacred Pava in Bihar will change their location on proper investigation. Further research in Jaina traditional geography is essential. Ramesh N. Jain has collected the ‘Jaina and non-Jaina versions of the two popular tale of Candana-Malayagiri from Prakrit and other early literary sources’. The spiritual purpose behind this popular story has been to describe the calamities that befall a man’s life on account of his own past deeds and to indicate that these can be done by implicit faith in the Jaina doctrines. Satya Ranjan Banerjee’s paper entitled ‘Fragments of the earliest Eastern Prakrit Grammarians (Sakalya, Mandavya, Kohala and Kapila)’ was read at the All India Oriental Conference in 1960. There are three articles on archaeology, of which the most exhaustive and illustrated one is due to M.A. Dhaky on ‘Some Early Jaina Temples in Western India’. Its coverage includes the Mahavira Temple at Ossia, the same at Varman, at Ghanerav and at Sewadi, Parsvanath Temple at Pali and at Sadri, Adinath Temple at Nadlai and Jaina Temples at Nadol, all erected between the eighth and early eleventh century in Rajasthan. The author’s conclusion is worth quoting: “The survey ... reveals a curious fact that a large majority of them were sacred to Jina Mahavira. .......(Tradition was that) Mahavira Himself had visited Marubhum or Western Rajasthan ... There is, albeit, no support to this tradition in Jaina Āgama texts. We are, today, indebted to this tradition, parenthetically, for the fillip it gave to the intensive art and architectural activities by the Jainas in Western India.” Equally learned and illustrated is the last article entitled ‘New Documents of Jaina Paintings’ running over 50 pages by Moti Chandra and Umakant P: Shah. The coverage is for Western India and the formative period of this art was the first half of the 15th century. The authors state that “the manuscript material so far available shows that there was a definite attempt to improve the quality of the drawing and colours and that a certain degree of latitude was allowed to the painters to express their ideas in their own way.” In course of time this developed into a new movement in painting which spread far in northern India and influenced
not only other Jaina sects notably Digambaras but also the Vaisnavas in the production of their manuscripts. The new movement was not only rich in drawing material used therein but also in new ideas and influences that were incorporated.

The last section in Gujarati in Part II is entitled lokapayogi sāhitya or popular literature. Apart from a few articles that are of interest to the students there are others which may be of wider interest, e.g., one on the triratna another on Srimad Rajacandra’s ‘Dartana-prabhāvaka’ Mokṣamālā a third on the practice of yoga in Jaina philosophy a further on Srimad Yaovijaya Gani’s Vijayollāsa-mahākāvya, and so on.

—K. C. L.
Books On Jainology


Contains introduction, list of illustrations, description of illustrations and index.


Contains introduction, iconography of Tirthankaras, Yakṣa and Yakṣinis, Dikpālas, Navagrahas, Srutadevis, Vidyādevīs and miscellaneous Jaina divinities. Also deals with āsanas and mudrās.

NABAB, SARABHAI M., *Jaina Citrakalpadruma* (Gujarati), Sarabhai Manilal Nabab, 1936. Pages 24+9+139+232. Price Rs. 25.00


Describes the advent of Jainism in South India and the succession of early Jaina teachers. Gives the history of Jainism in
Karnataka, Tamilnadu and Andhradesa with an appendix on Gommata Colossus of Sravanabelgola.


A critical study of *anekāntavāda*.


English rendering of Sukhlalji’s Introduction as well as the philosophical notes to the *Pramāṇa Mimāṁsā* of Acarya Hemacandra. Introduction is translated by Dr. Indukala Jhaveri and the notes by Krishna Kumar Dixit. Notes are selected and arranged in such a manner as could serve the purpose of an independent book on the Indian Philosophy. First 27 notes pertain to the problems of logic and the last 3 to those of metaphysics. Notes on logic are subdivided into 3 groups: (1) those dealing with the problems of knowledge in general, (2) those dealing with the problems of perception and (3) those dealing with the problems of inference.
Late Kasturmal Banthia

The late Kasturmal Banthia, who died of heart failure on July 6, 1969, at the age of 75 was born at Ajmer and had his education at Allahabad and Bombay. Having taken his B.Com degree from the Sydenham College of Commerce, he served under some business houses at Indore and in 1920 he joined the Birlas. In 1928, the Birlas deputed him to serve their firm The East Indian Produce Co. Ltd., in London which he did for the next five years. Banthia was one of the earliest from Rajasthan to go overseas against social opinion and stay there with his family. In the London Indian circle Banthia soon made a mark and was made the vice-president of the Indian Chamber of Commerce there. On his return to India in early thirties, he lost his wife and this was a turning point in his career. He gave up his job and devoted to reading and writing which he had been doing these long years. He had a good collection of books which he made over to the Sri Jinadatta Suri Mandal, Ajmer.

Banthia had a passion for writing, but much of it has been through the medium of Hindi. He wrote several books first on commercial subjects, later on Jainism. He contributed many articles in current journals but his preference was for translations. Himself a prolific writer, he translated many important books on Jainism from Gujarati and English into Hindi. Unfortunately, only a few of these are available in print, the largest portion of his labour still remains in manuscripts and unless printed soon by the enthusiasm of some religious organisation, trust or publishing firm, will be consumed up by the great consumer Kala (Time). Banthia had great admiration for this journal and when in 1969 its special issue was devoted to ‘Jainism through Space and Time’, he expressed a keenness to translate it in Hindi himself, would his health so permit. His was really a personality to be loved and admired and his exit leaves a gap which may be difficult to fill up.
We give below a list of works translated by the Late Kasturmal Banthia. As already said, these are unpublished yet, unless indicated and it will be a real appreciation and lasting memorial to him if something could be done to put them in print.

4. *Jainism in North India* by C. J. Shah.
5. Exhaustive Introduction to Harisena’s *Vīhat Kathākośa* by A. N. Upadhye.
6. ‘Political Condition of Magadha at the time of Nirvāṇa of Buddha and Mahavira’ by H. Jacobi. (Jacobi’s article was published in Bhārattīya Vidyā, Vol. III, No. 1)
7. *Jain Sāhitya-no Samksipt Itihās* by Mohanlal Dalichand Desai.
8. *Bhagawān Mahāvīra* by Jayaviksu.
9. *Mahāmātya Vastupeē-no Vidyāmandal* by Bhogilal Sandesara (published by Jain Sanskriti Samsodhak Mandal, Varanasi.)
10. *Vair-no Vipāk* by Sushil (published by Jinadatta Suri Seva Sangh, Bombay). (Vair-no Vipak is based on Acarya Haribhadra Surī’s Samarāiccakahāsāra).
15. *Vivecan* of Siddhasena’s *Sanmatiprakaraṇa* by Pandit Sukhlal Sanghvi and Bechardas Doshi.
16. Hemacandracarya’s *Yogāśīra* by Gopaldas Jivabhai Patel.
17. *Chāyānuvād of Uttarādhyayana Sūtra* by Gopaldas Parekh.
19. *Jain Drṣṭie Brahmacarya Vicār* by Pandit Sukhlal Sanghvi and Bechardas Doshi.
20. Stories from Haribhadra Suri.
On Meditations For Kevala

P. C. Das Gupta

Since supreme knowledge is the aim of yearning souls from unknown antiquity even before the dawn of historical era the human civilisation has ever been inspired by the ideals of eternal beauty and fundamental laws of the all-pervading. The image of the essential truth and grace gave an indefinable value to the knowledge imparted in quest in spite of material progress. Though urban ideology of culture or rural economy flounced by community life and trade across distant lands enhanced attainments in co-ordination gradually elaborating the concepts of polity individuals sometime yearned to attain in the past a standard of knowledge illuminating the living and the inanimate in a sense of fulfilment preconditioned by final purity transcendental in acceptance and revelation. When in the perspective of the Bronze Age and related cultures kings, priests, poets and philosophers essayed to determine the truth of creation and the phenomena of the world and space apart from surveying new landscapes of beauty somewhere attempts were perhaps made to acquire knowledge to the fulfilment of final quest identifying as it appears the self with its everlasting light. In such a context Jainism has its significance not only for its speculation through sublime enquiry conditioned by syādvāda, the system of probability, but also for its close analogy with some of the Post-Vedic ideals having as it is evident an unascertainable context along the way of Time. Inheriting the wealth of thoughts offered by the Tirthankaras from Risabhanatha in succession in Mahavira Jainism recognised the ultimate realisation by kevala, the ‘perfect knowledge’ attained among others by the principles of saptabhangi (‘seven forms’) and the understanding of the nature of pudgala aside the aspects jiva and ajiva, the latter including the forms and the formless. As it has been explained:

“The whole universe is traced to the everlasting uncreated, independent categories of jiva and ajiva, the conscious and the unconscious. Animate beings are composed of soul and body. The souls are distinct from matter and are eternal. Non-consciousness (ajiva) are divided into two main classes, those without form (arūpa) such as dharma (principle of motion), adharma (principle of rest), space, and time, and those with form (rūpa) such as pudgala or matter. The Jainas believe in the atomic structure of the universe.”

1 A Source Book in Indian Philosophy, p. 251.
Since the Tirthankaras like Risabha, Ajitanatha and Aristanemi belong to a remote past being mentioned in the Yajur Veda it is obviously interesting to recall the life and time of Neminatha, the twenty-second Jina who was a cousin brother of Krisna and Baladeva. Besides, there are indications that the cult of the Jina faithfully aligned with the succession of Tirthankaras. Among other instances an affinity between a male torso from Harappa and a polished torso of a Tirthankara evidently standing in kāyotsarga pose from Lohanipur in Bihar has appeared significant particularly in revealing the antiquity of the relevant system of meditation and acceptance of life and objects in accordance to the supreme knowledge of self or the gift of kevala. Aside this kind of affinity whose final explanations may come after further discoveries there is little doubt about the prolonged continuity of the tradition of Jaina thoughts like the unaccountable source of the distant cult of the earlier Buddhas. One has to believe that like Buddha Konakamana adored by Priyadarsi Asoka Parsvanatha was also a historical figure whose realisation illuminated the self in the everlasting light of knowledge. Beckoned by this ideology to recognise the self and, thereby the truth and reality of everything the adherents of Mahariva left a tradition whose origin goes back to the dawn of civilisation. As a study of iconography and traits of legends and philosophy especially laying stress on the liberation of self and on ahimsā will obviously reveal analogy with different systems of Hindu and Buddhist thoughts it is edifying to follow the annals of early Tirthankaras narrating the growth of a tradition within the geographical boundaries of India in cultural perspective. Here it will be interesting to recall that it was on the Mount Parsvanatha in eastern India where

* Ibid., p. 250.*
most of the Tirthankaras before Mahavira attained their nirvāṇa, the liberation of soul. Among other instances Risabhanatha attained nirvāṇa on Mount Kailasa, the twelfth Tirthankara Vasupujya was born in Campapuri and attained nirvāṇa in the same place, and Neminatha the twentysecond Tirthankara attained his similar emancipation on Mount Girnar. Since in the annals of the Tirthankaras the Mount Parsvanatha is eminently sanctified like the Kailasa it is tempting to associate the origin of Jainism, one of the earliest religions of the world which stressed on knowledge and ahimsā amidst the highlands of Chotanagpur besides other regions covering holy terrains. Actually, the age of early Tirthankaras will to an extent correspond to the age of the protohistoric civilisation of Bengal and Bihar which flourished as far back as the 2nd millennium B.C. Further studies and investigations may throw a new light on the genesis of the religion vis-a-vis the phases of chalcolithic civilisation in the Indian sub-continent which had often contact with foreign lands. This tradition of thoughts, as it appears, emanated in an age of great antiquity being a moralising force of immense spiritual value which not only emphasised on ethics but also on the inner and external manifestation of knowledge. The organised system of Jainism envisaged the truth of kevala to be enshrined in self which will not bring one to the Paradise beyond mortality but to the supreme realisation of things under the purest light of perception. This perfect state conditioned by realisation, is believed can only reveal the entirety of soul and its relation with the pudgala in its limitless aspect. Even accepting the iconic and aniconic cults ranging from those of divinities to the worship or veneration to trees and symbols Jainism ever aimed at this ultimate knowledge beyond reality and atoms. While an accountable feeling has been conveyed by the ideals of sculpture envisaging either the height of dedication for liberation as reflected by the colossus of Gomatesvara or the fascinating lines and modulations of goddesses replete with feminine grace and members of dancing troupes as carved on marble at Dilwara on Mount Abu the ideology proclaimed the majesty of self and its perception beyond bondage.
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PAUMACARIU
(from Vol. IV. No. 2)

SVAYAMBUDEVA

AYODHYA KANDA

Birth and marriage of Rama

sunu akkhami rahuvaṃsū pahāṇau
dasarahu atthi auṣjhhe raṇau
tāsu putta hosanti dhurandhara
vāsueva valaeva dhanuddhara
tehi haṇevau rakhhu māharaṇe
janaya ṇarāhiva taṇayahe kāraṇe

Such was the prediction: ‘In the Raghu dynasty, there will be a king named Dasaratha at Ayodhya. His two sons Vasudeva and Valadeva will be great experts in archery. In a great battle they will kill Raksas on account of the daughter of king Janaka.’

On learning this Vibhisana decided to kill both Dasaratha and Janaka so that the cause of future danger to Ravana’s life would be wiped out. Narada warned both Dasaratha and Janaka of Vibhisana’s design. They both left their respective palaces, substituting statues for themselves. The unsuspecting Vidyadharas removed the heads of the statues. Dasaratha and Janaka in their ramblings attended the svayamvara of Kaikayi, the daughter of the prince of Kautukamangala. Kaikayi chose Dasaratha as her husband. This enraged the other suitors who challenged Dasaratha and there was fighting in which Kaikayi rendered exceptional services to her husband. It was for this Dasaratha promised her two boons. She reserved them for some future use.

Four sons were born to king Dasaratha, Rama by Aparajita, Laksmana by Sumitra, Bharata by Kaikayi and Satrughna by Suprabha.

rāmacandu aparajjiyahe
somitti sumittihe ekku jānu
bharahu dhurandharu kekkaihe
suppahahe puttu puṇu sattuaṇu
To Janaka of Mithila was born a son, Bhamandala, and a daughter Sita. The son was abducted by a revengeful god and abandoned. He was found by the Vidyadhara king Candragati who brought him up as his son.

Now Mithila was in danger because of an attack by a barbarian people. Rama and Laksmana were invited to Mithila to fight against the invaders. Naturally Janaka was grateful for their services. He desired to offer Rama his daughter Sita in marriage.

But trouble was created by eternal trouble-monger Narada. One day he frightened Sita by his sudden appearance in her palace and so was thrown out by her attendants. Smarting under this insult, he showed Sita’s portrait to Bhamandala who at once felt attracted to her. To save his life Candragati had to lay a trap for bringing Janaka to him. But Janaka would not agree, since Sita was already betrothed. At last a compromise was arrived at according to which Janaka would give Sita to one who would be able to string two divine bows Vajravarta and Samudravarta. Accordingly the bows were removed to Mithila and a svayamvara was held. As destiny would have it, Rama and Laksmana could only string the bows. Sita was therefore, married to Rama. The other three brothers were also married on the same occasion.

Frustrated Bhamandala decided to abduct Sita forcibly. He reached Vidagdhpura with his army and remembered his past birth as a ruler of that place. He also got the vision of his real character as the kidnapped brother of Sita.

Remorseful he came to Ayodhya and was introduced to Rama and others by monk Satyabhuti.

*Rama, Laksmana and Sita go into exile*

Once Dasaratha took to task the old chamberlain in his harem for negligence. The latter put forth disability due to old age. This filled Dasaratha’s mind with thoughts of ephemeral nature of worldly pleasures. So he decided to crown Rama in his place and renounce the world.

Now learning that Dasaratha was to crown Rama the next day, Kaikayi became jealous and asked the king to give the throne to her own son Bharata and thus to honour his promise to give her the boons. It was indeed very hard but there was no way out either. Kaikayi was
adamant. Rama readily agreed to hand over the kingdom to Bharata. To allay any apprehension in the mind of Dasaratha about a probable discord among the brothers, Rama accepted a voluntary exile to the forest. But Bharata was unwilling to accept the reins of the state. He was persuaded by his father who in any case was bent upon becoming a recluse. Rama himself placed the crown on his brother’s head.

Dasaratha was remorseful when Rama and Laksmana took his leave to depart, but Aparajita’s grief knew no bound when she heard of this new development. Pacifying her they moved forth. Sita also joined them. Laksmana offered his services to capture Bharata and conquer the throne but Rama dissuaded him by saying that they should honour father’s word and spend sixteen years in the forest. Praying at a shrine they took the way to the forest. At daybreak, they were joined by the entire royal army which was eager to accompany them. Rama persuaded the army to return. Then they reached the bank of the formidable river Gambhira and crossed it.

With the departure of Rama, Laksmana and Sita faded the glory of Ayodhya. The universal feeling was that their own kith and kin had departed. Laksmana’s absence was particularly felt.

As his worldly duties were done, Dasaratha went to a Jina shrine and courted the life of austerity and restraint.

Bharata was now the most unhappy person on earth. He was crowned by Rama against his wish. But when he heard of Rama’s exile, he fell from his throne. On recovery he consoled Aparajita and promised to bring back Rama and Laksmana. Bharata overtook Rama after six days. He made a pressing request to Rama to return but Rama would not agree, since that would be dishonouring his father’s pledge. Thus failing to persuade Rama, Bharata took the vow to abdicate the throne when Rama would return and came back to Ayodhya.

Rama, Laksmana and Sita moved further on. They reached Tapasavana where lived all sorts of ascetics. Then they passed through the forest settlements of hunters and herdsmen. They were now not very far from Citrakuta. When they reached the borders of the city of Dasapura, Laksmana caught sight of some one who had his head broken and who was flying in panic. He was caught and produced before Rama.

The refugee thus narrated the episode: Vajrakarna, the king of Dasapura, is a vassal of the king Simhdara. Vajrakarna is under
a vow not to bow his head before any one except a Jina. This has enraged the Superlord and hence the deadly war. He is escaping from the field with injuries. The fellow was rewarded and let off.

Rama, Laksmana and Sita entered Dasapura. They were duly received by the king who arranged a stately dinner in their honour. They were now allies of Vajrakarna. So Laksmana told Simhodara to come to a peaceful settlement with Vajrakarna and equally share his kingdom with him. This enraged Simhodara and in the encounter that followed Simhodara was defeated and taken captive. The kingdom was divided between Vajrakarna and Simhodara. Laksmana agreed to marry three hundred girls offered by Vajrakarna and Simhodara when he would return after fixing up a suitable abode for Rama.

Then they reached the city of Kuvara. It was spring and the Nature was full of splendour. Kalyanamala, the king of Kuvara, was indeed a princess in the guise of a man. When they arrived Kalyanamala was out to enjoy spring sports. Enamoured at the beauty of Laksmana, she offered to share the royal seat with him and narrated the woe of the kingdom. Actually Valikhilya, her father, was the king of this city but as he was kidnapped by Rudrabhuti, the king of Vindhyas, she was protecting the kingdom and looking after the affairs of the state disguised as a man. Laksmana promised to take revenge on the king of the Vindhyas. The party was duly entertained. They spent the night there and left at the early hours of the morn leaving behind a note for Kalyanamala. At this she was greatly disappointed.

They proceeded towards Vindhyas and crossed the Narmada. It was a striking coincidence that king Rudrabhuti too had come there on a hunting expedition. When he saw Sita, he ordered his men to snatch her away. Before they could attack Laksmana stringed his bow and produced such a tremendous sound that they all fled. When the king came to know that the strangers were Baladeva and Vasudeva he at once fell at their feet. Valikhilya too was released.

Resuming their journey further, they crossed the river Tapi and arrived at Arunagrama. Because of long journey, Sita had become sorely thirsty and so they entered into the house of a brahmin named Kapila and drank water. While they were resting a while, the brahmin came back and seeing unknown people in his house he lost his temper. Laksmana too got enraged. Apprehending a breach of peace Rama left the place at once and entered into a deep forest where they stopped under a banyan tree.
Now when they were there, it was about to rain very heavily. On seeing this, a yakṣa, Putana by name, erected a city named Ramapuri by the strength of his magic power and dedicated it to Rama so that he along with his consort and brother would not be harrassed by the downpour. He also presented Rama jewels and ornaments.

When Rama, Sita and Laksmana were living in the magic city, news reached the brahmin Kapila that the king of the new city fulfilled the wishes of anyone who bowed before a Jina. So Kapila became a Jaina covert and came before the king. But when he saw Laksmana there, he fled in panic. He was soon caught and brought before Rama. Rama fulfilled his heart’s desire and bestowed enormous riches on him.

Now, Rama and his party reached Jivantanagara. Mahidhara was the ruling monarch here. He had a daughter Vanamala by name. She was already betrothed to some prince. Meanwhile Bharata had sent a request to king Mahidhara to give his daughter to Laksmana when the latter arrived in his city. This had indeed created a difficult situation. Meanwhile, the princess had heard about Laksmana and she was keen to marry him and none else. But since that was not going to be, one day she secretly left the palace and was about to hang herself from a tree. The chance arrival of Laksmana, however, saved her. Laksmana brought his new acquisition to Rama. While they were thus talking, the guards who were let loose in search of the princess encountered her there in the company of strangers and surrounded them. Presently the king too arrived with his army ready for fight. When the king came to know who the strangers were, he was highly pleased and offered his daughter to Laksmana.

Meanwhile a war was under preparation between Bharata and king Anantavirya of Nandavarta. Bharata had demanded the submission of the latter as his feudatory which he had refused. Since king Mahidhara was feudatory under the king Anantavirya, the latter had sent a request to him to join on his side in the war.

Rama planned to capture Anantavirya by stratagem. With Laksmana and eight others he dressed himself like a court panegyrist (cāraṇa-gāyana-vesu-kareḥ) and went to the court of king Anantavirya to give a performance of dance and music. In the course of the performance they began to sing in praise of king Bharata. This enraged Anantavirya who took out his sword. But Rama gave him no time to strike. He jumped upon him and captured him. At the request of the queens Rama released him but demanded that he must submit to Bharata.
This was too great a humiliation. The king preferred to renounce the
world and be a recluse. Bharata appeared on the spot and bowed
before the new recluse. Rama and his party then returned to Jivanta-
nagara.

The party now came to Godavari and reached the city of Ksemanjali
where reigned king Aridamana. He had a daughter named Jitapadma.
Many suitors had come to seek her hand but failing to pass the test they
all had lost their lives. A ghastly heap of their bones could be seen
outside the city. Laksmana offered himself as a suitor and expressed
his readiness to pass the test. This he successfully did. Five saktis
were hurled by king Aridamana which Laksmana easily caught and
then he offered to hurl a counter-sakti. Apprehending the outcome
the princess intervened. The episode happily ended in Laksmana get-
ting the princess as his wife.

The party proceeded farther south. Crossing a hill they reached
the city of Vamsasthala which was now in turmoil. The king and his
subjects were all fleeing. For the city had been attacked by a horde of
demons because of which there were roars, cyclones, showers and quake-
shocks. The only quiet people were the monks who bore all tortures
and remained steadfast in their meditation. Rama and Laksmana
dispersed the demons and thus saved the monks. Immediately, there-
after these attained kevalajñāna. Indra descended to celebrate the
occasion and gave sermon on the fruits of religious life.

\[
\begin{align*}
\textit{bhañai purandaru aho aho loyaho} \\
\textit{jai sunkiya jara-marâna-biyaho} \\
\textit{jai ñivviñña cau-gai gamañaho} \\
\textit{to ki na ñhukkaho jînâvara-bhavañaho} \\
\textit{puttu kalattu jâba mañe cintaho} \\
\textit{jînâvara-vimvu tâva ki ño cintaho}
\end{align*}
\]

To be Continued
An Introduction To Jaina Logicians and Their Logic

Pushpa Bothra

Jaina logic began from Siddhasena, who was the first great logician among the Jainas. But to some extent logical discussions are also to be found in the Agamic literature like Bhagavati Sūtra, Sthānāṅga, Samavāyāṅga and Anuyoga and some works on logic had been written between the Agamic age and the time of Siddhasena. The chief logicians of this period were Acarya Kundakunda and Umasvati.

Kundakunda is supposed to belong to the first or second century A.D. He was the first amongst the Jainas to discuss the Agamic themes in a logical manner. He not only expounded them on the basis of the Āgamas but also discussed the problems in the light of contemporary thoughts. Though Kundakunda did not discuss the problems of pra-māṇa, yet his epistemology was influenced by the concept of pramāṇa. He explained pratyakṣa and parokṣa on the basis of the Āgamas. He pointed out that sense-knowledge could not be regarded as pratyakṣa; it was parokṣa. Pratyakṣa was that knowledge which arose in the self directly without the help of the sense organs. He was also the first to have discussed the problem of knowledge. He raised the question, whether knowledge was self-revealing or object-revealing (svapramāṇa or parapramāṇa) and established that knowledge was both self-revealing and object-revealing, just as a lamp revealed itself as well as the object. Later on, all the Jaina scholars accepted this view of knowledge. Kundakunda explained the anekāntavāda of the Āgamas in a systematic and clear way. Although he mentioned only the two nayas: nīcāya and vyāvahāra, yet he tried to solve all difficult philosophical problems with the help of these two. The main works of Kundakunda are: Pañcaśikāya, Pravacanasāra, Samayasāra, Niyamasāra, Asṭapāhuḍa, Dātabhakti, Saṣāgamaṭikā, etc.
Umasvati was the first amongst the Jainas to use the Sanskrit language. Before him Jaina literature was written in Prakrit. Tattvārtha Śūtra is Umasvati’s main work. The subject-matter of Tattvārtha Śūtra is based on the Āgamas but it is written in a systematic way. There is wide discussion on the nature, qualities and types of dravya (substance). Though there is not much discussion on prameya, pramāṇa and naya, yet Umasvati to some extent did define these concepts. The five types of knowledge of the Āgamas are according to him pramāṇa. He also classified pramāṇa into pratyakṣa and parokṣa. Pratyakṣa meant for him the immediate knowledge which arose in the self directly without the help of the sense organs and parokṣa was defined as knowledge derived from the sense organs. He also described naya in a different way from that of the Āgamas. It may be said that though Jaina logic was not fully developed at the time of Kundakunda and Umasvati yet their writings exercised great influence on the development of Jaina logic.

After Kundakunda and Umasvati the period of Jaina logic began. As mentioned before, Siddhasena was the first great logician. We cannot also forget the name of Samantabhadra in this connection (5th-6th century A.D.) Samantabhadra and Siddhasena may be called the founders of Jaina logic. Aptamatitarka is the main work of Samantabhadra in which he introduced a new style to discuss the philosophical problems on the basis of anekāntavāda. He also gave a definition of pramāṇa in his Svayambhū Stotra, which may be viewed as the first logical type of definition of pramāṇa amongst the Jainas. (svaparāvabhāsakam yathā pramāṇam bhūvi buddhilakṣaṇam).

Siddhasena belonged to the seventh century A.D. The main works of Siddhasena are Sammatitarka and Nyāyāvatāra. Sammatitarka is written in Prakrit, in which he discussed the nayas, in a comprehensive manner on the basis of anekāntavāda. Nyāyāvatāra has been called the foundation-stone of Jaina logic. In this work, he defined the pramāṇa not only on the basis of the Agamic view but also according to his own independent thought and criticism of contemporary ideas on this matter. He classified pratyakṣa into two types, the sensuous and the non-sensuous; and parokṣa was also classified into two types: anumāṇa and āgama. This classification was influenced by the classification given by other schools of philosophy. Siddhasena was also the first Jaina logician who defined the four logical terms pramāṇa, pramātā, prameya and pramiti.

Samantabhadra and Siddhasena have done some preliminary work in the field of Jaina logic but in the meantime logic in other schools
of philosophy had been developed more fully. There were great Buddhist logicians like Dignaga and Dharmakirti. But amongst the Jainas there was no work comparable to Pramāṇasamuccaya and Nayabindu.

Akalanka filled in this gap about the eighth century A.D. He was the first to expound the Agamic theory of pramāṇa in a pure logical way. According to the Jaina Āgamas, pramāṇa is of two types: pratyakṣa and parokṣa. Pratyakṣa is the knowledge which arises immediately in the self without the help of sense organs. ‘Akṣa’ means the self and knowledge derived from the self directly is called pratyakṣa. Parokṣa is the knowledge which is not direct to the self but is derived with the help of the sense organs. Pratyakṣa is of three types, avadhi, manahparyaya and kevala. Parokṣa is of two types, mati and śruta. While all the systems of Indian philosophy accept as pratyakṣa all sensuous knowledge derived from the sense organs, according to the Jaina Āgamas, sensuous knowledge is not pratyakṣa. Akalanka was faced with the problem, whether to accept the Agamic view of pratyakṣa, or the contemporary theories of it. At first he defined pratyakṣa simply as clear knowledge (viśadam pratyakṣam), then he classified it into two types, the samyavahārīka pratyakṣa and mukhya pratyakṣa. Knowledge derived from the sense organs was called samvyavahārīka pratyakṣa and knowledge which arises directly in the self was called mukhya pratyakṣa. Akalanka not only classified pratyakṣa, he also gave logical definitions of each kind of pratyakṣa. And on the basis of his definition of pratyakṣa he examined and criticised the definitions of pratyakṣa given by the other systems. Knowledge which was not viśada was parokṣa or indirect, according to him. He classified parokṣa into the following types: smṛti, pratyabhijñā, tarka, anumāna and āgama, all these being indirect knowledge.

Akalanka also explained the object (viṣaya) and the result (phala) of pramāṇa in a comprehensive and logical manner. He examined and discussed all logical problems and established some new facts in the field of logic. Laghuastraya, Nyāyavinīṣcaya, Pramāṇasangraha and Śiddhinīṣcaya were his great works. He wrote commentaries on the Tattvārthā Sūtra of Umasvati and Apatimāṇsā of Samantabhadra which are known as Tattvārthavārtika and Aṣṭāṣṭatt respectively.

Vidyana was a great commentator on Akalanka. His commentary on Akalanka’s Aṣṭāṣṭatt, known as Aṣṭāṣṭahasrī, is a valuable work in philosophy. Vidyana studied thoroughly all systems of philosophy. He was especially a great scholar of Mimāṃsā school of
Kumarila. He wrote *Tattvārthaślokavārtika*, (a commentary) on Umasvati’s *Tattvārtha Sūtra*. In his own work *Pramāṇaparīkṣā*, he examined all the different views of *pramāṇa*. In *Āptaparīkṣā* he rejected Kumarila’s views and established the Jaina view regarding the possibility of omniscient being. Vidyananda is supposed to belong to the 9th century A.D.

Manikyanandi’s *Parīkṣāmukha* was the first *sūtra grantha* in logic amongst the Jainas. It contained the following six chapters: *pramāṇa*, *pratyakṣa*, *parokṣa*, *viśaya*, *phala*, and *tadābhasa*. In this the author discussed the logical problems in *sūtra* style. He examined other systems and by rejecting them established the Jaina views on logical grounds.

Prabhacandra was a follower of Manikyanandi. His commentary on Manikyanandi’s *Parīkṣāmukha* is famous by the name of *Prameyakamalamārtanda*. *Nyāyakumudacandra* is also a large commentary on Akalanka’s *Laghivyayatraya*. Prabhacandra was a great logician and acquired an important place in the whole of Indian logic and philosophy. In both the above commentaries he discussed the innumerable problems of logic and philosophy and by rejecting them he established the Jaina views. Prabhacandra belonged to the 13th century.

Abhayadeva Suri was also a great commentator. He was the author of a commentary on Samantabhadra’s *Sammatitarka*, in which he discussed the theories of different schools of philosophy.

*Syādvādaratnākara* is a large commentary on *Pramāṇanayattattvālokālankāra*. Both these works were written by Vadideva Suri. These were written in the style of *Prameyakamalamārtanda* and *Nyāyakumudacandra*, and the subject matter also was the same as that of those books. But there are also some discussions on *naya* and *vāda* which are not to be found in the *Prameyakamalamārtanda* and *Nyāyakumudacandra*. Vadideva Suri also discussed and criticised the theories of the other systems. He belonged to the 12th century A.D.

Vadiraja was also a great commentator. He wrote a big commentary on Akalanka’s *Nyāyavinīcayayāvīraṇa*. There are three *prastāvas* in it namely, *pratyakṣa*, *anumāna* and *pravacana*. He explained Akalanka’s views very thoroughly.

*Pramāṇamimāṃsā* of Hemacandra (12th Century A.D.) has its own importance in Jaina logic. He also wrote on Prakrit grammar and
on various other subjects. Hemacandra was a great scholar of philosophy, logic, grammar and literature. His philosophy and logic were influenced by Akalanka. Though his work was based on the previous Jaina literature yet in many places he established his own views.

Yasovijaya of the 18th century was the last great Jaina logician. He studied *nayanyāya* and gave a new style to the Jaina logic. His main works are on *naya* and *anekāntavāda*. These are: *Nayapradīpa*, *Nayarahasya Nayopadeśa*, *Jainatarkabhāṣā* and *Jñānabindu*.

There are some other Jaina logicians of different times. They were Mallavadi, Sumati, Kumarasena, Kumaranandi, Anantavirya I, Anantavirya II and Anantakirti. They have their own important place in the development of Jaina logic.
Nihnavas

(Doubts in Jaina Texts)

BASISTHA NARAYAN SINHA

The word *nīhṇavas*, which is a combination of the root *ḥnu* with a prefix *ni* and suffix *ap* may stand for concealment (*gopana*), denial (*asvīkṛti*), disbelief (*avīśāsa*), doubt (*sandeha*), etc. In Jaina texts, the word has mostly been used to signify a doubt raised by a disciple or a follower against some aspect of an original tenet as established by his leader or teacher\(^1\) and only very sparingly it has been used to singify the doubter himself\(^2\). In the former sense, we find seven kinds of *nīhṇavas* mentioned in the *Sthānāṅga Sūtra* as follows: *bahurata jīvapradeśika, avyaktaḍeṣṭi, samuccheda, dvikriyā, trairāsika* and *abaddhika*.

1. *Bahurata*—This doubt was raised fourteen years after Mahavira’s enlightenment by one of his disciples, Jamali, who found that almost nothing could be done in a moment and that most things needed more than a moment to be completed. So one should not say that it has been done or completed till it was really so. The thing which is in the process of being done, is not actually done till the process has received its final touch. On this basis, Jamali refuted the philosophical principles propounded by Lord Mahavira, viz., that a thing in the process of being done should be considered as already done. This confusion has been discussed at length in the *Bhagavati Sūtra*\(^3\) and in the end it has been conceded that the theory propounded by Mahavira is based on the *niścaya naya* while the doubt raised by Jamali stands on the *vyavahāra nava*. As per *niścava naya*, a thing is considered to be actually finished as soon as it is planned, decided and taken on hand though not yet brought to its completion, while *vyavahāra nava* does not consider a thing to be completed till it is really finished.

2. *Jīvapradeśika*—*Jīvapradeśika* is the doubt raised by Tisyagupta, one of the disciples of Acarya Vasu 16 years after Mahavira’s enlightenment. As Tisyagupta declared, only the last portion or element of the body should be named as *jīva* because it completed the whole body which was the dwelling place of a *jīva*. According to the original theory, all parts of a body had the same importance because in the absence of any of them, the body remained incomplete. Acarya Vasu tried his best to

---

\(^1\) Dr. Hiralal Jain, *Bharaiiya Samskrti-me Jain Dharam-ka Yogdan*, p. 30.


\(^3\) *Bhagavati Sutra*, Sataka 1, Uddeśaka 1, Sutra 7.
satisfy his pupil by clarifying the original theory but all in vain. As a result, Tisyagupta was boycotted by his fellow disciples and he organised a new group. With his new followers he visited different places and once in the course of his wanderings, he happened to meet a śārvaka (house-holder) who invited him to have his lunch with him. But at the time of taking meal, the śārvaka offered him only a little of the things prepared and he cited his (Tisyagupta’s) own theory when the latter inquired about this peculiar mode of offering food to a guest. Then he reasoned that the last portion or a particular portion of a body could not be the jīva in the same manner as a little quantity of food could not be the whole meal. This reasoning removed the doubt raised by Tisyagupta and he returned to his previous teacher.

3. Avyaktadṛśṭi—The third nihūna known as avyaktadṛśṭi was put forth by Asadharcarya 214 years after Mahavira’s nirvāṇa. Once after instructing his pupils and other Jaina ascetics Asadharcarya (a deity in the guise of a Jaina muni) apologized:

‘O Munis, excuse me for the fault I have committed by accepting your prayers and services offered to me, because I am an unrestrained deity and you are the restrained ascetics.’

This created a problem for the Jaina munis—how to differentiate between a restrained person and an unrestrained one; and they gave up all respect and submissiveness to the elders. They began to doubt all personalities. They even lost their confidence in the śāstras. They began to doubt the purity of food offered by house-holders because they were unable to answer to the question—how to know that a particular meal was pure or impure. In this way the whole atmosphere became vitiated with doubts. At last the news reached the king Balabhadra who ordered that all the doubters should be killed. Then to save themselves the munis said,

‘Oh King, we are munis and munis should not be killed.’

The king replied, ‘How do you know that you are munis? How am I to believe your words as you have affirmed the theory of disbelief and doubt?’

This compelled them to give up their doubts. This also compelled them to believe in the śāstras, because they could not prove themselves to be munis without reference to the śāstras. So this theory of doubt was discarded.
4. Samuccheda—Asvamitra, the disciple of Kaundinya, while going through the chapter entitled Naipuṇḍika of the Pūrva Anuvrata, 220 years after Mahavira’s liberation, became convinced of the theory of momentariness: Nothing exists for more than a moment. His teacher tried to make him understand that his thesis was not in conformity with the Jaina theory. But he did not pay respect to his teacher’s words. He organised his own followers and then they began to roam from place to place. On their way they were badly beaten by some house-holders when they were discovered as non-conformists. Asvamitra tried to save himself and his men by saying: ‘Do not beat us, we are monks and you are house-holders.’ The house-holders replied: ‘You are not monks, because those who had accepted monkhood are now no more; they passed away after a moment. You are imposters.’ This wiped out their confusion and they returned to their teacher. Everything is permanent so far as its substance is concerned and momentary so far as its modes are concerned.

5. Dvikriyā—Once a monk named Aryaganga was crossing a river, in order to meet his teacher. At that time the scorching rays of the sun gave him a feeling of heat, while the cold water of that river supplied coldness to his feet. From this he concluded: One can experience two types of sensations at a time. It is contradictory to Mahavira’s teaching: Only one sensation can be experienced at a time. Aryaganga now began to preach his own theory on contradictions to replace the original one. While he was delivering his lecture at Rajagriha, people shouted him down and threatened to kill him if he stuck to his quaint view. This awakened some reasoning in him and he reverted to the original theory. Two kinds of sensations are experienced not simultaneously but alternately but due to subtleness of time and instability of mind it seems that these are experienced at a time. But really that is not so.

6. Trairāśika—This doubt was raised 544 years after Mahavira’s enlightenment by Rohagupta, the pupil of Srigupta, who was residing in Bhutagriha, far from the town named Antarangika. Rohagupta while residing with a Baudhā bikṣu (paribrājakas) accepted the existence of three rāśis—jīva, ajīva and nirjīva as against the original two rāśis—jīva and ajīva as preached by Mahavira. Srigupta tried to convince Rohagupta as to the correctness of Mahavira’s preaching but to no avail. At last the king Balasin asked Rohagupta to present an example of nirjīva but he failed to do. Thus defeated he had to accept the two rāśis—jīva and ajīva—as preached by Mahavira.

7. Abaddhika—This doubt was raised by Gostamahila when Duravalika Pusapamitra, a disciple of Aryaraksita, was delivering a lec-
ture on the eighth Pûrva named Karmaprañâda. According to Gostamahila, a jîva, when it is supposed to be related with the karmas, as fire with iron or as water with milk, cannot separate itself from them and consequently it cannot attain liberation. So, according to him, a better simile would be that of a snake,—as a snake is related with its slough, so jîva is related with its karma. A snake casts off its slough and becomes free; similarly a jîva can be free by casting off its karma.

Moreover, Gostamahila also refuted the theory of pratyâkhyâna and declared that pratyâkhyâna should never be practised under the limitation of a certain period. His teacher tried to convince him by quoting from the texts that a jîva is related with the karmas as milk is with water or iron with fire and pratyâkhyâna must be practised under the limitation of certain period but Gostamahila never agreed and as a result of his opposition he became isolated and died of a broken heart, even without practising âlocana and pratikramaṇa.

Besides the above seven nihûnavas, one more nihûnava has been added by the Commentaries on the Āvaśyaka Sûtra, entitled Haribhadriyâvaśyaka and Viṣeṣåvaśyaka, which has been called Votika. It is as follows:

8. Votika—This doubt was raised by Sivabhuti. According to him, one cannot obtain liberation till he is not free from all sorts of attachment (parigraha). One, who wants to get rid of the worldly bondage, should leave even his clothings because these are also the cause of parigraha. A monk must be acela, i.e., without any sort of dress; otherwise he cannot achieve his goal. This theory makes no provision for women's liberation. It is held by the Svetambaras. That the Digambaras whose creed is not dissimilar must have appeared at a later period as the followers of the votika nihûnava.
Two Unique Inscribed Jaina Sculptures

SUDHIN DE

The present paper describes two inscribed Jaina images\(^1\), one of stone (*circa* 11th century A.D.) found at Pakbira in Purulia district and the other of bronze (*circa* 16th century A.D.) found at Sagardighi in Murshidabad district. Both these images are on display at the State Archaeological Gallery, West Bengal.

The stone image is that of the sixteenth Tirthankara, Santinatha, standing in kāyotsarga (self-giving) mudrā on a double-petalled lotus, placed on a saptaratha pedestal bordered by two bands in relief. The central projection of the pedestal bears the lānchana mark, an antelope. The pedestal is embellished by two lions, carved out at the two extremes, the whole body of these two animal figures covering the projected and recessed part of the pedestal. Among the miniature figures from the left to the right, a goat-headed male figure is identified as Naigamesin, who as a guardian deity of children referred in the Jaina and Brahmanical mythology is said to have a position of significance. Besides four sitting female figures in añjali mudrā are represented, three of them in a profile and the fourth one facing the front. At the bottom of the

\(^1\) The decipherment and translation of the inscriptions are by Dr. S. C. Mukherjee, Superintendent, Directorate of Archaeology, West Bengal.
pedestal, at the left is a kalasa and at the right a Saiva emblem or a phallus representation—a most interesting feature to note.

The top portion of the central projection of the pedestal bears an inscription which reads as follows:

Srī srāva rja (rga) pu(pa?) nya singhena rdattam (dattam) cakre.
(This image has been dedicated by Sri Punya Singha, the Srāvaka².)

The portion from the bottom of the pedestal upto the knee of the principal deity is in tact but the rest of the sculpture is broken. Squatting elephants are fashioned vertically on the side. Of the navagrahas, (nine planets) only the last one viz., Ketu is visible in the sculpture.

Though more than half of this sculpture is thus badly damaged, still it attracts our attention for what still remains of its fine workmanship. It is corroded much but that has not totally erased its sauve form and pulsating rhythm and its life-like presentation.

The bronze image is that of the twelfth Tirthankara, Vasupujya, sitting in a dhyāna mudrā on a lotus seat supported by two lions placed on the front projection of triratha pedestal. The central projection of the pedestal shows a buffalo, the Tirthankara’s lañchana and at the two extreme corners there are two seated figures. Srivatsa symbol is on the chest of the idol. The whole image is so designed that the Jina is seen sitting within a shrine surmounted by a caityavṛkṣa supported by two elephants at the extremes. A makara-torana comes in slight relief and four figures of Tirthankaras two of whom are seated in a dhyāna mudrā and the other two standing in kāyotsarga accompanied by two court-bearers. Two Jina figures seated each on a single petalled lotus are placed at both ends of the second storey which is supported by four ogee arches. The stele is semi-circular in form with a beaded border. The halo is also in the form of a semi-circle and is designed in lotus with seven extended petals.

² The word srava rja (rga) denotes a tribal people who still live in considerable numbers in the western districts of Bengal. They are known as Srak or Sarak. The word Srak or Sravaka must have originated from the Sanskrit word srawaka meaning a house-holder following the path of the Jinas. An analogous title widely in use is ‘Saraogi’. In 1891, Risley published a book entitled Tribes and Castes of Bengal, wherein he referred to the Sraks or Saraks. Although their ancestors were full Jainas, these people, who are for all practical purposes Hindus now, worshipping Hindu deities still prefix their invocation by the incantation ‘ahimsa paramo dharma’, are vegetarians in food habits and believe and practise non-violence.
On the backside of the stele is the inscription which gives the date and the donor's name.

*samvat 1558 varṣa māgha sudi 12 garau okeśa jñātiya bhāradā śuta mehābhāryā padamāt śreyase bhanasālipatākena śri vāsu-pujyabimbam kāritam pratiṣṭhitam kharataragacche śri jina hamsa sūribhīh.*

(On Thursday, the twelfth day of the bright half of Magha in 1558 samvat (1500-01 A.D.) Padamai, the wife of Meha, who was the son of Bharada of the Okesa clan, made the image of Sri Vasupujya for the well-being of Bhanasalipataka (Bhanasalipataka?) and had it installed by Sri Jinaamsa Suri and others of the Kharataka Gaccha.)

Though much corroded, it is a unique specimen of mediaeval bronze as found in Bengal and delineates the finer treatment in details in a balanced expression. Even the spiritual aspect does never fail in a self-controlled static disposition expressed in *dhyāna mudrā.*

*By Courtesy of the Directorate of Archaeology, West Bengal.*
TIRTHANKARA VASUPUJYA
Sagardighi, Murshidabad, Circa 16th Century A.D.

Courtesy: Directorate of Archaeology, W.B.
THE MISERY
—its what, why and how—
(from Vol. IV. No. 2)
PRADYUMNA KUMAR JAIN

On The Salvation (Mokṣa)

The What

To be free from the shackles of karma is salvation.\(^5^8\) It is the perfection of soul in itself, a self-restored state of the real, an autodynamic actuality of the essential nature of the soul. There is no more inertia attached with it. It is the supreme state ornamented with the dirtlessness, bodylessness, un-approachability by senses, perfections, purity, supreme greatness, supreme accomplishment, supreme benefaction, ineffability, siddha-hood, etc., etc.\(^5^9\) In, short, it is devoid of six types of defects (doṣa).\(^6^0\)

Psychologically speaking salvation is the end of spiritual development, which is the supreme state of experience; while logically, it is the complete deduction of the self-consciousness from the premises of self-transcendence (major) and particular categories of the mind (minor). It is a practical conclusion assimilating the essence and negating the modes of both the premises. Thus essentially it is something very real, whereas beyond the mental categories it is a complete nihil exposing nothing. It is, therefore, something and nothing both together.

Since the term mokṣa is relative, for it is whole of itself qua nothing of what has been annihilated before. Hence from the viewpoint of its own self it is an ocean of infinitude subsuming in itself the infinity of knowledge, intuition, bliss and power, and what not. From the viewpoint of the ‘other’ it is a symbol of the total blankness, total non-existence and totally nothing, for the identity of the soul with the ‘other’ has shattered away and the karman has vanished. In the state of the

\(^5^8\) G.S. (Jiva Kanda), 62 to 65.
\(^5^9\) A. Kh. to 290, p.410.
\(^6^0\) M. Pd., 6.
karman the self is conceptualized in material terms. So with the cessation of karman material terms do also cease, and the reality of the self goes far beyond. Therefore, in the fourth fold of syādvāda it is indescribable.\textsuperscript{61}

The Why

The cause of salvation is twofold. From the real point of view the soul itself is the cause of its own salvation;\textsuperscript{62} whereas from practical point of view the check (samvara) and the annihilation (nirjarā) of karmas are the cause.\textsuperscript{63} Realistically, every real is unfolding itself perpetually and is actualizing its perfection, which is already resting within itself potentially. In as-much as such a self-actualization increases, the veiling agency, to the same extent, decreases. This increment and decreasement are concurrent. In reality, each of the factors though increases and decreases respectively and independently,—as it is the nature of every real in absolute sense —yet practically, keeping in view the relative function of mundane life, the elevation of self-actualization is vested in the detachment of the soul from the ‘other’.\textsuperscript{64} It is therefore, that from different angles of vision, the salvation or nirvāṇa is eternal, which is never caused by anything else. It bases all, while itself is based upon by none. Through it every thing is known, and it is known by none. By virtue of it every category is existent, and it is existent of its own accord. It is the ultimate cause of the whole mundane creation. While on the other side the salvation is one of the categories, and is a bye-product of constant spiritual discipline. It causes none, but is caused by other categories. Its existence is a mere hypothesis on the strength of the real existence of suffering and other categories. In this way, non-absolutely the reality of salvation may be viewed from different angles of vision.

By viewing it through various relativities it should not be contended that the salvation is a hotch-potch bundle of relative tit-bits, but is a system comprising identity-in-difference. There is the identity of substance and the varieties of modes, which are, in reality, one and non-separable. Here identity of the substance consists in the perpetual being of qualities expressing themselves through self-generated modes. Thus existentially, qualities and modes are identical and form dynamic existence of the substance. So the substance is an integral unity of

\textsuperscript{61} S. S., 6.
\textsuperscript{62} Ibid., 83; A. Kh. K. Kavya, 191.
\textsuperscript{63} S. s., 30; T. S., 10-2.
\textsuperscript{64} A. Kh. K. Kavya, 136.
modal varieties, and there is no question of its being hotch-potch in any way.

The How

Now the question is: as to how does the process of salvation start? Kunda emphatically replies, that it is effected through reason (prajñā). Reason is the most native attribute of the soul, which is divinely begotten to the human being through the automatic nirjarā of knowledge and intuition-obscuring karmas (jñānāvaraṇīya and darśanāvaraṇīya). It is through it, that one clearly judges and perceives the natural perspective of the real, and thence through right conduct the salvation results.

The salvation is, therefore, a state of soul’s purest conduct accompanied by the perfect knowledge and intuition, bliss and power. It is the attainment of paramātman through the mediacy of antarātman renouncing the totality of inconsistent dehātman. These are the three poises of spiritual progression, through which the self-realization augments. The dehātman is the mode of self-experiencing self on the sensual level, while the antarātman on the mental level and the paramātman on the level of spirit. The first level is material-spiritual, for it pertains to the gross body and neural-experiences and animal passions subjugating the reason (prajñā) far underneath. In the second level the process is reversed as spiritual-material, for here the reason comes over the sensuality of self-experience. But in the last resort when the reason succeeds fully, the whole of the self-experiencingness turns to be spiritual-spiritual. It is the last stage of the spiritual progress of siddhāhood, which is a final death from the side of sensual and mental levels. It is the gradual progression from the region of diversity towards the unity of the self, thus realizing the purest thought-activities of the being. This purest state of the soul is termed in Jaina philosophy as complete annihilative knowledge (kṣāyika-jñāna) relatively, and perfect knowledge (kevala-jñāna) absolutely. Both of the above terms refer to the same position, but differ in their respective contexts. The former refers to the context of the karmas, that have fully been annihilated so far. In this way, from the angle of karmāṇ the jñāna reaches the point of infinity, while karmāṇ itself on zero, and an equation is derived as $\frac{\infty}{0}$. But

65 Panc., 2-220.
66 Sr., 294.
67 Ibid., 290.
69 S. s., 5.
taking in view the standpoint of the self itself even the reference of zero
goes astray, and the self becomes all in all, independent and pure. It
enjoys then the bliss of kevala-jñāna.

Here the kevala-jñāna should not be taken as total unfoldment of
jñāna attribute only leaving other ones aside. It rather symbolizes the
unfoldment of all the other attributes. But the jñāna assumes the promi-
nance, for the reasons, that it is conceptual and shaped (sākāra),
while other attributes are non-conceptual and unshaped (nirākāra). All the
non-conceptual attributes can be grasped only through conceptualiza-
tion i.e. jñāna. Without jñāna no attribute can be described and un-
derstood. Therefore, wherever the understanding of any attribute
occurs, jñāna-attribute comes in light, for understanding as such is the
function of jñāna. Hence to know the perfection of soul otherwise,
the perfection of jñāna is but necessary ; or, in other words, the kevala-

jñāna is the fore-most condition of the perfected soul. It is because of
this, that the kevala-jñāna implies kevala-darśana, ananta-sukha and
ananta-virya. Its denotation is much wider.

This liberated state is, however, reached at the thirteenth and four-
teenth stages of spiritual progression (gunașthānas). The kevala-jñāna
at the thirteenth stage is another name of the embodied-god (jivamuktā),
when all the obscuring karmas get annihilated and only some sort of
hurt-less karmas prevail, due to which some desire-less movements—
internal and external, take place. This is the stage, when the soul
attains Tirthankara-hood—though not necessarily—and sets the wheel
of religion roll on. It is, in other words, called as spiritual salvation
(bhāva mokṣa). After this, at the fourteenth stage, these all foreign,
though formal activities cease and the soul attains material salvation
(dravya mokṣa) also, leaving this mundane world for the highest abode
of the universe.

At this stage the fullest integration of the universal and the partic-
ular, of divine descent and individual ascent takes place, and the kevala-
jñāna represents the integral whole of spiritual awake. It is the point

Raja Mall explains the word akara as concrete conceptualization
(artha-vikalpa), for, artha means the concrete things—self or non-self, and
vikalpa a mode of upayoga. Thus akara means jnana. (2-391). Certain
mode or form of jnana always accompanies with the other nirakara
attributes of the soul ; just as, the sraddhāna attribute though points out to
the nirakara darsana, yet in itself it is a mode of jnana. (2-386,387). Jnana
has, therefore, a superior position in the orbit of soul.

Nsr., 171 to 174.

Ibid., 175.
where two infinities conjoin, universal-qua-non-conceptual darsana on one side and particular-qua-conceptual jñāna on the other. The unity in this concept of kevala-jñāna has its unique position. It is not something to be generated from the diversity, but is the most fundamental, substantial in its own self. On one side of it there is the unity of transcendence and, on the other, the diversity of immanence. Transcendence and immanence are, therefore, well-integrated. We find such an expression of the kevala-jñāna very near to the Super-Mind of Sri Aurobindo. The Super-Mind, according to Sri Aurobindo, is one of the poises of a self-same reality, that resembles the mind, on one hand, and the pure consciousness on the other. It inherits the character of both the poises, yet stands unique in an original form. “But in Super-Mind”, says Sri Aurobindo, “all being is consciousness, all consciousness is of being, and the idea, a pregnant vibration of consciousness, is equally a vibration of being a pregnant of itself; it is an initial coming out, in creative self-knowledge, of that which lay concentrated in uncreative self-awareness.” The triple character of Saccidananda is distinguishably apparent in it, yet it is inseparably one in the vastness of pure consciousness. Thus the Super-Mind consists in oneness and manyness both. “For the Super-Mind is vast; it starts from unity, not division, it is primarily comprehensive differentiation is only its secondary act.” This is the Super-Mind, the truth-consciousness, the real idea, which knows itself and all that it becomes.

Taking these aspects of the Super-Mind in view it can very well be compared with the idea of kevala-jñāna. For its reconciliation of unity and division resembles the one-ness of intuition and knowledge of kevala-jñāna. Both adhere to the one-ness and the unity of the substance. Division in both the views, is a secondary though quite a real aspect. Moreover, both agree on the point of evolution of the consciousness, with a slight difference on the mode of the process of evolution. The Super-Mind and the kevala-jñāna are the highest evolute in their respective views, which agree in their general character. Both have the clear vision of the substance and the modes.

Both the Jaina and Sri Aurobindo profess the deliverance of the soul from the bondage of matter. Spirit and matter are logically opposite principles. In order to pursue the one it is necessary to get rid of the other. Hence to leave the prison of matter is a necessity for the

---

73 Ibid., 159.
75 c.f. Ibid., 155.
76 c.f. Ibid., 152.
self-realization of the spirit. Here a very minute difference occurs between the Jaina and the Vedantic view of Sri Aurobindo. The Jaina advocates the clear-cut duality of the spirit and the matter. Whereas Sri Aurobindo advocates non-duality essentially, though accepting duality in the form of two poles of evolution practically. Evolution, according to the Jaina view, takes place in the sphere of spirit through one's own efforts, with the simultaneous destruction of the opposite principle of the matter. The state of the kevala-jñāna appears as a result of winning over the matter completely. According to Sri Aurobindo, material elements are not annihilated from the region of the spirit, but they are spiritualized and transformed into the sphere of Saccidananda. The Jaina disagrees with such type of explanation, holding the view, if the matter and the spirit are the opposite realities, one can never be the other. A thing consists in the is-ness of itself-qua-no-ness of the 'other'. Its beingness for a realist, denotes a clear distinction from the other. If both are held at-all to be one existentially, it is only at the cost of realism. Then the Aurobindian criticism of Sankara is futile, for his position is not much different from that of Sankara. If still he sticks to the position of a realist, the Jaina position is un-alterable. However, such a theoretical and academic difference has a little significance on the face of the practical aspect of self-realization. The main point which is admissible to both is the evolution in the character of spirit.

Now, the Jaina is critical of the Samkhya as well as the Advaita-Vedanta, who advocate the reality of salvation attainable by means of the jñāna only. He adds to it the process of right conduct fully pregnant with the power of will for the sake of attaining salvation. Right knowledge without right conduct supported by the actual will-power is a well-manured land without plough. Here Sri Aurobindo seems joining hands with the Jaina, when the former lays much stress on the concomitance of the knowledge with the power. These are the two steps of dynamic consciousness, as says Sri Aurobindo "......... if it were in its nature and vision in knowledge and not at-all dynamic power of knowledge, we would hope to attain by its contacts a beautifise state of mental illumination, but not a greater light and power for the works of the world. But since the consciousness is creatrix of the world, it must not be only state of knowledge, but power of knowledge, and not only a will to light and vision, but a will to power and works." Thus knowledge cannot be comprehended with its entire connotation, until and unless it is associated with the active force of consciousness.

77 A. Kh. to 290 to 292.
78 c.f. L. D., p. 145.
Illumination is always friendly with the force. Thus consciousness here bifurcates into knowledge and will. Both are its constituents. The term Saccidānanda, in this way, connotes the existence by sat, the consciousness by cit, in which the knowledge, the intuition and the force are subsumed, and the bliss by ānanda. This connotation of the term fully corroborates with the Jaina quarternaire of infinite potentialities (ananta catuṣṭaya) of the kevala-jñāna i.e. infinite knowledge, intuition, power, which are absorbed in the wide connotation of the term consciousness (cit) used by Sri Aurobindo in the term Saccidānanda, and infinite bliss, that has been included equally in both the expressions. These four infinite potentialities characterize the being of kevala-jñāna as a concrete reality. Thence the reality of kevala-jñāna implies the concrete existence (sattva), which corroborates with the first constituent of Saccidānanda, i.e. Sat. We, nevertheless, find that the Saccidānanda descended at the level of Super-Mind, as conceived by Sri Aurobindo is a term fully identical with the kevala-jñāna of Jainism.

Now comparing the concept of kevala-jñāna with that of the nirvāṇa of Buddhism, we find a little difference between the two. The nirvāṇa according to Buddhism, consists in the total extinction of pain caused by passions, aversions, etc., denying every sort of mental category herein. "Whatever, your reverence! is the extinction of passions, of aversions, of confusion, this is called nirvāṇa." (Samyukta Nikāya, IV, 251, Horner’s trans.) Thus Buddhism emphasizes upon the reality of metaphysical unity in nirvāṇa duly separated from the body. Again in the words of the Buddha; “A released person, ... released from what is called body, ... is profound, immeasurable, hard to fathom, and like the great ocean.” (Early Buddhist Scriptures, p. 196). In this way the Buddha solved the problem of pain by describing the non-description of the real in the state of nirvāṇa through negative predications of the intellect. On account of this negativity of expression a charge of being a nihilist has been brought against the Buddha, for which he retorts, “... some ascetics and Brahmins accuse me wrongly baselessly, falsely and groundlessly, saying that ascetic Gotama is a nihilist, and preaches the annihilation, destruction and non-existence of an existent being. That is what I am not and I do not affirm. Both previously and now I preach pain and the cessation of pain.” (Majjhima Nikāya, 1-135. Thomas’ trans.) It clearly shows the nature of nirvāṇa,
that it is something beyond description and every condition whatsoever. Its nature is non-nature, and its non-nature is its nature; it is through this affirmation that all points of attachment are abandoned, and pain ceases to be.\textsuperscript{83}

The mode of expression that is preferred by the Buddha, to describe the nature of nirvāṇa is made from absolute point of view (niścaya naya). From this point of view, the Jaina also speaks, “Know the self as devoid of word, taste, colour, smell, form and un-approachable by senses, (but), consciousness, which is indescribable.”\textsuperscript{84} Also describing the nature of nirvāṇa he says, “Where there is neither pain, nor annoyance, nor any obstruction, nor death, nor birth, there only is nirvāṇa.”\textsuperscript{85} “Where there are neither senses, nor is there any calamity, nor delusion, nor astonishment, nor sleep, nor desire, nor hunger; there only is nirvāṇa.”\textsuperscript{86} “Where there are neither any karma, nor quasi-karmas, nor is there any anxiety, nor painful or wicked concentration, nor righteous or pure concentration, there only is nirvāṇa.”\textsuperscript{87} In this way shedding off all the types of foreign elements the knower retires into its ownself making its ownself in the knower, the known and the knowledge simultaneously. Hence from intellectual point of view it is a total nihil (śunya). Yet this nihil is not the total ejection of one’s own being, but is the elimination of the otherness and intellectualization of the being. “Bodhi is insight into the true nature of phenomena (dharma).”\textsuperscript{88} Through this insight into the unity of the Real the Buddha described the real nature of the Real, and accepted the universality of the unity negatively. The Jaina supplemented the Buddhist version by positive category too.

The Jaina, however, co-ordinated the transcendental unity of intuition with the immanent diversity of the intellect, and included both in the nature of the real. Both the attributes supplements each other. Intellect conceives what is perceived by intuition, while intuition perceives that is conceived by the intellect. Thus essentially both reveal the unity of the real. The Jaina posits the existence of the real first, then non-existence of the ‘other’.\textsuperscript{89} The Buddhist posits the non-

\textsuperscript{83} Ibid., p. 59.
\textsuperscript{84} Sr., 49.
\textsuperscript{85} Nr., 178.
\textsuperscript{86} Ibid., 179.
\textsuperscript{87} Ibid., 180.
\textsuperscript{88} S.B.S., p. 81.
\textsuperscript{89} It is evident from the arrangement of the seven-fold categorization of Syadvada Logic.
existence of the ‘other’ first, then establishes the existence of the real by implication. Thus the Jaina and the Buddhist both equally maintaining “is” and “is-not” and the “indescribability of the simultaneity” predicted a long before the mode of interpretation of Vedanta later adopted by Sankara. Sankara employed the same type of dialectic as was adopted by the Jaina several centuries before. He affirmed the pure existence of the Brahman denying its every kind of attributes from absolute point of view, which is as good as the nihil of Buddhism, also quite akin to the Jaina view of the pure existence of the real without the ‘other’. Passions, aversions etc., are in the view of all the three systems a transitory phase of the mundane life, which remain no more in the nature of nirvāṇa. The nirvāṇa is identical with the purity of the real Nature. This shows that passions, aversions, etc., are not essentially in the nature of the self. Moreover, they are not included, says the Jaina, in the absolute nature of the pudgala too, for they are always relatively affirmed; absolutely they are in none. Thus absolutely and essentially they are not at-all. The nirvāṇa is an absolute state of the soul, which exposes the greatest metaphysical synthesis with a land-mark of initial unity of the ontological real pervading through the diversity of nayās. It is a symbol of unity-in-difference with its full logical subtlety at the plane of spiritual-spiritual, apart from the physical activities of the body.

To sum up: The reality is, in the Jaina system, particularized basically in two ways; the self (jiva) and the not-self (ajiva). From the mutuality of these two the mundane nature of the self is explained. The basic fact of mundane life appears in the form of suffering or misery (dukkha). The chain of misery is determined by the āśrava and the bandha. The āśrava and the bandha happen to be the causes of misery or the suffering. Since the misery is not a native quality of the self, it is removable. It is removed only by the check (samvara) of āśrava and the annihilation (nirjarā) of bandha. The samvara and nirjarā constitute the path to tread upon in the course of getting rid of the misery. The fruit (phala) that is begotten by treading upon the path is salvation (mokṣa). In this way there are the seven principal categories (tattvas) of reality. They are the dynamic exposition of the self-restoring reality merging ultimately in the metaphysical unity of the Existence.

---

90 See A. Kh. to 371, p. 500.
There is authentic evidence to prove that it was the Phoenicians who spread the worship of Rsabha in Central Asia, Egypt and Greece. He was worshipped as ‘Bull God’ in the features of a nude yogi. The ancestors of Egyptians originally belonged to India. The Phoenicians had extensive cultural and trade relations with India in the pre-historic days. In foreign countries, Rsabha was called in different names like Reshef, Apollo, Tesheb, Ball, and the Bull God of the Mediterranean people. The Phoenicians worshipped Rsabha regarded as Apollo by the Greeks. Reshef has been identified as Rsabha, the son of Nabhi and Marudevi, and Nabhi has been identified with the Chaldean God Nabu and Maru Devi with Murri or Muru. Risabhadeva of the Armenians was undoubtedly Rsabha, the first Tirthankara of the Jainas. A city in Syria is known as Rashafa. In Soviet Armenia was a town called Teshabani. The Babylonian city of Isbekzur seems to be a corrupt form of Risabhapur. Besides the Phoenicians, Accadia, Sumeria and Mesopotamia had trade and cultural relations with the Indus Valley and they carried the Rsabha cult to their lands. There is much evidence to prove that maritime relations existed between Greece and India. According to Greek writers, a saint of Taxila called Kolynos or Kalyanasvami accompanied Alexandar to Greece and lived at Athens for a number of years. Kalyanasvami has been identified as a Jain ascetic. He committed sallekhanā in Athens. A bronze image of Reshef (Rsabha) of the 12th century B.C. was discovered at Alasia near Enkomi in Cyprus. An ancient Greek image of Appollo resembled Tirthankara Rsabha.
The images of Rsabha were found at Malatia, Boghaz Keui and also in the monument of Isbukjur as the chief deity of the Hittite pantheon. Excavations in Soviet Armenia at Karmir-Blur near Erivan on the site of the ancient Urartian city of Teshabani have unearthed some images including one bronze statuette of Rsabha. Many other relics of Rsabha have been discovered in some of the foreign countries and illustrated articles on some of them have appeared in the Indian press. These countries adopted the doctrines of Jainism and also the Brahmi script. The Indus Valley script, the ancient script of the Hebrews of Palestine, the ancient hieroglyphics of Egypt, the ancient Chinese script and the Sumerian script closely resembled the Brahmi script. The pre-Columbian civilisation of America had its origin in India.

The four outstanding ancient cultures of the old European World prevalent in America, the Pueblo of the South-West, the Aztec of the Valleys and the highlands of Mexico, the Maya culture of the Yucatan Peninsula of Mexico, and the Inca culture of Peru closely resembled the cultures of ancient Egypt, Mesopotamia and the Indus Valley of India.

A Jaina Sūtra in Chinese language was found by Prof. Nakamura of the Tokyo University. It proves that Jainism was prevalent among the Chinese several centuries ago. It is possible to adduce authentic evidence from Indian and European annals of religion to prove that the Arhat Dharma was once the predominating religion of mankind in different parts of the world.

—V. G. Nair, Research in Religion.
A FRIENDLY TIP... (NO.1)

MY DEAR, WHY YOU LOOK SO DULL?
DON'T YOU GET GOOD SLEEP?

STRANGE!.... HOW DID YOU KNOW!!
BUT YOU LOOK SO FRESH.....
SO RELAXED.
HOW?

AH! IT'S SO SIMPLE!
I SLEEP WELL.....
SLEEP ON 'RILAXON'
YOU TOO SHOULD.
SUGGEST FOR
IMMEDIATE CHANGE
IN YOUR HOME
TO-DAY

BE
REST
ASSURED

RILAXON
MATTRESSES & CUSHIONS
GIVE
REFRESHING
COMFORT!

Mfrs. HASTINGS MILL, LTD., CALCUTTA-1.