

THE FREE INDOLOGICAL COLLECTION

WWW.SANSKRITDOCUMENTS.ORG/TFIC

FAIR USE DECLARATION

This book is sourced from another online repository and provided to you at this site under the TFIC collection. It is provided under commonly held Fair Use guidelines for individual educational or research use. We believe that the book is in the public domain and public dissemination was the intent of the original repository. We applaud and support their work wholeheartedly and only provide this version of this book at this site to make it available to even more readers. We believe that cataloging plays a big part in finding valuable books and try to facilitate that, through our TFIC group efforts. In some cases, the original sources are no longer online or are very hard to access, or marked up in or provided in Indian languages, rather than the more widely used English language. TFIC tries to address these needs too. Our intent is to aid all these repositories and digitization projects and is in no way to undercut them. For more information about our mission and our fair use guidelines, please visit our website.

Note that we provide this book and others because, to the best of our knowledge, they are in the public domain, in our jurisdiction. However, before downloading and using it, you must verify that it is legal for you, in your jurisdiction, to access and use this copy of the book. Please do not download this book in error. We may not be held responsible for any copyright or other legal violations. Placing this notice in the front of every book, serves to both alert you, and to relieve us of any responsibility.

If you are the intellectual property owner of this or any other book in our collection, please email us, if you have any objections to how we present or provide this book here, or to our providing this book at all. We shall work with you immediately.

-The TFIC Team.

BY THE SAME AUTHOR

1. ANCIENT CITIES & TOWNS OF RAJASTHAN

(A Study of Culture and Civilization)
Demy Octavo: pp. 670+6 Maps: First Edition, published in Delhi, 1973: Cloth Bound: Price Rs. 60.00.

2. MALWA THROUGH THE AGES

(From the Earliest Time to 1305 A.D.)

Demy Octavo: pp. 582+100 Plates+4 Maps: First Edition published in Delhi, 1972: Cloth Bound: Price Rs. 60.00.

LORD MAHĀVĪRA AND HIS TIMES

KAILASH CHAND JAIN M.A., Ph.D., D.Litt.

Recipient of the Highest Merit Pay awarded by the Government of Rajasthan for research work, Head, University School of Studies in Ancient Indian History, Culture & Archaeology Vikram University, Ujjain.

MOTILAL BANARSIDASS
Delhi :: Varanasi :: Patna

Sole Distributors: MOTILAL BANARSIDASS

Indological Publishers and Booksellers

Head Office: BUNGALOW ROAD, JAWAHARNAGAR, DELHI-7

Branches: 1. CHOWK, VARANASI (U.P.)

2. ASHOK RAJ PATH (OPP. PATNA COLLEGE),

PATNA-4 (BIHAR)

ISBN 0-8426-0738-2

Copyright @ 1974 by Sri Akhila Bharatavarshiya Sadhumargi Jain Sangh, Bikaner.

First Edition : 1974

Price Rs. 60.00

Printed in India

BY SHANTILAL JAIN, AT SHRI JAINENDRA PRESS, BUNGALOW ROAD, JAWAHAR NAGAR, DELHI-7, AND PUBLISHED BY SRI AKHIL BHARATA-VARSHIYA SADHUMARGI JAIN SANGHA RANGARI MOHALLA, BIKANEE.

DEDICATED

TO

His Holiness Āchārya Śrī Nana Lalji Mahārāja

FOREWORD

Lord Mahāvira and His Times by Dr. Kailash Chand Jain of the Vikram University (Ujjain)—known for his scholarship, industry and devotion to Jaina studies—is a timely and valuable publication. I offer my congratulations to Dr. Jain. The author has drawn upon an extensive range of material in the preparation of the book. It should be of wide interest.

India's most characteristic and far-reaching contribution to human civilization is, probably, the principle and practice of ahimsā. Lord Mahāvīra stands as its supreme embodiment, personification.

Ahimsā has been always central to man's progress, but at no time its need and relevance has been more than today. Man's very survival in the atomic age depends on science and ahimsā. And ahimsā is not, and cannot be, in the very nature of things a static doctrine. On the contrary (like science) it is an exploration where every sincere effort and practice opens new possibilities and new horizons.

In the deeply inspiring words of Mahatma Gandhi—who can speak about ahimsā with greater insight, experience and faith than Gandhiji: "By reason of Life-long practice of ahimsā, I claim to be an expert in it, though very imperfect. Speaking in absolute terms, the more I practise it the clearer I see how far I am from the full expression of ahimsā in my life. It is his ignorance of this, the greatest duty of man in the world, which makes him say that in this age, non-violence has little scope in the face of violence, whereas I make bold to say that in this age of the Atom Bomb unadulterated non-violence is the only force that can confound all the tricks put together of violence."

Dr. Jain's book is a very welcome and significant addition to the literature on Lord Mahāvīra and ahimsā.

D.S. KOTHARI

Delhi 22 August 1974

FROM THE PUBLISHERS' PEN

We have great pleasure in releasing this publication on behalf of the All India Sadhumargi Jain Sangh, on the auspicious occasion of the 2500th parinirvana anniversary of Tirthankara Mahavira.

The All India Sadhumargi Jain Sangh was founded on September 30th, 1962. The Sangh aims at inspiring man to live a moral and spiritual life and enabling him for self realisation as well as advancing the society towards constant progress, encouraging the humanitarian and philanthropic tendencies. To attain these objectives, the Sangh is engaged not only in publishing moral literature but also in some other multidimensional activities promoting social justice, equality, moral education, co-operation, uplift of backward classes, education and hostel facilities to needy and deserving students and philanthropic works of like nature.

His Holiness Acharya Shri Nanalalji Maharaj has been preaching an epoch-making and revolutionary philosophy of equality of mankind "SAMATA DARSHAN" for the liberation of Human Society from the evil of inequality and dis-harmony. The Sangh is making constant efforts to build an egalitarian society based on this practical ideology.

The women's wing of the Sangh is also active in Women-awakening programmes and runs Udyoga Mandira (Temples of work) which help the needy and indigent women to earn an independent living. A fortnightly Journal—Shramanopasak—is being regularly published to educate and activise the programmes spread over the length and breadth of the country.

The All India Sadhumargi Jain Sangh has been publishing literature on Jainology mainly aiming at "Ahimsa" non-violence and Satya truth (in their broadest sense). It has been stressing on Aparigraha meaning thereby to preach austere living and sacrifice of personal belongings. This volume is one of the many books which the Sangh proposes to publish during the 2500th parinirvana year of Lord Mahavira.

The author of this book, Dr. Kailashchandra Jain has done the Sangh a favour in accepting to write an exhaustive book on the Lord Mahavira at the 25th Centenary Year. Dr. Jain had been given full liberty to compile material, draw inferences and express his views as he deemed fit. He is a distinguished historian and has written many books. While appreciating the hard work and industry that he has put in, it may be mentioned that the views expressed and inferences drawn or statements made are entirely of Dr. Jain's own studies and may not necessarily be according to beliefs of this Sangh. We express our gratitude to all persons who have extended their co-operation in executing this publication. We are grateful also to M/s Motilal Banarasidas who, on our request, took the responsibility of becoming chief distributors of this publication.

We are confident that such publications would help in understanding the philosophy and personality of Lord Mahavira in his as well as in modern times in a right perspective and consequently in solving the problems of contemporary life.

All India Sadhumargi Jain Sangh, Bikaner, RAJASTHAN

PREFACE

The work entitled 'Lord Mahāvīra And His Times' deals with the history and culture of India during the age of Mahāvīra in the sixth century B.C. This age is marked by outstanding achievements in different spheres—political, religious, social, economic, artistic, and literary. It saw the beginning of the political unification of India under the hegemony of Magadha, and the propagation of Buddhism, Jainism, and other heterodox religious sects. A social code for the observance of the people was prescribed. Because of commerce and trade flourishing during this period, there was all-round prosperity. Besides, development in language, literature, and arts was no less marked

Many an attempt was made from time to time to write India's history concerning one or the other aspect of this age. Of these attempts, T.W. Rhys's was the first to describe ancient India during the period of the Buddha from the Buddhist point of view, in his work 'Buddhist India' (1903). Being a pioneer work on this subject, it is indeed invaluable, though it was written at a time when materials indispensable to the author of such a work were scanty.

'The Social Organization in North India in Buddhist Time', written by R. Fick, in 1920 A.D., comes next and is based on the Jātakas of the Pāli Buddhist canon. This work throws a flood of light on the social life of northern India during the Buddha's time. Based as it is on only one type of sectarian evidence and being concerned only with the social organization of this period, it does not, indeed, give a comprehensive picture.

J.C. JAIN tried to include in Life in Ancient India as Depicted in the Jaina Canons (1945), all the available materials of the Jaina Canon for the first time in the real sense with reference to social life. This is only an one-sided picture.

Similarly, B.C. Law's India as Described in the early texts of Buddhism and Jainism does not make much use of Brahmanical sources.

M.M. SINGH'S work Life in North-Eastern India in Pre-Mauryan Times, however, incorporates both the Buddhist as well as the Brahmanical sources, and the author has tried to give a picture of social, religious, and economic life of that period. The political and cultural history of India of this period has been discussed in The age of Imperial Unity edited by R.C. MAJUMDAR. In both these works, the Jaina sources have not been given the treatment they deserve in comparison with the Brahmanical and the Buddhist ones. Besides, the recent archaeological material discovered in the excavations has not been fully utilised.

Lord Mahāvīra is closely related to his age in which he lived and propagated his religion. One cannot understand his life and teachings unless one looks into the circumstances in which he was brought up. Such an attempt has been made by giving a panorama of the cultural history of that period in this work.

A number of works dealing with Mahāvīra's life and teachings have been written. Most of them do not seem to be historically authentic as they are based on late sources. The most reliable work, it appears to me, is Mahāvīra—His Life and Teachings by B.C. Law who has taken help from both the Buddhist and the Jaina texts in the original. He has made a comparative study of both the texts in elucidating some knotty points of Mahāvīra's life and his doctrine. In his work Some Jaina Canonical Sūtras, he has presented a critical account of the principal Jaina canonical texts which, along with his articles published in different research journals, have been utilised in this work.

The present study has been divided into ten chapters. In Chapter I some legendary accounts, given in Jaina scriptures, have been critically examined in the light of archaeological evidence. It appears, both from the Buddhist and the Jaina texts, that Pārśvanātha is a historical figure, and the history of Jainism can be extended to his times. In Chapter II, the

different sources which have been drawn upon for the writin g of this work have been critically discussed.

Chapter III gives an account of the 'Life of Mahāvīra' from his childhood to Nirvāṇa. Some controversial questions like his birthplace and the year of Nirvāṇa have been discussed.

Chapter IV deals with his teachings based on the original texts. Early Buddhist texts have been used as collateral evidence, in writing this chapter.

In Chapter V, the different sects contemporaneous with Lord Mahāvīra have been enumerated and some interesting light has been thrown on the life and teachings of some of their founders.

Chapter VI describes the sixteen great states Solasama-hājanapadas. How the political unification of India was gradually brought about under Bimbisāra and Ajātaśatru, both rulers of Magadha, has been pointed out here. The administrative machinery and the constitution of the republics especially of the Lichchhavis, have also been described.

Chapter VII throws light on the social conditions of the age under consideration. The duties and responsibilities of the individuals as regards the varnas and āśramas have been fixed. Some old social customs like Niyoga have disappeared and the new ones, in harmony with the new set-up of the society, have come into existence. Such topics as family, marriage, position of woman, dress, ornaments, festivals, and games have also been examined.

Chapter VIII deals with economic conditions. The village was considered to be the basis of social economy. A large number of professions and industries came into existence and were organized into guilds which became a special feature of the economic life of the time. The increased use of iron started and the coined money came into vogue.

Chapter IX is devoted to art and architecture. The noteworthy feature of this age is the revival of urban life when the use of kiln bricks started. The North Black Polished Ware, of de luxe quality, is the gift of this age.

Chapter X demonstrates how this period can be regarded as one of the most creative epochs in the spheres of education, literature, and science.

An idea of writing this work arose in my mind while discussing with NARENDRA BHANAWAT on the occasion of the XXVI session of All India Oriental Conference held at Ujjain from 26th to 28th Oct. 1972. I thought of writing it in commemoration of the auspicious occasion of the 25th centenary of Lord Mahavira which falls in the year 1974-75. I am grateful to Narendra Bhanawat who placed this idea before the Akhila Bhāratīya Sādhu Mārgīya Jain Samgha. I am extremely thankful to the Samgha and its office bearers who became ready for financial assistance to this project. I am highly obliged to SARDAR MAL KANKARIA who gave final shape to this project. I owe an immense debt to GANPAT RAJ BOHARA who constantly inspired me for writing this work. My sincere thanks are also due to Guman Mal Choradia, Jugraj Sethia, Bhanwar Lal KOTHARI and GOKUL CHAND SURYA who took keen interest in publication of this work.

I am also indebted to H.V. TRIVEDI, DALSUKH BHAI MALVANIA, H.B. JAIN, M.L. DALAL and S.M. PAHADIA who helped me in one way or other. I also wish to thank my student PRAMOD GANAPATYA for preparing maps. In conclusion, I want to express my extreme gratefulness to D.S. Kothari, former Chairman of the University Grants Commission, for writing a foreword to this work.

KAILASH CHAND JAIN

Mohan Niwas, Dewas Road, Ujjain (M.P.) 10th October, 1974.

ABBREVIATIONS

ABORI. Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona. Achā. Āchārānga. AIHT. Ancient Indian Historical Tradition by F.E. PARGITER. Ait. Br. Aitareya Brāhmana. Anguttara Nikāya. Angu. Anta. Antagadadasão. Anu. Anuyogadvāra. $\overline{A}p. Dh. S.$ Āpastamba Dharma-sūtra. Arbudāchala Prāchīna Jaina Lekha Samdoha. AP7LS. Ās. G. Sū. Āśvalāyana Grihya-sūtra. ASI. Archaeological Survey of India, Annual Reports. $\overline{A}va$. Avasyaka. Āva. Chū. Āvasyaka Chūrni. Bau, Dh. S. Baudhāyana Dharma Sūtra. Bhag. Bhagavati. Bhāgavata. Bhāgavata Purāņa. $Bh\overline{a}$. Bhāshya. BHPIP. A History of Pre-Buddhistic Indian Philosophy by Benimadhab Barua. Brahma. Brahmajāla Sutta. Bṛi. Up. Brihadāran yaka Upanishad. Brih. Brihatkalpa. CAG. CUNNINGHAM'S Ancient Geography of India, Ed. by S.N. Majumdar. CAH. The Cambridge History of India, Ed. by

E. Rapson (Ancient India).

Chhāndogya Upanishad.

Chūrnī.

Chhand.

Chi.

(xiv)

Chv. Chullavagga (of Vinaya Piţaka). CL. Carmichael Lectures by D. R. BHANDARKAR. Com. Commentary. Das. Dasaveyāliya. Dhp.Dhammapada. Dh. S. Dharma Sūtra. Dia. Dialogues of the Buddha. Digha. Dīghanikāya. DPPN. Dictionary of Pali Proper Names. ERE. Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics, Ed. by J. HASTINGS. FSONB. The Social Organization in North-East India in Buddha's Time by R. Fick. Gau. Dh. S. Gautama Dharma Sūtra. GEB. Geography of Early Buddhism by B.C. LAW. Gop. Br. Gopatha Brāhmana. GS. The Book of Gradual Sayings. Dharma Kā HBSJY. Bhāratīya Samskriti me Jaina Yogadāna by H. L. JAIN. Si-yu-Ki. Buddhist Records of the Western HTB. World. Translated from the Chinese of Hiuen Tsang (A.D. 629) by Samuel Beal 2 Vols.

I. Ar.—A

Review. Indian Archaeology—A Review.

IHQ. Indian Historical Quarterly, Calcutta.

IP. Indian Prehistory, 1964.

London, 1884.

 $\mathcal{J}ar{a}$. $\mathcal{J}ar{a}$ taka.

JASB. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal,

Calcutta.

Jayadh. Jayadhavalā.

JBORS. Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research

Society, Patna.

JDL. Journal of the Department of Letters, Cal-

cutta University.

JIH. Journal of Indian History.

Jivā. Jīvābhigama.

JLAIDJC. Life in Ancient India as Described in the

Jain Canons by J. C. JAIN.

INS1. Journal of the Numismatic Society of India,

Banaras.

Kalpa. Kalpasūtra.

Kau. Up. Kaushitaki Upanishad.

KHDS. History of Dharmaśāstra by P.V. KANE. KMA. Malwa Through the Ages by K.C. JAIN.

KS. The Book of Kindred Sayings.

KVSBM. Śramana Bhagavān Mahāvīra by KALYANA

VIJAYA.

LMLT. Mahavīra: His Life and Teachings by B. C.

LAW.

Mahā. Ni. Mahāniśitha.

Maitra. Sam. Maitrāyaṇī Samhītā.

Majjh. Majjhima Nikāya.

Matsya. Matsya Purāṇa.

Mbh. Mahābhārata.

ME. Mahāvīra Era.

Milinda. Milindapañho.

Moh. Ind. Mohenjo-daro and the Indus Civilization by

J. MARSHALL.

Mv. Mahāvagga (of Vinaya Piṭaka).

NATA. Āgama Aura Tripiţaka Eka Anusīlana by NAG-

RAJ.

 $\mathcal{N} \bar{a} y \bar{a}$. $\mathcal{N} \bar{a} y \bar{a} dhammakah \bar{a}$.

NDGDAMI. The Geographical Dictionary of Ancient and

Medieval India by N. L. DEY.

Nir. Niryukti.
Niryā. Niravāvali

Niryā. Nirayāvaliyāo.

Nist. Nistha.

NPP. Nagarī Prachāriņī Patrikā, Banaras.

NS. Numismatic Supplementary.

Ogha. Ogha Nijjutti.
Ovā. Ovavāiya.

Pā. Ashṭādhyāyî of Pāṇini. Pā. G. S. Pāraskara Gṛihyasūtra.

(xvi)

Panna. Pannavanā.

Pari. Parisishtaparvan of Hemachandra.

Peta. Petavatthu.

PHAI. Political History of Ancient India by H. C.

RAYCHAUDHURI.

Pinda. Pindanijjutti.

PSOB. Studies in the Origins of Buddhism by G.C.

PANDEY.

Rāya. Rāyapaseņaiya.

RB1. Buddhist India by T. W. RHYS DAVIDS.

RV. Rigveda.

Sama. Samyutta Nikāya.
Sama. Samavāyānga.
Sāmañna. Sāmannaphala Sutta.

Śat. Br. Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa.

SBB. Sacred Books of the Buddhists, London.

SBE. Sacred Books of the East, Oxford.

SSHJ. The Heart of Jainism by S. Stevenson.

Sthānā or Ṭhā. Sthānānga or Ṭhānānga.

 $S\overline{u}$. $S\overline{u}tra$.

Su. Ni. Sutta-Nipāta.

Sūtra. Sūtrakṛitānga (Sūyagaḍānga).

Taitt. Sam. Taittirīya Samhitā.
Tandula. Tandulaveyāliya.
Theragā. Theragāthā.
Ti. Tikā.

Ti. Tikā.
Tri. pu. Cha. Trishashļišalākā Purusha Charita of Hema-

chandra.

Uttarā. Uttarā dhyayana. Uvā. Uvāsa gadasāo.

Vas. Dh. S. Vasishtha Dharma Sūtra.

Vin. Vinaya Piṭaka.

Vri. Vritti.

VTM. Tirthankara Mahavira by Vijayendra Suri.

Vya. Vyavahāra.

CONTENTS

Preface	•••	•••	•••	•••	ix-xii
Abbreviations	•••	•••	•••	•••	xiii-xvi

CHAPTER I

JAINISM BEFORE MAHĀVĪRA-

1-17

Jaina religion as eternal, 1; Archaeological evidence to ascertain the truthfulness of the legends, 3; The theory of twentyfour Tīrthankaras. 4; Rishabha as founder of Jainism, 5; Arishtanemi or Neminātha as Tīrthankara, 6; Jainism as pre-Vedic religion, 8; Pārśvanātha as an historical figure, 11.

CHAPTER II

SOURCES...

18-30

Literature, 18; Archaeology, 28.

CHAPTER III

LIFE OF LORD MAHAVIRA-

30-90

His clan, 31; His birth and parentage, 32; Birth-place, 34; Childhood, 37; Life of a householder 39; His ascetic life: his twelve years of preparation, 40; First Sermon, 57; Eleven disciples (Gaṇadharas), 58; Four Orders of the Jaina community (Saṃgha), 59; Places of rainy seasons, 61; Influence on Lay-followers 62; Royal patronage, 63; Mahāvīra and Buddha, 71; Schisms, 72; Nirvāṇa, 72; Theory of 467 B.C., 74; Theory of 477 B.C., 76; Theory of 484 B.C., 76; Theory of 486 B.C., 76; Theory of 488 B.C., 77; Theory of 490; B.C., 78; Theory of 498 B.C., 79; Theory of 545 B.C., 80; Theory of 437 B.C., 80; Criticism of the above theories, 80; Theory of 527 B.C., 84 Personality. 88.

CHAPTER IV

TEACHINGS OF MAHAVIRA-

91-151

Nirvāņa, 92; Right faith (daršana), right knowledge (Jñāna) and right conduct (chāritra), 93; Austerities, 97; Five vows (Vratas), 98; Doctrine of nine categories or truths (Navatattva, 101; Theory of Karma, 104; six Lefyas, 108; Doctrine of Nayas, 110; Exertion of righteousness, 111; The four requisites, 119; Impurity, 120; Death against (and with) one's will, 120; On discipline, 121; Actions of ignorant and wisemen, 122; Vanity of Worldly pleasures, 123; The causes of Carelessness, 124; Sinful and wicked deeds, 126; Hells, 128; A gloomy view of the world, 129; Real Brahmana; 129; Code of conduct for ascetics, 131; Discipline, 131; On troubles, 132; The leaf of the tree, 134; The true monk, 134; The ten conditions of perfect chastity, 135; Bad monks, 136; Duties of a monk, 136; The Samitis and the Guptis, 137; The correct behaviour of monks during the several parts of day and night, 139; Mode of life, 141; Houseless monk, 142; Begging food, 143; Modes of speech, 144; Walking, 145; Begging clothes, 146; Begging for a bowl, 146; Spot where one can ease oneself, 146; Other miscellaneous acts 147.

CHAPTER V

LORD MAHĀVĪRA'S RELIGIOUS CONTEMPORARIES AND SECTS— 152-195

Origin of these sects, 153; Śramana and Brahmanical Sects, 153; Pūrna Kassapa, 154; Pakudha Kachchāyana, 15; Ajita Keśakambalin, 160; Sanjaya Belatthiputta, 162; Mankhali Gośāla, 165; The Buddha, 172; Ascetics of Brahmanical Sects, 175; Contemporary schools of philosophical thought from Buddhist Sources, 186; Vedic Pantheon and religious practices, 187; Popular deities, 188.

CHAPTER VI

POLITICAL CONDITIONS AND INSTITUTIONS— 196-236 Anga, 197; Kāśi, 198; Kośala, 199; Vriji, 200; Malla, 201; Chedi, 202; Vatsa, 203; Magadha. 204; Kuru, 207; Pańchāla, 208; Matsya, 209; Sūrasena. 209; Sindhu Sauvira, 209; Aśvaka, 210; Avanti, 210; Gandhāra, 212; Kāmboja, 213; Small republics in the age of Lord Mahāvīra, 213; Political institutions, 215; King and kingship, 215; Other members of the royal family, 218; Ministry, 219; Officers of the Central government, 220; Provincial and village administration, 221; Judicial administration, 222; Military organization, 222; Taxation, 225; Constitution and administrative machinery of republics, 225; Directive principles of state policy, 226; Citizenship, 227; The General assembly, 227; Executive, 233; Federation, 234.

CHAPTER VII

SOCIAL CONDITIONS—

237-275

Social organization, 237; Kshatriyas, 238; Brāhmaṇas, 239; Vaiśyas, 242; Śūdras, 243; The despised castes, 245; Mixed castes, 246; Slavery, 246; Orders or stages of life, 249; Family life, 251; Marriage, 253; Forms of marriage, 253; Caste and gotra consideration, 255; Inter-caste marriage, 257; Marriage age, 258; Remarriage and divorce, 258; Polygamy and monogamy, 260; The Courtesans, 261; Food and drink, 262; Dress and ornaments, 266; Furniture and utensils, 269; Festivals and Games, 270.

CHAPTER VIII

ECONOMIC CONDITIONS—

276-314

Rural economy, 276; Village 276; Different types of villages, 277; Agriculture, 279; Forest tracts, 283; Arts, crafts, professions and industries, 284; Textiles, 284; Carpentry, 285; House building, 286; Mining, Smithy, 287; Industry of precious metals; 288; Pearls, gems and precious stones, 289; Ivory work, 290; Garland making and perfumery, 290; Pottery, 291; Dyeing, 291; Gums, Drugs and chemicals, 292; Hunters, fishermen and fowlers, 292; Leather work, 293; Liquor distilling, 294; Trade and Commerce, 294; Trade and industrial centres, 295; Commodities and inland trade, 296; Trade routes and transport, 297; Oversea trade, 300; Organization of trade and industries, 304; Organization and constitution, 304; Coinage, 307; Prices, 311; Fees and salaries, 313; Loans and interest, 313; Weights and measures, 313.

CHAPTER IX

ART AND ARCHITECTURE-

315-340

Architecture, 315; Secular architecture, 315; Town architecture, 315; Building architecture, 320; Religious architecture, 324; Material; 327; Painting, 329; Sculptures, 333; Terracottas, 335; Ceramics, 337; Metal objects, 338; Bone and Stone objects, 339; Symbols on coins, 339; Miscellaneous objects, 340.

CHAPTER. X

EDUCATION, LITERATURE AND SCIENCES— 341-369

Education, 341; Aims and ideals of education, 342; Some educational principles and postulates, 343; Teacher and student, 344; Private teachers and other agencies, 347; Educational centres, 347; Hermitages as centres of learning, 349; Subjects of study, 350; Holidays, 351; Organization and duration of courses, 351; Female education, 352; Art of writing, 353; Language, 355; Literature, 356; The Jaina canon, 357; The Buddhist canon, 359; Chronology of the Buddhist canon, 359; The Ājīvika canon. 361; Vedānga literature, 362; Classes of Sātra works, 362; Philosophical literature, 363; Technical and Scientific literature, 364; Grammar, 364; Metrics, 365; Science of polity, 365; Mathematics, Astronomy and Astrology, 366; Science of Medicines, 368; Science of Engineering, 369.

GENERAL BIBLIOGRAPHY—	371-384
INDEX—	385-406
MAPS	407-408

CHAPTER 1

JAINISM BEFORE LORD MAHĀVĪRA

The history of Jainism before Lord Mahāvīra is shrouded in considerable obscurity. Materials which can reconstruct it are scanty, dubious and capable of different interpretations. Scholars have, therefore, come to widely divergent conclusions. The Jainas themselves believe that their religion is eternal and that before Mahāvīra (C. 600 B.C.), there lived twentythree Tirthankaras who appeared at certain intervals to propagate true religion for the salvation of the world. Some scholars1 hold that there are traces of the existence of Sramana culture even in pre-Vedic times. H. JACOBI² tried to prove, both from the Buddhist and the Jaina records, that Pārśvanātha, the immediate predecessor of Mahāvīra, who is said to have 250 years before him, is a flourished some historical personality.

JAINA RELIGION AS ETERNAL

According to the traditions preserved in the scriptures, Jaina religion is eternal, and it has been revealed again and again in every cyclic period of the world by innumerable Tirthankaras. The whole span of time is divided into two equal cycles, Utsarpini Kāla and Avasarpini Kīla. Each Utsarpini and Avasarpini Kāla extends over ten Kotā-Koti Sāgaropama years which are sub-divided into six parts known as aras. The

1. H. ZIMMER: Philosophies of India, pp. 217-227;

J.G.R. FORLONG: Short Studies in the Science of Comparative

Religions, pp. 243-244;

Psoв : р. 260;

Tulsi : Pre-Vedic Existence of Sramana Tradition.

2. SBE, XLV, pp. xx-xxiii.

six divisions of Avasarpini are known as Sushamā-Sushamā, Sushamā, Sushamā-Duhshamā, Duhshamā-Sushamā, Duhshamā and Duhshamā-Duhshamā. The six divisions of Utsarpini are Duhshamā Duhshamā, Duhshamā, Sushamā, Sushamā-Duhshamā, Sushamā and Sushamā-Sushamā. During each successive ara of Avasarpini Kāla, the age, height, strength and happiness of the Yugalikas gradually declined. In all, fourteen Kulakaras (Manus) are said to have flourished during this period.

After the Kulakaras, twentyfour Tirthankaras appeared at certain intervals and preached the true religion for the salvation of the world. Their names are: (1) Rishabha, (2) Ajita, (3) Sambhava, (4) Abhinandana, (5) Sumati, (6) Padmaprabha, (7) Supārśva, (8) Chandraprabha, (9) Suvidhi or Pushpa, (10) Sītala, (11) Śreyāmśa, (12) Vāsapūjya, (13) Vimala, (14) Ananta, (15) Dharma, (16) Śānti, (17) Kunthu, (18) Ara, (19) Malli, (20) Munisuvrata, (21) Nami, (22) Nemi, (23) Pārśva, and (24) Vardhamāna or Mahāvīra.

All Tirthankaras were Kshatriyas; Munisuvrata and Nami belonged to Harivamsa, and the remaining twentytwo to the Ikshvāku race. Malli, according to the Śvetāmbaras, was a woman, but this the Digambaras deny, for according to them no semale can attain liberation. The interval in years between one Tirthankara and the other has been calculated. Pārśva's predecessor, Arishṭanemi, is stated to have died 84,000 years before Mahāvīra's Nirvāṇa. Nami died 500,000 years before Arishṭa Nemi, Munisuvrata 11,00,000 years before Nami; the next intervals are 65,00,000 and 10,000,000 or a crore; the following intervals cannot be expressed in definite number of years, but are given in Palyopamas and Sūgaropamas, the last interval being one crore of crores of Sūgaropamas. The length of the life and height of the Tirthankaras are in proportion to the length of the interval.

Besides twelve Universal monarchs1 (Chakravartis), nine

 ⁽¹⁾ Bharata, (2) Sagara, (3) Madhavā, (4) Sanatakumāra, (5) Šānti,
 (6) Kunthu, (7) Araha, (8) Subhauma, (9) Padma, (10) Harishena,
 (11) Jayasena, and (12) Brahmadatta.

Vāsudevas, inine Baladevas and nine Prativāsudevas lived within the period ranging from the first to the twenty-second Tīrthankara. Together with the twentyfour Tīrthankaras, there are sixtythree great personages (Trishashtisalākāpurushacharita) of Jaina history.

From such statements and descriptions of the blissful state of the world at its initial stages, it is evident that the Jainas, like the Hindus, attributed to the first race of man a longer life and greater strength and happiness than what fall to the share of his offspring in the present age. We know that the Greeks and Romans also held similar views. The world has grown worse and worse, and the life of man shorter and shorter; so that the twentythird Tirthankara, Pārśva, is said to have lived only for a hundred years, and died 250 years before his more celebrated successor, Mahāvīra, who lived only for seventytwo years.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVIDENCE TO ASCERTAIN THE TRUTHFULNESS OF THE LEGENDS

This legendary account of the existence of Jainism in such an early period on the basis of Jaina scriptures is not reliable, as it is not consistent with archaeological facts. The archaeologists tell a different story. The earliest man of Early Palaeolithic Culture lived in India in the Middle Pleistocene Period, i.e., some 200,000 years ago. Economically, man was then a savage and a hunter, and with the help of stone tools, he subsisted largely on fruits, roots and grubs, and on the chase with the help of his bow and arrow. The Middle Stone Age Culture is assigned to the later half of the Pleistocene (25,000 years before), and the tools are of typical flake

- (1) Achala, (2) Vijaya, (3) Bhadra, (4) Suprabha, (5) Sudarsana, (6) Ānanda, (7) Nandana, (8) Padma, and (9) Rāma.
- (1) Triprishtha, (2) Dviprishtha, (3) Svayambhū, (4) Purushottama,
 (5) Purushasimha, (6) Purushapundarīka, (7) Datta, (8) Nārāyana,
 and (9) Krishna.
- 3. (1) Aśvagrīva, (2) Tāraka, (3) Meraka, (4) Madhu, (5) Nisumbha, (6) Bali, (7) Prahlāda, (8) Rāvana, and (9) Jarāsandha.

The legends of their lives form the subject of Hemachandra's great epic, the Trishashtisalākāpurushacharita based on older sources, probably the Vāsudevahindi.

nature, smaller than those of the Early Stone Age Culture. Up to the Mesolithic stage of culture of the Early Holocene Age, man was still a hunter and used tiny stone tools called microliths, which are non-geometric. Pottery did not come into existence till then.

If the evidence of the lowest levels of Langhraj in Gujarat is taken to be a general feature, the geometric element seems to have made its appearance in the microlithic industry. It was followed by the appearance of pottery. There is also some evidence, though inconclusive, regarding agriculture and domestication of animals at this stage. A picture of people using pottery and geometric microliths is also afforded by the caveshelters of Madhya Pradesh. None of these stages has been dated with reasonable approximation.

The carbon-14 datings for the pre-pottery village culture of Kili Ghul Mohammad, near Quetta in Pakistan, confined to the Baluchi hills (Period I viz. 3690 ± 85 B.C. and 3510 ± 515 B.C.), are of great value. They provide evidence for the domestication of animals and for agriculture but not for the use of pottery. Kili Ghul Mohammad III marks the infiltration of copper. Kalibangan and Kotdiji cultures (3000 B.C.) are famous for pre-Harappan deposits, such as pottery, and structures. The Harappan civilization with many metropolitan centres, such as Rupar in the East Punjab, Kalibangan in North Rajasthan, Alamgirpur in Uttara Pradesh, and Rang--pur, Lothal, and Somanatha in Gujarat, is the last and most elaborate phase of long cultural evolution (2500-1800 B.C.). It was followed by the various Chalcolithic cultures (1800-600 B.C.)—the Painted Grey Ware Culture of the Ganga Yamuna basin, the Chalcolithic Cultures of Madhya Pradesh and the Deccan, the Neolithic Cultures of the North West, etc. is in the light of the material furnished by these different cultures that we should study Jainism before Mahāvīra. The archaeological evidence does not prove such an antiquity of Jainism as is revealed by the Jaina scriptures.

THE THEORY OF TWENTYFOUR TIRTHANKARAS

The Kalpasūtra ascribed to Bhadrabāhu (3rd century B.C.) shows the early stages of the development of Jainism when the

tradition of four Tirthankaras or Jinas was cherished by the Jaina community. The four Jinas, whose life history is presented in the Kalapasūtra, are Rishabhadatta, Arishtanemi, Pārśva and Mahāvīra. The conception of the former Buddhas was current even in Buddhism as early as the third century B.C. because some of them were worshipped in their own stūpas. Both in Jainism and Buddhism, the number gradually increased from four to seven, and from seven to twentyfour Tirthankaras. The tradition of twenty-four Tirthankaras became well established among the Jainas in about the first or second century A.D.1 It might have risen earlier in Jainism, as the Nirgranthas were never spoken of, in Buddhist writings, as a newly risen sect nor was Nataputta referred to as their founder. Accordingly, the Nirgranthas were, probably, an old sect at the time of Buddha, and Nataputta only a reformer of the Jaina Church which might have been founded earlier by Pārśvanātha.

RISHABHA AS FOUNDER OF JAINISM

According to the Jaina tradition, Rishabha, who belonged to the Ikshvāku family of Ayodhyā, was the founder of Jainism. His parents were Nābhirāja and Marudevī. The son's name was Bharata after whom India is said to be named. He was the first Jina and the first Tīrthankara who was born in an age when people, primitive and illiterate, did not know any art. He is said to have taught the arts of cooking, writing, pottery, painting and sculpture for the first time. It was during his time that the institution of marriage, the ceremony of cremating the dead, building of the mounds and the festivals in honour of Indra and the Nāgas came into existence. We may, thus, look upon him as a great pioneer in the history of human progress.

1. Even in the Bhagavatī Sūtra of the Jainas, the Ājīvika saint Gośāla is said to have claimed for himself the status of the twentyfourth and last Tīrthankara of current Avasarpiņi age. The terminology of the phrase is distinctly Jaina. This is not true because before Gośāla, only two previous Ājīvika leaders, namely, Nandavaehchha and Kisa Sankicheha, are known.

It is often said that there is a reference to Lord Rishabha in the Vedic literature. Some Vedic preceptors paid reverence to Lord Rishabha, and regarded him as the Lord of Lords. In the Rigveda, and in the Taittiriya Āranyaka, Vātarasanas have been used in the sense of Sramanas. Vātarasana has also been mentioned, and in the same context an excellent tribute has been paid to Keśī.3 This Keśī alludes to Rishabha because in Jaina literature, there is a tradition that Lord Rishabha was called Keśi. Even on the ancient images of Lord Rishabha, locks of hair are noticed. In the Rigveda,4 Keśī has been mentioned along with Vrishabha. It is more probable that the reference to Lord Rishabha in Vrātyakhanda of the Atharvaveda is only metaphorical. From this it is argued that Vrishabha lived before the Vedic times and was the first fountain head of Sramana culture. It is from the context of the Rigveda that Lord Rishabha has been depicted as one who sponsored Vātarasana Śramanas in the Bhāgavata Purāna5 of the eighth century A.D.

Against this, it may be argued that though in the Rigueda and in other Vedic writings, 'Rishabha' has been mentioned many times, its meaning has been controversial and susceptible of different interpretations. There is no evidence of Rishabha being mentioned as the founder of Jainism in Vedic literature. Even in the days of Mahavīra, Rishabha was not known as the founder of Nirgrantha sect from any contemporary source. From about the fourth or third century B.C., it seems that Rishabha became popular as the first Jina, the first Tīrthankara, and the founder of Jainism. Like the imaginary Manu of Brahmanical literature, he has been described as the first ruler and founder of the new Social Order.

ARISHŢANEMI OR NEMINĀTHA AS TĪRTHANKARA

Besides Rishabhadeva, Arishtanemi or Neminātha has also been mentioned as the Tīrthankara of the Jainas in the

^{1.} RV, X, 11.139.2-3.

^{2.} Taitt. Ar, 2.7.1, p. 137.

^{3.} RV, X, 11, 136-1.

^{4.} Ibid., X. 9; 102-6.

^{5.} Bhāgavata, V, 3, 20.

Kalpasūtra. He is said to be the twenty-second Tīrthankara. He was the son of a king named Samudravijaya of Sauripura, a big town on the bank of the Yamunā. His mother's name was Śivādevī. He was named Arishṭanemi because his mother saw in a dream a nemi, the outer rim of a wheel, which consisted of rishṭa stones flying up to the sky. Giranara or Raivataka hill is considered to be his nirvāna-place.

Neminātha is connected with the legend of Śrīkrishna as his relative. According to the *Trishashṭiśalākāpurushacharita*, he was a cousin of Lord Krishna who negotiated his marriage with Rājamatī, daughter of Ugrasena, ruler of Dvārikā, but Neminātha, taking compassion on the animals which were to be slaughtered in connection with the marriage feast, left the marriage procession suddenly and renounced the world. He then left Dvārikā and proceeded to a garden called Sahasambhavana on the mount Raivataka, where he practised asceticism and attained salvation. According to the *Kalpasūtra*, he lived up to the age of 1,000 years.

The Chhāndogya Upanishad¹ refers to Krishņa, son of Devakī, as a disciple of Ghora Angirasa who instructed him about tapas (asceticism), dāna (charity), ārjava (simplicity or piety), ahimsā (non-injury) and satyavāchana (truthfulness)—virtues which are extolled by Krishņa in the Gītā. As Jaina tradition makes Vāsudeva-Krishņa a contemporary of Tīrthankara Arishṭanemi who preceded Pārśvanātha, some scholars identify Ghora Āngirasa with Neminātha. Neminātha is also known to have instructed Śrīkrishṇa, but his identification with Ghora Angirasa is by no means correct as he is not known by this name in Jaina literature.

The age when Vāsudeva-Kṛishṇa flourished cannot be determined with certainty. The reference in the Chhāndogya Upanishad seems to point to a date in the sixth or seventh century B.C. The Mahābhārata war, in which Kṛishṇa is known to have participated, was, according to H.C. RAY CHAUDHURI, fought either in the 14th century B.C. or in the 9th century B.C.²

^{1.} Chhānd, III, 17, b.

^{2.} Рнаг, рр. 31-36.

The curious Jaina version of Krishna legend along with that of Arishtanemi having some points of similarity between itself on the one hand and the Brahmanical and Buddhistic versions on the other, was invented with the obvious purpose of gaining popularity for the Jaina faith in Western India by making the local people believe that the whole of the Yādava race attained salvation under the influence and guidance of the 22nd Tīrthankara, Neminātha. Actually, Neminātha is not a historical figure at all and the same is probably the case with Lord Kṛishṇa also.

JAINISM AS A PRE-VEDIC RELIGION

It has been pointed out by some scholars that Jainism is a pre-Vedic religion. G.C. Pandey¹ has tried to show that the anti-ritualistic tendency, within the Vedic fold, is itself due to the impact of an asceticism which antedates the Vedas. Jainism represents a continuation of this pre-Vedic stream. Some of the relics,² recovered from the excavations at Mohenjo-dāro and Harappa, are related to Śramaṇa or Jaina tradition. The nude images in Kāyotsarga, i.e., the standing posture lost in meditation, closely resemble the Jaina images of the Kushāṇa period. Kāyotsarga is generally supposed to belong to the Jaina tradition. There are some idols even in Padmāsana pose. A few others, found at Mohenjo-dāro, have heads of serpents. They probably belonged to pre-Vedic Nāga tribe. The image of the seventh Tīrthankara, Lord Supārśva, has a canopy of serpent-heads.

Even after the destruction of the Indus civilization, the straggling culture of the Śramaṇas, most probably going back to pre-Vedic and pre-Aryan times, continued even during the Vedic period as is indicated by some such terms as Vātaraśana, Muni, Tati, Śramaṇa, Keśi, Vrātya, Arhan and Śiśnadeva. The Keśi Sūkta of the Rigveda delineates the strange figure of the Muni who is described as long-haired, clad in dirty, tawny-coloured garments, walking in the air, drinking poison,

^{1.} Psob, p. 317.

Moh. Ind, plate xii,
 Figs. 13. 14, 15, 19, 22.

delirious with Mauneya and inspired. There can hardly be any doubt that the Muni was to the Rigvedic Culture an alien figure. The $Taittir\bar{\imath}ya-\bar{A}ranyaka^1$ speaks of $\dot{S}ramanas$ who were called $V\bar{a}tara\dot{s}an\bar{a}h$. They led a celibate life and could disappear at will and teach the Brāhmanas the way of righteousness.

The word Śramaṇa occurs in the Upanishads,² although the Muṇḍakopanishad has various references to the shaven-headed ascetics who revile the Vedas. All the passages of Vedic literature,³ taken together, suggest that the Yatis were the people who had incurred the hostility of Indra the patron of the Aryas, and whose bodies were, therefore, thrown to the wolves.

The Panchavim'sa Brāhmaṇa⁴ describes some peculiarities of the Vrātyas. They did not study the Vedas; they did not observe the rules regulating the Brahmanical order of life. They called an expression difficult to pronounce when it was not difficult to pronounce at all and spoke the tongue of the consecrated though they themselves were not consecrated. This proves that they had some Prakritic form of speech. (The Prakrit language is especially the language of the canonical works of the Jainas.) K.P. Jayaswal⁵ states that they had traditions of the Jainas current among them.

In the Rigveda,⁶ Arhan has been used for a Śramana leader: 'Oh Arhan, you fed compassion for this useless world.' The mention of Śiśnadevas (naked gods) in the Rigveda⁷ is also noteworthy.

As a matter of fact, however, there is no definite evidence for the existence of Jainism in pre-Vedic times. The images representing Kāyotsarga excavated at Mohenjo-dāro, cannot be ascribed to Jainism unless there is some evidence for it. Even from the various terms mentioned in the Rigveda, no definite

^{1.} Taitt. Är, I. pp. 87, 137-8.

^{2.} Bri. Up. 4. 3. 22.

^{3.} Taitt. Sam, VI, 2, 75; Kāṭhaka Samhitā, VIII, 5; Ait Br. 35. 2; Kau Up, III. 1; AV, II, 53, Tāṇḍya Mahā-Brāhmaṇa, VIII, 1-4.

^{4.} Panch. Br, XVII, 4, 1-9.

^{5.} JBORS, XIV, p. 26.

^{6.} RV, 11, 33, 10.

^{7.} Ibid., VII, 21, 5; x, 99, 3,

conclusion can be drawn regarding the existence of Jainism in those days.

Jainism it appears, was not extant in so early a period, but the primitive currents of religious and philosophical speculation of the pre-Vedic period along with Sānkya-Yoga and Buddhism considerably influenced this religion. All the three shared a kind of pessimism, a conclusion that human life is full of misery. No trace of this attitude is to be found in the optimistic outlook of the Vedic Aryans. The doctrine of transmigration, unknown to the early Brahmanas, suddenly emerges in the Upanishads and forms an essential element in these three systems. What is more important is the fact that this doctrine assumes its peculiarly Indian form by its association with the doctrine of Karman, and we know that some of the most primitive ideas of Karman are found in Jaina Metaphysics as well. An atheistic attitude and a kind of dualism between spirit and matter characterize all the three systems of thought. To the same primitive influence of pre-Vedic times may also be attributed the introduction of the practice of image-worship. From early times, the cult of symbols and images seems to have been current among the Jainas who continued the traditional religious practices of the pre-Aryan settlers of the Sindhu Valley region.

H. JACOBI¹ noticed some marks of antiquity in the character of Jaina philosophy. Such a mark is the animistic belief that nearly everything is possessed of a soul; not only have plants their own souls, but particles of earth, cold water, fire and wind too. This theory of primitive animism in Jaina philosophy indicates that this religion originated at a very early time when higher forms of religious beliefs and cults had not taken hold of the Indian mind. Another mark of antiquity in Jainism is that in the development of metaphysics, the category of quality is not yet clearly and distinctly conceived, but it is just evolving, as it were, out of the category of substance.

In the Vedic period, there existed two distinct religious cultural traditions—the strictly orthodox and Aryan tradition

^{1.} SBE, XLV, p. xxxiii.

of the Brāhmaṇas and the straggling culture of the Munis and Śramaṇas, most probably going back to pre-Vedic and pre-Aryan times. During the later Vedic period, the two streams tended to mingle, and the result was the great religious ferment from which Jainism appears to have originated. Jainism and other Śramika religious sects grew up among the imperfectly Aryanised Communities of the East in response to the cultural atmosphere and social needs. These sects spread out, flourished and became highly popular there. On the other hand, Brahmanical religion had its stronghold in the North and the West.

PĀRŚVANĀTHA AS AN HISTORICAL FIGURE

- H. JACOBI¹ and others have tried to prove on the authority of both the Jaina and the Buddhist records that Pārśva was a historical personage. Their arguments are as follows:
- I. In the Buddhist scriptures, there is a reference to the four vows (Chāturyāma Dharma) of Pārśva in contradiction to the five vows of Mahāvīra. The Buddhists could not have used the term Chāturyāma Dharma for the Nirgranthas unless they had heard it from the followers of Pārśva. This proves the correctness of the Jaina tradition that the followers of Pārśva, in fact, existed at the time of Mahāvīra.
- 2. The Nirgranthas were an important sect at the time of the rise of Buddhism, as may be inferred from the fact that they are frequently mentioned in the *Pitakas* as opponents of Buddha and his disciples This is further supported by another fact. Mankhali Gośāla, a contemporary of Buddha and Mahāvīra, divided mankind into six classes, and of these, the third class contained the *Nirgranthas*. Gośāla, probably, would not have ranked them as a separate class of mankind if they had recently come into existence. He must have regarded as members of a very important and at the same time an old sect.
 - 3. The Majjhima Nikāya records a dispute between

^{1.} SBE, XLV, pp. xx-xxiii.

Buddha and Sakdāl, the son of a Nirgrantha. Sakdāl was not himself a Nirgrantha. Now, when a famous controversialist, whose father was a Nirgrantha, was a contemporary of Buddha, the Nirgrantha sect could scarcely have been founded during Buddha's life-time.

4. The existence of Pārśva's Order in Mahāvīra's time is proved by the reported disputes between the followers of Pārśva and those of Mahāvīra. The followers of Pārśva, who did not fully recognize Mahāvīra as their spiritual guide, existed during Mahāvīra's life-time. A sort of compromise was effected between the two sections of the Jaina Church.

These arguments clearly show that Pārśvanātha was a real historical figure. Very few facts of his life are, however, known. The Kalpasūtra informs us that Pārśva was the son of king Aśvasena of Vārāṇasī (Banaras) and queen Vāmā, belonging to the Ikshvāku race of the Kshatriyas. No such person as Aśvasena is known, from Brāhmaṇa records, to have existed. The only individual of that name, mentioned in epic literature, was a king of the Snakes (Nāgas), and he cannot in any way be connected with the father of the Jaina prophet 'Pārśva'. Pārśva is said to have been born in 877 B.C. It is evidently impossible to prove the correctness of this date as we do not have a single definite date in Indian history before the time of Buddha.

Many legends have gathered round Pārśva. Throughout his life he was connected with snakes in one way or the other. In his childhood, for instance, while he lay by the side of his mother, a serpent was seen crawling about there. When he grew up, he saved a serpent from the grave danger it was in. He also saved a poor terrified snake which had taken shelter in a log of wood to which a Brāhmin ascetic, Kamaṭha, was setting fire. After its death, the snake became God Dharanendra who spread a serpent's hood over Pārśva.

Pārśva was married to Prabhāvatī, the daughter of Prasenajit the king of Kuśasthala. He must have been a man of genial nature, as he is always given the epithet *Purishādānīya*,¹

'beloved of men'. He lived for thirty years in great splendour and happiness as a householder, and then, forsaking all his wealth, became an ascetic. After 84 days of intense meditation, he attained the perfect knowledge of a prophet, and from that time, he lived for about seventy years in the state of most exalted perfection and sainthood. At last, he attained Nirvana1 (liberation) in 777 B.C. on the summit of Mount Sammedašikhara, now named Pārsvanātha hill after him.

A man of practical nature, Pārśva was remarkable for his organizing capacity. He organized the Samgha (Organization) efficiently for the propagation of Jainism. He had eight ganas and eight ganadharas, namely, Subha and Aryaghosha, Vasishtha and Brahmacharin, Saumya and Śrīdhara, Vīrabhadra and Yasas. He had an excellent community of 16,000 Sramanas with Aryadatta at their head; 38,000 nuns with Pushpachūlā at their head; 164,000 lay votaries with Suvrata at their head; 372,000 female lay votaries with Sunandā at their head;2 350 sages who knew the four Pūrvas; 1,400 sages who were possessed of the avadhi knowledge; 1,000 kevalins; 1,100 sages who could transform themselves; 600 sages possessing correct knowledge: 1,000 male and 2,000 female disciples who had reached perfection; 750 sages, each gifted with a mighty intellect; 600 professors and 1,200 sages in their last birth.3 Here the Digambara texts differ. According to them, there were ten ganas and ten ganadharas among whom Svayambhū was the chief apostle. They also differ in giving the number of nuns, laymen and female lay votaries which, according to them, was twentysix thousand, one lac and three lacs respectively. The numbers given above seem to have been exaggerated, but the division of Jaina Samgha in different branches proves the great organizing capacity of Pārśva. He is said to have visited many cities, the most important of which for the dissemination of Jainism are Ahichchhatra, Āmalakappā, Śāvatthī, Kāmpillapura, Łāgeya, Rāyagiha, and Kosambī.

According to the Jain tradition, the sacred literature descending from the time of Pārsva was known as Purras

^{2.} Ibid., 160-164. Kalpa, 168-169.
 Ibid., 166.

classes of living beings served as the basis of Mahāvīra's doctrine of six leśyās.¹ According to H. Jacobi, the Order of Pārśva seems to have undergone some changes in the period between the death of Pārśva and the advent of Mahāvīra.

Pārśva enjoined on his followers four great vows: (1) Abstinence from killing living beings; (2) Avoidance of falsehood; (3) Avoidance of theft, and (4) Freedom from possessions. H. Jacobi² has clearly perceived that a doctrine attributed to Mahāvīra in the Buddhist Sāmañnaphala Sutta properly belonged to his predecessor, Pārśva, insofar as the expression Chātuyāma Samvara is concerned. The doctrine is that, according to Mahāvīra, the way to self-possession self-command, and imperturbability consists of 'a four-fold self-restraint', such as restraint in regard to all water, restraint in regard to all evil, and restraints imposed for the purification of sin and feeling a sense of ease on that account.

The Jaina writers tell us that Nagnajit, king of Gandhāra, Nimi, king of Videha, Durmukha, king of Panchāla, Bhīma, king of Vidarbha, and Karakandu, king of Kalinga adopted the faith of the Jainas. As Pārśva (877-777 B.C.) was probably the first historical Jina, these rulers, if they really became converts to his doctrines, have to be placed between 842 B.C. and 600 B.C. They are known to have ruled over their respective kingdoms before the sixth century B.C.

Pārśva had a large number of followers round about Magadha even in the days of Mahāvīra. Mahāvīra's parents, who belonged to the Jñātrī-Kshatriyas, were worshippers of Pārśva. Following the teachings of Pārśva, they peacefully died practising the slow starvation of their senses. The Uttarā-dhyayana Sūtra⁶ relates a meeting between Keśī and Gautama

^{1.} The classification of living beings in terms of six colours may be traced in Pārśva's doctrine of six Jivanikāyas (Āchā, II, 15, 16).

^{2.} SBE, XLV, pp. xix-xxii.

^{3.} Dia, II, pp. 74-75.

^{4.} SBE, XLV. p. 87.

^{5.} Achā, II, 15.16.

^{6.} Uttarā, 23, pp. 119-129.

as representatives of the two Jaina Orders, the old and the new. The Bhagavatī Sūtra1 refers to a dispute between Kālāsavesiyaputta, a follower of Pārśva, and a disciple of Mahāvīra. The Navadhammakahāo2 says that Kalī, an old maiden joined Pārśva's order and was entrusted to Pupphachūlā, the head of the nuns. The two sisters of Uppalā joined the order of Pārśva, but being unable to lead the rigid life of the order, they became Brāhmin parwrājikās (female wanderers). Munichanda, a follower of Parsva, lived in a potter's shop in Kumaraya-Sannivesa in the company of his disciples. Vijayā and Pagabbhī, two female disciples of Pārśva, saved Mahāvīra and Gośāla in Kūviya-sannivesa.3 The Bhagavatī Sūtra4 refers to Gāngeya, a follower of Pārśva in Vāniyagāma. He gave up the four vows of Pārśva and adopted the five Mahāvratas of Mahāvīra. The Nāyādhammakahāo⁵ mentions Pundariya who accepted the four vows of Pārśva. The followers of Pārśva moved in the company of five hundred monks into the city of Tungiya.6 number of laywomen joined Pārśva's Order.7 The Rāyapasenaiyasūya8 refers to a follower of Pārśva named Keśī who visited Seyaviyā where a discussion between him and Paesi took place regarding the identity of the soul and body. A follower of Pārśva named Udaka met Gautama, the famous disciple of Mahāvīra. Gautama was successful in winning over Udaka to his side.9 From the dialogue between Udaka and Gautama, it appears that the followers of Pārśva and the disciples of Mahāvīra were respectively known as the Nigantha Kumăraputtas and the Nigantha Nathaputtas.

- 1. Bhag, I, 76.
- Nāyā, II. i; p. 222 ff.
- 3. Āva, chū, p. 1291.
- 4. Bhag, IX. 32.
- 5. Nāyā, 19, p. 218.
- 6. Bhag, 2-5.
- 7. Nāyā, II, 10.
- 8. Rāya, 147 ff.
- 9. Sūtra, II, 7.

CHAPTER II

SOURCES

Since certain very significant changes took place in the political, religious, social, and economic spheres, the age of Lord Mahāvīra may be said to have marked a new epoch in Indian history. Also known as 'The Historic Period', it provides a firm basis for the reconstruction of Indian chronology by furnishing dates of the death of Mahāvīra and Buddha. The sources for the reconstruction of the history of 'Lord Mahāvīra and His Times' may be divided into two main classes: (i) Literature and (ii) Archaeology. The literary evidence is very rich and varied in comparison with the archaeological.

1. LITERATURE

The contemporary literature on which this work is generally based remained in the form of oral traditions for a considerable time and was codified much afterwards with certain interpolations and changes. Hence, it has been used after critical examination. The literary evidence is twofold: (a) direct and (b) collateral. The direct evidence is that which is furnished by the Jaina literary works, and the collateral one is gathered from the contemporary Buddhist and Brahmanical literary sources. Collating these sources of information, one cannot only prepare a sketch of the life of Mahāvīra but also draw a fairly vivid picture of India, depicting political, religious, social, economic, and other conditions of the time in which he lived, moved and preached.

The Jaina literary works may be further divided into sub-classes.

(i) Canonical Literary Works

These canonical works of the Jainas did not originate at one particular point of time, though their traditions can be traced back to Mahāvīra and his disciples. But afterwards, these works had to undergo considerable changes, as a result of which

Sources 19

several works as portions of the works were added to them from time to time. While different names are ascribed to one and the same canon, the number of canons varies considerably. Besides, certain canons or parts of the canons have become totally obsolete.

The important canonical texts are the Kalpa Sūtra, Sūtra-kṛitāṅga (Sūyagaḍaṅga), Uttarādhyayana Sūtra (Uttarājjhayaṇa Sūya), Āchārāṅga Sūtra (Āyāraṅga Sutta), Vyākhyā-Prajňapti (Bhagavati Viyāhapaṇṇatti), Niryāvali Sūtra (Nirayāvaliya Sūya), Upā-sakadaśā (Uvāsagadasāo), Jñātādharmakathā (Nāyādhammakahāo), Aupapātika Sūtra (Ovavāiya Sūya), Rājapraśnīya Sūtra (Rāyapasenaiya Sūya), and Āvaśyaka Sūtra (Āvassaya Sūya). As far as the contents of these Jaina canonical Sūtras are concerned, they are traditionally known as the Pravachanas of the Jainas, particularly those of Mahāvīra. Their chief interest lies in the clear presentation of various topics relating to the lives of the Jinas and their teachings. Incidentally, they also throw valuable light on the political and cultural aspects of the country.

The major portion of the Kalpa Sūtra is devoted to the biography of Mahāvīra, including his birth, lineage, parentage, childhood, marriage, itinerary during asceticism and finally his death. It also refers to the nine Lichchhavis as having formed a league with nine Mallakīs and eighteen clan-lords of Kāśī-Kośala.¹

The Sūtrakṛitāṅga, the Uttarādhyayana and the Āchārāṅga contain the oldest part of the canon from the linguistic and literary points of view. These are very important as they enlighten us about the original teachings of Mahāvīra. The object of the Sūtrakṛitāṅga is to guard young monks against heretical beliefs and to lead them on towards the attainments of the highest knowledge.² They are to encounter many trials and tribulations but not to commit sins. The fundamental doctrines of Jainism leading to the final deliverance of man have been discussed. Mahāvīra has been represented as a great teacher and praised for the virtues which have been described. This work also describes the four heretical creeds of the time of Mahāvīra—Kriyāvāda, Akriyāvāda, Ajūānavāda, and Vinayavāda

^{1.} SBE, XXII, p. 266.

^{2.} Ibid, XLV, p. xxxviii-

—creeds which are known to have given rise to three hundred and sixtythree schools. One passage gives the names of the existing classes, such as Ugras, Bhogas, Aikshvākus, Jñātris, Kauravas, and Lichchhavis.¹

The intention of Uttaradhyayana, as rightly pointed out by H. JACOBI, is to instruct a young monk in his principal duties, to commend to him the ascetic life by precepts and examples, and to warn him against the dangers besetting his religious life.2 It emphasises the duties of pupils towards their teachers, and their mutual relations. The fundamental principles of Jainism, such as Triratna, austerities, Karma, Navatativa, Leśyās, Samitis, and Guptis, have also been discussed. Instructions regarding the practice of righteousness by Mahavira have been given. Ten conditions for the realization of celibacy have been mentioned. Daśārnabhadra of Daśārna, Karakandu of Kalinga and Udāyana of Sauvīra are known to have become Jaina monks after giving up their kingdoms. Śrenika with his wives, servants and relatives appears to have adopted Jainism. Harikeshabala, born in the family of Chandalas, became a monk possessing the highest virtues. Vijayaghosha, who was engaged in performing Brahmanical sacrifice, was converted to Jainism by the monk Jayaghosha, who approached him for alms.

The Āchārānga Sūtra has preserved a sort of religious ballad, an account of the years during which Mahāvīra led a life of rigorous asceticism, thus preparing himself for the attainment of the highest spiritual knowledge. It contains important rules for Jaina monks and nuns. These rules are classified in the Sūtra under such general heads as begging, walking, modes of speech, entry into other's possessions, postures, places of study, and attending to the calls of nature.

The Bhagavatī Sūtra in its various dialogues gives a vivid picture of the life and work of Mahāvīra, his relationship to his disciples and to the kings and princes of the time, and contains an account of the Jaina dogmas on Samsāra and Karma

^{1.} SBE, XLV, p. 339.

^{2.} Ibid., p. xxxix.

in the form of questions and answers between Mahāvīra and Indrabhūti Gautama. It also embodies a list of sixteen Mahā-janapadas at the time of Mahāvīra. Anga was governed as a separate province under Kūṇiya with Champā as its capital. In the war with Vaiśālī, Kūṇiya is said to have made use of Mahāśilākaṇṭaka and Rathamushala. Udāyana, a ruler of Sauvīradeśa, being influenced by the teachings of Mahāvīra, renounced the world and became a Jaina monk. The work also enlightens us about the life and teachings of Gośāla who lived in the company of Mahāvīra for a period of about six years during which the latter was engaged in his ascetic practices.

The Nirayāvalī Sūtra refers to the great battle between Kūṇika of Champā and king Cheṭaka of Videha and Vaiśālī, when the eighteen confederate kings are stated to have sided with the latter. The bone of contention was the Magadha State elephant Śreyanāka and a huge necklace of eighteen strings of pearls which were given by Śreṇika to his sons, Halla and Vehalla.

A vivid picture of social life has been presented by the Uvāsagadasāo. It contains the stories of pious householders who became lay adherents of Jainism. The wealthy potter named Saddālaputta, for instance, was at first a follower of Makkhali Gośāla, but afterwards went over to Mahāvīra. It informs us about the life and teachings of Gośāla who lived in his company for some time. Bārāṇasī, Kampillapura Palāśapura and Ālabhī were the important towns within the kingdom of Jiyasattu, and Vaiśālī was ruled by Cheṭaka.

The title of the text Nāyādhammakahāo may be explained as 'Stories for the dhamma of Nāya' (Jñātri), i.e. Mahāvīra, who is also called Jñātriputra, Nāya or Nātaputta. The stories found here explain the teachings of Mahāvīra. They indirectly throw light on the economic condition of the people. They describe the sea-faring merchants of Champā, who loaded their waggons with various commodities and proceeded to deep harbour. A merchant named Pālita of Champā is known to have gone on business to the town of Pihuṇḍa or Pithuṇḍa, a sea-coast town. The palaces, described in this text as lofty, had domes, and their floors were richly decorated with various kinds of gems and jewels.

The Uvavāiya Sūya (Aupapātika Sūtra) contains an account of Mahāvīra's Samovasaraṇa in Champā and the pilgrimage of Kūṇiya to this place. It also speaks of the $T\bar{a}pasas$ as those religiex who adopted the $V\bar{a}naprastha$ mode of life on the banks of the sacred rivers typified by the Ganges.

The Rāyapasenaiya is an Upānga containing a dialogue between Keśī, a disciple of Pārśva and Paesi, a ruler of Setavyā. Keśī tries to prove to Paesi that the soul is independent of the body. The Pāli counterpart of this Upānga is known as the Pāyāsi Suttanta. This text also describes the celestial mansion of Sūryābhadeva, its beautiful pillars, its opera hall and pavilion. The details of architectural varieties and decorations given here are important and have a bearing on the development of Indian architecture. Corresponding to such a description, we have pictures of various celestial mansions in the Pāli Vimānavatthu.

The Avasyaka Sūtra contains some interesting historical details of the time of Mahāvīra. During the war between Chandana's father and king Satanīka, she was taken captive by an army of the enemy and sold in Kausambi to a banker, Dhanāvaha. In due course Chandanā accepted Jainism from Mahāvīra and became a nun. The daughters of king Chetaka of Vaisālī were married to some contemporary rulers. Mrigāvatī was married to king Śatānīka of Kauśāmbī, Śivā to Chandapradyota of Ujjayini, Jyeshtha to Nandivardhana, brother of Lord Mahāvīra and ruler of Kundagrāma, and Sujyesthā joined the Order of Mahāvīra's disciples. Mahāvīra during his wanderings as a monk visited Kāśī. Ajātaśatru of Magadha not only humbled Kośala and permanently annexed Kāśī but also absorbed the state of Vaiśālī. Magadha and Avanti were brought face to face with each other. Udayina was a devout Taina.

(ii) Exegeses of the Canons

The exegetical literature interpreting the canons is very vast. As a matter of fact, it seems to be quite impossible to interpret the canons without the help of the commentaries.

Sources 23

On the whole, this commentarial literature appears to be trust-worthy since the commentaries have tried to preserve the old traditions and legends current in those days. While illustrating the tenets of the canons, their authors have referred to old compositions, ancient traditions and ancient explanations. All this proves that they have attempted to make them authentic. This literature includes some of the important commentaries such as the Bṛihatkalpa Bhāshya and its Vṛitti, the Vyava-hāra Bhāshya and its Vivaraṇa, the Niśitha Chūrṇi, the Āvaśyaka Chūrṇi and commentaries on the Āvaśyaka and Uttarādhyayana.

This exegetical literature is undoubtedly a mine of rich treasure in itself. In these works we come across descriptions of various customs and beliefs prevalent in those days in different parts of India, of various feasts and festivals, of religious sects, wandering ascetics, famine, robbers, and dacoits, of inaccessible roads, mountains and deserts, of economic production, industry, trade routes, dress, ornaments, food, and various other matters of importance, which have nothing to do with religion as such, but are of general interest to man.

This exegetical literature consists of four parts (a) Nijjutti, (b) Bhāsa, (c) Chuṇṇi, and (d) Ṭikā.

(a) Nijjutti

The oldest explanatory literature represented by Nijjuttis contains a number of historical or legendary tales elucidating Jaina doctrines and moral or disciplinary rules given in the Jaina canons. The following are the ten Nijjuttis: (1) Āyārānga, (2) Sūyagaḍānga, (3) Sūriyapannatti, (4) Uttarājjhayana, (5)
Āvassaya, (6) Dasaveyāliya, (7) Dasāsuyakhandha, (8) Kappa,
(9) Vavahāra, and (10) Isibhāsiya. Tradition is unanimous in attributing the authorship of the Nijjuttis to Bhadrabāhu who seems to be different from Bhadrabāhu (297 B.C.), the last Śrutakevalin.

(b) Bhāsa

The next chronological stage of development in the commentarial literature after Nijjuti is Bhāsa. The eleven

Āgamas seem to have their separate Bhāsas. The Bhāsas on the Bṛihatkalpa Sūtra, Vyavahāra Sūtra and Nisitha Sūtra are very important as they contain most valuable items of information regarding various topics, especially the life of monks and nuns and the society of those early days.

(c) Chunni

The third category of commentaries is known as Chunnis. Most of the Agamas contain Chunnis, most of which in their published form are ascribed to Jinadāsagaņi Mahattara. Out of the extant Chunnis, the Avassaya and Nisiha are most important as they contain an invaluable treasure of information from the point of Jaina history and culture. The Avassaya Chunni describes some important incidents of the life of Mahāvīra and also refers to some important kings and princes contemporary to him.

(d) Ţikā

Haribhadra Sūri (705-775 A.D.) was a distinguished and versatile writer who is known to have written his commentaries on the canons in Sanskrit. His commentaries on Āvassaya, Dasaveyāliya, Nandi and Anuyoga are famous. Sīlānka Sūri (872 A.D.), Vādivetāla Śānti Sūri, Abhayadeva Sūri and others also contributed to exegetical literature in which the commentaries on the Avassaya, Uttarajjhayana, Brihatkalpa Bhāshya, Vyavahāra Bhāshya, Thānānga, Bhagavati, Jambudvīpa-prajāpti and Kalpa Sūtra are most valuable for the reason that they record various important traditions.

These different types of commentaries on canonical works give detailed information about the life of Mahāvīra, and other political and cultural aspects of his times. Their motive was sometimes to apotheosize Lord Mahāvīra into a superhuman being by describing him in hyperbolic terms. Though based on tradition, these are still late works and cannot be wholly relied upon unless they are not confirmed by some other independent sources. After critical examination of traditions and legends, these works have been utilised.

Sources 25

(iii) Purānas and Charitras

Like the Purāṇas of the Brahmins, Jaina Purāṇas too are available. In some Jaina Purāṇas and the Charitras, accounts of the life of Mahāvīra and of other contemporary rulers have been given. These are not of much importance from the historical point of view as they appeared very late and their descriptions are exaggerated. The main Purāṇas concerning the life of Mahāvīra are Jinasena's Harivaṁsapurāṇa (783 A.D.) and Guṇabhadra's Uttarapurāṇa (9th century A.D.). The Trishashṭhiśalākāpurushacharitra of Hemachandra (12th century A.D.) yields some information regarding Lord Mahāvīra and some of his contemporary rulers. The Mahāvīrachariyam of Nemichandra, the Mahāvīrachariyam of Guṇachandra Gaṇi, the Vardhamānacharitra of Asaga (988 A.D.), and the Vardhamānacharita of Sakalakīrti (1464 A.D.) are late biographical works on Mahāvīra.

(iv) Miscellaneous Works

The Tiloyapaṇṇati of Vṛishabha (V.S. 535), the Daśabhakti of Pūjyapāda (5th century A.D.), the Jayadhavalā Ṭikā of Vīrasena (V.S. 873), the Trilokasāra of Nemichandra (973 A.D.), the Parišishṭaparvan of Hemachandra (12th century A.D.) and the Vichāraśreṇi of Merutunga (1306 A.D.) have been utilised in one way or the other for this work.

(b) Collateral Evidence

The collateral evidence supplied by the Buddhist and Brahmanical accounts is to a great extent supplementary to Jaina evidence. There is a good deal of agreement between them. This evidence may be placed under two heads: (i) the Buddhist and (ii) the Brahmanical.

(i) Buddhist Literature

Like the Jaina canon, the Buddhist canon was not compiled at one particular time. It is primarily concerned with the early Buddhist doctrines but incidentally throws light on the political and cultural aspects of the society as well. Among the Buddhist canonical texts, the Vinaya Piţaka and Sutta Piţaka are important.

The Mahāvagga and the Chullavagga of the Vinayapitaka are noteworthy. The Mahāvagga is mainly concerned with the formation of the Samgha and its rules, but its incidental refereces are valuable in that they throw considerable light on the daily life of the people. The rules of the procedure and debates of the assemblies of the republics during this period seem to be the same as those of the Buddhist Samghas which were modelled on Samgha or Gana States. While describing the rules for the Bhikshus, the Chullavagga gives an idea of the articles of furniture, utensils and other amenities of the common dwelling-house.

The Sutta Piṭaka comprises the following five collections called Nikāyas: (1) Dīgha, (2) Majjhima, (3) Saṃyutta, (4) Aṅguttara, and (5) Khuddaka. In the Dīgha, Majjhima and Aṅguttara, there are references to Nigaṇṭha Nātaputta, to his teachings and to the Nirgranthas. These parallel references sometimes prove the correctness of the traditions preserved in the Jaina texts, and thus they are valuable for the history of Jainism during the time of Mahāvīra. This also leads us to believe that in the days of Buddha, Mahāvīra was considered to be an important personality and Jainism a strong living religion.

The Brahmajālasutta of the Dīghanikāya is important for the history, not only of Buddhism but of the entire religious life and thought of ancient India. The Sāmañāaphala Sutta is a valuable piece of evidence for the life and thought at the time of Buddha, as it appears from the views of prominent non-Buddhist teachers and founders of sects. From the Mahāparinibbāna Sutta, it is known that in reply to Varshākāra, the Chancellor of Magadha, Buddha indicated the seven points of excellence of the Vajjis which may be regarded as the directive principles of State policy. In the Mahāsudassana Sutta of the Dīgha Nikāya, there is a description of the palace of King Mahā-sudassana.

The Majjhima Nikāya throws considerable light on the life of Buddhist monks, as also on Brahmanical sacrifices, various forms of asceticism, the relation of Buddha to the Jainas and other systems of the day, the superstitions and the socio-political conditions of the time. The Anguttara Nikāya

Sources 27

gives a list of the sixteen States existing during the time of Buddha.

The Theragāthā and Therigāthā are very important on account of the pictures of life they portray, pictures that give us a valuable insight into the social conditions of those days, especially into the position of women.

The Jatakas, which from a part of the Khuddaka Nikaya of the Sutta-Pitaka, are generally concerned with the day-today life of the people. Some of the Jatakas supply valuable material for the reconstruction of the political, social and economic history of India during the sixth century B.C. They give us valuable information regarding the constitution of the republics, especially of the Lichchhavis, and king's officers. They throw light on social organization, position of women, festivals and recreations. They mention educational institutions, especially Taxila, the various subjects taught there, the teachers and students. Some of them refer to various professions and industries, trade and commerce, and the guilds in which they were organized. There is also a reference to coins known as Kārshāpanas. The Mahā Ummaga Jātaka¹ gives a vivid account of the palace of the Mahā Ummaga and also a list of motifs illustrating scenes from heavenly life and mythical beliefs depicted on the walls of the great hall of the Mahä-Ummaga palace.

(ii) Brahmanical Literature

Since the Dharma Sūtras and the Grihya Sūtras are supposed to have belonged to the sixth century B.C., they have been utilised to corroborate certain important pieces of evidence. Besides throwing a flood of light on the social and economic conditions of the period in question, they sometimes enlighten us about its political and other aspects as well. Baudhāyana in his Dharma Sūtra mentions such states as Saurāshṭra, Avanti Magadha, Anga, Puṇḍra and Vanga. The Dharma Sūtras also describe the four Varṇas and different castes along with their duties and privileges. They discuss the four Āsramas (Stages of life) and emphasize the duties of the individual at every stage.

They insist upon the mutual cordial relations between the teachers and students. A list of holidays in the Gurukulas has been given, and it is obvious that interruptions in study were allowed for a variety of causes and circumstances. In these Sūtras we also find references to icons. The Gṛihya Sūtras are concerned mainly with domestic rituals.

The Ashṭādhyāyī of Pāṇini has been used because it supplies valuable political and cultural data of this age. He mentions both classes of states, viz., the republics (Saṃgha or Gaṇa) and the kingdoms (Janapadas). That women followed the profession of teaching is apparent from his work which also embodies certain terms that denote the existence of the art of writing. The author discusses town-planning and also refers to some important towns. His work contains references to images.

The traditions preserved in the Purāṇas form an important source of information for the history of Mahāvīra's time. The fifth and the last section known as Vamśānucharita of some Purāṇas gives an account of the kings of the ruling dynastics. The names of some of these kings ruling over Magadha, Avanti, Kāśī, Kośala etc., are accepted as fairly reliable, because they are partially corroborated by both Jaina and Buddhist literatures.

ARCHAEOLOGY

Though no written record of this period is extant, the monuments and antiquities discovered in the archaeological excavations conducted at different places are helpful for the purpose of historical reconstructions. The existence of some early cities such as Rājagriha, Vārāṇasī, Mathurā, Śrāvastī, Ujjain and Hastināpura is proved by archaeological findings. City-walls, fortifications and parts of urban settlement have been excavated, giving us a rough idea of town-planning during this period.

The actual remains of the buildings of this period are few because of the perishable nature of the materials used in those days. The existence of the early structures of Stupas

Sources 29

along with some other antiquities are known from their archaeological remains discovered at a village, Lauria Nandangarh, in Champaran District of Bihar and Piprahwa (District Basti) of the Nepal border. Wood, mud and mudbricks were widely used during this period. Small hearths of bamboo and reed have been discovered at Chandraketugarh and Mathura. Structures made of mud and mud-bricks are found at Nagda, Atranjikhara, Hastināpura, Mathura, and Rajaghat. Burnt bricks were used probably for building places of public utility, and their remains have been discovered at Rupar, Hastināpura, and Ujjain. The historic Jarāsandha-kā Baiṭhaka built during this period at Rājagṛiha is of stones. Some of the paintings preserved in the rockshelters discovered near Pachmarhi, Mirzapur, and Manikpur may also belong to this period.

No sculptures but the terracottas of this period have been discovered at certain places, such as Hastināpura, Mathurā, Ahichchhatrā, Rajaghat near Vārāṇasī, Śrāvastī and Sonerpur. These are made of grey, black, polished, and red ware. Both human and animal figurines are found, but the number of human figurines is larger at this date than that found in the preceding culture. These are better modelled than the specimens of the earlier period, and they are decorated by incision, circles and stamps.

The archaeological excavations carried out at different sites give us an idea of the ceramics used by the people. This period was noteworthy for the introduction of some new fabrics, the most important of them being the North Black Polished Ware, known as a prince among the potteries in India. Black slipped Ware, Red and Black Ware, Grey Ware, and Red Ware were the associate potteries of this age which met the increasing demand of the people. Pottery vessels of different shapes, shades, and colour give an idea of the artistic taste of the people.

Metal objects, such as ornaments, beads, and toilets recovered from the early historical sites in excavations, throw an important light on the material life of the people. The discovery of a large number of iron objects at Ujjain, Nagda, Eran, etc. proves the popularity of iron. Its wide use for different purposes resulted in the surplus of wealth and prosperity during this period.

Coins found at Taxila, Paila, Golakhapur, Patrah, etc. seem to have belonged to this age. These coins are punchmarked because they were being punched by a number of symbols successively by different punches. These punch-marked coins known as Kārshāpaṇas, are the earliest coins of India, and are usually made of silver and copper, though silver pieces are certainly more numerous. The vast majority of the silver punch-marked coins follow the standard of 16 māshakas. The larger and thinner coins are as a general rule of an earlier date than the small and thick ones. The number of symbols on the obverse is usually five. The popular symbols during this period were the sun, the six arms, a hill above a tank with two fishes, and a peculiar symbol surrounded with five taurines.

Thus with the help of these different sources, an attempt has been made to give a correct picture of Lord Mahāvīra and his times. Certain handicaps have to be experienced by the historian of so early a period because of the paucity and vagueness of the historical material. In fact, the primary source material remained in the shape of traditions for a considerably long time, and then it was codified. This has been utilised only after a thorough critical examination. At the same time, other independent evidences have also been tapped to corroborate it wherever necessary. Still, however, nothing can be said positively on controversial issues in the absence of substantial evidence.

CHAPTER III

LIFE OF LORD MAHĀVĪRA

Lord Mahavīra, the last Tīrthankara of the Jainas, is described as a supreme personality and acknowledged as 'a great Brāhmana', 'a great guardian', 'a great guide', 'a great preacher', 'a great pilot', and 'a great recluse'. Around his personality there gathered a large number of men and women belonging to different castes and classes. His disciples and followers sincerely believed that their master was, whether walking or sitting, gifted with a supreme knowledge and vision of the summum bonum. It is this earnest belief in the greatness of the Teacher that induced them to repose their trust in him and in his words. To them, he stood as a living example of highest human virtue and perfection. His life was to them a perennial source of light and inspiration. His sufferings and forbearance kept them steady in all their trials and tribula-And his teachings and instructions were for them not ordinary words but utterances of one who saw the light of truth and was able to lead others along the path to enlightenment.

HIS CLAN

'Mahāvīra' or the Great Hero was not the personal name of the religious teacher. He was better known to his contemporaries as Nigaṇṭha, Nāta-putta-Nigaṇṭha of the Nāta or Nāya clan. This name is composed of two separate epithets, Nigaṇṭha and Nātaputta, the first of which is religious and the second secular. He was Nigaṇṭha (Nirgrantha) in a literal as well as in a figurative sense—unclothed without and free from all worldly bonds and ties within. He was called Nātaputta because he was a scion of the Nāya, Nāta² or Jīnātri clan of the Kshatriyas. Just as the Buddha was called Śākyaputta because he was a scion of his clan, so

- 1. Uvā, VII.
- 2. SBE, XXII, pp. 80, 248.

was Mahāvīra called Nātaputta because he was a scion of the Nāta Clan

HIS BIRTH AND PARENTAGE

The Jaina tradition places the birth of Mahāvīra in the year 599 B.C. He belonged to Kāśyapa gotra. He was a son of Kshatriya Siddhārtha, also known as Śreyāmsa and Yaśāmsa, and of Kshatriyanı Trisala, also known as Videhadatta and Priyakārinī of the Vasishtha Gotra. His mother was a sister of Chetaka, one of the kings of Vaisalī. His parents, both lay followers of Pārśva, were pious and chaste, virtuous and strict. They rigorously observed the principles of Tainism.

One incident regarding the birth of Mahāvīra, which has been mentioned by some Svetāmbara works, cannot be ignored. It is said that Mahāvīra was first conceived in the womb of a Brāhmin lady called Devānandā but was later transferred to the womb of Tiśalā Khattiyānī as Tīrthankaras are not born in the Brahmin families.2 The Bhagavati Sūtra puts this episode into the mouth of Mahāvīra himself. The incident as described there relates to Devananda and Usabhadatta, the original parents, coming to see Mahāvīra when the latter had become famous as a preacher. On seeing Mahavira milk began to flow from the breast of Devananda due to the strong motherly love she bore towards him. Gotama asked his Master the reason for this upon which the latter admitted that he was the son of Devananda. The text goes on to say that these original parents of Mahāvīra accepted the order of their Jaina son.3 This may be one of the causes of his having Brāhmin disciples.

Curiously enough, the tradition about the transfer of the womb goes back to the beginning of the Christian era or even earlier, as it is found depicted in one of the Mathura Sculptures.4 This story seems to have been borrowed from

Âchā, II, 15, 15; Kalpa, 109, 110.
 SBE, XXII, p. 220; Sama, p. 89a; Sthānā, p. 523b; Āchā, II, 15. 4-5 (pp. 190-191).
 Bhag, 9.33 (pp. 457-58).

V.A. SMITH: The Jain Stupa and other Antiquities of Mathura, Plate No. 18.

the Puranic story of the transfer of the embryo of Krisna from the womb of Devaki to that of Rohini. This incident regarding the transfer of the womb has been discredited by the Digambaras.

H. JACOBI thinks that Siddhartha had two wives, the Brāhmanī Devānandā, the real mother of Mahāvīra, and the Kshatriyanı Trisala. The name Risabhadatta has been invented by the Jainas in order to provide Devananda with another husband. Siddhartha was connected with persons of high rank and great influence through his marriage with Triśalā. It was, therefore, profitable, if not probable, to give out that Mahavira was the son, and not merely the step-son, of Triśalā, for the reason that he should be entitled to the patronage of her relations. The Jainas' preference for Kshatriyas rather than for Brahmanas is also proved by this curious legend.1 In the Bhagavati Sūtra, there is no mention of the change of Mahāvīra's embryo, and Devānandā has been stated to be the mother of Mahāvīra. It is reasonable to assume that Risabhadatta and Devānandā were original parents of Mahāvīra, but they might have given Mahāvīra to Siddhārtha and Triśali to be adopted by them.

Before birth. Mahāvīra's mother is said to have seen a According to the Svetāmbaras, they number of dreams. numbered fourteen. These fourteen dreams, according to the Kalpa Sūtra, were (1) an elephant; (2) a bull; (3) a lion; (4) the anointing of the goodess Sri; (5) a garland; (6) the moon: (7) the sun; (8) a flag; (9) a vase; (10) a lotus lake; (11) an ocean; (12) a celestial abode; (13) a heap of jewels and (14) a The Digambaras, who describe sixteen dreams, insert the visions of a throne of diamonds and rubies, and also of a great king of the gods dwelling below the earth. They also assert that she saw the sun before she dreamt about the moon. In place of a flag, they affirm that she saw two fishes. They also assert that she witnessed two vases instead of one, filled with pure water. The interpreters forefold that the child would become either a universal monarch or a prophet possessing all possible knowledge. Since it is a legendary account, it is

^{1.} SBE, XXII, p. xxxi, f. n. 2.

not necessary to believe that the mother of the Tirthankara actually saw all the dreams. The birth of great men has often afterwards been made a theme for some of the most fanciful and superhuman legends¹ the world has known.

BIRTHPLACE

The early scriptures of both the Śvetāmbaras² and the Digambaras³ agree that Kuṇḍapura or Kuṇḍagrāma was the birthplace of Mahāvīra. After examining the evidence contained in the Āchārānga Sūtra⁴, the Sūtrakṛitānga⁵, the Kalpa Sūtra⁶, the Uttarādhyayana Sūtra⁻ and the Bhagavatī-Sūtra-Ţīka,⁶ it becomes clear that Jainism had a great stronghold in the area of Vaiśālī-Kuṇḍapura of the Videha country during this period and that Mahāvīra was closely associated with this area. The name Visālie i.e. Vaiśālika was given to Mahāvīra in the Sūtra-Kṛitānga. Vaiśālika apparently means a native of Vaiśālī, the capital of Videha country. Thus it is clear that Mahāvīra was born at Kuṇḍapura near Vaiśālī in the Videha country.

From the seventh century onwards, the gradual decline of Vaiśālī began and the Jainas came to forget the birthplace of the last Tīrthankara. Some Digambara Jaina works⁹ place Vaiśālī under Cheṭaka in Sindhu-Vishaya or Sindhu-deśa.

- 1. SBE, XXII, pp. 231-238.
- Āvaśyaka Niryukti, Kalpa Sutra, Āvaśyaka Sūtra, (Hāribhadríya-Tikā), Mahāvīra Chariyam of Nemichandra, Mahāvīra Chariyam of Gunachadra Gani, Paumachariyam of Vimala Sūri, Varānga-Charitam of Jaṭāsimha Nandi and Āvaśyaka-Chūrni.
- 3. Pūjyapāda's Dasabhakti, (p. 116); Jinasena's Harivamsapurāna (1.2); Gunabhadra's Uttarapurāna (74); Dāmanandi's Purāna Samgraha; Asaga's Vardhamāma-Charitra (XVII. 61); Sakalakīrti's Vardhamāna Charitra (VII).
- 4. Āchā, II, 15. 15, 17.
- 5. Sūtra, 1, 2, 3, 22.
- ·6. Kalpa, (Sūtras 110, 112, 128).
- 7. Uttarā, VI, 17.
- 8. Bhagaratī Ţī, II, 1, 12, 2.
- 9. Uttara-Purana (75); Vimala Purana; Śrenika-Charitra (9); and Ārādhani-Kathā-Kosha (4).

To them Tirabhukti became Sindhu-Vishaya. Evidently, however, Vaiśālī was not situated in Sindhu-Sauvīra. K. P. Jain¹ suggests two reasons for this confusion. Firstly, it may be that the authors have equated Sindhu-deśa with Vrijideśa², and, secondly, there might have been a confusion especially because Ujjayinī in Avanti, too, was called Viśālā,³ and there was the Sindhu river in the adjoining territory for which reason it was called Sindhu-deśa in the middle ages (8th to 15th centuries A.D.). Since the Digambara writers, K.P. Jain adds, lived more in the Ujjayinī region, they appear to have confused Ujjayinī (which was also called Viśālā) with the Viśālā, little knowing that another Viśālā different from their own existed in Eastern India.

Efforts have recently been made to find out the birth-place of Lord Mahāvīra, the son of the Jñātrika leader of Kshatriya-Kuṇḍapura or Kuṇḍalapura and the maternal son of a Lichchhavi chief. While the Digambara Jainas found a village called Kuṇḍalapura near Nālandā, the Śvetāmbara Jainas found a site called Kshatriyakuṇḍa near the village Lachhwād or Lachhuār in South Monghyr. These came to be regarded as the birthplaces of Lord Mahāvīra by the respective sects. Temples and Dharmaṣālās were constructed and the Jaina pilgrims began to pour into these places. Thus while the real birthplace was forgotten, other places came to be recognized as such.

The present site, Kshatriyakunda, near Lachhwad, cannot be the birthplace of Lord Mahavira because it formed part of Anga, and not of Videha. Modern Kshatriyakunda is situated on the mountain while there are no references to mountains in connection with ancient Kshatriyakunda of Kundapura in the Jaina scriptures. Near the present Kshatriyakunda, no traces of such ancient places as Vaiśālī, Vāṇijyagrāma,

^{1.} Jaina Siddhönta Bhāskara, 3 (Sept. 1936), p. 50, f.n.).

^{2.} Sindhu-desa literally means 'the country of Rivers' and Tirabhukti, too, has a similar meaning, i.e. 'the Province situated on the Banks (of Rivers). From the Gupta period onwards, Videha came to be known as Tirabhukti.

^{3.} Meghadūta, 1,30.

Kollāga-Sanniveśa and Karmāragrāma are found. The nullaha near it is not the Gandakī river.

In the Mahavagga of the Buddhists, it has been said that Buddha, while sojourning at Kotiggāma, was visited by the courtezan Ambapāli and the Lichchhavis of the neighbouring capital, Vaiśālī. From Koṭiggāma, he went to where the Nātikas lived. There he lodged in the Nātika Brick Hall. From there he went to Vaisali where he converted the general-in-chief (of the Lichchhavis), a lay disciple of the Nirgranthas. H. JACOBI has identified Kotiggama of the Buddhists with Kundagama of the Jainas. Apart from the similarity of the names, the reference to the Nātikas, apparently identical with the Iñātrika Kshatriyas to whose clan Mahāvīra belonged, and to Sīha, the Jaina, points to the same direction. Kundagrāma, therefore, was probably one of the suburbs of Vaiśālī, the capital of Videha. This conjecture is borne out by the name Vesālie, i.e. Vaišālika given to Mahāvīra in the Sūtrakṛitānga. Vaišālika apparently means a native of Vaišālī; and Mahavira could rightly be called as such when Kundagrama was a suburb of Vaiśālī. H. Jacobi regards Kundapura as only an insignificant place and believes that the sovereign of it could at best have been only a petty chief.1 The identification of Kotiggāma with Kuṇḍapura seems to be doubtful, and both seem to be independent villages.

A.F.R. HOERNLE² has clearly shown that Vaiśālī is the birthplace of Mahāvīra. Vāṇiyagāma was another name of the well-known city of Vaiśālī, the capital of the Lichchhavi country. This city, commonly called Vaiśālī, occupied a very extended area, which included within its circuit, besides Veśālī proper, several other places such as Vāṇiyagāma and Kuṇḍagāma. They still exist as villages called Bāniyā and Basukuṇḍa.

The identification of Vaisali with the group of remains associated with the village of Basarh in Muzaffarpur District, some forty km. to the north of Patna, is conclusively proved by the survival of the ancient name with only slight modifi-

^{1.} SBE, XXII, pp. x-xiii.

^{2.} English translation of Uvāsagadasās (Bibliotheca Indica Series, Calcutta, 1883),

cations; by the geographical bearings taken from Patna and other places; by the topographical details compared with description recorded by Yuan Chwang, the Chinese pilgrim in the seventh century and by the finding on the spot of sealings of letters inscribed with the name Vaisūlī.

The identification of ancient Vaiśālī and Kuṇḍagāma or Kuṇḍapura with Basārh and Basukuṇḍa respectively has been supported by several other scholars such as T. Bloch², S. Stevenson,³ N. L. Dey⁴ and B. C. Law⁵. Some of these scholars consider Kuṇḍapura, Vāṇiyagāma, Kollāga Sanniveśa and Karmāragrāma to be the suburbs of Vaiśālī. This view does not seem to be correct. These were independent villages which may be identified with the modern villages of Basukuṇḍa, Baniyā, Koluā and Kūmana Chhaparāgāchhī respectively. Brāhmaṇakuṇḍa and Kshatriyakuṇḍa were the two wards of Kuṇḍapura, and between them was situated Bahuśāla Chaitya. Vaiśālī and Kuṇḍapura were situated on the eastern bank of the Gaṇḍakī river, while Karmāragrāma, Kollāga Sanniveśa,⁶ Vānijyagrāma and Dvipalāśa Chaitya on the west.

CHILDH00D

There are scriptural anecdotes, myths and miracles connected with the childhood of Mahāvīra. It is stated in them that his birth was celebrated alike by gods and men, and it was received by his parents with the loftiest expectations. On the day of his birth, the prisoners in Kuṇḍapura were released. Festivals kept the whole town bound in mirth and joy for ten days after which many offerings were made to the gods. His parents named him 'Vardhamāna's or the 'Prosper-

- 1. V.A. SMITH: Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics, Vol. XII (New York, 1921), pp. 567-68.
- 2. Ası, 1903-4, p. 82.
- 3 Ssнл, pp. 21-22.
- 4. NDGDAMI, p. 107.
- 5. LMLT, p. 19.
- 6. A F.R HOERNLE and H. JACOBI interpreted Sannitesa in the sense of ward and suburb respectively but it was also used in the sense of grima. See VTM, I, p. 98.
- 7. Kalpa, 97-165.
- 8. Ibid., 91, 106-107; Āchā, II, 15, 15.

ous one', because with his birth, the wealth, fame and merit of the family increased.

The two ascetics, Sañjaya and Vijaya, became somehow suspicious about the nature of some object. As their suspicion immediately disappeared at the sight of Lord Mahāvīra soon after his birth, they therefore gave him the name Sanmati in devotion.¹

The scriptures of both the Svetāmbaras and the Digambaras relate the legends of Mahāvīra's supreme valour and how easily he excelled all his companions in strength and physical endurance during boyhood. One day, playing with his friends in the garden of his father, Mahāvīra saw an elephant, mad with fury and with juice flowing from his temples, rushing towards him. His companions, all boys, shocked and frightened at the sight of this imminent danger, deserted their comrade and ran away. Without losing a moment, Mahāvīra made up his mind to face the danger squarely, went towards the elephant, caught hold of his trunk with strong hands and mounted his back at once.

Another legend tells how, when Māhāvīra was playing with the same children at Ambali pipali (a short of 'tick or 'tig') among the trees, a god disguised as a dreadful snake appeared on a tree. All his companions were alarmed and fled away. Mahavira, mustering courage, remained calm. He caught hold of the snake and threw it away. The god again decided to frighten the child by carrying him high up into the sky on his shoulders. Mahāvīra, however, was not in the least alarmed, and seizing this opportunity of showing his superiority over the immortals, whacked the god and pulled his hair so hard that he was only too ready to descend and get rid of his obstreperous burden.2 As he stood fast in the midst of dangers and fears, patiently enduring all hardships and calamities, adhering to the chosen rules of penance, and as he was wise, indifferent to pleasure and pain alike, rich in self-control and gifted with fortitude, the name Mahavira was given to

^{1.} Mahāpurāņa, 74.

^{2.} Tri. pu. Cha, 10, 2, 217; Āva. Chu. I. p. 246.

him. As he was devoid of love and hate, he was called Śramana.

In person, Mahāvīra seems to have been handsome and impressive. He was clever and was possessed of a very keen intellect.² The Kalpa Sūtra³ mentions that from his very birth, he possessed 'supreme, unlimited and unimpeded knowledge and intuition.' We may assume that he received the usual education and training of a Kshatriya aristocrat in literature and philosophy, in military and administrative sciences, and in music and fine arts.

LIFE OF A HOUSEHOLDER

On the question of Mahāvīra's marriage, there is a fundamental difference of detail between the Digambara and the Svetāmbara accounts. The Digambara works⁴ deny the fact of Mahāvīra's marriage. On the other hand, in the Svetāmbara accounts,⁵ there is an allusion to his marriage. In his youth, Mahāvīra was, however, given to contemplation and had begun to entertain plans of renunciation. His parents tried to solve the problem by marrying him off to a beautiful young woman, Yaśodā, a Kshatriya lady of Kaundinya Gotra, who soon presented him with a daughter named Anojjā. Anojjā was married to Jamālī, a Kshatriya, who after becoming Mahāvīra's follower created a schism. Mahāvīra's grand daughter, who belonged to the Kauśika Gotra, had two names: Seshavatī and Yaśovatī.

Mahāvīra's paternal uncle was Supārśva. His elder brother was Nandivardhana and his elder sister Sudarśanā. His parents died when he was thirty years old. Afterwards, his elder brother, Nandivardhana, succeeded his father. With the permission of his brother and other authorities, he carried out his long cherished resolve and became a monk with the usual rites. The Digambara works do not mention the names

- 1. Kalpa, 120; Āchā, II, 15. 15.
- 2. Ibid., 110.
- 3. Ibid , 112.
- 4. Padmapurāņa, 20, 67; Harivanisapurāja, 60, 214; Tilovapaņņati, 4, 670 etc.
- 5. Āchā, II. 15, 15; Kalpa, 109.
- 6. Kalpa, 110,

of his elder brother and elder sister. According to them, Mahāvīra embarked upon his spiritual vocation during the lifetime of their parents. At first his parents were opposed to the idea of their delicately natured child undergoing all the hardships that fall to the lot of a houseless mendicant, but at last they acquiesced.

HIS ASCETIC LIFE: HIS TWELVE YEARS OF PREPARATION

The Āchārānga Sūtra has preserved a sort of religious ballad giving an account of the years during which Mahāvīra led a life of the hardest asceticism, thus preparing himself for the attainment of the highest spiritual knowledge. The account given in the Kalpa Sūtra substantially agrees with that of the Āchārānga Sūtra. Both the Āchārānga and the Kalpa Sūtra narrate the story of his Sādhanā in such a manner as to suggest that he had to make superhuman efforts before he could aspire to obtain the coveted position of a Kevalin. It is remarkable that this account of Mahāvīra Sādhanā given in the Āchārānga and the Kalpa Sūtra does not bring-in Gośāla to form an episode. It is only from the Bhagavatī Sūtra and the Uvāsagadasāo that we know that the Ājīvika Teacher Gośāla lived in the company of Mahāvīra for a period of about six years during this ascetic period of Mahāvīra's lise.

Mahāvīra renounced the world at the age of thirty, It seems that he joined the order of Pārśva of which his parents were lay followers. Whereas the Digambaras believe that Mahāvīra abandoned clothes at the time of his initiation, the Svetī mbaras hold that he abandoned them after thirteen months. The Āchārānga Sūtra gives the following account of his ascetic life.

For a year and a month since he renounced the world Mahāvira did not discard his clothes. Thereafter, he gave up his garments and became naked. Even when he used his robe, he used it only in winter. For more than four months,

^{1.} Achā. I, 8, 1, 3.

^{2.} Ibid., I, 8, 1, 1.

many living beings gathered on his body, crawled about it, and caused him pain.¹ Then he meditated, walking with his eye fixed on a square space before him of the length of a man. Many people assembled, shocked at the sight; they st uck him and cried. He renounced the company of the female sex and of all householders. When asked, he gave no answer; when saluted he gave no reply. He was beaten with sticks, and struck by sinful people.²

For more than a couple of years, he led a religious life without using cold water; he lived in solitude, guarded his body, had intuition, and was calm. He carefully avoided injuring the meanest form of life. He did not use what was expressly prepared for him. He consumed clean food. He did not use another's robe, nor did he eat out of another's vessel. Disregarding contempt, he went with indifference to places where food was prepared. He was not desirous of eating delicious food, nor had he any longing for it. He neither rubbed his eyes nor scratched his body.³

Mahāvīra sometimes lodged in workshops, assembling places, wells or shops; sometimes in manufactories or under a shed of straw. He sometimes took shelter in travellers' halls. garden-houses or towns; sometimes in a cemetery, in relinquished houses, or at the foot of a tree. At these places, he spent thirteen long years meditating day and night, exerting himself, undisturbed strenuously. He did not seek sleep for the sake of pleasure; he would wake up himself and sleep only a little, free from cares and desires. Waking up again, he would lie down exerting himself; going outside for once in a night, he would walk about for an hour. In these resting places, he had to face manifold calamities. Crawling or flying animals attacked him. Bad people, the guard of the village, or lance-bearers assaulted him. Always a master of himself, he endured these hardships as he wandered about, speaking but little. Ill treated by the wanderers, he engaged himself in

^{1.} Āchā, I, 8, 1, 2.

^{2.} Ibid., I, S. 1, -4, 5, 6, 7.

^{3.} Ibid., I, S, 1, -10, 11, 12, 17, 18, 19.

his meditations, free from resentment. Always calm and cool-headed, he patiently bore the pains caused by grass, cold, fire, flies and gnats.²

Mahāvīra travelled in the pathless country of Rāḍha, in Vajrabhūmi and Śvabhrabhūmi, where he used most comfortless beds and seats. The rude natives of the place attacked him and unleashed their dogs to bite him, but he never used his sticks to keep them off. Being perfectly enlightened he endured the abusive language of the rustics. Sometimes when he did not reach the village, the inhabitants met him on the outskirts and attacked him, saying 'Get away from here.' He was struck with a stick, fist, or lance; he was hit with a fruit, a clod, and a potsherd. When once he sat without moving his body, they cut his flesh, tore his hair or covered him with dust. They disturbed him in his religious meditation. Abandoning the care of his body, he endured all pains free from desire.³

Mahāvīra was able to abstain from the indulgence of the flesh, though he was never attacked by any illness. Whether wounded or not, he never had any desire for any medical treatment. Purgatives and emetics, anointing of the body and bathing, shampooing and cleaning of the teeth did not behove him, after he learned that the body is something unclean. In the cold season, he meditated in the shade, and in summer, he exposed himself to the heat. He lived on rough food: rice, pounded jujube, and beans. Using these three kinds of food, he sustained himself eight months. Sometimes he ate only the sixth meal, or the eighth, the tenth and the twelfth. Sometimes he ate stale food. He committed no sin himself, nor did he induce others to do so, nor did he consent to the sins of others. He meditated persevering in some posture, without any motion whatsoever; he meditated in mental concentration on the things above, below, beside, free from desires. He meditated free from sin and desire, not

^{1.} Āchā, I, 8, 2-2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11.

^{2.} Ibid., I, 8, 3, 1.

^{3,} Ibid., I, 8, 3-2, 3, 4, 7,8, 9, 10, 11, 12.

attached to sounds or colours; though still an erring mortal, he never acted carelessly.1

Thus, like a hero at the head of a battle, he bore all hardships, and, remaining undisturbed, proceeded on the road to deliverance. Understanding what truth is and restraining his impulses for the purification of his soul, he finally liberated himself.²

The account of Mahāvīra's ascetic life given in the Kalpa Sūtra is as follows. When the moon was in conjunction with the asterism Uttaraphalguni, he, after fasting two and a half days without drinking water, put on a divine robe, and, quite alone, nobody else being present, tore out his hair and, abandoning his house, entered the state of houselessness. For more than a year he wore clothes. Afterwards, he walked about naked, and accepted the alms in the hollow of his hand. Fore more than twelve years, he neglected his body and took no care of it. With exemplary equanimity he bore, experienced and suffered all pleasant or unpleasant occurrences arising from divine powers, men or animals.4

Henceforth, the ascetic Mahāvīra remained circumspect in speech, movement, begging, accepting anything, and carrying his outfit and drinking vessel. He guarded his thoughts, words, acts, senses and chastity. He moved without wrath, pride, deceit and greed. He remained calm, tranquil, composed, liberated, free from temptations, without egoism, and without property. In short, he had cut off all earthly ties, and was not stained by any worldliness. As water does not adhere to a copper vessel, so sins found no place in him. His course was unobstructed like that of Life. Like the firmament, he wanted no support, and like the wind he knew no obstacles. His heart was pure like the water in autumn. He remained unsoiled like leaf of a lotus. His senses were well protected like those of a tortoise. He lived single and alone like the horn of a rhinoceros. He was free like a bird. He was always

^{1.} Āchā, I, S, 4,—1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, S, 14, 15.

^{2.} Ibid., I, S, 4, 16.

^{3.} Kalpa, 116.

^{4.} Ibid., 117.

waking like the fabulous bird, Bhāruṇḍa. He was valorous like an elephant, strong like a bull, unassailabe like a lion, steady and firm like Mount Mandara, deep like the ocean, mild like the Moon, refulgent like the Sun and pure like excellent gold. Like the earth, he patiently bore everything and like a well-kindled fire, he shone in his splendour.

Out of all the eight months of summer and winter taken together, Mahāvīra spent only a single night in villages and only five nights in towns. He was indifferent alike to the smell of ordure and of sandal, to straw and jewels, dirt and gold, and pleasure and pain. He was attached neither to this world nor to the world beyond. He desired neither life nor death. He arrived at the other shore of the Samsāra, and exerted himself for the suppression of the defilement of Karma.²

With supreme knowledge, intuition, conduct, valour, uprightness, mildness, dexterity patience, freedom from passions, control, contentment, and understanding, Mahāvīra meditated on himself for twelve years on the supreme path to final liberation which is the fruit of veracity, control, penance and good conduct.³

The Kalpa Sūtra gives a list of forty-two rainy seasons spent by Mahāvīra since he renounced the life of a householder. He stayed the first rainy season in Asthikagrāma, three rainy seasons in Champā and Prishtichampā, twelve in Vaiśālī and Vāṇijyagrāma, fourteen in Rājagriha and Nālandā, six in Mithilā, two in Bhadrikā, one in Ālabhikā, one in Panitabhūmi, one in Śrāvastī and the last one in the town of Pāpā in king Hastipāla's office.⁴

B.C, Law⁵ thinks that the Kalpa Sūtra list of places is worded according to the idea of succession and chronology. The idea of succession is suggested by two expressions: 'the first rainy season in Asthikagrāma' and 'the last rainy season in Pāpā or Pāvā'. Accordingly he suggests the names of places

^{1.} Kalpa, 117.

^{2.} Ibid, 119.

^{3.} Ibid., 120.

^{4.} Ibid, 122.

^{5.} LMLT, p. 32.

where Mahāvīra spent the twelve rainy seasons of his ascetic life. He stayed the first rainy season in Asthigrāma, three rainy seasons in Champī and Prishtichampā and eight in Vaiśālī and Vāṇijyagrāma. This view does not appear to be correct. Except the first and the last, the other places have not been mentioned in chronological order but in groups.

According to a commentary on the Kalpa Sūtra, Asthigrāma was formerly called Vardhamāna. It would perhaps be more correct to say that Asthigrama was the earlier name of Vardhamāna (modern Burdwan). But none need be surprised if Asthigrāma was the same place as Hatthigāma (Hastigrāma) which lay on the high road from Vaisalī to Pāvā (probably modern Kasiā),2 Champā was the capital of Anga which was conquered in Mahāvīra's time by Śrenika Bimbisāra and permanently annexed to Magadha. Its actual site is probably marked by two villages of Champanagara and Champapura near Bhagalpur. Prishtichampā must have been a place near Champā. Vaišālī is identified with modern Basārah in Vaišālī, a district of Bihar. It was the chief seat of government of the Vṛiji-Lichchavīs in Mahāvīra's time. Vāniyagāma is the same as modern Bania, a village near Basārah.3 Rājagriha (modern Rājgir) was the capital of Magadha in Mahāvīra's time. Nālandā is identified with modern Bargaon, 10 km. to the northwest of Raigir in the district of Nalanda near Bihar (Biharsharif).4 Mithila was the capital of the prosperous kingdom of Videha. It is identified with Janakapur, a small town within the Nepal border, north of which the districts of Muzaffarpur and Darbhanga meet.⁵ Badrikā, which is the same name as the Pāli Bhaddiya, was an important place in the kingdom of Anga.6 It was visited by Buddha and is identified with modern Monghyr.7

- 1. LMLT, 29.
- 2. Ibid., p. 33.
- 3. GEB, p. 6.
- 4. Acr, p. 537.
- 5. Ibid., p. 718.
- 6. Dhammapada Commentary, I, p. 384.
- 7. R. SANKRITYAYANA: Vinaya Pilaka, p. 248n.

Ālabhikā, which is the same as the Pāli Ālavi, is identified by A. CUNNINGHAM and A. F. R. HOERNLE with Newal or Nawal in Unao District in U. P., and by N.L. DEY with Aviwa, 40 km. north-east of Eatwah. It lay between Sāvatthi and Rājagiha.2 Paņitabhūmi, which is the same as Paņiyabhūmi, was a place in Vajrabhūmi, a division of the pathless country of Rādha.3 Śrāvastī was the flourishing capital of the kingdom of Kośala in Mahāvīra's time. It is identified with Sahet-Mahet on the bank of the Rapti.4 Pāpā, which is the same name as the Pāli Pāvā, was one of the chief seats of government of the Mallas. It was in Mahavira's time one of the halting stations on the highway from Vaiśālī to Kuśīnārā and Kapilavastu.⁵ A. Cunningham took it for the modern village, Padaraona, 18 km. to the N.N. E. of Kasiā.6 It is identified with a place located at a distance of ten km. from Biharsharif in Patna District.7

One important event of this period of Mahāvīra's life was his meeting with Gośāla Maukhaliputta, the head of the Ājīvika sect. From the account given in the Bhagavatī Sūtra, it is known that during the second year of his ascetic life, Mahāvīra stayed at Nālandā during the rainy season. At this time, Gośāla, who was then wandering about in the country showing pictures to the people at large, happened to arrive and put up there. Owing to Mahāvīra's extraordinary self-restraint, his impressive habits of meditation, his capacity to prophesy things correctly and to the fact a rich householder Vijaya, of Rājagriha had shown respect and hospitality to him, Gośāla was attracted, and wanted to be his disciple, but Mahāvīra declined his request. His prayer was not granted on two successive occasions even though the Master was entreated by the rich householders, Ānanda and Sudarśana.

^{1.} GEB, p. 24.

^{2.} PRAI, p. 160.

^{3.} See, XXII, p. 264, f n. 4; also p. 84.

^{4.} Agi, p. 469.

^{5.} Grв, р. 15.

^{6.} Ibid., p. 498.

^{7.} Hsnjy, p. 24.

In the meantime, Mahāvīra went to the settlement of Kollāga, at some distance from Nālandā, where he was hospitably greeted by the Brāhmin Bahula. (Kollāga is identified with the modern village Kolhuā.) Gośāla proceeded towards Rājagriha and its suburbs to find out Mahāvīra but in vain. He came back to the weaver's shed of Nanda where he gave away his cloths, vessels, shoes, and pictures to a Brāhmin of the place, shaved off his hair and beard, and in despair departed in search of the Master. On his way, he came across Kollāga where he saw people praising Bahula's liberality towards Mahāvīra.¹

Gośāla continued his search of Mahāvīra and at last succeeded in finding him out at Paniyabhūmi. He again requested him with greater earnestness to make him his disciple. This time his request was granted, and both Mahāvīra and his disciple Gośāla lived together for six years in Paniyabhūmi, practising asceticism. Afterwards, they started from Paniyabhūmi to Kūrmagrāma, and on from Kūrmagrāma to Siddhārthagrāma. Siddhārthagrāma is probably the same as Siddhangrām in the Birbhum District.2 While at Kūrmagrāma, they met an ascetic named Vesayana who remained seated with upraised arms and upturned face in the glare of the Sun, while his body was swarming with lice. Gosala enquired whether he was a sage or a bed of lice. Vesayana became very angry and attempted to strike Gośāla with his supernormal powers. Mahavira explained to him the severe ascetic discipline by which such powers could be obtained.

While at Siddhārthagrāma, Gośāla uprooted sesame shrub and threw it away. Owing to a lucky fall of rain, the shrub came to life again. From this, he jumped to the conclusion that all plants were capable of reanimation. He drew even further conclusion that not only plants, but in fact all living beings were capable of reanimation. His theory of reanimation and other doctrines did not find favour with

^{1.} HBSJY, p. 23.

^{2.} History of Bengal, Vol. I, p. 22.

Mahāvīra who believed in the freedom of the will. Henceforth Gośāla severed his connection with Mahāvīra and established a separate sect known as Ājīvika.¹

B.M. BARUA2 is of the opinion that the Jaina account of Gośāla is biased and that it cannot therefore be relied upon. It is equally possible that Mahāvīra in the beginning of his ascetic career might have become a disciple of Gośāla. Gośāla was recognised as a teacher at least two years before Mahāvīra. He predeceased Mahāvīra by some sixteen years. There is a discrepancy between the accounts of the Bhagavatī and the Kalpa Sūtra. According to the former, Mahāvīra spent six years in Paniyabhūmi in the company of Gośāla, while the latter gives him only one year in that place, but six years in Mithila. The inference from these two somewhat contradictory accounts seems to be this-that in the second year of his monkhood, Mahāvīra left the religious order of Pārśvanātha, and joined the School of Gośāla. And when six years afterwards the difference of opinion led Mahāvīra to leave that school, he founded a new school of his own and organized a religious order mainly after the model of Pārśvanātha. This view of B.M. BARUA's appears to be only imaginary and is not supported by any solid dependable evidence. There are clear proofs that from the beginning of his ascetic life, Mahāvīra became a Jaina monk and that he did not join any religious order afterwards.

The incidental enumeration of the places visited by Mahāvīra in the Bhagavatī Sūtra during his ascetic life does not tally with those given in the Kalpa Sūtra. The Bhagavatī Sūtra associates Nālandā, Rājagriha, Paṇiyabhūmi, Siddhārthagrāma and Kūrmagrāma with his early wanderings. The Uvāsagadasāo mentions Vāṇijyagrāma, Champā, Bārāṇasī, Ālabhi (Pāli Alavi), Kampilyapura, Polāsapura, Rājagriha, and Śrāvastī as the places that were visited by Mahāvīra. Both the Bhagavatī Sūtra and the Uvāsaga-dasāo would have us believe that he received extraordinary respect from certain rich house-holders even long before his Jinahood. Bārāṇasī is no other

^{1.} Urā, Tr. by A. F. R. HOERNLE, App. I.

^{2.} Впріг, р. 374.

than modern Benaras. Kampillapura is identified with Kampil in the Farrukabad District.¹ Polāsapura has not been identified, but at the time of Mahāvīra, it was within the kingdom of king Jiyasattu, the ruler of Kośala.

The Āvaṣyaka Niryukti,² the Āvaṣyaka Chūrṇi,³ the Āvaṣyaka Bhāshya,⁴ the Āvaṣyaka Ṭtkā, the Kalpa Sūtra Ṭtkā,⁵ and the Mahāvīra Charitras written by Nemi Chandra, Guṇa Chandra and Hema Chandra somehow give us a detailed account, with chronological succession of Mahāvīra's itinerary. Though based on tradition, these are still very late works and cannot be wholly relied upon. Their motive was sometimes to glorify Lord Mahāvīra and present him as a superhuman being, rather than as an ordinary mortal. One important conclusion may be drawn that Jainism had penetrated to far-flung areas so that at the time of composition of these works, their authors expressly mention the visit of Lord Mahāvīra to these places in order to impress the masses, but actually the spread of Jainism during the time of Mahāvīra there seems to be quite impossible.

When Mahāvīra was thirty years old, he renounced the world with the permission of his elder brother, Nandivardhana, and his relatives. With people pursuing him, he set out from Kuṇḍagrāma in the dark of Mārgasīrsha on the tenth day in winter by simply putting on a divine garment (Devadussa). He came to the garden of Nāyasaṇḍavaṇa situated in the northeast direction on the outskirts of Kuṇḍaggāma. At this place, the renunciation ceremony of Mahāvīra was celebrated with great rejoicings. He is said to have given the first half of his garment to a Brāhmaṇa.

FIRST YEAR

In the evening of the same day, Mahāvīra left Nāyasanda for Kumāragāma. There were two routes by which this journey was performed, one by water and the other by

- 1. GEB, p. 18.
- 2. Ava. Nir. 458-527.
- 3. Āra, Chū, pp. 268-333.
- 4. Ava. Bhā, III.
- 5. Kalpa, Ti, 5.121.

land. Mahāvīra preferred the latter and reached Kumāra-gāma. Now this village is famous by the name of Kammana-Chhaparā.¹ Here, Mahāvīra stood in meditation but was harassed by a cowherd who took him for a thief and wanted to hit him. Next day, Mahāvīra proceeded to Koll¹ga Sannivesa where he broke his fast. From there, he started for Morāga Sannivesa and reaching there, stayed in a hermitage. Next day, he left Morāga Sannivesa but again came back to this place after eight months. Then he proceeded to Aṭṭhiyagāma, where he put up in the shrine of Sūlapāṇī. Here Sūlapāṇī Jakkha is said to have caused Mahāvīra many troubles but the latter bore them with his wonted equanimity and patience. Thus Mahāvīra spent his first rainy season at Aṭṭhiyagāma.

SECOND YEAR

From Atthiyagāma, Mahāvīra again came to Morāga Sannivesa where lived an ascetic named Achchhandaka. Then he started for Vāchāla, which was divided into Uttaravāchāla and Dakkhinavāchāla, and between them flowed the rivers Suvannakūlā and Ruppakūlā. When Mahāvīra was going from Dakkhinavāchāla to Uttaravīchāla, the remaining half of his garment got entangled in the thorns on the bank of Suvannakūlā. From this time onwards, Mahāvīra became a naked monk. There were two routes to Uttaravāchāla, one through the hermitage named Kanakakhala and another from outside it. Mahāvīra chose the former one which was more difficult. At Uttaravāchāla, he had to face a poisonous snake named Drishtivisha. From Kanakakhala, he travelled to Seyaviya where he was received by King Paesi. T. W. RHYS DAVIDS identifies this place with Satiabia and Vost with Basedita, twenty five km. from Sahet-Mahet and ten km. from Balarampur.2 Mahāvīra arrived at Surabhipura from Seyaviyā after crossing the Ganges, and afterwards proceeded to Thunaka Sannivesa where he stood in meditation. The place was situated in the country of Mallas to the north-west of Patna on the right bank of the Gandaki.3 From here, Mahavira proceeded to

^{1.} HBSJY, p. 23.

^{2.} NDGDAMI, p. 184.

^{3.} JUPHS, Vol. XV. Pt. 11.

Rāyagiha and sojourned in a weaver's shed in Nālandā where he passed the second rainy season. Here Gośāla met him and the two left for Kollāga together.

THIRD YEAR

From Kollāga, Mahāvīra and Gośāla came to Sunnakhālaya and then to Bambhaṇagāma. This Bambhaṇagāma lay in a route from Rājagriha to Champī.¹ From this place, they reached Champī where Mahāvīra spent the third rainy season.

FOURTH YEAR

From Champī, Mahāvīra and Gośāla arrived at Kālāya Sannivesa and thence to Pattakālaya. At both these places, Gośāla was insulted for his misbehaviour. Then, both came to Kumīrāya Sannivesa where Mahāvīra practised meditation in the garden, Champaramaṇijia. Then they proceeded to Chorāga Sannivesa where they were taken to be spies and were taken prisoners. Chorāga Sannivesa may be identified with Choreya in Lohardugga District in Bengal.² From this place, they travelled to Piṭṭhichampā where Mahāvīra passed the fourth rainy season.

FIFTH YEAR

From Piţţhichampā, Mahāvīra and Gośāla proceeded to Kayangalā, now identified with Kañkajol in Santhal Parganā in Bihar.³ At this place, some ascetics were staying with their families. Gośāla is known to have misbehaved with them and was therefore punished. Then both came to Sāvatthi and, later, to Haledduga. Here under a big turmeric tree Mahāvīra stood in meditation. His feet are said to have been burnt by fire. Meditation over, both proceeded to Nangala where Mahāvīra stood in meditation again in the Vāsudeva temple. Gośāla was punished once again for his misdemeanour. Then, they arrived at Avattagāma where Mahāvīra spent his time in meditation in the Baladeva temple and Gośāla was taken to task for his misbehaviour. Continuing their travels in this

^{1.} VTM I, p. 194

^{2.} Gra, p 40.

^{3.} R SANKRITYAYANA: Viniya Piţaka, p. 248 n.

region, they reached Chorāya Sannivesa from where they journeyed to Kalambuka Sannivesa. Here both were tied by Kālahasti and were beaten; later on, they were set at liberty by Kālahasti's brother, Megha, who recognized Mahāvīra. Then they journeyed to the country of Lāḍha where Mahāvīra had to endure various kinds of painful sufferings. Lāḍha or Rāḍha comprises the modern districts of Hooghly, Howrah, Bankura, Burdwan, and the eastern part of Midnapore.¹ From this place, they moved on towards punnahalasa where some robbers made a dastardly attempt on Mahāvīra's life. Undaunted, they travelled to the city of Bhaddiya where Mahāvīra passed the fifth rainy season.

SIXTH YEAR

From Bhaddiya, both Mahāvīra and Gośāla travelled to Kayalisamāgama, and then onward to Jambusaṇḍa and Tambāya Sannivesa. Jambusaṇḍa was located between Ambagāma and Bhoganagara on a route from Vaiśālī to Kuśīnārā.² Then they arrived at Kūiya Sannivesa where, suspected of being spies, they were kept as prisoners, but were later released at the intercession of two sisters, Vijayā and Pragalbhā. Kūiya or Kūpiya is identified with a place located at a distance of ten km. from the Khalīlābāda Mehadāvala road in Khalīlābad Tehsil of Dhūhabastī District.³

Now Gośāla and Mahāvīra parted with each other. Mahāvīra left for Vaiśālī where he stood in a blacksmith's shed. The blacksmith, seeing Mahāvīra naked, ran to hit him. Afterwards, Mahāvīra proceeded to Gāmāya Sannivesa where he was honoured by Vibhelaka Jakkha. From this place, he travelled to Sālisīsayagāma where the demoness Kaṭapūṭanā caused him much trouble. After six months, Gośāla again joined Mahāvīra at this place. Finally, Mahāvīra visited Bhaddiya in order to spend the sixth rainy season there.

SEVENTH YEAR

Then Mahavira and Gośala travelled together in the country of Magadha. In the course of the journey, Mahavira

^{1.} Agi, p. 732.

^{2.} V_{TM}, I, p. 203, f.n. l.

^{3.} Ibid., p. 204. f.n.l.

decided to spend the seventh rainy season at Alabhiya.

EIGHTH YEAR

From Alabhiya, Mahavira and Gosala set out for Kundaga Sannivesa. At this place, Mahāvīra stood in meditation in the temple of Vāsudeva. Gośāla was again beaten for his bad manners. Then they visited Maddanagama and stayed in the Baladeva temple. Afterwards they came to Bahusālagagāma where Mahāvīra was harassed by Sālejjā Vānamāntarī. From this place, they proceeded to the capital Lohaggalā where the royal servants suspected them to be spies and caught them. Later on they were set free at the intercession of Uppala who is said to have arrived there from Atthiyagama. Lohaggala may be identified with Lohardagā situated in the region which forms the central and north-western portion of the Chhota Nagpur Division.¹ From Lohaggalā, they went to Purimatāla where Mahāvīra stood in meditation in the garden of Sagadamuha. Purimatāla may be identified with Purulia in Bihar.2 From there, they travelled to Unnaga and on to Gobhūmi. At last they reached Rāyagiha in order to pass the eighth rainy season.

MINTH YEAR

From Rāyagiha, Mahāvīra and Gośāla again set out for a Lāḍha country which is non-Aryan. In the course of this journey, they passed through Vajjabhūmi and Subbhabhūmi, where Mahāvīra had to endure all sorts of tortures. Sometimes people surrounded him and set their dogs upon him. Mahāvīra got no shelter in this region. He passed the ninth rainy season in this country.

TENTH YEAR

Mahāvīra and Gośāla then travelled to Siddhatthapura and Kummagāma. Soon they returned to Siddhatthapura. It may be the same as Siddhangrāma in Birbhum District.³ Severing his relations with Mahāvīra again, Gośāla now went to Sāvatthi while Mahāvīra visited Vaiśālī where the republi-

- 1. Imperial Gazetteers, Vol. VIII, p. 475.
- 2. JLAIDJC. p. 324.
- 3. History of Bengal. Vol. I. p. 22.

can chief Sankha saved him from the trouble caused by the local children. From here, Mahāvīra crossed the river Gaṇḍai by boat and reached Vāṇiyagāma. He then proceeded to Sāvatthi where he passed the tenth rainy season.

ELEVENTH YEAR

From Savatthi, Mahavira set out for Sanulatthiyagama, which may be identified with Dalabhum in District in Bengal.1 He then went to Pedhalagama and stood in meditation in the garden of Pedhāla in the shrine of Polāsa. In this region of the Mlechchhas, Mahavira had to suffer much. He travelled later to Vāluyagāma, Subhoma, Suchchhettā, Malaya and finally on to the Hatthisīsa. At all these places, apparently located in the north-west part of Orissa, Mahāvīra had to undergo extreme physical torture. Afterwards he reached Tosali where he was suspected to be a robber and hit hard. The place is now identified with Dhauli and some neighbouring places in Orissa. Then he travelled to Mosali where he was caught under the suspicion of a dacoit and brought before the king, but he was soon released. Mahāvīra again returned to Tosali and found himself in great troubles. He was actually to be hanged here but was luckily rescued by Tosali Kshatriya. Then he arrived at Siddhatthapura from where he proceeded to Vayaggāma. For a period of six months, he had to bear great hardship at all these places. From Vayaggāma, he proceeded to Alabhiyā and then to Seyaviyā and Sāvatthi. At last, passing through Kośambi, Vanarasi, Rayagiha and Mithila he spent the eleventh rainy season at Vaisali.

TWELFTH YEAR

From Vaiśālī, Mahāvīra came to Sumsgumārapura which is identified with a hilly place near Chunar in Mirzapur District.² He proceeded thence to Bhogapura, which lay between Pāvā and Vaiśālī,³ and to Nandiggāma, from where he travelled to Meṇḍhiyagāma. Afterwards he proceeded to Kośāmbī, where he received his alms after a period of four

R. SANKRITVATANA: Majjhima, p. 61 n. Suttanipāta, V. 1:38.

months. From Kośāmbī, he set out for Sumangalgāma and then for Pālayagāma. Finally, he reached Champā for spending the twelfth rainy season.

THIRTEENTH YEAR

From Champā Mahāvīra came to Jambhiyagāma. KALYANA VIJAYA identifies it with Jambhigaon near the river Damodar in the Hazaribagh District, but it must be located somewhere near modern Pāvāpurī in Bihar.2 From this place, he reached Mendhiyagama. Then he visited Chhamanigama where a cow-herd is said to have thrust iron nails into his ears. In this condition, Mahāvīra is said to have reached Majihima Pavi where the nails were removed from his ears.

MAHĀVĪRA'S PENANCE IN A CEMETERY AT UJJAIN

According to the Svetāmbaras, Mahāvīra was born with three kinds of knowledge: Matijnana, Srutajnana and Avadhiiñana. He also gained the fourth kind of knowledge, Manahparyāyajñāna, by which he knew the thoughts of all sentient beings possessing the five senses in the two and a half continents till some time after his initiation to asceticism. According to the Digambaras, Mah vira failed to gain it, though he performed meditation for six months, sitting absolutely motionless. the end of the six months, he went to Kulapura where its ruler, Kulādhipa, came and did him honour, washed his feet with his own hands, and, having walked round him three times, offered him rice and milk. These Mahāvīra took as his first meal (Paranum) after fasting for six months. He returned to the forest and wandered about in it performing twelve kinds of penance, but still the knowledge was withheld from him. last he visited Ujjayini and did penance in a cemetery there when Rudra and his wife tried in vain to interrupt him. was only after overcoming this temptation and again embarking upon his forest life of meditation that, according to the Digambara belief, he obtained Manahparyayajnana.3

KEVALA7Ñ ĀNA

The period of twelve years spent in peupper and medita-

Kvshm. pp 357, 370.
 Jimdje, p. 289.
 Ssha, p. 33.

tion was not fruitless, for in the thirteenth year, Mahāvīra at last attained supreme knowledge and final deliverance from the bonds of pleasure and pain. The Jainas themselves have described this most important moment of the prophet's life:

"During the thirteenth year, in the second month of summer, in the fourth fortnight, the light (fortnight) of Vaisākha, on its tenth day, called Suvrata, while the moon was in conjunction with the asterism Uttara-Phalguni, when the shadow had turned towards the east, and the first wake was over, outside of the town Jrimbhikagrāma on the northern bank of the river Rijupālikā, in the field of the householder Sāmāga, in a northeastern direction from an old temple, not far from a Sāl tree, in a squatting position with joined heels exposing himself to the heat of the Sun, with the knees high and the head low, in deep meditation, in the midst of abstract meditation, he reached Nīrvāṇa, the complete and full, the unobstructed, unimpeded, infinite and supreme, best knowledge and intuition, called Kevala."

When the venerable Mahāvīra had become an Arhat and a Jina, he was a Kevalin, omniscient and comprehending all objects; he knew all the conditions of the world, of gods, men and demons; whence they come, where they go, whether they are born as men or animals, or become gods or hell-beings; their food, drink, doings, desires, open and secret deeds, their conversation and gossip and the thoughts of their minds; he saw and knew all the conditions in the whole world of all living beings.¹

At this time, Mahāvīra (the great hero) or Jina (the conqueror) was forty-two years old; and from this age, he entered upon a new stage of life, that of a religious teacher and the head of a sect called the Nirgranthas, 'free from fetters'. He went from place to place for the propagation of his doctrine, and for making converts. His first declaration about himself aroused confidence among his followers and urged them to follow his example in their own life. The Buddhist texts give us an idea of his first declaration which is as follows:

I am all-knowing and all-seeing, and possessed of an infinite knowledge. Whether I am walking or standing still, 1- Āchā, II, 15, 25-26; Kalpa, 120, 121.

whether I sleep or remain awake, the supreme knowledge and intuition are present with me—constantly and continuously. There are, O Nirgranthas, some sinful acts you have done in the past, which you must now wear out by this acute form of austerity. Now that here you will be living restrained in regard to your acts, speech, and thought, it will work as the non-doing of Karma for future. Thus, by the exhaustion of the force of past deeds through penance and the non-accumulation of new acts, (you are assured) of the stoppage of the future course, of rebirth from such stoppage, of the destruction of the effect of Karma, from that, of the destruction of pain, from that, of the destruction of mental feelings, and from that, of the complete wearing out of all kinds of pain."

FIRST SERMON

When Mahāvīra attained kevalahood, a Samavašaraņa (religious conference) was held on the bank of the river Ujjuvāliyā, but it is said that the first discourse of Mahāvīra remained unsuccessful. Then after traversing twelve yojanas, he is said to have returned to Majjhima Pāvā where the second Samavašaraņa was convened in the garden of Mahāsena. Here after a long discussion on various religious and philosophic points, Mahāvīra converted to Jainism the eleven learned Brāhmaṇas who had gone there to attend the great sacrifice performed by a rich Brāhmana named Somila.

According to the Digambara scriptures, even after obtaining Kevalajāāna (Enlightenment) at Jrimbhikagrāma, Mahāvīra did not break his vow of silence taken from the time of Pravrajyā, and wandering continuously for sixtysix days in silence, reached Rājagriha, the capital of Magadha. Outside the city of Rājagriha, at Vipulāchala where he settled, a Samavašaraņa was held for his first sermon. First of all he converted eleven learned Brāhmaṇas, including Indrabhūti Gautama, who were known as his disciples (Gaṇadharas). King Śreṇika with the members of the royal family, including his queen Chetanā, and the whole army came to the Samavašaraṇa to pay homage to Mahāvīra as well as to listen to his first sermon. It is said that the king asked him several questions

1. Majjh, I, pp. 92-93.

concerning the faith and all of them were satisfactorily answered.

ELEVEN DISCIPLES (GANADHARAS)

First of all, Mahavira by his preaching converted to Jainism the eleven learned Brahmanas who became his direiples, his eleven Ganadharas. They listened to Mahāvīra's discourses and heard the gentle, thoughtful answers he gave to all questions. Finally, being convinced of the truth of his views, they became his disciples or Ganadharas. The eldest was Indrabhūti, then followed Agnibhūti, Vāyubhūti, Vyakta, Sudharmā, Mandikata, Mauryaputra, Akampita, Achalabhrātā, Metarya and Prabhasa. The first three Ganadharas were brothers and belonged to the Gautama Gotra, and were residents of Gobbaragama. The fourth belonged to the Bharadvija Gotra and was the resident of Kollaga Sannivesa; the fifth belonged to the Agni Vesyayana Gotra and was the resident of Kollaga Sannivesa; the sixth belonged to the Vasishtha Gotra and was the resident of Moriya Sannivesa; the seventh belonged to the Kāśyapa Gotra and was the resident of Moriya Sannivesa; the eighth belonged to the Gautama Gotra and was the resident of Mithilä; the ninth belonged to the Hārīta Gotra and was resident of Kośala; the tenth belonged to the Kaudinya Gotra and was the resident of Tungika Sannivesa; and the eleventh belonged to the same Gotra and was the resident of Rajagriha. These Ganadharas were all Brahmana teachers, and all except Indrabhūti and Sudharmā, died during the life-time of Mahavira. They are said to have been versed in the twelve Angas, the fourteen Pūrvas and the whole Ganipidaga (the basket of the Ganis).1

The Digambaras have some different names for these Gaṇadharas and give a different account of Gautama's conversion. According to Guṇabhadra² the eleven names are as follows: Indrabhūti, Vāyubhūti, Agnibhūti, Sudharmā, Maurya, Maundra, Putra, Maitreya, Akampana, Andhavela or Anvachela and Prabhāsa. Indrabhūti became a very learned

^{1.} Ava. Nir. 658-660.

^{2.} Uttara Purana, 24, 373-374.

pandita and grew extremely vain of his learning. One day, however, an old man appeared and asked him to explain a certain verse to him. Mahāvīra had, the old man said, repeated the Śloka to him, but had immediately afterwards become so lost in meditation that he could get no explanation of it from the saint, and yet he felt that he could not live unless he knew the meaning. The verse contained references to Kāla and Dravya, Pañcha Astikāya, Tattva and Leśrā, not one of which could Gautama understand, but being too true a scholar to pretend to a knowledge which he did not possess, he sought out Mahāvīra to ask for an explanation. The moment he was in the presence of the great ascetic, all his pride in his fancied learning fell from him, and he besought Mahāvīra to teach He not only became a convert himself, but took over with him his five hundred pupils and his three brothers.1 In the Digambara Jaina Pattāvalis, Sudharmā comes after Indrabhūti, and Sudharma was also known by the name of Loh rya.

One significant fact about these Ganadharas is that all of them were Brahmins, which proves that among the Brahmins also an ideological revolution was taking place and compelling them to give up their traditional grooves of thoughts advocating ritualism. Further, it was this intelligentsia that predominantly included the Brahmins who helped him spread his faith.

FOUR ORDERS OF THE JAINA COMMUNITY (SAMGHA)

Mahāvīra possessed a unique power of organization. By his wonderful personality and organizational skill, he attracted a large number of people, both men and women, to be his disciples. From them therefore grew the four orders of his community: monks, nuns, laymen, and laywomen.

The chief among his followers were the fourteen thousand monks placed under the charge of Indrabhūti Gautama. Mahāvīra resolved to combat by regulations and organization those special temptations and dangers which beset ascetics in their wandering life. For this purpose, he divided fourteen

^{1.} Ssnr, pp. 61-62.

thousand monks into nine regular schools called Gaṇas, placing each school under the headship of one of his chief disciples or Ganadharas. The leading Gaṇadhara had five hundred monks under him, but some of the others had only three hundred or two hundred and fifty. These Gaṇadharas were to guide and instruct separate groups of Nirgranthas.

Besides the fourteen thousand monks, a great multitude of women followed Mahāvīra, and of these some thirtysix thousand actually renounced the world and became nuns. At their head was Chandanā, a first cousin of Mahāvīra's, or, as other accounts have it, his aunt.

Mahāvīra's third Order consisted of laymen numbering about one hundred and fiftynine thousand with Sankha Sataka at their head. These laymen were householders who could not actually renounce the world but they at least could observe the five small vows called anuvrata. The similarity of their religious duties, differing not in kind but in degree, brought about the close union of laymen and monks. Most of these regulations meant to govern the conduct of laymen were intended apparently to make them participate, in a measure and for some time, in the merits and benefits of monastic life without obliging them to renounce the world altogether. "The genius for organization which Mahāvīra possessed". S. Stevenson rightly observes, "is shown in nothing more clearly than in the formation of this and the order of laymen. organizations gave the Jaina a root in India that the Buddhists never obtained, and that root firmly planted amongst the laity enabled Jainism as we have seen, to withstand the storm that drove Buddhism out of India."1

Their fourth and last Order consisted of devout laywomen or *Śrāvikās* numbering about three hundred and fiftyeight thousand with Sulasā and Revatī as their heads. Their household duties prevented their becoming nuns but still they served the ascetics in many ways. The number of members in the four Orders of the Jaina Community is exaggerated, but these is little doubt that Mahāvīra converted a large number of people to Jainism.

^{1.} SsnJ. p. 67.

The Digambaras believe that Mahāvīra did not travel alone but that wherever he went he was accompanied by all monks and nuns who had entered his Order. He preached in a language which they call *An-aksharī*, which was unintelligible to the common people; so Gautama acted as his interpreter and translated all he said into Ardha-Māgadhī.¹

PLACES OF RAINY SEASONS (CHATURM ĀSA)

The Jaina Kalpasūtra gives the names of the places where Mahavira spent one or more rainy seasons since he became an ascetic after renouncing the world. He stayed the first rainy season in Asthikagrāma, three rainy seasons in Champā and Prishtichampā, twelve in Vaiśālī and Vanijagrāma, fourteen in Rājagriha and Nālandā, six in Mithilā, two in Bhadrikā, one in Alabhikā, one in Panitabhūmi, one in Śrāvastī and the last one in the town of Papa in king Hastipāla's office.² This list is neither exhaustive nor chronological though it covers broadly the fortytwo years of his itinerary. It is rather difficult to distinguish the places he visited during and after the period of his ascetic life merely on the basis of the list supplied by the Kalpa Sūtra. There is no doubt that the Kalpa Sūtra's authority on the itinerary of Mahāvīra is ancient and fairly reliable. It gives us a fair idea of the area over which he wandered propagating his faith. When the places are correctly identified, we come to know that this area roughly covered the modern state of Bihar and some parts of Bengal and U.P.

The Jain tradition which mentions Mahāvīra's visit to Vītibhaya, the capital of Sindhu Sovīra, to preach to King Uddāyana, is of very doubtful veracity. The earliest reference to this visit is found in the Bhagavatī³ and later on in certain Chūrņis which perpetuate the tradition. It is quite possible that in later times, when Jainism spread to Western India, the Jainas, in order to impress the masses of this region, wanted to

^{1.} Ssnj, p. 41.

^{2.} Kalpa, 122.

^{3.} Bhag, pp. 5 6 ff.

point out that their association with them was not new. In order to trace the beginning of this association from the earliest times they concocted the story of Mahāvīra's visit to King Uddāyana.

The late Jaina works describe Mahāvīra's itinerary exhaustively and chronologically, but the description does not seem to be fully reliable. After attaining Kevalajñāna, Mahāvīra spent no less than thirty rainy seasons at the following places yearwise—(1) Rājagriha, (2) Vaiśālī, (3) V nijyagrāma, (4) Rājagriha, (5) Vā ijyagrāma, (6) Rājagriha, (7) Rājagriha, (8) Vaiśālī, (9) Vaiśālī, (10) Rājagriha, (11) V nijyagrāma, (12) Rājagriha, (13) Rājagriha, (14) Champā, (15) Mithilā, (16) Vānijyagrāma, (17) Rājagriha, (18) Vānijyagrāma, (19) Vaiśālī, (20) Vaiśālī, (21) Rājagriha, (22) Nālandā, (23) Vaiśālī, (24) Vaiś lī, (25) Räjagriha, (26) Nālandā, (27) Mithilā, (28) Mithilā, (29) Rājagriha, and (30) Āpāpāpurī.

INFLUENCE ON LAY FOLLOWERS (ŚRĀVAKAS)

First of all, Mahāvīra seems to have tried to attract those householders who formed a large body of lay disciples by laying down certain rules of conduct. Gautama Indrabhūti was taken to task by the Master when he sought to claim a difference in degree in this respect between a recluse and a lay disciple.² The gift of supernormal vision was no monopoly of any Order or caste or sex. In this matter, Mahāvīra made no distinction between men and men, or between men and women. He did not enjoin one set of rules for male recluses and another for those of the fair sex, one set of rules for male lay disciples and another for female lay disciples. When he wandered about in the country, he was accompanied by male as well as female recluses.

Mahāvīra not only taught his followers to observe penances and live a life of restraint in all possible ways but also watched how they had been progressing. He also encouraged them in the study of the $P\bar{u}rvas$ and in developing their power of reasoning and arguing. The Buddhist records themselves attest that there were some able and powerful disputants among

¹ NATA, pp. 396-400.

² Uvā, 1.

the Nirgrantha recluses and disciples.1

The lay disciples of Mahāvīra and the lay supporters of his Order, both male and female, are all mentioned as persons of opulence and influence. At the same time, they were noted for their piety and devotion. Their contemporaries, including kings and princes, consulted them on many affairs and matters. Among them, Ānanda and his wife Śivanandā from Vāṇijagrāma, Kāmadeva and his wife Bhadrā from Champā, Chūlanipriya and his wife Śyāmā, Sūradeva and his wife Dhanyā from Bārāṇasī, Chullasataka and his wife Pushyā from Kampilyapura, Kundakolita and his wife from Kampilyapura, Kundakolita and his wife from Polāsapura and Mahasataka from Rājagriha and Nandinīpriya and his wife Aśvinī, and Salatipriya and his wife Phālguni were the most well-known lay disciples of Mahāvīra.

The Pāli *Upāli Sūtra*² introduces us to the rich house-holder Upāli of Balakagrāma, near Nālandā, who was a lay disciple of Mahāvīra and a liberal supporter of the recluses of his Order, both male and female. We are indeed told that a very large number of the inhabitants of Balakagrāma, headed by Upāli, became lay disciples of Mahāvīra. The banker Mṛigāra or Mṛigadhara of Śrāvastī, father-in-law of the Buddhist lady Visākhā, is mentioned as a lay disciple of Mahāvīra and a lay supporter of the Nirgrantha recluses.

The Jaina Bhagavatī Sūtra speaks of two other rich house-holders Vijaya and Sudarśana, among the lay disciples of Mahāvīra. Of these the former was a citizen of Rājagriha.

ROYAL PATRO NAGE

Not only the rich bankers and merchants, but even kings, queens, princes, and ministers became lay disciples of the Jaina Tīrthankara Mahāvīra. His personal connections with the various rulers were through his mother, Triśalā, the Lichchhavi princess, and his maternal uncle, Chetaka, the king of Vaiśālī. According to Jaina traditions, kings like Śrenika, Kūnika, Chetaka, Pradyota, Śatānīka, Dadhivā-

- 1. Majjh, I. p. 227. 2. Majjh, I, 371-387.
- 3. Nāyā, p. 146; Sthānā, p. 458b; Uttarā, XX.
- 4. Aup, 44-46. 5. Ara, Chū, II, p. 164.
- 6. Bhag, 442.

hana,¹ Udāyana,² Vīangaya, Vīrajasa, Śañjaya, Śaṅkha, Kāsivaddha ia³ and others are said to be his followers. Queens like Prabhāvatī of Udāyana,⁴ Mrigāvatī and Jayantī of Kośāmbī,⁵ queens of king Śrenika and Pradyota,⁶ and princesses like Chandanā,⁻ the daughter of the king of Champā followed Jainism. Princes called Atimukta,⁶ Padma,⁶ grandsons of Śrenika, Megha, Abhaya and others¹o are said to have joined the Order of Jainism. The royal patronage must have facilitated the spread of Jainism.

Both Jainism and Buddhism claim most of the contemporary rulers of this period as followers of their respective religions. It seems that it was the general policy of the rulers of this and even of later times to show reverence to the teachers of different sects. As Śrenika's father is said to be a follower of the Parśvanātha sect¹¹ which had also its stronghold at Rājagriha, it is natural that Bimbisāra was inclined towards Jainism. The Uttarādhyayana Sūtra¹² relates how Bimbisāra, 'the lion of the kings' with the greatest devotion visited the other 'Lion of homeless ascetics' (Anagāra-Siham) at a chaitya with his wives, servants and relations, and became a staunch believer in the Law. R.K. Mookerji and other historians¹³ have identified this ascetic with Mahāvīra because of the expression Anagāra Siham, while others¹⁴ consider him to be a different ascetic, Anāthi of the Nirgrantha sect. His Jaina leanings

- 1. Āva, Chū, II, p. 207.
- 2. Bhag, pp. 556 ff.
- 3. Sthānā, p. 430 b.
- 4. *Āva*, p. 299.
- 5. Bhag, 12. 2.
- 6. Āva, Chū, p. 91. Anta, 7, p. 43
- 7. Bhag, 458 b.
- 8. Anta, III.
- 9. Nāyā, p. 32.
- Ibid., p 33; Nāyā. Chapt. 1; Āva. Chū, p. 115.
- 11. Trī, Pu. Cha, x, 6, 8.
- 12. Uttarā, xx, 58.
- 13. Hindu Civilization, The Age of Imperial Unity, p. 21.
- 14. NATA, p. 313.

may have been due to his wife Chellanā, who was a daughter of Cheṭaka of Vaiśālī. Hemachandra tells the story that "when the country was under a blight of cold, the king accompanied by Devī Chellanā went to worship Mahāvīra".¹ The fact that Mahāvīra passed fourteen rainy seasons at Rājagriha is sufficient to prove that he exercised some influence over both Śrenika and Kūnika, the rulers of Magadha. According to the Jaina texts,² Mahāvīra was always treated by them and other members of the royal family with the utmost respect. On one occasion, Śrenika is said to have issued a proclamation promising financial support to the relatives of those who enter the Jaina holy order.³

Śrenika's son Kūnika is represented in the Jaina texts as a Jaina. These texts4 are partial in freeing him from the charge the Buddhist texts level against him. The Aupapatika Sūtra throws special light on the cordial relations between Kūnika and Mahāvīra. Kūnika is known to have appointed a special officer known as Pravritti Vāduka Purusha to inform him about the wanderings and daily routine of Mahavira. It contains an account of Mahavīra's Samosarana in Champā and Kūnika's pilgrimage to this place. He was a frequent visitor to Mahāvira with his queens and royal retinue. He had an intimate connection with him both at Vaisali and Champa, and openly declared before Mahāvīra and his disciples his faith in him as the true teacher who had made clear the true path of religion based on renunciation and non-violence. Kūnika was succeeded by his son Udayabhadra, who in the lifetime of his father served him as the Viceroy at Champa. He was a devout Jaina, fasting on the 8th and 14th tithis. He is also known to have built a Jaina shrine (chaityagriha) at the centre of the town, Pāṭaliputra.

In the Buddhist texts, Śrenika and Kūnika are known by the names of Bimbisāra and Ajātaśatru and both were devot-

- 1. Tri. Pu. Cha, X, 6, 10, 11.
- 2. Dašāsrutaskandha, Anuttaropapātika Dašāmga and Jūātādharmakathā.
- 3. Bihar through the Ages, p. 127.
- 4. Aup, 12, 27, 30; Hemachandra's Parisishtefarvan, canto IV; Asa. Su, pp. 684, 687.
- 5. Ava, Sū, p. 690.

ed to the Buddha. Bimbisāra accepted Buddhism, and his conversion was celebrated by inviting the Buddha and his disciples to meals which he himself served at the palace, and then by announcing his donation of the park, Veluvana, to the Buddha and the Samgha.¹ At another time, when the Buddha had no money with which to pay the ferry-man who carried him across the Gangā, the emperor granted remission of these ferry charges to all ascetics out of his regard for the Master. After the murder of his father, Ajātaśatru paid a visit to the Buddha in his Mango-grove. He also erected a stūpa over the relics of the Lord Buddha. He gave full facilities for the holding of the General Council of the Buddhists in the Saptaparnī cave at Rājagriha shortly after the Buddha's death.

At the time of Mahāvīra, Udāyana was a very powerful monarch of Sindhu Sauvira. He is said to have been related to Mahāvīra through his wife Prabhāvatī, a daughter of king Chetaka. It is said that once Udāyana thought of paying a visit to Mahāvīra, who was in Champā at that time, and that the latter knew his thoughts and came down to his capital Vītabhaya in order to ordain him. Udāyana anointed Kesīkumāra, his sister's son, on the throne and joined the order under Mahāvīra.2 He is known to have attained perfection.3 The Buddhist scriptures4 describe Udrāyana or Rudrāyana of Sindhu Sauvīra, with Roruka as his capital, as a Buddhist. It is said that an image of the Buddha was sent by king Bimbisara to king Udayana to acquaint him with the Buddhist religion. In course of time, he gave his throne to his son Sikhandi and joined the Buddhist order under the influence of his queen Chandraprabhā.

According to Jaina traditions, Pradyota, a follower of Mahāvīra, tried all he could for the propagation of Jainism. Mahāvīra was related to Pradyota, because Śivā, the daughter of his maternal uncle. Chetaka was married to him. Pradyota is said to have installed the Jīvanta (life-time) Svāmī images of

^{1.} Vinaya, 1, 39.

^{2.} Bhag, 13. 6.

^{3.} Uttarā, XVIII, 48.

^{4.} Aradanakalbalata, 40; Divyaradana, 37.

Mahāvīra at Ujjain, Daśapura and Vidiśā.¹ According to the Buddhists, Pradyota was converted to Buddhism by Mahāka-chchāyana.²

Chetaka, the ruler of Vaiśālī, was a follower of Mahāvīra. It was only due to his influence that Vaiśālī became a stronghold of Jainism and that Mahāvīra visited this place from time to time. Chetaka had seven daughters, the eldest of whom was married to king Udayana of Vatsa and the youngest to King Śrenika Bimbisāra of Magadha. One joined the religious Order of Mahāvīra and the other four were married to the members of the royal family. There may be some truth in the suggestion made by C.J. Shah that these princesses were instrumental in the propagation of Jainism in Northern India.³

It is significant that Buddhist books do not mention Chetaka at all, though they tell us about the constitutional government of Vaiśālī. Buddhists took no notice of him as his influence was used in the interest of their rivals. Simha, a Lichchhavi general, was among the lay disciples of the Jaina Tīrthankara.4

Looking at the great importance of Champā in the Jaina annals, there is nothing strange if one assumes that its ruler, Dadhivāhana, followed Jainism and held Mahāvīra in high esteem. His daughter Chandanā or Chandanabālā was the first woman who embraced Jainism shortly after Mahāvīra had attained the Kevala.⁵ As Champā became a great centre of Jainism, Mahāvīra spent three of the rainy seasons at this place.

The ruler of Kauśāmbī was king Śatānīka to whom was married Mṛigāvatī, the third daughter of Cheṭaka. Both the king and the queen were devotees of Mahāvīra and followers of the Jaina Order. The Jaina tradition also affirms that the king's Minister (Amātya) and his wife were Jainas by faith.

I. KMA, p. 119.

^{2.} Ibid, p 115.

^{3.} Jainism in Northern India, pp. 88 f.

^{4.} Vin, vi. 4, S.

^{5.} Ava. Nir, 520 ff; Ava. Ti. p. 294 f.

^{6.} Bhag. 12, 2.

Satānīka's son and successor was Udayana. The Jaina literature claims him to be a follower of the Jaina Order. On the other hand, the Buddhist scriptures tell us that Udayana was at first not favourably inclined towards Buddhism, but later, however, he became a devotee of the Buddha.

Sāvatthi, Bārāṇasī, Kampillapura, Mithilā, Polāsapura and Ālabhia were all important towns visited by Mahāvīra within the kingdom of king Jiyasattu.¹ Jiyasattu (Jita-śatru, conqueror of enemies) seems to be a title of the king like the epithet Devānampiya of Aśoka. Jiyasattu seems to be no other than Pasenadi or Prasenajit of Kośala. The Rāyapaseṇiya Sutta² records a dialogue between Keśi and Paesi, when the latter, being influenced by the teachings of the former, became a Samanovāsaga. Keśī, a follower of Pārśva, was a Jaina recluse who is represented in the Uttarādhyayana Sūtra as the contemporary of Mahāvīra and Gautama Indrabhūti. Paesi or Pradeśī may be identified with Pasenadi or Prasenjit of Kośala.³ From the Buddhist texts, it is known that Prasenajit was a great admirer of the Buddha though he was not converted to Buddhism.

After giving up his flourishing kingdom of Daśārṇa, Daśamabhadra, who was the contemporary of Mahāvīra, became a monk.⁴ Daśamabhadra is not known from any other source. Daśārṇa is identified with Vidiśā or Bhilsa region in Madhya Pradesh.⁵ The early association of Jainism with

- 1. Uvā, pp. 84-5, 90, 95, 105, 160 and 163.
- 2. B.C. Law: Some Jaina canonical Sūtras, p. 74; 162-204. The Pali counterpart of this Jaina Sūtra is undoubtedly the dialogue known as the Pāyāsī Suttanta in the Dīgha Nikāya. In the Pāli Suttanta, the dialogue is put into the mouth of the Buddhist recluse, Kumārakassapa, the Flower-Talker (Chitra kathi) and the Chieftain Pāyāsi of Setavyā, a town within the kingdom of Pasenadi of Kośala.
- 3. NATA, p. 369. According to the Dighanikāya, Pradeśi was a vassal of Presenajit while on the evidence of the Rāyapašeniya Sutta, Jitaśatru was the ruler under Pradeśi. It seems more reasonable to say that Pradeśi and Jitaśatru are one and the same ruler who may be identified with Prasenajit of Kośala.
- 4. Uttarā, XVIII, 44.
- 5. GEB, p. 26.

this area is clear even from the Jaina traditions which aver that Vajrasvāmī and other Jain pontiffs obtained liberation in the hills, Kunjarāvarta and Rathāvarta, in the neighbourhood of Vidiśā.¹

Karakandu, king of Kalinga, is known to have adopted the faith of the Jinas, and, after placing his son on the throne, exerted himself as 'Sramana'. This proves the existence of Jainism in this Province from very early times, but it is very difficult to say when Karakandu lived in Kalinga. It was a Jaina stronghold, at least from the time of Lord Mahāvīra. The Jaina Harivamia Purāna informs us that Lord Mahāvīra had preached his faith in Kalinga. The Haribhadrīya Vritti on Āvaiyaka confirms Mahāvīra's visit to the country of Kalinga and adds that the king of that country was a friend (or relation) of his father's. The reference to Nandar ja as having taken away the image of Jina from Kalinga in the inscription of Khāravela is very interesting as it proves the existence of image-worship among the Jainas even in the fifth century B.C.

There are traditions even of Mahāvīra's visit to South India. From the Jīvandhara Charita of Bhāskara, it is known that Jīvandhara, who was the ruling chief of this region at this time, was a Jaina. He cordially received Mahāvīra and became an ascetic after obtaining dīkshā from him. Jīvandhara seems to be an imaginary name. Actually speaking, there was no such ruler whose kingdom extended to and comprised Southern India during this period.

Mahāvīra is known to have converted to Jainism a prince named Ārdraka who became a monk.⁵ He was so much influenced by the teachings of Mahāvīra that he always supported Jainism in his disputations with the teachers of different religions. This Ārdraka is identified with the prince of the Persian emperor Kurusha (558-530 B.C.). Both the emperor and the prince are believed to have sent presents to the king

^{1.} KMA, p. 121.

^{2.} Uttarā, XVIII, 45, 47.

^{3.} A.C. MITTAL: Early History of Orissa, p. 136.

^{4.} Karnatak through the Ages.

^{5.} Sătra, II, 6.

Śrenika and his son Abhayakumāra of Magadha who also in return despatched their presents to them. It is said that first of all Abhayakumāra enlightened Ārdraka with the teachings of Mahāvīra. In course of time, Ārdraka joined the Order of Mahāvīra.¹

On the basis of an evidence furnished by a very late period, Mahavira is known to have propagated his message even in the region now known as Rajasthan. There is an inscription of 1276 A.D. which begins with a verse which tells us that Mahāvīra in person came to Śrīmāla.2 This is supported by the Śrīmālamāhātmya, a work of c. the thirteenth century A.D., which gives an account of the dissemination of Jainism in Śrīmāla. Disgusted with the behaviour of the Brāhmaṇas of Srīmāla, Gautama Ganadhara went to Kashmir where he was converted to Jainism by Mahāvīra. After his return to Srīmāla, he converted the Vaiśyas to Jainism and composed the Kalpa Sūtra, the Bhagavatī Sūtra, Mahāvīra Janmasūtra and other works.3 An inscription of 1369 A.D., found on the door of the chief shrine in Jīvantasvāmī Śrī Mahāvīra. Jaina temple at Mungusthala Mahātīrtha, 7km. west of Abū Road, shows that Lord Mahāvīra visited Arbudabhūmi, and an image was consecrated by Śrī Keśī Ganadhara during the 37th year of the life of Mahāvīra.4 These statements are of a very late date and, therefore, cannot be easily relied on. But from them it can be legitimately deduced that in the 13th century A.D., Jainism was considered to be a very old religion in Rajasthan.5

Not only the rulers but also several contemporary clans⁶ were the followers of the religion of Mahāvīra. There are many stray references in the Jaina Sūtras which prove that the Lichchhavis followed the Jaina faith. Their capital, Vaiśāli, formed one of the headquarters of the Jaina community during the days of Mahāvīra. Out of the fortytwo rainy scasons of

^{1.} J.P. JAIN: Bhāratiya Itihāsa—eka Dīishti, pp. 67-68.

^{2.} PRAS. Wc., 1907, p. 35.

^{3.} Srīmālapurāņa, pp. 633-663.

^{4.} Apjls, No. 48.

^{5.} Jainism in Rajasthan, p. 8.

^{6.} SBE, XLV, p. 339.

his ascetic life, Mahāvīra spent twelve at Vaiśālī. Like the Lichchhavis, the Vajjis, who in fact cannot be strictly differentiated from the Lichchhavis, came under the influence of Lord Mahāvīra, for Vaiśālī seems to have been regarded also as the metropolis of the entire Vajji Confederacy. The Jñātrikas of Kundagrama, who formed one of the most important clans included in the Vajjian confederacy, were also his The other clans of the Vajjian confederacy must followers. have been naturally affected by the doctrines of Nataputta. It is among these confederate Kshatriyas that Mahāvīra was born and found strong supporters of his religion. The Mallas also seem to have cherished a feeling of respect and sympathy for the great prophet and his doctrines. The Ugras and the Bhogas are repeatedly mentioned in several of the oldest sacred books as being among the most prominent of the earliest converts.

It is clear from the above discussion that though only a few of these kings can definitely be identified, the late tradition without much historical support brings nearly all the kings of North India in those days under the spiritual sway of Mahāvīra in one way or the other. While some of the names of these rulers seem to be imaginary, others might have flourished long after Mahāvīra. From this evidence only one significant conclusion can be drawn, namely, that in course of time, Jainism spread in different parts of India and received royal patronage. During the period of Mahāvīra, its influence seems to have been confined only to the modern states of Bihar and some parts of Bengal and U.P. and it is probable that most of the ruling chiefs of this area patronized Jainism.

MAHĀVĪRA AND THE BUDDHA

The evidence of Buddhist literature is adequate enough to prove that Mahāvīra was a contemporary of the Buddha. Although they had not personally met each other, there were occasions when they felt interested in knowing and discussing each other's views through some intermediaries. Dīrghatapasvī and Satyaka (Pāli Sachchaka) among the Nirgrantha recluses, and Abhaya, the prince, Upāli, the banker, and Sinha, the Lichchhavi General among the Jaina laity, loom large among

those intermediaries. While they are said to have halted at Nālandā, Vaišālī and Rājagriha at one and the same time, they are not known to have seen each other. 1 Mahāvīra was senior in age to Buddha, the former predeceasing the latter by a few years.

That Mahāvīra and the Buddha were contemporaneous is proved by the synchronization of certain historical facts. When they had started their career as religious teachers and reformers, Śrenika Bimbisāra and Ajātaśatru were powerful kings of Magadha; Anga was annexed to the kingdom of Magadha, and the Vṛijji-Lichchhavis of Vaiśālī and the Mallas of Kuśīnāra and Pāvā formed two powerful confederacies. Prasenjit was the monarch of Kośala, and Kāśī was annexed to the kingdom of Kośala.

It is not without reason that Mahāvīra has been represented in the Abhayarājakumāra Sutta as personally interested in the welfare of Devadatta who fomented a schism within the Buddhist Order of the time.² B.M. BARUA suggests that Devadatta was a man with Jaina leaning.3 It is probably under the influence of Mahāvīra's teaching that Devadatta insisted on having the five special rules introduced in the Buddhist Order.

SCHISMS

Even in the life-time of Mahāvīra, there arose schimatic tendencies in the Jaina Church. In the fourteenth year of Mahāvīra's becoming a prophet, his nephew and son-in-law, Jamāli, headed an opposition against him. Similarly, two years later, a holy man in the Jaina community, Tisagutta, made an attack on certain points in Mahāvīra's doctrine. Both of these schisms were, however, concerned with mere trifles, and seem to have caused no great trouble, as they were speedily stopped by the authority of the prophet himself. Jamāli, however, persisted in his heretical opinions until his death. Digambaras seem to be ignorant of the earlier schisms.

NIRVĀNA

Mahāvīra attained Nirvāņa at the age of 72 at Pāvā. It

NATA. p. 402.

Afajjh, I, pp 392-393.
 LMLT p. 17.

is said in the Kalpasūtra¹ that when Mahāvīra died, the eighteen confederate kings of Kāśī and Kośala, the nine Mallakīs and the nine Lichchhavis instituted an illumination, saying 'since the light of intelligence is gone, let us make an illumination of material matter."

There is a persistent Jaina tradition that Mahāvīra attained Nirvāṇa in 527 B.C. but this seems to have become controversial by an incorrect statement of Hemachandra's (1078-1172 A.D.) to the effect that 155 years after the Nirvāṇa of Mahāvīra, Chandragupta became king.² The whole problem was made more complicated and controversial by connecting it with Buddha's Nirvāṇa, the date of which has not yet been fully and authoritatively ascertained.³ Scholars are therefore not unanimous about the date of the Nirvāṇa of Mahāvīra as they still hold different views.

In order to solve this problem of the date of Mahāvīra's Nirvāna, one should take a comprehensive view. It is well known from the different sources that Mahāvīra flourished in the age of Śrenika (Bimbisāra) and Kūnika (Ajātaśatru) of Magadha, Prasenajit of Kośala, Udayana of Vatsa, Pradyota of Avanti and Pushkarasārin of Taxila. It is also certain that he lived in the days of Mankhali Gośāla and Buddha. Mankhali Gosala was his senior contemporary and died sixteen and a half years earlier, while Buddha was his junior contemporary and died afterwards. A Jaina tradition states that Mahāvīra attained Nirvana in the 16th year of the reign of Kunika and the Buddhist tradition places the Buddha's Nirvana in that king's 8th regnal year. The date of Mahāvīra's Nirvāna is said to have coincided with the date of the coronation at Ujjayini of Pālaka, the son of Chanda Pradyota, the king of Avanti. We can be successful in determining the date of Mahāvīra's

^{1.} Kalpa, 128.

Pari, VIII, 339.

^{3.} The different Buddhist traditions place the date of the Buddha differently; the Ceylonese in 544 B.C., the Burmese in 501 B.C.; the Tibetan in 488 B.C. and the Cantonese in 486 B.C. (Some scholars have suggested even 477 B.C. or 453 B.C.) The recently advocated view is 483 B.C. See D.R. Bhandarkar Vol. I. pp. 322-330.

Nirvāṇa if we depend not only on the Buddhist but also on the Jaina and Brahmanical sources to fix up the dates of Mahāvīra's contemporary rulers and religious teachers.

THE THEORY OF MAHĀVĪRA'S NIRVĀŅA IN 467 B.C.

The theory that Mahāvīra's Nirvāna occurred in 467 B.C. was suggested long ago by H. Jacobi¹ and strongly supported by J. Charpentier.² K.A. Sastri,³ who subscribes to the same opinion, supports this theory with almost the same arguments which are as follows.

- 1. This date is based on a tradition recorded by the great Jaina author, Hemachandra, namely, that there was a gap of 155 years between the death of Mahāvīra and the accession of Chandragupta Maurya. According to the Jaina tradition, the accession of Chandragupta Maurya at Ujjain took place in 312 B.C. Hence, the year of the Nirvāṇa is 467 B.C. Here the year 312 B.C. probably indicates the date of extension of the Mauryan rule over Ujjayinī in the reign of Chandragupta Maurya.
- 2. J. CHARPENTIER believed the year of Mahāvira's Nirvāṇa to be 467 B.C. on the presumption that the Buddha's death definitely occurred in 477 B.C. According to the Buddhist texts, Mahāvīra and the Buddha were both contemporaries, and they flourished in the reign of Ajātaśatru.
- 3. He believed that no person of the name of Vikrama ever existed about 57 B.C. and further that there was discrepancy of 60 years between the account of other Jaina sources and that of Hemachandra who stated that Chandragupta Maurya came to the throne 155 years after Mahāvīra's death. Hence by deducting 60 years from the traditional period of 527 years before Christ, he arrived at the year 467 B.C.
- 4. According to the Jaina tradition, the Jaina Pontiff Sambhūtivijaya died exactly in the year following Chandragupta's accession, or 156 after Vira. Bhadrabāhu, the succe-

^{1.} Introductions to SBE, exii and XLV, on Mahavira and his Predecessors, I, A, IX, pp. 156 ff.

^{2.} IA, XLIII, pp. 118 ff; also see CAH, Vol. I, p. 156.

^{3.} History of India. Pt. I, pp. 39-40.

ssor of Sambhūtivijaya, died fifteen years later. All Jaina traditions from Hemachandra downwards give 170 after Vīra as the year of Bhadrabāhu's death. This would be 297 B.C. if the date 467 B.C. is accepted as the year of Mahāvīra's death. The Jaina tradition also brings Bhadrabāhu into the closest connection with Chandragupta in whose reign the date 297 B.C. falls.

5. The Kalpasūtra in its present form is a compilation made 980 years after the passing away of Mahīvīra during the reign of Dhruvasena, king of Gujarat, but in another recension the number is 993. King Dhruvasena is known to have ruled from 526 to 540 A.D. From this, the date 467 B.C. is fixed as the year of Mahāvīra's Nirvāṇa.

While discussing the date of Gośāla's death, A.L. BASHAM¹ fixes the date of Mahāvīra's death in 468-467 B.C., which agrees with the date suggested by H. JACOBI on the basis of Hemachandra's Parisishtaparvan and supported by J. Charpen-TIER. PROF. BASHAM accepts 483 B.C. as the date of the Buddha's Nirvāna. On the basis of the Mahāvamsa synchronism, the accession of Ajātaśatru must have occurred in the year 491 B.C. and the second campaign against the Vajjis in 481-480 B.C. There are two synchronisms for the date of Gośāla's death, the first being the tradition of its occurrence sixteen and a half years before that of Mahavira, and the second that of its taking place during the war between Magadha and Vaisālī in the reign of Ajātasatru-Kūņiya. Of the two, the latter seems the more reliable. There were two campaigns of the war called Mahāsilākanļae and Rahamusale respectively. A.L. Basham suggests that the first campaign, soon after which Gosala died, must have taken place at some time between the date of Ajātaśatru's accession and the year preceding the Buddha's death. He held the view that the first campaign occurred in 485 B.C. and the death of Gosala in 484 B.C., if a year is allowed for the news of the 'Battle of Great Stones' to spread to Savatthi and to become fixed in the popular consciousness. With regard to the death of Mahavira as taking place at Pāvā during the Buddha's lifetime and as mentioned

^{1.} A.L. BASHAM: History and Dectrines of the Afficiars, pp. 66-78.

in the Pali scriptures, he considers it to be that of Gosāla at Sāvatthi, which the Bhagavatī Sūtra also mentions as having been accompanied by quarrel and confusion. The Mahāparinibbāna Sutta records that the preparations for the campaign against the Vajjis were made in the last year of the Buddha's life while Mahāvīra was still alive during the course of war.

477 B.C.

James Hasting¹ tries to fix the date of Mahāvīra's Nirvāṇa in c. 477 or 476 B.C. He comes to this conclusion by combining the Jaina date of Chandragupta's accession to the throne 155 years after the Nirvāṇa with the historical date of the same event in 322 B.C.

484 B.C.

In his attempt to discuss the date of Gośāla's death, A.F.R. HOERNLE² also fixed the date of Mahavira's Nirvana. He accepts 482 B.C. as the 'practically certain' date of the Buddha's Nirvana. King Bimbisara, the father and predecessor of Ajātaśatru, was murdered by his son eight years before the Nirvana or in 490 B.C. A.F.R. HOERNLE believes that for some years before this, Ajātasatru was the de facto ruler, and that the war took place, not in the year of his legal, but of his de facto accession, which cannot have been long before the murder of Bimbisara. H. Jacobi's theory of the later date of Mahavīra's death he now rejects, in order to devise a chronological scheme according to which Mahāvīra may predecease the Buddha; but the Bhagavatī tradition of the sixteen years interval between the deaths of Mahāvīra and Gośāla he accepts without question. He thérefore suggests 484 B.C. for the death of Mahāvīra and 500 B.C. for that of Gośāla and for the war and the de facto accession of Ajātaśatru.

486 B.C.

H.C. RAYCHAUDHURI³ suggests 478 B.C. or 486 B.C. and 536 B.C. as the probable dates of Mahavira's Nirvana, according

- 1. ERE, Vol. vii, p. 467.
- 2. Ibid., Vol. I, pp. 260-61.
- 3. An Advanced History of India, p. 73.

to the Cantonese reckoning which places the death of the Buddha in 486 B.C., or according to the Ceylonese one which places it in 544 B.C., whichever is accepted as the basis. Between 478-B.C. and 486 B.C., the first date is said to be in conformity with Hemachandra's who is said to have placed Chandragupta's accession in M.E. 155, that is 323 B.C. in this case, which cannot be far from the truth, but that would be at variance with the clear evidence of the Buddhist canonical texts which make the Buddha survive his Jnatrika rival. Hence he considers 486 B.C. to be a more likely date as it is also in keeping with the year of Ajātaśatru's accession. The Jaina statement that their Tirthankara dies some sixteen years after the accession of Kūnika (Ajātaśatru) can be reconciled with the Buddhist tradition about the death of the same teacher before the eighth year of Ajātaśatru, if we assume that the Jainas, who refer to Kūnika as the ruler of Champa, begin their reckoning from the accession of the prince to the viceregal throne of Champa while the Buddhists make the accession of Ajatasatru to the royal throne of Rajagriha the basis for their calculation.

C.D. ChatterJee¹ also favours 486 B.C., because for him 483 B.C. is definitely the correct year of the Buddha's death and because he believes, on the basis of 'clear evidence of the Buddhist tradition on this question' that Mahāvīra predeceased the Buddha.

488 B.C.

H.C. Seth² suggests 488 B.C. as the date of Mahāvīra's death on the basis of the Buddhist tradition, assuming 487 B.C. as the date of the Buddha's death. The great difficulty in accepting 468 B.C. according to him is that it will place Mahāvīra's death several years after that of the Buddha. On the other hand, the tradition preserved in the Buddhist Pāli canon clearly says that Nigaṇtha Nātaputta, i.e. Mahāvīra, died at Pāvā a little before the Buddha.

^{1.} B.C. Law Volume, Pt. I, pp. 606-607, f.n. 30,

^{2.} Bhūrata-Kaumudī, Part II, pp. 817-838.

The traditional chronology given in Merutunga's Vichāraśrenī puts Mahāvīra's Nirvāņa 470 years before the Vikrama All the Jaina traditions assign 40 years of reign to Nahavāna between the period of Mahavīra's Nirvāņa and Vikrama. This Nahavāna is generally identified with Nahapāṇa, the Mahākshatrapa of Kshaharāta family, who lived after the commencement of the Vikrama era. If we take out 40 years of Nahavāna from 470 years, the interval given in these traditions between Mahavira Nirvana and the commencement of the Vikrama era, the difference between these two important events will be 430 years. This will give 488 B.C. as the date of Mahavira Nirvana. This will place Mahavira's death about a year before that of the Buddha who died in 487 B.C. These two dates will reconcile most of the Buddhist as well as the Jaina traditions about these two great religious teachers.

490 B.C.

Y. MISHRA¹ presupposes 487 B.C. as the date of Buddha's death, and then, by comparing the details of the lives of the Buddha and Mahāvīra, especially the places where they spent their rainy seasons, he comes to the conclusion that Mahavira died in 490 B.C. In order to find out the date of that specific rainy season when Mahāvīra died, he consulted the lives of the Buddha and Mahavira, viz. Buddhacharya (in Hindi) by RAHULA SANKRITYAYANA and Eramana Bhagvan Mahavira by RATNAPRABHA VIJAYA. In the Buddhacharya, it is stated that Lord Buddha spent the 17th rainy season at Rajagriha, further in the Mahāsakuludāyi Sutta,2 it is said that on that particular occasion, both Buddha and Nigantha Nataputta were present. Taking 567 B.C. as the date of the birth of the Buddha, this comes to 516 B.C. By taking 561 B.C. as the date of the birth of Mahavira, it becomes clear that he spent his 16th rainy season in 516 B.C. at Rājagriha. In the rainy season of 513 B.C. also, both the Buddha and Mahavira were at Rajagriha.

^{1.} Y. MISHRA: An Early History of Vaisali, pp. 202-212.

^{2.} Majjh, II. 3. 7.

The Sāmañāphala Sutta tells us how king Ajātaśatru of Magadha paid visits to one after another of the six heretical teachers to hear their doctrines, and at last discontented with them all, he took refuge with the Buddha. This visit of Ajātaśatru to the Buddha took place in 491 B.C. The rainy season of 491 B.C., which was his forty-second rainy season, was passed by the Buddha at Śrāvastī. This Buddhist reference therefore means that sometime in the last month of the Chāturmāsya, the Buddha came to Rājagriha. Coming to Mahāvīra, it is known that he lived at Rājagriha in 491 B.C. during the rainy season of the forty-first year of his ascetic life. Thus it was possible for Ajātaśatru to meet the Buddha at Rājagriha after having met Mahāvīra. Mahāvīra passed his forty-second rainy season in 490 B.C. at Madhyamā Pāvā where he died.

Both from the Buddhist and the Jaina traditions, it is clear that both the Buddha and Mahāvīra were at Vaiśālī in 519 B.C. and that the conversion of Sīha to Buddhism also took place at the same time. The *Upālisutta* is also important, because the event took place at Nālandā when both the teachers were there in 491 B.C.

So the year 490 B.C. as the year of Mahāvīra's death is able not only to show that Buddha survived Mahāvīra but also to make both the teachers spend the same rainy season at Rājagriha, Vaiśālī and Nālandā.

498 B.C.

B.C. Law advocated another theory when he postulated 498 B.C. as the date of Mahāvīra's Nirvāṇa. According to him, 527 B.C. and 544 B.C. as the dates of the demise of Mahāvīra and Buddha respectively cannot he harmonized with the historical facts connected with the lives of the two great teachers of India. Two things, he says, may be taken as certain: (1) that Mahāvīra predeceased the Buddha by 5 or 6, 7 or 8 or even 14 or 15 years; and (2) that Mahāvīra passed as a Jina before the Buddha. The authenticity of

^{1.} LMLT, p. 53.

B.C. 544 or 543 as the date of Buddha's demise has been questioned by modern scholars who propose either 486 B.C. or 484 B.C. as the correct date. The figure 544 or 543 is accounted for as the date of the accession of Śrenika Bimbisāra. Similarly, the figure 527 is accounted for as the date of the attainment of Jinahood by Mahāvīra. Accepting this date of Mahāvīra's Kevalīship, one has to compute the date of his birth as B.C. 570, and that of his demise as B.C. 498.

545 B.C.

K.P. Jayaswal fixed the date of Mahāvīra's Nīrvāṇa in 545 B.C. His main argument was that since according to some Jaina Paṭṭāvalīs, it was the interval between Mahāvīra's Nīrvāṇa and Vikrama's birth, and not his accession, which is said to have been 470 years, and since Vikrama ascended the throne and started his era at the age of 18 in 57 B.C., Mahāvīra's date should be pushed further back by 18 years. He tried to corroborate his theory by a statement of some of the other Paṭṭāvalīs which give 219 years as the interval between Mahāvīra and the accession of Chandragupta Maurya, which according to him is otherwise fixed in 325 B.C. He also tried to reconcile his chronology based upon the Jaina sources with the Purāṇic traditions, identified Vikrama with King Pulumāvi, the son of Gautmīputra Sātakarni, and fixed the Buddha's Nīrvāṇa in 544 B.C.¹

437 B.C.

S.V. Venkatesvara puts forth 437 B.C. as the date of Mahāvīra's Nirvāṇa. Believing that the Buddha died sometime between 485 and 453 B.C., and that he could not have died after Mahāvīra, this scholar surmises that 470 years' tradition relates to the Ānanda Vikrama era of 33 A.D.²

CRITICISM OF THE ABOVE THEORIES

Although some of the theories set forth above are well reasoned and convincing, they present some serious difficulties.

- JBORS, 1, Pt. I, pp. 99-104.
- 2. JRAS, 1917, pp. 122-130.

The greatest defect of some of the above theories is that their advocates, H. Jacobi, J. Charpentier, J. Hasting and A.L. Basham, based them on the statement of Hemachandra (12th Century A.D.). Chandragupta Maurya ascended the throne in M.E. (Mahāvīra era) 155. His statement is the solitary instance of this view and is at variance with all other Jaina sources, Digambara or Śvetāmbara, earlier or later than himself, that give this date as M.E. 210 or 215. This caused confusion and has misled these scholars. The Tiloyapaṇṇati of Yativṛishabha (5th century A.D.), the Harivaṃśa of Jinasena (783 A.D.), Trilokasāra of Nemichandra (973 A.D.), Vichāraśreṇi of Merutuṅga (1306 A.D.) and others mention 215 years.

That Pālaka mentioned in the lists was the son of King Chaṇḍa Pradyota of Ujjayinī and that during the period of 60 years allowed to Kūṇika and Udāyī he was ruling at Pāṭa-liputra, are facts corroborated by some other sources. In connection with these dynastic chronologies, it may, however, be noted that it is not correct to treat them as referring to the kings of Magadha. All kings and dynasties mentioned in them are definitely known to be connected with Ujjayinī in Malwa or Western India. Of course, some of them ruled over a big empire covering other parts of India, including Magadha as well.

Curiously enough, even Hemachandra¹ in another context of the same work has admitted that the Nanda dynasty began in M.E. 60 and in another work of his² he gives the traditional date 527 B.C. when he mentions that Kumārapāla became a ruler 1669 years after Mahāvīra's Nīrvāṇa. The year of Kumārapāla's accession to the throne is known to be 1143 A.D.

Another serious defect of these theories is that their advocates attempted to determine the date of Mahāvīra's Nirvāṇa on the basis of that of the Buddha's which itself is full of controversy. That has resulted in divergent conclusions. H. Jacobi and J. Charpentier believed the date of Mahāvīra's

^{1.} Pari, VI, 243.

^{2.} Tri. Pu. Ch, X, 12, 45-46.

Nirvāņa to be 467 B.C. on the assumption that the Buddha's death occurred definitely in 477 B.C. A.L. Basham and A.F.R. Hoernle accepted 483 B.C. as the date of the Buddha's Nirvāṇa, and then attempted to fix the dates of Gośāla and Mahāvīra. H.C. Raychaudhuri, B.C. Law, H.C. Seth, and Y. Mishra first presupposed 486-487 B.C. as the date of Buddha's death, and then attempted to fix Mahāvīra's death. K.P. Jayaswal, by accepting the Buddha's death in 544 B.C., fixed Mahāvīra's Nirvāṇa in 545 B.C. The proper approach to the problem is that one should settle the date of the Buddha's Nirvāṇa by accepting that of Mahāvīra in 527 B.C. as it is not controversial.

H. JACOBI, J. CHARPENTIER, A.L. BASHAM. H.C. SETH and K.P. JAYASWAL wrongly think that the Buddha predeceased Mahāvīra. From the study of the early Buddhist texts, it is clear that Mahāvīra was the senior contemporary of the Buddha; that he attained Kevalajāāna earlier and that he predeceased the Buddha by 5, or 6, 7 or 8, even 14 or 15 years. These Buddhist texts record the death of Mahāvīra or Nigaņtha Nātaputta as taking place at Pāvā during the Buddha's life time and as being accompanied by serious confusion and quarrelling among his supporters.

The view held by some scholars that there are irregularities in the list of kings and dynasties ruling from the period of the Nirvāṇa of Mahāvīra to 57 B.C. or 78 A.D. is not wholly correct. On the other hand, many scholars also believe that the Jaina traditions have definite historical background. In spite of minor discrepancies in dates, the general account given in them is fully in keeping with the known facts of history. Here the question does not relate to the verification of individual dynasty and king but to the determination of the general correctness of the date of Mahāvīra's Nīrvāṇa given in the Jaina traditions.

All the Jaina traditions assign forty years of reign to Nahavāṇa before Vikrama. H.C. Seth thinks that this Nahavāṇa or Nahapāṇa, the Mahākshatrapa of Kshaharāta

^{1.} R.G. MAJUMDAR, The Age of Imperial Unity, pp. 155-156.

family, lived after Vikrama, and by taking 40 years out of 470, he considers 430 years to be the difference between the date of Mahavīra's Nirvāna and the commencement of the Vikrama era. Against this, it may be suggested that Nahavāna here means the Saka rule in Ujjayini before Vikrama in the second or first century B.C. This Jaina tradition is supported even by numismatic evidence. Copper coins of five rulers, viz., Hamugama, Valāka, Mahu, Dāsa and Sauma, have been scooped out from Ujjain and from the neighbouring region. With the help of palaeography, the historian can place these rulers in the second and first century B.C. K.D. BAJPAI tried to prove that the rulers who issued the coins were Sakas, the predecessors of the two well known dynasties of Bhūmaka and Chashtana. The names on the coins resemble those of the Saka chiefs already known from inscriptions and other coins. On the reverse, there are figures such as those of frog, moon on hill, tree within railing; or a double-orbed Ujjain symbol.

J. K. Mukhtar² attempts a refutation of the theory propounded by J. Charpentier as also by K.P. Jayaswal by trying to prove that Vikrama era started neither with the birth nor with the coronation of Vikrama but with his death, and that therefore no addition or reduction in the traditional interval of 470 years was needed.

Y. MISHRA came to the conclusion that the death of Mahāvīra occurred in 490 B.C. when he compared the details of the lives of the Buddha and Mahāvīra, especially the places where they spent their rainy seasons. For this, he consulted Buddhacaryā (in Hindi) by R. Sankrityayana and Śramaṇa Bhagvān Mahāvīra by Ratna Prabha Vijaya. In the very early Jaina and Buddhist scriptures, no chronological description of the rainy seasons spent by Lord Mahāvīra and the Buddha have been given. Both R. Sankrityayana and Ratnaprabha Vijaya have based the account of rainy seasons on very late works which cannot be relied upon.

As regards S.V. VENKATESWARA's theory to the effect that Mahāvīra died in 437 B.C., there is absolutely no tradi-

^{1.} KMA, p. 156.

^{2.} Jaina Schitya Aura Itihasa Para Visada Prakasa, pp. 26 f,

tion which can support it. Moreover, as the late G.H. OJHA^I showed in his article 'On the conception of an Ananda Vikrama Era', no such era was ever started or gained currency, nor does it find any mention in the *Pṛithvīrāja-rāso* of poet Chanda as is alleged.

THE THEORY OF MAHĀVĪRA'S NIRVĀŅA IN 527 B.C.

There are scholars² who maintain that Mahāvīra's Nirvana took place in 527 B.C. The following arguments may be advanced in support of this theory.

1. There is a continuous Jaina tradition from the fifth century A.D. onwards about the date of Mahavira's Nirvana in 527 B.C. Yativrishabha (5th century A.D.) seems to have been the first to record this tradition in the Tiloyapannati, and it is corroborated by Jinasena (783 A.D.) in the Harivamisa, by Nemichandra (973 A.D.) in the Trilokasāra, by Merutunga (1306 A.D.) in the Vicarasreni, and by others. The Jaina writers, whenever they expressed the date of Mahāvīra, did it either straight away in the Mahavira Era, or in terms of either the Vikrama or the Saka era. The Vikrama era and the Saka era are known to have started in 57 B.C. and 78 A.D. respectively with the well-known interval of 135 years between them. The Jainas have never had any difference of opinion regarding the date of Tirthankara Mahāvīra, as, for instance, the Buddhists had regarding the date of the Buddha. The reason is that there was no cultural break. Jainism continued to live in India while Buddhism disappeared. In spite of schismatic tendencies and the predominance of particular sects in particular regions, it remained in constant touch with its coreligionists wherever they were or to whichever sub-sect they belonged. Thus the Jainas were able to preserve their cultural traditions.

1. NPPI, pp. 377-454, pp. 377-454.

^{2.} G.C. OJHA: Bhāratīya Prāchīna Lipimālā; V.S. AGRAWALA: Tīrthan-kara Bhagavān Mahāvīra, II Bhūmikā, p. 19; H.L. JAIN: Tattva Samuchchaya, p. 6, KALYANA VIJAYA: Vīra Nīrvāņa Samvat Aura Jaina Kāla Gaṇanā. VMT; NATA, p. 87.

2. In the Vicāraśrenī of Merutunga, there are some old gāthās containing references to hisorical and chronological events taking place between the Mahāvīra era and the Vikrama and Śaka eras. The substance of this information may be submitted in the following chronological table.

Mahāvīra died		527 B.C.
Pālaka, acc.		527 B.C.
Nandas established supremacy		467 ,,
Mauryas established supremacy	_	312 ,,
Pushpamitra, acc.		2 04 ,,
Balamitra, acc.		174 ,,
Nabhovāhana, acc.		114 ,,
Gardabhilla, acc.		74 ,,
Gardabhilla expelled by the Śakas		61 ,,
Vikramāditya recovers Ujjayinī		57 ,,
Four successors of Vikramāditya		3-78 A.D.
Saka era commences		78 A.D.

There is nothing in this general chronological scheme which, on the face of it, appears to be absurd or even unworthy of belief. In point of details also, this account is in fair accordance with known historical facts. This chronological scheme must be regarded, on the whole, as transmitting an old historical tradition, which, though not acceptable in all its details without further corroborative evidence, cannot be thrown out as worthless or contradicted by positive testimony of reliable character. Hence, the date of Mahāvīra's Nirvāṇa, which is the foundation of this chronological scheme, cannot be wrong.

- 3. The Jainas have tried to preserve the traditions relating to the Śrutāvatāra (i.e. the reduction of the canon). In this connection, some Jaina works¹ give the genealogy of 28 immediate successors of Mahāvīra, divided into five groups with the periods taken by each group. These works tell us at
 - 1. Tiloyapanņati (5th century); Jambudvīpa-prajūapti Saingraha (700 A.D.); Dhavalā (780 A.D.), Harivainša (783 A.D.) Javadhavalā (837 A.D.), Kalpasūtra Therāvali, Parišishļaparvan und Prabhūvakacarita, Patļūvalis of Nandi, Sena und Kāshṭhā Sainghas.

the end that 'by deducting 77 years and 7 months from this period of 683 years, we get 605 years and 5 months, which is the exact interval between Mahāvīra's death and the commencement of the Saka era. All these sources are in perfect agreement as to the fact that this succession lasted till 683 years after Mahāvīra's Nirvāṇa, that up to this time, the direct canonical knowledge, though gradually declining in volume, continued to be preserved in the memory of these Gurus, and that it was about this time that the redaction of the surviving canonical knowledge was undertaken and the Jaina canons for the first time appeared in book form.

The slight differences one notices in these various sources, relate only to certain names. Some sources also differ in the extent of knowledge preserved by groups V and VI. The Paṭṭāvalīs of the Nandi Saṅgha, particularly its Prakṛit Paṭṭāvalī, which is quite an old document, gives the total period for the 5 Gurus of group IV as 123 years, whereas the other sources give it as 220 or 222 years; and while this Paṭṭāvalī allots 99 years to group V, they allot 118 years to it. According to the Jaina traditions, Bhadrabāhu was the contemporary of Chandragupta Maurya (324-300 B.C.), but in the genealogy of the Pontiffs, he is allotted 365 B.C. K.C. Sastral has tried to rectify this mistake of sixty years in the genealogical table of the Pontiffs.

- 4. There are also traditions which relate to Kalki who is believed to have flourished at about the close of the first millennium after Mahāvīra's death.² In this connection, chronological lists of the ruling dynasties, particularly of Ujjayinī, have been preserved for these one thousand years ending with Kalki's tyrannical rule. Kalki is identified with either Yaśodharman of the Aulikara dynasty of Mandsor or with Mihirakula of the Hūṇa dynasty.³ It is more likely that he was Mihirakula.
- 5. Another tradition, which further conforms this date relates to the great schism in the Jaina Samgha. According

^{1.} Jaina Sāhitya Kā Itihāsa, pp. 356-369.

Tiloyapannati, Harivam'sa, Trilokasāra, etc.
 N.R. PREMI: Jaina Sāhitya Aura Itihāsa, p. 20.

to the Svetambara sources, the schism took place in M.E. 609, and according to the Digambara ones, in V.E. 136, thus giving the date as A.D. 82 or 79.1

- 6. The date of the redaction of the Svetāmbara canon is another instance. Tradition places this event in M.E. 980 or 993 (i.e. A.D. 453 or 466) which seems to be quite correct since Bhadrabāhu III, who wrote the Niryuktis on the redacted Agamasūtras, was an elder brother of Varāhamihira, the astronomer (427 S.E. or 505 A.D.).
- 7. Pushkarasārin, who was a contemporary of Pradyota of Avanti and Bimbisāra of Magadha, was the ruler of Gandhāra with its capital at Taxila. Pradyota was engaged in hostilities with Pushkarasārin the cause of which is not known. Pushkarasārin is said to have sent an ambassador and a letter to king Bimbisāra of Magadha. But Bimbisāra was in no mood to alienate Pradyota. Pradyota was unsuccessful in his war, but was saved from disaster by the outbreak of hostilities between Pushkarasārin and the Pāṇḍavas. The Pāṇḍavas appear to have settled in the Punjab.

This area of Gandhāra seems to have become a part of the Persian empire from about 550 B.C. It is generally held that the eastern conquest of Cyrus (558-530 B.C.) included the Districts of Drangiana, Sattagydia and Gandaritis (Gandhāra). The two later inscriptions of Persepolis (518-515 B.C.) and of Naksh-i-Rustam (515 B.C.) mention Hi(n)du or the northern Punjab as a part of the domain of Darius, the successor of Cyrus. These references indicate that probably it was Cyrus who conquered Gandhāra which was inherited by Darius as a part of his empire, while for himself he pushed his Indian conquest farther into the region called Sindhu.

As Gandhāra became a part of the Persian empire from 550 B.C., its ruler Pushkarasārin must be placed earlier. Bimbisāra and Pradyota, who were the contemporaries of Pushkarasārin, were ruling in about 550 B.C. As Mahāvīra is known to be a contemporary of Bimbisāra and Pradyota. the date of

^{1.} Avasyaka Mülabhāshya (609 A.D.), Doršanasūra (933 A D-)

his Nirvāņa in 527 B.C. as recorded in the Jaina scriptures is not improbable.

8. If we assume this date of Mahāvīra's death to be correct, it does not conflict with the known facts of history. Chanda Pradyota, king of Avanti, died on the same night of 527 B.C. as Tīrthankara Mahāvīra, and he was succeeded by his son Pālaka. Chanda Pradyota is known to have ruled for 23 years, which implies that he became a ruler in about 550 B.C. Pradyota is known to be one of the contemporaries of both Bimbisāra and his son Ajātasatru. According to the Jaina tradition, Mahāvīra died sixteen years after the coronation of Ajātasatru, and this period might have included some years of his Viceroyalty over Champa. It seems that he started his rule from about 535 B.C. His father Bimbisara, is known to have ruled 28 (or 38) years according to the Purānas, and 52 years according to the Sinhalese chronicles. Hence his accession to the throne may be placed either in 587 B.C. or in 563 B.C. Since Gośāla is known to have died sixteen and a half years before Mahāvīra, his date of death may be presumed to be 543 B.C. As Buddha was a junior contemporary of Mahāvīra, he might have attained Nirvāna a few years after Mahāvīra.

PERSONALITY

Mahāvīra was one of the great religious teachers of mankind. He recognized the need for the perfection of self and prescribed certain practical rules of conduct for the attainment of this aim. He did not preach to others what he did not practise himself. For the realization of such an aim, he believed in the blissfulness of the entire being. This happy state, he said, cannot be bought by the wealth, pomp, and power of the world but can certainly be realized through patience, forbearance, self-denial, forgiveness, humanity, compassion, suffering and sacrifice. For this purpose, he inculcated the doctrine of Ahinsā or non-violence in thought, word and action. Those who came under the influence of his personality, gave up the eating of meat and fish and took to vegetarian diet. This principle was at the back of many

philanthropic and humanitarian deeds and institutions which he encouraged.

For Mahāvīra distinctions of caste, creed or sex did not matter. According to him, salvation is the birthright of everyone, and it is assured if one follows the prescribed rules of conduct. His doctrine of *Karma* (action) made the individual conscious of his responsibility for all actions. It also awakened the consciousness that salvation was not a gift or favour but an attainment within the reach of human beings.

Mahāvīra was tolerant in religious matters. As there were different conflicting religious and philosophical views current in his time, he formulated the scheme of $Sy\bar{a}dv\bar{a}da$ in which there is room for the consideration of them all. This attitude in religious matters produced an atmosphere of mutual harmony among the followers of different sects, who began to appreciate the views of their opponents as well.

Mahāvīra was a great Māhana¹ who possessed fully formed knowledge and insight, who was adored and worshipped by the three worlds, and who was furnished with a wealth of meritorious works. He was known to be a great Guardian² because he protected and guarded, with his staff of the Law, all those numerous living beings that in the wilderness of the world were straying or perishing, being devoured or cut as under or pierced through or mutilated or castrated, and with his own hand brought them to the great fold of the Nirvāņa. He was a great preacher3 because by means of many discourses and explanations he delivered them from evil and with his own hand saved all those numerous living beings that were straying or perishing, and because, overwhelmed by the power of falsehood and overcast by the dense darkness of the eightfold kinds of works, they had lost the true path and were brought back to the right road. He was a great pilot4 because by means of the boat of Law, with his own hands brought them straight to the shore of the Nirvana and delivered all those numerous living beings that, on the great

^{1.} Upāsakadašā-Satram, ed. by A.F.R. Hornnur, p. 141.

^{1.} Ibid. 3 Ibid. 144. 4. Ibid. 145.

sea of the world, were straying or perishing by sinking or drowning or floating.

Mahāvīra, who was the wisest sage the world has known possessed infinite knowledge and faith. This wise man had explored all beings, mobile or immobile, high or low, eternal or transient. Like a lamp, he saw the Law in a true light.1 He knew this world and the world beyond.2 His knowledge was inexhaustible like the water of the sea. As he had mastered all philosophical systems, he understood the doctrines of the Kriyavadins, of the Akriyavadins, of the Vainayikas, and of the Ajñānavādins.3 His perception was infinite.4

He endured severe tortures and penances in his life in order to annihilate his karmans. He bore everything like the earth. Having conquered the passions which defile the soul: wrath, pride, deceit, greed, the great sage did not commit any wrong, nor did he cause any wrong to be committed by others. He observed the chastity, the highest type of austerity, by abstaining from women. He practised the highest contemplation, which is the purest of the pure-pure without a flaw. He granted protection to all and was the most vigorous. He wandered about without a home and crossed the flood of the · Samsāra. He renounced everything because he had broken away from all ties.5

Mahāvīra was a great reformer. Since many abuses had crept into Jainism, he did his utmost to remove them. For this, he had to bring about some changes even in the traditional religion coming from Parsva. He added the vow of chastity and emphasized the importance of nudity. Though his teachings were based on the old religion, he made a more systematic arrangement of its philosophical tenets. All these point to his great reforming zeal.

Mahāvīra possessed a great organizing capacity, and he made the laity participate in the Saingha along with the monks. He encouraged a close union between laymen and monks by advocating similar religious duties for both, duties that differed not in kind but in degree.

Sūtra, I, 6, 4.
 Ibid, I, 6, 28.
 Ibid, I, 6, 27.

Ibid, I, 6, 25. Ibid, I, 6, 6.

CHAPTER IV

TEACHINGS OF MAHĀVĪRA

Mahāvīra was not the founder but only a reformer of the existing faith of Jainism. His teachings are partly based on the religion of his predecessor, Pārśvanātha, and partly indepen-He appears to be a religious philosopher who gave a philosophic justification for the rules of conduct propounded by Pārśvanātha. He was responsible for the codification of an unsystematic mass of beliefs inhering the earlier religion of his predecessor into a set of rigid rules of conduct for monks and laymen. Besides, he had to introduce changes in the existing religion in order to meet the needs of the time. There were several orthodox and heretical sects with their wellknown teachers going strong during his time. He understood and mastered the doctrines of the current philosophical systems such as the Kriyāvādins, the Akriyāvādins, the Vinayavādins and the Ainanavadins. Sometimes he borrowed certain ideas from others in order to bring them into harmony with his own system. He also formulated his own doctrines under the influence of the controversies endlessly going on with his religious contemporaries. Some of his teachings also arose as a natural reaction against corrupt practices current in the society of this period.

No direct evidence regarding the teachings of Mahāvira has been preserved. Originally, they are supposed to have been embodied in the Fourteen Pūrvas and the Eleven Angas. These original texts are, however, according to the Digambaras, lost without a trace, but the Svetāmbaras do not subscribe to this view. According to them, an attempt was made for the compilation of the Eleven Angas at the Council of Pāṭaliputra after a famine of twelve year's duration in about the third century B.C., and the Pūrvas were considered to be the twelfth Anga under the name of Dṛṣṭwāda. This type of Āṣama

literature grew up by stages during the ten centuries following the death of Mahāvīra. The final redaction of this Āgamika literature with several alterations took place at the council of Valabhī under the presidency of Ārya Devarddhi in 454 (or 467 A.D.).

It seems that the teachings of Jainism underwent some changes in the interval between the time of Mahāvīra and the final composition of the Jaina canon. Older parts of the Āchārānga and the Sūtrakṛtānga may well claim to preserve much original matter, and the same may be true to some extent of some portion of the Bhagavati Sūtra and the Uttarādhyayana Sūtra. The earliest Buddhist texts, known as the Pāli Nikāyas, also refer to the beliefs and teachings of Mahāvīra. Though we cannot expect them to give a fair and honest exposition of the tenets of their opponents, they somehow corroborate the evidence of the Jaina texts. In the light of both these evidences, an estimate of the teachings of Mahāvīra should be made.

The teachings of Mahāvīra were simple, practical and ethical, but gradually they developed into a complicated system with considerable emphasis on details. Because of conservatism, the evolution was more or less in a straight line, and there are no dissensions on fundamentals in Jainism. Mahāvīra and his disciples propounded not only the doctrinal side of Jainism relating to the nature of the truth and the ideal but also mapped out the practical and disciplinary path leading to the realization of both. It was chiefly in and through the life of monks or mendicants that the ideal of conduct was sought to be fulfilled.

NIRVĀŅA

The ultimate object of Jainism as taught by Mahāvīra is Nirvāṇa which consists in the attainment of peace and infinite bliss. Nirvāṇa is just another name for Mokṣa or liberation, Mukti or deliverance, salvation or beatitude. Gautama, a disciple of Mahāvīra, explained Nirvāṇa to Keśī, a disciple of Pārśva: "It is a safe, happy, quiet and eternal place in view of all but difficult of approach where there is no old age, nor death, nor sorrow, nor pain, nor disease. It is a state of

^{1.} Sūtra, I, 11, 11.

perfection which is obtained by putting an end to the stream of existence." It is liberation from a state of bondage brought on by karman. It is deliverance from old age, disease, death, and all that constitutes the self.

This highest goal is to be attained through annihilating the old Karmans ($Nirjar\bar{a}$) lying heavy on the soul by the practice of austerities (Tapas), and to stop the influx (\bar{Asrava}) of new Karmans by the practice of self-restraint, called Samvara, with regard to the body, speech and mind.

Even in a Pāli Sutta,² the main aim of Mahāvīra's teaching has been mentioned as Sukha or infinite bliss which is not reachable through the finite happiness of even so fortunate among men as the reigning monarchs; it is reachable only through dukha or pain of foregoing and forsaking all finite happiness. Had it been possible to attain beatitude through mundane happiness, king Śrenika Bimbisāra of Magadha would certainly have attained it. In the Pāli Sutta, Dukha or painful and difficult path meant Dukharakārika, or a rigorous practice of penances. It was to be resorted to by us as a means of wearing out and ultimately destroying the effects of sinful deeds (pāpakamma) committed in a former existence. The practice of the threefold self-restraint was to be taken recourse to by the aspirant as a means of not giving effect to a new karman.

RIGHT FAITH (DARŚANA), RIGHT KNOWLEDGE (JÑĀNA), AND RIGHT CONDUCT (CĀRITRA)

Right Faith, Right Knowledge, and Right Conduct are the three essential points in Mahāvīra's teachings which lead to perfection by the destruction of Karmans. Without Right Faith, there is no Right Knowledge; without Right Knowledge there is no Virtuous Conduct; without virtues, there is no deliverance and without deliverance (Moksha), there is no perfection.³

- 1. Uttarā, XXIII, 81-84.
- 2. Majjh, I, pp. 93-91.
- 3. Uttarā, XXVIII, 30.

The excellence of one's faith depends on the following points: that one has no doubts about the truth of the tenets; that one has no preference for the tenets of others; that one does not doubt the saving qualities of one's own faith; that one is not shaken in the right belief; that one practises piety, that one encourages the weak; that one supports and loves the confessors of the law, and that one endeavours to exalt one's own faith.¹

Faith is produced by nature (Nisarga), instruction (Upadeśa), command ($\bar{A}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$), study of the $S\bar{u}tras$, suggestion (Bija), comprehension of the meaning of the sacred lore (Abhigama), complete course of study (Vist $\bar{a}ra$), religious exercise (Kriy \bar{a}), brief exposition (samkshepa), and law (Dharma).

He who truly understands by a spontaneous effort of his mind the nature of soul, inanimate things, merit and demerit, and who puts an end to sins $(\overline{A}sramasamvara)$, believes by He who believes the four truths taught by the Jinas believes by nature. He who believes these truths having learnt them from somebody else believes by instructions. He who has got rid of love, hatred, delusion and ignorance believes by command. He who obtains righteousness by the study of the Sūtras believes by the study of the Sūtras. He who knows the sacred lore believes by the comprehension of the sacred He who understands the true nature of all substances believes by a complete course of study. He who sincerely performs all duties by Right Knowledge, Faith, etc. believes by religious exercise. He who is not versed in the sacred doctrines believes by brief exposition. He who believes in the truth of the realities, believes by the law. no right conduct without right belief; it must be cultivated for obtaining Right Faith; righteousness and right conduct originate together or righteousness precedes right conduct.3

There are different kinds of obstruction to right faith, such as sleep, activity, very deep sleep, a high degree of activity, and a state of deep-rooted greed. Vedaniya is two-fold: pleasure and pain, Mohaniya is twofold: faith and conduct.

^{1.} Uttarā, XXVIII, 31.

^{2.} Ibid, 16. 3. Ibid, 17-29.

The three kinds of *Mohaniya* concerning faith are right faith, wrong faith, and faith, partly right and partly wrong.¹

The Uttarādhyayana Sūtra speaks of five kinds of know-ledge: (1) Śruta or knowledge derived from the study of sacred books; (2) Ābhinibodhika or knowledge obtained from one's own experience, thought, or understanding; (3) Avadhi or supernatural knowledge; (4) Manaḥparyāya or knowledge of the thoughts of other people, and (5) Kevala, the highest knowledge.²

The Avadhi-Jūāna is also employed in the sense of know-ledge co-extensive with the object. The Kalpa Sūtra,³ for instance, says: "He viewed the whole Jambudvīpa with his knowledge called Avadhi." Here Avadhi means that which is limited by the object, that which is just sufficient to survey the field of observation.

The Manahparyāya-jūāna is defined in the Āchārānga Sūtra as a knowledge of the thoughts of all sentient beings.⁴ The Kevala-jūāna according to the same text, is omniscience enabling a person to comprehend all objects, to know all conditions of the world of gods, men, and demons: whence they come, where they go, where they are born, etc.⁵

The first kind of knowledge in Jainism corresponds to the Buddhist Sutamaya paññā; the second kind to Chintāmaya paññā; the third kind to Vilokana; the fourth kind to Chetopariyā-ñāṇa; and the fifth kind to Sabbañnutā or omniscience involving the three faculties. One of the Buddhist texts refers to limited knowledge (Antavanta Jñāna) as propounded by Mahāvīra. Knowledge which is confined to the limited world is itself limited in its character. The Pāli Antavanta Jñāna is evidently the same term as the Jaina Avadhi Jñāna.

Knowledge of substances, qualities and all developments (Dravya, Guna and Paryāya) has also been imparted by the Jinas. Substance is the substratum of qualities; qualities are inherent in a substance, but a characteristic feature of developments is that they inhere in substances or qualities.

- 1. Uttarā, XXXIII, 5-9.
- 2. Ibid, XXVIII, 4.
- 3. Kalpa, I, 15; SBE, XXII, p. 223.
- 4. Acha, H. 15, 23,
- 5. Ibid, II, 15, 25.
- 6 Aigu, IV, p. 428.

Dharma, Adharma, space, time, matter, and souls are the six kinds of substances. Insofar as Dharma, Adharma, and space are concerned, each of them is a substance, but time, matter, and souls form an infinite number of substances. The distinctive feature of Dharma is motion, that of Adharma is immobility, and that of space $(\bar{A}k\bar{a}sa)$ is room. The distinguishing mark of time is duration, that of the soul the realization of knowledge, faith, conduct, austerities, energy and its manifestations. The characteristic mark of matter is sound, darkness, lustre, etc., that of development is singleness.

Right conduct, which destroys Karma, is the avoidance of everything sinful (Sāmāyika), the initiation of the novice (Khedopasthāpana), purity produced by peculiar austerities (Parihāraviśuddhika), reduction of desire (Sūkshma samparāya), and annihilation of sinfulness (Akashāya yathākhyāta).²

Virtue consists in right conduct. But there is no right conduct without right belief, and no right belief without the right perception of truth.³ Right conduct is achieved by three-fold restraint, the restraint of the body, the restraint of speech and the restraint of mind.⁴ The first step towards virtue lies in the avoidance of sins. There are various ways of committing sins, directly and indirectly, through physical acts or through spoken words or even through thoughts.⁵ Thus to avoid sins, one must guard oneself by the Samitis and Guptis.

Not to kill anything, to live according to the rules of conduct and without greed, to take care of the highest good, to control oneself always while walking, sitting and lying down, and in the matter of food and drink, to shake off pride, wrath, deceit and greed, to possess the Samitis, to be protected by the five Samvaras, and to attain perfection by remaining unfettered among the fettered—these, in short, are the cardinal principles of Cāritra as taught by Mahāvīra.

^{1.} Uttarā, XXVIII, 5-12.

^{2.} Ibid, 32-33.

^{3.} Ibid. 28-29.

^{4.} Sūtra, I, 1, 2, 27.

^{5.} Ibid, I, 1, 2, 26.

^{6.} Ibid, 1, 1, 4, 10-13.

Austerities

The road to final deliverance also depends on austerities which destroy the bad Karmans. These austerities are of two kinds: external and internal.¹ The external austerities are of six kinds—(1) fasting (Anaśana), (2) abstinence $(Avamodarik\bar{a})$ (3) collecting alms $(Bhiksh\bar{a}chary\bar{a})$, (4) abstention from dainty food $(Rasaparity\bar{a}ga)$, (5) mortification of the flesh $(K\bar{a}yakleśa)$, and (6) taking care of one's limb $(Samlinat\bar{a})$.

Fasting is of two kinds (1) temporary (Itvara) and (b) fasting which precedes and ends with death (Maraṇakāla). Temporary fasting is either that in which a desire (for food) is present, or that in which no such desire exists. Temporary fasting is briefly of six kinds: (1) in the form of a line,² (2) in the form of a square, (3) in the form of a cube, (4) of a sixth power, (5) of a twelfth power, and (6) of any arrangement. Fasting, which is to precede death, is of two kinds with regard to the motions of the body: with change (of position) and without change. And, again, it is twofold: admitting relief or not admitting relief; one may either leave the place (which one has chosen to die in) or not leave it; in both cases one may not take any food.

Abstinence is briefly of five kinds: with regard to (a) substance, (b) place, (c) time, (d) state of mind, and (e) development. He who takes less food than he usually does performs abstinence with regard to substance. Place signifies a village, a capital, a mine, settlement of a wild tribe, a poor town, a town with a harbour, a large town, an isolated town, a hermitage, a halting place, a resting place, a garden, a house, etc. Abstinence with regard to time is observed by him who goes about in the time of the four Paurūshīs (3 hours) of the day. If he collects alms in a part of the third Paurūshi or in its last quarter, he observes abstinence with reference to time. Abstinence with regard to the state of mind is observed by him who accepts alms from a man or a woman, from a person richly ornamented or plain, if that person does not change his disposition or condition. A monk who observes abstinence according to the particulars which have been enumerat-

^{1.} Uttarā, NNN, 7-36.

^{2.} Ibid., p. 175, f.n. 4.

ed with regard to substance, place, time, and state of mind, observes abstinence with regard to development too.

With regard to collecting alms, there are eight principal ways of collecting them: the seven Eshanās (or modes of begging) and other self-imposed restrictions. Abstention from dainty food means abstention from such highly nourishing food and drink as milk, curd, ghee, etc. Mortification of the flesh consists in such different postures as Virāsana, etc. which benefit the soul, and which are difficult to perform. Using unfrequented lodgings and beds consists in living and sleeping in separate and unfrequented places where there are neither women nor cattle.

Internal austerities are of six types—(1) Prāyaschitta or expiration of sins, (2) Vinaya or politeness, (3) Vaivāvrtya or serving the Guru, (4) Svādhyāya or study, (5) Dhyāna or meditation, and (6) Vyutsarga or abandoning the body. Expiration of sins is tenfold, what must be confessed, etc. This is to be strictly observed by a monk and is called expiration of sins. ness consists in rising (from one's seat), folding of the hands, offering a seat, loving the Guru, and cordial obedience. There are ten kinds of service, such as serving the Acarya, etc.; doing service consists in giving one's assistance as well as one is able to give. Study is fivefold: (1) learning one's lesson, (2) questioning the teacher about it, (3) repetition, (4) pondering, and (5) religious discourse. To abstain from meditation is to abstain from meditating on painful and sinful things; one should, rather, meditate on the Law with a collected mind. If a monk remains motionless while lying down, sitting or standing upright, this is called abandonment of the body, which is one of the internal austerities.

Five Vows (Vratas) for the ascetics

While Pārśva taught only four vows for the realization of absolute happiness, Mahāvīra taught five in all, making chastity a separate vow altogether. These five vows are Ahimsā (not to kill), Satya (not to lie), Asteya (not to steal), Brahmacarya (to abstain from sexual intercourse), and Apratigraha (to renounce all interest in worldly things, especially in property).

The first great vow of the Jaina is abstinence from killing living beings. In thoughts, words and acts, he should do nothing injurious to beings who people the world, whether they move or not. A Jaina is wary in his walk. He searches into his mind and speech. He is careful in laying down his utensils of begging. He eats and drinks after proper inspection.

The visible effect of Ahimsā was sought to be proved by a practical demonstration. Already in Mahāvīra's time, the righteous kings of India made it a point of duty to vouch-safe lawful protection to all forms of life within the sacred precincts of a religious establishment.² This principle of causing no harm to any being had a salutary effect on man's habitual diet. Those who came under the influence of Mahāvīra's personality and teaching gave up the eating of meat and fish for good, and adhered to a strictly vegetarian diet.

The second great vow is the avoidance of falsehood. A Jaina speaks after deliberation. He comprehends and renounces anger, greed, fcar, and mirth. The third great vow is the avoidance of theft. A Jaina begs after deliberation for a limited space. He consumes his food and drink with the permission of his superiors. A Jaina who has taken possession of some space should always take possession of a limited part of it and for a fixed time. He should constantly have his grant renewed. He may beg for a limited ground for his coreligionists after deliberation.

The next vow is the avoidance of sexual pleasure. A Jaina should desist from continually discussing topics relating to women. He should not regard and contemplate the lovely forms of women. He should not recall to his mind the pleasures and amusements he formally had with women. He should not eat and drink too much. He should not drink liquor or cat highly seasoned food. He should not occupy a bed or a couch belonging to women.

The last great vow is freedom from possessions. If a living being with his ears open hears agreeable or disagreeable

- 1. Uttarā, VIII, 10.
- 2. Majih, II, p. 101, etc.

sounds, he should not be attached to them. If he with his eyes sees agreeable or disagreeable forms, he should not be attached to them. If he with his nose smells agreeable or disagreeable smells, he should not be attached to them. If he with his tongue tastes agreeable or disagreeable things, he should not be attached to them. If he with his organs of feeling feels agreeable or disagreeable things, he should not be attached to them.

The explanation offered by the Jaina texts in support of the addition of the vow of celibacy is as follows. The Uttarādh-yayana² says that "the first saints were simple but slow of understanding, the last saints prevaricating and slow of understanding, those between the two, simple and wise: hence there are two forms of the Law. The first could but with difficulty understand the precepts of the Law, and the last could only with difficulty observe them, but those between them easily understood and observed them."

It is however wrong to suppose that Pārśva did not advocate celibacy. What he did was that in the vow of Aparigraha (non-possession) he included the vow of celibacy. This indirect implication of non-possession could easily be understood by the followers of Pārśva who were 'simple and wise'. Mahāvīra's disciples, on the other hand, being prevaricating and slow of understanding could only with difficulty observe 'the vow of non-possession'. He had therefore to add the fifth vow of abstinence from all sexual acts in clear terms.

On this H. Jacobi remarks, "As the vow of chastity is not explicitly mentioned among Pārśva's four vows, but was understood to be implicitly enjoined by them (i.e. Pārśva's followers), it follows that only such men as were of an upright disposition and quick understanding would not go astray by observing the four vows literally, i.e., by not abstaining from sexual intercourse, as it was not expressly forbidden. The argumentation in the text presupposes a decay of morals of the monastic order to have occurred between Pārśva and Mahāvīra, and this is possible only on the assumption of a sufficient interval of time having clapsed between the last

Achā, II, 15-i-v.
 Uttarā, XXIII, 26-27.

two Tīrthankaras. And this perfectly agrees with the common tradition that Mahāvīra came 250 years after Pārśva."

It is on the basis of the number of vows observed that the sect of Pārśva was known as $C\bar{a}tury\bar{a}ma^2$ and that of Mahāvīra as $Pa\bar{n}chay\bar{a}ma$. These vows were strictly observed by monks who took them on entering the order. In their case, the vows were called the five great vows (Mahāvrata). Lay people, however, observed these vows as far as their worldly situation permitted. The five vows of the lay people were, of course, anuvrata or small vows.

A correct representation of the 'fourfold self-restraint', even in the sense of which the followers of Pārśva understood it, is not wanting in Buddhist literature. Just then a separate vow of chastity was added to the 'fourfold self-restraint' to complete the list of five great vows (paācamahāvratas) promulgated by Mahāvīra. These have been enumerated as abstinence from the idea of killing, the idea of thest, the idea of unchastity, the idea of lying, and some such tapoguṇa or virtue of an ascetic.³ It is interesting indeed to note that even some of the Jaina phrases have been reproduced in the Buddhist text.

As celibacy and nudity are closely related from the point of view of controlling the senses and the non-attachment to bodily pleasures and external needs, Mahāvīra also introduced the practice of nudity among the monks. His predecessor, Pārśva, is said to have allowed an under and an upper garment to his followers.⁴

DOCTRINE OF THE NINE CATEGORIES OR TRUTHS (NAVA TATTVA)

It was in opposing to Gośāla's deterministic theory based on his biological researches that Mahāvīra is said to have gradually formulated his theory of the nine categories as well as his theory of *Karma*. His doctrine of the nine categories was meant to explain how the bondage of the soul arises by way

- 1. SBE, XLV, p. 122, f.n. 3.
- 2. Sūtra, II, 7. 39; Uttarā, XXIII, 12.
- 3. Majjh, II, 35-36; Sam, 1, 66.
- 4. Uttarā, NNIII. 13.

of karmic effects upon it and how these effects are got rid off and the liberation of the soul is obtained. The categories are as follows: (1) Jiva (soul), (2) Ajīva (inanimate things), (3) Bandha (the binding of the soul by Karma), (4) Punya (merit), (5) Pāpa (demerit), (6) Āśrava (that which causes the soul to be affected by sins), (7) Samvara (the prevention of Āśrava by watchfulness), (8) Nirjarā (the annihilation of Karma), and (9) Moksha (final deliverance). He who verily believes in the true teaching of the above nine fundamental truths possesses righteousness.¹

As corollaries to these terms, the theory of the categories came to include two other groups of terms.² The $Pa\bar{n}c\bar{a}stik\bar{a}yas$ (five substances) comprehending and characterizing the world of existence are: (1) Dharma (medium of motion), Adharma (medium of rest), $\bar{A}k\bar{a}sa$ (space), fiva (soul) and Pudgala (matter). The three terms of substance (Dravya), qualities (Guna) and $Pary\bar{a}ya$ (Development) comprehend and characterize the five $Astik\bar{a}yas$.

The first pair of terms, Jīva and Ajīva, comprehends the world of existence as known and experienced. The Jīva signifies all that has life while Ajīva indicates those that are without life. The world of life is represented by six classes of living things and beings, three of which are immovable and three movable. Earth-lives, water-lives, and plants are immovable beings, while movable beings include all fire-lives, wind-lives, and those with an organic body. Living things are either subtle or gross, and living beings are either those still belonging to Samsāra or those whose souls are perfected. Through the gradation of living things and beings, one can trace the evolution of the senses. The lowest form of being is provided with only one sense, the sense of touch.

It is only in relation to the six classes of beings that the process of Karma sets in and the nature of man's conduct is determined. "Know and understand," taught Mahāvīra, "that they all desire happiness; by hurting these beings, men do harm

^{1.} Uttarā, XXVIII, 14 & 15.

^{2.} Ibid, 5 & 7.

^{3.} Ibid., XXXVI, Sūtra, I, 7, 1.

to their own souls, and will again and again be born as one of them. Every being born high or low in the scale of the living creation, among movable and immovable beings, will meet with its death. Whatever sins the evil-doer commits in every birth, for them he must die."

Things without life are either formed or formless. The formed are compound things and atoms constituting the world of matter. The world of the formless is represented by four Astikāyas, viz., Dharma, Adharma, $\bar{A}k\bar{a}\hat{s}a$ (space) and time. Dharma and Adharma explain motion and absence of motion respectively; $\bar{A}k\bar{a}\hat{s}a$ (space) provides habitation for all living and non-living beings, and time explains their duration of existence. Dharma, Adharma, and space are each one substance only; but time, matter and souls are in infinite number of substances. Thus the category of Ajiva helps us complete the study of the world of life and existence.

The third term or category is *Bandha* or bondage of the soul. *Bandha* is the subjection of the soul to the laws of the birth and death, of youth and age, of pleasure and pain, and other vicissitudes of life brought about by the effect of *Karma*.

The soul, which is one of the Astikāyas, represents the principle of intelligence. The characteristic of the soul is knowledge, faith, conduct, austerities, energy, and realization of its developments.³ Buddhaghosha in his commentary on the Brahmajāla Sutta, Dīgha Nikāya I, 2, 381, mentions the Niganthas as holding the opinion that the soul has no colour, and it continues to exist after death and is free from ailments. This description is consonant with the opinions of the Jainas about the nature of the soul.⁴

The categories of Merit (Punya) and Demerit (Pafa) comprehend all acts or deeds, pious and sinful, which keep the soul bound to the circle of the births and deaths.

- 1. Sūtra, I, 7, 2-3.
- 2. Uttarā, XXXVI.
- 3. Ibid, XXVIII, 11.
- 4. SBE, XLV, p. xix.

Asrava is that which causes the soul to be affected by sins, and Samvara is the principle of self-control by which the influx of sins is checked or stopped. The category of Samvara comprehends the whole sphere of right conduct.

Nirjarā or Karmakshaya consists in the wearing out of the accumulated effects of Karma on the soul by the practice of austerities, and Moksha, which logically follows from Nirjarā, signifies the final deliverance of the soul from the bondage of Karma. Siddhi or perfection is just another aspect of liberation.

THEORY OF KARMA

Mahāvīra's theory of Karma is known as Dynamistic philosophy or notion of the freedom of the will.¹ According to it, pleasure and pain, and happiness and misery of the individual depend upon his free will, exertion and manly strength. Karma is the deed of the soul. It is a material forming a subtle bond of extremely refined Karmic matter which keeps the soul confined to its place of origin or the natural abode of full knowledge and everlasting peace.

Mahāvīra's great message to mankind is that birth is nothing, caste is nothing, Karma is everything, and that on the destruction of Karma, all future happiness depends. The theory of Karma represents the most ancient and original feature of Jaina thought. According to H. Jacobi, "This Karma theory, if not in all details, certainly in the main outlines, is acknowledged in the oldest parts of the canon and presupposed by expressions and technical terms occurring in them. Some of the passages concerning the theory of Karma found in the old texts of Jainism are as follows:

"The painful condition of the self is brought about by one's own action, it is not brought about by any other cause (fate, creator, chance or the like)."

"Individually a man is born, individually he dies, individually he falls (from this state of existence), individually he rises (to another). His passions, consciousness, intellect, perceptions, and impressions belong to the individual exclusi-

^{1.} Вниг, р. 385.

^{2.} Sūtra I, 12. 11.

vely. Here, indeed, the bonds of relationship are not able to help nor save one."

"All living beings owe their present form of existence to their own Karma; timid, wicked, suffering latent misery, they err about (in the circle of births), subject to birth, old age, and death."²

"The sinners cannot annihilate works by new works; the pious annihilate their works by abstention from works; the wise and happy men, who got rid of the effects of greed, do not commit sins."

"Pleasant things are not produced from pleasant things."3

"He who intends (to kill) a living being but does not do it by his body, and he who unknowingly kills one, both are affected by that through a slight contact (with it) only, but the demerit (in their case) is not fully developed."

"He who knows himself and the world; who knows where (the creatures) go, and whence they will not return; who knows what is eternal and what is transient; birth and death, and the future existences of men."

"He who knows the tortures of beings below (in hell); who knows the influx of sin and its stoppage; who knows misery and its annihilation,—he is entitled to expound the Kriyāvāda".6

"A perfect saint believes in the soul, believes in the world, believes in reward and believes in action. I did it, I shall cause another to do it; I shall allow another to do it."

The passages cited above are sufficient to prove that Kriyāvāda expounded by Mahāvīra is in its essential feature only a theory of soul and Karma. According to this theory, there are as many souls as living individuals, and Karma consists of acts, intentional and unintentional, that produce effects

- 1. Sūtra, II, 1, 41.
- 2. Ibid, 1, 2, 18.
- 3. Ibid, I, 3, 4, 6.
- 4. Ibid, I, I, 2, 25.
- 5. Ibid, I, 12, 20.
- 6. Ibid, J, 12, 21.
- 7. Āchē, I, 1, 1, 4,

on the nature of the soul. Thus the soul is not passive in the sense that it remains untouched or unaffected by what a person does, but is susceptible to the influences of *Karma*.

Even in some early Buddhist texts, we find the traces of Kriyāvāda as expounded in Jainism. In Anguttara Nikāya, III, 74, for instance, a learned Lichchavi prince of Vaišālī, Abhaya, gives the following account of some Nigantha doctrines: "The Nigantha Nātaputta teaches the annihilation by austerities of the old Karma, and the prevention by inactivity of new Karma. When Karma ceases, misery ceases; when misery ceases, perception ceases; when perception ceases, every misery will come to an end. In this way, a man is saved by pure annihilation of sin (nijjarā) which is really effective."

Another piece of information about Nigantha doctrines may be gathered from the *Mahāvagga*.¹ There a story is told of Sīha who wanted to pay the Buddha a visit, but Nātaputta tried to dissuade him from it simply because the Niganthas held to *Kriyāvāda* while the Buddha's beliefs were grounded in *Akriyāvāda*.

These passages throw light on the doctrine of Karma expounded by Mahavira. The theory of Karma has special significance if we consider it along with the views of Mahāvīra's contemporary religious thinkers. The Vedic thinkers thought that the world has been created and is governed by the gods. Pūrana Kassapa maintained that when a man acts or causes others to act, it is not his soul which acts or causes to act.2 Kātyāyana advocated that whether a man buys or causes to buy, kills or causes to kill, he does not thereby commit any sin.3 Keśakambain explained that life ends here, and there is no world beyond. Denying the hereafter and the efficacy of all social institutions founded upon beliefs in the future existence of man, he cannot inform us whether an action is good or bad, virtuous or vicious, well done or otherwise, whether it is in man's power to reach perfection or not, or whether there is a heaven and a hell.4 Gosala denies that

^{1.} Mv, V1, 31.

^{2.} Sūtra, I, 1.1 13.

³ Ibid, I, 1.1.15; II. 1.22-24.

^{4.} Ibid, I, 1.11-12; II, I, 16-17.

our happiness and misery, weal and ill, are caused by us individually or determined by any other cause than what we term fate or necessity.¹

Karma is believed, according to Mahāvīra, to be the result of actions arising out of four sources: (1) the first source of Karma is attachment to worldly things such as food, raiment, dwelling place, women, etc.; (2) it is produced by uniting one's body, mind, and speech to worldly things; (3) it is also engendered by giving the reins to anger, pride, deceit or greed; and, (4) lastly by false belief which is a fruitful source of it. Karma accumulates energy and automatically works it off without any outside intervention.

Karma is divided according to its nature, duration, essence, and content. It is intimately bound up with the soul. There are eight kinds of Karmans: (1) Jūānāvaranīya acts as an obstruction to right knowledge; (2) Daršanāvaranīya prevents one from beholding the true faith; (3) Vedaniya leads to the experience of pain or pleasure; (4) Mohanīya leads to delusion; (5) Āyukarma determines the length of life; (6) Nāma determines the name or individuality of the embodied soul; (7) Gotra determines his Gotra, and (8) Antarāya prevents one's entrance into the path that leads to eternal bliss.²

While it is on the first step (Mithyāttvaguņasthānaka) the soul is completely under the influence of Karma and knows nothing of the truth. Whirling round and round in the cycle of rebirth, it loses some of its crudeness and attains to a state which enables it to distinguish between what is false and what is true. A soul remains in an uncertain condition, one moment knowing the truth and the next doubting it. Either through the influence of his past good deeds or through the teachings of his Guru, man comes to acquire what is true faith. He then realises the great importance of conduct and finds himself quite capable of taking the twelve vows. As soon as he reaches the state of an Āyagikevaliguņasthānaka, all his Karma is purged away, and he proceeds at once to Mokşa as a Siddka.

^{1.} Sūtra, I 1.2.1-5; I. 1.4 8-9; II 1. 32; Uta, VI. 106.

^{2.} Uttarā, XXXIII, 2-3.

There are four kinds of destructive Karma (Khātiyakarma) which keep the soul tethered to mundane existence. They are as follows: (1) knowledge-obscuring Karma, (2) faith-obscuring Karma, (3) Karma which obstructs the progress of the soul, and (4) Karma which deludes the soul. Indeed Karma plays an important part in Jaina metaphysics. Jainism as a practical religion teaches us to purge ourselves of impurities arising from Karma.

SIX LEŚYÃS

The Leśyās are different conditions produced in the soul by the influence of different Karmans. They are, therefore, not dependent on the nature of the soul, but on the Karma which accompanies the soul, and are, as it were, the reflection of the Karmans on the soul. The Leśyā is, according to the Sūtrakṛitāṅga, a term signifying, 'colour'.

The Ājīvika expression Chalābhijātiyo as explained by Buddhaghosha implies the same method of classification of men in terms of six colours. According to H. Jacobi, Mahāvīra borrowed the idea of the six Leśyās from the Ājīvikas and altered it to bring it into harmony with the rest of his own doctrines. This view is not correct because the idea of the six Leśyās was prevalent in Jainism earlier than Mahāvīra. The classification of living beings in terms of six colours may be traced back to Pārśva's doctrine of six Jivanikāyas. 5

The Mahābhārata expression Jīva-Shaṭvarṇāḥ hardly leaves room for doubt that Leṣyā is a term indicative of colour. The Buddhist idea of the contamination of mind by the influx of impurities from outside, illustrated by the simile of a piece of cloth dyed blue, red, yellow, or the like would seem to have some bearing on the Jaina doctrine of the Leṣyās.

The Jaina religious efforts are directed towards the acqui-

- 1. She, XLV, p. 196, fn. 2.
- 2. Ibid, p. 289, f.n. 1; Sātra, 1, 6.13.
- 8. BHPIP, pp. 309, 318.
- 4. SBE, XLV, p. xxx.
- 5. Ache, II, 15. 16.
- 6. B.M. BARDA: Chittavisuddhiprakaraņa and its Pāli Basis, published in Indian Culture.

sition of pure leśvā. This doctrine of the six Leśvās is merely hinted at here and there in the Sūtrakrtānga1 and fully explained in the Uttarādhyayana.2 They are named in the following order: black, blue, grey, red, yellow, and white. The black Lesva has the colour of a rain-cloud, a buffalow's horn. The blue Lesva has the colour of the blue Asoka having red flowers. The grey Lesva has the colour of Atasa having blue flowers. The red Lesva has the colour of vermilion. The yellow Lesva has the colour of orpiment. The white Lesyā has the colour of a conch-shell. The taste of the black Lesvā is more bitter than that of Tumbaka. The taste of the blue Lesyā is infinitely more pungent than that of Trikatuka (black pepper and dry ginger). The taste of the grey Lesya is infinitely source than that of unripe mango. The taste of the yellow Lesyā is infinitely better than that of excellent wine and various liquors. The taste of the white Lesyā is infinitely better than that of dates. grapes, and milk.

The smell of the bad Lesyās (viz., of the first three) is infinitely worse than that of a dead cow, dog or snake. The smell of the three good Lesyas is infinitely more pleasant than that of fragrant flowers and of perfumes when they are pounded. The touch of the bad Lesyās is infinitely worse than that of a saw, the tongue of a cow, or the leaf of the teak tree. The touch of the three good Lesyās is infinitely more pleasant than that of cotton, butter or Sirisha flowers.

The degrees of the Lesyās are three, or nine, or twenty-seven, or eighty-one, or two hundred and forty-three. Each of these degrees is three-fold: low, middle, and high. He who acts on the impulse of the five sins, who commits cruel acts, and who is wicked and mischievous, is described as one fostering the black Leiyā. He who nourishes anger, ignorance, hatred, wickedness, deceit, greed, carelessness, love of enjoyment, etc., develops the blue Leiyā. He who is dishonest in words and acts, who is a heretic, a deceiver, a thief, etc., develops the grey Leiyā. He who is humble, well-disciplined, restrained, free from deceit, who loves the law, develops the

^{1.} Satra, I, 4, 21, where a Jaina saint is described as a person where real is in a pure condition (Legal).

^{2.} Uttarā, xxxiv.

red Leśyā. He who controls himself and is attentive to his study and duties, develops the yellow Leśyā. He who controls himself, who abstains from constant thinking about his misery, who is free from passion, who is calm and who subdues his senses, develops the white Leśyā. The black, blue, and grey Leśyās are the Lowest Leṣyās; through them, the soul is dragged into certain miserable courses of life. The red, yellow, and white Leśyās are the good Leśyās, for through them the soul is brought into a state of happiness. In the first and last moment of all these Laśyās, when they are joined with the soul, the latter is not born into a new existence.

DOCTRINE OF NAYAS

Sañjaya is an important landmark in the development of Mahāvīra's philosophy. H. Jacobi assumes that in opposition to the agnosticism (Ajñānavāda) of Sañjaya, Mahāvīra propounded his doctrine of Nayas.¹ The canonical texts just mention Nayas without fixing up their number four or seven. It is true that the Bhagavatī and the Pannavanā refer to the sevenfold Naya, but these texts contain a good deal of later material. In the post-canonical works, the doctrine of Nayas was called Syādvāda (Saptabhanginyāya), according to which there can be seven alternatives to a decisive conclusion. Nayas were actually the ways of expressing the nature of things from different points of view; they were the ways of escaping from the tendencies of insensitivity and dogmatism which Mahāvīra disliked. They appealed to the masses because they encouraged a tolerant attitude towards different religions.

The questions with regard to which Sanjaya suspended judgment were in fact the questions to be excluded from the problems of knowledge. Is the world eternal, or is it non-eternal? Is it both eternal and non-eternal, or is it neither eternal nor non-eternal? Is the world finite or infinite? Is there any individual existence of man after death, or is there not? Is the absolute truth seen face to face by a seer, comprehended by a philosopher, part of real tangible existence, or not? It was with regard to these and similar questions that Sanjaya refused to submit any affirmative answer. To avoid

1. SBE, XLV, p. xxvii.

error, he contented himself with the four famous negative propositions: A is not B; A is not not-B; A is not both B and not-B, A is not neither B nor not-B.

It is with regard to these questions that Mahāvīra declared: "From these alternatives, you cannot arrive at truth; from these alternatives, you are certainly led to error."2 The world is eternal as far as that part is concerned which is the substratum of the (dravya) "world"; it is not eternal as far as its ever-changing state is concerned. In regard to such questions, Mahāvīra's advice to his disciples was neither to support those who maintained that the world is eternal nor those who advocated that it is not eternal. He would have said the same thing regarding such propositions as the world exists and it does not exist; the world is unchangeable; the world is in constant flux; the world has a beginning; the world has no beginning; the world has an end; the world has no end, etc. Those who are not well-instructed differ in their opinions and hold fast to their dogmas without reason.3 And these were precisely the questions which Buddha regarded as unthinkable on the ground that those who will think about them are sure to go mad, without ever being able to find a final answer, or to reach apodeictic certainty.4

If one has to answer such questions, one should answer them by saying, contrary to both a dogmatist and a sceptic, "It may be that in one sense, looking from one point of view, A is B. It may be that in another sense, looking from another point of view, A is not-B. It may again be that looking from a third point of view, A is both B and not-B. It may equally be that when viewed from a fourth point of view, A is neither B nor not-B."

EXERTION OF RIGHTEOUSNESS

Mahāvīra has given instructions regarding exertion of rightcousness. Those who believe in it, accept it, practise it,

Dia, II, pp. 39-40; 75.

^{2.} Sfitra, II. 5.3.

^{3.} Áchā. I. 7.3.

^{4.} Aigu, II, p. 80.

comply with it, study it, and understand it, have obtained perfection, enlightenment, deliverance and final beatitude. He has dealt with the following subjects:

1. Longing for liberation (Samvega)

By longing for liberation, the soul obtains an intense desire for the Law. Impelled by an intense desire for the Law, he quickly arrives at an increased longing for liberation. He destroys anger, pride, deceit and greed. He becomes possessed of right faith and by the purity of faith, he will reach perfection after one birth.

2. Disregard of worldly objects (Nirveda)

By disregarding worldly objects, the soul quickly feels disgust for pleasures enjoyed by men, gods and animals. He becomes indifferent to all objects and ceases thereby to engage himself in any undertaking, with the result that he enters the road to perfection.

3. Desire for the Law (Dharma's raddha)

Through his desire for the Law, the soul becomes indifferent to pleasures. He abandons the life of householders and as a houseless monk, he puts an end to all sufferings, mental and physical.

4. Obedience to the Co-religionists (Gurusādharmikaśuśrū-shaṇā)

Through obedience to them, the soul obtains discipline. Through discipline and avoidance of misconduct, he avoids being born as a denizen of hell; through his devotion to the Guru, he obtains truth as a good man and gains perfection and beatitude.

5. Confession of sins before Guru (Alokana)

By this act, the soul gets rid of the thorns of deceit, wrong belief, etc. He obtains simplicity and annihilates Karma.

6. Repenting of one's sins to oneself (Ninda)

By this act, the soul obtains repentance, and becoming indifferent by repentance, he prepares for himself an ascending

1. Uttarā, XXIX.

scale of virtues, by which he destroys the karmans resulting from delusion.

7. Repenting of one's sins before the Guru (Garha)

By this act, the soul obtains humbleness. He will leave off all blamable occupations and apply himself only to the praiseworthy ones.

8. Moral and intellectual purity of the soul (Sāmāyika)

This purity enables the soul to refrain from all sinful occupations.

9. Adoration of the twenty-four Jinas (Caturvimsatistava)

Through this adoration the soul arrives at the purity of faith.

10. Paying reverence to the Guru (Vandanā)

It enables the soul to destroy such Karma as leads to birth in low families. He wins the affection of the people and brings about general good-will.

11. Expiation of sins (Pratikramana)

Through expiation of sins the soul obviates transgressions of the vows and thus stops the \bar{A} sravas or sins.

12. A particular position of the body (Kayotsarga)

Kāyotsarga helps the soul get rid of the past and present transgressions which require prāyaichitta (expiatory rites).

13. Self-denial (Pratyākhyāna)

Self-denial enables the soul to close the door against \overline{A} is ravas and to prevent desires from arising in him.

14. Praises and hymns (Stavastutimangala)

Through praises and hymns he obtains wisdom consisting in knowledge, faith, and conduct.

15. Keeping the right time (Kālasya pratyupekshanā)

By keeping the right time, he destroys Karma which obstructs right knowledge.

16. Practising penance (Prayascittakarana)

By practising penance, he gets rid of sins and commits no transgressions.

17. Begging forgiveness (Kshamapana)

By begging forgiveness, he obtains happiness of mind.

18. Study (Scādhyāya)

Study helps him destroy the Karma which obstructs right knowledge.

19. Recital of the sacred texts (Vacana)

By the recital of the sacred texts, he obtains destruction of Karma and preserves the sacred lore.

20. Questioning the teacher (Paripricchana)

By questioning the teacher, he arrives at a correct comprehension of the $S\bar{u}tra$ and its meaning.

21. Repetition (Parāvartanā)

By repetition, he reproduces the sounds or syllables and commits them to memory.

22. Pondering (Anuprekshā)

By pondering on what he has learnt, he loosens the firm hold which the seven kinds of *Karma* have upon the soul; he shortens their duration and mitigates their power.

23. Religious discourse (Dharmakathā)

Through religious discourses he destroys his Karma and exalts the creed, and by exalting the creed, he acquires Karma for the future bliss.

24. Acquisition of sacred knowledge (Śrutasyārādhanā)

By the acquisition of sacred knowledge, he destroys ignorance.

25. Concentration of thoughts (Ekāgramanaļsanniveśanā).

By concentration of his thoughts, he obtains stability of the mind.

26. Control (Samyama)

By control, he achieves freedom from sins.

27. Austerities (Tapas)

Austerities help him cut off Karma.

28. Cutting off Karma (Vyavadāna)

By cutting off Karma, he obtains freedom from action.

29. Renouncing pleasure (Sukhasātā)

By renouncing pleasures, he obtains freedom from false longing.

30. Mental independence (Apratibaddhatā)

Through mental independence he gets rid of attachment. 31. Using unfrequented lodging and beds (Vicitrasayanāsa-nasevanā)

By using unfrequented lodgings and beds, he obtains Gupti or conduct. He will be steady in his conduct.

32. Turning away the world (Vinivartanā)

By turning away from the world, he will strive not to perform any bad action.

33. Renouncing collection of alms in one district only (Sambhogapratyākhyāna)

By doing so, he overcomes obstacles and unhindered by them, exerts himself to attain liberation; he is content with the alms he gets, and does not hope for, care for, or covet those of a fellow-monk. Not envying other monks, he takes up a separate, agreeable lodging.

34. Renouncing articles of use (Upadhipratyākhyāna)

By renouncing the articles of use, he studies successfully; in the absence of the articles of use he becomes exempt from desires, and does not suffer misery.

35. Renouncing food (Āhārapratyākhyāna)

By renouncing (forbidden) food, he ceases to act for the sustenance of his life; ceasing to act for the sustenance of his life, he does not suffer misery when without food.

36. Conquering the passions (Kashāyapratyākhyāna)

By conquering his passions, he becomes free from passions; thereby he becomes indifferent to both happiness and pain.

37. Renouncing activity (Yogapratyākhyāna)

By renouncing activity, he obtains inactivity; by ceasing to act, he acquires no new Karman, and destroys the one he had acquired before.

38. Renouncing the body (Sarirapratyakhyana)

By renouncing his body, he acquires the pre-eminent virtues of the Siddhas, by the possession of which he goes to the highest region of the universe, and becomes absolutely happy.

39. Renouncing Company

By renouncing company, he obtains singleness and aviods disputes, quarrels, passions, etc.

40. Renouncing all food (Bhaktapratyākhyāna)

By renouncing all food, he prevents his birth many times.

41. Perfect Renunciation (Sadbhāvapratyākhyāna)

Through perfect renunciation, he enters the final stage of pure meditation where there is no return.

42. Confirming to the Standard (Pratirupata).

By conforming to the standard of monks, he obtains ease and will be careful. He will inspire all beings with confidence and practise austerities.

43. Doing Service (Vaiyāvritya)

By doing service, he acquires Karma which gets for him the name and family name of a Tirthankara.

44. Fulfilling all virtues (Sarvaguņasampūrņatā)

By fulfilling all virtues, the boon he receives is that he will not be born again; he will thus be exempt from the pains of the body and mind.

. 45. Freedom from passion (Vitaragata)

Freedom from passion enables him to cut off the ties of attachment and desire, making him indifferent to all agreeable and disagreeable sensations of sound, touch, colour, and smell.

46. Patience (Kshanti)

Patience enables him to overcome troubles.

47. Freedom from greed (Mukti)

Freedom from greed helps him welcome voluntary poverty and frees him from the desire for material prosperity.

48. Simplicity (Ārjava)

Through simplicity, he will become upright in action, thought and speech, and will become veracious and a true practitioner of the law.

49. Humility (Mārdava)

Through humility he will acquire freedom from selfconceit; he will become a man of kind and meek disposition, and avoid the eight kinds of pride.

50. Sincerity of Mind (Bhavasatya)

Sincerity of mind will help him obtain the purity of mind, which will induce him to exert himself for the ful-

filment of the Law; and he will practise the Law in the next world too.

51. Sincerity of Religious Practice (Karanasatya)

Through sincerity in religious practice, he obtains proficiency in it; being proficient in it he will act up to his words.

52. Sincerity in Acting (Yogasatya)

Through sincerity in acting, he will become pure in his actions.

53. Watchfulness of the Mind (Manoguptata)

Through the watchfulness of the mind, he concentrates his thoughts, thereby practising true control.

54. Watchfulness of Speech (Vag-guptata)

Watchfulness of speech ensures freedom from prevarication and enables one's mind to act properly.

55. Watchfulness of the Body (Kayaguptata)

Through the watchfulness of the body, he obtains restraint (Samvara) and prevents sinful Āśravas.

56. Discipline of the Mind (Manahsamādhāraņā)

By disciplining his mind, he obtains concentration of his thoughts and obtains development of knowledge, which produces righteousness and annihilates wrong belief.

57. Discipline of the Speech (Vāksamādhāraņā)

By disciplining his speech, he obtains development of faith and acquires facility in becoming enlightened, destroying all preventing causes.

58. Discipline of the body (Kāyasamādhāraņā)

Through the discipline of the body he facilitates the development of his conduct. He may also obtain perfection, enlightenment, and deliverance.

59. Possession of Knowledge (Jñānasampannatā)

Through his possession of knowledge, he acquires an understanding of words and their meanings.

60. Possession of Faith (Darsanasampannata)

Through his possession of faith, he destroys wrong belief, which is the cause of worldly existence, and he will not lose his inner light; but he endues his self with the highest knowledge and faith, and purifies it.

61. Possession of Conduct (Caritrasampannata)

Possession of conduct helps him obtain stability, with which a houseless monk destroys the four remnants of Karma which even a Kevalin possesses; after that he obtains perfection, enlightenment, deliverance and final beatitude, and puts an end to all misery.

62. Subduing the Ear (Śrotrendriyanigraha).

By subduing the organ of hearing, he overcomes his delight in all pleasant or unpleasant sounds and acquires no new Karma while destroying the old one.

63-66. Subduing the eye, the organ of smell, tongue and the organ of touch (Cakshurindriyanigraha, Ghrānendriyanigraha, Jihvendriyanigraha and Sparsanendriyanigraha)

This applies also to his subduing the organs of sight, smell, taste and touch with regard to pleasant colours, smells, tastes, and touches.

67. Conquering anger (Krodhavijaya)

By conquering anger he obtains patience; he acquires no Karma productive of anger, and destroys the Karma he had acquired before.

68. Conquering Pride (Mānavijaya).

By conquering pride he obtains simplicity.

69. Conquering Deceit (Māyāvijaya)

By conquering deceit he obtains humility.

70. Conquering greed (Lobhavijaya)
By conquering greed he obtains contentment.

71. Conquering Love, Hate and Wrong belief (Premadveshamithyādarśanavijaya)

By conquering love, hatred and wrong belief, he exerts himself for right knowledge, faith and conduct. After destroying various kinds of *Karma*, he obtains absolute and complete knowledge and faith.

72. Stability (Saileshī)

He first stops the functions of his mind, then the functions of his speech, then those of the body, and at last he ceases to breathe. During the short interval required for pronouncing five short syllables, he is engaged in the final pure meditation in which all the functions of his bodily organs cease and the four remnants of his *Karma* are at the same time destroyed.

73. Freedom from Karma (Akarmatā)

After getting rid of his $Aud\bar{a}rika$ $K\bar{a}rmana$ (and Taijasa) bodies the soul takes the form of a straight line, goes in a moment, without touching anything and taking up no space, (upwards to the highest $\bar{A}k\bar{a}s\bar{a}$), and then develops into his natural form and obtains perfection.

THE FOUR REQUISITES

It is difficult for a living being to obtain these four things of great value: (1) Human birth, (2) Instructions in the Law, (3) Belief in it, and (4) Power of self-control. The universe is filled with innumerable creatures born in the world in different families and castes for having done various actions. Sometimes they go to the world of gods and sometimes to hell and sometimes they become Asuras (demons) in accordance with their actions; sometimes they become Kshatriyas, or Chandalas or worms or ants. Living beings of sinful actions, who are born again and again, are not disgusted with this Samsāra. Through the destruction of their Karma, living beings will reach in time a pure state and will be born as men.2 Though born as human beings, it would be difficult for them to hear the law; having heard it, they will do penances, combat their passions, and abstain from killing living beings. It will be difficult for them to believe in the law though indeed they will hear it. Many who are shown the right way are led astray.

The pious obtain purity and the pure stand firmly in the law. Having enjoyed in due time the unrivalled pleasures of human life, they will obtain true knowledge through their pure religious merit acquired in a former birth; knowing full well that the four requisites are difficult to obtain, they will apply themselves to self-control and will be eternal Siddhas (perfected

^{1.} Uttarā, III. 1.

^{2.} Ibid, III, 7.

ones) when they will be able to shake off the remnant of Karma by their penances.¹

IMPURITY

Since one cannot prolong life,² one should not on that account be careless. Those who acquire wealth by evil deeds and by adhering to wrong principles, will lose it. People in this world and in the next cannot escape the effect of their own actions. Wealth will never protect a careless man in this world. Like a wise man, trust nobody but be always wary and on the alert.

One cannot quickly arrive at discernment; therefore one should exert oneself, abstain from pleasures, understand the world, guard oneself, and be impartial like a sage. External things weaken the intellect and allure many; therefore keep them out of mind. Remove pride, delusion, greed and deceit. Heretics, who are impure and proud, are always subject to love and hatred, and they are wholly under the influence of their passions. Despising them as unholy men, one should desire virtue till the end of one's life.³

DEATH AGAINST (AND WITH) ONE'S WILL

There can be two ways of dying⁴: (1) Death with one's will, and (2) death against one's will. Death against one's will is the death of an ignorant man, and it happens to him several times. Death with one's will is the death of a wise man, and it happens only once as, for instance, in the case of a Kevalin. A fool being attached to pleasure does cruel actions. He who is attached to pleasures and amusements will be caught in the trap of deceit. The pleasures of this life are within the reach of your hand but the future pleasures are uncertain. It is doubted whether there is any world other than our own. An ignorant man kills, lies, deceives, drinks wine and cats meat, thinking that there is nothing wrong in doing what he does. A man desirous of possessing wealth and woman accumulates sins by his act and thought. Fools, who do cruel deeds, will

- 1. Uttarā, III.
- 2. Ibid, IV. 1., Sūtra, I. 2, 2, 21.
- Uttarā, IV, 10-13.
- 4. Ibid, V, 1-32.

suffer violently. When death really comes, the fool trembles in fear. He dies against his will. Some householders are indeed superior to some monks of self-control. But the saints are verily superior to all householders in self-control. A faithful man should practise the rules of conduct meant for householders. He should never neglect the fast. Those who are trained in self-control and penance, whether monks or householders, and those who have obtained liberation by the absence of passions, go straight to the highest regions. The virtuous and the learned do not tremble in the hour of death. A wise man will become calm through patience and will have an undisturbed mind at the time of death. When the right time for death has come, a faithful monk should in the presence of his teacher suppress all emotions of fear or joy, and wait for his end. When the time for quitting the body comes the sage dies willingly.1

ON DISCIPLINE

A wise man should not be angry if reprimanded. should rather, be a man of forbearing temperament. Nor should he associate with mean persons and be guilty of doing anything mean (chandāliya) or evil. He should meditate by himself after having learnt his lessons. He should never refuse to confess if he does anything mean. He should not speak unasked for. He should not tell a lie when asked. If the self is subdued, a person will be happy. It is better to subdue one's own self by self-control and penance than be subdued by others with fetters and corporal punishment.2 He should never do anything disagreeable to his superiors either in words or deeds, openly or secretly. He should never remain silent if spoken to by his superiors. He should always approach his teacher politely. An intelligent pupil will rise from his seat and answer the teacher's call modestly and attentively.3 A good pupil has the best opinion of his teacher, for he thinks that his teacher treats him like his own son or brother. He should not provoke his teacher's anger, nor should he himself lose his

^{1.} Āchā, I, 7, 8.

^{2.} Uttarā, I, 16.

^{3.} Ibid, I, 21.

temper. If the teacher is angry, he should pacify him by kindness and appease him with folded hands. An intelligent man, who has learnt the sacred texts, takes his duties upon himself. When a worthy teacher is satisfied with a pupil, he will transmit to him his vast knowledge of the sacred texts, and the pupil will gladden the heart of his teacher by his good deeds.¹

Egoism, delusion, carelessness, illness, and idleness are the five causes which render good discipline impossible. Discipline calls upon the practitioner: (1) not to be fond of mirth, (2) to control himself, (3) not to speak evil of others, (4) not to be without discipline, (5) not to be of wrong discipline, (6) not to be covetous, (7) not to be choleric, and (8) to love truth.²

ACTIONS OF IGNORANT AND WISE MEN

All men, who are ignorant of truth, are subject to pain. A wise man who considers well the way that lead to bondage and birth should search for the truth. A man of pure faith should realize the truth that he will have to suffer for his own deeds.³

Clever talking will not bring salvation. Even while sinking lower and lower through their sins, fools believe themselves to be wise men. One should move about carefully in the endless Samsāra. One should never desire worldly objects but sustain one's body only to be able to annihilate one's Karma. Recognising the cause of Karma, one should move about waiting for one's death.⁴

It is an ignorant man who kills, tells lies, robs on the highway, steals goods, and deceives others.⁵ He will go to the world of the Asuras (demons) against his will. Those men who, through the exercise of various virtues, become pious householders, will surely reap the fruit of their actions. A virtuous man cheerfully ascends to the state of gods. He who

- 1. Uttarā, I, 47.
- 2. Ibid, XI. 4-5.
- 3. Ibid. VI, 3; Sūtra, I, 9, 5.
- 4. Ibid, VI, 10-14.
- 5. Ibid, VII, 5.

has not given up pleasures will not be able to reach the true end of his soul. He will go astray again and again though he has been taught the right way. A sinner will be born in hell and a virtuous man will be born in heaven.

The best of the sages who are free from delusion and possess perfect knowledge and faith, speaks for the benefit, eternal welfare, and the final liberation of all beings.

It is difficult to satisfy anybody. The more one gets the more one wants. Man's desire increases with his means. One should not desire women who continually change their minds, who entice men, and then make a sport of them as of slaves.¹

VANITY OF WORLDLY PLEASURES

Karma is produced by sinful thoughts, and it is by the influence of his Karma that Chitra and Sambhūta were separated.2 All singing is but prattle, all dancing is but mocking, all ornaments are but a burden, all pleasures produce but pain.3 Pleasures, which are liked by the ignorant and which produce pain, do not delight pious monks who do not care for pleasures but are intent on the virtue of right conduct.4 He who has not done good deeds in this life and who has not practised the Law, repents of it in the next world or even when he has become a prey to death which leads off a man in his last hour. He alone will have to endure his sufferings, neither his kinsmen, nor his friends, nor his sons, nor his relations, for Karma follows the doer.5 Life drags on towards death continuously, and old age carries off the vigour of man.6 Time runs out and the days quickly pass. Pleasures which men enjoy are not permanent. They leave them as soon as they come just as a bird leaves a tree devoid of fruits. If one is unable to give up pleasures, then one must do noble

^{1.} Uttarā, VIII. 17-18.

^{2.} Ibid, XIII, S.

^{3.} Ibid, XIII, 16.

^{4.} Ibid, 17.

^{5.} Ibid, 21-23.

^{6.} Ibid, 26.

deeds, follow the Law and have compassion on all creatures.1

Man's life is transitory and precarious. He finds no delight in domestic life. Pleasures bring him only a moment's happiness. But suffering for a long time brings intense suffering and no happiness. Pleasures are an obstacle to the liberation from existence, and are a mine of evils.2 The soul cannot be apprehended by the senses because it possesses no corporeal form; and, since it has no corporeal form, it is eternal. The fetter of the soul born of our evil deeds is called the cause of worldly existence. Being ignorant of the law, human beings formerly did sinful actions, and through their wrong-mindedness, they could not enter the order. Mankind is harassed by death. He who has acquired righteousness may look upon death as his friend.3 Faith will enable him to put aside attachment.4 The pleasures he enjoys cause the continuance of his worldly existence.⁵ One should be cautious in this matter. He should learn the law thoroughly, practise severe penance, and never dissipate his energy.6

THE CAUSES OF CARELESSNESS

Through the possession of true knowledge, through the avoidance of ignorance and delusion, and through the destruction of love and hatred, one arrives at deliverance which is nothing but bliss.7 One should serve the Guru and the old teachers, avoid foolish people, apply oneself earnestly to study, and to ponder over the meaning of the Sūtras.8 A śramaņa who engaged in austerities longs for righteousness should cat only the quantity of food allowed, should select a companion of right understanding and should live in a solitary place.9 If he does not meet with a suitable companion, he should live by himself, abstaining from sins and not devoted to pleasures.10 Love and hatred are caused by Karma which has

```
1.
```

^{2.}

Uttarā, XIII, 31-32. Ibid, XIV, 13. Ibid, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 27. Ibid, 28. Ibid, 47. 3.

^{4.}

^{5.}

^{6.}

¹bid, 49.50. Ibid, XXXII, 2. 7.

^{8.} Ibid, 3.

Ibid, 4. 9. 10. Ibid. 5.

its origin in delusion. Karma is the root of birth and death.¹ Misery ceases with the absence of delusion, delusion with the absence of desire, desire with the absence of greed, and greed with the absence of property.² Rich and delicious food should not particularly be preferred, for it generally makes men overstrong, and desires rush upon the strong.³ The mind of those who always live in unfrequented lodgings, who eat poor food, and who subdue their senses, will not be attached by passions which are vanquished as disease is by medicine.⁴

A monk engaged in penance should not allow himself to watch the shape, beauty, coquetry, laughter, prattle, gestures, and glances of women nor retain a recollection of them in his mind.⁵ Not to look at women, nor to long for praise, and think of them, is the high ideal of all noble souls and is always wholesome to those who delight in chastity.6 Those who possess the three Guptis cannot be disturbed by the well-adorned goddesses.7 To a man who longs for liberation, who is afraid of Sainsara and lives according to the law, nothing in the world offers so many difficulties as women who delight the mind of the ignorant.8 To those who have overcome the attachment of women all other attachments will offer no difficulties.9 From the desire for pleasure arises the misery of the whole world. The dispassionate will put an end to whatever misery of the mind and body there is. 10 A monk who is engaged in austerities and who longs for righteousness should not fix his thoughts on the pleasant objects of the senses.11

He who is passionately fond of colours will come to

- 1. Uttarā, XXXII, 7.
- 2. Ibid, 8.
- 3. Ibid, 10.
- 4. Ibid, 12.
- 5. Ibid, 14.
- 6. Ibid, 15.
- 7. Ibid, 16.
- 8. Ibid, 17.
- 9. Ibid, 18.
- 10. Ibid, 19.
- 11. Ibid, 21.

untimely ruin.1 He who passionately hates a colour will, at the same moment, suffer pain.2 He who is very fond of a lovely colour hates all others, hence a fool suffers misery.3 He who has a passion for colour will kill many movable and immovable beings. A passionate fool intent on serving his own personal end torments those beings in many ways.4 When he is not satisfied with these colours and when his craving for them grows stronger and stronger, he will become discontented. Misled by greed, he will grab another man's property.5 When overcome by a violent desire, he grabs another man's property and when he is not satisfied with those colours, then his deceit and falsehood increase in proportion to his greed; yet he will not get rid of his misery.6 He who is indifferent to true colours is free from sorrows.7 All such passions as anger, pride, deceit, disgust, aversion to self-control, delight in sexual things, mirth, fear, sorrow, carnal desire for women, arise in him who is attached to pleasures.8 When he ceases to desire the objects of his senses his desire for pleasure also becomes extinct. A dispassionate man, who performs all duties, will quickly remove the obstacles to right knowledge and right faith.9 Then he knows and sees all things. He is free from delusions, hindrances, and sins. He is proficient in meditation and being pure attains beatitude.10 He gets rid of all misery which afflicts mankind. He becomes infinitely happy and obtains the final aim.11

SINFUL AND WICKED DEEDS

There are three ways of committing sins: by one's own action, by commission, and by approval of the deed. A learned

- Uttarā, XXXII, 24.
- 2. Ibid, 25.
- 3. Ibid, 26.
- 4. Ibid, 27.
- 5. Ibid, 29.
- 6. Ibid, 30.
- 7. Ibid, 34.
- 8. Ibid, 102, 103.
- 9. Ibid, XXII, 108.
- 10. 1bid, 109.
- 11. Ibid, 110.
- 12. Sūtra, 1, 1. 3, 26.

or a virtuous man will generally be punished for his deed when he is given to actions of deceit.1 Men who are drowned in lust and addicted to pleasures will be deluded for want of self-control.2 Heroes of faith, who do not commit sins, and who exert themselves as they should, who subdue anger and fear, will never kill living beings.3 The wicked suffering latent misery wander about in the circle of births, subject to old age and death. One should not kill living beings in the threefold way (in thought, act and speech) if one is intent on spiritual welfare and abstention from sins.4 A sinner does not confess himself to be wrong; instead he boasts of his sin when reprimanded. The adulterers are severely punished. The feet bound together with the fetters of sensuality, will be subject to delusion again and again.

Those who kill others for the sake of their own pleasure are wicked. Reckless men who cut down the sprouts for their own sport destroy many living beings.⁵ Sprouts are beings capable of natural development. People who destroy seeds for their own pleasure are wicked.6 All creatures who have committed sins will suffer. A miserable man who becomes a monk in order to get food from others and a flatterer in order to fill his belly will come to grief.7 Wrong beliefs and bad conduct are worthless. A servile man should not desire pleasant sounds and colours; he should rather conquer his longing for all kinds of pleasures.8

Sinners, subject to love and hatred and wrong-doing, acquire Karma arising from passions and commit many sins. The careless commit sins in their thought, act and speech. A cruel man does cruel things and is thereby involved in other cruelties.10 Sinful undertakings will in the end entail suffering.

Sūtra, 2, 1, 7.
 Ibid, 2, 1, 10.
 Ibid, 2, 1, 12.
 Ibid, 21.
 Ibid, 1, 7, 8.

^{6.} Ibid, 7, 9.

^{7.} Ibid, 7, 25.

S. Ibid, 7, 26.

^{9.} Ibid, 8, 6.

^{10.} Ibid. 1, 8, 7.

A pious monk, free from bonds and fetters, annihilates his bad *Karma* and removes the thorn of sin.¹ Following the rules of right conduct, he exerts himself.²

Sentient beings engage themselves in the following kinds of activities: sinning for one's own interest, sinning without a personal interest, sinning by staying, through accident, by error or sight, by lying, by taking what is not freely given, by mere conceit, through pride, through greed, through deceit, through bad treatment of one's friends, and actions concerning a religious life.³

HELLS

In hell there is suffering from heat and cold.4 The sinners are cut, pierced, and hacked to pieces with swords and daggers, with darts and javelins. They undergo sharp, horrible, and acute pain. The imprudent sinners, who injure many beings without relenting, will go to hell⁵ and cross the river Vaitarani, the waves of which cut like sharp razors.6 They are pierced with long pikes and tridents.7 They roll about and are roasted in the Kadamba Bāhula river.8 They come to the great impassable hell called Asūrya,9 where the Sun does not shine. Here also they are roasted. 10 The sinners are hewn with axes like pieces of timber. They are stewed in iron caldrons filled with their own blood.11 They are not reduced to ashes. They undergo this kind of punishment for their misdeeds.12 In hells sinners suffer on account of their sinful deeds done by them while on earth. The noses, ears, and lips of the sinners are cut off with razors and their tongues are pulled out

^{1.} Sūtra, I, 1, 8, 10.

^{2.} Ibid, 1, 8, 11.

^{3.} Ibid, 2, 2, 4.

^{4.} Uttarā, XIX, 47-48.

^{5.} Sūtra, I, 5, 1, 5.

^{6.} Ibid, 5, 1, 8.

^{7.} Ibid, 5. 1, 9.

^{8.} Ibid, I, 5, 1, 10.

^{9.} Ibid, 5, 1, 11.

^{10.} Ibid, 5, 1, 12.

^{11.} Ibid, 5, 1, 15.

^{12.} Ibid, 5. 1, 16.

with sharp pikes.¹ They are thrown into large caldrons and boiled there. They are compelled to drink molten lead and copper when they are thirsty.² In hell there is a terrible towering mountain called the Vaitālika where evil-doers are long tortured.³ Thus the sinners are tortured day and night. They cry at the top of their voices in a dreadful hell which contains various implements of torture.

Hells are round inside, square outside, their floor thickly set with razor-like arrows. They are filled with perpetual darkness. Their floor is slippery with a coat of marrow fat, flesh, blood, and matter, and besmeared with grease. They are very rugged, difficult to pass and horrid. Those who are condemned to live in these hells do not sleep, nor do they get any consolation or comfort or recreation. The denizens of hell suffer intolerable agonies.

A GLOOMY VIEW OF THE WORLD

Mahāvīra presents a gloomy picture of the world. According to him, the soul has to undergo births and deaths, and all their concomitant experiences. The senses and mental faculties become manifest in varying degrees in the varying forms of beings. Because of affection, passion, and attachment, man finds himself in an awful situation. The world presents a constant scene of quarrel and strife, death and carnage, and of all mad pursuits of life, the ultimate end of which is disappointment. For the sake of food and drink, lodging and comfort, woman and wealth, man is involved in various difficulties that lead the soul from sin to sin. Because of their attachment to seductive pleasures of the senses like sounds and colours, tastes and smells, and touches and perceptions, living beings suffer and find no escape from pain. The path to these pleasures is the path to birth, disease, decay, and death. Looking at the miserable condition of the world, man craves for liberation, deliverance and perfection.

REAL BRĀHMANA

He who has no worldly attachment after entering the order, who does not repent of having become a monk, and who

- 1, Sütra, 5, 1, 22, 2. Ibid. 5, 1, 25.
- 3. Ibid, 5, 2, 17.

takes delight in noble words is called a Brahmana.1 He who is free from love, hatred, and fear is called a Brāhmaņa.2 A lean, self-subduing ascetic, who reduces his flesh and blood, who is pious, and who has reached Nirvana, is a Brahmana.3 He who thoroughly knows living beings and does not injure them in any of the three ways (by his thought, word, and deed), is a Brāhmana.4 He who does not speak untruth from anger, or from greed, or from fear is a Brāhmaṇa.5 He who does not take anything which is not given to him is a Brāhmana.6 He who does not carnally love divine, human, and animal beings in thoughts, words, and deeds is a Brahmana.7 He who is not defied by pleasures is a Brāhmana.8 He who is not greedy, who lives unknown, who has no house, and who has no friendship with householders, is a Brāhmana.9 He who has given up his former connections with his relations and parents and who is not given to pleasures is a Brāhmaṇa.10 One does not become a Śramana by the tonsure, nor a Brāhmana by pronouncing the sacred syllable Om, nor a Muni by living in the forest, nor a Tapasa by wearing clothes of Kusa-grass.11 One becomes a Śramana by equanimity, a Brāhmana by chastity, a Muni by knowledge, and a Tapasa by penance.12 One becomes a Brāhmana or a Kshatriya or a Vaisya or a Śūdra by one's actions.13 He is a Brahmana who is exempt from all Karma.14 The most excellent Brāhmanas, who possess good qualities, are able to save themselves and others.15

- Uttarā, XXV, 20. 1.
- Ibid, 21. 2.
- Ibid, 22. 3.
- Ibid, 23. 4.
- 5. Ibid, 24.
- Ibid, 25. 6.
- Ibid, 26. 7.
- Ibid, 27. 8.
- Ibid. 28. 9.
- Ibid, 29.
- 10.
- Ibid, 31. 11.
- Ibid, 32. 12.
- Ibid, 33. 13.
- Ibid, 34. 14.
- Ibid, 35. 15.

CODE OF CONDUCT FOR ASCETICS

Mahāvīra also prescribed certain rules of conduct for ascetics. These rules are classified under such general heads as begging, walking, modes of speech, entry into other's possessions, postures, place of study, and attending to the calls of nature. Here begging includes begging food and drink, begging a bowl, begging clothes, and begging a residence or a couch. Under these subheads are to be found the rules governing the modes of eating, drinking, and lying down. Walking includes travelling, crossing, swimming and other forms of movement. The postures are those that are involved in religious exercises.

The reasons why these rules have been laid down are grounded in such general principles as the avoidance of situations in which the monk or nun may be guilty of hurting or killing all forms of life, or of inconveniencing others, or of wounding the social, moral, or religious scruples of others, the avoidance of situations in which the monk or nun may run the risk of endangering his own position or of receiving bodily injuries, or of feeling idiscomfort, or of being found guilty of theft or trespass, or of moral degradation, or of mental perturbation, and the avoidance of all situations in which the monk or nun may be found acting under the slightest influence of greed, ill-will, evil intention, discontent, delusion, inconsideration, haughtiness, and the like.

DISCIPLINE

A monk on receiving an order from his superior goes to him, watching the movement of his head and motions. He is well-behaved.¹ He who desires his own welfare should adhere to the rules of good conduct.² He who desires liberation will be received everywhere.³ He should acquire valuable knowledge and avoid what is worthless. He should rather be meek than talkative in the presence of the wise.⁴ A monk should avoid untruth and never tell anything sinful or meaningless or

^{1.} Uttara, I, 2.

^{2.} Ibid, 6.

^{3.} Ibid, 7.

^{4.} Ibid. S.

hurtful.¹ On the highway or in a barber's shop, a monk, who is all alone, should not stand with a single woman, nor should he talk to her.² A monk should sally forth at the right time and return at the right time.³ He should not approach dining people sitting in a row; he should collect alms that are freely given.⁴ He should eat moderately at the right time. He should wait for his alms alone, not too far from other monks, nor too near them.⁵

ON TROUBLES

There are twenty-two troubles which a monk must learn and know, bear, and conquer in order not to be vanquished by them. They are as follows: hunger, thirst, cold, heat, gadflies and gnats (all biting or stinging insects like lice), nakedness, women, to be discontented with the objects of control, erratic life, place for study, lodging abuse, corporal punishment, asking for something, to be refused, illness, the pricking of grass, dirt, kind and respectful treatment, understanding, ignorance, and righteousness. A monk, who is strong in selfcontrol and does penance, should not cut or cause another to cut anything to be eaten, nor cook it or cause another to cook it, even though his body is weakened by hunger. He should know the measure of food and drink permitted and wander about with a cheerful mind. Though troubled by thirst, he should drink distilled, not cold, water. Wandering about in deserts and feeling thirsty, he should bear the suffering caused by thirst. If a restrained monk occasionally suffers from cold on his wanderings, he should not walk beyond the prescribed time, remembering the teachings of the Master. A monk should not entertain such a thought: 'I have no shelter and nothing to cover my skin, therefore I shall kindle a fire to warm myself.' If a monk suffers from the heat of things hot or from the heat of the body, he should not lament the loss of comfort.

^{1.} Uttarā, 8.

^{2.} Ibid, I, 26.

^{3,} Ibid, 31.

^{4.} Ibid, 32.

^{5.} Ibid. 33.

A monk should remain undisturbed even if bitten by insects. He should not scare them away nor keep them off. He should not kill living beings. Nor should he entertain such thoughts: "My clothes are torn, I shall go naked or else I shall get a new suit." A houseless and poor monk, who wanders from village to village, should endure the hardships of an ascetic life. He should wander about free from sins and perfectly passionless. He knows that worldly men have a natural liking for women. Knowing this, he renounces women and easily performs his duties as a perfect monk.

A monk should acquire no property. He should sit down above in a burial place, or in a deserted town, or under a tree. Sitting there, he should brave all dangers. A monk, who does penances and is strong in self-control, will not be effected beyond measure by good or bad lodgings. If a layman abuses a monk, he should not be angry with him. If a monk hears bad words, he should silently overlook them and should not take them to heart. He should not be angry if beaten. He should not entertain sinful thoughts. He should meditate on the law. He should beg food from a householder when his dinner is ready. A monk who thinks thus, "I get nothing today, perhaps I shall get something tomorrow", will not be grieved by his want of success.

If a monk suffers pain or falls sick, he should cheerfully make his mind steady and bear the evils that attack him. He will be a true monk if he continues to search for the welfare of his soul. If a naked ascetic lies on the grass, his body will be hurt. Even then he will not use clothes. A wise monk should not lament the loss of comfort. He should overcome all difficulties while waiting for the destruction of his Karma. By practising the noble and excellent Law, he should carry the filth on his body till he expires. He should not evince any predilection for one who salutes him or rises from his seat on his approach or invites him to accept alms in his house. He should not think thus: "There is no life to come nor an exalted state to be acquired by penances."

A monk should know what alms may be accepted and

1. Uttarā. II, 1-44.

beg food only for the sustenance of life. Those who explain the marks of the body and who know its imminent changes are not to be called novices.

THE LEAF OF THE TREE

Just as the yellow leaf of a tree falls to the ground when its days are done, so does the life of man come to its close.¹ As life is so fleeting and existence so precarious, one should wipe off the sins ever committed. A rare chance is human birth for living beings and hard are the consequences of actions. The soul, which suffers from its carelessness, is driven about in the Samsāra by its good and bad Karmans. It is a chance rare enough to become an elect. If one believes in the law, one will surely practise it when the human body grows old and the hair turns white, when the power of the ears, eyes and the tactile nerves diminishes—when, in short, all human power begins to decline. One should cast aside all attachments and give up wealth. The enlightened and liberated monk should control himself and should show all the road to peace.

THE TRUE MONK

If a monk is dogmatic in his assertions, if he is malicious, egotistical, greedy, and without self-discipline, if he is always unkind, if he does not share what he has with others, then he is ill-behaved. If he is always humble, steady, free from deceit, if he is not proud of his learning, if he listens to friendly advice, if he does not speak ill of his friend behind his back, if he is enlightened, polite, decent and quiet, then he is well-behaved. Monks will go to the highest place only after their Karma has been annihilated.

He who adopts the law with a view to living as a monk should live in company of other monks, upright and free from desires. Free from love, he should live as a model of rightcousness, abstaining from sins and versed in the sacred law.

- Uttarā, X. This sermon was preached by Mahāvīra to Gautama to help him attain Kevala-jāāna. It contains much of Mahāvīra's doctrine. The sermon was meant to enable Gautama to cut off love and reach perfection.
- 2. Ibid, XI, 10-13-

Ignorant of abuse and iniquity a steadfast monk should be a model of righteousness, always protecting his soul from sins; neither rash nor passionate, he should endure everything. He controls himself, keeps the vows, practises austerities and meditates on his soul. A true monk does not care for his life, abandons every delusion, avoids men and women, and does not betray any curiosity. He does not progress and live on divinations. He also abstains himself from spells, roots, every kind of medical treatment, purgatives, emetics, fumigation, anointing of the eye, etc. A Jaina monk is forbidden to take beds, lodgings, drinks, food, dainties and spices from the householders. He who understands all religious disputations, who practises self-discipline, who meditates on his soul, who is wise, hardy, and observes everything, who is calm, and does not hurt anybody—he who does all this is a true monk. He who does not live by any art, who is without house and without friend, who subdues his senses, who is free from all bondages and sins and who eats little and lives single—he, too, is a true monk.1

THE TEN CONDITIONS OF PERFECT CHASTITY

There are ten conditions for the realization of celibacy. By hearing and knowing them, the monk will reach the highest degree of self-discipline, of contemplation, of stopping sins by means of Samitis and Guptis, will be well protected, will guard his senses and chastity. The ten conditions are the following:—

- (i) A monk may occupy various places for sleep or rest, but he should not occupy such places frequented by women, cattle, or cunuchs. If he occupies such places for sleep or rest as are frequented by women, cattle, or cunuchs his chastity will be suspect or he will acquire a dangerous illness of long duration or he will become a slave to passion.
- (ii) He should not talk about women.
- (iii) He should not sit together with women on the same seat.

1. Uttarā, XV.

- (iv) He should not look at or think of the charms or beauties of women.
- (v) He should not eavesdrop behind a screen or wall and listen to the singing or laughing or crying of women.
- (iv) He should not remember the pleasures and amuscments which he enjoyed in the past in the company of women.
- (vii) He should not eat well-dressed food.
- (viii) He should not eat or drink to excess.
 - (ix) He should not wear ornaments.
 - (x) He should avoid sounds, colours, tastes, smells and sensations of touch.¹

BAD MONKS

A bad monk is one who despises learning and discipline which his preceptors and teachers have taught him. He is disrespectful to his teachers and preceptors. He does not control himself though he believes himself to be well controlled. He hurts living beings and walks with great haste and without care. He is fierce and overbearing. It is the duty of a monk to examine very closely everything which he uses in order to avoid hurting living beings. He always slights his teachers and is deceitful, talkative, arrogant, greedy and rough. He delights in quarrels and perverts truth. He is not careful in sitting down. He is careless about his bed. He does not practise austerities. He eats after sunset, and when admonished, he makes an angry reply. Leaving his own teacher, he follows a heretical one. He is a man of bad disposition and frequently changes his school (Gana). He lives by fortunetelling, not by alms, and eats the food given by his relations. A pious monk is one who avoids sins, who is welcomed in this world, and who is the conqueror of the world.2

DUTIES OF A MONK

One should adopt the Law of the monks as well as their vows, virtues, and their endurance of the calamities, an endurance which enables him to abandon the great distress and the

- 1. Uttarā, XVI.
- 2. Ibid, XVII,

great delusion. He should keep the five great vows, viz. not to kill, to speak the truth, not to steal, to be chaste, and to have no property at all. A wise man should follow the law taught by the Jinas.² A monk should be of a forbearing nature, restrained, and chaste. He should live with his senses under control,3 He should walk about in utter indifference and bear everything, pleasant and unpleasant. He should not approve of everything everywhere nor care for respectful treatment or blame.4 A monk, who encounters dangerous and dreadful calamities, is not afraid of them.⁵ He should endure with equal equanimity both cold and heat, unpleasant feelings and physical disorders which attack the human body.6 An ascetic will by means of his simplicity enter the path of Nirvana.7 He is neither grieved nor pleased. He is intent on the benefit of his soul and strives for the highest good.8 A merciful monk should use beds far from those which have not been prepared for him.9

THE SAMITIS AND THE GUPTIS

There are five Samitis and three Guptis which constitute eight articles of the Jaina creed. The Samitis are the following: (1) going by paths trodden by men, beasts, carts, etc., and looking carefully so as not to cause the death of any living being; (2) gentle, sweet, and religious speech; (3) receiving alms in so nice a manner that the forty-two faults are avoided; (4) receiving and keeping things necessary for religious exercises; and (5) answering the call of nature in an unfrequented place. The three Guptis are the following: (1) preventing the mind from sensual pleasures by engaging it in contemplation, study, etc., (2) preventing the tongue from saying bad

- 1. Uttară, XXI, 11.
- 2. Ibid, 12.
- 3. Ibld, 13.
- 4. Ibid, I5.
- 5. Ibid, 16-17.
- 6. Ibid. 18.
- 7. Ibid. 20.
- 8. Ibid, 21.
- 9. Ibid, 22.

things through a vow of silence, and (3) putting the body in an immovable posture.

A well-disciplined monk should have only such walks as: are pure with regard to their cause, time, road, and effort. Knowledge, faith, and right conduct are included in the 'cause', the 'time' is daytime, the 'road' excludes bad ways, and the 'effort' is fourfold as regards substance, place, time, and condition of the mind. He should work diligently and avoid anger, pride, deceit, greed, laughter, fear, loquacity, and slander. Heshould use blameless and concise words at the appropriate time and avoid while begging faults in the search, in the receiving, and in the use of food, lodging, and the articles of daily consumption. There are sixteen Udgama doshas by which food becomes unfit for a Jaina monk: the undesirability for instance inherent in the food prepared by a layman for religious mendicants, the undesirability of the food which a layman has prepared for a particular monk, which has been prepared for festivities or which has been reserved for a monk when he hasto open locks before he gets the food or when a monk calls while the dinner is being cooked, and for his sake more food. is put in the pot which is on the fire, etc.

There are ten faults of receiving, e.g. when a monk accepts alms from a frightened layman (Śankita), when the food is soiled by animate or inanimate matter (Mrakshita), when a layman mixes up pure with impure food (Unmiśrita), etc. A zealous monk should wipe the thing after having inspected it with his eyes; then he should take it up or put it down. Excrements, urine, saliva, mucus, and uncleanliness of the body should be disposed of in the way prescribed. In a place neither frequented nor seen by others, which offers no obstacles to self-control, which is not covered with grass or leaves, which is spacious, in such a place he should leave his excrements, etc.

There are (1) truth, (2) untruth, (3) mixture of truth and untruth, and (4) a mixture of what is not true and what is not untrue. A zealous monk should not harbour any desire for the misfortune of others, nor should he think of causing misery to living beings or their destruction. In standing, sitting, lying down, jumping, going and in the use of his organs, a

zealous monk should prevent his mind cherishing evil desires, etc. These are the *Samitis* for the practice of the religious life and *Guptis* for the prevention of everything sinful.¹

THE CORRECT BEHAVIOUR OF MONKS DURING THE SEVERAL PARTS OF DAY AND NIGHT

'The correct behaviour of monks consists of the following: $\bar{A}va\dot{s}yik\bar{a}$ is required when a monk leaves a room; $Naishedhik\bar{i}$ on entering a place; $\bar{A}prichchhan\bar{a}$ or asking the Superior's permission for what he is to do himself; $Pratiprichchhan\bar{a}$, for what somebody else is to do; $Chhandan\bar{a}$ or placing at the disposal of other monks the things one has got; $Ichchh\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$ in the execution of one's intention by oneself or somebody else; $Mithy\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$ in blaming oneself for sins committed; $Tath\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$ in assenting to make a promise; $Abhyutth\bar{a}na$ in serving those who deserve respect, and Upasampad in placing oneself under another teacher.

After sunrise during the first quarter of the first Paurushi (the fourth part of a day or a night), a monk should inspect and clean his things and pay his respects to his superior. He should ask him with folded hands: what shall I do now? If he is ordered to do some work, he should do it ungrudgingly. A clever monk should divide a day into four equal parts, and fulfil his duties in all the four parts. In the first Paurushī, he should study; in the second he should meditate; in the third, he should go on his begging-tour; and in the fourth, he should study again. The Paurushi increases or decreases a digit (equal to five minutes) every week, two digits every fortnight, and four digits every month. A clever monk should divide the night into four parts and do his duties in all the four parts. In the first Paurushi, he should study; in the second, he should meditate; in the third, he should sleep and in the fourth, he should study again. When a small part of the quarter is left in which the leading star is seen, a monk should watch.

In the first quarter of the first Paurushi, he should inspect his things, pay his respect to his superior, then begin his study not allowing himself to be affected by any pain. In the last

1. Uttarā, XXIV.

quarter of the first Paurushī, after paying his respect to the Guru a monk should inspect his alms-bowl without performing the expiation of sins concerning time. He should inspect his mouth cloth, then his broom; and, taking the broom in his hand, he should inspect his cloth. Standing upright, he should hold his cloth firmly and inspect it leisurely. Then he should spread it and at last wipe it. He should spread the cloth without shaking or crushing it in such a way as to make the folds disappear; he should fold it up six times in length and nine times in breadth, and then he should remove whatever living organisms that are there with his hands. He must avoid inattentiveness in commanding his work, in taking up the corners of the cloth, in folding it up, in removing the dust, in putting it down on some other piece of cloth, and in sitting upon the hunches (Vedikā). One must not hold the cloth loosely or at one corner. If he who is engaged in inspecting a thing talks with anybody, renounces something, receives his lessons from another, he obviously neglects his inspection. One who is careful in his inspection, protects the six kinds of living beings, e.g. the earth bodies, the water bodies, the fire bodies, the wind bodies, plants, and animals.

In the third Paurushī, he should beg food and drink for any of the following six reasons: (1) to prevent illness. (2) to serve the Guru, (3) to comply with the rules of walking, (4) to comply with the rules of self-control (5) to save one's life, and (6) to meditate on the Law. A zealous Jaina monk should not beg food for the following reasons: (1) in the case of illness, (2) in the case of disaster, (3) to preserve one's chastity, and the Guptis, (4) out of compassion for living beings, (5) in the interest of penance, and (6) to put an end to one's life.

In the fourth Paurushī, a monk should put away his almsbowl, and then he should begin to study. In the last quarter of the fourth Paurushī, he should pay his respects to the Guru, and having performed Kālapratikramaṇa, he should inspect his lodging. A true monk should find a suitable place where to discharge his excrements and urine. He should reflect on the transgressions he has committed during the day with regard to

knowledge, faith, and conduct. Having finished $K\bar{a}yotsarga$ and paid his respect to the Guru, he should confess the sins committed during the day. Then, having recited the Pratikramaṇa $S\bar{u}tra$ and having destroyed his sins, he should pay his respect to the Guru. Having finished $K\bar{a}yotsarga$, he should pronounce the customary prayers. A monk should do the same thing in the first $Paurush\bar{\imath}$ during the night, in the fourth $Paurush\bar{\imath}$, and in the last quarter of the fourth $Paurush\bar{\imath}$. Then he should reflect on all the sins committed during the night with regard to knowledge, faith, and conduct. Then he should confess the sins committed during the night. Having finished $K\bar{a}yotsarga$ and paid his respect to the Guru, he should practise those austerities which he has undertaken, and praise the saints who have attained perfection.¹

MODE OF LIFE

A monk will not be subject to transmigration if he practises self-control in the different spheres of life. Love and hate are two evils which produce bad Karma. A monk should always keep away from hurtful, conceited and delusive acts. Hurtful acts (danda) are threefold since they refer to thoughts, words, and acts. Conceited acts are pride in riches, in taste and in pleasure or fashion. Delusive acts are Mara, Nidana and false belief (Mithyadarsana). A monk endures calamities and does his best to escape from the four different kinds of praises, passions, expressions of the emotions, and of the four meditations. He always exerts himself with regard to the five vows, the five objects of the senses, the five Samitis, and the five actions. He also exerts himself with regard to the six Lesyās, the six kinds of bodies, and the six regular functions as eating. He always exerts himself with regard to the seven rules of accepting alms and the seven causes of danger to others.

A monk guards himself against the eight objects of pride while following the ten-fold Law of the monks. The eight objects of pride are: easte, family, beauty, etc. The monk devotes himself to the eleven duties of the Upasaka (lay-disciples) and to the twelve duties of the Bhikkhus. He always exerts

1. Uttarā, XXVI.

himself with regard to the thirteen actions productive of Karma, fourteen kinds of living beings, and the fifteen places of punishment of the wicked. He is always aware of the sixteen gālhās, eighteen kinds of continence, and nineteen Jñātādhyayanas (Nāyā), twenty-one fobidden actions, and twenty-two troubles. He always exerts himself with regard to the twenty-three lectures of the Sūtrakritānga, the twenty-five clauses, and the twenty-six chapters of the daśās. He always exerts himself with regard to the twenty-seven virtues of the laity and the twenty-eight lectures of the Prakalpa, the twenty-nine causes of wrong knowledge, the thirty causes of delusion, the thirtyone qualifications of the perfected ones, the thiry-two pure operations of the mind, speech, and body, and the thirty-three articles regulating the intercourse between monks, especially pupils and teachers.¹

THE HOUSELESS MONK

Renouncing his wife, a sage should know and give up those attachments which enslave men. A monk possessing self-restraint should abstain from killing, stealing, dying, physical intercourse, and greed. He should not long for a pleasant dwelling house. He should happily live in a burial place, in a deserted-house, beneath a tree, in solitude, etc. A well-controlled monk should live in a place which is not much too crowded and where no women live. He should abstain from building a house. Full of compassion for living beings he should neither cook nor cause others to cook. He should cause nobody to cook because beings, living in water, corn, wood, etc., are destroyed in the process. A monk should not light a fire. He should not in his thoughts long for gold and silver. He is not to engage in buying and selling. He who is to live on alms should beg, not buy. He should collect his alms in parts and contentedly go at his begging hour, whether he gets alms or not. A sage should not eat for the sake of the delicious taste of the food but for the sustenance of his life. He should meditate on true things only, committing no sins and owning no property. He should walk about careless about his body till

I. Uttorā, XXXI

his end comes. Only such a sage obtains absolute knowledge and reaches eternal beatitude, free from passions and sins, and without property and without egoism.¹

BEGGING FOOD

A monk or a nun on a begging tour should not accept as alms whatever herbs he or she recognizes. Only such things should be accepted as are pure and acceptable.² None should accept food which has been prepared for Brāhmaṇas, guests, beggars, etc.³ Nor should one accept food which does not belong to the giver.⁴ The monk and nun may accept food from noble families, distinguished families, and royal families.⁵ They should not, however, attend any festive entertainment.⁶ Nor should they accept food about which they have some doubts in their mind. A monk or a nun desirous of entering the abode of a householder should not do so when it is found 'that the milch cows are being milched, or the food is being cooked, and that it is not yet distributed.'⁷

A monk or a nun on a begging tour should not accept flattened grains, grains containing much chaff.⁸ He or she should not accept fossil salt or sea salt which is impure and unacceptable.⁹ He or she should not accept food which has been prepared over the fire, for such food is impure and unacceptable.¹⁰ He or she should not accept food which has been placed on a post or on a roof or on a platform or some such elevated place.¹¹ He or she should not accept food which is kept in an earthen ware.¹² He or she should not accept food placed on the earth body, wind body or fire body, for such

- 1. Uttarā, XXI.
- 2. Āchā, II, 1, I, 3, 4,
- 3. Ibid, II, I, I, 12.
- 4. Ibid, 13.
- .5. Ibid. II, I. II, 2.
- 6. Ibid, 5.
- 7. Ibid, II, I, 4. 3.
- 8. Ibid, II, I. 6, S.
- 9. Ibid, 9.
- 10. Ibid, 10.
- 11. Ibid, II, I, 7, 1.
- 12. Ibid, II, I, 7, 3.

food is impure and unacceptable.¹ He or she should not accept food which is placed on vegetable or animal matter.² He or she should not accept such water as has been taken from the bare ground.³ He or she should not accept juice of mangoes, pomegranates, cocoanuts, tamarinds, etc⁴. He or she should not accept raw things, such as mustard seeds, raw powdered fruits, unripe wild rice, honey, liquor, etc. He or she should not accept raw plants, vegetables, raw substances, etc. He or she should not accept any part of a sugarcane, as it is impure and unacceptable, meat or fish containing many bones; but food which is offered on a plate or in a copper cup may be accepted if the moisture on the hands of the giver is dried up. A monk or a nun should not use for religious postures a lodging used by a householder, in which there are workmen, children, cattle, food, and drink.⁵

A mendicant should not stay in halting places, garden houses, family houses, monasteries much frequented by fellow-ascetics. It is difficult to obtain pure and acceptable alms. A monk or a nun should not use for religious postures a lodging where the householder and his wife go about naked or hide themselves, or talk about sexual pleasures. He or she should not use for religious postures a lodging which is a common playground.

A monk shall not beg pointing at the householder with a finger or goading, the threatening, or scratching him with a finger, praising or cursing him.⁶

MODES OF SPEECH

He or she should speak with precision, employing his language with moderation and restraint, a language which is grammatically correct and whose true import, he fully understands. A monk or a nun should not use speech if it be sinful, blamable, rough, coarse and hard. Seeing a diseased person, he or she should not talk thus: "He has got boils or leprosy. His

- 1. Āchā, II, I. 7, 4.
- 2. Ibid, 6.
- 3. Ibid, 9.
- 4. Ibid, II, I, S. 1,
- 5. II, I, 8, 4-15.
- 6. Ibid, II, 1, 6, 3.

hand, foot, nose, ear, or lip is affected." A monk or a nun seeing a man should speak about him thus: 'His body is well grown, well compacted, his flesh and blood are abundant.'

WALKING

When a monk or a nun knows that in a village or a town, there is no large place for religious practices or for study, he or she should not remain there during the cold season. He or she may circumspectly wander from village to village. When on a pilgrimage, he or she should not choose a road passing through a forest. If there is some water-way on the way which must be crossed in a boat, he or she should not get into a boat which plies up or down or across the river. A monk or a nun entering a boat should not choose the stern or the prow or the middle of the boat. If a monk or a nun finds water entering through a leak in the boat which becomes dirty all over, he or she should not approach the boatman and inform him about it. While swimming in the water, he or she should not touch another person's foot, hand, or body with his or her own hand; he or she should not dive up and down, lest water should enter into his or her eyes, ears, nose, or mouth. If while swimming in the water he or she is overcome by weakness, he or she should throw off his or her clothes, either all or a part of them. A monk or a nun should not wipe or rub or brush or dry or warm or heat his or her body. If while going on a pilgrimage he or she comes across shallow water, he or she should first wipe his or her body from head to feet. He or she should wade through the shallow water in a straight line. A monk or a nun wading through the shallow water must not plunge into deeper water for the sake of pleasure.

If a monk or a nun perceives wagons, carts, a friendly or hostile army, he or she should not walk straight on. A monk or a nun, wandering from village to village together with a master or a teacher, should not touch the hand of the master or the teacher with his or her own.²

^{1.} Āchā, II, 3, 1-3.

^{2.} Ibid, II, 4, 1-2.

BEGGING CLOTHES

A monk or a nun wanting clothes may beg for clothes made of wool, silk, hemp, palm leaves, cotton, etc. He or she should not accept clothes which a layman has bought, washed, dyed, rubbed, cleaned, or perfumed. A monk or a nun should not accept clothes made of fur or clothes that are fine or beautiful, etc. He or she should not accept plaids of fur or other materials. He or she may ask a householder or his wife for clothes which have been well inspected. He or she should not accept clothes which are full of eggs or living organisms, for they are impure. He or she may accept clothes which are strong, lasting, and are fit for a mendicant.

If a mendicant borrows for a short time a robe from another mendicant, the owner of it should not take back such a robe for himself nor should he give it to somebody elsc. A monk or a nun should not make coloured clothes colourless, he or she should not, that is, colour colourless clothes. If a monk sees thieves on his way, he should not leave the robe out of fear to save his clothes.¹

BEGGING FOR A BOWL

Monks, and nuns should not accept a bowl bought by a layman. They should not accept expensive bowls or bowls containing precious materials. They may accept a bowl from a householder or his wife after having it thoroughly inspected. They may beg for a bowl used by a former owner or by many people. Entering into the abode of a householder for the sake of alms, they should circumspectly leave it after examining their alms-bowl and removing all living beings from it if there be any. They should not wipe or rub a wet or moist alms-bowl.²

SPOT WHERE ONE CAN EASE ONESELF

They should not empty their bowls of excrement on the bare ground or on wet ground or on dusty ground or on a rock or clay containing life or a timber inhabited by worms. They

^{1.} Āchā, II, 5, 1-2.

^{2.} Ibid, II, 6, 1-2.

should not ease themselves at a place where the householders or their sons have sown or will sow rice, beans, pulse, or barley. They should not ease themselves at a place where there are heaps of refuse, mud, etc. They should not shit in fire-places or at a place where suicide is committed, or in gardens, parks, woods. temples, or wells. They should not shit on cross-roads or on town-gates or on pathways or at a place where charcoal is produced or the dead are burnt. They should not empty their bowls at sacred places near rivers, marshes or ponds. They should not ease themselves in fresh clay-pits, fresh pasture-grounds for cattle or in a field of vegetables. Monks and nuns should take their own chamber-pot and ease themselves in a secluded place where no people pass or see them, and leave the excrement on a heap of ashes.¹

OTHER MISCELLANEOUS ACTS

A monk is holy through his innocence. He allows no trouble to influence his words, thoughts and acts. He should take no notice of seductive pleasures and endeavour to shake off delusion. Knowing the highest Law, he should perform his religious duties. He should be free from attachment and earnest in the performance of austerities.

Begging is a hard task. It is painful never to take anything but what is freely given. Some weak men, who are unable to preserve their chastity, will become disheartened. Some fools take a pious monk for spy, bind him and insult him. Some low people, who lead a life of iniquity and who are subject to love and hatred, injure a monk.

There are some tender affections which monks cannot easily overcome. A monk should renounce attachment, for every attachment is a cause of sin. A holy monk may find many inducements and seductions in this world, but he should not break down like weak bullocks carrying a heavy burden uphill. A monk should snap off the ties that bind him to his house; he should wander about for the welfare of his soul. A wise and thoroughly restrained monk should bear all hardships

1. Achā, II, 10.

and wander about till he attains his final liberation. The Buddhists hold that pleasant things are produced from pleasant things. Those who exert themselves at the proper time feel no remorse afterwards. The heroes, who get rid of their fetters, do not long for their life. A monk should strive for the attainment of Nirvāna which consists in peace.

Considering the consequences a worthy monk should have no intercourse with women. He should avoid them. Those who have intercourse with women have ceased to practise meditation. When a monk breaks the Law, dotes on women and is absorbed by that passion, they afterwards scold him, lift their foot up, and trample down his head. One should not mind the entreaties of women, but abstain from their friendship and company. The pleasures which are derived therefrom are causes of blamable actions. A monk should abstain from women and commit no unnatural crime. A wise and learned monk, whose soul is uncontaminated, will abstain from doing work for others; he will endure all hardships with all his might.

A virtuous monk should never keep company with the wicked. He should not stay in the house of a householder except under compulsion. He should obey and serve a wise and pious teacher. If beaten, he should not be angry. With a placid mind he should bear everything.

A true monk should not say that this is meritorious and that is not meritorious. He should guard his soul, bring his senses under his control, and put a stop to the current of the Samsāra. Free from sins, he is entitled to expound the pure, complete, and unparalleled Law. He should try to attain beatitude.

If a poor monk, subsisting on the meanest food, clings to vanities and makes his monkhood a means of sustenance, he will suffer again and again. An eloquent monk gifted with bright ideas and possessed of a high intellect and pure soul, should combat pride of genius, pride of sanctity, pride of birth, and pride of good living. A monk having conquered aversion to self-control and delight in sensual objects, should silently

repeat to himself, "A man must come and go according to his Karma alone." The pious are not given to blamable sinful practices. Avoiding all evils, monks should without embarrassment and passion preach the Law.

Making manifest the conduct of the virtuous, an intelligent monk should not leave the company of his teacher. monk who complies with the rules for the Yatis regarding postures, lying down, sitting and exertion, who is thoroughly acquainted with the Samitis and Guptis, should explain each single point of conduct. He should not allow himself to be influenced by pleasant sounds. He should perserve in selfcontrol. A novice, who has not mastered the law, does not know the law, but he will know it afterwards through the words of the Jainas. A monk possessed of a sound conduct will explain the moral nature of the virtuous. A monk, by hearing the desired truth, gets bright ideas and becomes a clever teacher; by desiring the highest good and practising austerity, he obtains his final liberation. Such virtuous men do not conceal the truth or falsify it. Nor do they cherish any desire for fame. Indeed, a monk should be honest and fearless in his exposition of the Syadvada. He should use words appropriate to a monk and should be impartial and wise. He should utter pure speech in accordance with the creed of the Jinas and should learn the sacred text thoroughly. While his endeavour should be to teach the creed and not to speak unduly long, he should also do his utmost to deliver faithfully what he has learnt. He should not prevent the truth from coming to light nor render it obscure.

A monk, who does not act nor kill, who is free from anger, pride, deceit and greed, who is calm and happy, will never entertain the wish that after his departure from the world he will become a god or a perfected saint. He does nothing that arises from a sinful cause, nor does he get such things done by another person. A monk should not take food or drink when he knows that a householder, to satisfy him or a co-religionist, has brought it. One should eat when it is time for eating, seek cover when it is time for seeking cover, and sleep when it is time for sleeping. When a monk preaches the law, he should preach it not for the sake of food or drink, but

he should preach it indefatigably for no other motive than the annihilation of Karma. Such a monk searches the law, knows the law, and endeavours to gain liberation. He renounces action and worldly occupation, he is free from passions, possesses the Samitis, is wise, virtuous and liberated, lives on simple food, desires to get across the shore of the Samsāra and never departs from general and particular virtues.

A monk abstains from the five cardinal sins, from the slaughter of living beings, etc. He does not clean his teeth with a tooth brush; he does not accept perfumes, emetics, and collyrium. Such a monk does not act nor kill; he is free from anger, pride, deceit, and greed; he is calm and happy. He is well controlled and restrained, avoids and renounces sins, is not active but careful and thoroughly wise.

The wrongly instructed Śramaṇas do not comprehend the soul's bondage through Karma (Karmabandha). A wise monk should improve his chances for final liberation. He should conform himself to the rules laid down by the Jinas and wander patiently about till he attains final liberation.

A monk, who has achieved his religious perfection through the instruction of the Awakened one and stands firm in it, who guards himself in the threefold way with regard to thoughts, words and acts, and who possesses the things requisite for crossing the immense Ocean of existence, may preach the law.

If a mendicant thinks that on account of his illness, he has become weak and is unable to wander from house to house, on his thus complaining the householder may bring food and give it to him. By practising monastic rules, one becomes tranquil, free from sin, and guards himself against the allurements of the senses. Entering a village or a town, a monk should beg for straw. After getting the straw, he should retire with it to a secluded spot. After having examined and cleaned the ground, he should spread the straw on it. A naked monk thinks thus: 'I can bear the pricking of the grass, the influence of cold and heat, the stinging of flies and mosquitoes. I can sustain all those painful feelings but cannot abandon the covering of the privities'. Knowing the two obstacles relating to the

body and mind, a wise man who has thoroughly learnt the law, can get rid of his Karma. Subduing the passions and living on little food, he should endure hardships. If a mendicant becomes unattached internally and externally, he should strive after absolute purity. If crawling animals feed on his flesh and blood, he should neither kill them nor rub the wounds; even if these animals destroy the body, he should not stir from his position. A well-controlled monk should give up all motives except his own in thrice threefold way (of body, speech, and mind). He should not lie on sprouts or grass, but inspecting the bare ground, he could lie on it. Without any food or comfort, he should bear pain. If a sage becomes weak, he should strive after calmness, that is to say, he should not give way to melancholy thoughts. He should move about on the ground. and stretch his limbs for the benefit of the whole body. He should walk about when tired of lying and sit down when tired of standing.

CHAPTER V

LORD MAHĀVĪRA'S RELIGIOUS CONTEMPORARIES AND CONTEMPORARY SECTS

The age of Lord Mahävira (6th century B.C.) was of far-reaching religious reformist activities not only in India but also throughout the ancient world. It was an age of enlightenment for the human race. The materialistic interpretation of history would attribute this change in human consciousness to a change in social milieu. The idealist historiography would see here an unfoldment of the spirit or the progress of thought through its autonomous dialectic. Suddenly and almost simultaneously and almost certainly independently, there started religious movements at separate centres of civilization. Zoroaster gave a new creed to Iran; Confucius and Loa-tse taught in China; the Jews in their Babylonian captivity developed their tenacious faith in Jehova, and the Sophists in Greece began tackling the problems of life.

Even in India, this was an age of freedom of thought which gave rise to new religious movements and brought about radical changes for the better in the old ones. The Samaññaphala Sutta and the Brahmajāla Sutta in the Digha Nikāya of the Buddhists mention about sixty-three different philosophical schools-probably all of them non-Brahmana existing at the time of Buddha. In the Sūtrakṛitānga, Bhagavatī, etc., of the Jainas, we find a far larger number of such heretical schools. These statements about the number of sects may have been influenced by the tendency to exaggerate which was widespread in ancient India. We should not assume that they were independent religious sects or schools because these are distinguished only by very subtle and minor differences in matters of doctrine and practice. It is not possible today to prove once for all that all these sects originated at the same time. Some of them may have owed their origin to a time far more remote than that of Mahāvīra.

ORIGIN OF THESE SECTS

There are divergent views among the scholars about the origin of these ascetic intellectual movements. According to T.W. Rhys Davids, the growth of the wandering bodies of religieux, the *Paribbājakas*, was the result of an intellectual movement before the rise of Buddhism which was, in a large measure, a lay-movement, not a priestly movement. It is difficult to understand this movement as a lay-movement. It was in fact neither priestly nor lay. It originated neither in Brahmanical reform nor in Kshatriya revolt; nor was it a middle class effort. It was a classless and casteless movement, and it had no special affinity with the attitude and interest of any particular social classes.

MAX MULLER,² G. Buhler,³ H. Kern,⁴ and H. Jacobi⁵—all contend that the Brahmanical 'ascetic' was the model of the Buddhist, the Jaina, and the other heretical sects of this age. It has also been suggested that these arose out of the antiritualistic tendency gaining ground within the religion of the Brāhmaṇas. G. C. Pandey⁶ has tried to show that the antiritualistic tendency within the Vedic fold is itself due to the impact of an asceticism which antedates the Vedas. Some of the sects, such as Jainism and the Ājīvikīsm, may represent a continuation of this pre-Vedic stream.

There was not one but several factors which gave rise to these religious movements. It was an age of frequent and bloody wars, which made people long for peace. The great economic prosperity also filled some of them with despair of material life. There was considerable social distress because of the rigid caste system. The clash of rival schools and sects also led the people to spiritual quest.

SRAMANA AND BRAHMANICAL SECTS

The sects of this age were divided into many classes, but

- 1. RBI, p. 111.
- 2. Hibbert Lectures, p. 351.
- 3. SBE, II, pp. 191, 192.
- 4. Manual of Indian Buddhism.
- 5. Spr., XXII, p. xxiv.
- 6. Pson. p. 317.

the main division was between the two Śramaṇa or Non-Brahmanical sects and Brahmanical sects. The main differences between the two were as follows.

- 1. The attitude of the Brahmanical sects towards secular life was not so uncompromising, for they emphasized renunciation only after the proper fulfilment of social duties. On the other hand, in the Śramaṇa Sects, their followers practised a detached life with a view to liberating themselves from all worldly attachments. They could take to a life of renunciation (pravrajyā) any time after ceasing to be under age.
- 2. In Brahmanical sects, only a Brāhmaṇa or Dvija could become a Parivrājaka, while in the Śramaṇa sects, all members of the community, irrespective of their social rank and religious career (Varṇa and Āśrama), could be admitted to their church.
- 3. The difference in scriptures and in the attitude towards them was another dividing line between the two sects. The Sramanas challenged the authority of the Vedas.
- 4. The orthodox sects did not permit renunciation for women, who however, could and did join some of the heterodox ascetic Orders.
- 5. The Brahmanical sects emphasised the rituals, while the followers of the Śramana Sects observed a set of ethical principles.

Some of Mahāvīra's chief contemporary religious teachers belonging to the Śramaṇa sects were: Pūraṇa Kassapa Pakudha Kachchāyana, Makkhali Gośāla, Ajita Keśakambalin, Sañjaya Belaṭṭhiputta, and Buddha. The following account of their views based on the Jaina and Buddhist texts is both brief and lop-sided and, therefore, it may not give us a correct picture.

PŪRAŅA KASSAPA

From the Jaina¹ and Buddhist² records, it is clear that Pūrana Kassapa (Pūrna Kāsyapa) was an old, experienced, and respectable teacher. Though his date is not definite, it is

^{1.} Sūtra.

^{2.} Sāmañña; Dīgha. I. 47; Milinda, p. 4; W. ROCKHILL's Life of the Buddha, pp. 80. 96 foll.

presumed that he might have lived in the sixth century B.C. as is evident from references to him as a contemporary of king Ajātasatru of Magadha. He was the head of a religious order and the founder of a school (tittha-karo). He was followed by a large body of disciples and honoured throughout the country. It seems from his name that he was born in a Brāhmaṇa family. The name $P\bar{u}raṇa$ ($P\bar{u}rṇa$) indicates that he was believed to have been fully enlightened and perfect in wisdom.

NO-ACTION THEORY (AKRIYĀVĀDA)

Pūrana Kassapa is known to be the exponent of the 'noaction' theory (Akrivāvāda). It is said that Ajātaśatru once visited Pūrana Kassapa, who expounded his views thus: "To him who acts or causes another to act. causes another to mutilate, punishes, or causes another to grief or torment, trembles punish, causes or tremble, kills other creatures. takes another to is not given, breaks into houses, commits dacoity or robbery or tells lies, to him, thus acting, there is no guilt... no increase of guilt would ensure.... In giving alms, in offering sacrifices, in self mastery, in control of senses, and in speaking truth, there is neither merit nor increase of merit." This is called an exposition of the 'no-action' theory (Akriyāvāda). According to it, man is an irresponsible agent, because his action brings neither any merit nor any demerit. In other words, this doctrine was amoral because one might do whatever one wanted to do without becoming sinful or virtuous.

The $S\bar{u}trak_{\bar{r}}it\bar{a}nga^2$ furnishes a parallel passage where the doctrine is expressly called $Akriy\bar{u}v\bar{u}da$. Sīlānka calls it $Ak\bar{u}rakav\bar{u}da$ and implicitly identifies it with the Sānkhya view. The identity between the view of Pūraṇa Kassapa and this $Ak\bar{u}rakav\bar{u}da$ is probable, not certain.

THE DOCTRINE OF THE PASSIVITY OF THE SOUL

Most probably, Kassapa was, as B.M. BARUA³ states, an advocate of the theory that the Soul was passive (nishkriya), that no action could affect it, and that it was beyond good and

^{1.} RBI. pp. 69-70.

^{2.} Sūtra, I. 1. 1. 13.

^{3.} Burir. p. 279.

bad, a view which many previous Vedic thinkers had enunciated. When we act or cause others to act, it is not the soul that acts or causes others to act. Whether we do good or bad, the result does not affect the soul in the least.

${\it NO-CAUSE\ THEORY\ (AHETUV\ \overline{A}DA)}$

Kassapa is said to be an upholder of the 'No-cause theory' (Ahetwāda). It is reported in the words of the Buddha that no hetu (cause) and no pachchaya (condition) are accepted by Pūraṇa Kassapa as instrumental in either defiling a person or purifying him.¹ Abhaya says that Kassapa accepts no cause for ñāṇa (knowledge) and dassana (insight).² B.M. BARUA³ tries to bring his view under Adhichcha-samuppāda (fortuitous in origin) referred to in the Brahmajāla Sutta, i.e. Ahetwāda. G.C. Pandey⁴ does not subscribe to the view that Pūraṇa Kassapa held to the doctrine of Adhichcha-samuppāda. Events may 'have nothing to do with the soul,' and yet may not be fortuitous in origin.

THEORY OF INTROSPECTIVE KNOWLEDGE

In the passage of the Anguttara Nikāya,⁵ two Lokāyatika Brāhmaṇas are said to have stated that according to Pūraṇa Kassapa's theory only an infinite mind can comprehend the finite world, whereas according to Nigaṇṭha Nātaputta's theory the finite world can only be a context of finite knowledge. Pūraṇa Kassapa has been described as one always in possession of nāṇadassana (introspective knowledge), while walking or staying etc., and that he perceived the finite world through infinite knowledge.⁶ In another passage, Buddha is said to have represented Kassapa, along with other heretical teachers, as possessing the power of divining where a particular dead person was reborn.⁷

^{1.} Sam, III, p. 69.

^{2.} Ibid, V, P. 69.

^{3.} BGPIP, pp. 278-279.

^{4.} Psob, p. 345,

Añgu, IV, p. 428.

^{6.} Ibid,

^{7.} Sam, IV, p. 398.

THE DOCTRINE OF THE SIX CLASSES OF BEINGS (CHHALĀBHIJĀTIYO)

In a passage of the Anguttara-nikāya, Ānanda expounds to Pūraņa Kassapa Makkhali Gośāla's doctrine of the six classes of human beings (Chhalābhijātiyo), such as Kanhābhijāti (black class of being), nīlābhijāti (blue class of being) etc. A.L. Basham² has tried to prove that Pūraṇa, a heretical leader of long standing who maintained a fatalistic doctrine with tendencies to antinomianism, came in contact with Makkhali Gośāla, a younger teacher with doctrines much the same as his own, but with a more successful appeal to the public. Recognizing his eclipse, he admitted the superiority of the new teacher, and accepted the sixfold classification of men, which placed Makkhali Gośāla and his forerunners, Nanda Vachcha, and Kisa Sankichcha, in the highest category.

PAKUDHA KACHCHĀYANA (KAKUDA KĀTYĀYANA)

Pakudha Kachchāyana was an elder contemporary of the Buddha. He was a leader of some religious body and was held in great esteem by the people of the time. Buddhaghosha says that Pakudha is his personal name and Kachchāyana his family (gotra) name. The term 'Pakudha' has been traditionally interpreted as prakrudha, furious. Its alternative form is Kakudha or Kakuddha which means the same thing. Assuming 'Kakuda' to be the original and correct form meaning 'a man having a hump on his back, B.M. Barua connects this Kātyāyana with Kabandhī Kātyāyana, one of the pupils of the sage Pippalāda of the Praina Upanishad. The suggestion, though ingenious, lacks a convincing proof.

As Pakudha Kachchāyana has left us no records of his own, we have to depend for a knowledge of his doctrine on the Praśnopanishad, the Sāmañña-phala-sutta, and the Sātrakṛitāṅga. In the Praśnopanishad, in answer to Kātyāyana's question to Pippalāda as to the roots of things, he was told that the roots were Matter (Rayi) and Spirit (Prāṇa). Buddhaghosha records

^{1.} Angu, III, pp. 383-84.

^{2.} History and Doctrines of the Ajivikas, p. 90.

^{3.} Burn, p. 227.

that Kachchayana never used to touch cold water. He never even crossed a river or a marshy pathway, lest he should transgress his vow.

THE DOCTRINE OF SEVEN CATEGORIES

In the Buddhist Sāmañna-phala-sutta,2 Kachchāyana's philosophy is described as the doctrine of seven categories (Satta-kāya-vāda). He has been represented as saying: "The following seven things are neither made nor commanded to be made, neither created nor caused to be created; they are barren (so that nothing is produced out of them), steadfast as a mountain peak, as a pillar firmly fixed. They move not, neither do they vary; they trench not one upon another, nor avail aught as to ease (pleasure) or pain or both. And what are the seven? The four elements-earth, water, fire and air-, and ease (pleasure) and pain, and the soul as a seventh. So there is neither slayer nor causer of slaying, hearer or speaker, knower or explainer, when one with sharp sword cleaves a head in twain, no one thereby deprives any one of life, a sword has only penetrated into the interval between seven elementary substances." Kāchchāyana accepted seven elementary substances as permanent and eternal, neither created nor caused to be created. This Sattakāyavāda furnishes an instance of what the Buddhists called Sassatavāda. Its plurality of substances recalls Vaiseshika; its denial of interaction between soul and matter as well as the aloofness of the soul from Sukha and Dukha recalls Sānkhva.

THE DOCTRINE OF SOUL AS A SIXTH CATEGORY (ĀTMA-SHASHŢHAVĀDA)

The Sūtrakṛitānga⁴ presents the system of six categories omitting pleasure and pain, adding ether or space in their place. Šīlānka named it 'the doctrine of soul as a sixth category (ātma-shashṭha-vāda) which somehow resembles the doct-

^{1.} Sumangala-Vilāsinī, I, p. 144.

^{2.} Digha, I, p. 57.

^{3.} Dia, I, p. 74.

^{4.} Sũtra, I, 1. 1. 15-16.

rines of Pakudha. It is also somewhat different because the existence of $\bar{A}k\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ (ether or space) is distinctly recognised, and it omits sukha and dukha. Sīlāṅka identifies the doctrine of soul as a sixth category with the doctrine of the Bhagavad Gītā, as well as with the $S\bar{a}\dot{n}khya$ and some of the Śaiva systems. There is no doubt about some sort of historical relationship existing between them.

VIEWS ABOUT ACTION AND THE SOUL

Like Kassapa, Kachchāyana denied not the appearance, but the reality of action and also asserted that the soul was really untouched by change and was therefore superior to good and evil. It is perhaps not too much to imagine that this doctrine was formulated in opposition to the doctrine of Samsāra according to which the soul suffered and was itself responsible for its sufferings. Gośāla accepted the process of Samsāra but gave of it a new explanation. Being apparently Brāhmaṇas, Kassapa and Kachchāyana were probably acquainted with the Upanishadic speculation and were still more radical in their denial of the real existence of the problem itself.

THEORIES OF ETERNALISM AND NON-ACTION

The fragment of the Sūtra-kṛitānga clearly shows that Kachchāyana adopted the Gotamaka or Eleatic postulate of being that nothing comes out of nothing.¹ It appears from the fragments of both the Sūtrakṛitānga and the Sāmañña-phala-sutta that the term Eternalism² was strictly applied by Mahāvīra and Buddha to the doctrine of Kachchāyana. It also comes under the definition of what Mahāvīra calls Pluralism (Anikka vāda).³

Mahāvīra and Buddha considered Kachchāyana's doctrine to be a doctrine of non-action (akriyā-vāda). If the elements are eternally existent and unchangeable by their very nature, if they mechanically unite or separate by Pleasure and Pain inherent in each of them, if there is no volitional activity of consciousness, there is no ground for the conception of or dis-

^{1.} Sūtra, 11-2.

^{2.} Sthananga, IV; Digha, 1.13-17.

^{3.} Ibid. IV. 4.

tiction between good and bad, between knowledge and ignorance, and so forth. From this it follows that in reality, there is no act of killing or hearing or instructing. The act of killing, if it is possible at all in the world, means nothing but the act of separating from one another the elements of being in their organic unity.

KACHCHĀYANA AND EMPEDOCLES COMPARED

B.M. BARUA¹ compares Kachchāyana and Empedocles, looking upon the former as the Empedocles of India. Both of them maintained that the elements of being are so distinct qualitatively from one another that there is no transition from the one to the other. Just as Empedocles is called, justly or unjustly, an Eleatic, so is Kachchāyana called an Eternalist, an Eternalist being but an Indian Eleatic. In the view of both becoming is impossible. Both conceive Being as a plurality of unchangeable elements. According to both, the four roots of all things are the four elements, which are in their nature permanent, that is, they know no qualitative change. Just as Empedocles conceives some ground or cause of change, similarly Kachchāyana regards Pleasure and Pain (Sukha, dukha) as the two principles of change. Finally, they resemble each other in admitting that there are pores (vivara) in organic bodies, and they also deny the void. The only point of difference between the two thinkers is that while in the case of Empedocles, it is not known whether he left any room for the conception of soul in his scheme of existence, in the case of Kachchāyana, it is positive that he did.

AJITA KESAKAMBALIN

Ajita Keśakambalin is known to be the historical founder of Indian Materialism. He was held in great esteem by the people of his time. He was called Keśakambalin because he put on a blanket of human hair. The philosophical and religious ideas of Ajita Keśakambalin are known from the Sāma-ñūaphala Sutta.² There are two aspects of his philosophy, negative and positive.

- 1. BHPIP, pp. 284-285.
- 2. Sāmāñāa, (Dīgha, I. No. 2), 23.

NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE ASPECTS

Ajita was antinomian in ethics. It is remarkable that his categorical assertions are all negative in form. According to him, there is no merit in sacrifice or offering, no resultant fruit from good and evil deeds. No one passes from this world to the next. No benefit results from the service rendered to mother and father. There is no afterlife. There are no ascetics or Brāhmaṇas who have attained perfection by following the right path, and who, as a result of knowledge, have experienced this world as well as the next and can proclaim the same.

There is no existence of individuality after death. The four elements of existence constitute a living body. When a man dies, earth returns to earth, water to water, heat to fire, air to air, and the sense faculties pass into space. It is a doctrine of fools, this talk of existence after death, for all alike, the foolish and the wise are cut off, annihilated, and cease to be after death. Ajita in the negative aspect of his doctrine resembles Epicurus, while on the positive side of his speculations he seems to be more a Stoic than an Epicurean, his fundamental point being that nothing but the corporeal is real.

DOCTRINE OF TAM-JĪVA-TAM-SARĪRA-VĀDA

Ajita's doctrine was described by Mahāvīra and Buddha as Tam-jīva-tam-sarīra-vāda, in contradistinction to the doctrine of the soul being distinct from the body (Añām-jīva-añāam-sarīra-vāda). Ajita was not so much against the dogmas of the Brahmanic faith as against the doctrine of Kachehāyana and others who made a hard and fast distinction between the body and the soul, between matter and spirit, in short, who conceived the soul as an entity existing independently of anything corporeal or material. Thus in one sense like a Stoic, he identified the corporeal with the mental, and in another sense he did not. His intention was not to identify the body with the soul, judged as corcepts, for what he sought to establish was that the real fact of experience is always a living whole, a whole which the apprec-

^{1.} Diel. B, H. 73-74.

^{2.} Burn. p. 233,

hending mind can conceive in its various aspects.¹ Hence the distinction which Kachchāyana made between the elements of being is in the view of Ajita untenable, the distinction being only an act of our mind. No such distinction exists in the living concrete individual taken as a whole.

Ajita's view was followed by Pāyāsi, and it was made more intelligible. The soul is not an entity distinct from the body. We cannot separate the soul from the body like him who draws a sword from the scabbard and says, "This is the sword and that the scabbard." We cannot say this is the soul and that's the body. Ajita and Pāyāsi viewed the corporeal from the point of view of the self on the ground that form cannot exist apart from matter.

THE MORAL DEDUCTIONS OF AJITA'S THEORY OF SELF

According to Mahāvīra, by denying future life, Ajita taught men to kill, burn, destroy³ and enjoy all the pleasures of life. The truth seems to be quite the contrary. He taught us to believe rather in life than in death, and to show proper regard to persons when they are alive rather than honour them when they are dead. In another Jaina passage, we are told that Ajita was an Akriyā-vādin, as he upheld the doctrine of non-Being. The study of the views of Śīlānka and Sāyaṇa Mādhava leads us to believe that the foundation of Ajita's doctrine was laid in a statement of Yājñavalkya which is: the intelligible essence emerging from the five elements vanishes into them at death.⁴

SAÑ7AYA BELATTHIPUTTA

Sañjaya Belatthiputta was one of the religious teachers of the sixth century B.C. As is obvious from the Sāmañnaphala Sutta, he was a wanderer and the founder of a religious Order as well as of a school of thought in Rājagṛha. He is believed to be identical with Parivrājaka Sañjaya, teacher of Sāriputta

^{1.} Vedānta-sāra (Ed. by Cowell), p. 32.

^{2.} SBE, XLV, pp. 340-341; Dia. B, III, 358-361.

^{3.} Ibid, p. 341.

^{4.} Вниг, р. 296.

and Mogallāna described in the Vinaya Mahāvagga, and the Dhammapada. Such an identification is possible, because Parivrājaka Sañjaya is known to be a sceptic. Still, we are not definite as the name Parivrājaka Sañjaya is not found along with Sañjaya Belaṭṭhiputta in the early Buddhist work named Sāmañnaphala Sutta.

Sañjaya Belatthiputta was celebrated for an opinion which was a blend of scepticism on the one hand and a primitive stage of criticism of knowledge on the other, like that of the Sophists in Greek philosophy. From the point of view of their philosophical doctrine his disciples were known as Agnostics, Sceptics, or Eel-wrigglers, and from the point of view of their moral conduct, as friends or Good-natured ones.

JAINA ACCOUNT

The Jainas mention the theory of Ajñanavāda or Agnosticism of which Sañjaya Belatthiputta seems to be the chief advocate. Śīlāñka says: "Literally, the 'Agnostics' are those in whom there is 'ignorance' or 'who walk about in ignorance'. They think: even if we avowedly maintain a view—"That this is good" (Kuśala), we are conscious that we are not acquainted with truth, the matter is not familiar to our knowledge. Indeed, we have not as yet got beyond 'perplexity'—perplexity which is blindness and delusion of the mind.

"Some conceive the existence of an all-seeing soul, while others controvert it. Some speak of an all-pervading self; others contend that the body being such an entity, it cannot be all-pervading. Some estimate that soul is equal to a digit in size, while others say that it is equal to a grain of rice. Some posit a soul that has a material form while others maintain that it is formless. Some point out that the heart is the seat of soul, while others oppose them by saying that the forchead would be the right place....

"How can there be an agreement of views among there philosophers? Many moral injuries may result from the issues of such antagonistic blunders. For us, ignorance is far better than these follies."

1. Sand, Ta. pp. 451-452.

BUDDHIST ACCOUNT

According to the Sāmaññaphala Sutta¹, Sañjaya's doctrine was neither a doctrine of acceptance nor a doctrine of denial. He neither denied the existence of the next world nor accepted it. Whether the beings are produced by chance, or whether there is any fruit of good or bad action, or whether a man who won the truth continues after death—to all these questions he gave the same answer.

A follower of this sect has been described in the Brahmajāla Sutta² as Amarāvikkhepika, who, when asked a question, would equivocate and wriggle out like an eel. B.M. BARUA³ thinks that the Aviruddhakas mentioned in the Anguttara Nikāya were also followers of Sanjaya, that they were called Amarāvikkhepikas for their philosophical doctrines and Aviruddhakas for their moral conduct.

SAÑJAYA'S PLACE IN THE HISTORY OF INDIAN PHILOSOPHY

The very fact that Sanjaya's opponents were compelled to put his views to the hardest test demonstrates that these could not be so easily dismissed. He had a large following, a fact which goes at once to prove that there was some truth in his teaching that could appeal to so many thoughtful men. He suspended his judgments only with regard to those great questions of which a decisive answer will ever remain a matter of speculation. He called away the attention of the philosopher from fruitless inquiries and directed it towards the Summumbonum, which is the attainment and preservation of mental equanimity.

Sanjaya may be considered to be a true precursor of Mahāvīra who propounded a doctrine of antinomies (Syādvāda) and of the Buddha who advocated a critical method of investigation (vibhājyavāda). Both Mahāvīra and the Buddha agree that there are some important questions of cosmology, ontology, theology and eschatology on which no finality is possible.

Sāmañña, 31.

^{2.} Brahma, 37.

^{3.} Вирге, р. 327.

MAŅKHALI GOŚĀLA

Mahāvīra's contemporary leader of the Ājīvaka sect was Maṅkhali Gośāla who seems to have been preceded by Nanda Vachchha and Kisa Saṁkichchha.¹ He was born at Saravaṇa near Sāvatthi. His father was Maṅkhali and his mother's name was Bhaddā. His father was Maṅkha, that is, a dealer in pictures. Gośāla himself followed his father's profession in the beginning and hence he was so named.² As he is said to have been born in the cow-shed, he was called Gośāla. In the Buddhist records, the name is also spelt: 'Makkhali', which means one who stumbled in the mud. The true name seems to be Maskarin, the Jaina-prakrit form of which is Maṅkhali, and the Pali form Makkhali. This name indicates a school of Wanderers or Sophists who were so named not because they carried a bamboo staff about them but because they denied the freedom of the Will.

RELATIONSHIP OF GOS ALA AND MAH AVIRA

When Gośāla grew up, he left home for some unknown reason and became a homeless wanderer, spending twentyfour years as an ascetic. After his meeting with Mahāvīra at Paniyabhūmi, he spent six years with him. Probably because of this association we find some points of similarity in Jaina and Ajīvika doctrine and practice. From the account of the Bhagavati Sūtra, it is known that Gośāla became a disciple of Mahāvīra. Contrary to Jaina account, H. Jacobi³ and B.M. Barua4 are of opinion that Mahāvīra remained a disciple of Gosala for some time. Gosala was much senior to Mahavira in age, and was recognised as a teacher some time before the latter. Afterwards, Gośāla parted company with Mahāvīra on account of doctrinal differences and went to Śrāvasti where he spent sixteen years as a religious leader of the Ajivika sect. The two years intervening between these two periods were no doubt filled with a journey to Kumāragāma, six months'

A.F.R. HOERNEE suggests that Kisa and Nanda were probably Makkhali's contemporaries.

^{2.} Bhag, XV.1; Ucs, p. 1.

^{3.} She, XLV, p. xxx.

^{4.} Enrir, p. 300.

penance, and preliminary wanderings before making Śrāvastī his headquarters.

HIS EFFORTS FOR PROPAGATION

and the second s

It is not likely that Gośāla resided for sixteen years continually at Sāvatthi; probably like his great rivals Mahāvīra and the Buddha, he travelled from place to place among the towns and villages of the Ganga valley, preaching and gathering converts. There is evidence that the Ājīvikas, both ascetics and laymen, existed in a fairly large number at this time. His mission consisted largely in knitting together local Ājīvika holymen and their followers, regularising their doctrines and gaining converts by the display of pseudo-supernatural powers. He obtained the strongest support for this sect at Sāvatthi. The Kośalan king Pasenadi was more favourably disposed towards this sect than was his contemporary Bimbisāra of Magadha.

ĀŢĪVIKA SCRIPTURES AND THE DEATH OF GOŚĀLA

When Gośāla made his headquarters at Sāvatthi in the workshop of the potter woman Hālahalā, he was surrounded by many disciples. At this time, he was visited by six dīsācharas, in consultation with whom he codified the Ājīvika scriptures. The scriptures of the Ājīvikas consisted of ten Puvvas, i.e., eight Mahānimittas and two Maggas, like the fourteen Pūrvas of the Jainas. The dialect adopted for their scriptures was closely allied to Ardha Māgadhī, a few stereotyped fragments of which have survived in the Jaina and Buddhist literatures.

Soon after the visit of the six diśācharas, Mahāvīra exposed Gośāla openly with the result that the relations between the two sects became very hostile. Afterwards Gośāla suffered from delirium and died. His death took place sixteen years before that of Mahāvīra.

The comparison of the Buddhist references with those found in Jaina sources enables us to form a tolerable picture of the doctrines of Gośāla. While discussing these doctrines, we must also keep in mind that both Gośāla and Mahāvīra lived together for some time and that the scriptures of the Ājīvikas and the Jainas are said to have some common sources of origin.

DOCTRINE OF TRANSFORMATION (PAUTTAPARIH $\overline{A}RA-V\overline{A}DA$)

Gośāla was the propounder of a 'doctrine of change through re-animation' (pauṭṭaparihāravāda), or, better still, of a theory of natural transformation (pariṇāmavāda) which he came to formulate from the generalisation based on the periodical re-animations of plant life. He came to the conclusion that just as the sesame seeds after having completely perished come to life from their inherent force or will-to-be, so are all living beings capable of re-animation.

THEORY OF PURIFICATION THROUGH TRANSMIGRATION (SAMSĀRA-SUDDHI)

The basic idea underlying the above doctrine implies a process of purification through transmigration.2 In the Buddhist phraseology, purification is the equivalent of 'the end of pain' (dukkhassanta), and the word transmigration signifies the passing of soul from one state of experience to another. According to this theory of purification through transmigration, one will put an end to pain after wandering through various births for the allotted term. There are eighty-four hundred thousand periods during which both fools and wise, wandering in transmigration, shall at last make an end of pain. Neither the wise nor the fool can get rid of the Karma—there can be no increase or decrease thereof. Everything is predestined. Just as a ball of string when unrolled, spreads out as far as and no farther than it can unwind, so shall both fools and wise alike, wandering in transmigration exactly for the allotted term, make an end of pain.

FATE, SPECIES AND NATURE (NITATI-SANGATI-BHÂVA PARINATĀ)

Gosala offers for his theory of perfection through transformation no less than three explanations: Fate or Necessity, Class or Species, and Nature.³

As a rigid determinist, Gosāla exalted fate (Nucti) to the status of the motive factor of the universe and the sole agent of

^{1.} Blaz, XV. 1.

^{2.} Digha, I, p. 54; Majik, I, p. 31; Ja, V, p. 22:

^{3.} Dighe, 1, 53.

all phenomenal change. Man's destiny is pre-ordained, human effort could effect no change in it, and emancipation was to be obtained only through a long series of transmigrations. Pleasure and pain are not caused by the souls themselves nor by others, but by destiny. There is no such thing as exertion or labour or power or vigour or manly strength, but that all things are caused by destiny which is unalterably fixed. The Sāmāñ-phala Sutta also gives an account of Gośāla's teachings from where we get the same denial of the usefulness of effort or manly vigour.

The attainment of a certain peculiar condition, and of a certain peculiar character on the part of all things, all lives, all beings, depends in part on the class or type to which they belong. It is partly according to their position in this class or that that they possess certain special properties, that they have certain physical characteristics, that they inherit certain peculiar habits, develop certain faculties, and so on. Thus fire, for example, is hot, ice is cold, water is liquid, stone is hard, a thorn is sharp, a peacock is painted, the sandal tree possesses fragrance, the elephant's cub, if it does not find leafless and thorny creepers in the green wood, becomes thin; the crow avoids the ripe mango, etc.²

Buddhaghosha explains Gośāla's term 'nature' as 'the peculiar nature of each being'. The world originates and develops from its inherent force or immanent energy. It is also probable that he sought for an explanation of the diversity of appearances, characteristics, habits and behaviour of things in nature. He conceived Nature as a self-evolving activity. Nature has two modes of operation: by one made things come to pass and by the other they cease to be (pravitti and niviti). More accurately, he seems to have understood by Nature the specific faculties or characteristics of a living substance other than those which it possesses in common with the race or species.

^{1.} Uvā, vi-vii.

^{2.} Buddhacharita, IX. 47, 48, 52; Sīlānka's Sūtra. Tīkā, p. 30; Sarvadar-sanasangraha, p. 7.

^{3.} Sumangala Vilāsinī, I. 161.

^{4.} Вигле, р. 312.

VIEWS OF KAMMA

Gośāla's views on Kamma appear to have been peculiar. The classifications found in Sāmañāphala passages are obscure, and Buddhaghosha sheds little light. From this it appears that once earned, the inheritance of Kamma was held to be independent of individual will and supposed to work its way out along its own logic. From the statement just made, it appears that Kamma was considered to be in some way casually connected with Sukha-dukkha. How, then, was it supposed to be related to the triad of Niyatisangatibhāva? Since individual initiative is denied, Niyati, probably, was considered to be the cause of Kamma prior to the attainment of liberation. Gośāla, in short, considered man bound to the cycle of rebirth by a force—Kamma or Niyati over which he had no voluntary control.

SIXFOLD CLASSIFICATION OF HUMANITY

Gośāla's classification of human beings into six abhijātis1 (groups) according to their psychic colour is as follows: black (Kanha) includes all who live by slaughter and cruelty, such as hunters, thieves, fishermen and others; blue (nila) contains 'monks who live as thieves'; red (lohita) probably applies to all monks of Jaina type; (4) green (halidda) seems to refer to Ajīvika laymen; (5) white (sukka) is related so Ajīvika ascetics of both sexes; and (6) Supremely white (Parama-sukka) contains only three names, that is, those of Nandi Vachcha, Kisa Sankichcha, and Makkhali Gosāla. The Abhijātis have much is common with the Jaina lesyās, and it is possible that both Gośāla and Mahāvīra might have derived from some common source. By urging this doctrine, Gosala wants to emphasize that the supreme spiritual effort of man consists in restoring the mind to its original purity, i.e., rendering it colourless or supremely white by purging it of all impurities that have stained it.

Digha, 1, 53; Argu, III, pp. 389-84.
 Sumangala-Villisini, I, p. 162.
 Maijh, I, p. 36.

THEORY OF EIGHT STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT (ATTHAPURISA-BHŪMIYO)

Gośāla advocated that there are eight stages of development through which every man must pass for the attainment of perfection in order to become a Jina.1 The first stage is babyhood which begins with the birth of a person. Babyhood is followed by the play-time, and that again by the third stage when the child attempts to walk. This period of trial is duly succeeded by the period when the child is able to walk. When he becomes older, he is sent to learn under a teacher. In course of time, he renounces the world and equips himself, sooner or later, with all that his teacher knows. Then comes a time when he realizes that what his teacher taught him was not all, that in fact it was nothing. The Asrama theory of the Dharma'sāstras was based on the notion of the gradual development of the self but it was formulated as a biological principle of evolution in its application to education.

PENANCES

We also know about the penances of the Ajīvikas. The Bhagavatī Sūtra says that they abstained from eating umbara (ficus glomerata), vata (ficus Indica), bora (jujube), satara (?) and pilankhu (ficus infectoria), all fruits, and also from eating roots, etc. The Sthananga Sutra2 says that the Ajivikas practised four kinds of austerities, viz., severe austerities, fierce austerities, abstention from ghee and other delicacies, and indifference to pleasant and unpleasant food. They observed the fourfold brahmacharya consisting of (1) tapassitā, asceticism; (9) lūkhachariyā, austerity; (3) jeguchchita, comfort-loathing; and (4) pavivittatā, solitude. The Aupapātika Sūtra3 describes the system of collecting alms as adopted by the Ajivika asce-Some of them begged in every second or third or fourth or fifth or sixth or even in every seventh house; there were seven who accepted lotus stalks only as alms under certain conditions; some begged in every house, but did not accept alms if there was a flash of lightning. There were some ascetics who practised penances by entering into big earthen vessels.

Dial, II, p. 72; Uvā, 1I, p. 24; Jā, IV, pp. 496-97.
 Sthānā, 4. 2. 310.
 Aup, 41.

ETHICS

Both the Buddhists and the Jainas regarded the Ajīvikas as amoralists and proceeded to condemn them as immoral in practice. On the evidence of Jainascriptures, A.F.R. Hoernle¹ accuses Gośāla of hypocrisy and incontinence.

B.M. BARUA² on the other hand considers these strictures merely sectarian. According to him, Gośāla's theory of *Pariṇāmavāda* seeks to establish even with the help of its fatalistic creed a moral government of law in the universe where nothing is dead, where nothing happens by chance, and where all that is and all that happens and is experienced are unalterably fixed as it were by a pre-determined law of nature.

It teaches that as man is pre-destined in certain ways and as he stands highest in the gradations of existence, his freedom, to be worth the name, must be one within the operation of law, and that the duty of man as the highest of beings is to conduct himself according to law, and to act and behave in a manner that does not induce him to trespass upon the rights of others, to make the fullest use of one's liberties, to be considerate and discreet, to be pure in life, to abstain from killing living beings, to be free from earthly possessions, to reduce the necessaries of life to a minimum, and to strive for the best and highest, i.e., Jinahood, which is within human powers.

This fatalistic creed, which is a logical outcome of Parināmavāda, confirms popular Indian belief that action has its reward and retribution and that heaven and hell are the inevitable consequences hereafter of merits and demerits of this life.³

AJIVIKA DOCTRINE VIS-A-VIS THE NIGANTHAS

Apart from those relating to practice, the chief differences between the Ajivikas and the Niganthas concerned the nature of will and of the soul. As to the latter. Buddhaghosha

- 1. ERD, J. pp. 263-265.
- 2. Barre Jos., 11, pp. 12-13.
- 3. Ibid, pp. 317-318.

informs us that while Gośāla held the soul to be $R\bar{u}p\bar{\imath}$, Mahāvīra considered it $Ar\bar{u}p\bar{\imath}$. Among the striking similarities between the two doctrines; one may mention the common expression Sabbe Sattā Sabbe $p\bar{a}n\bar{a}...bh\bar{u}t\bar{a}...f\bar{v}\bar{a}$, the division of animals into Ekendriya, Dvindriya, etc. Belief in the omniscience of the released was also common. Gośāla and Mahāvīra both enjoined the practice of nudity on saints.

THE BUDDHA

Gautama Buddha, the founder of Buddhism, was the junior contemporary of Mahāvīra. We possess no authentic accounts of his life and teachings. Two poems in the Sutta Nipāta and a few early Suttas supply us with some data but for details, we have to depend upon comparatively later works, which appear to have preserved older traditions.

EARLY LIFE

Gautama alias Siddhārtha was born in 563 B.C. at Lumbinivana, now identified with Rumminidei on the border of Nepal. His father Suddhodana of the Sākya clan was the ruler of Kapilavastu. His mother Māyā died seven days after his birth, and he was brought up by his mother's sister Mahāprajāpati Gotamī. When he grew up, he married Yasodharā, and had a son, Rāhula.

The idea of renunciation, according to the later text, came into his mind from seeing four persons in four different stages—an old man, a cripple, an ascetic, and a corpse. In the early texts like the Sutta Nipāta, it is simply stated that looking at the miseries of the world, he embraced the life of a wandering hermit at the age of twentynine.

Passing through a number of villages, Gautama at last reached Vaiśālī where he stayed at a hermitage of the teacher Ārāḍa Kālāma. There he became his disciple and learnt the Sānkhya doctrine from him. Since evidently he was not satisfied, he left the hermitage of Ārāḍa to become a disciple of another teacher Rudraka Rāmaputra, who was then living in the outskirts of Rājagṛha. Not satisfied with Rudraka either, he left him and began to observe severe penances along with five other Brāhmaṇa ascetics. He was deserted by the Brāhmaṇa companions when they noticed slackness on his

part in observing penances, and he decided to take food just sufficient to sustain his body.

After leaving Rudraka's hermitage, Gautama went to Uruvilva where he took his seat under a pipal tree. After spending seven weeks in meditation under this tree, he finally realized the Truth. He thus became the Buddha (the Enlightened One). With his attainment of both insight and knowledge, he became emancipated from birth and rebirth. He then turned his attention to his five Brāhmaṇa companions who were then residing at Rishipattana (Sārnāth) near Banaras. He proceeded there and delivered before them his first sermon, which is metaphorically represented in Buddhist literature as "turning the wheel of the Law".

MISSIONARY LIFE

Along with these five Brahmana companions, Buddha went to Banaras where he converted Yasa, a rich Setthi's son. and other followers. From Banaras, he proceeded to Rajagriha where he spent the second, third, and fourth Vassās (retreats). In Magadha, at this time, there were many Brahmanical and non-Brahmanical teachers and wandering monks. The Buddha spent much of his time and energy in refuting their doctrines and convincing them of the excellence of his teaching. succeeded in making a large number of converts, the most notable among them being Sariputra and Maudgalyayana, who were formerly disciples of Sanjaya Belatthiputta, the Brahmanical ascetics, the Jatilas, Upāli Grahapati, and Abhayarājakumāra, all staunch followers of Nigantha Nātaputta; Anāthapindika a merchant possessing fabulous wealth; kings Bimbisāra and Ajātasatru, and later, king Munda. Besides Rājagriha, Buddha visited Gayā, Uruvilva, Nālandā, and Pātaliputra.

Buddhism gained a footing even at Kośalā (Sāvatthi) where the Buddha spent the last twentyone vassās. His favourite resort was the famous Jetavaņa monastery, which was purchased for him by Anāthapiņḍika at a fabulous price. King Prasenajit (Pasenadi) became interested in his discourses, while his queen Mallikā and his two sisters. Somā and Sakulā,

became lay-devotees. Another influential supporter was Visā-khā, who built the Pubbārāma monastery for him.

The Buddha visited Kapilvastu and converted the members of his family including his son Rāhula and foster-mother Mahāprajāpati Gomatī. Buddha also spent the fifth Vassā at Vaišālī, where Ambapālī, the famous courtesan became his devotee and offered her mango grove to the Samgha. The Buddha passed the ninth Vassā at Kaušāmbī where queen Sāmavatī of Udayana became his follower. He visited a distant place Veranjā (near Mathurā) to spend his twelfth Vassā there and deputed his disciple Mahākachchāyana for the propagation of Buddhism in Avanti. He converted king Pradyota and others to Buddhism. He made his last journey to Malla's capital Kusinārā where he gave up his body after a fatal illness and attained parinirvāņa at the age of eighty.

TEACHINGS

The earliest available source of our knowledge of the Buddha's teachings is the Pāli Piṭaka which consists of the five Nikāyas, viz., Dīgha, Majjhima, Samyutta, Anguttara and Khuddaka. As many alterations and additions were made in it from time to time by the succeeding generations, it cannot be called homogeneous, nor is it possible to state definitely what actually were the original teachings of the Buddha.

Buddhism, like Jainism, was originally a moral code rather than a metaphysical or religious system. The Buddha instructed his followers to pursue practical methods in order to arrive at the Truth. For the removal of ignorance, thirst, attachment, etc., for instance, he advocated the four Aryasatyas (Noble Truths), viz., (1) that worldly existence is full of misery (dukkha); (2) that thirst, attachment, etc., are the causes of worldly existence (samudaya); (3) that worldly existence can be ended (nirodha) by the destruction of thirst, etc. The Path is the well-known Eightfold Way, viz., right speech, right action, right means of livelihood, right exertion, right mindedness, right meditation, right resolution, and right point of view. The first three practices lead to physical control (Śīla), the second three to mental control (chitta), and the last two to intellectual development (prajñā).

The exposition of the Eightfold Path is said to be the Buddha's first discourse. It is also widely known as the Middle Path (madhyama pratipat) as it keeps clear of the two extreme ways of life, one being that of ease and luxury and the other of rigorous asceticism. This path allowed a monk to live a life of moderate comfort, with the bare requirements of food, clothing and residence, but with the wind intent on achieving the goal.

The second discourse, which is said to have been delivered by the Buddha, strikes the keynote of his teachings, viz., that the five constituents which make a being are without a self (anātma), impermanent (anitya), and are not desirable (dukkha). He who realizes the absence of soul or substance in the constituents knows that he does not exist as an individual and as such there can be no relationship between himself and the objects around him. There is nothing in this world to make him happy or sad and so he is free (vimukta), he is an arhat—perfect.

ASCETICS OF THE BRAHMANICAL SECTS

The Brahmanical ascetics were probably divided into two classes, i.e., the one retiring to the forests Vānaprasthins and then passing to the stage of Sannyasa, and the other consisting of the Tāvasa, the Geruya or Parivrājaka etc. The Jātakas most probably depict the life of the Vanaprasthins and the Sannyasins, but there is no line of demarcation drawn between the two. It is only in the Dharmasūtra literature of a later period that a clear distinction is made between the two stages of life. Now the question is: how far does the account of the Jātakas correspond with that of the Dharmasūtra. According to the Baudhāyana-Dharmasūtra, to cite one example, one could renounce the world after the student life, or after being a householder, or from the forest.1 Apastamba and Vasishtha allow one to have the option of becoming an ascetic after the completion of the Brahmcharya stage or after becoming a householder.2 Thus we find the Brahmanical sources supporting the Budchist account.

^{1.} Bau, Dh. S, II, 10. 2-6; SBE, NIV, 273.

^{2.} Spr., II, 153; XIV, 40, 46.

TĀVASAS

The Tāvasas lived in forest where they occupied themselves with meditation, sacrificial rites, self-torture, and in reading the scriptures. They gathered fruits and roots for their sustenance and visited the villages for alms. On one of the journeys he made during his ascetic life, Mahāvīra put up in a hermitage (āsamapada) in Sannivesa. He came across another hermitage named Kanakakhala in Uttaravāchāla where five hundred hermits were staying; still another hermitage is referred to in Poyaṇapura where Vakkalachīri was born.

The Ovāiya $S\bar{u}tra^4$ mentions the following classes of $V\bar{a}na$ -pattha $T\bar{a}vasas$ residing on the bank of the Ganga. It is possible that some of the classes might have belonged to the later period than that of Mahāvīra but we are not in a position to distinguish them positively.

Hottiya: they offered sacrifices.

Kottiya: They slept on the bare ground.

Pottiya: They put on a special kind of clothes.

Jannai : They performed sacrifices.

Saddhai: They belonged to the devotional class of ascetics.

Thālai: They carried all their belongings with them.

Humbauttha: They carried a water vessel with them.

Dantukkhaliva: They lived on fruits and used their teeth as mortar.

Ummajjaka: They bathed taking only a dip.

Sammajjaka: They bathed without taking a dip in water.

Nimajjaka: They remained in water only for a short time.

Sampakkhāla: They rubbed and cleansed their limbs with mud.

Dakkhinakūlaga: They dwelt on the south bank of the Ganga.

- 1. Ava, Nir, 463.
- Āva. chū, p. 278.
- 3. Ibid, p. 457; Bāhiya Dāruchīriya in the Dhammapada A. II, pp. 209 f.
- 4. Orā, p. 170; Nīŋī, 3, p. 39.

Uttarakūlaga: They dwelt on the north bank of the Ganga.

Samkhadhamaga: They blew a conch-shell to keep people away.

Kūladhamaga: They blew a conch-shell on the river bank to keep people away while they took their meal.

Miyaluddhaya: They killed animals.

Hatthitāvasa: They used to kill an elephant every year with arrows and lived many months on its flesh. The motive was to spare the lives of other animals for as long as the flesh of the elephant would last. They claimed that they committed but one sin in a year, the killing of the elephant, which was counterbalanced by the merit earned by not killing other lives during this time.¹

Uddandaya: They moved about raising their staff and are referred to along with Bodiya and Sasarakkha mendicants who went about naked and used the hollow of their hands as alms-bowl.²

Disāpokkhi: They sanctified all sides by sprinkling water and then collected flowers and fruits. The Bhagavati3 refers to the royal sage Siva of Hattinapura, who joined the order of the Disapokkhiyas on the bank of the Ganga. He practised chatthama (a fast, broken at sixth meal), and on the day on which he broke his fast, he sprinkled the eastern quarter, propitiated Soma, the lord of the east, and collected bulbous roots, leaves, flowers, fruits, seeds and green vegetables. Then he returned to his hut, cleaned the sacrificial altar (Vedikā) and went to bathe in the Ganga. He made another altar with grass and sand, kindled a fire by the friction of pieces of wood, and keeping ritualistic paraphernalia by his side, offered honey, glice, and rice to the fire. Then he prepared Charu (oblation), worshipped Vaissadeva and the guests, and then took his meal. Then Siva observed the Chatthama fast again and proceeded to the south to propitiate Yama, then to the west to propitiate Varuna, and finally to the north to propitiate Vesa-

^{1.} Bhag, 11. 9. 418; Aup, 38; Sūtra, 11. Vi. 52.

^{2.} Ach. chū, p. 169.

^{3.} Bhag, 11.9.

mana. Somila was another hermit of Varanasi who belonged to the same order and was a worshipper of the four disas. King Pasannachand also belonged to the same order which he joined along with his queen and the nurse.²

Vakavāsī: They put on a dress of bark.

Ambuvāsī: They lived in water. Bilavāsī: They lived in caves.

Jalavāsi: They remained submerged in water.

Velavāsī: They lived on the sea-coast. Rukkhamūlia: They lived under trees.

Ambubhakkhi: They lived by drinking water only. Vāubhakkhi³: They lived by inhaling air only. Sevālabhakkhi⁴: They lived by eating moss.

The $T\bar{a}vasas$ followed the rules of the $V\bar{a}naprastha$ $\bar{A}srama$. Like other ascetics, they also moved in a body. We hear of three hermits, Kodinna, Dinna and Sevāli, who were followed by a body of five hundred disciples each. They lived on roots, bulbs, decayed leaves, and moss; they set out to pay a visit to Atthāvaya.⁵

THE PARIVRAJAKA OR GERUYA SAMANAS

Though they formed a distinct and separate group, the Parivrājakas belonged to the class of ascetics. According to T.W. Rhys Davids, "the Paribbājakas or the wandering mendicants were teachers or sophists who spent eight or nine months of every year wandering about, and they were often lodged in the public halls where conversational discussions were held on philosophical and religious questions. Besides, they lived on alms collected from door to door." B.M. Barua mentions that these Brāhman wanderers were in a position to learn the

- Niryā, 3, pp. 39ff.
- 2. Āva. chū, p. 457.
- 3. The Rāmāyaņa III, 11-13 mentions Māndakarnī, a thermit who lived on air.
- 4. Lalitavistara, p. 248.
- 5. Uttarā. Ţī, 10, 154.
- 6. RBI, p. 161.
- 7. BHPIP, p. 350.

languages, customs, and usages of the people living in different parts of the world in which they themselves lived. In those early ages of civilization, when there was neither any printing press nor any easy means of communication between one country and another, elements of knowledge could be gathered, disseminated or utilised for scientific purpose by no better means than such travelling.

The Parivrajakas or the wanderers were the great teachers of the Brahmanic lore and were highly respected. In the Vasishtha Dharmasūtra, it is stated that a Parivrājaka should shave his head, clothe himself with one piece of cloth or skin, cover his body with grass pulled off by cows, and he should sleep on bare ground. The Parivrājakas maintained their regular monasteries (Avasaha) and wandered from place to place in order to propagate their teachings. From the Ovāiya, we know that they were versed in the four Vedas, Itihāsa, Nigghantu, six Vedāngas, and six Upāngas. They preached the doctrine of charity (Danadhamma), purity (soadhamma), and that of bathing at holy places. According to them whatever was impure became pure by applying mud to it and by being washed with water. They believed that they were pure themselves and that by taking bath they would attain heaven. They never travelled in a cart or a litter, never entered a lake or a river for bathing, never rode a horse or an elephant, never visited the performance of a dancer or a bard, never trampled upon or rubbed green vegetables, never indulged in talks regarding women, food, country, king, and thieves, never kept any costly pots except a bottle gourd, wooden, or an earthen pot, never put on garments of various colours except one pair dyed with red-clay, never wore any ornaments except one copper ring, never wore any garland except a pair of flower earings, never besmeared their body with any fragrant substance except the clay of the Ganga, and they took only one Magadha prastha (a measure used in Magadha) filtered (Paripaya) water for drinking purposes.

From the Bhagavatisūtra,2 we know about one wandering

^{1.} Vas. Dh. S, 11-0. 11.

² Bhag, 2. 1.

mendicant, Ajjakhanda of Kachchāyana gotra, a disciple of Gaddabhāli, who was putting up in Sāvatthi. Once he took his ritualistic objects, viz., triple staves, water pot (Kundī), rosary (Kaāchaniyā), earthen bowl (Karodiyā), seat (bhisiyā), sweeping duster (Kesariyā), teapoy (channāliyā), hook (ankusaya), ring (pavittaya), and the forearm ornament (kalāchīkā), and taking an umbrella and wearing shoes and dyed robes, proceeded to pay a visit to Mahāvīra. He was well-versed in Vedic literature.

In the early Buddhist records,¹ we have frequent mention of a number of such Parivrājakas (wanderers), all of whom were the contemporaries of the Buddha, e.g. Poṭṭhapāda, Dīgha-nakha, Sakula Udāyi, Anna-bhara, Varadhara, Poṭā-liya or Poṭali-putta, Uggahamāna, Vekhanassa Kachchāna, Māgaṇḍiya, Sandaka, Uttiya, three Vachchhagottas, Sabhiya, and Pilotika Vachchhāyana. Besides these wanderers, we have to take into account many celebrated Brāhmaṇa teachers of the Buddha's time, such as Pokkharasāti (Pushkarasādi), Sonadaṇḍa (Śaunadanta or Śaunaka), Kuṭadanta, Lohichcha, Kaṅki (Chanki), Tarukkha (Tārukshya), Jānussoni (Jātaśruti), Todeyyas, Todeyya-putta or Subha, Kāpaṭhika Bhāradvāja, Aggika Bhāradvāja, Piṇḍola Bhāradvāja, Kāsi Bhāradvāja, Vāseṭ-tha, Assalāyana, Moggallāna, Pārāsariya, Vassakāra, and others.²

Most of these religious teachers belonged to Magadha, and Rājagriha was the centre of their activities. The famous Parivrājaka Sañjaya lived at this place with two hundred and fifty disciples among whom Sāriputta and Moggallāna were the foremost. Sāriputta was the first to resolve to embrace the faith and was followed by Moggallāna. These two friends tried to persuade their teacher, Sañjaya, to see the Buddha, but failing to convince him, abandoned him and went over to the Buddha, followed by all the disciples of Sañjaya. Sakula-Udai was also residing at Rājagriha. Potaliputta and

Dīgha, I, 178; Majjh, 1, 359, 481, 483, 489, 491, 501, 513; II. 1, 22, 29, 40; III. 207. Angu, II. 30. 1; II. 185. 1; etc.

^{2.} Digha, 1. 87, 111, 127, 224, 234; Majjh, I. 16, 164. 175 etc.

^{3.} Mv, I, 23. 1.

^{4.} Ibid, 23, 2-10.

Dīghanakha also established their headquarters at this place. Moliyasīvaka and Sabhiya are said to have met the Buddha here. The Anguttara Nikāya¹ refers to Annabhāra Sarabha and others as staying in the Parivrājakārāma on the bank of the Sappiniyā river. Anugāra and Varadhara are mentioned as staying at Moranivāpa Parivrājakārāma in Rājagriha which had several delightful spots like Veluvana, Ghijjhakūṭa mountain, Moranivāpa, the Parivrājakārāma on the bank of the Sappiniyā river, Tapodārāma, Jīvaka's Āmravana, Sītavana, Maddakuchchi, and so on which were resorted to by a large number of Parivrājakas. These had made Rajagriha famous as a halting place for the wandering monks.

There was another pleasant and delightful Āirama at Uruvela on the bank of the river Neranjara. Pavārika's mango grove at Nālandā, Ghaggara Pokkharaņī at Champā, Mahāvana near Vaiśālī, Mallikārāma in Śrāvastī, and others were important places meant for the Parivrājakas during this period. Vachehhagotta stayed at Vaiśālī in the Pundarīka Parivrājakārāma. Jambukhādaka is known to have met Sāriputta at Nālakagāma (in Magadha) and Ukkavela (in Vajji). Ugghamāņa had seven hundred Parivrājakas under him.² The leaders of the Brāhmaņa ascetics were known as the Gaņasatthās.³

Besides these, other parivrājakas too have been mentioned.4

Charaka: It is said that they begged alms while moving in company and kept on moving even while eating. They accepted cleansed alms and put on a lion-cloth. It is said that these mendicants were the direct descendants of Kapilamuni. ⁵

Chirika: They picked up rags from the road side.6

Chammakhandia: They either wore a dress of hide or else their religious requisites were made of hide.

- 1. Angu, II, 29, 176.
- 2. Majjh, 11, 22-29.
- 3. Jā, 11, 72.
- 4. Anu, 20; Naya Ti. 15.
- 5. Payna, Ti, II, 20 p. 405; also Achā, p. 265.
- 6. The Digha, I, p. 166 also mentions such ascetics.

Bhikkahunda: They would eat nothing except what had been obtained by alms and would not take cow-milk etc. They are considered identical with Buddhist monks.

Paṇḍuranga or Paṇḍaraga: These were Śaiva mendicants who besmeared their body with ashes. According to the Niśitha chūrṇi, however, the disciples of Gośāla were called Paṇḍarabhikkhu. The Anuyogadvārachūrṇi identifies them with the Sasarakkha (Sarajaska) Bhikkhus.

Then there were other Parivvayagas.

Sankha: They followed the Samkhya system.

Joi: They followed the Yoga system.

Kavila: They followed the atheistic $S\bar{a}mkhya$ system and regarded Kapila as their master.

Bhiuchcha: They were the disciples of Bhrigu.

Hamsa: They lived in mountain caves, roads, hermitages, shrines, and gardens and entered a village only to beg.

Paramahamsa: They lived on river banks, the confluence of streams and discarded clothes before they died.

Bahūdaga: They lived one night in a village and five nights in a town.

Kudivvaya: They lived in their own house and considered getting victory over greed, illusion, and egotism as their goal.

Kanhaparivvāyaga: They worshipped the Nārāyana.

JATILAS

Some Brahmanical hermits were called the Jațilas on account of their matted hairs. These ascetics lived in large groups in forests, had group leaders, engaged in austerities, tended fire, and performed sacrifices. They were also called Aggikā Jațilakā.

Uruvelā, the place of the Buddha's Sambodhi, was then a great centre of Vedic religion. There were three settlements or colonies of the Jațilas on the banks of the river Neranjara under three Kassapa brothers, Uruvela Kassapa, Nādi Kassapa, and Gaya Kassapa, each at the head of 500, 300 and 200 Jațilas respectively. They were born in a Brāhmaṇa family of Magadha and were highly respected by the inhabitants of

- 1. DPPN, I, 931; Udāna Aṭṭhakathā, 74.
- 2. SBE, XIII, 118; Ja, VI, 219-20.

Anga and Magadha. Most probably they were Naishthika Brahmachārins. It is said that Uruvela Kassapa used to perform annually a great sacrifice which was attended by the neighbouring people with abundant food. On the occasion of the Ashtakas, in the snowy-cold winter nights, they are described as plunging into the river Neranjara and emerging out of it repeatedly on account of their belief in purification by bathing.

That these three brothers had gathered quite a large number of followers and had made three colonies of them, shows that they had developed a congregational life. In the opinion of B.M. BARUA, there was no corporate life, and among the Jațilas forming three distinct groups, the tic in each group was rather domestic than congregational.⁴ To convert these Brāhmaṇa ascetics who performed Vedic rites and enjoyed the respect of their people, was the principle aim of the Buddha, for that would, he thought, produce a magical effect on popular monks. According to Mahāvagga, he was successful in changing the heart of 1000 Jațilas along with their leaders who entered the Order.⁵

LOKĀYATAS

There is a reference to the Lokāyatas in the Dighanikāya. A Brahmaṇa well-versed in the Lokāyata doctrine asking the Buddha a series of questions has been mentioned. A Jūtaka passage refers to Lokāyatika doctrine. The teacher and the student of this doctrine were both known as Lokāyatika. The name of this school was identical with the theory of elements as the prime cause (Bhūtavāda and Uchehhedavāda).

OTHER SCHOOLS AND SECTS

THE FOUR GREAT SCHOOLS

The Sūtrakṛitānga8 describes the four heretical creeds of

- 1. Mo, I, 15.
- 2. SBE, XIII. p. 124.
- 3. Ibid, p. 130,
- 4. Gavā and Bodha-Gavā, Vol. I, p. 99.
- 5. Mr. 1, 20, 17-24.
- 6. Sam; DPPN, II, 787
- 7. Ja, VI. 285
- S. Sūtra, 1, 12-1.

the time of Mahāvīra, creeds called Kriyāvāda, Akriyāvāda, Ajñānavāda, and Vinayavāda. These four great schools comprise three hundred and sixty-three schools: Kriyāvāda consists of one hundred and eighty schools; Akriyāvāda of eighty four schools, Ajñānavāda of sixty-seven schools, and Vinayavāda of thirty-two schools.

KRIYĀVĀDA

Kriyā denotes the existence of the soul (jīva), and those who believe in the existence of the soul are called Kriyāvādins. It is stated that one who knows the tortures of beings below in hell, one who knows the influx of sin and its stoppage one who knows misery and its annihilation, is entitled to expound Kriyāvāda.

AKRIY ĀVĀDA

The Akriyāvādins deny the existence of the soul, etc., for according to them everything is of a momentary existence, and a state comes to an end the moment it comes into existence, and, therefore, it cannot have any kriyā. Without continuity of existence, no kriyā is possible; the existence itself is the cause and effect of it. They are identified with the Buddhists, who hold the doctrine of Kshanikavāda. Akriyāvādins were also called Viruddhas, since they held to doctrines opposed to those of other heretics.

$A7\widetilde{N}\overline{A}NAV\overline{A}DA$

The Ajñānavādins deny the necessity or importance of knowledge to attain salvation, since there is assertion of contradictory statements in it.

VINAYAV ĀDA

The Vinayavādins or Vainayikas are mentioned as Avirud-dhakas in the Anguttara.¹ They do not accept signs, external rules of ceremony, and the scriptures, but uphold the supremacy of reverence as the cardinal virtue leading to perfection. The upholders of this faith paid equal reverence to eight classes of beings, viz. god and master, ascetics, men, aged persons, inferiors, mother and father, and they maintained that to each of these eight classes of persons reverence may be shown in

^{1.} Añgu, III, p. 276.

four ways, i.e., physically, mentally, verbally and with gifts. Vasāyaņa was a Vinayavādī ascetic who was practising pāṇāmā pavajjā with his arms uplifted when Mahāvīra and Gośāla arrived in Kummagāma.¹

Besides these, the names of some other sects too have been mentioned in Jaina literature.

Attukkosiya: They belonged to the class of ascetics who were proud of themselves.

 $Bh\bar{u}ikammiya$: They administered ashes to the people suffering from fever, etc.

Bhujjo bhujjo Kouyak \bar{a} raka: They administered auspicious baths for procuring good luck. They are also known as \bar{A} bhiogias.

Chandidevaga: They had hangers (sikkaka) as their ritualistic paraphernalia.

Dagasoyariya: An adherent of the Dagasoyariyas, also known as Suivādi, who took bath after cleaning his body sixty four times if touched by anybody, has also been mentioned. A Dagasoyariya ascetic, it is said, was putting up in the Nārā-yaṇa Koṭṭha in Mathura. After breaking his three-day fast he pretended to have taken cow-dung; he never uttered the word itthi (woman) and observed silence. People were so much attracted by his practice that they offered him robes, food, and drink. According to Malayagiri, however, these ascetics were the followers of the Sāmkhya religion.

Dhammachintaka: They studied religious books, and contemplated on the Dharmasamhitās composed by Yājñavalkya and other sages, and acted accordingly.

Giyarai: They devoted themselves to songs and pleasures of love.

Goama: They earned their living by painting and decorating a young bull with cowries in his neck and performing tricks of touching feet etc., and created amusement for the people. These ascetics lived on rice.

Govvaia: They behaved like a cow, and in order to support their bovine character, followed a cow wherever it went.

^{1.} Avo. Nir, 494.

grazed, drank water, returned home, and slept. They lived only on grass and leaves.

Kammārabhikkhu: They led a procession with idols.

Kuchchiya: They grew beard and moustaches.

Paraparivāiya: They spoke ill of other ascetics.

Pindolaga: They remained very dirty, and their body which was an abode of lice emitted a foul smell. A Pindolaga is said to have crushed himself under a rock on the mountain Vebhara.

Sasarakkha: They were adepts in casting spells, etc., and stored dust for the rainy season. They moved about naked, and used the hollow of their hands as alms bowl.

Vanimago: They were greedy of food and begged alms by exhibiting themselves to the devotees of Śākya, etc. They put themselves in a pitiable state, and in order to divert the attention of the donors spoke pleasing words.

Vāribhadraka: They lived on water or moss and engaged themselves in bathing and washing their feet.

Vārikhala: They washed their pot with mud twelve times.

CONTEMPORARY SCHOOLS OF PHILOSOPHICAL THOU-GHT (FROM BUDDHIST SOURCES)

The Brahmajāla Sutta in the Digha Nikāya classifies the contemporary philosophical thought into sixty-two schools which were in existence in the sixth century B.C. The four schools of Eternalists or Sassatavādas held that the soul and the world are both eternal. The first three schools held this view as a result of their having perceived through a recollection of the memories of past lives that the soul and the body have always been in existence, and the fourth school held this view not as a result of memory but on logical grounds.

The four schools of Semi-Eternalists or Ekachcha-Sassatikas were also well-known. The first school believed that while Brahmā was eternal, individual souls were not. The second school believed that debauched souls are not eternal but that undebauched souls are. The third school believed exactly the same thing as the second school except that in the case of the former the debauchery of the gods is mental unlike the debauchery of the gods of the latter school which is physical. The fourth school held that the soul was eternal but not the body.

The first of the four schools of Extentionists or Antānantikas held that the world was finite, the second that it was infinite, the third that it was infinite sidewise but finite upward and downward, and the fourth that it was neither finite nor infinite.

The four schools of Eel-wrigglers or Amarāvikhhepikas did not give categorical replies to any question but avoided them by ambiguous and equivocating replies, and differed only in respect of the motives for giving such replies.

The two schools of Fortuitous-Originists or Adhichchasamuppannikas held that the soul and the world came into being without a cause. The first came to this conclusion as a result of the remembrance of past lives and the second as a result of logical reasoning.

The thirty-two schools of consciousness-maintainers or *Uddhamāghatanikas* believed that the soul after death passed into various states of existence, viz., conscious or unconscious, subject to decay or not subject to decay, neither conscious nor unconscious, and all in respect of the form, finitude, different modes of consciousness, and happiness of the soul.

The seven schools of Annihilationists or Uchchedavādis maintained that the soul is annihilated after death and identified it with the body, essence of the body, mind, infinite space, infinite consciousness, or with the boundless and with that which is beyond ideas.

The five schools of Nirvāṇists or Diṭṭhadhammanibbānavādas believed that a soul was capable of obtaining complete emancipation in this visible world through full enjoyment of the pleasures of the senses or through each of the four stages of dhyāna.

VEDIC PANTHEON AND RELIGIOUS PRACTICES

People had not forgotten the Vedic pantheon and religious practices because of the rise of different religious seets and schools during the time of Mahāvīra. The Vedic rituals were

scrupulously performed by a large section of people. Though their number grew smaller and smaller, they never died out altogether.

The Jaina and Buddhist literary sources throw some light on Brahmanical religion and practices. The Sotthiyas and the Brāhmaņa Mahāsālas of the age were custodians of the Vedic religion which was mostly sacrificial. The Brāhmana Mahāsālas sometimes performed sacrifices for themselves, and sometimes officiated as priests in the Yajñas performed for kings. Descriptions of the preparations for the Mahāyajñas of the Brāhmana Kūtadanta of Magadha¹, of the Brāhmana Uggatasarīra of Sāvatthi2 and of king Pasenadi of Kośala3 throw considerale light on the method of performing these Yajñas. Animals sacrificed included cows, bulls, steers, goats, sheep, etc. The number of animals used for sacrificial purposes sometimes rose to 500 or 700.

There are references to the performance of the Assamedha, the Parisamedha, the Sammapasa and the Vājapeya sacrifices.4 Yajñas were performed with pomp and grandeur, and people flocked from neighbouring places to witness it. attended by big feasts, offerings, gifts of cows, beds, garments, women, chariots, carpets, and even places filled with corn. The picture of the Yajnas thus revealed by the Buddhist sources is similar to that painted by the Brahmanical sources leaving aside a few exaggerations.

The Brāhmanas appear as teachers representing various Vedic schools, such as the Addhariyas (Aitareyas), Tittiriyas (Taittiriyas), Chhandokas (Chhandogyas), Chhandavas, and so on.5 They worshipped Indra, Soma, Varuna, Isana, Prajapati, Brahmā, Mahiddhi, Yama, etc. They invoked them and offered prayer.6

POPULAR DEITIES

Because of the new notions regarding religion current during the time of Lord Mahāvīra, the functions of the

Dīgha, I, 127.
 Aṅgu, IV, 41.
 Saṁ, I. 76.

lbid.

Digha, I,p. 237. Ibid, p. 244.

old gods underwent modification and alteration, and new gods were created. Contact with indigenous cults at this time was responsible not only for the importation of new objects of worship, but also for the incorporation of new mythologies of the older cult. Not only from Brahmanical literature, but from Buddhist and Jaina literature also, we know about the popular deities worshipped during the sixth century B.C.

INDRA

Indra, the chief of all other gods, is the Vedic god of great antiquity, and it appears that he was one of the most popular deities. In the Kalpasūtra,¹ Indra has been described as enjoying divine pleasure in heaven in the company of various gods, eight chief queens, three assemblies, seven armies, seven commanders-in-chief, and body-guards. Indramaha was most prominent among all other mahas in ancient days. The festival of Indra was celebrated with great pomp and show. In Buddhist literature, he is mentioned by various names such as Sakka, Vāsava, Maghavā, and so on. He is also described as descending to this world for helping the virtuous punishing the evil-doers.² He resides in the place known as Sudhammā, Vejayanta, and Missakasāra in the beautiful Tāvatinsa heaven.³

$BRAHM\overline{A}$

During the period of the Brāhmaṇas, Prajāpati occupied the supreme position and was looked upon as the creator. In the sixth century B.C., he was called Brahmā. In the Buddhist Nikāyas, it is this personal Brahmā of the popular religion that is pictured, attacked, and ridiculed. In fact, his unity is not recognized, and many Brahmās with different appellations, such as Sanatkumāra, Sahampati, and so on were conceived for worshipping.⁴

- 1. Kalpa, 1, 13,
- 2. Jā, No. 540.
- 3. KS. I, 284-307; Ja. II, 312.
- Mr, I. 5.4; Digha, I. 244; Sam, I. 210; KS. I. 281, 191-2, 208; Argu. II, 21.

AG.NI

Agni (Fire-god) occupied an important place in Brahmanism on account of the importance of Agnihotra. The Grihyasūtras and the Dharmasūtras prescribe a number of domestic sacrifices for which Agni is needed. Agni was given a high position due to his use in yajña. The Buddhist writers¹ ridicule Agni-worship probably due to the association of fire with yajña which involves slaughter of living beings.

SŪRYA

In the Vedic period, the Sun occupied an important position but the moon was insignificant. From Buddhist literature, it appears that both were popular deities as they were worshipped by a fairly large number of people.²

FEMALE DEITIES

Siri and Sirimā the goddesses of Fortune and Luck, were the popular deities of this period. They are referred to also in the Kalpasūtra.³ In Buddhist literature, Siri has been regarded as the daughter of Śakra while Sirimā as the daughter of Dhṛitarāshṭra.⁴ Of the abstract deities that were worshipped,⁵ some were Vedic and others new additions. Saddhā (Śraddhā) is a Vedic deity, but Āsā and Hiri are non-Vedic.

Ajjā and Koṭṭakiriyā were two different forms of the goddess Durgā who is also called Chandiyā. The Āchārāṅga refers to the worship of Chandiyā with the sacrifice of goats, buffaloes, and human beings to please an inferior type of god. The peaceful goddess Durgā is called Ajjā, and when she rides on a buffalo she is called Koṭṭakiriyā.

LOKAPĀLAS

There are four Lokapālas (*Chātumahārājika Devas*)⁷ in the four quarters. Dhataraṭṭha Mahārāja, Virulhaka Mahārāja, Virupakkha Mahārāja, and Vessavaṇa Mahārāja are the lords of the East, the South, the West and the North res-

- 1. Su. Ni, III, 7.21.
- 2. Therigatha, 87; Ja, I, 474; Vi, 1, 263.
- 3. SBE, XXII, 232.
- 4. Jā, III. 262.
- 5. Jā, V, 392.
- 6. Āchā. p. 61.
- 7. Mr. I, 6. 30; Majjh. II, 194.

pectively.1

YAKSHA WORSHIP

From the Brahmanical, the Jaina, and the Buddhist sources, it appears that Yakshas were objects of worship. In Vedic literature, the word 'Yaksha' signifies a supernatural being, or a ghost-like appearance. In the sixth century B.C., the worship of the Yakshas or Jakkhas became very popular, and so every important city had its own shrine dedicated to the Yaksha. The Yakshas sometimes granted worldy desires, especially progeny and wealth while some of the Yakshas have been associated with cosmological functions, others are looked upon as malevolent beings who take possession of men's persons inducing in them symptoms of frenzy.

Yaksha Gaṇḍitinduga of Vārāṇasī guarded the great sage Mātaṅga in the Tinduga garden.² Bihelaga was another Yaksha who paid reverence to Lord Mahāvīra when the latter was engrossed in meditation.³ Gaṇgadatta,⁴ Subhadda,⁵ and Bhaddā⁶ were blessed with a child by the worship of the Yakshas. The Yakshas are also said to have cured diseases, Pūrṇabhadra and Maṇibhadra, both Yakshas, seem to be more popular, for to them offerings of food were made.⁷ Some of the Yakshas caused trouble to the people and often were satisfied only after killing them. We hear of Sūlapāṇi Jakkha who used to kill persons who happened to stay in his shrine.⁸ Another strange belief regarding the Jakkhas was that they enjoyed sexual intercourse with human maidens. The Gaṇḍitindurga Jakkha is said to have had sexual intercourse with the princess Bhaddā.⁹

Like Yakshas, the $V\bar{a}namantaris$ or the Jakkhinis also played an important part in ancient Indian life. The Vāṇa-

- 1. Dīgha, II, 220-21.
- 2. Uttarā, 12 and the com. p. 173 (a).
- 3. Āva, Nir, 487.
- Vivāgasuya. 7, p. 42 f; also of Hatthipāla Jā, (IV. No. 509), p. 474.
- 5. Ara, chū, II, p. 193.
- 6. Nāyā, 2, p. 49 f.
- 7. Nist chū, II, p. 709.
- 8. Ava. chū, pp. 272-4.
- 9. Gandatindu Jā, (No. 520).

mantrī Salejjā is said to have paid reverence to Mahāvīra1 whereas Katapūtanā gave him trouble.2 Various feasts and festivals were celebrated in honour of the Jakkhas. Bhandiravana, the abode of Bhandira Jakkha, a popular deity of Mathurā,3 drew a large number of pilgrims.

The abode of a Yaksha is often referred to as cheiya, a term which was applied to the whole sacred enclosure containing a garden grove or park and a shrine. Mahavira, the Buddha, and many other religious ascetics are represented as halting or resting in these shrines. From the Uvāsaga-dasāo, we learn that Mahāvīra visited the shrine of Pūrnabhadra at Champā, the shrine called Dvipalāsa of Vanijagrāma, the Koshthaka shrine of Vārānasī, the garden called Sankhavana of Alabhi, the garden called Sahasramravana of Kampilyapura, Sahasrāmravana of Polāsapura and the shrines called Gunasila and Kushthaka of Rājagriha.

Buddhist literature refers to the cities and haunts of the yakkhas. Their cities were known as Yakkhanagaras, which were usually situated in islands, deep forests, and deserts. A Jātaka story mentions a Yakkhanagara called Sirisavatthu in Tambapannidīpa4 and another in a forest.5 But some had individual haunts.6 More than thirty individual Yakshas are known by name.7 Yakkha Sūchiloma had his haunt near Gayā.8 The Samyutta-Nikāya and the Sutta-Nipāta describe him as discoursing with the Buddha.9 Yakkha Indrakūţa made the Indrakūta hill at Rājagriha his abode.10 For yakkha Manimāla, there was the Manimāla chetiya.11 Ajakalāpaka resided at Pāṭaliputra in the Ajakalāpaka chetiya.12

- Āva. chū, p. 294.
- Ibid, 490; the Ayoghara Ja, (V. No. 510), p. 491. 2.
- Āva chā, p. 281. Jā, II. 127. There are references to other Yakkhanagaras. Jā, I, 240. Jā, I, 399.
- 5.
- Sam, I, 207. 6.
- 7. DPPN.
- 8. Sam, I, 207; KS, I. 264; Su, Ni, II. 5.
- 9. Sam, I, 207; KS, I. 264; Su. Ni, II. 5.
- Sam, I, 206; KS, I. 262. 10.
- SN, I. 20S; KS, 1,266. 11.
- 12. Udāna. I,7.

NĀ GA WORSHIP

Naga worship seems to have a non-Aryan origin. Its emergence as a cult may be traced to the time of the civilization of Mohenjodaro as it is clear from the two seals where it appears in an attitude of devotion to a figure in Yogic posture. It appears that this cult was adopted by the Aryans partly as a consequence of the absorption of non-Arvan deities into the Brahmanical fold, and partly as a protection against snake-bites.

References to Nāga-worship, like those to the worship of Yakshas, are abundant in the Jaina and the Buddhist sources. Jainism and Buddhism had to admit the serpent in a subordinate capacity in their own religious systems. Pārśvanātha has a serpent as his special symbol. It is said that the Buddha received the homage of Muchilinda and Elapattra. The Buddha advised the Bhikshus to honour the royal families of the Nagas, so that they could be protected from snake-bites, and the regions which were covered with dense forests may have given impetus to snake worship. As Magadha was originally a non-Aryan land, it remained a centre of Naga worship from the earliest times. The Mahābhārata refers to the images and temples of the Nagas at Rajagriha. The Buddhist sources tell us that the $N\overline{a}gas$ were worshipped by the offerings of milk, rice, fish, meat, strong drink, and the like.3 According to the Grihya-sūtras, they were offered fried grain, flour of fried barley, and flour over which ghee had been poured.4

TREE WORSHIP

That the tree was a non-Aryan object of worship is clear from some of the seals of the Indus-Valley Civilization. These seals show that the Pippal tree was worshipped by the people in two forms, i.e., in its natural form and in the form of the spirit of the tree which was shown emerging from the tree. Because of the absorption of the non-Aryan tribes in the Aryan fold, many non-Arvan objects of worship were also gradually incorporated in Brahmanism.

- 1. Naya.
- 7ā, I. 498; II, 149.
 Ibid, 498.
- 4. SBE, XXIX, pp. 128-29; 201-2; 328-30.

During the time of Mahāvīra, tree-worship seems to have been well known. Trees were considered to be the residences of some divine spirits who were worshipped by people for the fulfilment of their desires for sons, daughters, honour, wealth, and so on. Sometimes they were regarded as abodes of evil spirits like *Pretas*, and people worshipped them out of fear so that these malignant spirits may not harm them. The tree cult became further popular when the custom of using trees as symbols of saints and worshipping them as such became fashionable. The Bodhi tree, for instance, was one such tree for the Buddhists.

MISCELLANEOUS DEITIES

Besides the celestial gods worshipped by their devotees, people seem to have worshipped various animals and birds too, such as elephants, horses, bulls, cows, dogs, and crows. The Buddhist sources speak of the honour shown to the bull, sometimes in normal course and sometimes on occasions like his death. The custom of showing reverence to the bull was probably on account of his indispensability to agriculture.

Rivers and sacred streams began to be venerated, and tirthas or sacred spots on their banks came into existence and began to be thronged with worshipful pilgrims. People made pilgrimages to these holy places because facilities for travel were available.

The dread of demons must have driven people to take recourse to rites in order to keep them in good humour. Magical formulae and incantations must have been largely used to placate the invisible spirits and to control their vagaries. There are numerous allusions to persons versed in demonology (bhūya-vāiya). There were dealers in antidotes as well as charmers who knew the science of spirits, and by means of various ceremonies, enchantments, and preservatives cured those possessed.³ The Bṛihatkalpabhāshya refers to a shop called Kuttiyāvaṇa⁴

^{1.} India as described in Early Texts of Buddhism and Jainism, pp. 195, 197-198.

^{2.} Ja, II. 225; Jā, IV 326.

^{3.} Uttarā. Tī, I, p. 5; Āva, Tī, p. 399.

^{4.} Brih. Bhā, 3. 4214; Āva. Ti, p. 413.

where everything living or non-living was available. It is said that there were nine such shops in Ujjenī during the reign of Chandapajjoya.

From about the sixth century B.C., the old Vedic religion and practices gradually underwent transformation, and formed some sort of a new religion. Although the final form of this new religion is not clearly perceptible, its beginnings were marked in this age by the adoption of theistic Vaishnavism and Saivism within the fold of the Brahmanical religion. These two theistic religions centred round two deities, Vishnu and Siva, and they both emphasized devotion.

The first step in the evolution of Vaishnavism was the identification of Vāsudeva-Krishna with the Vedic deity Vishnu, standing originally for the 'Sun'. This reference in the Chhāndogya Upanishad seems to point to a date in the seventh or sixth century B.C. Next, that Vāsudeva-Krishna-Vishnu was identified with a sage Nārāyaṇa, is clear from the Baudhāyana Dharmasūtra. This Bhāgavata or Vaishṇava religion seems to have originated first with the Yādava-Sātvata-Vṛishṇi people of the Mathura area.

The cult of Siva probably goes back to a very early period. That it was current among the non-Aryans of the pre-Vedic period is obvious from the fact that some scholars have identified the figures on seals with Siva who is also identified with the Vedic god Rudra. In the Śvetāśvatara Upanishad, Siva figures as the Great God (Mahādeva) superior to the Vedic pantheon.

Belief in heaven and hell was widespread at this date and it was said that those who perform various noble acts attain heaven, while those who indulge in evil acts go to hell.

CHAPTER VI

POLITICAL CONDITIONS AND INSTITUTIONS

Since at the time of Lord Mahāvīra, there was no paramount power in North India, the region was divided into many independent states. The period, however, was politically very important in ancient Indian history and marked the end of the tribal stage of society, while it also gave rise for the first time to those organized states which were known as sixteen great countries Solasamahājanapada. These states formed some definite territorial units and included both monarchies and republics. A trial of strength was taking place amongst the monarchies, and, what is more, between the monarchical and the non-monarchical forms of government. It led to the decline of the republics, the rise of absolutism, and the growing success of Magadhan imperialism.

The Jaina, Buddhist, and Purānic texts furnish catalogues of these states. In spite of the striking resemblances between one list and another, there are also important differences, a fact which leads to the assumption that the lists were originally drawn up at different times, and they reflect the difference in their author's knowledge of or interest in or even his intimacy with the different parts of the country.

The Jaina Bhagavati Sūtra, 1 (otherwise called Vyākhyā-Prajñapti), provides a list of sixteen Mahājanapadas at the time of Lord Mahāvīra as follows:

- (1) Anga, (2) Banga (Vanga), (3) Magaha (Magadha), (4) Malaya (5) Mālava (ka), (6) Achchha (7) Vachchha (Vatsa) (8) Kochchha (Kachchha), (9) Pāḍha (Pāṇḍya or Pauṇḍra) (10) Lāḍha (Lāṭa or Rāḍha), (11) Bajji (Vajji), (12) Molt (Malla), (13) Kāṣi (Kāṣī), (14) Kaṣala (15) Ayāha, and (16)
- (10) Lagna (Laja or Ragna), (11) Bajji (Vajji), (12) Moli (Malla), (13) Kāsi (Kāsī), (14) Kosala (15) Avāha and (16) Sambhuttara (Sumhottara).
 - 1. Saya XV, Uddessa I (Hoerule-the Uvā, II, Appendix).

The Buddhist texts, which testify to their existence in the sixth century B.C., only incidentally refer to them. Among them, the Anguttara Nikāya is the most important as it is the earliest. The sixteen states enlisted in it are as follows:

(1) Kāsi (Kāśī), (2) Kosala (Kośala), (3) Aṅga, (4) Magadha, (5) Vajji (Vṛiji), (6) Malla, (7) Chetiya (Chedi), (8) Vaṁsa (Vatsa), (9) Kuru, (10) Pañchāla, (11) Machchha (Matsya), (12) Sūrasena, (13) Assaka (Aśmaka), (14) Avanti (15) Gandhāra and (16) Kamboja.

The Janavasabha Suttanta (Dīgha Nikāya, II) refers to some of them in pairs, viz., Kāsī-Kosala, Vṛiji (Vajji)-Malla, Chedi-Vaṁsa, Kuru-Pañchāla, and Matsya-Śūrasena. The Chullaniddesa adds Kaliṅga to the list aud substitutes Yona for Gandhāra. The Mahāvastu list agrees with that in the Aṅguttara Nikāya save that it omits Gandhāra and Kamboja and mentions Śivi and Daśārna instead.

Anga, Magadha, Vatsa, Vajji, Kāsi, and Kosala are common to both the Bhagavatisūtra and the Anguttara Nikāya lists. Mālava of the Bhagavatī is probably identical with Avanti of the Anguttara. Moli is probably a corruption of Malla. The other states mentioned in the Bhagavati are new, and indicate a knowledge of the far east and the far south of India. E. J. Thomas² suggests that the author of this work lived in South India, and that the more extended horizon clearly proves that its list belongs to a later period than the one given in the Buddhist Anguttara. Along with the monarchies, both the Jaina and Buddhist texts mention the existence of republics which formed the distinctive feature of Indian politics in the sixth century B.C. Pānini, in his Ashtādhyāri (500 B.C.), mentions both classes of states, viz., the Republics, to which he applies the term Sangha or Gana, and the kingdoms called Janapadas. Baudhāyana in his Dharmasūtra mentions states like Surāshtra Avanti, Magadha, Anga, Pundra, and Vanga.

ANGA

The Jaina Prajñāpaṇā ranks Anga and Vanga in the first

Añgu, I, 213; IV, 252, 256, 260; Mahāvastu, I, 34, II, 3; Vinaya Texts.
 II, 146 fn; Niddesa, II, 37.

^{2.} History of Buddhist Thought. p. 6,

group of Aryan peoples. Anga seems to have comprised the districts of Bhagalpur and Monghyr. Dadhivāhana is known to Jaina tradition¹ as having ruled over this region in the time of Lord Mahāvīra. His daughter Chandanā or Chandrabālā was the first female who embraced Jainism shortly after Mahāvīra had attained the Kevaliship. There is another tradition² that when Śrenika (Bimbisāra) conquered Anga, he posted his son Kūnika (Ajātaśatru) as its Governor.

The capital of Anga was Champā which stood at the confluence of the river of the same name. A. Cunningham³ points out that there still exist near Bhāgalpur two villages Champānagara and Champāpura, which most probably represent the actual site of the ancient capital. At the time of Mahāvīra, the capital was a beautiful and prosperous city, a detailed description of which is given in the Ovāiya.⁴ It was one of the ten important capitals, a big centre of trade, from where merchants travelled as far as Mithilā, Ahichchhatrā, Pihuṇḍa, and other places with their merchandise.

The Dīgha Nikāya also refers to Champā as one of the six principal cities of India. It was noted for its wealth and commerce, and traders sailed from it to Suvarṇa-bhūmi in the Trans-Gangetic region for trading purposes.⁵ Other important cities in Anga were Assapura (Aśvapura) and Bhaddiya (Bhadrika).⁶

KĀŚĪ

That Kāśī was more powerful than most of the contemporary Janapadas, including Kosala, is clear from the combined testimony of many Jātakas and the Mahāvagga. The kingdom of Kāśī, whose extent is given in the Jātakas as three hundred leagues, was wealthy and prosperous. The twenty-third Jaina Tīrthankara Pārśvanātha, who died 250 years before Mahāvīra, i.e. in or about 777 B.C., was the son of

- 1. Āva. chū, p. 205 ff; Uttarā Ţi, 9, p. 132.
- 2. Bhag, 300; Digha, 1, 111.
- 3. B. C. Law, Geography of Early Buddhism, p. 6.
- 4. Ozā, 1, 2, 10.
- 5. Jā, No 539, VI, p. 34.
- 6. DPPN, p- 16.

King Aśvasena of Banaras. Kāśī was conquered by Kosala some time before Mahāvīra. Kāśī and Kosala were known for their eighteen confederate kings (Gaṇarājā), who fought against Kūṇiya on the side of Chetaka. Several Jātakas bear witness to the superiority of its capital Banaras over the other cities. It was also a commercial centre of repute.

KOSALA

Kosala was one of the most important kingdoms in Northern India during the life-time of Lord Mahāvīra. It exactly corresponds to modern Oudh. It was probably bounded by the Sadānīra (Gandak) river on the east, Pañchāla on the west, the Sarpikā or Syandikā (Sai) river on the south, and the Nepal hills on the north. Kosala contained three great cities, namely Ayodhyā, Sāketa, and Sāvatthi or Śrāvastī, besides a number of minor towns like Setavyā and Ukkaṭṭhā.

The only kings or princes in the Purānic list, who are known from the Vedic and early Buddhist texts to have reigned in Kosala or over some outlying part of it, are Hiranyanābha, Prasenajit, and Suddhodana. Though the Purānic chroniclers make Hiranyanābha an ancestor of Prasenajit, they are not sure of his position in the dynastic list.¹

Prasenajit of Kosala, a contemporary of Mahāvīra, figures as one of the most important rulers of the time. Under him, Kosala became a powerful kingdom. First of all, he annexed Kāśī to his kingdom. That he soon extended his supremacy over the Śākyas of Kapilavastu, probably also over the Kālāmas of Kesaputta, and other neighbouring states, is clear from the evidence of the Aggañña Suttanta² and the introductory portion of the Bhaddasāla Jātaka.³ His relations with Śrenika (Bimbisāra) of Magadha were cordial. He married Śrenika's sister and gave him the dowry of a village in Kāśī with a revenue of 100,000. But after the death of Śrenika, he carried on a protracted struggle with Kūnika (Ajātaśatru). The Jaina texts present Ajātaśatru as the conqueror of the

^{1.} AIHT, 173.

^{2.} Digha, III (P.T.S.), 83; Dia, III. 80.

^{3.} Ja, No, IV 145.

powerful political confederacy which included the Gaṇa-Rājyas of Kāśī and Kosala.¹ Vidūdabha, who succeeded him, seems to be the last ruler. The rivalry with Magadha ended in the absorption of the kingdom into the Magadhan empire.

VRI7I

The Vṛijji (Vajji) territory lay north of the Ganges and extended as far as the Nepal hills. At the time of Lord Mahāvīra, it was ruled by the Vajjian republic, about the constituent clans of which we are in the dark. On the basis of the name of a Judicial committee of the Republic—Aṭṭhakulaka (Ashṭakulakā) some scholars² assumed that the confederacy consisted of eight Kulas (clans). Of these, the old Videhas, the Lichchhavīs, the Jāātrikas, and the Vṛijis were the most important. The remaining seem to be the Ugras, the Bhogas, the Aikshvākavas, and the Kauravas because these are associated with the Jāātris and the Lichchhavīs as subjects of the same ruler and members of the same Assembly. The Aṅguttara Nikāya⁴ too refers to the close connection of the Ugras with Vaiśālī, the capital of the Vṛijian confederation.

There is no reason to believe that the eight members of the judicial court represented the eight clans of the republic. Yogendra Mishra⁵ has tried to prove that Videhas of Mithilā did not form part of the Vajjian Republic. Vriji was only the name of the confederacy but not of the constituent clan. Only the six clans may be treated as inhabiting the Vajjian territory. The Lichchhavī capital was definitely at Vaiśālī, which is represented by modern Besarh (to the east of the Gaṇḍak) in the Muzaffarpur district of Bihar. The Jñātrikas were the clan of Siddhārtha and his son Mahāvīra, the Jina. They had their seats at Kuṇḍapura or Kuṇḍagrāma and Kollāga, suburbs of Vaiśālī. Though dwelling in suburban areas, Mahāvīra and his fellow clansmen were known as

^{1.} Bhag, 300.

^{2.} CAG, pp. 512 ff. RBI, p. 25; B.C. LAW, Geography of Early Buddhism, p. 12.

^{3.} SBE, XLV, 339; Uvā, II, p. 138 fn. 304.

⁴ Aegu, I. 26; III, 49; IV, 208.

^{5.} An Early History of Vaisali, p. 122.

Vesālie, i.e. inhabitants of Vaiśālī.¹ The remaining people of the confederacy, viz., the Ugras, Bhogas, Kauravas, and Aikshvākavas, resided in the suburbs, andin villages or towns like Hatthigāma and Bhoganagara.²

The Lichchhavis were on friendly terms with Prasenajit of Kosala. Their relation with the neighbouring Mallas was on the whole friendly. The Jaina Kalpasūtra³ refers to the nine Lichchhavis as having formed a league with the nine Mallakis and eighteen clan-lords of Kāśi-Kosala. We learn from the Nirayāvali Sūtra that an important leader of this alliance was Chetaka whose sister Trisalā or Videha-dattā was the mother of Mahavira, and whose daughter Chellana or Vaideh was, according to Jaina writers, the mother of Kunika-Ajātaśatru. The great rival of Vaiśālī was Magadha. According to tradition, the Vaiśālians sent an army to attack Magadha at the time of Bimbisāra.4 The matrimoninl alliance was, according to D.R. BHANDARKAR, the result of the peace concluded after the war between Bimbisara and the Lichchhavis. In the reign of Ajātaśatru, this great confederacy Vriji was utterly destroyed.

MALLA

Originally, the Mallas had a monarchical form of Government, but at the time of Mahāvīra, they were a Saṃgha or corporation, of which the members were called $R\bar{a}j\bar{a}s$. The Jaina Kalpasūtra⁵ refers to the nine clans of the Mallas, and each of them ruled over a separate territory. Among these, two were prominent: one with its headquarters at Kuśīnārā and the other with Pāvā as its chief town. The river Kakutsthā (Kakutthā) formed the boundary between the two territories. Kuśīnārā is identified with Kāsiā on the smaller Gandak about 56 km. to the east of Gorakhpur, and Pāvā with Padaraona 19 km. to the north-east of Kasiā. In the Saṅgti Suttanta, we

- 1. HOERNLE. Uvā, II, p. 4 n.
- 2. PHAI, p. 121.
- 3. SBE, XXII, p. 266.
- 4. HTB, II, 166.
- 5 SBE, XXII, p. 266.
- 6. CAG, p. 498. CARLLEYLE, however, proposes to identify it with Fazilpur, 10 miles S.E. of Kasiā.

have a reference to the Mote Hall of the Pāvā Mallas named Ubbhaṭaka.¹ There were some other Malla towns, namely, Bhoganagara lying between Jambugrāma and Pāvā, Anupiyā between Kuśīnārâ and the river Anomā and Uruvelakappa.

The relations between the Mallas and the Lichchhavis were sometimes hostile and sometimes friendly. They became allies for self-defence at the time of Kūnika-Ajātaśatru's invasion, though the *Bhadasāla Jātaka*² offers us an account of a conflict between them.

Jainism and Buddhism found many followers among the Mallas. From the Jaina Kalpasūtra, we learn that the nine Mallakis or Malla Chiefs were among those that instituted an illumination on the day of the new moon, saying, "Since the light of intelligence is gone, let us make an illumination of material matter." At the time of the Buddha's death, we find both the main sections of the Mallas claiming a share of his bodily remains. This also proves that these two main clans retained their distinctive independence.

Soon after the Buddha's death, the Mallas appear to have lost their independence with their dominions annexed to the Magadhan empire.⁴

CHEDI (Cheti)

The Chedis were one of the most ancient tribes of India. They had two distinct settlements, of which one was in the mountains of Nepal and the other in Bundelkhand. D. R. BHANDARKAR⁵ maintains that Cheta or Chetiya corresponds roughly to modern Bundelkhand. Sotthivatīnagara, probably identical with Sukti or Suktimatī of the Mahābhārata, was its capital. Other important towns of the Chedis were Sahajāti and Tripurī. Sahajāti lay on the trade route along the river Ganga. We learn from the Vedabbha Jātaka⁷ that the road from

- 1. DPPN, II, 194.
- 2. Jē, No. 465.
- 3. SBE, XXII, p. 266.
- 4. CL, I. 79.
- 5. Ibid, 1. 52.
- 6. RBI, p. 103.
- 7. Jā, No. 48.

Kāśī to Chedi was unsafe on account of its being infested with roving bands of marauders.

The Mahābhārata and some of the Jātakas mention the names of the early kings of Chedi, but their accounts are legendary and cannot be relied upon for genuine historical purposes.

VATSA

Vatsa or Vamsa was the country south of the Ganga of which Kausambi, modern Kosam, on the Yamuna, near Allahabad, was the capital. The king of Vatsa in the time of Mahāvīra was Udayana.

According to the Purāṇic evidence, Udayana was a scion of the Bhārata Kula. There is no unanimity in regard to the names of even the immediate predecessors of Udayana. His father's name is said to be Śatānīka II¹. He married a princess of Videha on account of which his son is called Vaidehīputra.² He is said to have attacked Champā, the capital of Anga, during the reign of Dadhivāhana.³

There are legendary traditions about Udayana Vatsarāja of Kauśāmbī and his contemporary Pradyota of Avanti. A critical examination of these legends will yield a number of historical facts of considerable importance. Udayana and Pradyota, both rulers of two adjoining kingdoms, appear to have been connected by marriage and to have engaged in war. It seems that later on cordial relations were established between them. According to the *Priyadaršikā* he conquered Kalinga and restored his father-in-law, Dridhavarman, to the throne of Anga. The latter is probably the same as Dadhivāhana who, according to another legend, was defeated by Udayana's father.

Udayana had a son named Bodhi, but we do not know anything definite about Vatsa after Udayana, not even whether Bodhi ever succeeded his father to the throne.

- In the Buddhist texts, his father's name is given Parantapa. See Vinaya II, 127; IV, 198; Majjh, II, 97; Jö, III, 157. In the Jaina Texts his name has been mentioned Sayānīya.
- 2. Svapna-vāsavadatta, Act VI, p. 129.
- 3. JASB, 1914, p. 321.

MAGADHA

In the time of Lord Mahāvīra, Magadha corresponded roughly to the present Patna and Gaya districts of South Bihar. The boundaries were probably the Ganga to the north, the Son to the West, a dense forest reaching to the plateau of Chotā Nagpur to the south, and Anga to the East. The river Champā formed a boundary between Magadha and Anga: but in Mahāvīra's time Anga was subject to Magadha. Its earliest capital was Girivraja or Rājagriha.

Mahāvīra's contemporary rulers of Magadha were Bimbisāra and Ajātaśatru. In their reign, Magadha was the first among the states of the sixth century B.C. to make a successful bid for the establishment of its supremacy over them. By his conquests and matrimonial alliances, Bimbisāra enlarged his influence and power. Afterwards, his son crushed the great republic of the Lichchhavīs after sixteen years of struggle, vanquished Kosala, and annexed Kāśī. The kingdom of Bimbisāra is stated to have been 300 leagues in extent, to which an addition of 200 leagues was made by Ajātaśatru's conquests.

Jaina writers mention two early kings of Rājagṛiha, Samudravijaya and his son Gaya.¹ Little reliance can be placed on them in this regard because what they say is not corroborated by any other evidence. Bimbisāra, who belonged to the Haryanka-Kula, occupied the throne of Magadha immediately after the fall of Bṛihadratha dynasty in the sixth century B.C. According to the Mahāvamsa, he was fifteen years old when he was anointed king by his father. This would show that he was not the founder of the royal family. D.R. Bhandarkar has inferred that Bimbisāra, who was originally a Senāpati probably of the Vajjis, made himself the king.

Bimbisāra was helped in his political career by his matrimonial alliances. His first wife was a sister of Prasenajit, the king of Kosala, who gave him the dowry of a village of Kāśī with a revenue of 100,000. His second wife was Chellanā, daughter of the Lichchhavī Chief, Chetaka. His

^{1.} SBE, XLV, p. 86.

third wife was Vaidehī Vāsavī. His fourth wife was Khemā, daughter of the king of Madra (Central Punjab).

Not content with these matrimonial alliances, Bimbisāra embarked upon his career of conquest and aggrandisement. His father was defeated by Brahmadatta, king of Anga. It was probably to avenge this defeat that Bimbisāra led a compaign against Anga. He was completely successful and enlarged Magadha by conquering and annexing this powerful and prosperous kingdom. He appointed his son Kūnika as the Governor at Champā. According to Jaina legend, Pradyota of Avanti set out to attack Rājagriha even during the lifetime of Bimbisāra but he was foiled in his attempt by the cunning art of Prince Abhaya.¹ Bimbisāra is known to have friendly relations with Pradyota and with Pushkarasārin, king of Gandhāra. When the king of Avanti was suffering from jaundice, he sent his own physician Jīvaka.

According to Buddhist traditions, Bimbisāra lost his life at the hands of his son Ajātaśatru who was incited to the crime by Devadatta. But Jaina tradition is more charitable to Ajātaśatru. It does not represent him as a parricide. It relates that in his eagerness for the throne, he put his father in prison, but Bimbisāra took poison and killed himself.

Ajātaśatru added largely to the extent of the kingdom by his conquests. He started with a war against Kosala because Prasenajit revoked his gift of the Kāśī village after the death of the Kosalan princess. Ajātaśatru was defeated and had to surrender himself to Prasenjit along with his army. In the end, peace was concluded between the two by Prasenjit restoring to Ajātaśatru his liberty, army, and the disputed village of Kāśī and even giving his daughter Vajīrā in marriage to him.

The Jaina texts present Ajātaśatru as the conqueror of the powerful political confederacy which dominated Eastern India at that time and comprised thirtysix republican states—nine Mallaki, nine Lichhhavīs, and eighteen Gaṇarājyas of Kāši and Kosala.² The overthrow of this confederacy resulted from

^{1.} ABORI. 1920-21, 3.

^{2.} Bhag, 300.

Ajātaśatru's conquest of its most powerful member, the Lich-chhavī republic, although the cause of the conflict between the two is differently stated in different texts.

- (1) According to the Buddhists, a jewel mine was discovered at the foot of a hill at a port in the Gangā and it was agreed that Ajātaśatru and the Lichchhavīs would have an equal share of the gems. The Lichchhavīs violated this agreement and so brought on the conflict.
- (2) According to the Jaina version¹, the bone of contention was the Magadha state elephant Śreyanāka and a huge necklace of eighteen strings of pearls which were given by Bimbisāra to his sons Halla and Vehalla. They carried off the elephant and the necklace to Vaiśālī and sought the protection of their grandfather, king Chetaka, against Ajātaśatru. Having failed to obtain them peacefully, Kūṇika-Ajātaśatru declared war on Chetaka.²
- (3) It is also stated that Pamāvatī incited her husband Ajātaśatru to this conflict.

It was not easy to conquer the Lichchhavis who were then at the zenith of their power as the head of a vast confederacy. Their leader Chetaka actually mustered up the confederate powers, including the Gaṇa-rājās (republican chiefs) of Kāśī and Kosala and inspired them to fight.³ They all maintained their high traditions and were ready to stake everything for the success of the republic. Ajātaśatru proved equal to his difficult task and took recourse to three means for the subjugation of the hostile state—machination, military strength, and strategy. He deputed his minister Vassakāra on the mission of sowing seeds of disunion among the Lichchavīs at Vaiśālī. Infected with jealousies and quarrels between the different classes, between the rich and the poor and the strong and the weak, the Lichchhavīs became a changed. people, lacking the social unity of former days.

But Ajātaśatru had to plan his military preparations for the conquest on a large scale. Rājagriha was too far inland

- 1. Ucā, II, App. p. 7; B.C. Law: Some Jaina Canonical Sūtras, (Nirayā) p. 87.
- 2. Avaiyaka, p. 684.
- 3. B. C. Law: Some Jaina Canonical Sūtras, (Nirayā), p. 87.

to serve as a base of operations against the distant Lichchhavīs on the other side of the Ganga. Therefore he selected a convenient site directly on the Ganga for the construction of a fort and laid the foundation of Pāṭaliputra, his new capital. He also made secret weapons of war which may be compared to modern tanks.

The construction of the fort was followed by his expedition against Vaiśālī. The war between Ajātaśatru on the one hand and these various republics under the leadership of Chetana of Vaiśālī on the other was a long-drawn-out and arduous affair. It must have lasted for at least sixteen years. Ajātaśatru came out successful on account of his manifold and well-designed preparations.

These conquests of Ajātaśatru by which he became the paramount power of Eastern India provoked feelings of hostility in his equally ambitious rival king Chaṇḍa Pradyota of Avanti. He was planning an attack upon his capital at Rājagriha. Ajātaśatru applied himself to the task of strengthening its fortifications. But the king of Avanti could do nothing against him. He thus extended the boundaries of his kingdom and laid the foundations of the Magadhan empire on solid grounds.

KURU

Kuru is identified with modern Kurukshetra or Thaneshwar. As is apparent from the Mahā-sutasoma Jātaka,¹ it was three hundred leagues in extent. The capital of the Kurus was Indraprastha near modern Delhi, which extended over seven leagues. Another important town was Hastināpura. Besides other small towns and villages known to us, were Thullakoṭṭhita, Kammāssadamma, Kaṇḍi, and Vāraṇāvata.

The Jātakas² mention the names of some Kuru kings and princes such as Dhanañjaya, Koravya, and Sutasoma, but we are not sure of their historicity in the absence of further evidence. The Jaina *Uttarādhyayana Sūtra* mentions a king named Ishukāra ruling at a town, Ishukāra, in the Kuru country.³ It seems that the Kuru realm was divided into small

- 1. Ja. No. 537.
- 2. Ja, Nos. 276, 413, 515 and 545.
- 3. SBE, XLV, 62.

states of which Indraprastha and Ishukāra were apparently the most important. "Kings" are mentioned as late as the time of the Buddha when one of them paid a visit to Raṭṭhapāla, son of a Kuru magnate, who had become a disciple of the Sākya Sage.

PAÑCHĀLA

Pañchāla roughly corresponds to the modern Badaun, Farrukhabad, and the adjoining districts of the Uttar Pradesh. In very early times, this country was divided into northern or Uttara-Pañchāla and southern or Dakshina-Pañchāla. The Northern Pañchāla had its capital at Ahichchhatra (identified with modern Rāmnagar in the Bareilly district) while Southern Pañchāla had its capital at Kāmpilya. i.e. Kampil in the Farrukhābad District.

The history of Pañchāla from the death of Pravāhaṇa Jaivali to the time of Bimbisāra of Magadha is obscure. A great Pañchāla king named Chulani Brahmadatta is mentioned in the Mahā-Ummagga Jātaka¹, the Uttorādhyayana Sūtra,³ the Svapnavāsavadatta,³ and the Rāmāyaṇa.⁴ In the Uttarādhyayanasūtra, Brahmadatta is styled a universal monarch. The story of this king is, however, essentially legendary, and little reliance can therefore be placed on it.

The Uttarādhyayana Sūtra mentions a king of Kāmpilya named Sañjaya who gave up his kingly power and adopted the faith of the Jinas. It is difficult to assign any definite date to this ruler. It seems that in the sixth century B.C., the Pañchālas like others established a Saṃgha form of Government of the Rāja-śabd-opajīvīn type and its leaders assumed the title of Rājās. One of these Rājās was apparently the maternal grandfather of Viśākha Pañchālīputra, a disciple of the Buddha.

- 1. Jā, No. 546
- 2. SBE, XLV, 57-61.
- 3. Act V,
- 4. Rāmāyana, I. 32.
- 5. SBE, XLV, 80-82.
- 6. DPPN, II, 108.

MATSYA

The Matsya or Machchha country corresponds to the modern territories of Jaipur and Alwar. Its capital was Virāṭanagara (modern Bairāṭ) named after its founder king Virāṭa. Upaplavya was another city of Matsya kingdom where the Pāṇḍavas transferred themselves from Virāṭa on the completion of the period of their exile. The Mahābhārata¹ refers to a king named Sahaja who reigned over the Chedis as well as Matsyas. The Matsyas had no political importance of their own during the time of Mahāvīra. In Pāli literature, the Matsyas as a people are usually associated with the Śūrasenas.

ŚŪRASENA

Mathurā was the capital of Śūrasena which is identified with the region round Mathurā. In the *Mahābhārata* and the *Purāṇas*, the ruling family of Mathurā is labelled as the Yadu or Yādava family. The Yādavas were divided into various branches, namely, the Vītihotras, Sātvatas, etc.

At the time of Lord Mahāvīra, Avantiputra was the ruling chief of Sūrasena country. It may be inferred from the epithet 'Avantiputra' that Avanti and Sūrasena were bound to each other by a matrimonial alliance. Avantiputra, king of the Sūrasenas, was the first among the chief disciples of the Buddha through whose help Buddhism gained ground in the Mathurā region. Mathurā was also a centre of considerable importance for the Jainas. It is said to have been visited by Mahāvīra, Ajja Mangu, and Ajja Rakkhiya.

SINDHU SAUVĪRA

Sindhu Sauvira is the Lower Indus Valley, Sindhu being the name of 'the inland portion lying to the west of the Indus' while Sauvira includes the littoral as well as the inland portion lying to the east of the Indus as far as Multan. Vitabhaya was the capital of this province. Udayana was a very powerful monarch of Sindhu Sauvira. He was converted after he heard Mahāvīra's sermon at Vītabhaya. In course of time,

- 1. Mbh, V. 74, 16; VI, 47, 67; 52, 9.
- 2. PHAI, pp. 507 and 619.

he anointed Keśikumāra, his sister's son, king over Sindhu Sauvīra and joined the order under Mahāvīra.¹ On the other hand, according to the Buddhists, Udrāyaṇa, king of Roruka, accepted Buddhism and was ordained by the Buddha.

AŚVAKA

The early Buddhist texts refer to Aśvaka as Mahājanapada the capital of which was Potana or Potali corresponding to Paudanya of the Mahābhārata. This Aśvaka of Buddhist literature was a south Indian country and it was located either on the Godāvarī or comprised the region of Mahārāshṭra.

The Kingdom of Aśvaka is believed to have been founded by Ikshvāku chiefs. The Mahāgovinda Suttanta mentions Brahmadatta, king of the Assakas, as a contemporary of Sattabhu, king of Kalinga, Vessabhu, king of Avanti, Bharata, king of Sauvīra, Renu, king of Videha, Dhatarattha, king of Aṅga, and Dhatarattha, king of Kāśī. The Chulla Kālinga Jātaka mentions Aruṇa, a king of Assaka, and his Minister Nandisena, and refers to a victory which they won over the king of Kalinga. We are not definite about the historicity of these early rulers. In the sixth century B.C. at the time of Lord Mahāvīra, the ruler of Assaka was a king whose son was prince Sujāta.

AVANTI

The kingdom of Avanti seems to have comprised roughly modern Malwa, Nimar, and the adjoining parts of Madhya Pradesh. It was named after Avantis, one of the branches of Haihayas. It seems that when the Vitihotras and Avantis passed away, the country of Avanti was divided into two kingdoms, one placed in the Dakshināpatha having Māhishmatī for its capital, and the other, i.e. the northern kingdom, having its capital at Ujjayinī. The southern kingdom, with its capital Māhishmatī, was ruled by Viśvabhū, one of the seven contemporary kings of the line of Bharata. At Ujjain,

^{1.} Bhag, 13. 6.

^{2.} Dia, Part II, p. 270.

³ Digha. II, 236. The Mahogovinda Suttanta also refers to this ruler. Sec, PHAI, p. 145.

a Minister named Pulika (Punika) is said to have killed his master and appointed his own son, Pradyota, the ruler in the very sight of the Kshatriyas. Pradyota was thus Punika's son, and with him commenced the Pradyota dynasty.

Pradyota was one of the most powerful monarchs of North India in the days of Lord Mahāvīra, and during that period Avanti rose to a high position. It was no less than Magadha in strength and position. According to the Buddhist text Mahāvagga,² Pradyota was a great soldier; and, according to the Purāṇas, he reduced many of his contemporary rulers to subjection. The Purāṇas do not give us a detailed list, but those subjugated may have been among the rulers of Shoḍaśa-Mahājanaþadas.

The relations of Pradyota with Bimbisāra of Magadha were cordial. Bimbisāra sent his famous physician Jīvaka to cure Pradyota when he fell ill. On the other hand, the Jaina legends mention that Pradyota went forth to attack Rājagṛiha, even during the lifetime of Bimbisāra, but the attempt was foiled by the cunning prince Abhaya.³ It is however definite that Pradyota's relations with Bimbisāra's son, Ajātaśatru became strained. Ajātaśatru adopted an aggressive policy of attacking and conqueringVaiśālī. Being an ambitious ruler himself, Pradyota could not tolerate the aggression launched upon him by Ajātaśatru. Both of them wanted to establish their supremacy in northern India. Pradyota was planning an attack upon his rival's capital at Rājagṛiha.⁴ Apprehending this invasion by Pradyota, Ajātaśatru fortified his capital.

Pradyota wanted to consolidate and extend his kingdom. In his neighbourhood, there was the powerful kingdom of Kauśāmbī ruled by his rival Udayana Vatsarāja of the celebrated Bharata family. Pradyota seems to have engaged in war with Udayana⁵ but later on amity between them was

^{1.} Matsya, p. 272, I, V. 37. 303.

^{2.} SBE, XVII, p. 187.

^{3.} ABORI, 1920-21; DPPN, I, 128.

⁴ CHI, I, p. 311.

^{5,} RBI, pp. 4-7.

restored. Pradyota gave his daughter Vāsavadattā in marriage to Udayana.

Pradyota engaged in hostilities with Pushkarasārin of Taxila but he was unsuccessful in his war.¹ Pradyota seems to have established close relations with the Śūrasenas of Mathura. The king at this time was known as Avantiputra, a name signifying the existence of some relationship between Pradyota and the ruler of Śūrasenas. The Lalitavistara² gives the personal name of the king of Mathura as Subāhu.

Pradyota is said to have ruled for twenty-three years. That he was cruel is evident from the sobriquet *Chanda* and from the fact that he hardly ever followed a good policy. His younger brother, Kumārasena, was killed when he tried to put a stop to the practice of selling human flesh in the Mahā-kāla temple.³

$GANDH\overline{A}RA$

Gandhāra comprised the region of the modern districts of Peshawar and Rawalpindi. Its capital was Takshaśilā. It was an ancient seat of learning where people from different provinces came for learning. It was also a great centre of trade and its distance from Banaras was 2,000 leagues.⁴

The Purānas represent the Gandhāra princes as descendants of Druhyu.⁵ Jaina writers inform us that one of the early kings, Nagnajit, who is reported to have been a contemporary of Nimi, king of Videha, and other rulers, adopted the faith of the Jainas.⁶ As Pārśva (777 B.C.) was probably the first historical Jain, Nagnajit, if he really became a convert to his doctrines, must be placed between 777 B.C. and 544 B.C., the date of Pushkarasārin, the Gandharian contemporary of Bimbisāra.

In the time of Lord Mahāvīra, the throne of Gandhāra was occupied by Pushkarasārin. He is said to have sent an

- 1. RBI, p. 15.
- 2, Ed. by RAJENDRA LAL MITRA, p. 24.
- 3. PRADHAN: Chronology of Ancient India, pp. 72, 335,
- 4. Jā, No. 406; Telepaţia Jā, No. 96; Susima Jā, No. 163.
- 5. Matsya, 48.6; Vöyu, 99. 9.
- 6. SBE, XLV; 87.

embassy and a letter to king Bimbisāra of Magadha, and waged war on Pradyota of Avanti who was defeated. He is also said to have been threatened in his own kingdom by the Pāṇḍavas who occupied a part of the Punjab. In the latter half of the sixth century B.C., Gandhāra was conquered by the king of Persia. In the Bahistan inscription of Darius, Cir. 520-518. B.C., the Gandhārians (Gadara) appear among the subject people of the Achamenidan or Achaemenian Empire.

KĀMBOJA

Kāmboja, which is included in the *Uttarāpatha* is generally associated with Gandhāra in ancient literature. The Kāmbojas occupied roughly the province surrounding Rajaori or ancient Rājapura, including the Hazara district of the North-West Frontier Province and probably extending as far as Kafiristan. Dvārakā, mentioned by T.W. Rhys davids as the capital in the early Buddhist period, was not really situated in this country, though it was connected with it by a road.² Their capital seems to have been Rājapura, while Nandi Magura was another important city.

Though the Vedic texts do not mention any king of Kāmboja, they do refer to a teacher named Aupamanyava who was probably connected with this territory.³ The Mahābhārata⁴ mentions their kings Chandravarman and Sudakshiṇa, but we are not definite about them. In latter times, the monarchy gave place to the Saṅngha form of government,

SMALL REPUBLICS IN THE AGE OF LORD MAHĀVIRA

Besides these sixteen big states in the time of Lord Mahāvīra, there were also small republics ruled by autonomous or semi-independent clans such as the Sākyas of Kapilavastu, the Koliyas of Devadaha and Rāmagāma, the Bhaggas (Bhargas) of Sumsumāra Hill, the Bulis of Allakappa, the Kālamas of Kesaputta and the Moriyas of Pipphalivana.

The Sākya state was bounded on the north by the Himalayas, on the east by the river Rohint, and on the west and on the

- 1. RBI, p. 28; DPPN, II, 215; Essay on Gunadhya, p. 176.
- 2. DPPN, I. 536.
- 3. Vedic Index, I. 127, 138.
- 4. Mbh, 1, 67, 32; II 4, 22; V, 165, 1-3; VII, 90-95, etc.

south by the Rapti. Their capital was Kapilavastu, represented most probably by the ruins of Tilaura Kot near Lumbinivana now identified with Rummindei in Nepal Tarai. Another town was Devadaha which they appear to have shared with their eastern neighbours, the Koliyas. They acknowledged the suzerainty of the king of Kośala.

The Koliyas of Rāmagrāma were the eastern neighbours of the Śākyas on the side of the river Rohinī which helped to irrigate the fields of both the clans. A. Cunningham places the Koliya country between the Kohāna and Aumi (Anomā) rivers. The Anomā seems to have formed the dividing line between the Koliyas on the one hand and the Mallas and Moriyas on the other.

The Bhaggas (Bhargas) are known to the Aitarcya Brāh-maṇa¹ and the Ashṭādhyāyī of Pāṇini². In the latter half of the sixth century B.C., the Bhagga state was dependent on the Vatsa kingdom—a fact evident from the preface to the Dhonasākha Jātaka in which we are told that prince Bodhi, the son of Udayana, king of the Vatsas, dwelt in Sumsumāragiri of Bhagga State and built a palace called Kokanada.

About the Bulis and the Kālāmas, we possess little information. The *Dhammapada* commentary refers to the Buli territory as the kingdom of Allakappa and says that it was only ten leagues in extent. Allakappa was perhaps not far away from Vethadīpa, the home of a famous Brāhmaṇa in the early days of Buddhism who set up a cairn over the remains of the Buddha in his native land.

The Kālāmas were the clan of the philosopher Ālāra, a teacher of Gautama, before he attained Sambodhi. They seem to have acknowledged the suzerainty of the king of Kośala because their town, Kesaputta, was annexed by this state in the sixth century B.C.

The Moriyas (Mauryas) were the same clan which gave Magadha its greatest dynasty. They are sometimes spoken of as Sākyan in origin, but the evidence is late. The name is derived, according to one tradition, from *Mora* (Mayūra)

^{1.} Ait. Br, VIII. 28.

^{2.} Pā, iv, i, iii, 177.

or peacock. Pippahalivana, the Moriya capital is identical with the Nyagrodhavana or Banyan Grove mentioned by Hiuen Tsang.

POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS

The age of Lord Mahāvīra witnessed a number of important changes in the political sphere. The tribal stage of society gradually disappeared, giving place to organized states. Magadha, Vatsa, Kośala and Avanti became very powerful. The position and functions of the king gained in importance. The Samiti of the Vedic period was replaced by the Council of Ministers. The income of the states considerably increased on account of the induction of new resources. The government machinery became complicated and new officers were appointed to meet the new requirements.

We may divide the states of this period into two groups, monarchical and non-monarchical. We shall first discuss the government machinery of the monarchical states.

MONARCHICAL GOVERNMENT

King and Kingship

In ancient India, a king was absolutely necessary and was considered an essential factor for the well-being of the people. He was regarded as the head of men. Generally, the rulers of these monarchical states belonged to the Kshatriya caste. Though an absolute despot, the king was to follow the ten prescribed traditional duties of the king (dasarājadhamme): giving alms, a moral course of life, sacrifice, truthfulness, mildness, self-denial, forgiveness, not to cause any pain to anybody, patience, and a yielding disposition. These are but prescriptions of the general Buddhistic morality applicable to all lay disciples.

According to the Ovaiyā, king Kūnika-Ajātašatru had all the qualifications of the royalty; he was honoured by the people, he belonged to a pure Kshatriya family, was duly consecrated on the throne, and was compassionate. He was a warden of the marchers, an upholder of peace, and a protector of the janapada. He was the master of palaces, bed-

^{1.} Rāj i mukham manussānam, Su. Ni, p. 107; Mr. VI, 35. 8.

^{2.} FSONB, p. 100.

rooms, seats, carriages and vehicles in large quantity. His treasury was full of gold and silver, and his people had ample food. He was the master of the slaves of both sexes, of cows, buffaloes, oxen, and sheep. His treasury, granaries, and armouries were brimming to the full.¹

Very often we see in kings an unrestrained tyrant guided by his own whims and caprices, who oppresses and puts down his subjects by punishments, taxes, torture, and robbery. He suffers from many vices such as drunkenness, cruelty,² corruptibility,³ untruthfulness, and unrighteousness.⁴

There are instances of tyrannical rulers being removed from the throne or killed by the people. In the Padakusala-māṇavā Jātaka,⁵ there is probably a trace of authentic history; in spite of its legendary garb, it may have preserved the memory of actual facts. It is narrated how a young Brāhmaṇa, after discovering by magic the treasures stolen and concealed by the king and his purohita, calls the king a thief in the presence of the assembled people who resolve to kill the bad king so that he may not plunder them any more. Another example of such a violent removal of the unrighteous king is found in the Sachchamkira Jātaka.⁶ Here also the king is driven out of the town by the enraged Khattiyas, Brāhmaṇas, and other citizens, and in his place, a Brāhmaṇa is installed king.

Palaka, the ruler of Avanti, was reputed to have been a tyrant. The populace headed by the President of the guild merchants of the capital deposed him, and, having brought out Gopala from the prison, put him on the throne.

Those were the times when wars and quarrels among these states were very frequent as were internal rebellions too. Under such circumstances, the first and foremost duty of the king was the protection of the subjects against internal and

- 1. Ovā, 6.
- 2. Khantivādi Jā, II, 3919.
- 3. Bharu Ja, II, 169.
- 4. Chetiya Ja, III, 454.
- 5. Ja, III, 501.
- 6. Jā, I, 326.
- 7. JBORS, Vol I Pt. I, 1915.

external enemies. The people on their part bore the cost of administration of the state, the army, and the court by paying taxes. Gradually, with the growth of civilization, there came other interests as well into the foreground like the king's own cares: the land was made fertile, cities were built, and trade and commerce were encouraged.

According to the Jatakas, kingship was generally hereditary and when there were several sons, it was the eldest who succeeded his father to the throne, while the second son became the viceroy (Uparajan). As a rule, only the sons of the eldest queen (aggamahisi) who must be of the same caste as the king himself and thus a Khattiya, were deemed legitimate. If the king was without a male heir and if he had a daughter, his son in-law became heir to the throne. If there was neither a male heir nor a kinsman who could succeed to the throne. the successor was chosen by the ministers. The Jaina texts mention two types of kings, viz., Sāvekkha and niravekkha. The former established the crown-prince on the throne within his life-time thus avoiding civil wars and other calamities. In the latter type, however, the crown-prince succeeded after the death of the king.1 The question of succession to the throne was sometimes complicated by the ambitions and jealousies of the princes. The prince Kūniya-Ajātaśatru of Rajagriha succeeded to the throne after putting his father Śrenika-Bimbisāra into prison.

The ceremony, which accompanied the accession to the throne was, according to the $\mathcal{J}\bar{a}takas$, the same as that which we know from the *Vedas* and the epics. The priest or the *Purohita* consecrated the king and sprinkled water upon him. Originally its significance may have been only a religious one, as symbolizing an act by which the blessings of the gods were showered or, more correctly expressed, invoked by magic, upon the king. It signified a certain dependence of the king upon the priest consecrating him.

The king lived with his court in a fortified town. The Panchaguru Jātaka² describes the royal entry of a prince how

^{1.} Vya. Bhā, 2, 327,

^{2.} Jā, I, 470.

he went to the spacious hall of the palace and took his seat in godly pomp upon a throne studded with precious stones, over which a white umbrella was spread; surrounding him, there stood, bejewelled with all their ornaments, the ministers, the Bāhamanas, the Gahapatis etc., and the princesses, while sixteen thousand dancing girls skilled in dancing, singing, and music, sang and played.

The Jaina canons¹ give exaggerated account of the royal palaces. They are described as seven-storeyed, adorned with towers and pinnacles and supported by many columns. They are described as lofty, touching the sky and decorated with flags, banners, umbrellas, and garlands. They had domes and their floors were richly studded with various gems and jewels. The harem, (anteura), which was a part of the royal pomp,² played an important role in the inner and outer politics of the country. The kings were fond of enriching their harem with beautiful women and girls without any distinction of caste. The harem was a great source of danger to the king and was, therefore, carefully guarded by eunuchs and old men. Besides, the Jaina texts mention the type of guards who should keep watch over the inmates of harem.

OTHER MEMBERS OF THE ROYAL FAMILY

The handing over the Viceroyalty (Uparāja) to the king's eldest son generally took place after the completion of his studies.³ If he was still minor, the eldest among the younger brothers of the king would go to Uparāja.⁴ On ceremonial occasions, the Uparāja sat behind the king on the back of an elephant,⁵ a seat which was otherwise occupied by the Purohita. In the evening, the Viceroy would do the king's work. We read repeatedly of the king's fears that the Uparājan might one day become very powerful and dominate him and of disciplinary measures taken by him to guard against such an eventuality. When Śrenika annexed Anga to his kingdom of Magadha, he posted his son Kūnika as Viceroy. The heir apparent thus

- 1. Nāyā, I. p 22; Uttarā. Tí, 13, p. 189.
- 2. Nāyā, 16, p. 185.
- 3. Jz, I, 259, III, 123-407.
- 4. Jā, I, 133; II. 567.
- o. Jā, II, 374.

got an opportunity of having considerable administrative experience before succeeding to the throne.

In addition to the *Uparājan* (Viceroy) there was the *Senāpati*, a kinsman of the king. From the *Devadhamma Jātaka*, we learn that the king gave his younger brother the office of *Uparāja* and his step-brother that of *Senāpati*.¹

MINISTRY

The Council of the Ratnins disappeared and its place was taken by the council of Ministers variously described as Mantrins, Sachivas and Amātyas. The number of Ministers usually depended upon the size of the state but the Ministry usually consisted of five members only. Among the Ministers of the king, Rajjugāhaka amāchha (Surveyor) occupied an important position. The Atthadhammānusāsaka amāchchha guided the king in worldly and spiritual matters. The Senāpati was the Minister of War. The vinichchāyamachchha (Minister of Justice) not only gave judicial decisions but also advised on matters of law and morality.

The influence of Ministers upon the course of internal and external politics depended upon the ability of the ruler. When there was a weak ruler, these Ministers had a dominating voice. The decision regarding the successor was often left to the Ministers. Indeed, allusions to the actual exercise of sovereign powers by the Ministers are also found. In the Ghaṭa Jātaka, for instance, the king sick of worldly life hands over the reins of government to his Ministers.²

When there was a powerful and self-willed ruler like Bimbisāra upon the throne of Magadha, some Ministers were dismissed for giving bad advice, others were degraded for inefficiency, while a few were promoted for the wise counsel they gave. Vassakāra and Suntdha were the Ministers of Ajātaśatru; his contemporary in Kośala, king Prasenajit, relied upon the advice of his Ministers, Mrigadhara and Śrīvridha, in carrying out important schemes.

- 1. FSONB, p. 135.
- 2. Jā, 111. 170.
- 3. Chu, V. 1.
- 4. Dia, II, p. 78.
- 5. Urā, II, Appendix, p. 56.

OFFICERS OF THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT

The Secretariat might have gradually evolved in the post-Vedic period. The art of writing was coming into more extensive use; kingdoms were developing into empires, and functions of government were becoming more numerous. It may safely be presumed that some kind of Central Secretariat must have existed in the courts of historical emperors like Bimbisāra and Ajātasatru.

The important officials at headquarters were called Mahāmātras and were divided into three classes, viz., (1) the Executive (Sabbātthaka), administering all affairs and interests; (2) the Judicial (Vohārika); and (3) the Military (Senānāyaka). In addition to these, there were other officers too as is evident from the Jātakas.

Purohita: The family priest of the king, the Purohita, occupied an extremely peculiar position in the court. For the performance of sacrifices and magical chantings, the king needed a Purohita. The sacrifice was meant to protect the king from imminent misfortune and to help him in acquiring a city which was difficult to conquer. He not only guarded the king's treasures—this was part of his duties but also acted as a judicial officer.

There were officers who increased the wealth of the king. Rajjugāhaka¹ was the officer of survey. Doṇamāpaka² was one who measured with a dry measure. Balipaṭiggāhakas, Niggāhakas, and Balisādhakas were the tax-collectors who sometimes plundered and oppressed the people by levying heavy taxes.³ Rājabhoggas¹ were Royal officers appointed and paid by the king whose orders they had all to obey.

Sārathi⁵ was the king's charioteer. The Keeper of the king's purse was known as Heraññika⁶ and the superintendent

- 1. Ja. 1V, 179.
- 2. Ibid. II, 378.
- 3. FSONB, p. 120.
- 4. Rājabhogga is explained in the Suttaribhanga, Nissaggiya 10 2.1 (Vinaya Pitaka ed. Oldenberg, Vol. 3, p. 222.)
- 5. Ja, II. 377.
- 6. Ibid, III. 193.

of the king's storehouse as $Bhand\bar{a}g\bar{a}rika$. $Dov\bar{a}rika^2$ had for his duty the closing of the gate of the city at night, while $Nagaraguttika^3$ was charged with the duty of arresting and executing the robbers of the city. $Choragh\bar{a}taka^4$ occupied the public office of the executioner of thieves.

PROVINCIAL AND VILLAGE ADMINISTRATION

In provincial administration, a considerable degree of autonomy was allowed. We hear not only of a sub-king at Champā, but of $Mandalika r\bar{a}j\bar{a}s^5$ corresponding perhaps to the Earls and Counts of medieval European polity. In the small towns and villages, the king's power must have been represented by his officers.

The superintendent of the village, the Gāmabhojaka,6 held a position of power and honour. He collected the taxes of the village and exercised judicial powers in the village, insofar as he settled quarrels and made the guilty to pay a fine. He issued prohibitory orders against the slaughter of animals and against the sale of intoxicating liquors.

While according to the Jātakas the villages transacted their business themselves evidence corroborating the existence of any regular Council or Standing Committee is not found in these works. Initiative was usually left with the headman, but if he acted unreasonably or against the established customs of the locality or realm, the village elders could set the matter right by pointing out his mistake.

With the growth of the royal power, self-government was increasingly and proportionately reduced. In the Magadha kingdom, the Gāmabhojaka (village Superintendent) remained under the personal supervision of the king, as it is clear from a passage of the Vinaya Piṭaka. To the king Bimbisāra, the

- 1. Jā, IV. 43.
- 2. Ibid, II. 379.
- 3. Ibid, III. 59.
- 4. Ibid, IV. 41; III. 179.
- 5. DPPN, II, 898.
- 6. Ja, I. 354; I. 483; and IV. 115.
- 7. Kuyāla Jā.
- 8. Pāniya Jā.
- 9. Mr, V, I.

overlordship of 80,000 villages was apportioned; he collected together the chiefs ($G\bar{a}mikas$) of these villages and gave them instructions in worldly things.

JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION

In times of peace, the principal work of the king was to attend to the administration of justice. In the Rājovāda Jātaka, it is said of the king that he gave decisions in law-suits. The final decision in law-courts as well as the final word regarding the punishment for breaking the law remained with him.¹ The legal life of the smaller towns and villages passed very much out of the direct sphere of action of the king and remained a matter for his representatives as long as no appeal was made against the judgments of these to the king as a higher authority.

The Ministers, especially the Vinichchayāmachcha, and also the Purohita and the Senāpati, both took part in the administration of justice, advised the king and, in some cases, had some influence upon his judgments. Vinichchayāmachcha was the Minister of justice. His judgment was final in the case of aquittal; in other cases, the matter was referred to the Vohārikas? He not only gave judicial decisions, but also advised on matters of law and morality. The Grāmabhojaka also exercised judicial powers in the village. The penal code in the reign of Bimbisāra included as punishments imprisonment in jails (Kārā), mutilation of limbs, and the like.³

MILITARY ORGANIZATION

As wars and frontier troubles were very common in those days, the state had necessarily to keep and maintain a well-equipped and organized military force always at its command. The army consisted of four branches, namely, chariots (raha) elephants (gaya), cavalry (haya), and infantry (pāyatta).

- 1. Jã, II. 2.
- 2. Vohārikas—Sk. Vyāvahārikas are not found in the Jātakas. In Mv, 1.40.3 and in the Chv, VI, 4.9, they have been mentioned. They were judicial officers.
- 3. Vinaya, VII, 3, 5.

A chariot was a very important means of conveyance in olden days. Excellent horses were yoked to it and it was provided with an accomplished charioteer. The king's chariots bore special names. For instance, the chariot of Pajjoya (Pradyota) was called *Aggibhiru* (fire proof) and was considered to be one of the four jewels.¹

The elephant played an important part in the army as well as on certain royal occasions. The kings were very fond of elephants, and the state-elephants bore special names. We hear of the elephant Sechanāga over which a great battle was fought between Kūṇika-Ajātaśatru and Halla and Vehalla.² The Bhagavati³ refers to two other elephants of Kūṇika, viz., Udāyin and Bhūtānanda. Nalagiri, another elephant which belonged to Pajjoya, was considered one of his four precious possessions.⁴ Bhadravatī belonged to Udayana who successfully carried off Vāsavadattā on its back from Ujjayinī to Kośāmbī.⁵ King Udayana was an adept in the art of winning over elephants by music.⁶

While the third constituent of the army was the cavalry, the foot-soldier formed its main portion. The whole army was under the control of the Senāpati whose duty was to enforce discipline among the soldiers.

Realizing the terrible loss of both men and money, people tried to avoid wars in general. They first tried the four diplomatic means, viz., $S\bar{a}ma$, $d\bar{a}na$, danda, and bheda, failing which they had to declare war. Before the two parties actually entered into war, a $D\bar{u}ta$ or a courtier, who conveyed the royal proclamation to the opposite party, was deputed with the message. We learn that before entering into war with Chedaga, Kūṇiya sent his $D\bar{u}ta$ to his opponent thrice, finally giving him orders to place his left foot on the foot-stool of the enemy (in a spirit of defiance) and deliver him the letter keeping it on

^{1.} Āva. chū, II, p. 160.

^{2.} Āva chū, II, p. 170f.

^{3.} Bhag, 7. 9.

^{4.} Āra. chū. II, p. 160.

^{5.} Āva. chū, II, pp. 161 f.

^{6.} Ibid, II, p. 161.

the edge of the spear.1

The art of warfare together with its various tactics, stratagems, and practices, was well known in those days. Jaina texts give some interesting details of the military operations of the Magadhan forces. The sagadavūha (waggon array) and garuḍavūha (eagle array) are mentioned in Niryāvaliyāo.² The army of Cheḍaga formed the former while that of Kūṇika the latter. Kūṇika for the first time made use of two secret weapons of war. The first, the Mahāśilākaṇṭaka, was a kind of catapult hurling heavy pieces of stone. The other was the Rathamussala, a chariot which created havoc by wheeling about and hurling destruction by its attached rods.³

Siege-warfare, which was the usual mode of fighting, sometimes continued for a considerable time. Kūnika is said to have besieged the city of Vaiśālī for a long period. It was for this reason that the cities of those days were strongly fortified. Since Rājagriha was too far inland and remote to serve as an efficient base of operations, Kūnika had to construct a new base, a fort at a convenient site on the river Gangā, and thus was laid the foundation of the new capital, Pāṭaliputra. It was constructed under the supervision of his chief ministers, Sunīdha and Vassakāra.

Strategy and diplomacy played an important part in this type of warfare. Manoeuvres and novel tactics were adopted to compel the other party to surrender. We are told as to how Abhayakumāra, by a clever subterfuge which consisted in burying counterfeit coins in the enemy's camp, created suspicion in the mind of Pajjoya about the fidelity of his soldiers and thus foiled his attack on Rāyagiha. A regular system of espionage was another feature of siege-warfare. Spies were regularly employed to watch, over the activities of the enemy. Kūnika deputed his Minister Vassakāra on the nefarious mission of sowing seeds of disunion among the Lichchhavis at Vaišāli.

^{1.} Niryā, 1.

^{2.} Ibid, I, p. 28.

^{3.} Urā, II, App. pp. 59, 69; Bhag, 299 ff.

^{4.} Aca. chā, II, p. 173.

^{5.} Ibid, p. 159.

TAXATION

About the system of taxation during this period, we possess little information. Jātakas may be presumed to give us a glimpse of this age, but the information they give is meagre. They tell us how good kings levied only legal taxes and how the bad ones so oppressed the subjects by illegal impositions that they would often flee to forests to escape from tax-collectors.¹

Besides the taxes, there were certain privileges of the king which he could use for filling up his treasury. The unclaimed property belonged to the king.² If anybody died without heirs, his succession would devolve upon the king. Sometimes the entire worldly possession of a person who renounced the world went to the ruling chief.³

CONSTITUTION AND ADMINISTRATIVE MACHINERY OF THE REPUBLICS

Along with the monarchical states, there existed some republican states too in the time of Lord Mahāvīra. The terms Gana and Samgha have been used for these republican states as distinguished from the monarchical ones. A Jaina work warns a monk that he should avoid visiting a country which has no king, or has a crown prince as its ruler or two kings fighting with each other or is governed by the Gana form of government.4 This passage denotes a definite form of government in which the power was vested not in one person but in a Gana or group of people. These ancient republican states do not satisfy the modern definition of 'republic' in which the power is vested in the whole body of citizens. There were republican states like Sparta, Athens, Rome, and Medicval Venice where sovereignty was not vested in one individual, but sometimes either in a small number of persons or in a fairly numerous class.

There is paucity of evidence regarding the constitution and administrative machinery of these ancient Indian repub-

^{1.} Sec Ja, IV. p. 399; V, pp. 98-9; 101; II, p. 17.

^{2.} Jä, III, 299.

^{3.} Ibid, IV, 485.

^{4.} Āchā, 1, 3. 100.

lics. The early authentic literary works make only general statements about these republics, while the detailed information given by the Jātakas is also undependable unless confirmed by some other evidence. These ancient Indian republics possessed certain common features, though they reveal at the same time certain significant differences which were due to their needs and temperaments.

DIRECTIVE PRINCIPLES OF STATE POLICY

When Varshākāra, the chancellor of the king of Magadha, wanted to know the opinion of the Buddha on behalf of his master, as to the advisability of invading the Vajjis—the Lichchhavīs and the Videhas—the Buddha indicated to Ānanda their seven points of excellence. These may be regarded as the directive principles of state policy. It is not improbable that similar directive principles might have been followed by other contemporary republic states. These principles are as follows:¹

- 1. The Vajjians hold full and frequent public Assemblies;
- 2. They meet together in concord and rise in concord and carry out Vajjian business in concord;
- 3. They enact nothing not already established, abrogate nothing that has been already enacted, and act in accordance with ancient institutions of the Vajjians as established in former days;
- 4. They honour and esteem and revere and support the Vajjian elders, and regard it as a point of duty to hearken to their words;
- 5. No women or girls belonging to their class were detained among them by force or abduction;
- 6. They honour and esteem and revere and support the Vajjian shrines (chaityas) in town or country, and do not allow the proper offerings and rites, as formerly given and performed, to fall into desuctude; and
- Mahiparinibhaga-Sutta, For Eng. tr. See SBE, 11, pp. 3-4 and Dia, 11, pp. 70-80.

7. Rightful protection, defence, and support is fully provided for the *Arhants* among them, so that they may enter the realm from distant lands, and may live therein at ease.

CITIZENSHIP

It seems that the right of citizenship was not granted to the whole population but was confined to the aristocratic Kshatriyas who had a voice in the administration of their respective countries. The artisans, farmers, servants and serfs had no such privileged position. When a quarrel arose beween the farmers and servants of the Koliyas and the $\dot{S}akyas$ about the distribution of the water of Rohins, they reported it to the officer of their own state, who in turn apprised their Rajas of it. It is the latter who decided to go on war with the enemy state. This incident therefore shows that the commoners did not have much influence on the momentous decisions taken by the central government on important topics, such as peace and war, that affected the whole population.

Although there was a privileged system of citizenship, outsiders were eligible to it if they settled in the realm permanently. Khaṇḍa, who was a refugee of Videha country, settled in Vaiśālī and rose to the post of Senāpati and Gaṇa-pramukha.¹ Thus, once a person acquired citizenship, he was offered all opportunities to show his abilities in the political life of the country.

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

There were separate Supreme Assemblies in each republic state. The Assembly of the Śākyas seems to have been composed of 500 members. A few details of the Supreme Assembly of the Lichchhavis of Vaiśāli are preserved in the Jātaka stories. The Ekapanna Jātaka² speaks about the number of members of this Assembly. The Chullakālinga Jātaka³ informs us that these members were given the right of argument and disputation. Further, the Bhadasāla Jātaka¹ refers to the tank

^{1.} IHQ, XXIII, p. 59.

^{2.} Jā. No 149.

^{3.} Ibid, III, No. 301, p. 1.

^{4.} Ibid. IV, p. 148.

in the Vaisalī city from where the families of the kings drew water for ceremonial sprinkling.

K. P. JAYASWAL¹ interprets the passage of Ekapanna Jātaka in this way: "The rule vested in the inhabitants, 7707 in number, all of whom were entitled to rule. They became Presidents, Vice-Presidents, Commanders-in-chief and Chancellors of Exchequer." What the Jātaka means to say is that 7707 of the inhabitants, probably the foundation families, were the ruling class, that it is they who became the executive office holders. The natural meaning and interpretation of the Jataka text would make it mean that 7707 Rajans lived at Vaisals and that the number of Uparajans, Senapatis, and Bhandagarikas was the same in each case. As regards K.P. JAYASWAL's view that the Rajan, the Uparajan, the Senapati and the Bhandagarika constituted the Cabinet of the executive authority, it seems to be a mere hypothesis unsupported by facts. So far as the monarchical state is concerned, the Jātaka evidence conclusively proves that the $R\bar{a}jan$, the Upar $\bar{a}jan$, etc. formed successive grades in the official hierarchy instead of forming a co-ordinate body.

R.C. MAJUMDAR² thinks that while the number 7707 may be dismissed as a purely conventional one, it may be accepted that the Supreme Assembly of the state consisted of a pretty number of members and must as such be held to be a popular one. Again, he says that the reference to the like number of Viceroys, Generals, and Treasurers would imply that each member of the Supreme Assembly possessed a full suite of officers requisite for the administration of a state. In other words, the whole state consisted of a number of administrative units, each of which was a state in miniature by itself and possessed a complete administrative machinery. The business of the state as a whole was carried on by an Assembly consisting of the heads of these states who were in their turn attended by their principal officers. R. C. MAJUMDAR concludes with the observation that those who are familiar with the Cleisthenian Constitution of the city state of Athens cannot fail to find its prototype in the city of Vaisalt.

- 1. Hindu Polity, p. 48.
- 2. Corporate Life in Ancient India, pp. 92-94.

D.R. BHANDARKAR¹ makes the Lichchhavi state a federation of small principalities. He writes, "The number of the kings constituting the Lichchhavī Gana was pretty large. It again seems that each Lichchhavi king had his separate principality where he exercised supreme power in certain respects. Nevertheless, the Gana as a whole had power to kill, burn or exile a man from their kingdom which meant to aggregate of principalities of the different kings." Again he says, "The Lichchhavi Gana was a Federation of the chiefs of the different clans of a tribe who were also each the ruler of a small principality. Each confederate principality maintained its separate autonomy in regard to certain matters and allowed the Sampha to exercise supreme and independent control in respect of others affecting the kingdom." D.R. BHANDARKAR concludes by suggesting some points of resemblance between the constitution of the Lichchhavi Sameha and the confederation of the German States called the German empire.

A.S. ALTEKAR² has tried to justify the famous Jātaka statement that there were 7707 kings and an equal number of Upa-rājās, Senāpatis and Bhaṇḍāgārikas in the Vaiśālī State. When the Aryans came and occupied this territory, it seems to have been divided into about 7707 Kshatriya families, who were something like so many Zamindār families of the state. They were all Kshatriyas and were known as Rājans. The heads of these families lived in the capital while their managers stayed in the countryside and were known as their treasurers. If the Kshatriya householders were known as Rājans, their sons were naturally called Uparājans or Yuvarājas. When they were unable to lead their army themselves, they used to nominate a Senāpati or General to act for them.

U.N. GHOSHAL³ points out that the statements in the $J\bar{a}taka$ text belong to a late chronological stratum, while all references in older and more authentic canonical tradition describe the Lichchhavi constitution in very general terms simply as Samgha or Ganga. There are therefore grave reasons for doubting the genuineness of the later account.

^{1.} CL, 1918, pp. 155-156.

^{2.} State and Government in Ancient India, p. 115; Homage, p. 69.

^{3.} IHQ, XX, 334 ff; XXI, 1 ff.

The number of Vaiśālī nobles exercising sovereign power is 7707, not a round number. It means that there were nobles 'enjoying privileges who lived outside Vaiśālī. There is no mention of priests, traders and farmers. How, then, could they form a popular body?

The reference to as many $R\bar{a}jans$, $Upar\bar{a}jans$, etc. is not corroborated by any other text. To base a definite conclusion on the authority of a single belated and uncorroborated text seems to be opposed to all canons of history. It is, however, hard to understand how a cumbrous constitution of the kind sought to be found in the $J\bar{a}taka$ text which puts a premium upon disruptive tendencies, could work in actual practice.

The analogy of the Cleisthenian constitution seems to be hardly convincing. The ten Cleisthenian tribes consisting of the inhabitants of different demes were groups of citizens scattered over the whole of Attica, and their function was to elect five hundred members. On the other hand, according to the interpretation suggested above, the Lichchhavi Rājans with their staff of Uparājans, etc. would also be resident at the capital, each forming a state in miniature.

Equally unwarranted is the analogy of the constitution of the late German empire. In this constitution, the emperor was the head of the army and controlled a considerable portion of the imperial finance. Among the Lichchhavis on the other hand, the constituent provinces had their separate armies and treasuries while there was no single ruler in charge of the federal army and finance. Again, the German princes, unlike the Lichchhavi princes, ruled their states from their respective capitals.

R. C. Majumdar¹ has published an article in support of his earlier views and has thrown some new light on the constitution of the Lichchhavis. His observations are as follows:

The analogy of the Lichchhavi Constitution with the Cleisthenian constitution of Athens is not unfounded. The main object of the Cleisthenian constitution was the substitution of the deme for the clan. The transition from the principle of kinship to that of locality was also achieved by Athens.

^{1.} IHQ, XXVII, p. 327 ff.

The recently discovered Vinaya text of the Mūlasarvās-tivādas sheds some interesting light on the constitution of the Lichchhavis which we do not find in Pali texts. According to it, Vaiśālī was divided at this time into three quarters inhabited by the high, the middle, and the low classes. The Vinaya text does not favour the view that the Supreme Assembly of the state consisted merely of the Lichchhavi nobles. For we find even new comers to Vaiśālī not only admitted into the assembly but also elected to the highest post. It also demonstrates the popular character of the Assembly. It contains strong sentiments against hereditary privileges and enunciates the principle of free election by the Gaṇa to all important posts, including that of the Commander-in-chief which seems to have been the highest in the state.

Membership of the Assemblies depended upon whether the aspirant belonged to the privileged order or he did not. There was no electoral roll giving a list of qualified voters; nor were there any periodical elections. Had any such existed, they would have been referred to in the literature bearing upon the science of polity.

The place where the General Assembly met was called Santhāgāra. In the Assembly, there were different groups known as vargya, grihya, and pakshya who clashed from time to time for power, a phenomenon so common that it has been referred to even by the grammarians. The term dvandva was used to denote the rival parties and the term Vyutkramaņa to their rivalry.

The rules of procedure and debates in these Assemblies seem to be the same as those of the Buddhist Sainghas which were modelled on Saingha or Gaṇa states. Transaction of the Assembly business strictly required a quorum without which it was considered to be invalid. Pāṇini refers to gaṇa-titha as the person whose attendance completed the quorum in a Gaṇa and to Saingha-titha as one who completed the quorum o the Saingha. The person who acted as a 'whip' to secure the quorum was known as Gaṇapūraka. There was an officer

^{1.} Me, IX. 4. 1; V. 13. 12; 1.31.2; VIII. 24. 7; IX. 3-2.

² lbid, III. 3. 6.

known as Āsanapaññāpaka (seat regulator) who was in charge of the allotment of seats. Probably the executive officers had their seats on a dais and other members were grouped partywise in their front. A person who acted as a Polling Officer in the Assembly was known as Śalākāgrahāpaka,¹ or he who collected votes. The technical term for vote was Chhanda, which meant free choice. The Sainghamukhya or the President of the state presided over the Assembly and regulated its debates. He was expected to observe strict impartiality; if he failed, he was furiously criticised.

Definite rules were laid down regarding the method of moving resolutions in the Assembly. Generally, a proposal was repeated thrice, and if no objections to it were raised, it was taken as passed. In case of objection, it was determined by votes of the majority. When the ultimatum was received by the Śākyas from the Kośala king, who was besieging their capital, their Assembly sat to deliberate whether they should open the gates or not. Some favoured the proposal, others opposed it. Eventually, therefore, votes were taken to ascertain the majority view, which, it was discovered, favoured capitulation.² Accordingly action was taken. This practice must have been followed by other assemblies also.

Voting was sometimes done by the secret method (gūthaka), sometimes by whispering method (Sakarṇajapakam), and sometimes by the open method (vivatakam)³. Generally, complicated questions were referred for settlement to different Committees.⁴ It seems that there were clerks in the Assembly who kept records of its proceedings. Matters, when once properly and finally decided, were not allowed to be reopened.⁵

The evidence of Buddhist literature shows that the General Assemblies of the republics controlled foreign affairs, entertained ambassadors and foreign princes, considered their

^{1.} Chv, 14. 26; Vinayapitaka. II, 315; JASB-1838. p. 993 f. n.

^{2.} W. ROCKHILL: Life of Buddha, pp. 118-9.

^{3.} Chv, IV. 14. 24.

^{4.} Ibid, XII, 2, 8.

^{5.} Digha, II. p. 220.

proposals and decided the momentous issues of war and peace.¹ Generally, this Assembly controlled the Executive. Though there is no specific evidence, it is almost certain that the appointments to the state services were made by this Assembly. That must have been one of the reasons for the keen contest for power that was often witnessed in that body.

The Assembly Hall also served the purpose of a social club, where social and religious topics were discussed at times. The Mallas of Kusinagara discussed the problems of the funeral of the Bu'ddha and the disposal of his ashes in their Assembly Hall. They, as well as the Lichchhavis, are known to have requested the Blessed One to perform the opening ceremonies of their new Assembly Halls by first using them for delivering a sermon to a congregation assembled therein. The matters concerning commerce and agriculture were also deliberated there.

EXECUTIVE

The membership of the Executive varied with the size and traditions of each state. The Malla state, which was small, had an Executive of four members only, all of whom are known to have taken a prominent part in the funeral of the Buddha. The Jaina Kalpasūtra refers to a passage Navagaṇa Rāyāṇo², the exact sense of which is uncertain. It may stand for the nine kings or Executive officers of the Lichchhavi Gaṇa. The confederation of the Lichchhavis and the Videhas had an Executive of eighteen members.³ It appears that normally speaking the Executive of a Republic consisted of four to twenty members. The General Assembly must have elected the members of the Executive council, because it is inconceivable that the affairs of a state could have been managed by it.

The President $(R\bar{a}j\bar{a})$, the Vice-President $(Upa-R\bar{a}j\bar{a})$, General (Senāpati), and Bhaṇḍāgārika seem to be the designations of the four Executive members. The President of the

^{1.} Jä. IV, p. 145 (No. 465); W. ROCKHILL: Life of the Buddha, pp. 118-9.

^{2.} SBE, XXII, p. 266.

^{3.} Ibid.

Executive was probably the President of the Assembly also, a person whose main function was the general supervision of the administration. Besides, he was to ensure internal harmony by promoting concord and preventing quarrels. The general looked after the army. The treasury was in charge of the Chancellor of the Exchequer. There must have been also the portfolios of foreign affairs and of justice. In the course of time, the posts of Executive Members became more or less hereditary, and they assumed the title of $R\bar{a}j\bar{a}$.

The members of the Executives of the Republic States must have been normally capable captains and dauntless leaders, competent to guide the State on occasions of emergency. In addition, they were men of tact and experience, energetic in action, firm in resolution and well grounded in the laws, customs, and traditions of the country. Chetaka, the Head of the Lichchhavi republic, was an influential leader of eighteen confederate kings (Ganarājā) of Kāśī and Kośala who were his vassals. His sister, Tisala, was, as pointed out earlier, the mother of Mahavira, the son of Siddhartha, a petty chief of Kundiyapura near Vaiśālī. Khanda and his son Simha, who were competent enough, were elected to be Generals (Senāpati) in succession.² The President of the Śākya republic bore the title of $R\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ which in this connection does not mean king, but rather something akin to the Roman Consul or the Greek Archon. At one time, Bhaddiya, a young cousin of the Buddha, was $R\bar{a}j\bar{a}$, at another the Buddha's father, Suddhodana, held that rank.3

FEDERATION

The Lichchhavis, according to Buddhist documents, formed a league with the Videhas and were together called the Vajjis. We also know from a Jaina Sūtra that the Lichchhavis had once formed a federation with their neighbour, the Mallas. The Federal Council was composed of eighteen members, nine Lichchhavis and nine Mallakis. The members of

^{1.} Niryā; Some Jaina Canonical Sūtras, p. 87.

^{2.} IHQ, XXIII, p. 60.

^{3.} Digha, II, 52.

^{4.} SBE, XXII, p. 166.

^{5.} Ibid.

the Federal Council are designated $Gaṇa R\bar{a}j\bar{a}s$. The composition of the Federal Council shows that the Federal states had equal votes and that the federation was based on terms of equality. Though the Mallas were not so great a political power as the Lichchhavis, yet in Federal Council, both had an equal number of members, i.e., equal voice. Leagues were naturally formed to oppose the great powers amidst whom they were situated, namely, Magadha and Kośala.

JUDICIARY

It seems that the Judicial administration of the republic states was remarkable, and the liberty of the citizens was efficiently guarded. A person was not declared guilty unless his crimes were proved by all the courts.

The Atthakatha1 throws light especially on the judiciary of the Lichchavis of Vaisālī. A criminal was at first sent for trial to the officer called Vinichchaya Mahāmatta. If he found the accused innocent, he acquitted him but if in his opinion, he was guilty he could not punish him but had to send him to the next higher tribunal viz., that of the Suttadhara. If he considered him guilty, there were three other tribunals with similar functions viz., those of Atthakulaka, Senāpati, and Uparājā, each of which could acquit the accused, if innocent, but had to send him to the next higher tribunal if found guilty. The last tribunal, viz., that of the $R\bar{a}j\bar{a}$, had alone the right to convict the accused, and in awarding the punishment, the $R\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ was to be guided by the book of precedents. Thus a person could be punished only if seven successive tribunals had unanimously found him guilty, and he was guite safe if but one of them found him innocent.

U.N. GHOSHAL² expresses doubt in the Judicial system of the Lichchhavis described above. The first difficulty in accepting the above interpretation lies in the lateness of the Sinhalese tradition which has come down to us only as prescribed by Buddhaghosha who flourished some eight centuries after the fall of the Vajji republic. Again, the very elaborate procedure described above for which there seems to be no

^{1.} JASB, VII (1938), pp. 993 ff.

^{2.} IHQ, XX, p. 334 ff; XXI, 1 ff,

parallel elsewhere, is enough to raise suspicion about the genuineness of the whole account. But to suppose that no one in the Vajji state could be convicted unless unanimously found guilty by seven successive courts is to imply that the supreme authority in the state had little or no confidence in the judicial capacity or honesty of its own officers. In any case, a cumbrous procedure of the kind suggested above providing ample loopholes for the escape of criminals from the hands of justice would be attended with grave risk of abuse of liberty by the subjects.

CHAPTER VII

SOCIAL CONDITIONS

The age of Lord Mahāvīra is remarkable for many social changes. The religious reformers of this period opposed the caste system based on birth and even challenged the superiority of the Brāhmaṇas. The Sannyāsa Āśrama became quite distinct from Vānaprastha during this period because of the influence of Jainism and Buddhism. Marriage was made gradually compulsory both for men and women. While society was based upon the joint-family system, the idea of proprietary rights had also begun to grow. The Gotra and Pravara came into existence. The old system of Niyoga gradually disappeared because of the growth of ascetic ideas in the society. Women enjoyed a high position. Because of the propagation of the doctrine of Ahimsā, people began to prefer a vegetarian diet.

SOCIAL ORGANIZATION

The four Varṇas, Brāhmaṇas, Kshatriyas, Vaisyas and Śūdras, which were formed more or less on birth during the later Vedic period, became gradually rigid and fixed. The influence of the Brāhmaṇas greatly diminished both in the intellectual and political field and their place was taken by the Kshatriyas who began to consider themselves superior to other classes on account of the great importance they attached to their purity of blood. Consequently, they occupied the first position in the caste hierarchy. This period also witnessed the deterioration in the position of the Śūdras, with the result that a number of religious leaders raised their voice for their uplift. Mixed castes resulted from organizations like guilds of people following different arts and crafts. Inter-caste marriages also led to the origin of such castes.

The feeling of caste superiority was intense during this period. Both the Kshatriyas and the Brāhmaņas considered themselves to be superior to other castes. This feeling of

superiority was wide-spread even in certain groups of the same caste because they considered themselves higher than others. The Udichchha Brāhmaṇas who were proud of their origin, regarded themselves as higher than other Brāhmaṇas. The Śākya Kshatriyas regarded themselves as higher than other Kshatriya clans.

Both Mahāvīra and the Buddha opposed the idea of a hereditary caste system, emphasising all the time that one's caste should be determined by what one did rather than by the caste of the family to which one belonged. It is a mistake, however, to suppose that caste distinctions were abolished once for all during this period. No doubt, both succeeded in removing caste distinctions in their monastic order, but they failed in their attempts to abolish it permanently from society.

KSHATRIYAS

During the time of Lord Mahāvīra, the Kshatriyas of the Eastern countries consisted of kings, nobles, ministers, military commanders, and other officers. In Jaina Suttas and Buddhist Pali texts, they are mentioned as occupying the foremost position in the caste order. It is believed that no Tīrthankara was born in a family other than that of a Kshatriya. A legend tells us that before his birth, Mahāvīra was removed from the womb of Brāhmaṇī Devānandā to that of Kshatriyāṇī Triśalā.

The Kshatriyas took keen interest in the intellectual activity of the time. It is clear from the Jātakas that they used to devote considerable time to the study of the Vedas and other branches of knowledge. Several princes used to go to Taxila at the age of sixteen for higher studies. Even in the spiritual field, the Kshatriyas of this time were not behind any caste. The doctrine of salvation was advocated by Mahāvīra and the Buddha, who were Kshatriyas. The superiority of the Kshatriyas is clear from the legend in which the Buddha decided to be reborn as a Kshatriya and not as a Brāhmaṇa. In one of the Buddha's discourses, there is a dialogue between the Buddha and Ambattha, a dialogue in which the latter recognised the Buddha's superiority.

There were certain factors which led to the feeling of superiority among the Kshatriyas. They enjoyed the highest privilege, the right to rule, that is, which could not be claimed by others. It is natural that the ruling class should enjoy power, prestige, and dignity. The head of the state was known to be the best among men. In was in the Kshatriya caste that the leaders of the two new schools of thought, Buddhism and Jainism, were born. In was but natural that the members of the caste from which emerged Mahāvīra and the Buddha should have developed a sense of superiority. As both the Kshatriyas and the Brāhmaṇas received similar education under the same teacher, there was no valid reason for feeling inferior among the Kshatriyas in the intellectual sphere.

Certain Buddhist texts also show that instead of following their own professions strictly, the Kshatriyas worked as potters, basket-makers, reed-workers, garland-makers, and cooks.¹ We find Kshatriyas of the Śākya and Koliya clans cultivating their fields.

BRĀHMAŅAS

The Brāhmaṇas of this period may be divided into two broad categories: (1) true Brāhmaṇas and (2) worldly Brāhmaṇas. The true Brāhmaṇas included ascetics, Vedic teachers, and priests. In fact, the true Brāhmaṇa was one who attached value only to virtuous conduct. In a dispute between two youths as to whether a person is a Brāhmaṇa by birth or by his action, the Buddha is said to have given his decision in favour of the latter alternative. Mahāvīra himself was styled 'Māhana'² or 'Mahāmāhaṇa'³

The general duties of the true Brāhmaṇas were the study of the Vedas, teaching, performance of sacrifice for themselves as well as for others, making and accepting gifts, etc. From the $J\bar{a}takas$ we know of the Brāhmaṇas as renouncing the world and going to the forest either at an early stage⁴ or after passing

^{1.} Ja, V. 290.

^{2.} Sūtra, 9. 1.

^{3.} Urā, 7.

^{4. 7}a, 1, 333, 361, 373, 450; II, 131, 232, 262, 145 etc.

through the successive stages of Brahmacharya and Gārhasthya.¹ Brāhmaṇas have been described as well-grounded in the Vedas and versed in the different branches of learning such as Nīghaṇṭu, Vyākaraṇa, and Lokāyata.² Brāhmaṇas like Suṇetta³, Sela,⁴ and others⁵ possessed vast knowledge and imparted education to a large number of students, some of whom came to be known as the teachers of world-wide repute.

The practice of offering sacrifices was very common among the Brāhmaṇas. During his tour, Mahāvīra is stated to have spent the rainy season in a sacrificial house of a Brāhmaṇa of Champā. The Brāhmaṇas made sacrifices and assumed that the gods were willing to accept their offerings. On the occasion of these sacrifices, they used to receive dāna. In the Somadatta Jātaka, it is narrated that the king gave a Brāhmaṇa 16 cows, ornaments and a village. With the spread of Jaina and Buddhist doctrines, the cult of sacrifice gradually declined. It is said that while Vijayaghosha was engaged in performing Brahmanical sacrifice Jayaghosha, a monk approached him for alms and converted him to his faith after telling him what true sacrifice really meant.

The second category of the Brāhmaṇas, known as world-ly Brāhmaṇas could not stick to their hereditary professions of teaching and priesthood but followed other professions under the pressure of social and economic necessities. According to Āpastamba and Gautama, trade and agriculture were to be taken up by them in times of distress. From the Buddhist sources, it is gathered that the Brāhmaṇas in the ordinary walk of life appeared as farmers, craftsmen, businessmen, soldiers, administrators, and so on. The Daśa-Brāhmaṇa-Jātaka⁸ states how Brāhmaṇas in those days pursued ten

^{1.} Jā, II. 85. 394, 411; III. 147, 352.

^{2.} Dīgha, I. ii, 120; Angu, III. 223; GS. I 146; Su. Ni. III. 5; Majjh, II.

^{3.} Angu, III, 371.

^{4.} Su.Ni, III, 7.

^{5.} Jā,; VI. 32.

^{6.} Āva-Chū, p. 320.

^{7.} Uttarā. 25.

^{8.} Jā, No. 495.

occupations against rules. They acted as: (1) physicians, carrying sacks filled with medicinal roots and herbs; (2) servants and wagon-drivers; (3) tax-collectors who would not leave a household without collecting alms; (4) diggers of the soil in the garb of ascetics with their long hairs and nails, and covered with dust and dirt; (5) traders selling fruits, sweets, and the like; (6) farmers; (7) priests interpreting omens; (8) policemen with arms to guard caravans and shops, like Gopas and Nishādas; (9) hunters in the garb of hermits killing hares, cats, fish, tortoises, etc.; and (10) menials of kings who helped them in their baths in the garb of Yājāikas. This may appear as over-exaggerated but in other Jātakas too, there are references to Brāhmaṇas practising as physicians, ploughing the land, trading and hawking goods, working as carpenters, as shepherds, as archers and as hunters.

There were others who expounded dreams⁹ and went about telling fortune (Lakkhaṇa-Pāṭhaka),¹⁰ reading the past, future, and the character of an individual from the signs on his body (Aṅga-Vijjā-Pāṭhaka),¹¹ and reading the luck of swords (Asikkhaṇa Pāṭhaka).¹² Some of them worshipped demons and practised magic. They possessed Mantras like the Vedabbhamanta,¹³ the Paṭhavijayamanta¹⁴ and Chintāmaṇivijjā.¹⁵ The art of exorcism was also practised by a few.¹⁶ It appears from these references and from the account of the Brahmajāla Sutta that

```
1- Jā. II. 213; VI. 181.
```

^{2.} Ibid, II. 165; III. 162-63; Jā, V. 68.

^{3.} Ibid IV. 15-21; V. 22, 47I.

^{4.} Ibid, II. 15.

^{5.} KS, I. 2-27; Ja, IV. 207.

^{6.} Jā, III. 401.

^{7.} Ibid, III. 219; V. I27.

^{8.} Ibid, II. 200; VI 182, 170,

^{9.} Ibid. I. 343; IV. 334-35; VI. 330.

^{10.} Ibid, I. 272; IV. 79, 335; V. 211.

^{11.} Ibid, 21, 250; V. 458.

^{12.} Ibid, I. 455.

^{13.} Ibid, 1. 253.

^{14.} Ibid, II, 243,

^{15.} Ibid, III, 504.

^{16.} Ibid, III, 511.

the Brāhmaṇas could be found in all walks of life, and that some of them took up objectionable practices such as hunting, carpentary, and chariot-driving.

The picture of the Brāhmanas in Jātaka literature is quite different from the one given in Brāhmanical literature. It is gloomy, especially in Jātaka literature. The Brāhmanas are pictured as greedy, shameless, and immoral. While the shamelessness of the Brāhmanas is clear from Junha Jātaka, the Sigāla Jātaka² shows that they were greedy. That their moral standards were not quite high is clear from the Sambhava Jātaka.³

Brahmanical literature on the other hand makes it abundantly clear that the Brāhmanas enjoyed certain special privileges. For certain offences, for instance, they received milder punishment than those belonging to other classes. They were exempt from taxes. In the matter of treasure-troves, they were more favourably treated than the members of other classes. As a matter of fact, these privileges were granted only to learned Brāhmanas, not to all of them. Moreover the Brāhmanas did not occupy a privileged position in the eye of law. A criminal, whosoever he was, was executed, as is evident from a number of passages in the Jātakas, one of which also speaks of the execution of a Brāhmana.

VAIŚYAS

The Vaiśyas were not homogeneous in their occupation but followed different professions. They were known as Gahapati or Gāhāvai, Kutumbika and Seṭhīs. Gahapati or Gāhāvai means, literally, a householder, but it seems to have constituted the high and rich middle-class families owning land and cattle. Jaina texts mention a number of Gāhāvais who were adherents of the Jaina faith. One such Gāhāvai was Ānanda, a rich landowner of Vāṇiyagāma, who possessed a large number of cattle, ploughs, and carts. Pārāsara was another Gāhāvai, prosperous in agriculture (kisi) and hence known as Kisipārāsara; he

^{1.} Jā, III. No. 456, p. 61.

^{2.} Ibid, I. No. 113, p. 255.

^{3.} Ibid, V. No. 515, p. 31.

^{4.} Uvā.

had six hundred ploughs. Kuiyanna is described as another Gāhāvai who is said to have owned a pretty large number of cows.

The expression Kuṭumbika is used to denote the head of a family,³ but during this period, he belonged exclusively to the Vaiśya community. We find him both in cities and in villages; in the former mostly as a businessman, dealing in corn,⁴ practising trade⁵ and money-lending⁶ and in the latter as a well-to-do cultivator.⁷ Some of the Kuṭumbikas figure as very rich citizens.⁸

The Sethīs were the richest aristocratic section of the Vaiśya caste. They are represented as respectable tradesmen, enjoying a high position of honour among the members of their caste. They rendered various services to the kings and tradesmen. It appears from the Jātakas that some of them occupied an official position in the royal court. Nanda is mentioned as an influential Sethī of Rājagriha. Anāthapiṇḍika had spent considerable wealth for providing residence for Buddhist Bhikshus. They were usually charitable, and spent a good portion of their wealth in charities. Their sons received education along with the Kshatriyas and the Brāhmaṇa youths, and offered the teacher a handsome honorarium. 10

THE SUDRAS

The word 'Śūdra' denotes a number of castes. In the contemporary Jaina and Buddhist literatures we do not find a specific mention of a caste called 'Śūdra'. But the occupation and status of a class of people living in those days make it clear that they were none other than the Śūdras. Both Mahāvīra and the Buddha tried their best to improve the general condition of these down-trodden people.

- 1. Uttarā. Tī, 2, p. 45.
- 2. Ava. Chū, p. 44.
- 3. Jā, II. 267.
- 4. Ibid.
- 5. Ibid, IV. 370.
- 6. Ibid, II. 388.
- 7. Ibid. II. 267.
- s. Ibid, IV. 370.
- 9. Nāyā, 13, p. 141.
- 10, Jã, 1V, 38.

The artisans were developing into different castes all engaged in their hereditary professions. The potters (Kumbha $k\bar{a}ra$)¹, smiths ($Kamm\bar{a}ra$),² ivory-workers ($Dantak\bar{a}ra$)³, carpenters (Vaddaki),4 etc., belonged to hereditary families and had their own settlements.

There were a number of unorganised, unsettled, and wandering castes, who earned their livelihood by entertaining the people. There were the dancers and singers $(N\bar{a}ta)^5$, acrobats (Langhanataka),6 tumblers,7 jugglers (Mayakara),8 snake-(\(\bar{A}\)hitundika),9 mongoosetamers (Kondadamaka),10 charmers musicians (Gandhabba), 11 drummers (Bheri Vādaka), 12 conchblowers (Sankhadhamaka)13 and so on. Expressions such as Bherivādakakula, 14 Sankhavādakakula, 15 Natakakula, 16 Gandhabbakula17, and the like suggest that they formed separate castes of their own.

Similar in status to these people but leading a more settled life were the cowherds (Gopālaka), cattlemen Paśupālaka) grass-cutters (Tinnahāraka), stick-gatherers (Katthahāraka), and foresters (Vanakammika) as they are described in the Majjhima-Nikāya18 and Kunāla Jātakas.19 They probably lived an exclusive life, collecting together into villages of their own, away from the towns and cities which they visited for selling their produce to earn their livelihood.

```
Majjh, II. 18, 46; III. 118; Jä, II. 79; III. 376.
1.
```

Su. Ni, 1.5; Dīgha, 33. 2.

Dīgha, I. 78; Majjh, II. 18; Ja, 1. 320.

⁷ā, II. 18, 405; IV. 344. 4.

Ibid, II. 167; III. 61, 507. 5.

Ibid, I. 430. 6.

^{7.} Ibid, II. 142.

Ibid. IV. 495. 8.

Ibid, I. 370; II. 267, 429; III. 198, 348. 9.

Ibid, IV. 389. 10.

Ibid, II. 249. 11.

Ibid, I. 283. 12.

^{13,} Ibid, I. 284.

Ibid, I. 283. 14. Ibid, I. 284. 15.

Ibid, II. 167. Ibid, II. 248. 16.

^{17.}

Majjh, I. 79. Jā, V. 417. 18.

^{19.}

THE DESPISED CASTES

There were certain castes which were looked down upon by the higher sections of society either due to their ethnic origin or on account of their following low professions. The Chaṇḍālas, the Veṇas, the Nishādas, the Rathakāras, and the Pukkusas appear as low castes.

Among the despised castes, the Chandalas were the most unfortunate. 'Contemptible like a Chandala' became a proverbial expression. He was the lowest and the meanest on the earth1, and the Sigāla Jātaka compares a jackal, low and wretched among animals, with a Chandala.2 The Chandalas were not only untouchable but also unseeable. The daughter of the Sethi and wealthy merchant washed her eyes when she saw the Chandala at the city gate. Food was polluted at the sight of a Chandala. Sixteen thousand Brahmanas were once ostracized because they committed the sin of eating the food served by a Chandala. One Brahmana was starved to death because of the same sin. The wind, that had touched the body of a Chandāla, was considered impure. The Chandālas lived outside the Their dialect was different and showed their ethnic difference. They were often engaged as carriers of corpses and as slaughterers of criminals condemned to death by the king.

However, we also come across some Chandalas who were respected in the society. Harikeshabala, born in the family of Chandalas, became a monk possessing some of the highest virtues. He subdued his senses and observed the rules of walking, begging, speaking etc. He controlled himself and was always attentive to his duty. He protected his thoughts, speech and body from sins.³

Along with the Chaṇḍālas, there were Nishādas, Pukkusas, and others. The Nishādas were generally hunters and foresters. The Pukkusas used to pluck flowers and lived generally by hunting and only occasionally by dirty work like cleaning temples and palaces. There were carpenters, basket-makers,

^{1.} Ja, IV. 397.

^{2.} Ibid, II. 6.

^{3.} Uttarā, XII.

flute-makers, weavers, and barbers whose professions were considered to be low.

MIXED CASTES

There must have been a steady increase in the mixed castes during this period, and these are found mentioned in the *Dharmasūtras*. These mixed castes arose not only as a result of the permitted anuloma marriages (a member of a higher caste marrying a woman or women of lower castes), but also as a result of the prohibited pratiloma marriages (where the husband's caste was lower than that of the wife). Difference in occupation must have resulted sooner or later in an increase in the number of such mixed castes.

From the four Varṇas, there came into existence several castes and sub-castes, such as Ambaṣṭha, Āyogava, Sūta, and Karṇa. A passage in the Sūtrakṛitāṅga¹ names the following classes in this order—Ugras, Bhogas, Aikshvākavas, Jñātrīs, Kauravas, Warriors, Brāhmaṇas, Lichchhavis, commanders, and generals. Other passages of the Jaina scriptures add princes, artists,² and Kshatriyas.³ The Nāgas, too, formed a part of the country's population.⁴ Many cities were named after castes or professions, e.g., Uttara-Kshatriya-Kuṇḍapura (after Kshatriyas), Dakshiṇa-Brāhmaṇa-Kuṇḍapura (after Brāhmaṇas), Nātika (after Jñātis or Jñātrikas), Bhoganagara (after the Bhogas), and Vāṇijyagrāma (the village of commerce.)

SLAVERY

During this period, slavery was quite common in the society, and both male and female slaves (dāsas and dāsis) were employed for doing all sorts of household work. Not only kings and wealthy people, but even ordinary families could keep slaves. The practice was confined not only to cities but was in vogue also in the villages. It was not restricted to a particular Varṇa, but even Kshatriyas, Brāhmaṇas, and men belonging to the upper strata of society were reduced to

^{1.} SBE, XLV. p. 339.

^{2.} Ibid, XLV. p. 71.

^{3.} Ibid, p. 321.

^{4.} Dia. II, p. 288,

slavery.¹ It is said that Pūraņa Kassapa and Ajita Keśakambalī had been slaves in their previous lives.²

There were different categories of slaves. Slaves born of slave mothers were known. That slaves were bought and sold is mentioned in the Jaina, Buddhist and *Dharmaśāstra* literatures. According to $Nanda \ \mathcal{J}\bar{a}taka$, seven hundred panas were enough for the purchase of a slave. The $Sattubhakta \ \mathcal{J}\bar{a}taka$ reveals that one hundred $K\bar{a}rsh\bar{a}panas$ were more than sufficient for having nine slaves.

The physical fitness of a male slave and the beauty of a female one might have been responsible for a higher price. Slaves were also given in gift. The Digha and Anguttara Nikāyas say that the Buddha had prohibited the Bhikshus from accepting the gifts of slaves, either male or female. According to a Jātaka, a Brāhmaṇa demanded a hundred slave girls from a king along with other requisites as his gift, and his demands were fulfilled.

War-captives, who were reduced to complete subjection, might have been either sold or given in gifts to others by their masters. Chandanā, the first female disciple of Mahāvīra, was a slave of this type. Some people became slaves for paying off their debts. A widow who purchased two palis of oil from a grocer on credit, had, when unable to pay off the debt, to serve him as a slave girl. Slaves were made during famine for want of food. The Vidhura-pandita-Jūtaka refers to those men who were driven to slavery mainly on account of fear. Some were condemned to slavery as a punishment for their crimes.

- 1. U. N. GHOSHAL: Studies in Indian History & Culture, pp. 461-467.
- 2. BANDOPADHYAYA, N. C: Economic Life and Progress in Ancient India, p 297.
- 3. Jā, IV. No. 39.
- 4. Ibid, No. 402.
- 5. Dīgha, I. 64; Angu, II. 209.
- 6. Jā, IV. 99
- 7. Āva. chū, p. 318.
- 8. Pinda, Nir, (319).
- 9. Vya. Bhā, 2. 207; also Mahā. Ni, p. 28,
- 10. Jā, No. 545.
- 11. Ibid, I. 200.

The nature of the work of a slave depended upon his own ability as well as the social and financial status of the master. In the case of rich masters, the qualified slaves could be kept as treasurers, store-keepers, and even private secretaries. Thus, from the Nanda-Jataka it is known that the master showed his full faith in his slave by giving the latter all sorts of information relating to his treasure. In the Nana-chchhanda Jataka, the Brāhmaṇa master is found taking the advice of Panna, a slave girl, about the boon he would ask of the king.

In spite of all the commendable jobs given to slaves, there is no doubt that most of them were employed to perform ordinary household duties. U. N. Ghoshal rightly observes, "A slave was ordinarily engaged in cooking, fetching water, pounding and drying rice, carrying food to and watching the field, giving alms, ministering to the master when he retired, or handling the plates and dishes, bringing the spitoon and fetching the fans during meals, sweeping the yards and stables and other such duties."

As regards the treatment meted out to the slaves, it depended upon the temperament of the master. There are conflicting statements on this subject. Generally masters harassed their slaves but in a few cases, they showed kindness towards them. Slaves were punished for their acts of commission and omission. Sometimes they were ill-treated by their masters when the latter chose, in a wanton mood, to do so. The Anguttara-Nikāya⁵ states that the slaves toiled with tearful faces for fear of the rod. One Jātaka⁶ informs that the wanton daughter of a high treasurer used to revile and beat her slaves and servants. According to the Nāmasiddhi Jātaka, the master of the slave girl Dhanapālī used to beat her. She was also sent

^{1.} FSONB, p. 311.

^{2.} Jā, No. 39.

^{3.} Ibid, No. 289.

^{4.} Studies in Ancient Indian History & Culture, p. 463.

^{5.} Angu, II. 207-8.

^{6.} Jā, I. 295.

^{7.} Ibid, No. 97.

on hire to work for others. Slaves were given thrashing and kept in fetters by their masters.

No serious attempt was made to improve the lot of slaves. Even a great reformer like *Mahātmā* Buddha did not have courage enough to admit any slave into his Order. The Lichchhavis were not prepared to recognize the sons born of their female slaves as free men. Vāsavakhattiyā was not recognized by them as a member of the Śākya family only because she was the daughter of Prince Mahānāma's slave girl Nāgamunḍā.¹

There are some instances to prove that some slaves received good treatment from their masters. They were given opportunities to learn reading, writing, and handicrafts along with their masters' sons. Kaṭāhaka grew up in the company of his master's son, got his education along with him, learnt two or three handicrafts, and was appointed as the store-keeper of his master.² Sometimes, the daughters of the masters fell in love with their slaves. In the Kaṭāhaka³ and Kalaṇḍuka Jātakas,⁴ girls of some reputed families are found marrying their slaves and eloping with them.

Certain methods of liberating the slaves prevailed in the society. War-captives made slaves could get emancipation if the vanquished party subsequently regained its strength and conquered the enemy. Slaves could also be liberated either by accepting Sannyāsa (monkhood) or by the will of the masters or by paying them a ransom for their emancipation.

ORDERS OR STAGES OF LIFE

Even before the time of Mahāvīra and the Buddha, the existence of the three well-known Airamas (stages), namely, Brahmacharya, Gṛihasta, and Tapas, is a fact evident from the Chhāndogya Upanishad and the Bṛihadāraṇyaka Upanishad. As a matter of fact, the number of Āiramas is four, not three, though there are slight differences in their nomenclature and in their sequence. All the four were known by their

- 1. Economic Life and Progress in Ancient India, p. 297.
- 2. Jā, I. 451.
- 3. Ibid, No. 125.
- 4. Ibid, No. 127.

specific names to the Jābālopanishad. From the time of the early Dharmasūtras, these four Āśramas with their successive stages became well known. The Āpastamba Dharmasūtra¹ says, "There are four Āśramas, viz., the stage of a householder, that of one staying in the teacher's house, the stage of being a Muni, and the stage of being a forest-dweller. Āpastamba places the householder first among the Āśramas probably on account of the importance of that stage to all other Āśramas. To Gautama² the four Āśramas were Brahmachārī, Grihasha, Bhikshu and Vaikhānasa. Vasishtha Dharmasūtra³ names the four Āśramas as Brahmachārī, Grihasha, Vānaprasha and Parivrājaka. The Buddhist literature⁴ knew all the four stages into which the life of the three upper classes was divided.

The first part of man's life is Brahmacharya in which he studies in his teacher's house; in the second part he marries and becomes a householder, pays off his debts to his ancestors by begetting sons and to the gods by performing Yajñas. When he sees that his hair is growing grey and that there are wrinkles on his body, he resorts to the forest, i.e., becomes a Vānaprastha. After spending the third part of his life in the forest for some time, he spends the rest part of his life as a Sannyāsin.

It is believed that the scheme of the \overline{A} irama was so devised that the individual may attain the four goals of existence, namely, Dharma, Artha, $K\overline{a}ma$, and Moksha. In the Brahmacharya stage, through the discipline of his will and emotion, he attains dharma. In the Grihastha \overline{A} irama, he marries, becomes a householder, tastes the pleasures of the world, enjoys life, has sons, discharges his duties to his children, to his friends, relatives and neighbours and becomes a worthy citizen, the founder of a family. He is supposed to attain Artha and $K\overline{a}ma$ during this period. In $V\overline{a}naprastha$, he is called upon to resort to a forest life for pondering over the great problems of the life hereafter and to accustom himself to self-abnegation,

^{1.} Āp. Dh.S, II. 9. 21-1,

^{2.} Gau. Dh. S, III. 2,

^{3.} Vas. Dh. S. VII, 1-2.

^{4.} Abhidhammapadipika, 409; Dhammapada, 135.

austerities, and a harmless life. In Sannyāsa, he may succeed in realizing the supreme goal of Moksha in this very life or he may have to continue to rise in spiritual height until after several births and deaths the goal is in view.

This Asrama system was related to the theory of the three debts—Rishirina, Pitririna, and Devarina—and through this tripartite system, an attempt was made to pay them off. The debt to the Rishis was paid off by studying their works at the stage of Brahmacharya, the debt to parents by procreating sons and educating them at the stage of Grihasta, and the debt to gods by performing sacrifices at the stage of Vānaprastha.

It is difficult to accept the theory propounded by Rhys Davids to the effect that the four orders of life were of Post-Buddhistic origin and that the Brahmanical class unable to cope with the progress of new ideas formulated the theory of Airamas according to which no one could become either a hermit or a wanderer without having first many years as a student in the Brahmanical school. The theory of Airama was formulated long before the advent of Buddhism. It is possible that the separation of the last two orders, and particularly the development of the last one, may be due to the development of ascetic ideas stemming from the rise of Jainism and Buddhism.

No attempt was ever made to make the four stages obligatory except the first stage. It was not compulsory for an individual to enter into other stages. This system was never imposed arbitrarily with state legislation, ex-communication, perpetual banishment, or execution. The hold of the \overline{A} frama dharma on the life of the people was rather loose. Had it been strictly imposed on the whole population, the consequences would have been disastrous. It seems that it was confined only to the superior communities like the Brāhmaṇas without any binding obligation.

FAMILY LIFE

Throughout this period the system most in vogue was the joint-family system, and it included father, wife, children,

1. RBI. p. 113.

mother, minor brothers, and sisters. The relationship between the different members of the family was mostly cordial and affectionate. The father was the head of the family, and he was respected by all the members. His wife was the mistress who performed her household duties, looked after the members of the family, and was obedient to the master. The mother was highly respected by one and all. We hear of king Püsanandi who was greatly devoted to his mother.1 The mother on her part had great love for her children. When prince Meghakumāra decided to embrace the life of an ascetic, his mother became unconscious and fell to the ground like a log of wood. She was sprinkled over with water, fanned with a palm-leaf, and was consoled by her friends. Her eyes were filled with tears, and using some of the most pathetic words, she persuaded her son not to give up worldly pleasures.2

There are also instances which reveal that amity did not exist between one member of the family and the other. Daughters-in-law and mothers-in-law often sought refuge in nunneries to escape from the tyranny of one another. One daughter-in-law even conspired to kill her mother-in-law. In one case, four daughters-in-law drove their father-in-law out of the house. We have the case of a son who refused to marry on the ground that wives generally showed scant respect to their parents-in-law and even domineered over them.3

The conception of proprietary rights came into existence in the family circle. The reason was that trade and commerce prospered highly, and the number of professions increased. The members of the family began to earn their living independently. Some of the Dharmasūtra writers began to give due recognition to the self-acquired property of the son. Gautama says, "Among the brothers one who is Vaidya, need not give his own earning to those who are not Vaidyas." Vishnu clarifies the point a little further, saying that if the Vaidya had acquired his knowledge with the help of the family property, he must share the property with others.

JLAIDJG, p. 147.
 Nāyā, 1. p. 25 f; Uttarā, 19.
 The Position of Women in Hindu Civilization, pp. 107-8.

Formerly, the father had extraordinary powers. There was a time when the gift or a sale of a son was not regarded as beyond the power of the father. These practices came to be disallowed during this period, no matter whether this was due to a Sastric prohibition in the proper sense of the term or to an alteration in the conception about the extent of the father's right over the son. With the beginning of the Vānaprastha system, the joint-family system began to crumble. Before becoming a Vanaprasthi, the father had to divide his property among his sons. Sometimes he had to divide his property among his sons against his will. The son started demanding his legitimate share in the property even against the wishes of the father. Some of the Dharmasūtras declared that a son, who would force a partition upon his father should not be invited to perform the latter's Śrāddha. This shows that such a procedure was disapproved by the society, but the son had legal rights and could get them enforced through the court of law. Gautama says that sons have rights by birth. Apastamba opines that the connection of the son with inheritance cannot be broken.

MARRIAGE

In the sixth century B.C., marriage was generally regarded as necessary and desirable for all. There are also exceptional cases where both males and females thought of leading an unmarried life by renouncing the world under the influence of religion. Sometimes such persons were unable to live up to their high ideals, and their lapses were furiously commented upon by the public. Hence it was the married who enjoyed real respect in society and felt elevated and dignified.

FORMS OF MARRIAGES

From the Jaina and Buddhist sources, it appears that Brāhma, Prājāpatya, Āsura, Gāndharva, and Rākshasa marriages were common during this period. Marriages referred to in the Dharmasūtras are of eight forms, viz., Brāhma. Daiva, Ārsha, Prājāpatya, Āsura, Gāndharva, Rākshasa and Paišācha. Brāhma and Prājāpatya marriages were the most popular. In these two forms, marriage was settled by parents. Auspicious dâys

were fixed for the marriage ceremony¹ and the bridegroom's party reached the house of the bride on a fixed day. The bride was carried in a car to the bridegroom's place escorted by a number of people.²

The Asura form of marriage, in which a wife was procured by paying a substantial amount to her father, was also prevalent. The minister Teyaliputta wanted to marry the daughter of a goldsmith.³ A merchant, after leaving his negligent wife, married another girl by paying a large sum.⁴ A robber, who had plenty of money, paid the desired amount, and married a girl.⁵ From Buddhist literature we know that the father of Isidasi had received a bride as price for her in her marriage.⁶

The Gāndharva or love marriage was also popular among the nobles of the time. In this form of marriage, both the bride and bridegroom made their own choice by falling in love with each other without the knowledge of their guardians, and were married without rites or ceremonies. The marriage of Udayana with Vāsavadattā is well known. We hear of King Sīharaha of Puṇḍavaddhaṇa who married a girl in the Gāndharva way. Some Jātaka stories also refer to this type of marriage. The Baudhāyana Dharmasūtra refers with approval to the view of some thinkers that love-unions ought to be commended as they presuppose reciprocal attachment.

People sometimes resorted to the Rākshasa form of marriage. The forcible carrying of the girl to be married was the essential feature of this kind of marriage. There are many instances of elopement and abduction. Suvarṇāngulikā, a maid servant of Udayana, was abducted by king Pajjoya, Ruppiṇi

- 1. Dīgha, I. 11; Jā, I. 258.
- 2. Jā, I. 258.
- Nāyā, 14, p. I48.
- 4. Uttarā, Ţī, 4. p. 97.
- 5. Uttarā, Chū, p. 110.
- 6. Theri, 5, 5/120 and 153.
- 7. Uttarā. Tī, 9, p. 141, also 13. p. 190,
- 8. $\Im \bar{a}$, VI, 364 f; I. 134-36; I. 300.
- 9, 1/11/13/7.

by Kanha, Kamalāmelā by Sāgarachanda¹, and Chellanā by king Seniya. The Jaina texts² also refer to the abduction of Dovaī by king Paumanāva of Amarakanka. This type of marriage figures frequently in the Jātakas,³ and it remained quite popular among the warrior class from very early times.

The Jaina and Buddhist texts of this period do not refer to the Paisācha, Ārsha, and Daiva marriages which are known from certain Brahmanical sources. In the Paisācha marriage, the bride is either duped very often by making her overdrunk or physically overpowered by the bridegroom in order to make her yield to passion. Jainas and Buddhists do not regard it as marriage at all. When a daughter was offered in marriage to an officiating priest by the sacrificer, the marriage was designated as a Daiva one. This marriage was not practised among the Jainas and the Buddhists, who might have included it in the category of Brāhma and Prājāpatya forms of marriage. In Ārsha marriage, the bride's father received a bull and a cow at the time of his daughter's marriage. Since it was thought to be a variety of Āsura marriage, it was probably not mentioned.

The most interesting type of marriage known as Svayam-vara (self-choice) was confined originally to the Kshatriya class, wherein a princess selected her husband of her own free will, from among the assembled suitors, or as a result of a tournament or contest in the use of warlike weapons. There are several instances of this type of marriage. The Nāyādhammakahā refers to the Svayamvara of Dovai which was attended by various prominent kings and princes.⁴ The Uttarādhyayana commentary refers to another Svayamvara marriage of the princess Nivvui.⁵

CASTE AND GOTRA CONSIDERATION

During this period, caste and family (jāti and kula) became important factors in determining marriages in order to preserve the purity of blood. Brāhmaṇas, Seihis, clans-

- 1. Bṛih. Bhā, Tī, p. 57.
- 2. Nāyā, 16, p. 186.
- 3. Ja, V. 425-6; Ja, I. 297.
- 4. Nārā, 16, pp. 179-82.
- 5. Uttarā. Ccm, I, S. p. 59,

men, treasurers, and others are mentioned as solemnising marriages with the members of their respective castes of equal family status. The Jaina and Buddhist accounts are supported by the Dharma's astras which prescribe that the bride should be of the same caste. Generally, endogamy was in practice, and restrictions were imposed on the intermixture of castes.

During the Vedic period, Gotra denoted a cov-pen, but it came to be used in the sense of lineage or ancestry at this time. When king Prasenajit asked the Gotra of Angulimala's parents, the latter replied that his father was of the Gargya Gotra, and his mother of the Maitrayani.1 Opinions are divided about the consideration of Gotra in settling marriages. Some of the lawgivers (e.g., Gautama and Baudhāyana) are silent on this point, but some of them prohibit Sagotra marriages. A verse in the Kachchhapa Jātaka suggests that generally, parties united in wedlock belonged to different Gotras.2

There are during this period a few examples of brothers marrying their own sisters. Buddhist literature speaks of the Śakyas marrying their sisters for the sake of continuing their family line.3 Incestuous marriages were also prevalent among the Lichchhavis.4 Marriage with one's own cousin was also in vogue. Bambhadatta married his maternal uncle's daughter.5 The Jātaka stories refer to the marriages of Kāśī and Śivi princes with their maternal uncle's daughters. 6 The sister of the Kośala king Prasenajit was married to Bimbisāra, and his daughter Vājirā was wedded to Ajātasatru, the son of Bimbisāra.7 The marriage of Jyeshthā to Nandivardhana, the elder brother of Mahavira, also belongs to this category. Such marriages were not confined to the royal families, but were prevalent also among the common folk as is evident from several popular stories. Some Dharmasūtras refer to the custom of marrying one's maternal uncle's daughter, but this practice was confined to the South.8

Majjh, 11. 102.
 jā, 11. 360.
 Dia, 11-115; jā, V. 413 (No. 536).
 HQ, II. p. 563.
 Uttara, Ti, p. 189,
 jā, I. 457; jā, II. 327 and jā, VI. 486.
 Ibid, II, 237, 403-4; IV. 342-43.
 Bau. Dh.S I. I. 19-26.

Marriage was guided by two special laws among the Lichchhavis of Vaiśālī. One of them prohibited the marriage of a Lichchhavi lady with any outsider.¹ This law was so strictly followed that the secret marriage of Simha's daughter² with the romantic king Bimbisāra of Magadha brought on the dreadful fight between the Lichchavis and the Magadha people, resulting in the discomfiture of the former and their resolve to make "a requital of enmity (Vairaniryātana) even to the sons of the king." They were so particular about it that this resolution was got recorded and kept in a box duly scaled.³

The second law was in connection with Strīratna 'the jewel of women' (the most excellent woman). According to this law, the Strīratna was not allowed to be married for herself, but was to adorn and entertain the society in which she was brought up, for which she was called Nagaraśobhini. She was thought to be one of the greatest treasures of the nation, a treasure which was not to be under the possession of an individual, however great he might be in position or in wealth. She was to belong to the whole Gaṇa. At this time Ambapālī, for example, was the most excellent girl, and was therefore made Nagaraśobhinī.

INTER-CASTE MARRIAGE

The system of inter-caste marriages was not a common practice. Only the people of higher classes practised it, but not quite often. The marriage of a bridegroom belonging to a higher caste with a bride of a lower caste was known as Anuloma marriage, and the marriage of a high-caste girl with a low-caste boy was named Pratiloma marriage. We find in a Jātaka that a king saw a beautiful girl named Sujātā, daughter of a greengrocer selling jujubes, fell in love with her and made her his queen consort. A Jātaka describes Senāpati Ahipāraka as marrying Ummadanti, a merchant's daughter.

^{1.} W. W. ROCKHILL: The Life of the Buddha, p. 62; IHQ. XXIII, p. 58.

^{2.} IHQ, XXIII, p. 59 f. n.

^{3.} Ibid.

^{4.} Ibid.

^{5.} Ja, III. S1.

^{6.} Ibid, V. 211.

Majjhima-Nikāya states that the relatives of a woman, who did not like her husband, intended to separate her from him and to unite her with another person. A Jataka relates the story of princess Phusati of Madra, who wanted to get rid of her ugly husband Kansa (the Bodhisattva) of Kuśavati and to marry another prince who was handsome, according to her wishes.2

Family and local traditions also played an important part in controlling this custom. A Jātaka story shows that in spite of the absence of any deep-rooted love for the husband the wife did not exercise her right of divorcing him, but preferred to remain in her uncomfortable condition.3 It is said that a Brāhmana who was asked, whether he would keep or abandon his wife found guilty of adultery, expressed his view against deserting her and remarrying.4

POLYGAMY AND MONOGAMY

Generally monogamy was followed by the vast majority of the people, but polygamy was a fashion among the rich and ruling sections of the society. The kings and princes considered it a privilege to have a crowded harem. In the Jātakas, most of the princes have been described as polygamous.⁵ Kings like Bimbisāra, Prasenajit, Udayana, and Ajātaśatru were all polygamous. The rich house-holder of Rājagriha, Mahāsayaga, had thirteen wives.6 The Ratthapāla-Sutta describes Ratthapāla, the son of a Brāhmana, Grīhapati, as having several wives.7 In the Anguttara-Nikāya, a wealthy and happy householder is described as being waited upon by four wives with all their charms.8 The Therigatha tells us that Isidass in her former birth was married to a merchant's son who had already another wife.9 The Pāraskara Grihyasūtra states that a Brāhmana should have three wives, a Kshatriya two and a Vaisya one, besides one Śūdra wife to all.10

- 1. Majjh, II. 109.

- Majjn, 11. 109.
 Jā, No 531 (Kusa Jā).
 Ibid, IV. 35.
 Ibid III. 351.
 The Chullasutasoma Jātaka (Jā, V, 178).
 Suruchi Jātaka (Jā, IV. 316).
- 6. Uvā, p. 152.
 7. Majjh, II. 63.
- 9. Angu.
- 8. Therigatha, 446.
- 10. Pa. G.S, 1. 4. 8-11.

THE COURTESANS

Courtesans became a special feature of city life during this age, especially in cities like Rājagriha. Champā, Vaiśālī, Mithilā, Sāketa and Śrāvastī. People had become wealthy and begun to entertain themselves in different ways. As the courtesans were custodians of such fine arts as singing, dancing, and music, they occupied a respectable position in the society of the period. They were beautiful, graceful and pleasant. As their presence in a royal city was material to its citizens, they were especially installed with honour. They appeared even in royal palaces on festive occasions to give the finest exhibition of their artistic talent.

Sālavatī of Rājagriha and Ambapālī of Vaiśālī were two of the most well-known courtesans of this time. When Ambapālī was installed as a courtesan of Vaisālī, her example was followed by installing Salavatī as a courtesan of Rajagriha.1 Both were not only superbly charming but also well versed in singing, dancing and music. The fact that the Buddha accepted an invitation extended to him by Ambapālī and went to her residence with the Bhikshu Samgha2 and that she dedicated the Ambapāli grove to the Samgha3, shows that a courtesan occupied no mean position. The way in which Ambapālī proceeded to see the Buddha at Kotigama with a number of magnificent vehicles4 shows that her equipage was almost royal. She was supposed to be "the pride of the city" (Nagarasobhini). King Bimbisara of Magadha was so much intoxicated by her beauty that he risked even his life to pay a visit to her at a time when a severe fighting was going on between Magadha and Vaisalt. He is said to have stayed with her for some time. And it was Ambapāli who is said to have given birth to prince Abhaya, son of Bimbisara. That the great physician Jīvaka was born of Sālavatī,5 the courtesan of Rājagriha,6 shows that some of the sons of the courtesans could

^{1.} Mr, VIII. 1. 2.

^{2.} Mr. vi. 30, 2.

^{3.} Ibid, VI, 30. 5.

^{4.} Ibid, VI, 30, 1.

^{5.} W. W. ROCKHILL: The Life of the Buddha, p. 64.

^{6.} Mr. VIII. 1. 4.

rise to eminence and occupy positions that had a prestige value in society.

The Jātakas inform us about Sāmā,¹ Sulasā² Kālī³ and other courtesans.⁴ Kālī is described in the Takkāriya Jātaka as one possessed of the qualities of social decency and self-respect. The Sulasā Jātaka represents Sulasā as a woman of rare wisdom and courage. About the income of these courtesans, the Jātaka stories give exaggerated accounts which are not reliable. On the other hand, the information given by the Vinaya Pitaka appears to be authentic and we may accept fifty to one hundred silver punch-marked coins as their daily income. Ambapālī is described as earning 50 Kahāpaṇas per night, whereas Sālavatī is said to have been charging 100 Kahāpaṇas.⁵

The character of the courtesans has also its seamy side. Generally, they sold their flesh for money for which they were looked down upon by men and women alike. Their profession is described as a vile trade (nichakamma). Expression like 'a house of ill fame' (nichch-ghara or gaṇikāghara) and 'a low woman, (duratthi kumbhadāsī) indicate that the profession of the prostitute was not considered respectable.

FOOD AND DRINK

Both literary and archeological sources reveal that rice, wheat, and pulses were the main cereals which people consumed. Rice, no doubt, was known in the preceding age too, but wheat and pulses were added to the dietary system of this period. Rice was very popular. The chief varieties of rice were Sāli, Tandula, Hāyana, Shashtika, and Nīvāra which seem to have been cultivated in this region. Rice of superior quality

```
    Kaṇavera Jā, (No. 318).
```

^{2.} Sulasā Jā, (No. 419).

^{3.} Jā, IV. 248 (No. 481, Takkāriya Jā).

^{4.} Aț!hāna Jā. (No, 425).

ô. Mv, VIII. 1. 1-1. 3.

^{6.} Jā, III. 60.

^{7.} Ibid, III- 61; 1V. 249.

^{8.} Ibid, VI. 228.

^{9.} Majjh, I. 57; III. 90; Jā, I. 429, 484; II. 110, 130, 378; IV. 276; VI. 367.

Āšva. G S, I. 17. 2; Sān. G. S, I. 24. 3; I 28 6; Pā, III 1.48; III. 3. 48; V. 1. 90; V. 2. 2.

was taken by the rich sections of society, whereas the inferior variety was the food of the people belonging to the lower strata.1

Cooked rice was called Bhatta or Bhakta², and by Pānini Odana.3 It was ordinarily eaten with supa (pulses) and vegetables.4 Pānini tells us that meat, sāpa, vegetables, guda, ghee, etc. were added to Bhata.5 Rice-milk was highly praised by Buddha, and he recommended it for the Bhikshus as a morning breakfast.6 Honey was also mixed with it. Yavāgū (rice-barley gruel) was a common liquid food.

There were a few special preparations known to us. Sattu⁷ was also eaten during this period. Kummāsa or Kulmīsha was a coarse food of the poor.8 Sweet cake now known as Puvā was a favourite dish. According to the Illisa Jataka, it was prepared from rice, milk, sugar, ghee, and honey. Piţţhakhajjaka (Khāja) was another sweetmeat liked by all. Sāriputta was fond of it but took a vow not to eat it, for it tended to make him greedy.9 Palala (modern tila-kuta) was a delicious sweetmeat mentioned by Pānini.10 It was made of powdered Tila and sugar or Guda. Pishṭaka, now known as Pithū, was prepared from the ground paste of rice.11

Milk and milk-products like curd, butter, and ghee were largely eaten.¹² Vegetables like pumpkins, gourds, and cucumbers and fruits like mango and jamboo were included in the diet of the people.13

That during this period a large number of people were nonvegetarian is proved by the discovery of bones at different archaeological sites. They seem to have been very fond of meat

```
1. Jā, I. 486; III
```

^{2.} Ibid, IV: 43;

^{3.} Pā, IV. p. 67.

^{4.} Jā. VI. 372.

^{5.} Pā, VI. 1. 128.

^{6.} Me, VI. 24-25.

^{7.} Sattubhasta Jā, (No. 402).

^{8.} Kummāsapinda Jā, (No. 415).

^{9.} Ja, I. 31. (Visavanta-Ja,) (No. 69).

^{10.} Pō, VI. 2, 128. 11. Ibid, IV. 3, 147.

^{12.} Angu. II. 95.

Ja, V. 37; Pa. IV. 1. 42; VIII. 4. 5; IV. 3. 165.

and fish. There were butchers¹ who earned their livelihood by killing various animals in the slaughter-houses and by supplying their meat to the people. The flesh of goat, pig, sheep, and deer was much used. In certain sections of society and on special occasions, cows and oxen were also slaughtered, but the tendency to revere the cow and to spare the useful bull was gaining ground. The Jātaka stories mention pigeons,² geese³, herons,⁴ peacocks⁵, crows⁶ and cocks⁻ as eatables. A large number of people cherished fish diet. Meat and fish were carried in carts to the towns and cities where they were sold in the open market.⁶

Meat and fish were important items of diet in the royal kitchen. On festival days and on occasions of marriage, meat was lavishly consumed. Even from the Jātakas we know that the Brāhmanas relished meat and fish with great delight. They are nonvegetarian diet on sacrificial occasions and on the occasion of the Śrādaha ceremony. A guest was also served with meat so that the fruits of the merit of honouring him could be reaped. It seems that the custom of meateating was so common that the Buddha did not prohibit it, except for the Bhikshus, who could accept it only in alms and could not procure it otherwise. In the Mahāparinibbāna-suttanta, the Buddha himself is described as eating pig-meat (Sūkara-maddava), and in a Jātaka story, he is found cherishing cooked meat at the house of a householder.

This widespread practice of meateating during this period

- Majjh, I. 364; II. 193; KS, 1I. 170-11; KS, II. 171; G.S, I. 229, KS, II. 171.
- 2. Romaka Jā, (No. 277).
- 3. Punnandi Jā, (No. 214).
- 4. Ibid.
- 5. Ibid.
- 6. Ibid.
- 7. Jā, II. 412.
- 8. Māmsa Jā, (No. 315).
- 9. Ibid, I 242.
- 10. Ibid, III. 429.
- 11. Ibid, I. 166 (No. 18).
- 12. Āþ. Dh. S. 11. 3. 7. 4.
- 13. Dīgha, II. 127; Udāna, VIII. 5.
- 14. Jā, 1I. 262.

might have produced a natural reaction in the mind of Lord Mahāvīra which led to the propagation of the doctrine of non-injury to living beings. For the protection of animal life, he instructed both monks and laymen to abstain from meateating.

Drinking was fairly common during this period. There are references to Surā and Meraya (Maireya) as intoxicating drinks.¹ The kings, princes, nobles, warriors, and rich people called Seṭhīs drank liquor. The religious people and the Brahmachārins of all castes were to abstain from drinking. The Jaina sūtras prohibit the Jaina monks from visiting festive gatherings in which people drank:² According to the rules of the Vinaya, the novices were not to drink strong drinks and intoxicating liquors,³ and the same rule applied to the elders. We learn from the Dharmasūtras of Āpastamba,⁴ Gautama,⁵ and Vishņu⁶ that the Brāhmaṇas were not allowed to indulge in drinking.

The Jaina and Buddhist sources inform us that the festive occasions were marked by feasting, drinking, and merry-making. There used to be a festival known as Surā-Nakkhata (drinking festival) which was marked by unrestricted drinking, feasting and dancing, leading finally to brawls in which people broke their heads, feet, and hands.

Liquor was manufactured or consumed on a large scale. Taverns ($P\bar{a}n\bar{a}g\bar{a}ra:Kappas\bar{a}l\bar{a}$) where various kinds of wine were sold were common. From the $\tilde{\jmath}\bar{a}taka$ stories we know that there were crowded taverns, where liquor was kept filled in jars and sold.¹⁰ The owners of the taverns kept apprentices who helped them in their business.¹¹ Generally, these taverns

- Chu, XII, 1 3; Angu, II. 53, II. 54; IV. 5; 246; Iticuttaka, 74: Pā, II. 4.25; VI. 2.70.
- 2. SBE, XXII; pp. 94-95.
- 3. SBE, XIII. 211, 215.
- 4. Ap. Dh. S, I, 5 17. 21.
- 5. Gau. Dh. S. II. 26.
- 6. Vas, Dh. S, XXII. 84.
- 7. SBE, XXII, pp. 94-95.
- 8. Ja, I. 362, 489.
- 9. Ibid. 1V, 115-16.
- 10. Ibid. I. 251-252 (Nos. 47, 78).
- 11. Ibid.

were managed by the Sethis who were the aristocratic Vaisvas owning considerable property. Some people used to go to these taverns for drinking with their wives.1

DRESS AND ORNAMENTS

Besides the usual vastra and vasana denoting clothing in older literature, chīra, chela, and chīvara began to be used during this period. There were different fabrics used for preparing clothes. The Āchārānga² mentions some of them as wool (jangiya or jānghika), bhanga (bhag tree), hemp (sāniva), palm leaves (pottaga), linen (khomiya), and tūla (tūlakada). It is stated that a monk or a nun could beg for the garments mentioned above.3 Although cotton (kārpāsa) was the material generally used, cloths made of Silk (kauśeya); linen (kshauma), and wool (aurna) were also in demand.

The dress of the people consisted of antaravāsaka (under garment), uttarāsanga (upper garment), and Ushanisha (turban or headgear). The Vinava texts4 refer to the variety of ways in which dhotis (undergarments) were arranged—hastisaundika (forming the trunk of an elephant), tālavrintaka (in the shape of a fan), matsyavālaka (like a fish-tail), chatushkarnaka (having four angles), and Satavallika (having a hundred folds). The same texts refer to a complete weaving outfit. The cloth was fastened at the waist by a Kayabandha (girdle), and a variety of girdles are mentioned in the Vinaya Texts,5 such as Kalābuha (those made of many strings plaited together), (those made like the head of a water-snake), muraja (those with tambourines or beads on them), or maddavina (those with ornaments hanging from them). Both men and women wore Kanchuka, a robe probably like the modern shirt.6 Women wore sārīs known as satta-sāttaka.7 Ladies of the upper strata of society wore coloured garments, while widows were dressed in white.

Jā, IV. 114.

Āchā, 11. 5. 1. 361, 368. 3. Bth, (2.24) and the Sthānā, (5.446) mention tiridapa ta in place of tūlakada which was made from the bark of the tirida tree

Chv, V. 29. 4. Ibid. 29. 2.

^{5.}

Ibid.

⁷ā. No. (431) Vol. 3. 196.

A Jaina monk was allowed to wear three robes, two linen (Kshaumika), undergarments (omachela) and one woollen (aurnika) uppergarment. The Buddha also allowed three robes: a double waist cloth (samghāta), an upper robe (uttarāsanga), and a single undergarment (antaravāsaka)².

Both from the Jaina³ and Buddhist⁴ sources it is evident that sewing and stitching of clothes were coming into fashion. There are references⁵ to the needle, thread, scissors, etc. The monks were allowed to sew their clothes.

People also put on shoes. A large variety of shoes is also referred to in the Vinaya Texts, such as shoes with one, two, three, or even more linings; shoes adorned with skins of lion, tiger, panther, antelope, otter, cat, squirrel, and owl; boots pointed with horns of rams and goats, ornamented with scorpions' tails, sewn round with peacock feathers: boots, shoes, slippers of all hues, such as blue, red, yellow, brown, black, and orange. Sometimes, the shoes were ornamented with gold, silver, pearls, beryls, crystal, copper, glass, tin, lead or bronze. Poorer people used wooden shoes, shoes made of leaves of palmyra and date-palm, or of various kinds of grass. Shoes were also made of wool. The Brihatkalpa Bhāshya6 prescribes the use of shoes for the Jaina monks, especially when they were on tours, and in the case of illness single-soled (egapuda) shoes, pudaga or Khallaka shoes to cover the foot sore, vāgurā shoes to cover the toes and also the feet, Kosaga shoes to cover the toes, Khabusa shoes to cover the ankles, and ardhajanghikā and janghikā shoes to cover the half and full thighs respectively.

The difference between the male and semale dresses and ornaments was not much marked. The ornaments, which decorated the bodies of both men and women, were costly and of various types and designs. Every part of the body from head

^{1.} Āchā. 7. 4 208.

^{2.} Mv VIII, 13. 4, 5.

^{3.} Sūtra, 4. 2. 12; Āchā, II. 5. 1. 364.

Che, V. 11-1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7; Mv, V11, 1, 5, V11, 12, 2; viii, 21, 1, Jā, No. 387, Vol. 2, pp. 178-79

^{5.} Vinaya, II 14 ff.

^{6.} Brih. 1, 2883; 3 3847,

to foot had its appropriate ornaments made of gold, silver, pearls, gems and precious stones. We know from the Vinaya Texts, that at first even monks used to wear ear-rings, eardrops, strings of beads for the neck, girdles of beads, bangles, necklaces, bracelets and rings. The only ornaments referred to as worn by women alone were waist-bands and anklets. The Jātakas² also mention earrings, frontlet pieces and torques round the neck. Among ornaments, Pāṇini refers to anguliya (finger-rings)³ Karṇika (ear-rings),⁴ lalāṭika (ornaments of the forehead),⁵ and graiveyaka⁶ (torques or necklaces). Some luxurious ornaments of this time like ear-lobes, torques of different shapes, necklaces, bangles, pendants, and rings made of different materials such as terracotta, precious stones, glass, ivory, bone and copper, have been discovered from North Indian sites.

There are elaborate references to toilet articles in the Vinaya Text. Hair was besmeared with pomade or hair-oil of bees-wax, and them smoothed with a comb. Scents, perfumes, garlands, and unguents were used, and faces were rubbed with ointment and painted. The body was also painted, and feet were rubbed with sandstone, gravel, and seafoam. To keep long hair seems to have been the fashion. Beards were also dyed blue, red, purple or green according to individual taste. Nails were polished or cut with nail-cutters, and toothsticks were used for cleaning the teeth. Some of the objects of toiletry discovered in the excavations included antimony rods of copper, hair-pins of bone, combs of ivory, terracotta flesh rubber, and nail parer.

When bathing, people used to rub their bodies-thighs, arms, breast and back—against wooden pillars or walls. Chunam (lime) was also rubbed over the body by means of a wooden instrument in the shape of a hand or a string of beads. Special bathing pools or tanks are also referred to.

^{1.} Chv, V. 2. 1.

^{2.} Jā, VI. 590.

^{3.} Pā, IV. 2. 96.

^{4.} Ibid, IV. 3.65.

^{5.} Ibid.

⁶ Ibid. IV. 2 96.

They were floored or faced with brick, stone, or wood, and had walls or steps of the same material. To prevent water becoming stale, pipes were laid to drain it off. There were also arrangements for hot-bath rooms with chimney and fire-place, and the roof covered with skins. The bathers put scented clay over their faces and took their bath seated on stools. There were cells to be used as cooling rooms after the steam bath. The Brahmajāla Sutta contains a stock list of dress-and-toilet processes comprising no less than twenty items. Of these items, Pāṇini³ refers to mirror, collyrium, garlands, perfumes, shoes, and staff.

FURNITURE AND UTENSILS

The progress of civilization during this period brought with it certain amenities, such as furniture and utensils, to make life easy and the homes comfortable. The Vinaya Texts⁴ give a long list of the articles of furniture and utensils. There was a pretty large variety of chairs rectangular, cushioned, cane-bottomed, straw-bottomed arm-chair and state chair, and sofas with or without arms. There were also different types of bedsteads with legs carved to represent animals' feet. Some bedsteads had lofty supports with arrangements for rocking backwards and forwards, and the bed, comprising mattresses stuffed with cotton and pillows half the size of man's body, was strewn over with flowers. Bolsters stuffed with wool, cotton cloth, bark, grass or talipot leaves, and chairs and bedsteads covered with upholstered cushions to fit them were in use. For poorer people, there were mats made of grass and bedsteads made of laths of split bamboo.

For reclining their bodies people used lofty and large things such as large cushions, divans, coverlets with long fleece counterpanes of many colours, woollen coverlets, white or marked with thick flowers, mattresses, cotton coverlets dyed with figures of animals, ruga with long hair on one or both sides, carpets inwrought with gold or with silk, large woollen

- 1. Cho. V. 14.
- 2. G. P. MAJUMDAR, Toilet, Ind. Culture, Vol, I. p. 651.
- 3. Pa, V. 2.6; IV. 9.9; vi. 3. 65; IV. 4.53-54; V. 1. 110; V. 1. 14.
- 4. Chr. Sixth Khandhaka.

carpets with designs such as a nautch girl's dance, couches covered with canopies or with crimson cushions at both ends. There were also rich elephant housings and horse-rugs or carriage-rugs. Sheep-skins, goat-skins, and deer-skins were used as coverlets, and fine skins, such as those of lions, tigers, panthers or antelopes, were either used for reclining upon or cut into pieces and spread inside or outside the couches and chairs. We also hear of sun-shades, mosquito-curtains, filters for straining water, mosquito-fans, flower-stands, and fly-whisks (chāmara) made of tails of oxen and peacocks or of bark and grass.

Costly utensils were used such as bowls of various kinds made of beryl, crystal, gold, silver, copper, glass, tin, lead or bronze, and some of them were painted or set with jewels. Even circular supports of bowls were made of gold or silver, The increasingly large use of pottery vessels during this period is proved by archaeological excavations. The most remarkable is North Black Polished Ware which enjoyed the status of a de luxe ware of the period on account of its beauty and durability. Bowls and dishes of this ware have been found in a large number.

FESTIVALS AND GAMES

People amused themselves by participating in Samajjas (festival gatherings) which formed a regular feature of social life during this period. The Jātakas inform us that the Samajjās were special gatherings where crowds of men, women and children gathered together and witnessed various kinds of shows and performances, such as dancing and music, combats of elephants, horses and rams, bouts with quarter-staff and wrestling. The Jaina sūtras inform us that festive entertainments were characterised by feasting, drinking and amorous acts.²

Though the festive assemblies at this time were mostly secular, some of them were no doubt religious in nature. The centres of these festivals were the cities and towns where people gathered from the neighbouring villages to enjoy them-

^{1.} Pre-Buddhist India, p. 355.

^{2.} SBE, XXII, pp. 94-95.

Social Conditions 271

selves. On the occasion of a festival the cities were decorated, displaying great pump and show. Generally these were organized by the kings themselves who went on elephants round the city in solemn processions. The beauty of the festivals lay in the nocturnal decorations when people in their fine and colourful garments came out of their houses to enjoy and entertain themselves. On the occasion of some festivals, people were given holidays. Some festivals lasted for seven days while some continued even for a month.

The Chāturmāsyas were old seasonal festivals. The Āpastamba-Gṛihya-Sūtra¹ tells us that there were three Chāturmāsya festivals, each celebrated at an interval of four months, which indicated the advent of the three seasons, spring, rainy, and winter. They were celebrated on the full-moon days of Phālguna, of Āshāḍha, and of Kārttika.

Jaina and Buddhist texts mention various other festivities. The Chāturmāsya festival of the month of Kārttika was known as the Kaumudī or the Kattikā. On the day of Kaumudīmahotsava, men and women came out of their houses after sunset, and spent the whole night in wanton merriment. In the Sāmañāaphala Sutta of the Dīgha-Nikāya, king Ajātaśatru of Magadha is described on the Kaumudī night as sitting on the upper terrace of his palace, surrounded by his ministers. The Sañjiva-Jātaka tells us that when Ajātaśatru was the king of Magadha, the city of Rājagriha was so lavishly decorated on the Kattikā festival days that it looked like a veritable city of gods.

The name of a festival Surā-Nakkhata dedicated only to drinking points out that drinking was so much in vogue that people thought it necessary to organise festivals in honour of a popular habit. A drinking festival at Rājagriha is mentioned in the Sigāla Jātaka.⁵ Another Jātaka tells us of a drinking festival held at Vārāṇasī.⁶ The occasion was characterized by

^{1.} Life in North-Eastern India in Pre-Mawyan Times, p. 83,

^{2.} JLAIDJC, p. 238.

³ Digha, I 47; SBE, II, 65.

^{4.} Ja I, No. 150, 499,

Ibid. 489.
 Ibid. 362.

unrestricted enjoyment of drinking and dancing. Even ascetics, for whom drinking is strictly prohibited, were for a while led astray. Women also drank hard, danced, and sang in a large number.

Sankhadi (Sankhati in Pali)¹ or bhojja was another special festival during this period. Sankhadi is so called because at this time animals were killed in large numbers² and their flesh was served to the guests. It is stated that monks and nuns should not participate in a festival when they know that they would be served chiefly with meat or fish or roasted slices of meat or fish.³

The Hatthi-Mangala (Elephant Festival) was celebrated with a view to exhibiting the feats of elephants in a spectacular manner. The Susima Jātaka⁴ describes this festival held annually in the royal courtyard. The chaplain of the king conducted the festival and was expected to know the three Vedas and the elephant-lore (Hatthisuttam). This festival was performed for the entertainment of the nobles and of those associated with royal dignity.

Śālabhañjikā festival was a popular festival during this period and a large number of people assembled on certain days in the Sāla groves, plucked Sāla flowers, sported, and spent the time in merry-making. The Avadānasataka⁵ gives a graphic account of this festival: "Once the Lord Buddha dwelt at Śrāvastī in the Jetavana, the garden of Anāthapiṇḍika. At that very time, the festival called Śālabhañjikā was being celebrated at Śrāvastī. Several hundred thousands of beings assembled there and, having gathered Sāla blossoms, they played, made merry and roamed about." The description of the Śālabhañjikā festival celebrated in the Lumbini garden situated between the two towns, Kapilavatthu and Devadaha, has been given in the Nidānakathā⁶: "The whole of Lumbinī Grove was like a wood of variegated

^{1.} Majjh, I, p. 418.

^{2.} Brih, J. 3140.

^{3.} Āchā, II. I. 4, 245.

^{4.} Jā, II. 46.

^{5.} Avadānašataka, p. 21.

^{6.} RHYS DAVIDS: Buddhist Birth Stories, London 1880, Vol. I, p. 66.

273 Social Conditions

creepers, or the well-decorated banqueting hall of some mighty king. The queen beholding it was filled with the desire of disporting herself in the Sāla grove; and the attendants entered the wood with the queen. When she came to the root of an auspicious Sāla tree, she wanted to take hold of a branch of it. The branch, bending down, like a reed heated by steam. approached within reach of hand. Stretching out her hand, she took hold of the branch, and then her pains came upon her." According to Pānini, this festival was peculiar to the eastern people.1

The people of Rājagriha were very fond of festivals. In the Vinava-Pitaka, a festival celebrated at an elevated place at Rājagriha is described as Girajjasamajja. That it took place at the top of a hill, probably a sacred place, points to the religious nature of the gathering. From the Visuddhimagga2 we learn that there was a festival at Rajagriha in which five hundred virgins (Kumāris) offered Mahākassapa there a kind of cake which he accepted. There used to be held at Rajagriha a festival known as the Nakkhattakilam (the spot of the stars) in which the rich took part. This festival lasted for a week.3 Chhana and Sabbarttivaro were the most important festivals in which the Lichchhavis of Vaisālī spent the whole night in merry-making.4

There was a ploughing festival which has been described in the Kāma-Jātaka.⁵ It is said that on that day the king held the plough. Most probably the first ploughing at the beginning of the rains was observed as a sacred day and celebrated as a festival. In addition to these important festivals, there were other minor ones celebrated in honour of gods like Skanda, Rudra, and Mukunda; there were festivals to propitiate Demons, Yakshas, and Nāgas: there were festivals to honour shrines and tombs, and there were festivals to worship trees, cows, wells, tanks, ponds, rivers, lakes, seas, and mines.6

2. P. T. S. p. 403.

3. Viminavalthu Commentary, pp. 63.

^{1.} Kāšikā on V1. 2. 74; III, 3. 109; II, 2.17.

^{4.} W.W. ROCKHILL: The Life of the Buddha, p. 63.

^{73,} No. 467. SBE, XXII, p. 92.

Some household ceremonies too were celebrated with great rejoicings. Avāha was celebrated before wedding when betel leaves etc. were served; vivāha was the wedding ceremony; āhena was held at the time of the bride entering the bridegroom's house; pahena was celebrated when she returned to her father's house. Then hingola was celebrated in honour of the deceased person or a yaksha; in pindanigara, food was offered to fathers. Then sammela or gotthi was a social gathering in which the relatives and friends assembled.² According to the Jaina tradition it was king Bimbisara who first promulgated this feast.

Besides participating in festivals, people amused themselves in different ways. They took keen interest in singing and dancing. King Udayana of Kauśāmbī was a great musician who by his music could control elephants run amuck. He was asked by king Pradyota of Avanti to teach music to the princess Vāsavadattā.3 A court-musician named Pañchaśikha of Sakka is known to have pleased the Buddha by his music.4 It seems that singing and dancing played an important part in Ajīvika religious practices. The Ajīvika scriptures namely two Maggas (paths) are said by Abhayadeva to have been those of song and dance. Possibly the Ajīvikas in their Ājīviya-sabhā gathered together for ecstatic religious singing and dancing. "Wandering dancers and musicians" gave additional pleasure to the people by showing their skill. There were drummers and conch-blowers7 to entertain them.

The gatherings of religious preachers and learned philosophers8 certainly soothed their hearts and quenched their mental thirst. Besides, dramatic performances were also quite popular, and they might have been an important source of recreation. Painting⁹ and embroidery, 10 apart from proving

Jīva, 3, p 280a; Kusa Jātaka, No. 531. Nišī. Chū 8, p. 502; Āchā II. 1. 3. 245.; Āva. chū, II, p 172. Āva. Chū, 11. p. 161, Dīgha. II, 263. Bhag, Fol. 659. FSONB, p. 286. Ibid, p. 297. 2.

³

^{4.}

^{5.}

^{6.}

^{7.}

Economic Life and progress in Ancient India, p. 241. 8.

^{9.} Angu, PTS, III. p. 76.

PBI, pp. 31, 41. 10.

sources of income, must also have charmed the people. The manufacture of clay figurines of both human and animal forms was an object of amusement for children. The performance of jugglers¹ and snake-charmers (ahigunthika²) gave them special delight. As long as the festivities lasted the youths had the pleasure of enjoying the company of the nagaraśobhinis.³

Parks and gardens with diverse flowers and fruits were also the places where people used to visit for recreation. The existence of several beautiful tanks⁴ and the nearness of rivers must have facilitated them in cultivating the habit of taking interest in swimming and sailing. There were forests where they took special delight in hunting animals and birds. Chariot-races, archery matches, wrestling, cock-and-peacock fights, and combats of buffaloes, bulls, horses and elephants⁵ were the noteworthy pastimes of the people.

^{1.} FSONB, pp. 294, 296

^{2.} Ibid, p. 206.

^{3.} JASB, XVII, p. 267.

^{4.} Vaisili Excavations, 1950, p. 1.

^{5.} Acha, II, 11, p 392; Digha, I, p. 6.

CHAPTER VIII

ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

The period of Lord Mahāvīra was epoch-making in economic history because of the numerous important changes that occurred in it. States well organised came into existence for the first time, leading to the establishment of peace and order. As a result, this period witnessed an allround development of agriculture, industry and trade. The increased use of iron for different purposes resulted in the surplus of wealth and prosperity. Many new arts and crafts came into existence, and they became localised and hereditary. Both trade and industries were organized into guilds. The coined money was introduced, which facilitated trade and commerce. The merchants became very prosperous and a number of cities and towns came into existence. Population increased by leaps and bounds on account of better means of subsistence and living condition.

RURAL ECONOMY

(i) Village

Rural economy had its centre in the grāma or village, a collection of grihas (houses) and kulas (families) numbering from 30 to 1000. It was closed by a wall or stockade provided with gates. Beyond this enclosure lay the arable land of the village, the grāma-kshetra, which was protected by fences and field watchmen against pests like birds and beasts. This land was divided into separate holdings cut off from one another by ditches dug for co-operative irrigation. Usually these holdings were small enough to be cultivated by their owners and families with the help of hired labour, if necessary.

- I. Jā, 1, 239; II, 76, 135; III 9; IV, 370.
- 2. Ibid, I, 215.
- 3. Ibid, II, 110; IV, 277.
- 4. Dhp, Ver. 80-145=Theragī, 19; Jā, V, 167; I. 336; V. 412.
- 5. Jā, I, 277; III, 162; III, 167.

Large holdings were not unknown. We read of estates of 1000 Karisas (probably acres) and more, farmed by Brāhmaṇas. In the Suttas, again, the Brāhmaṇa Kāśībhāradvāja employed 500 ploughs and hired men (bhatikā)² to drive his plough and oxen.

The rural economy at this time was based chiefly on a system of village communities of landowners. There was no such proprietary right as against the community. We hear of no instance of a shareholder selling or mortgaging his share of the village-field to an outsider; and it was impossible for him to do so at least without the consent of the village council. Nor had any individual the right of bequest, even to the extent of deciding the shares of his own family. No individual could acquire either by purchase or inheritance any exclusive right in any portion of the common grassland or woodland. The king granted not the land, but the tithe due, by custom to the government as yearly tax.

Adjoining the arable land of the village lay the grazing pastures of herds of cattle and goats, —herds belonging to the king or commoners. Commoners customarily entrusted their flocks to a communal neatherd called Gopālaka whose duty was to pen up the flocks at night or to return them to their owners by counting heads. Besides pastures, villages had their suburban groves like the Veluvana of king Bimbisāra at Rājagriha, Anjanavana of Sāketa, or Jetavana of Śrāvastī.

(ii) Different types of villages

Gāma,9 Gāmaka10, Dvāragāma11, and Pachchantagāma12

- 1. Jā, III, 293; IV, 276.
- 2. Sam. I, 4; S. I., 171; Ja, III, 293.
- 3. Ja, II, 165; 300.
- 4. Ibid, I, 388.
- 5. Ibid, 111, 149; IV, 326.
- 6. Ibid, III, 401.
- 7. Ibid, I, 240.
- 8. Ibid; I, 194, 399.
- 9. Digha, I. 193; Majjh, 1, 189. II. 40; Angu. IV. 335; Su. Ni. 1, 4.
- 10. Ja, I. 283, 378; H. 68,
- 11. Ibid, III. 33.
- 12. Ibid, I. 478; II. 76; IV. 326, 34.

mentioned in Pāli literature seem to be different types of villages. The Gāma and the Gāmaka were probably the ordinary village and the hamlet respectively, the difference being only in size. The Nigama was probably a busy market village, distinct from the quiet agricultural one. The Dvāragāmas were situated at the gates of cities, and probably were suburbs, most of them being industrial villages. The Pachchantagāma was located at the border of the kingdom. Owing to border invasions, the economic condition of such villages always remained unstable.

Several industrial villages, exclusively inhabited by men of the same craft, came into existence during this period. Such villages were those of carpenters, 1 smiths, 2 weavers, 3 and so on. Another feature of some of the villages was that they were peopled by the men of the same caste. Such caste villages were Brāhmanagrāma named after the Brāhmanas,4 Kshatriyagrāma⁵ after the Kshatriyas, Baniyagrāma⁶ after the Vaisyas, Chandālagāma7 after the Chandālas, and Nesādagāma8 after the Nesādas. There were also villages of park-keepers (Ārāmikagāma)9 and robbers (Choragāmaka).10 Thus the economic factor of specialisation of labour was responsible for the localisation of various industries at separate villages and for the grouping of the people of the same profession and caste. The number of such villages, however, was small. Most of the villages had the mixed population of persons of different castes, occupations and trade, following their own professions.

These villages can be classified into two categories—the agricultural villages and the industrial ones. In the agricultural

- 1. $\mathcal{J}\bar{a}$, II. 18, 405; IV. 159, 207.
- 2. Ibid; III, 281.
- 3. Psalms of Sisters, p. 88.
- The Buddhist evidence tells us of several Brāhmana villages. Sec Dīgha, I, 127; Dīgha, II, 263-64; KS, I, 216; KS I, 143; Jā. II. 293. IV. 276; Majjh, I, 285, 400; GS, I, 162; Angu. IV, 340-41.
- 5. Vaisālī Abhinandana-Grantha, pp. 85-86.
- 6. Ibid.
- 7. Jā, IV. 200. 376, 390. The Mahāvam'sa (V. 41) speaks of Chandala village to the east of pāṭalīputra.
- 8. Jā, II. 36; IV. 413; VI. 71.
- 9. MV, vi. 15. 4.
- 10 7ā, IV. :30.

villages, the main occupation of the people was agriculture. With the growth and development of industries, there came into existence the industrial towns where the craftsmen migrated to pursue their crafts. It seems that the *Dvāragāmas*, which supplied the needs of the cities, were industrial towns. Such expressions as *Dvāragāmavāsī Vaḍḍhaki*, *Drāragāmavāsī Kumbhakāra*,² etc., probably refer to the inhabitants of such villages. The *Uvāsagadasāo* tells us of a village of 500 potters outside the city of Polāsapura.³

(iii) Agriculture

(a) Methods: Agriculture was the main source of people's livelihood. It made further progress during this period with the methods of cultivation becoming more perfect than those of the Vedic period. Vast areas were brought under cultivation. New devices were introduced for the irrigation of agricultural land. The literary sources of this period make references to the ploughing and fencing of the fields, irrigating them, sowing the seeds, getting the weeds pulled up, reaping the harvest, arranging the crops in bundles, getting them trodden, picking of the straw, removing the chaff, winnowing and garnering of the harvest as the various successive stages of the agricultural process.⁴

For the purpose of cultivation, big ploughs were also used.⁵ At some places, the land was ploughed with hundreds and thousands of ploughshares. We read of the gāhāvai Ānanda who limited the cultivable land to five hundred ploughshares, each one ploughing one hundred acres (niyattaṇa) of land.⁶ The ploughing of land and harvesting of crops became easy with iron sickles and hoes which began to be used.

(b) Crops: Some new crops seem to have been discovered during this period, crops which are conspicuously absent from Vedic literature. Vihi and Tandula are the terms used for rice

- 1. Ja, IV. 344.
- 2. Ibid. III. 376.
- 3. Uvā, VII, 184.
- 4. Chū. VII. 1, 2; GS, I. 200, 221; Añgu. IV, 207-8; JJ. No. 16.
- 5. Sam. III, 155.
- 6. Uva. I, p. 7.

in the Pāli Nikāyas¹ and the Jātakas² which probably denote its different varieties. In the Jaina canonical literature, Kalamaśāli,³ raktaśāli, mahāśāli and gandhaśāli⁴ have been mentioned as different varieties of rice. Godhūma (wheat), barley (Yava), and millet (Kangu) were also produced. Among pulses cultivated were grams (Kalāya)⁵, beans (Mugga)⁶, pear (Māsa)⁻, and Kolatthi⁶. Among oil seeds, castor oil seed (Eranda), sesame (Tīla), and mustard oil seeds were well known. The discovery of the cereals, namely, rice, wheat, and pulses in the excavations at Ter and Nevasa in the N.B.P. level, testifies that they were cultivated.

Among fibre-yielding plants, cotton (Kappāsa) was the most important. Among other kinds of fabrics, silk (Kosseya), wool (uṇṇiya), linen (khoma), and hemp (saṇa) may be mentioned. Probably indigo (guliya) and other chemical dyes were produced, for the mention of a variety of colours leads us to believe in their existence.

Among the spices mention is made of fresh ginger (singavera), 11 dry ginger (suntha), cloves (lavanga), turmeric (haridra), cumin (vesana), pepper (mariya), pippala (long pepper), and mustard (sarisavatthoga)12.

Sugarcane (uchchhu) seems to have been a common crop. A sugarcane store-house (uchchhughara) is mentioned in Daśapura. The sugarcane press (Mahajanta: Kolluka)¹⁴ is also mentioned, There were sheds for pressing sugarcane (janta-

- 1. Majjh, I. 57; III, 90.
- 2. Ja, I. 429. 484; II. 110. 135, 378; IV. 276; VI. 367.
- 3. *Uvā*, I, p. 8,
- 4. Brih, 2. 3301, 3397.
- 5. Su. Ni, III. 10; Jā, II. 74.
- 6. Majjh, I. 57, 80; III 90; Angu, IV. 108; Su. Ni. III. 10; Ja, I. 429.
- 7. Majjh, I. 57; III. 90; Ańgu, IV. 108.
- 8. KS, I. 189.
- 9. Uttarā, Tī, 4. p. 78a.
- 10. Năyā I, p. 47.
- 11. Bhag, 8. 3; Panna, 1. 23. 31, 43-4.
- 12. Āchā, II, 1.8. 268.
- 13. Uttarā. Ţī, 2. p. 23.
- 14. Ibid, 19. 53; Brih. Bhā. Tī, P. 575.

sālā)¹. Jantapilaņa was an occupation specialising in crushing sugarcane, sesame, and other articles by machine². Puṇḍravardhana was noted for sugarcane cultivation.³ Three varieties of sugar are mentioned, viz., Machchaṇḍikā, puppottara, and paumuttara.⁴ Gourds were grown⁵ and were used by the ascetics.⁶

Betel $(t\bar{a}mb\bar{u}la)^7$ and arecanut $(p\bar{u}yaphali)^8$ were known. Vegetables called śāka and mūla were grown in addition to brinjal, cucumber, radish, pālanka (mod. pālak), karella (mod. Keretā), tuber roots (āluga), water-nuts śringatala, (mod. singhādā), onion, garlic, and gourd. Vegetable-gardens (kachchha) were known where radish, cucumber, etc., were grown9. Among flowers, most important are navamālikā, korantaka, bandhujivaka, kanera, jāti, mogara, yūthikā, mallikā, vāsantī, mrigadantikā, champaka, kunda, and others.10 Among fruits mention may be made of mango, fig, plantain, date, woodapple, citron, bread-fruit, pomegranate, grapes, cocoanut, and others.11 Kottaka was a drying place for fruits; people used to gather fruits from jungles and store them at this place; they carried them in waggons, bundles etc. to cities for sale. Among the miscellaneous products of this period mention may be made of saffron (kumkuma), camphor (kappūra), lac, sandal,12 honey (mahu), and others.

For protection of the standing crops from animals and birds, various steps were taken by the farmers. They dug pitfalls around the fields, fixed stakes, set stonetraps, and planted snares.¹³ They also guarded the fields by fences and

- 1. Uva. Bhā, 10. 484.
- 2. Uva. I, p. 11; Jambū. Tī, 3. p. 193a.
- ?. Tandula Ţī, p. 2.
- 4. $N\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, 17, p. 203.
- 5. Uttarā, Tī, p. 103,
- 6. Brih, Bhā, 1. 2886.
- 7. Uva, I, p. 9.
- 8. Panna, I. 23. 36.
- 9. Ibid. 1. 23. 18-9, 26 ff. 37-8, 43 fl. Uttarā, 36. 96 ii.
- 10. Panna, 1.23, 23-5.
- 11. Ibid. 1, 23, 12-7; Āchā, II, 1. 8. 266.
- 12. Novā, I, pp. 3, 10.
- 13. Jā. I. 143.

placards.¹ Wealthy cultivators kept watchmen who guarded their fields day and night.²

Crops were also damaged by natural calamities like drought and flood.³ Their references are found in many a literary work. Famines are known to have broken out in the countries of Uttarāpatha⁴, Kosala,⁵ and Dakkhiṇāvaha.⁶ Pāṭa-līputra,⁷ Kaāchanapura,⁸ and Śrāvastī suffered considerable loss due to floods.

Arrangements were made for irrigating the fields by drawing water from tanks and wells, the remains of which have been discovered in the archaeological excavations conducted at Ujjain, Vaiśālī, etc. There were engineers who constructed canals for watering the fields. The Śākyas and the Koliyas had made a dam on the river Rohinī, an example which might have been followed by others elsewhere too.

Agriculture depended upon cattle comprising cows, buffaloes, goats, sheep, asses, camels, pigs, and dogs. The possession of these animals meant a sort of wealth for the people. In fact, cattle-rearing was one of their main occupations. The pasture grounds were known as gochara. During the day time, cattle were taken out to the grazing-land by the cowherds (Gopālakas) and returned by the evening to the people.

There were large cow-pens (gomaṇḍava) where the herds of cows, bulls, and calves were kept. Cattle-lifters ($k\bar{u}dagg\bar{a}ha$) often went to the cow-pen and robbed the cattle at night.¹¹

- 1. Jā, I. 153; IV. 262-3.
- 2. Ibid, II. 110; III. 52; IV. 277.
- 3. Angu, III. 104; Jā, II. 135, 149, 367; V. 401; VI. 487.
- 4. Āva. chū, p. 396.
- 5. Vya. Bhā, 10. 557-60.
- 6. Āva. Chū, p. 404.
- 7. Kalyan Vijaya, Vīra Nīrvāņa, p. 42 ff.
- 8. 10.450.
- 9. Dhp, 80; Theraga, 19, 877.
- 10. Kuṇāla Jā, (No. 536).
- 11. Viva, 2, P. 14 f.

Quarrels among cowherds are frequently referred to. Cows were often attacked and devoured by lions and tigers in the jungle.¹

Dairy farming was in an advanced state and the supply of milk, and its four products (gorasa), viz., curds, buttermilk, butter, and ghee, were abundant. People, therefore, could get highly nutritious food. References to the milk of cow, buffalo, camel, goat, and sheep are often met with.² Khiraghara was known as a place where milk products were available in plenty.³ Bullocks were used for pulling the plough. The cattle were also utilized for the production of hide, bones, ivory nails, and hair.⁴ Slaughter-houses were known. A slaughter-house where five hundred buffaloes were slaughtered every day has been mentioned.⁵

Flocks of sheep and goats were confined in an enclosure (vāḍaga).⁶ They were utilized for woolproduction. Brooms (rayaharaṇa) and blankets were made of sheep wool.⁷ People used to kill sheep and eat their flesh seasoned with salt, oil, and pepper.⁸ Mention is made of a young ram which was fed on rice and grass till it was fattened and killed on the arrival of the guest.⁹ Veterinary science also flourished.¹⁰

FOREST TRACTS

Near the village and town, forest tracts were located. A forest (adavī) of eighteen yojanas is said to have existed near Rāyagiha. Many species of trees covered with fruits and flowers have also been mentioned: nimba, āmra, jambu, šāla, ankola, bakula, palāśa, putranjana, bibhitaka, šinisapā, śriparņi, ašoka tiņduka kapittha, mātulinga, bilva, āmalaga, phaņasa, dādima,

- 1. *Āva. chū*, p. 44.
- 2. Ibid II, p. 319.
- 3. Nišī. Chū, 9. p. 511,
- 4. Pinda, 50.
- 5. Āva. chū, II. p. 169.
- 6. Væā, 4, p. 30.
- 7. Brih, 2, 25; Bhē, 3, 3914.
- 8. Satra, II, 6. 37.
- 9. Uttere, 7. 1 ff-
- 10. Niši, chū, 19. p. 1244.
- 11. Uttarā, Ţi, 8. p. 125.

asvattha, udumbara, vaṭa, nandi, tilaka, sirīsha, saptaparṇa, lodhra, chandana, arjuna, tālatamāla, and others.¹

The trees provided people with wood for the supply of firewood and litter. Various kinds of bamboos, creepers, grass, medicinal herbs, and roots were found in plenty. Vanakamma is mentioned as the occupation of those who dealt in wood, an occupation which included the felling of trees. Ingālakamma was another profession the followers of which prepared charcoal from firewood. There were woodgatherers (Katthahāraga), leaf-gatherers (pattahāraga and grass-cutters (taṇahāraga) whom we meet frequently roaming about in the forest.

The forests also yielded other valuable animal products such as hides, skins, sinews, bones, teeth, horns, hoofs, and tails of such creatures as the leopard, tiger, lion, elephant, buffalo, yak, crocodile, tortoise, snake, and birds.

ARTS, CRAFTS, PROFESSIONS AND INDUSTRIES

Arts and crafts made considerable progress during this period. The earlier industries continued to make progress, but there was a tendency towards specialization in different branches of the same industry. With the growth and development of urban life, some new arts and crafts also began to meet the needs. With the establishment of big kingdoms, military needs also increased. Wide use of metal further increased the efficiency of several industries.

TEXTILES

Next to agriculture, spinning and weaving should be regarded as most important. References to the weaver (tantuvāya)⁴, the loom (tanta)⁵, weaving appliances (tantabhaṇḍa),⁶ and weaving sheds⁷ (tantuvāyaśālā) in literary works suggest that weaving was fairly common in society. There were various textile fabrics such as linen (Khomam), cotton (Kappāsi-

- 1. Panna, 1. 23. I2 ff. 35. f. Rāya, 3, p. 12. Thā, 10., 736.
- 2. Uvā, 1, p. 11; Vya. Bhā, 3. 89; Āchā, II. 2. 303.
- 3. SBE, XIII. 28; Dīgha, I. 51; Jā, IV. 475, Paṇṇa, I. 37.
- 4. Jā, I. 356.
- 5. Vinaya II. 135.
- 6. Āva. chū, p. 282.
- 7. Ibid.

kam) silk (kosseyam), wool (Kambalam), and hemp (sāṇam) out of which threads were spun and woven into cloth of various varieties and qualities.¹

There are references to what is called the Kāśī clothes which are said to be very fine.² It is likely that other cities such are Śrāvastī, Kauśāmbī, Rājagriha, Champā, Vaiśālī, Kusinārā, and Mithilā manufactured plenty of textile goods of high quality both for domestic as well as foreign market.

Textile goods manufactured in those cities were of numerous varieties. Various kinds of garments, blankets, and curtains were among the finished goods. Costly and dainty fabrics of silk and gur are said to have been worked out into rugs, blankets, cushions, coverlets, and carpets.³ The Jātakas tell us that embroidered clothes were also manufactured. Kings put on turbans worked with gold.⁴ State elephants were adorned with golden clothes.⁵ Various kinds of garments, blankets and curtains were among the finished goods of the period.

Then there was also the washing and dyeing industry. Washermen formed one of the eighteen corporations and soda (Sajjiyākhāra) was one of their washing materials. Mention must also be made of the existence of laundries (rayagasālā). Clothes such as towels were dyed in saffron. Clothes dyed in red colour (kāsāi) were worn in hot weather.

CARPENTRY

Carpentry, which was one of the important occupations during this period, made great strides. Prior to the development of stone-architecture, wooden architecture was common. Carpenters were employed for building houses, palaces, halls and staircases. Next to house-building, they built ships, boats, vehicles of all sorts, carts and chariots of different kinds, and

- 1. Mv. VIII. 2. 1; Peta, II. 1. 17; India as known to Pāṇini, pp. 125-26.
- 2. GS, I. 128; 225-26; Angu, III. 50; Ja, III. 11; VI, 49, 50, 144.
- 3. Jā, I. 149; 11. 274; 111. 184; VI. 280.
- 4. Ibid, V. 322,
- 5. Ibid, IV. 404; V. 258.
- 6. Vya. Bh3, 10: 484.
- 7. Nāyā. 1, p. 7.
- 8. Bith. Bha, Pi, 613.

various machines. They made furniture for houses, such as seats, chairs, bed-steads, pegs, boxes, and toys. Wooden Sandals (pādalehaṇiyā) were made by clever artists from the wood of various trees, were set with vaidurya and excellent rishṭa and añjana (granite) and then ornamented with glittering and precious stones. Axe, hatchet, and other implements were known as the tools of a carpenter.

HOUSE BUILDING

With the rise of cities and towns, the house-building activity greatly increased. For building a house the services of different artists were required. Among them, the architect was the foremost and indispensable. He was skilled in divining good sites⁴ and was well grounded in the science of constructing houses. Masons who worked with bricks (Iṭṭhakavaḍḍhaki)⁵ and clod-hoppers (Gahapatisippakāra)⁶ were also required for the construction of buildings. Apart from wooden structures, houses were built of bricks and mud. Probably such houses were of durable nature. Most probably houses of bricks and mud had wooden ceilings and roofs.

In the $\mathcal{J}\bar{a}takas$, the stone-cutter $(P\bar{a}sh\bar{a}nakottaka)^7$ also figures as taking part in house-building. There is no direct evidence of stone architecture prior to the Mauryan age and the $\mathcal{J}\bar{a}takas$ in this respect may be regarded as referring to the Mauryan and post-Mauryan periods. Stone was used for laying the foundations of buildings in the pre-Mauryan age, but whether it was cut into specific sizes is doubtful.

The Jātaka description of the construction and decoration of a play-hall⁸ suggests that the practice of decorating the walls of buildings with various paintings was in vogue. It was the painter Chittakāra who gave the finishing touch to the work of the architect, the carpenter, and the stonecutter. He pro-

- 1. Bṛih. Bhā, 3, 4097.
- 2. Kalpa, 1. 44.
- 3. Uttarā, 19. 66.
- 4. Jā, II. 297-98.
- 5. Ibid, VI. 333.
- 6. 1bid, 438.
- 7. Ibid, I. 478.
- S. Ibid, VI. 332-33.

bably painted frescoes on the clay and wooden walls after they were plastered.

MINING

Mining was an important industry at this time. The principal kinds of ores obtained from the mines were iron, copper, tin, lead, silver, gold and diamond. Iron and other metals were obtained by fusion. Besides metals, there were also several substances, such as salt (lona), Soda (ūsa), yellow orpiment (hariyāla), vermilion (hingulaya), arsenic (maṇasila), mercury (sāsaga) and antimony (Anjana).

SMITHY

The economy of this period is marked by the wide-spread use of iron. The blacksmith (Kammāra) occupied an important position among the artisans. In literary works, we find mention of smith-shops (Kammārasālā: aggikamma).⁴ Iron furnaces (ayakoṭṭha) are referred to and it is said that they were filled with ore, and a man handled it with tongs (sanḍasī), then it was taken out and put on the anvil (ahikaraṇi).⁵ The existence of the villages of blacksmiths consisting of a thousand families suggests that this craft was in a flourishing condition.⁶

The discovery of different types of iron objects from the post-chalcolithic and pre-N.P. levels in the excavations at Ujjain, Nagda, Eran etc. confirms the wide popularity of iron to which the literary works of this period testify. Ujjain has yielded evidence of the existence of a kind of furnace meant for melting iron. Both from the literary and archaeological evidences, it is clear that iron was used for several purposes. Tools and implements of warfare, such as daggers, knives, swords, arrow-heads, spear-heads, spikes and caltrops, were manufactured. Looking at the military needs of the time, production of war material must have been a large-scale industry that absorbed a number of blacksmiths. Articles

- 1. Nišī, 5, p. 412; Panna, 1. I5; Thá, 4. 349.
- 2. Brih. Bhū, 1, 1090.
- 3. Uttară, 36, 74; Sūtra, II. 3, 61; Panna, 1, 15.
- 4. Vya. Bhī, 10, 484.
- 5. Bhag, 16. 1.
- 6. Ja, III. 281.
- 7. IP, p. 197.

of domestic use comprised blades, hooks, nails, chisels, drills, axes, lamps, ladles, bowls and rings. Iron had also penetrated into the sphere of agriculture in the form of hoes, choppers, hooks and sickles. The limitless potentialities of this new metal led to the quickening and expansion of agriculture, the utilization of forest wealth and the exploitation of mineral resources. It resulted in a surplus of wealth and prosperity. In the wake of the popularity of iron, use of copper became restricted. It was now used in the production of punchmarked and cast coins and also for manufacturing antimony rods, toys, rings, and beads.

INDUSTRY OF PRECIOUS METALS

Because of great general prosperity, the industry of precious metals made its mark. The gold-smiths (suvarṇakāras) and maṇikāras had a flourishing trade. Kumāranandi is mentioned as a rich goldsmith of Champā.¹ Musiyadāraya was another goldsmith (Kalāya) of Teyalipura.² Gold was first collected in the form of an ore metal; it was then refined and afterwards used for making ornaments. Both men and women were fond of wearing ornaments. The Buddhist, Jaina and Brahmanical sources reveal that several types of ornaments for different parts of the body were made out of such metals as gold and silver. We find allusions to Patṭikā, muddikā (ring), vallikā or kuṇḍala (ear-ring), Keyūra or Graiveyaka (necklace), Suvarṇamālā or Kānchanamālā (golden chain), Pāmaṅga (ear-drop), ovattikā (bangles) Hattharana (bracelet), mekhalā (waist-band), etc.³!

Not only men and women but even elephants and horses were adorned with ornaments. The elephant wore neck-ornaments made of various gems and jewels and an upper garment. The horses were adorned with small mirrors (thāsaga) on their waists and chowries. Mayūrāngachū-

^{1.} Āva. chū, p. 397.

^{2.} Nāyā. 14.

Chv, V. 2. 1; Majjh, III. 243; GS. I. 232, 236; Angu, III. 16; Jā. I. 134, II. 122, 273, III. 153, 377; IV. 60, 493; V. 202. 215, 259, 297, 400, 438; VI. 144-45, 217, etc. Āchā, II. 2, 1, 11 (SBE, XXII, pp. 123-24); India as known to Pāṇini.

^{4,} Vtcā, 2, p. 13.

likās are referred to as ornaments of cows. The Samvutta-Nikāva² and the 7ātakas³ inform us that elephants, horses, chariots, etc., were decorated with golden ornaments (Sovannālaknāra), golden banners (Suvannadhaja), golden network (Hemajālapatichihhādana) and the like.

The kings and nobles used golden bowls in which they ate and drank. The chair, bed-steads, thrones, and royal cars used by kings were inlaid with gold.⁴ Golden vases (bhingāra) were not unknown. Silver (rajata) was used frequently for preparing household utensils.5

PEARLS, GEMS AND PRECIOUS STONES

In Jaina literature, we find references to many precious stones, jewels, pearls, conches, corals, rubies,6 (zircon), ruchaka, anka, sphaţika (quartz), lohitāksha, marakata (emerald), masāragalla, bhujagamochaka (serpentine), indranīla (sapphire), hamsagarbha (a variety of rock-crystal), pulaka, saugandhika, (a ruby), chandraprabha, vaidūrya (cat's eye), jalakānta or chandrakānta (moon-stone) and Sūryakānta? (sun-stone). Buddhist literature refers to muktā (pearls), maņi (crystal), beluriya (beryl), bhaddaka (luck-stone), sankha, silā, bavāla (coral), lohitaika (ruby), and masāragala which were obtained from the ocean.8 Most of the jems and precious stones mentioned above were used for making ornaments9 and inlaid work. The art of skilfully cutting precious stones and giving them various shapes was known. Nanda is mentioned as a rich jeweller of Rāyagiha.10 Bhandāgāra was known as a treasure-house where no less than sixteen kinds of jewels were preserved.11 We also hear of ten expert stringers (muttis).12

```
Vyā, 2. p. 13.
```

Jā, III. 145. 2.

Ibid, 11, 48, 143, IV 404; V. 258-59; VI. 39; 487-8, 510.
 Nēyā Ti I. p. 429.

Ibid. 5.

Kalpa, 4, 89. Uttarā, 36, 75 f. 6.

^{7,}

Angu, IV, 255, 258, 262; Angu, IV, 199, 203; Udēna, V. 5. We come across manilundala (Jā, III, 153; IV, 422; VI, 238) Maninal ara (Jā, III, 377), Manipatimē (Ja, IV, J).

^{10.} Nārā, 13, p. 141.

^{11.} Nill, chi 9. p. 511.

[.]drs. Ti, 947, p. 426a. 12.

IVORY WORK

Ivory work (dantavānījja) was also a well-known industry, and ivory workers are mentioned among important artisans (silpa-ārya). Some of the cities having separate quarters for the ivory-workers became the centres of this industry. These ivory-workers made bangles, trinkets, and articles of diverse forms. Costly carvings, ornaments, handles for mirrors, and inlaying of royal chariots were made by them. An instrument resembling a saw (kakacha or kharakakacha) is known to have been used for shaping the ivory pieces. Ivory was obtained from the forests, either from dead elephants or from the living ones. This industry had probably given rise to a class of people whose occupation was to collect elephant tusks from the forests.

GARLAND-MAKING AND PERFUMERY

Garland-making and perfumery were practised because flowers were grown in large quantities. The garland-makers made beautiful garlands and bouquets.⁵ Apart from being objects of daily consumption, these garlands were in special demand on the occasion of marriages and festivals. There was a garland-maker named Ajjunaya who had a flower garden (pupphārāma) in Rāyagiha where flowers of different shades and colours were grown.⁶

The perfumer (gandhaka) used to manufacture several kinds of perfumes from various materials. His shop, known as gandhiyasālā, was also common in those times. The Mahāvagga refers to sandalwood, Tagara, black Anusāri, Kāliya, and Bhadramuktaka which were used for perfuming ointments. The Nikāyas refer to scents produced from roots (Mūlagandha), sāra, flowers (Papphagandha), Phegu, Tacha, Papaṭi, fruits (phalagandha), leaves (pattagandha), and juice (rasagandha).

- 1. Jā, II. 197
- 2. Ibid, V. 302; VI. 223.
- 3. Ibid, I. 321, VI. 261.
- 4. Ibid, I, 320-21; II. 197. V. 45, 49.
- 5. Nāyā 8. p. 95.
- 6. Anta, 3. p. 31 f.
- 7. Vya. Bhā, 9. 23.
- S. Mr, VI. 11. 2.
- 9. Majjh, III. 6-7; Sam, III. 156, 251-2; GS I. 205-6.

Among flowers from which perfumes were produced were Vassika, Mallikā, lotus and Piyangu, Agara, Tagara, and other flowers were also used for perfuming.

POTTERY

Literary as well as archaeological sources reveal that pottery was in a flourishing condition. The most important ware of this period is North Black Polished Ware. Smoothness and lustre are the characteristics of this pottery. It originated in the Indo-gangetic plains where much has been found in the excavations.

The Jātakas tell us that there existed potters' villages where various types of bowls, jars, and vessels of all types were made.² Like weavers and blacksmiths, the potters also found favour with the Jaina Sramanas who frequently took shelter in their shops. Saddalaputta is mentioned as a wellknown potter of Polasapura who owned five hundred shops outside the city, shops where a number of servants were employed.3 Hālāhalā was another rich potter woman of Śrāvastī in whose shop Gośāla stayed.4

The usual way of making wares was this: lumps of clay (mattiva) were kneaded with water and mixed with ashes (chāra) and dung (karisa); the mixture was placed on a wheel (chakka) which was rotated in order to mould the mixture into various vessels. The wet vessels were then dried and baked. Besides these vessels, various types of toys were also produced.5 This is also confirmed from the finds of terracotta figures of various objects from the archaeological excavations at several sites.

DYEING

From the Jaina and Buddhist sources, it is known that dycing was the profession of Rajaka, both washerman and dyer, who dyed clothes after properly washing them. Vinayapitaka informs us that dyed clothes—blue, light yellow, crimson, brown, black, brownish yellow and dark yellow-were prohibited for the monks.6 This suggests that clothes of these

- Mejjh. III. 6; Sam, III. 156; Dhp. 54; Jā. VI. 336.
 Jā. III. 368, 376, 385, 508; V. 291.
 Uvā. Ed. by H. F. HOERNLE, p. 119.
 Bhag, XV, 539, vol. 658.
 Jā. VI. 6, 12.
 Mr, VIII. 29. 1.

colours were used by the laity. The Jātakas mention garments, rugs, and curtains as dyed scarlet, orange, yellow, and red,1 and umbrellas as red.2 They also mention various colours such as white (seta), dark-blue (Nila), brown (Pingala), yellow (Halidda), golden (suvanna), silvery (rajatamaya), red (Ratta Indagopa), black (kāli), madder-like (Mañjettha),3 etc. It can be presumed that these colours were utilised for dyeing clothes.

The practice of dyeing clothes presupposes the existence of the industry of dye-making. Dyes were prepared from roots, trunks and barks of trees, leaves, flowers, and fruits.4 Dyes were first boiled in order to give a fast colour to clothes.⁵ Apart from dyeing clothes, dyes were needed for ladies who often painted their hands and feet.6

GUMS, DRUGS AND CHEMICALS

There were small industries of gums, drugs, and chemicals, all in a flourishing condition. The Mahāvagga mentions seven kinds of gums-Hingu, Hingulaka, Sipātika, Taka, Takapatti, Takapanyi, and Sajjulasa.7 Drugs and chemicals were made of various roots,8 leaves,9 and fruits.10 For the mineral industry, acids were also produced.

HUNTERS, FISHERMEN AND FOWLERS

From Jaina and Buddhist literatures it is known that people earned their livelihood as sheep-butchers, pork-butchers, fowlers, hunters, and fishermen. This indicates that eating flesh was common in those days. This is further confirmed by the discovery of a large number of bones from different archaeological sites.

Hunting (miyavaha) is referred to in the Bhagavati;11 there were regular hunters (migaluddhaya) whose occupation was to

Jā, IV. 258; V. 211.
 Ibid, VI. 218.
 Ibid, VI. 279.
 Mv, VIII. 10. 1.
 Ibid. VIII. 10. 2.
 Jā, III. 183; VI. 218.

^{7.} Mv VI. 7. 8. Ibid. VI. 3. 1. 9. Ibid, VI. 5. 1. 10. Ibtd, VI. 6. 1. 11. Bhag, I. 8.

33

P. Carrie

:_"

٠

capture or kill the animals and earn their living by selling them. Hunting with hounds is also mentioned. Such hunters were called soniya (śaunika), others who captured animals with the help of snares were known as Vāgurika. Hunters were differentiated according to the animals or birds they used to catch or kill.

The fowlers (sauniya) are noticed with bow and arrow aiming at partridges, ducks, quails, pigeons, monkeys, and francoline partridges (kapiñjala). Birds were caught with hawks (vidamsiya), trapped in nets (jāla), and captured with the help of bird-lime (leppa).

Fishermen known as $Machchhagh\bar{a}takas$ and Kevatas caught fish with hooks $(j\bar{a}la)$ and in bow-net $(maggaraj\bar{a}la)$ and then cleaned and killed.⁶ There were colonies⁷ of fishermen who caught fish from rivers and sold them in the market.

LEATHER WORK

Leather industry seems to have been in a fairly advanced condition. The cobbler, known as Chammakāra or Padakāra, manufactured various types of leather goods, but shoemaking was his most important occupation. Shoes were made with skins of lion, tiger, panther, otter, cat, squirrel, and owl.⁸ Shoes and slippers could be blue, yellow, red, brown, black, orange, or yellowish.⁹ Sometimes they were set with gold¹⁰ and wrought with various threads.¹¹ Besides shoes, the cobbler also made leather socks, ¹² shields of hundred layers, ¹³ and leather

- 1. Sūtra, II. 2. 31.
- 2. Brih. Bhã, 1. 2766; Vya. Bhã, 3. p. 209.
- 3. Jā, III. 61; Jā, II. 153. Jā, Nos. 33, 533; Jā, I. 208.
- 4. Satra, II 2 31f.
- 5. Uttarā, 19. 65.
- 6. Ibid, 19.64.
- 7. Jã 1. 234
- 8. Mr, V. 2, 4.
- 9. Ibid V. 2. 1-2.
- 10. Ja, IV. 379; VJ. 370.
- 11. Ibid, VI, 218.
- 12. Ibid, V. 45.
- 13. Ibid, VI. 454.

parachutes. He also seems to have been making ropes, sheaths and traps:2

LIQUOR DISTILLING

The profession of a rasavānijja or of a dealer in wine is also mentioned. The Jaina literature refers to the following varieties of wine: chandraprabhā, manisilākā, varasīdhu, varavārunī, āsava, madhu, meraka, rishṭābhā or jambuphalakalikā, dugdhajāti, prasannā, tallaka (variant nellaka or mellaga), sutāu, kharjūrasāra, mridvikāsāra, kāpišāyana, supakva and ikshurasa.³ Most of these wines were named after their colour and prepared from various fruits, flowers, and grains. Drinking wine seems to have been common in those days, and there were also wine-shops in the market.

TRADE AND COMMERCE

Trade and commerce prospered greatly during this period owing mainly to plentiful production. Numerous crafts and industries sprang up for preparing manufactured goods. Facilities for transport and communication led to their proper distribution and utilisation. The beginning of coinage provided facilities for the exchange of goods.

In every village and town, there were markets with several shops (āpaṇa). Arrows and carriages and other articles for sale were displayed in the āpaṇa⁴ or fixed shop or, it might be, stored within the antarāpaṇa.⁵ Textile fabrics,⁶ groceries and oil,⁷ green groceries,⁸ grain.⁹ perfumes and flowers,¹⁰ articles of gold and jewellery¹¹ were among the items sold in the bazars. For the sale of liquors, there were taverns (pānāgāraāpaṇa)¹². Trade in strong drinks, poisons, flesh,

- 1, $\Im \bar{a}$, V. 45,
- 2. Ibid, 1. 175, II. 153, III. 116; IV. 172, V. 47, 106, 375; V. 1, 51.
- 3. JLAIDJC, p. 125.
- 4. Jā, II. 267; IV, 458; VI, 29; Vin. IV. 248.
- 5. Ibid, I, 55, 350; III. 406.
- 6. Vin. IV. 250 f.
- 7. Ibid, IV. 148-9.
- 8. Jā. I. 411.
- 9. Ibid, II, 267.
- 10. Ibid, I. 290 f; IV, 82; VI; VI, 336; Vin. Texts. III, 343.
- 11. Jā, IV, 228.
- 12. Ibid, I, 251 f; 268 f; IV. 328.

daggers, and slaves was disapproved for those who cared for morals.¹ The prices of goods were settled between the producer and merchant by haggling, competition, and custom.² There were hawkers³ who earned their livelihood by retail trading. Local products were consumed in the villages and towns and the surplus, if any, was despatched to trade-centres in different parts of the country.

TRADE AND INDUSTRIAL CENTRES

Many trade and industrial centres of this period are known. Champā was an important industrial centre in those days. The Nāyādhammakahā⁴ describes the sea-faring merchants of Champā, who loaded their waggons with various goods and proceeded to deep sea-harbours. Jiṇapāliya, Jiṇarakkhiya,⁵ Pālita,⁶ and Dhana⁷ were famous merchants who dealt both in inland and foreign trade. Rājagṛha, Vaiśālī, and Banaras were rich, happy and thriving commercial centres where wealthy merchants resided.

Ujjenī was another great centre of trade. During the reign of king Pradyota, nine great stores or emporiums are mentioned in Ujjenī, where all sorts of goods, including diamonds, were available. Dhanavasu, a merchant of this place who left for Champā with a caravan, was attacked by robbers. Ayala of this place loaded his boats with goods and journeyed to Pārasaula; he earned plenty of wealth there and anchored at Bennāyada. 10

Mathurā was another business centre. People lived here on trade, and there was, curiously enough, no cultivation of land in this town.¹¹ The merchants from Mathura used to go

- 1. Angu, III, 208.
- 2. Jā, III, 282 f.
- 3. Ibid, I, 111 f. 205, II. 424; III, 21. 282 f.
- 4. Nārā, 8, p. 97 ff.
- 5. Ibid, 9, p. 121 f.
- 6. Uttarā, 21. 2.
- 7. Něvě, 15. p. 159.
- 8. Bith. BhJ. 3, 4220 f.
- 9. Ara. Nir. 1270 f.
- 10. Uttern. Ti, 3, p. 64.
- 11. Bith. Vt, 1, 1229.

to the south on business.1 Sopāraya is described as another emporium of trade, a centre which was inhabited by five hundred tradesmen.² Then there was Surattha³ which was joined with Pandu Mahura by sea.4 We hear of horse merchants arriving in Bāravai for trade. 5 Vasantapura was another emporium whence traders used to journey to Champa.6 We hear of a merchant going from Khilpatthiya to Vasantapura.7 Hattisisa was a commercial centre where a number of merchants resided. From here they journeyed to Kāliyadīva where there were rich mines of gold, jewels, and diamonds and which was also noted for horses.8 A merchant named Pālita of Champā went on business to the town of Pihunda or Pithunda a sea-coast town.9

COMMODITIES OF INLAND TRADE

With regard to inland trade, all we know is that there were several commodities that were exchanged. The sea-faring merchants of Champa loaded their carts with four kinds of goods, viz., that which could be counted (ganima) such as betelnuts etc., balanced (dharima) such as sugar etc., measured (meya) such as ghee, rice, etc., and scrutinized (parichchhejja) such as cloth, jewel, etc.¹⁰ Gold and ivory were carried from Uttarāpatha to Dakshināpatha for sale. Cloth seems to be an important exchangeable commodity. Mathurā and Vidiśā are mentioned as textile centres.11 The country of Ganda was

- 1. Ava. chū. 472.
- 2. Brih. Bhā. 1. 2506.
- 3. Das. chū, p. 40.
- 4. Ava. chū, II, 197.
- Ibid, p. 553. 5.
- 6. Ava. chū, II, p. 531.
- 7. Ava. Ti, (Hari.), p. 114a.
- Nāyā. 11, p. 201 f. 8.
- Uttarā XXI. See Indian Culture, XIII, p. 20. Ω.

Pithunda is identified with Khāravela inscription's Pithuda and Ptolemy's Pitundrai, Levi locates Pitundra in the interior of Maisolia between the mouths of the two rivers, Maisolos and Manadas, i.e., between the delta of the Godavari and Mahanadi nearly at an equal distance from both. It would therefore be convenient to search for its location in the interior of Chikakole and Kalingapatam towards the course of the river Nagavati which also bears the name of Languliya.

¹⁰ Nāyā, S, p. 98 1]. Āta. Tī, (Hari.), p. 307.

famous for silken garments.¹ Textile fabrics coming from the east to the country of Lāṭa were sold at a higher price.² Tāmalitti,³ Malaya,⁴ Kāka,⁵ Tosali,⁶ Sindhu,⁷ and Dakshiṇāpatha⁸ were famous for various kinds of textile materials. Nepal was noted for fluffy blankets.⁹ Woollen blankets were sold at a high price in Mahārāshṭra.¹⁰

The Nāyādhammakahā refers to various kinds of textile fabrics which were loaded in waggons and carried for sale.¹¹ There was another important commodity that was exchanged in those days. Kāliyapīva was known for beautiful horses, and it had mines of silver, gold, jewels, and diamonds.¹² Another name celebrated for horses¹³ is Kamboja. Uttarāpatha was famous for thorough-bred horses.¹⁴ Dīlavāliyā was noted for mules.¹⁵ Puṇḍra was known for black cows,¹⁶ Bheraṇḍa for sugarcane,¹⁷ and Mahāhimavanta for gosīsa sandal.

TRADE ROUTES AND TRANSPORT

There was a network of routes not only connecting the important cities and towns through roads and water-ways within the country but also leading to foreign lands. Rāja-gṛha, Vaiśālī, Śrāvastī, Vārāṇasī, and Champā were the important towns of Eastern India. From the account of the journeys made by Mahāvīra and the Buddha, we know about the routes connecting these towns. These cities had trade

- 1. Āchā, Ţī. II, 5, p. 361a.
- 2. Brih. Bhā. Vr. 3, 3884.
- 3. Uvā, 7. 32.
- 4. Anu, 37, p. 30.
- 5. Nišī. Chū, 7, p. 461.
- 6 Ibid.
- 7. Achā. Chū, p. 364; Achā. Ti. II, 1, p. 361a.
- 8 Achā. Chū; 363.
- 9. Brih; V1. 3. 3824.
- 10. Ibid, 3, 3914.
- 11. Nāyā. 17, p. 203.
- 12. Ibid. p. 202 ff.
- 13. Uttarā 4, 11. 16.
- 14. Das. chū, 6. p. 213.
- 15. Tandula Ti, p. 269,
- 16. Jivā, 3. p. 355.
- 17. Utterā, Tī, 18, 2529.

transactions through land routes with distant lands like Gandhara, Kamboja, Sind and Kashmir. Not only merchants but also warriors and saints traversed these routes.

There were several minor routes in Eastern India connecting towns and cities. As Rāagṛha was the capital of Magadha at this time, it was connected with Kapilavastu, Śrāvastī, Mithilā, Champā, and Kalinga by separate routes as is apparent from Buddhist literature. From the itinerary of Mahāvīra also, it seems that Rājagṛha was linked separately with Kuṇḍagrāma, Ālabhiyā, Śrāvastī, Vaiśālī, and Champā.¹ Besides, there were three distant routes which started from Rājagṛha to far off places.

RĀJGŖHA-PUSHKALĀVATĪ ROUTE OR THE UTTARĀ-PATHA

This route connected Rājagṛha with Takshaśilā and Pushkalāvatī which were great trade centres of North-west India. Takshaśilā became an international trade centre because it is through this place that India established trade relations with the West. This route has been referred to as Uttarāpatha by Pāṇini² and was known to the Greeks as 'Northern Route'. It seems to have passed through Pāṭaliputra, Vārāṇasī, Kauśāmbī, Mathurā, Indraprastha, and Sākala. From Pushkalāvatī, it probably branched off to Kashmir to the North-East and to Bactria to the North-West. It is only by this route that students from various parts of Eastern India, such as Rājagṛiha,³ Vaiśālī, Mithila,⁴ and Vārāṇasī, used to go to Takshaśilā, the famous seat of learning.

RĀJAGRIHA-PRATISHŢHĀNA ROUTE

According to Pāṇini, this route was known as Kāntāra-patha⁵ because it passed through a forest region. It is the same as the above route (Kājagriha-Pushkalāvatī) upto Kauśāmbī, from where it passed through Vansahvaya, Vedisa, Gonaddha, Ujjeni, Mahissati, and then Patiṭṭhāna.⁶ Caravans going to

- 1. Based on the authority of the Kalpa.
- 2. Pā. V. 1. 77.
- 3. Darimukha Ja, No. 378; Nigrodha Ja, No. 445.
- 4. Suruchi Ja, No. 489; Vinilaka Ja. No. 160.
- 5. AGRAWALA: India as known to Pāṇini, p. 242.
- 6. Su. Ni. V 1. 36.

Bharukachchha passed through this route up to Māhishmatī from where they had to branch off to Bharukachchha.

RĀJAGŖIHA-SINDHU REGION ROUTE

This route led westward to Sind, the home of horses and asses, and to Sauvīra and its ports, with its capital called Roruka. Up to Mathurā, this western route was the same as the Rājagṛha-Pushkalāvatī route, but there it branched off to the Sindhu region. It passed through the desert of Rajasthan.

MEANS OF CONVEYANCE

For inland trade through roads, the chief modes of conveyance were carts drawn by oxen, horse carriages, litters and sedan chairs. The merchant Ananda had five hundred carts for distant traffic and the same number for local use. While the rich rode on elephants, the ordinary people employed camels, horses, and asses.

THE CARAVANS

Keeping in view the difficulties met with by the traveller on these land routes, merchants used to travel in a caravan. After loading their carts and animals with goods, these merchants started their long journey with their captain called Satthavāha, who gave them directions regarding halts, inns, routes, fords, and danger-spots. The Jātakas¹ inform us that the caravan had to face five major difficulties and dangers—robbers, wild beasts, draught, demons, and famine. The Sattavāhas had to have ample and proper equipment for a safe journey. The journey through the desert was hard as well as interesting. The guide, who led the caravan through the desert, was known as the Thalaniyāmaka² (desert pilot). Equipped with his knowledge of the stars, he led the caravan in the right direction. The Āvasyakachārņi³ gives an account of how a caravan suffered in passing through the desert due to scarcity

^{1. 37} I. 99.

^{2.} Ib'd. 107.

^{3.} Āva, Chū, 573; H. 34.

of water. The Vinaya texts also refer to caravan going from Rājgariha to the west.1

RIVER TRANSPORT

Besides land routes, there were also river routes. The great rivers, such as Gangā, Yamunā, Sarayū, Śoṇa, Ganḍakī, Kosi, and others, served the purpose of communication and transport. The famous riverports Champā, Pāṭalīputra, Vārāṇasī, and Kośāmbī were connected by waterways. These waterports were connected with trade centres on the land. Sometimes, the river routes were more convenient and less costly, and safer and quicker than roads. Because of these river routes, there must have been brisk inland trade. Ships, big boats, and small sailing boats were used for the purpose of navigation. Sometimes heavy objects such as pillars and logs were transported from one place to another.

OVERSEA TRADE

From the literary sources of this period, it is clear that Indians were carrying on brisk oversea trade. The Theragāthā speaks of merchants sailing on sea with the hope of earning wealth.² The Jātaka stories tell us of several shipwrecks,³ specious ships⁴ ship-building activities,⁵ and of seafarers sailing to different countries for trade. The Nāyādhmmakahā gives a beautiful description of a sea voyage. Arhannaga and other merchants of Champā loaded their boats with merchandise and proceeded on their journey. There is a description of a shipwreck caused by a terrible cyclone.⁶ The Baudhāyana-Dharma-sūtra⁷ shows that navigation was peculiar to Brāhmaṇas of the North. The mention of various terms associated with navigation leaves no doubt about the practice of travelling far and wide during this period.⁸

- 1. Sutta-Vibhanga, SBE, XIII, 15.
- 2. Theraga, 530.
- 3. Jā, II. 111, 127-29; V. 75; Jā, No. 196.
- 4. Ibid, Nos. 446, 539.
- 5. Ibid, IV, 159; VI. 427.
- 6. Nāyā, 1. 8 p. 97 ff; 17, p. 201.
- 7. Bau. Dh. S. I. 1. 20.
- 8. Agarawala: India as known to Pāṇini, pp. 155- 156.

The Jatakas inform us that traders from the river-ports on the Ganga went to the eastern lands across the sea. The Sankha Jātaka describes the journey of the Brāhamana Sa kha from Vārānasī to Suvarnabhūmi (Burma and portions of Indo-Chinese Peninsula). There are references to voyages from Champā to Suvarnabhūmi. Prince Mahājanaka is said to have travelled in a ship with approximately 350 men and reached there.2 Traders from Vaisāli also seem to have been going to Suvarnabhūmi and other places for trade. The Vālahassa Jātaka speaks of trade between Vārānasī and Tāmbapannidīpa.3 It seems that these traders of Vārānasī, Champā, and Vaisālī sailed to eastern lands with their ships carrying locally manufactured goods. Though only Suvarnabhūmi and Tāmbapannidīpa are mentioned, it can be assumed that trade was carried on with many other islands of the East Indies. The Mahāniddesa tells us that India's trade to the East existed with Kālamukha, Suvannabhūmi, Vesunga, Verāpatha, Takkola, Tāmali, Tāmbapanni, and Jāvā. The first two of these places can be identified with Arakan coast and lower Burma. The next two correspond to Ptolemy's Besyngeitai, Barbai, and Takkala.4 Tāmali has been identified by SYLVAIN Levi with Tamralinga in the Malaya Peninsula. The Apadana states that traders from Malaya and China visited India.⁵

There is archaeological and literary evidence for a maritime trade between India and the western countries during this period. A beam of Indian cedar in the palace of Nebuchadnezzar (604-562 B.C.) at Birs Nimrud has been found. In the second storey of the temple of the Moon-god at Ur, rebuilt by Nebuchadnezzar and Nabonidus, Taylor found two rough logs of wood, apparently teak imported into Babylonia from India. The Baveru-Jūtaka relates the adventures of certain Indian marchants who took the first peacock

^{1.} Ja. IV, 15-17.

^{2.} Mahājanaka Jū, (No. 539).

^{3.} Jā, II. 127-29.

^{4.} R.C. MAJUMDAR: Suvarnadvipa, p. 57.

^{5.} Apadana. I. p. 2.

^{6.} A History of Indian Shipping.

^{7. 7}a. III. 126.

by sea to Babylon. J. Kennedy, who worked on this subject concluded that maritime commerce between India and Babylon flourished in the seventh and sixth centuries B.C., especially in the 6th century. B.C. Writing on India's ancient trade, Jackson has observed that the Buddhist Jātakas and some of the Sanskrit law-books too tell us that ships from Bhroach and Sopārā traded with Babylon from the eighth to the sixth century B.C.²

The Suppāraka Jātaka³ says that a band of travellers sailed from the port of Bharukachchha and passed through six seas, under the leadership of a skilled mariner. The seas, thus mentioned, are Khuramāla, Aggimāla, Dadhimāla, Nīlakusamāla, Nalamāla, and Balabhāmukha. These seas have been identified with the Persian gulf, the Arabian coast, Nubia on the North-East corner of Africa, the canal joining the Red sea and the Mediterranean, the Mediterranean and some portion of the Mediterranean. If this identification is correct it can be established that Indians possessed the knowledge of a sea-route from the West coast to the Mediterranean.⁴

In the Dīgha Nikāya, there is an explicit reference to ships sailing out of sight of land. Certain Indian commodities, e.g., rice, peacocks and sandal-wood, were known to the Greeks and others with their Indian names in the fifth century B.C. It follows that they were imported from the west coast of India into Babylon directly by sea.⁵

India's trade relations with Persia during this period are known. Ayala is said to have loaded his boats (Vāhaṇa) with goods and journeyed from Ujjeṇī to Pārasaula (Persia); he earned plenty of wealth there and anchored at Beṇnāyaḍa. Persia was used to export various commodities, such as Śaṅkha, phopphala, chandana, agaru, mañjiṭṭha, silver, gold, jems, pearls, and corals. Trade relations between India and Persia were normal because Gandhāra (Northern Punjab) became a part

^{1.} JRAS 1898.

^{2.} A History or Indian Shipping, p. 90. Quoted by R.K. MOOKERJI.

Jā, IV. 138-143.

^{4.} JBORS, VI, 195.

^{5.} A History of Indian Shipping, p, 88.

^{.6.} Uttarā. Tī, 3, p. 64.

of the dominions of the Persian emperors in the sixth or fifth century B.C., and the Indians paid tribute in gold to them. Herodotus also refers to the Indian contingent of Xerxes' army clad in cotton garments and armed with cane bows and iron-tipped cane arrows.

The description in the Ceylonese chronicles¹ of prince Vijaya's voyage to Ceylon from Bengal with his 700 followers presupposes a regular sea trade and commercial intercourse. We read of traders coasting round India from Bharukachchha on the west to Suvarṇabhūmi and touching on the way at a port in Ceylon.² It is said that the sea-going merchants halted at Simhala dīva (Ceylon) in the middle of their journey.³

The head of the mariners (Niyāmakas) was known as Niyāmakajeṭhaka. He was probably the captain, the owner of the ship, and the leader of travellers voyaging with him. It was his great responsibility to pilot the ship efficiently and unerringly.

The merchants, who returned to their country with valuables, sometimes practised fraud in order to avoid payment of royal taxes. The Rāyapaseṇiya refers to those who traded in aṅka jewel, conch-shells or ivory, and to those who, instead of taking the regular highway, always chose the most difficult routes in order to evade taxes. We hear of the king of Beṇṇāyaḍa who detected the trick of a deceitful merchant and had him arrested.

The chief articles of export from India were spices, perfumes, medicinal herbs, pigments, pearls, precious stones like diamond, sapphire, turquoise, and lapis lazuli, iron, steel, copper, sandalwood, animal skins, cotton cloth, silk yarn, muslin, indigo, ivory, procelain, and tortoise-shell. The principal imports were cloth, linen, perfumes, medicinal herbs, glass, tin, lead, pigments, precious stones, and coral.

Progress in the sphere of trade and commerce is reflected in the general economic condition of the people. We have several references to very rich merchant-millionaires of those

- 1. Dipavamsa. IX, 10-28; Mahāvamsa, VI.
- 2. The Age of Imperial Unity, p. 602.
- 3. Achā, Ţi, 6. 3, p. 223a.
- 4. Rāya, 164.
- 5. Uttarā. Tī, op. cit.

days in literature. One of them, Anāthapiṇḍika, is said to have purchased the Jetavana park for the Buddha by covering the whole surface of it with gold coins. Ānanda from Vāṇijagrāma, Kāmadeva from Champā, Sūradeva from Banaras, Sardalaputra from Polāsapura, and Mahasataka from Rājagriha were famous wealthy merchants who became followers of Mahāvīra. The wealth of the middle classes appears from their dress, ornaments, houses, and furniture. There is no reference to extreme poverty or to paupers as a class. On the whole, people lived happily in peace and prosperity.

ORGANIZATION OF TRADE AND INDUSTRIES

The most remarkable feature of the economic life during this period was that trade and industries were organized for the first time into guilds known as Śrenis. These Śrenis were the corporations of the people belonging to the same or different castes but following the same trade and industry. These guilds were autonomous bodies and their members managed their own affairs. There was little interference by the state in the affairs of the guild.

The Brahmanical, Buddhist, and Jaina sources make references to guilds. The Gautama Dharma Sūtra¹ mentions the guilds of cultivators, traders, herdsmen, and artisans. The Jātakas² refer to eighteen guilds which, though a conventional number, show the extensive character of the organisation. There are various branches of trade and industry which, together, considerably exceed the number eighteen. The guilds of woodworkers, the smiths, the leather-dressers, and the painters are specifically mentioned.³ In Jaina literary works, the guilds of goldsmiths,⁴ painters,⁵ and washermen⁶ are mentioned, and about the rest, we do not know much.

ORGANIZATION AND CONSTITUTION

The Jātakas throw some light on the organization and constitution of these guilds. These guilds were, to begin with,

- 1. Gau. Dh. S, X1,
- 2. Jā, VI. 22, 427; Jā, 1. 267. 214; IV. 43, 411.
- 3. Jā, 1., 314; III, 281; IV. 411; VI. 22.
- 4. Nāyā, p. 105.
- 5. Ibid, p. 107.
- 6. Āra. chū, II. p. 182.

well organized under their respective chiefs called Pamukha or Jeṭṭḥaka, an officer who was something like an alderman or a president. Among such craft-guild chiefs, the names of Baḍḍḥakijeṭṭḥaka¹, Mālākārajeṭṭḥaka², and Kumārajeṭṭḥaka³ are important. These chiefs might be important Ministers in attendance upon and in favour with the king. In the Sūchi Jātaka,⁴ there is a description of Kumārajeṭṭḥaka, a favourite of the king's.

There were also merchant-guilds under the chiefs called Setthis. Because of wealth, they got special status in society. They visited the royal court as representatives of the business community. One such chief was Anāthapiṇḍika, who was the Mahā setthī, the president of a commercial federation, with numerous Anusetthīs under him. Different guilds also federated under a common president, called Bhāṇḍāgārika, to check their internal disunion. Such a Bhāṇḍāgārika was acting in the city of Banaras. He was expected to be conversant with the affairs of trade and business and with the working of the guilds.

These guilds were gradually converted into hereditary castes on the basis of occupation. In ordinary times, the sons pursued their paternal occupation. Besides, these guilds became localized in particular areas. They gave impetus to specialization and efficiency of labour. Villages inhabited almost exclusively by one type of craftsmen came into existence. There are references to the villages of smiths, potters, carpenters, saltmakers, etc. Even in towns, there was provision for separate quarters and suburbs for the members of each guild.

The guilds were autonomous bodies having their own laws. The corporate existence of the guild was recognized by the state. Guilds exercised considerable control over the

- 1. Āva. Ghū, IV. 161; IV, 332.
- 2. Ibid, III. 405.
- 3. Ibid, III. 281.
- 4. Ibid.
- 5. Ibid, I. 93; Jā. V, 384.
- 6. Ibid, IV. 43; II. 12. 52.
- 7. Ja, III. 281.

members.1 Probably the settlement of disputes among its members and the solution of the problems of trade and business fell under the jurisdiction of the guild. It could also settle the disputes between wives and husbands.2 That guild organizations were well-disciplined and maintained solidarity is suggested by a Fataka story which describes the shifting of 100 families of carpenters overnight.3

A guild worked for the welfare of its members, and it had a right to approach the king and demand justice. A painter was ordered to be executed by prince Malladinna; the guild of the painters visited the king, explained the matter, and requested him to quash the sentence passed against the member of its union. The king was pleased to commute the sentence into banishment.4 Then we hear of a washermen's guild approching the king in order to demand justice.5

Some of the guilds probably carried on banking business too. In cities like Rājagriha, Śrāvastī, Vaiśālī and others, where brisk trade and business were carried on, the guilds of Śreshthins might have been functioning as banking institutions. They accepted money from others on interest and invested it in trade or lent it on interest to smaller tradesmen.

Some of these guilds might have issued coins. The earliest coins known as the punchmarked coins started in about the seventh or sixth century B.C. It is probable that some of them might have been issued by these guilds even in the sixth century B.C., because it seems, there was no state monopoly of manufacturing and of issuing coins. That Takshasilā merchant guilds minted coins is almost certain in the light of the evidence yielded by Negama coins.6

The members of these guilds sometimes carried on their business in partnership. Some Jālakas, such as Kūṭavaņika Jātaka, Bāveru Jātaka, and Mahāvanija Jātaka, describe

Jā, I. 267; IV. 411. Gau. Dh. S. XI. 22-23.

Maijh, I. 286. Nāyā, 8. p. 107. Āza. Chū, II, p, 182.

A CUNNINGHAM; Coins of Ancient India. Jz., I. p. 404 also II, 181. Ibid, III. p. 126. Ibid IV. p. 350,

business partnership of merchants. This enabled the smaller traders to transact business on a fairly large scale. Such an undertaking was much useful for the land-trader (Sātthavāhas) transacting business under a Jeṭṭhaka.¹ Tho traders of Sāvatthi became partners and went to the west with a large caravan. Similar may have been the case with traders of Rājagṛha, Vaiśālī, Pāṭalīputra, and other centres of trade. The partners divided their earnings either equally or in proportion to their investments, or as agreed upon among themselves.

The exact nature of the relations between the guilds and the state is not known. The king used to recognise the guild laws and also consulted with the guilds on matters of mutual interest. There was probably a permanent representative of the guild at the royal court or in the Ministry. The Uruga $\mathcal{F}ataka^2$ tells as that two of the guild leaders were included among the Kosala Mahāmātras. A blacksmith is called Rajaballabha in one of the $\mathcal{F}atakas$, which suggests his close association with the royal court. In some of the stories, kings are described as summoning all the guilds (Sabbaseniyo) on certain occasions. Probably the Setthi visited the royal court as a representative of the business community, and the same may have been the case with the heads of the guilds.

COLNAGE

The most remarkable feature of this period is the introduction of regular coins in business transaction. The ancient system of barter and of reckoning values by cows or by grains was gradually replaced by coinage. Before the beginning of the regular currency, there were ingots of gold and other metals of calculated weight. We find transition from this stage to that of the coin proper, *i.e.*, a piece of metal of recognized weight and fineness guaranteed by the stamp of authority.

The coins in use during this period are known as punchmarked coins and cast coins. The punchmarked coins were punched by a number of symbols successively by different punches. Sometimes the symbols overlapped one another and sometimes they were but partly accommodated on the flan.

^{1.} Ja, II. 294.

^{2,} Ibid, I, No. 154.

Insofar as the cast coins were concerned, the symbols were already carefully arranged and engraved on the die before they were struck on the bank.

Punchmarked coins were known at the time of their issue as $K\bar{a}rsh\bar{a}panas$ —a term by which they are referred to in the Tripitaka, the $J\bar{a}taka$, and the $Asht\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$ of Pānini, some of the $Dharmas\bar{u}tras^1$ and Jaina canonical literature.²

Several hoards of punchmarked coins have been found throughout India, some of them containing even pre-Mauryan currency, The larger Bhir Mound³ hoard of puchmarked coins at Taxila found in the second stratum contained two coins of Alexander the great and one of Philip Ariadeus which were in the mint condition, besides 1055 silver punch-marked coins. The stratification of this hoard and the mint condition of the coins of the two Greek rulers show that the hoard was buried not much later than 317 B.C. As some of these punchmarked coins of this hoard were old, blurred and indistinct, it may be assumed that they were used at least about two centuries earlier. The Paila hoard4 contained about 1245 coins. These coins belong to very early times. They were probably the currency of Pañchāla before the rise of the Mauryan empire. The Golakhpur⁵ hoard of 108 coins and the Ramna hoard⁶ of 48 coins have been assigned to the pre-Mauryan age by G.H.C. Walsh. 709 out of 2873 coins of the Patraha hoard7 and about onethird of the Machhuatoli hoard8 fall under the category of prc-Mauryan currency. Early punchmarked coins have been found at Rājagriha.9

An analysis of the punchmarked coins found in different hoards helps us in postulating some rough tests to determine

- 1. Gau. Dh. S, XII. 6-8, 19; Vas. Dh. S, V. XIX, 21.
- 2. Uttarā, 20. 42. Also see Charandas Chatterjee's article on some Numismatic data in Pāli literature, Buddhistic Studies, pp. 383 ff.
- 3. WALSH, Memoir No. 59 of the 'ASI.
- 4. JNSI, II; N. S. No. XLVII of JASB.
- 5. JBROS, 1919, pp. 16-72.
- 6. Ibid, 1939.
- 7. Memoir No. 62 of the ASI, 1940.
- 8. JBORS, 1939, pp. 91-117.
- 9. J. Ar-A Review, 1961-62.

the chronology of some of these coins. It may be presumed that the larger and thinner punchmarked coins belong as a general rule to an earlier date than the smaller and thicker ones. D.D. Kosambi has shown that generally the number of reverse marks on the early coins increases with their age while their weight decreases correspondingly.

A six-armed symbol with three arrow heads and three

A six-armed symbol with three arrow heads and three ovals was found on the coins in the Golakhpur hoard. It has therefore been suggested with great probability that this particular variety of the six-armed symbol belongs to the pre-Mauryan age. On the coins of the Bhir Mound hoard, we find the symbols of the Sun, the six arms, a hill above a tank with two fish, and a peculiar symbol surrounded with five taurineess. The coins with these symbols were current just before the foundation of the Mauryan empire. Coins having a hare on a hill and a bull on a hill were widely current in northern India on the eve of the Mauryan empire, and may have been issued by the kings of the time of Bimbisāra and some by the rulers of the Nanda dynasty.

The number of symbols on the obverse is generally five. On some coins, there is a sixth symbol, but it probably represents an authentication mark punched later; 19 coins in the Bhir Mound hoard (1924) had a sixth mark. One coin in the Patrāha hoard had also a sixth mark. There are also some coins having four symbols. Such for instance is the case with the coins of the Paila hoard. The bent bar coins have only two symbols along with a third one which may have been added later. The obverse symbols on ardha-Kārshāpaṇas and pāda-Kārshāpaṇas were naturally fewer. The tiny māshaka pieces could with difficulty accommodate only one symbol.

The reverse side, which was originally blank, began to be punched haphazardly at different times with a number of symbols. On the coins of the later period, their number is reduced to one or two, and they seem to have been impressed on a definite plan and probably at one time. The symbols on the reverse are generally smaller in size than those on the obverse. Some of them are square, some rectangular, some oblong, some polygonal, some elliptical, and some circular. Some coins have become cupshaped owing to the punching of

a number of symbols on their thin flans. Some are of the shape of a bent bar.

The punchmarked coins are usually found in silver and copper. Silver pieces are more numerous than the copper ones. Gold $K\bar{a}rsh\bar{a}panas$ may also have been issued like the silver and copper ones. Their non-discovery is not a decisive argument against their existence.

The vast majority of the silver punchmarked coins follow the standard of 16 māshakas of 32 ratis. The average weight of well-preserved punchmarked coins is approximately 56 grains. In ancient Kośala, we have found a number of punchmarked coins weighing only about 42 to 43 grains. It is, therefore, clear that ancient Kośala was following lighterweight standard of 12 māshakas or about 42 grains. The province of Gandhāra was issuing silver punchmarked coins known at present as Bent-bar coins and probably called Ayatākāra Kārshāpaṇas in ancient times. The largest denomination coins of this series weigh about 175 grains. This weight is equal to that of 100 ratis or double sigloi of the Achaemenian standard.

Dvi-Kārshāpaṇas of this weight standard are also found. Large Kośala coins, which weigh about 79 or 80 grains, are most probably dvi-Kārshāpaṇa pieces of the 12 māshaka standard. Ardha-Kārshāpaṇas are frequently referred to in the Tripiṭakas, the Jātakas, and in the grammatical works. Quarter Kārshāpaṇas were often known as pādas. The chaturmāshaka, Trimāshaka, Dvi-māshaka, Eka-māshaka, Ardha-māshaka, and Kākaṇikā were also known. To carry on daily transactions, currency of small denominations was also issued.

THE SYMBOLS ON THE PUNCHMARKED COINS

The reverse marks on the earlier coins occur haphazardly. Usually, the more worn out a coin is the larger is the number of its reverse marks. The principal marks on the obverse appeared not haphazardly, but they had a definite significance. The most common symbols are the Sun and six arms. It is quite possible that this Sun symbol had a mythological significance. Solar dynasties were ruling at Ayodhyā and in Videha. It is, therefore, not improbable that the Sun symbol was originally intended to denote the Solar origin of the dynasty of the issuer, and when once it had become popular, it may have been mechanically copied on the coinage of other dynasties as well. This is also the tone of the coins bearing an elephant and a bull as symbols.

The symbols had probably some conventional, local or religious significance. The bull may denote Vatsa dynasty of Kośāmbi. The hill and tank marks in their different varieties may be different places or region marks.

On most of the punchmarked coins, there are five symbols on the obverse which have occasioned a number of conjectures to explain them. Two of these symbols, the Sun and the six-armed symbol, are constant and do not carry much significance. Durga Prasad had suggested that the third symbol probably changed with the king, the fourth with the year, and the fifth with the locality. According to D.D. Kosamei, they may refer to the names of the ruling king, his father, and his grandfather. It is equally possible that one of the symbols may have stood for the Governor, the other for the mint master and the third for the place or province of issue.

D.D. Kosambi has also suggested that the symbol of the hill may denote descent. Thus the peacock and the elephant on the hill would denote descent of the dynasty of the issuer from the peacock or elephant or gods, having them as their mounts. G.H.C. Walsh has suggested that all coins having elephants upon them may be taken to have been issued by kings as distinguished from those issued by Samghas. Elephants are almost universally associated with royalty.

PRICES

In early Buddhist literature, we find the prices of every vendible commodity mentioned, prices of a dead mouse too as well as fees, pensions, fines, loans, stored treasures and incomes stated in figures of a certain coin or its fractions. In most cases, prices given are fantastic and fabulous. Only a few references may be regarded as mentioning the actual market-price of certain commodities. It appears that articles of food were cheap. According to the Vinaya texts, a small quantity

1. For details of prices, see JRAS, 1901, pp. 882 f.

of ghee or oil could be bought for a Kahāpaṇa only.¹ Meat for a chameleon could be bought for a Kākiṇī or an Addha-Māsaka,² and a fish cost only seven Māshakas³. A jar of liquor was available for one Māshaka.⁴ It is further said that a Māshaka was sufficient for an ordinary wage-earner to buy a garland, perfume, and some strong drink.⁵

Animals of inferior quality were cheap while those of superior quality were costly. A thoroughbred horse cost 1,000 Kahāpaṇas⁶ a donkey only eight Kahāpaṇas⁷ a pair of oxen 24 Kahāpaṇas⁸, a nice plump dog one Kahāpaṇa, and a cloak⁹ and a dead mouse only one Kākiṇī¹⁰. As far as the price of land is concerned, a monastic cell (vihāra) could be purchased for 500 Kahāpaṇas.¹¹ A play-hall for 600 boys constructed by voluntary labour is estimated to have cost 1,000 Kahāpaṇas.¹² We are told that a partridge could be bought for one Kāhāvaṇa¹³ and a cow for fifty coins; ¹⁴ the price of a blanket varied from 18 rūpakas to a hundred thousand rūpakas.¹⁵

There are numerous references that show how prices were determined by haggling. The act of exchange between the producer and dealer during this period was a free bargain, a transaction unregulated by any system of statute-fixed prices. There was no authority which could fix prices and force the traders to sell at the rate fixed. No doubt, there was an official of the state known as the court-valuer (Agghakāraka,

```
1. Vinaya, IV. 248-50.
```

^{2.} *Jā*, VI. 346.

^{3.} Ibid, II. 424.

^{4.} Ibid, I. 350.

^{5.} Ibid, III. 446.

^{6.} Ibid, II. 306.

^{7.} Ibid, VI, 343.

^{8.} Ibid, VI, 343.

^{9.} Ibid, II. 247.

^{10.} Ibid, I. 120.

^{11.} Majjh, (No. 52) I. 553.

^{12.} Jā, VI. 332.

^{13.} Das. chū, p. 58.

^{14.} Āva. chū, p. 117.

^{15.} Bṛh, Ehā, 3. 3890.

^{16.} Ja, I. 111-13, 195; II, 289. 424-55; III, 126-27; VI, 113.

^{17.} JRAS, 1901, p. 874.

Agghāpanaka, Agghāpanika)¹ whose duty was to fix prices of the articles bought for the royal household, but he was not concernd with the whole society.

FEES AND SALARIES

Like prices, we find generally exaggerated statements about fees and salaries, but a few references do appear to be authentic. Thus the $Mah\bar{a}vagga$ tells us that a courtesan's fee for one night was 50 to 100 Kah \bar{a} paṇas.² A teacher's honorarium for the whole course was probably 1,000 silver $Kah\bar{a}$ paṇa.³ A labourer earned only $1\frac{1}{2}$ $M\bar{a}shaka$ daily according to a $J\bar{a}taka$ story.⁴ There are references to show that the earning of the labourer was not sufficient enough to ensure him a happy life, and that he lived in stark penury and misery.⁵

LOANS AND INTEREST

Loans and debts could be taken on interest. There was a money-lender Ananda of Vāṇijyagāma. Money lending was looked upon as an honest calling. Letters of credit as substitutes for money were known. It is noteworthy that the Gautama-dharmasūtra prescribes a limit to the interest chargeable by the creditor. The lawful limit is 1½% per month or 15% per year. The interest cannot exceed the principal howsoever long the debt may remain unpaid.

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

Because of the repid progress in the sphere of trade and commerce, weights and measures were properly maintained. Pāṇini⁹ mentions them as Āḍhaka, Achita, Patra, Droṇa, and Prastha. According to the Vasishtha Dharmasūtra¹⁰, right measurement is necessary and the king should arrange for it. False weights and measures were considered to be crimes. According

- 1. Jā, I. 124.
- 2. Mv, VIII. 1. p. 1-3.
- 3. Jā, II 47; 278; IV. 33; V. 128.
- 4. Ibid, III. 326.
- 5. Ibid, 1. 475; Ja, III. 446.
- ·6. Uvā, I, p. 6.
- 7. Gau. Dh. S, XII. 26.
- S. Ibid, XII. 28.
- 9. Pā, 5, 4-102; 5. 1. 53.
- 20. Vas. Dh. S. 19. 23,

to Apastamba, if any one uses wrong measure, he should not be invited to a Śrāddha. The Buddha says that if a person earns money by weighing less, he is a liar. In the Mahānārada Kassapajātaka, there is a reference to a Weighing House. Weights made of steatite and jasper of different denominations discovered in excavations at Eran, Vaiśālī and Chirand also prove that commodities were weighed and sold.

^{1.} Ap. Dh. S. 2.6.19.

^{2.} Digha, 8. 3. 43. Vol. 3, p. 136.

^{3.} Jā, Vol. VI, p. 119.

CHAPTER IX

ART AND ARCHITECTURE

It is somehow difficult to give a detailed account of art and architecture during the age of Lord Mahāvīra, primarily because no sufficient specimen are surviving. It is not unlikely that being made of perishable materials like wood, they have vanished. It is also likely that some of them might still be lying buried under the soil. The noteworthy feature of this period is the revival of urban life and coming into existence of a number of towns, the remains of some of which ave been excavated. Along with the urban life, several new arts and crafts started. This period is noteworthy also for the introduction of a new fabric in pottery known as the North Black Polished Ware. Many a literary work throws abundant light on the art and architecture of this period.

ARCHITECTURE

1. Secular Architecture

(a) Town architecture

Even before Lord Mahāvīra, there were towns and cities during the period of the Indus Valley civilization. The traces of such towns have been discovered at Mohenjodāro, Harappā, Rūpar, Ālamgirpur, Sarasvatī Valley in Rajasthan, Lothal, and Raṅgpur. Vedic literature offers evidence of the existence of such towns as Āsandīvant, Kāmpīla, Ayodhyā, and Kaū-śāmbī. The word Pura denoting rampart, fort, or stronghold

- 1. Vedic Index, Vol. I, p. 72.
- 2. Taitt. Sam, VII. 4. 9; Maitra Sam. III. 12, 20.
- 3. Ait. Br, VII. 3. 1.
- 4. Sat. Br, XII. 2. 2. 13; Gep: Br. 1. 2. 24; Sat. Br, VIII. 14.

frequently occurs in Vedic literature. Deities like Indra and Agni are involved in destroying enemy's forts,2 many of which were wide and broad and had ramparts of mud or unbaked bricks, probably-also a stone facing.3 The word Dehī, referring to defences or ramparts of hardened earth with palisades and a ditch, occurs in the Rigveda4. A passage in the Satapatha Brāhmana shows that the moat or ditch was also known in the period of this Brāhmana.5

Archaeological excavations reveal that in about 1025 B.C, Kauśāmbī developed as a town fully equipped for its protection by the magnificent defences built on the Harappan The discovery of Harappan site at Alamgirpur (District Meerut, U.P.) has established definite evidence of the penetration of the Harappan culture into the Ganga-Yamunā Doab. Similarly the fortification of Kausambi built after the Harappan pattern is obvious. A rampart of mud with sloping sides revetted with a burnt brick wall, battered back to about 30° to 40°, of which the coarses are laid in the socalled English bond, leaving footings in successive courses, reinforced by bastions and towers, square in plan, are elements of construction strongly reminiscent of the Harappan citadel. The defences, built on this model, continued for some time, and they also made use of a curved entrance, enclosing an underground passage built on corbelled arch. In about 885 B.C., the concept of defence was revolutionized by the construction of a moat round the rampart, a feature not yet recorded from any Harappan city.6

Literary works of the days of Lord Mahāvīra contain the names of the principal cities of India. Some Jaina canonical works7 refer to ten capital cities of India-Rāyagiha, Champā, Mahura, Vāranasi, Sāvatthi, Sākeya, Kampilla, Kośāmbi, Mihila, and Hatthinaura. The Buddhist canon⁸ testifies to the

RV. I. 53. 7; I. 131. 4; III. 15. 4; Ait. Br, IV. 6. 23; Sat. Br. III. 4. 4 3; V. 3. 3 24. RV. I. 89. 2.

RV. I. 89. 2.
 Ibid. II. 35. 6.
 Ibid. VI. 47. 2; VII. 6. 5; Vedic Index, Vol. I. pp. 379, 539.
 Sat. Br, VII. 1. I. 13.

The exeavations at Kausambi. p. 41. Tha. 10. 718; Nisi. Sc, 9. 19. Mahasarinibbana Sutta (SBE. XI), 99. 7.

existence in India of populous cities with large buildings long before the time of the Buddha. Pāṇini¹ refers to some important towns of his time: Kāpiśī, Takshaśilā, Hastināpura, Śāmkāśya and Kāśī. T.W. Rhys Davids gives a list of the principal cities existing in India in the seventh century B.C.: Ayojjha, Baranasi (Benares), Champa, Kampilla, Kosambi, Madhura, Mithila, Rajagaha, Roruka, the capital of Sauvīra, Sagala, Saseva, Savatthi, Ujjeni, and Vesali.²

The existence of these cities shows progress in the art of town-planning ($Nagara-m\bar{a}pana$) and architecture ($V\bar{a}stuv\bar{i}dy\bar{a}$). From different literary sources of this period, it is known that a well laid-out city was equipped with a multitude of buildings, both for its defence and for the practical needs of residence and business. The fortification consisted of moat, parapet wall, and gateways, while the civil architecture had its residential buildings, business quarters ($\bar{A}pana$), intersected by streets (Samchara), royal store-houses ($Koshth\bar{a}g\bar{a}ra$ and $Bh\bar{a}nd\bar{a}g\bar{a}ra$), king's council-hall ($R\bar{a}jasabh\bar{a}$), and a number of other buildings comprised under the general term $Sal\bar{a}$, e.g., places of dramatic performance, dancing, music, concerts and sports.

It is known from Jaina canonical literature that the city of Champā was solidly built and hard to enter. Its moat (Phalihā) was broad on top and cut deep down; it had discs (Chakka), clubs (Gaya), maces (Musuṇḍhī), barriers (Graha), war-machines (Sayagghī), and double doors (Jamalakavāḍa); it was surrounded by a wall (Pāgāra) bent in a curve like a bow, and decorated with cornices (Kavisīsa) arranged in circles; its bastions (Aṭṭālaya), rampart paths (Chariya), door-ways (Dāra), gates (Gopura), and arches (Toraṇa) were lofty, its high roads (Rāyamagga) duly divided; its gate bars (Phaliha) and bolts (Indakīla) were strong and fashioned by skilful artificers.3

It is said that Mahāgovinda planned the city of Rāja-griha and several other capitals of Northern India and that he also designed palaces. The city of Vaiśālī was surrounded by

^{1.} India as known to Pānini, p. 137.

^{2.} RBI, p. 21.

^{3.} Orā, 1; also Utt rrā, 9. 18-24.

^{4.} Vimanavatthu commentary, p. 82.

three walls at a distance of a Gāvuta from one another, each provided with gates and watch towers.¹ It was rich in a variety of buildings, chaityas, and palaces of its 7707 chiefs.² There were beautiful parks, gardens, and lotus ponds. The city has also been described as 'opulent, prosperous and populous.'³ It looked 'like the loka of Sakra' in the magnificence of its appearance and the happiness of its inmates who had continual festivities.⁴ The city comprised three districts.⁵ The first district had 7,000 houses with golden towers; the middle one had 14,000 houses with silver towers, and the last district possessed 21,000 houses with copper towers. These houses were under the possession of the upper, the middle and the lower classes, according to their positions.⁶ Jaina traditions inform us that Kshatriyas, Brāhmaṇas, and vaṇiks occupied their respective Upanagaras in Vaiśālī.⁵

The existence of some of these cities in the sixth century B.C. is confirmed even by the archaeological evidence. The planning of the city of Girivraja⁸ or Rājagṛiha⁹ in the sixth century B.C. was the work of a genius. It is surrounded on all sides by hills and its surviving city walls and fortification still show the architectural standard reached during that period. These city walls were built in cyclopaean fashion, of massive unheaven blocks of stone pierced by gateways, each flanked on either side by a semi-circular bastion, over which probably rose the watch-tower, an almost invariable feature of these fortress cities. The fortification hitherto believed to be built of rubble is supposed to have been founded by Ajātaśatru. Originally, there was a mud rampart. The top of this rampart was hardened by yellowish mud and brick-

- 1. Ja, Vol. I. No. 149.
- 2. Ibid, p. 316. According to the Mahāvagga, there were 7707 pinnacled buildings.
- 3. LEFMANN: Lalitavistara, Chap. III, p. 21.
- 4. W. W. ROCKHILL: The Life of the Buddha, p. 63.
- 5. Ibid, p. 62.
- 6. Ibid, p. 62.
- 7. Vaišīli Excavations, 1905, p. 1.
- 8. Girivraja or the city of hills is said in the Mahābhörata to belong to the Bārhadrathas in which time Jarāsandha was a great ruler.
- 2. The new Rajagriha was founded by Bimbisara.

bats. Associated with it was a moat, the full width and depth of which has not been so far determined. The original rubble fortification wall was strengthened gradually by brick wall in course of time.¹

At Rajghat² near varanasi, an enormous clay rampart dating back to the first quarter of the first millennium B.C. has been discovered. Built directly over the natural soil and available to a height of about 10 metres, the rampart has a pronounced slope towards the river. It has been breached several times by heavy floods, but it was in existence in 600 B.C. That a large urban population existed at Mathura in the sixth century B.C. is proved by the vast Katra mound. Furthermore, an exploratory survey revealed the existence of two rings of mud-ramparts—the first elliptical in shape and the second quadrangular and comprised within, the first, as if signifying a citadel.³ From the excavations at Śrāvastī, it is clear that there was habitation in the sixth century B.C. and the mud rampart was erected in the fourth century B.C.⁴

At Eran,⁵ a moat and a mud-defence wall built in the late phase of the chalcolithic occupation have been discovered. They continued even during the sixth century B.C.

The excavations at Ujjain⁶ reveal a continuity of occupation on the site from a date prior to 600 B.C. The massive rampart with a moat can be traced back to the earliest period of occupation on the site, which coincides with the Pradyota period. This type of fortification was of mud and belonged to a citadel, but the humbler habitations were situated undefended in the outside area. The rampart enclosed an area approximately two kilometers with a basal width of a little over two hundred feet and a maximum extent height of forty-two feet. The contours of the area occupied by the rampart show several openings of varying dimensions, suggesting gateways. The rampart was built by the dumping of

- 1. I. Ar.—A review 1961-62.
- 2. Ibid, 1960-61, p. 37.
- 3. Ibid, 1954-55, p. 15.
- 4. Ibid, 1958-59, p. 47.
- 5. Ibid, 1963-64, p. 15.
- 6. Ibid, 1956-57, p. 20; 1957:58, p. 32,

dug-up yellow and black clays to form a thick wall, with a gentle slope on the inner side and a less pronounced on the interior.

The rampart was surrounded on the west, and distantly on the north, by the river Siprā, while a moat on the eastern side, formed to be filled with greenish water-borne silt, added to it a line of defence in that direction, and presumably on the south side as well, completing the circuit of a water-barrier. The moat was found to have been at least eighty feet wide and twenty-two feet deep. The fortification on the riverside was breached by floods on at least three occasions during this period but it was repaired from time to time.

(b) Building Architecture.

The actual remains of the buildings of this period are few because of the frail or perishable nature of the material In order to get information on the building activity during this period, we must depend upon literary works, both Jaina and Buddhist, some of which have preserved a record of traditional forms as current in memory and folk-lore. Sometimes the description given of these buildings in these literary works is exaggerated, but still after critical examination and sifting the evidence, we can infer some of the general features of art during this period. These literary works mention a number of architectural terms and various forms of particular structures which show the extensive development of this science in those days. The main types of building found in those days were royal buildings, lofty mansions for rich and well-to-do people, houses of the ordinary people, huts of the poor, and religious buildings.

The palaces were known as $P\bar{a}s\bar{a}da$ and $Vim\bar{a}na$ to distinguish them from ordinary dwellings. In the Jaina $\bar{A}gama$ literature, the most illustrative example of palace architecture occurs in the $R\bar{a}yapaseniya$ Sutta¹ in an account of the $Vim\bar{a}na$ of Sūryābha Deva. It was surrounded on all sides by a rampart, and embellished with beautiful cornices. There were gates with cupola opening on all sides. Gates, pillars and doors were decorated with various kinds of figures and motifs.

1. *Rāja*, Sā, 97 f.

There is also a description of a big theatre hall ($Pekkh\bar{a}$ -ghara-maṇḍava) which was supported on many columns and was furnished with a terraced railing, gateways with architrave and $S\bar{a}labha\bar{n}jik\bar{a}$ figures. It was decorated with many other motifs and ornamental figures. At the centre of the theatre hall was a stage.¹

In the Nāyādhamma Kahā² is a description of the bedchamber of a queen which had an outer courtyard, an assembly hall polished and well set with pillars, endowed with statues (Śāla-bhañjiyā), latticed windows, moon-stone at the foot of the stairways, projecting ledges, and a room upon the roof called Chandra-śālikā. Its interior was lined with paintings; the floor was inlaid with semi-precious stones and the ceiling had a canopy painted with designs of lotus flowers and creepers.

In a description of the palace of the Chakravarti king Mahā-Sudassana, some details of palace architecture are found. Its height was equal to three Purusha measures, it had bricks of four kinds, pillars 84,000 in number, wooden planks of four colours, staircases, cross-bars, copings, rooms with beds of gold, silver, ivory and crystal; doors with palm trees on two sides, a double railing round the palace, a net work of jingling bells and several lotus-ponds provided with staircases and platforms.³

A Jātaka⁴ gives a vivid account of the palace of the Mahā-Ummagga. It had big dimensions worthy of a royal palace. There were gateways in the palace wall, one of which opened towards the city. On both sides of the long corridor of the palace were one hundred niches for lamps closed and opened by mechanically operated shutters. It was provided with hundreds of rooms. In each room was laid a great couch overhung with a white parasol and a throne placed near the couch. The principal hall of this palace was decorated with ten motifs of divine character. There were also courtyards, one of which was known as the Assembly Hall. The pillars and walls were decorated with a number of painted motifs.

- 1. Rāya. Sū, 100, p. 164.
- 2. P. L. VAIDYA's edition, para 208.
- 3. Mahā-Šudassana Sutta, Dīgha Nikāya.
- 4. Jē, IV. p. 431.

At Nālandā, Lepa who was a rich householder, had a beautiful bathing hall called *Śeshadravyā* containing many hundreds of pillars.¹

From the description of different royal palaces in literary works, it is possible to form an idea of the general architectural features of these palaces. The royal palace was constructed at the centre of the capital town along with other royal buildings. The palace was divided into courts of which there were usually three in the early stages. The first court had a Dvārakoṭṭha leading to open grounds for stables for horses and elephants and also barracks for soldiers. In the second court on the ground floor was the great pillard hall which was used for public audience of the king.

The royal palace had two distinct parts, the ground floor and the upper floor. On the ground floor were located the palace garden, kitchen, bath-rooms with fountains of flowing water, wells, step-wells, lotus-ponds, temple, etc. The upper floor was meant for the members of the royal family. were stairways going up into or coming down from a palace. The king's own chamber was known as Sirigabbha. A separate building was provided for the crownprince, and it was spoken of as Upathana and located in a portion of the king's palace. The quarters meant for the queens, princesses and other ladies were collectively called Antepurikā, and they were properly guarded. The palace was surrounded by an outer wall (Prākāra), having a main gate or perhaps four gates. The outer gatehouse lay at some distance from the actual palace, and sometimes the guests were received at the outer gate. The pillars and walls of the palace were overlaid with many beautiful motifs.

The palace had one or more storeys, but a building of three storeys was more common. Sometimes each storey of the palace consisted of a number of rooms known as $K\bar{u}t\bar{u}g\bar{u}ras$ with a peaked top, usually a pavilion with a gabled end and vaulted room bearing small $St\bar{u}ps$ over it. The $K\bar{u}t\bar{u}g\bar{u}ras$ room was provided with a latticed window or screen, and a ventilator. It could be closed from inside by drawing

^{1.} SBE, XLV, p. 420.

across the doorleaves, a transverse bar, and also from outside by locking.

Different kinds of palaces (Kokanāda Pushpaka etc.) are mentioned as having different forms. Some were constructed with only one pillar (Ekathunakam)¹ and such buildings were of the shape of round towers'. Palaces with many columns were not unknown.² In one Jātaka, there is a mention of a palace with a thousand columns.³ Palaces were surrounded by various kinds of wall having gateways. Verandah or porticoes were attached to buildings and were called Alindaka. The term Uparipāsādatala, or the upper storey of a palace with a roof surmounted by a pinnacle called Kannika is an indication of the development that took place in the science and art of architecture. Many-storeyed palaces with many pinnacles are also mentioned in some of the tales.

There were lofty mansions for rich and well-to-do people, costly buildings with a large number of rooms and halls. The walls and pillars were profusely decorated, and the houses were provided with all kinds of comfort and luxury.

The Vinaya Texts4 gives us an idea of the common dwelling houses, which were made of stone, brick or wood, and had roof of five kinds-brick, stone, cement, straw and leaves. The walls and roof were plastered from within and without. The sleeping rooms were whitewashed, the floors were coloured in black, and the walls in red. They were overlaid with paintings and engravings such as human figures, and motifs such as wreaths and creepers. Provision was made for windows with shutters and curtains, elaborate doors with key-holes, verandahs covered terraces, inner verandahs and overhanging caves, dwelling rooms, retiring rooms, store-rooms, closets, and wells with lids under sheds made of hide-skin. Hygienic arrangements were kept in view while constructing privies. The house had sometimes two or more storeys, and it was fashionable to have verandahs supported on pillars with capitals in the form of heads of animals.

- 1. Jā. Nos 121, 454 and 465.
- 2. Ibid, No 465
- 3. Ibid. No. 543.
- 4 Sixth Khandhaka.

Generally, the bulk of the people at this time lived in flimsy huts, often thatched with leaves and grass and having walls made of reed or wood. This does not mean that sturdier structures of wood, brick and stone were unknown. In order to assure greater stability, wood was used for constructing posts, walls, doors, and also for laying foundations of the huts. One 7ātaka1 describes a Pannasālā (a thatched hut) in which trunks of fig wood were used to construct, and obviously to strengthen, its foundation. Its walls, however, were made of interwoven reeds.

There were also cellars and big underground tunnels. The description of an Ummaga or an underground tunnel which a certain person, named Mahosadha, had constructed in order to elude the pursuit of his enemies is an instance which shows that underground structures were also not unknown in those days. The entrance of the great tunnel was provided with a door eighteen cubits high, fitted with a mechanical device so that it could be manipulated by pressing a peg. On either side, the tunnel was built up with bricks and worked with stucco; it was roofed over with planks, smeared with cement and whitened.2

There were different types of public building. The Svayamvara halls rested on hundred columns and were embellished with sportive Śālabhanjikā statues.3 We also come across references to Uvatthānasālā⁴ (attendance hall), Posahasālā⁵ (Fasting hall), Kūdūgūrasūlā6 (pinnacled hall) and square tanks7 (Pokkharini). There were also Attanasala (hall for gymnastic exercises), Majjanaghara (bathing house) and Nhanamandaha8 (bath room).9

2. Religious Architecture

Some literary sources refer to Devakulikas or Chaityas, the worship of which was very popular during the time of

Jā. No. 489.
 Ibid, No. 546.

Nava, pp. 179-52.

Nava, pp. 140-72.
 Kalps, 4, 61 f.
 Nost, I, p. 10.
 Ripa, 94 p. 150.
 Nost 13, p. 142 f.
 Hot-air baths are described in the Ciullaragga.
 Kalpa, 4, 61 f.

Lord Mahāvīra. A Chaitya or Devakulikā was some sort of sacred enclosure containing a garden, grove or park and a shrine. Mahāvīra, Buddha and many other religious ascetics are represented as halting or resting in these shrines. From the Uvāsaga-dasāo, it is known that Mahāvīra visited the shrine of Pūrņabhadra at Champā, the shrine called Dvipalāśa of Vaṇijagrāma, the Koshṭhaka shrine of Bāraṇasī, the garden called Saṅkhavana of Ālabhī, the garden called Sahasrāmravana of Kāmpilyapura, Sahasrāmravana of Polāsapura, the shrine called Gunasila of Rājagriha and the Koshṭhaka shrine of Rājagriha.

In the Mahāparinibbānasutta,¹ Buddha spoke of the efficiency of erecting dhātu-chaityas, and he himself visited Chaityas like Udena, Gotama and Sattambaka of Vaisālī while the Dīghanikāya bears testimony to the fact that the Buddha lived at the Ānanda-Chaitya in Bhojanagara. In the Āśvalāyana Grihya Sūtra, we find for the first time the mention of a Chaitya sacrifice. Whether the reference to the Chaitya by Āśvalāyana is a reference to the Vedic Chaitya or Yajñasthāna or to something else is, of course, a matter of dispute.

Some of these shrines had the form of a temple equipped with doors, hall, etc. We hear of a shrine (Deuliya) about the size of a man's hand and built of one block of stone.2 The images were of wood. There was a hall (Sabhā) attached to the shrine which was besmeared with cow-dung. We hear of the Punnabhadda shrine of Champa which was decorated with umbrella, standards, bells, flags, peacock-feather whisk and railing; the interior floor was coated with cow-dung and the walls white-washed; it bore palm impressions in red Gostsa or Dardara sandal-wood; it was beautified with Chandana kalakas and on the doors were erected Toranas with Chandanaghata decorations. The floor was sprinkled with perfumed water and garlands were hung, and it was fragrant with flowers of five colours, Kalaguru, Kundurukka and Turukka; it was haunted by actors, dancers, rope-walkers, wrestlers, boxers, jesters balladsingers, story-tellers, pole-dancers, picture-showmen, pipers.

^{1.} Chap. III. Secs. 36-47 and especially 47.

^{2.} Utter5. Tr 9, p. 142.

flute-players and minstrels. Many people came to worship at this shrine.1

The evidence of early structures of stūpas is available in the archaeological remains discovered at some places. At a village Lauria Nandangarh in Champaran District of Bihar, three rows of earthen barrows or huge conical mounds of earth have been discovered. These were identified by A. Cunningham as sepulchral mounds, and they belonged too 600 B.C. or earlier. Their character as burial mounds, seems to be supported by the wooden post found in the centre of one of them known as lofty Chaitya-yūpa. Two of the mounds are formed of whitish clay. T. Bloch actually found a repousse gold plaque depicting the earth goddess in the characteristic pose of the ancient Mother-Goddess figurines, also depicted on ancient small rectangular metallic pieces from Rajgir.

The remains of a very early stūpa have been discovered at Piprahwa (District Basti) on the Nepal border, 16 km from Kapilvastu. The stūpa, 116 feet in diameter at the base and 21.5 feet in height at present, was built in brick (16"/11"/3") as a solid cupola, with excellent masonry, well and truly laid, containing a great sandstone coffer, made out of a huge monolith with a lid fixed by clamps having perfect edges which confirm a high standard of craftsmanship. According to an inscription, the stūpa was built by the Śākyas, relatives of Buddha, to enshrine a part of his original relics. The stone box contained, in a casket, not only some scraps bone as relics but several hundreds of other articles of high artistic value, e.g. ornamental forms, flowers and leaves wrought in various semi-precious stones as carnelion, amythist, topaz, garnet, coral, crystal, shell and metal and gold, all in exquisite designs. They included a square gold leaf stamped with a lion, gold leaf stars, dedicately carved miniature leaves of crystal and other substances, Tri-ratna gold leaf cross, a coil of fine silver wire, Svaslika stamped on gold leaf, taurine symbols stamped on gold leaf, small pearls, beads of beryl; topaz etc. a small bird in red-carnellion carved with great skill, an elephant in gold leaf; a figure of the EarthGoddess stamped on gold foil closely resembling Earth-Goddess from Lauriā Nandangarh; another standing female figure heavily draped, having an elaborate fan-like coiffure, marked by some auspicious symbols fixed in the hair. A remarkable decorative design is found on a large disc of gold leaf, consisting of rows of whorls with six wavy arms going round a centre, an intricate form of \bar{Avarta} , covering the whole field in a symmetrical way of forming an intricate $Vv\bar{u}ha$.

Amongst other relics are pots, covered bowls, round relic-caskets, including one made of cut and polished crystal with a lid beautified on the top of a fish-design, most minutely worked and highly polished, which gives an indication of the extremely fine workmanship of the lapidarists, who lived and worked in the sixth or fifth century B.C.

The Jaina stūpa of Mathurā is known to be the work of the gods, from the inscription of the second century A.D.¹ It was probably, therefore, erected several centuries before the Christian era. Jinaprabhasūri, an author of the fourteenth century, has preserved the legend of the foundation and repair of this 'stūpa built by the gods' in his work Tīrthakalpa² which is based on ancient materials. This account confirms the belief that the original stūpa, a small one, was a mound of earth which concealed a miniature stūpa of gold and gems. Later on, it was encased by larger stūpas of bricks and stones. Some scholars ascribe the original one to the third century B.C. If the ascription of the original stūpa to the sixth century B.C. is right, it would be the oldest known specimen of religious architecture.

MATERIAL

As very few structures of so early a period are surviving, a fair idea of the materials used may also be formed from

- 1. V. A. SMITH: The Jain Stupa and other Antiquities of Mathura, p. 12.
- 2. According to this work, the Stūpa was originally of gold, adorned with precious stones, and was erected in honour of the seventh Jina, Supārsvanātha; by Kuberā Yakshī at the desire of two ascetics named Dharmaruchi and Dharmaghosha. During the time of the twentythird Jina, Pārsvanātha, the golden stūpa was encased in bricks, and a stone temple was built outside. The Sanctuary was restored in honour of Pārsvanātha by Bappa Bhaṭṭasūri, thirteen hundred years after the Lord Vīra had reached perfection.

these literary works. The material employed in constructing even ordinary dwelling houses was wood. References to bricks, both burnt and unburnt, are found. Rhys Davids is of opinion that in earlier times "the superstructure of all dwellings was either of wood-work or brick-work." The Vinaya Piţaka, compiled not long after the Parinirvāṇa of Buddha, makes mention of Buddha's permission that his disciples might use bricks in the basement of their halls, stairs and roofings of palaces.²

The use of stone for architectural purpose during this period is a controversial matter. Scholars generally believe that stone was not used in Indian architecture before the third century B.C. as no definite archaeological evidence is now available in this connection. Rhys Davids notices that "in the books referring to this earlier period, there is no mention of stone except for pillars or staircases. A palace of stone is only once mentioned and that is in a fairy land. This palace of stone has been referred to in connection with a Jātaka story".4

This view does not seem to be reasonable. There are some literary references to a few stone buildings which may safely be accepted as reliable evidence of the fact that the ancient Indians knew how to use stone in architecture even in the sixth century B.C. Direct references to the use of stone may be found in the Jātakas also. We read of bases of pillars like mortars of stone⁵, thrones of yellow marble,⁶ hill forts or Giridurga,⁷ stone cutters and stone pillars.⁸ In the Vinaya rules, the Buddha allowed his disciples to make use of stone not only in the basements of their halls, stairs, flooring and walls but also in the roofing of their houses.⁹ This literary evidence proves the existence of stone buildings in the sixth

^{1.} RBI. p. 68.

^{2.} Chv, V. 11. 6; VI.; 3.11.

^{3.} RBI, p. 68.

^{4.} Ja. No. 545.

^{5.} Ibid. No. 514.

б. Ibid. 519.

^{7.} Ibid 516.

^{8.} Ibid, 476.

^{9.} Car. VI. 3. 11.

century B.C. Jarāsandha-ki-Bāiṭhaka at Rājagṛiha, the approximate date of which was the sixth century B.C., if not earlier, and which was built wholly of blocks of stone neatly fitted together without mortar supplies an instructive archaeological proof. Structures of this kind must have been few and far between in the earliest times because wood was generally used for building purpose. This may account for the rarity of stone-building in that age.

During this period, there was a tendency to bid good bye to the age-old building materials like mud and mud-bricks, but a complete switch-over to the more durable material-i.e. burnt bricks, had not been made. It seems that the use of the kiln bricks was largely confined to the structures of public utility. The perplexing discovery of 250 ft. long wall at Rupar, 1 probably an enclosure of a big edifice, a barn and -chain from Hastinapura and remains of tank and well at Ujjain testify to this fact. Structures made of mud and mudbricks still persisted, and they are found at Nagda, Atranjikherā, Hastināpura, Mathurā and Rājghāt. While at Ujjain and Awra, the use of dressed stones with mud for building purposes is also noticed. Small hearths of bamboo and reed have been discovered at Chandraketugarh² and Mathura.³ The discovery of terracotta ring-wells, soakage jars at close intervals, brick and pottery drain4 in the habitual areas reflect, in a way, the high civic sense and sanitation arrangements.

PAINTING

We have no extant specimen of painting because walls, pillars and roofs made of wood and bricks used for purpose of decoration perished in course of time. But it is clear from both the earliest Jaina and Buddhist canonical literature that painting, both secular and religious, was considered an important form of artistic expression and was widely practised by the classes and masses alike. A number of motifs illustrating

^{1. 1.} Ar. A Review, 1953-54, p. 6.

^{2.} Ibid, 1959-60, p. 50.

^{3.} Ibid, 1954-55, p. 15

^{4.} Ibid. 1959-60, p. 60.

scenes from heavenly life, mythical beliefs and Nature are found mentioned.

The Samyukta Nikāya refers to a method of preparing pigments, and the Chullavagga refers to a kind of plaster on which colours were to be painted. In the Chullavagga¹, Buddha is further said to have instructed his disciples on the rules of building and painting on their walls. In some Jaina literary works,² the painters are mentioned along with brushes and colours; first they divided the wall surface and then prepared the surface. There were painters who were adepts in their profession. One painter is mentioned who could portray the complete figure of bipeds (duvaya), quadrupeds (Chauppaya) and objects without feet (Apaya) even if he saw a part of their body. Pictures were drawn on walls as well as on panels.

Portrait-painting was very much in vogue. According to the Vinaya Piṭaka, Āmrapālī invited painters from various countries and asked them to paint on her walls the figures of kings, traders and merchants seen by them; and it was by seeing the portrait of Bimbisāra so painted that she fell in love with him. We are told that a Parivvāiyā painted the portrait of the princess Sujetthā on a board and showed it to king Seṇiya who fell in love with her. Similarly, prince Sagarachanda became enamoured of Kamalamālā when her portrait was shown to him.

The patronage given to painting by kings and wealthy persons during this period is clear from the mention of picture-galleries. The Vinaya Piţaka also makes several references to the pleasure-houses of king Prasenajit, containing Chittāgāras or picture-halls or galleries. One such picture-gallery was built by a banker of Rājagiha in the forest adjoining the city which was decorated with wooden (Kaṭṭha-kamma), earthen (Pottakamma) and plaster decoration (Leppa), wreaths (ganthima), images (Vaḍhima), and dolls (purima) which were stuffed and made of cloth (Saṅghāim). We are

^{1.} Chr. VI. 3. 11.

^{2.} Maya 8, p. 106 f; Unara 35. 4.

^{3.} Nava, 13. p. 142.

told that in the picture-gallery of prince Malladina, the pictures were imbued with coquettish sentiments and feelings (Hāvabhāva), the play of the eyes (Vilāsa) and amorous gestures (Bibboya). Jiyasattu is mentioned as another king who owned a picture-gallery. We are told that when the construction of this gallery was in progress, a painter's daughter formed the design of a peacock feather in the mosaic floor. The king, under a false impression of its being natural, was tempted to pick it up but in this attempt, the nails of his fingers scraped against the floor, and he hurt his hand. Dummuha is mentioned as still another king to have a picture-gallery.

Besides portraiture and mural paintings, we also find mention of such widely-known practices as Lepya-chitras, Lekhya-chitras, D'iūli-chitras, etc. Lepya-chitras are nothing but continuous narratives in lines and colour on textiles, and partook of the nature of paṭa-chitras of later tradition. Lekhya-chitras are probably line-drawings of a decorative nature like Alimpanas or Alpanās of later tradition, while Dhūli-chitras are also of the same nature and character, but the material used is powdered rice, white or coloured.

Trees, mountains, rivers, seas, houses, creepers, full vessel and Sovatthiya etc. were painted. The Rāyapasaniya Sutta4 describes that the Vimāna of Sūryābha Deva was decorated with many kinds of figures and motifs (Bhatti-chitra), c.g. fabulous animals (Īhāmiga), bulls (Usabha), horses (Turaya), Yakshas or Atlantes figures (Nara), crocodiles (Magara), birds (Vihaga), serpents or dragons (Vālaga), Kinnaras (Centaurs), deer (Ruru), Śarabha (lion-like figures), Yak (Chamara), elephants (Kuājara), wild creepers (Vanalayā) and lotus-creepers (Paumalayā). Some of these figures as listed in Jaina texts are almost the same as we find elsewhere, from the stone railings and gateways of Bharhut and Sāūchī painting to pre-existing wooden prototypes.

An interesting list of motifs illustrating scenes from

^{1.} Naya. 8, p. 106 ff.

^{2.} Uttarā, Ti, 9, p. 141.

^{3.} Ibid, p. 135.

^{4.} Rāya. Sū 97 f.

heavenly life and mythical beliefs on the walls of the Great Hall of the Mahā-Ummaga palace is also given:¹

- 1. SAKKA-VILĀSA: Scenes of Indra enjoying dance and music with his heavenly nymphs in Sudharmā Assembly Hall.
- 2. SINERU-PARIBHANDA: The beautiful designs on the vertical faces of the terraces round the mountain Sumeru.
- 3. SAGARA-MAHA-SAGARA: Small and big ponds with lotus and other flowers and a number of watery birds and aquatic animals.
- 4. CHATU-MAHĀDVĪPA: The four continents which faced the four cardinal points of Sumeru.
- 5. HIMAVANTA: The great Himālaya mountain shown with its Kailāsa peak, especially Lake Mānasarovara or Anavatapta with the four great rivers flowing in the four directions.
- 6. ANOTATTA: This was the same as Mānasarovara, the ideal holy lake described in Jaina, Buddhist, and Brahmanical literatures, as the holy lake of Brahmā. The Saptarshis, gods and other divine beings, take their bath in the Anotatta in which the Buddha also is said to have taken his bath.
- 7. MANO-SILĀTALA: The great throne made of red stone which was placed near a pond or in the main Assembly Hall of the palace for the king to sit and rest.
- S. CHANDA-SŪRIYA: The motif of the Moon and the Sun who were drawn as gods in human form or in natural form.
- 9. CHĀTUM-MAHĀRĀJIKA: The four Mahārājika Gods with their courtly attendants, viz., Vaiśravaņa, king of Yakshas in the north; Dhritrāshtra, king of Gandharvas in the east; Virūḍhaka, king of the Kumbhānḍas in the south; and Virūpāksha, king of the Nāgas in the West.
- 10. CHHA-KĀMA-SAGGA: i.e. the six heavens of sensuous pleasures, popularly conceived as abodes of happiness and longevity, same as Kāmāvachara Deva-loka.

Mahā Ummaga Jā, VI, 432.

Some paintings of this period seem to have been preserved in rock shelters discovered at Mahadeo Hills round Pachmarhi, Singhanpur and Kabra Pahar, Bhim Baithaka, near Bhopal, Mori in District Mandsor, Likhunia, Kohbar, Mehraria, Bhaldaria and Bijaigarh in Mirzapur area, and Manikpur in Banda District. The paintings both of prehistoric and historic periods have been found. During the historic period, the cultural scene changed from that of primitive hunters to that of well-armed warriors and mounted horsemen in the battle field where archers and swordmen are engaged in fierce action, Armed cattle raiders are also seen. Besides, the home life of the people is also depicted, e.g. a man playing on a harp; a woman pounding roots and grinding grain; huts with women inside; men and women dancing in groups and pairs; men playing drums and a double pipe, entertained by a performing monkey and a dancing bear. Cattle and birds of various kinds, including geese and peacocks and also pigs and dogs, are represented-virtually a cross-section of the life of the people. In Mahadeo Hills, near Pachmarhi, we find bun hairdressing, loin-cloth ending in a tail between the legs, bows and quivers, straight swords, leaf-shaped daggers and round shields. There are a few mythological figures as well-a heroic personage in a vimana or sky-chariot and a giant leading a tiger with a rope as if he were a pet dog. Another subject shows a male person resisting a lion or tiger on one side and a wild bull on the other while the cattle thus protected are moving below. Cave paintings at Manikpur show mounted archers and a person seated in a wheelless bullock cart. The Mori rock paintings depict animals, dancing human figures, and pastoral scenes.

SCULPTURES

Even before the time of Lord Mahāvīra, there were traces of image-worship. The Indus-valley civilization revealed innumerable sculptures in terra-cotta, stone, and bronze, Image-worship must have existed in the Vedic period among the lower stratum of society, even if not among the followers of the Vedas. The custom of image-worship was definitely in

vogue in India in the later Vedic period.¹ The Maitrāyaṇi-Samhitā, while referring to the names of several gods and goddesses, also describes the iconographic features of some of them. Thus the names Karaṭa Hastimukha and Chaturmukha Padmāsana of Gaṇeśa and Brahmā respectively indicate the iconography of those deities. Similarly, the Taittiriya Āraṇyaka refers to the traits of some of the gods such as Vakratuṇḍadanti i.e. Gaṇeśa), Mahāsena Shaṇmukha (Kārttikeya), Suvarṇapaksha Garuḍa. Vajranakha-Tīkshṇadaṃshṭra-Narasiṃha. The Mahānārāyaṇa Upanishad² further elaborates iconography of some of these gods who were, according to J.N. Banerjee, mostly folk-gods absorbed in the Vedic society. The iconographic traits of the folk-gods, such as Yakshas, also arose in the later Vedic age.

The earliest materials for constructing images seem to have been wood. The *Vyavahāra Bhāshya* refers to the sage Vārattaka whose wooden figure was built and worshipped by his son.³ We also hear of images made of plaster, ivory, and stone.⁴ During the time of Mahāvīra, the worship of Yakshas was popular. Both Mahāvīra and the Buddha are known to have stayed in these Yaksha temples.

The images of these Yakshas were made of wood. Jaina traditions tell us that Pradyota, the king of Ujjain, installed the Jivanta Svāmī (life-time) images of Mahāvīra at Ujjain, Daśapura, and Vidiśā. The Jātaka stories refer to the statues of Indra (Sakka). One Jātaka story refers to a boy "as lovely as Brahmā" which indicates the beauty of the image of Brahmā of that period. The Siri-kālakanni Jātaka offers a description of the goddess Kālakanni which may be regarded as containing the iconographic features of a prototype of the goddess Kāli in her dreadful form.

^{1.} J. N. Banerjea: The Development of Hindu Iconography, pp 576-78.

Vya. Bhā IV. 1-18.

^{3.} Ibid, 2. 11.

^{4.} Bih. Bhā 1. 2469.

^{5.} Jaina Tirtha Sarva Samgraha, p. 322.

^{6.} Ja. No. 541.

^{7.} Ibid, No. 118.

⁻S. Ibid, No. 382.

In the Sūtra period, we find definite references to icons. The iconographic features of many gods seem to have been fixed in this period. The Baudhāyana Grihyasūtra refers to Jyeshthā; the Āpastamba to Īśāna Mīḍhuṣī and Jayanta; the Pāraskara to Īśāna, Mīḍhuṣī, Jayanta, Śrī., Dhanapati, Bhadrakālī, Kshetrapāla, etc. Pāṇini's Grammar also contains reference to images.¹ The Āśvalāyana Grihyasūtra Pariśishṭa² describes the inconography of many Vedic and Puranic gods.

TERRACOTTAS

The art of terra-cottas known as clay-figurines outlived the art of sculptures. The earliest female figures, all hand-modelled and belonging to the Indus-Valley civilization (2500 B.C.), are (1) female figures and (2) animal figures. The female figurines, though rudimentary as specimens of art, are marked by bold expression. The animal figurines, on the other hand, both of faience and clay, are much more finished and realistic. In the figurines of a bull and a tiger, the vigour and charm of animal life are seen at their best. The terra-cotta objects of the Chalcolithic period are human and animal figures, pottery discs, wheels, etc. Among the animal figures, those of bulls are in large number.

About the terracotta figurines during the time of Mahā-vīra, we get some knowledge both from literary and archaeo-logical sources. In the Bhaddasāla Jātaka, reference is found to princes receiving presents of elephants, horses, and other toys from their mother's father. We come across a mechanical image (Jantapadimā) of a human being which could walk, open and shut its eyes. Another specimen of fine workmanship in mechanical toy is supplied by the mechanical elephant (Jantamayahatthi) manufactured by king Pradyota to capture Udayana of Kauśāmbī.

- 1. India as known to Pāṇini, pp. 361-364.
- 2. Ch. 1. Sec. VI and Ch. II. Sec. V.
- 3. Jā, No. 465.
- 4. Brih. Bhā, 4. 4915.
- 5. Āra Chū II, p. 161.

The terra-cotta figurines belonging to this period like those of the preceding cultures are hand-made, but they are important for their modelling, surface treatment, details and continuity of the tradition in a developed form. The figurines are better modelled than the specimens of the preceding cultures. Production of human and animal models in grey, N.B.P. and red ware is evident in the period. The occurrence of human models is comparatively more than the preceding post-Harappan chalcolithic cultures. The use of a pedestal for the figurine disappears.

The terra-cotta figurines of this age are obtained from such sites as Hastināpura, Mathurā, Ahichchhatra, Rajghat, Prahladpur, Sarai Mohana, Masaon, Śrāvastī, Sonepur, Pāṭalīputra, Chiranda, Kayatha, Burar, Sugh, and Noh.¹ They are decorated by incision, circles, and stamping. The circlets became common in this period for expressing, along with the old technique, anatomical details and decoration on the body. It was probably a development over 'applique' and pinch' technique. It introduced a new trend in the tradition which involved less time, lively execution, and, lastly quick production of the figurines. This idea of punching the circlets on the figurines was probably borrowed from punch-marked coins. The stamping of the figurines with Chakra and leaf symbol seems to have been a later development in the period.

From the specimens discovered at Rājghāt, it is clear that there is closer similarity in the slip, polish, and painting with the black slipped and N.B.P. ware pottery. This clearly indicates that the artist modeller was inspired by the potter's technique. The figurines discovered from Pāṭaltputra are important in exhibiting the composite technique. In the figurines, the eyes, breasts, and genitals are clearly shown by punched circlets, while hair and fingers are indicated by incision. The use of the composite technique for modelling can be further attested by the Nāga figurines discovered at Sonepur; the breasts and eyes of the specimen; are shown by the applique method while other details are depicted by punched circlets and incision. The animal figurines discovered at

^{1.} Journal of the Orlewal Institute, Baro D. XXII, p. 290.

Mathurā and Masaon are stamped with *Chakra* and leaf. Similar symbols along with circlets have also been noticed on the elephant figurines at Hastināpura. Painted terra-cottas have been discovered at Noh and Buxar (Charitravan).

CERAMICS

That this period witnessed a great boom in the ceramic activities is clear both from literary and archaeological evid-From the Uvāsagadasāo¹, it is learnt that Saddālaputta. a Śrāvaka of Mahavira, owned, outside the town of Polasapura, five hundred pottery shops where people prepared a large number of bowls, pots, and pitchers and jars of different sizes. At Rajagriha, there was a Magadhan potter. Bhagava. in whose workshop the Buddha spent a night.2 Mankhali Gośāla also had his headquarters at Sāvatthi in the workshop of the potter woman, Hālāhalā.3 The archaeological excavations conducted at different sites give us an idea of the ceramics used by the people. This period was noteworthy for the introduction of some new fabrics, the most important of which was the North Black Polished Ware. Black slipped Ware, Red and Black Ware, Grey Ware and Red Ware were the associate potteries of this age which met the increasing demand of the people.

Smoothness and lustre are the characteristics of the North Black Polished Ware. We may describe it as the prince of Indian potteries. As it was a costly ware and used by aristocrats, it was praised as a ware de luxe. It is made of well levigated clay and fired under very high temperature. It is of various shades and colours, such as golden, silvery, pinkish, gold-blue, brown-black, and steel-blue. The chief earthenware vessels produced by this pottery include dishes with incurved sides, bowls with straight convex, corrugated or tapering sides, lids, and rimless carinated handiwork.

This Northern Black Polished Ware seems to have originated in Magadha in the seventh century B.C., and became very popular in the Gangetic valley in the sixth century B.C.

^{1.} Uvā, p. 119.

^{2.} Abort 1926-27, p. 165.

^{3.} Bhag, XV.

This ware has been obtained from several sites in Bihar such as Bodha-Gaya, Vaiśālī, Rajgir, Chirand and Sonpur. At Sarnath, Kauśāmbī, Rajghat and Śrāvastī, large quantities of this ware in various shades and in fine fabric have been found, though not as frequently as that found in Bihar. At Taxila, Rupar, Atrañjikheda, Hastināpura, Tamluk, Śiśupālagarh, and Amaravati, it has been found in a small quantity, and that also in one or two sherds only. Ujjain was a separate centre of this pottery, but here it was of a poorer quality. The political expansion of Magadha is responsible for the spread of this ware in different parts of the country, but commerce and religion are also no less important factors.

METAL OBJECTS

Different kinds of metal objects recovered from early historical sites in the excavations give an idea of the state of art during this period. Some objects were used for ornaments, while others served domestic and other purposes. Such ornaments as ear-lobes, torques of different shapes, necklaces, bangles, pendants and rings made of different materials like terracotta, precious stones, glass, ivory, bone, and copper began to be used by women for adornment. Those who could not afford to have precious ornaments made of stone or copper, contented themselves with earthen beads, bangles, and earstuds, while on the other hand, the rich section adorned themselves with ornaments of precious stones like shell, agate, carnelian, amethyst, soapstone, and glass.

Beads of different shapes, sizes and designs, have been obtained from Ujjain, Nagda, Maheśvara, Avra, Eran, Bharoch, Sonpur, etc. They are of different shapes barrel-like, spherical, and triangular. These are made of agate, carnelian, faience, steatite, terracotta, shell, glass, paste, etc. Nagda and Sonpur have offered pendants made of ivory and crystal respectively. The finding of unfinished beads at Avra and Ujjain proves the existence of local industries for their manufacture.

The toiletry included antimony rods of copper, hair pins of hone, combs of ivory, terracotta flesh rubber, and nailparer. The extensive use of iron during this period bears testimony to the advancement made in the technical knowledge of smelting and forging iron implements. The excavations at Ujjain serve as evidence of it. The manufacture of weapons like lances, spears, javelines, arrow-heads and daggers proves that people were better equipped for war purposes than before. For both war and domestic purposes, pans, lamps, nails, knife blades, clamps, etc. began to be prepared from iron. Further, the use of iron implements brought momentum into the field of agriculture, as a result of which ploughing and harvesting became easy with iron plough, sickle, and hoe. Tools, such as drills, adze, and chisel which boosted the woodcraft of the period, began to be manufactured.

While the use of iron increased, that of copper became limited. It was now used in the production of punchmarked and cast coins and also for manufacturing antimony rods, toys, rings, and beads. The use of silver is also attested by the discovery of silver punchmarked coins.

BONE AND STONE OBJECTS

A large number of bone objects have been uncarthed from different archaeological sites. These are points, styluses, arrow heads, etc. Perhaps bone points and arrow heads were used in hunting small birds. Some polished stone celts have been discovered from Sonpur, Chirand, Vaiśālī, and Oriup in Bihar, Jaugada and Śiśupālagarh in Orissa and Taxila in the North West. These were employed to cut down forests and bring wider areas under cultivation and settlement in the neighbourhood of urban centres.

SYMBOLS ON COINS

Punchmarked coins in the sixth century B.C., such as Kārshāpaṇas, have a number of symbols punched upon them by different punches one after another. These symbols are important from the artistic point of view. They are known to us from the coins of Bihar mound hoard of Taxila, Paila hoard, Ahaura hoard, and Golakhpur hoard. The Sun, the six-armed symbol, a hill above a tank with two fish, a peculiar symbol surrounded with five taurines, a hare and a bull on a

hill—these were the current symbols on the punchmarked coins in the sixth and fifth century B.C. The Sun is represented as a rayed figure with a circle in the centre having a point or pellet within it. The rays are both thick and thin, straight and curved. The six-armed symbol consists of six spokes crossing at the centre, the six arms being tipped with ovals, globes, tridents, taurines, arrow-heads, triangles, balls, heart-shaped signs, dumbles, etc.

MISCELLANEOUS OBJECTS

There are some miscellaneous objects also which give an idea of the art of this period. These include seals and sealings, potter's dabbers, potter's stamps, stone pestles and querns, stone discs, and dice made of terracotta and bone. Clay spundles prove that weaving was practised. Ring wells recovered from the excavations at Ujjain, Hastināpura and Kauśāmbī reveal that they were used for storing grain and other domestic purposes.

CHAPTER X

EDUCATION, LITERATURE AND SCIENCES

The period of Lord Mahavira can justly be regarded as the most creative epoch in the spheres of education, literature and the sciences. Education acquired greater complexity and exactitude, and produced specialists in the form of private teachers in different branches of learning. Another development was the art of writing, which proved to be instrumental in the advancement of learning and the diffusion of knowledge. Prakrits (Vernaculars) grew as literary languages. Different religious teachers contributed to the growth and development of literature of their respective sects. As a result, there was a prolific output of religious literature in which instruction was imparted through oral methods. The Sūtra (a short rule) style was devised to memorise this type of literature, and it became a special feature of the age. This literature survived for considerable time in the form of oral traditions. and was codified in local dialects with habitual interpolations.

EDUCATION

When there was neither any printing press nor an easy means of communication from one place to another, the religious teachers, who wandered from place to place propagating their doctrines, proved to be potential media of mass education. True education was not understood as comprising merely of reading books, but as self-culture and self-development. It was regarded as a process of illumination which brought about harmonious development of physical, intellectual and spiritual faculties of man. Education was understood as the acquisition of knowledge by which a person achieves an understanding of words and their meaning and thus finds his way in the forest of the fourfold Samsāra; like a needle with its thread, the scul possessing sacred knowledge will not be lost in the Samsāra. If one performs all prescribed actions relating to knowledge,

discipline, austerities and conduct, and is well-versed in his own as well as heterodox creeds, he will become invincible.1

AIMS AND IDEALS OF EDUCATION

As this age is characterised by the rise of different religious sects and schools, it was natural that the infusion of piety and religiousness among students was regarded as the first and foremost aim of education. Different religious rituals, observances, prayers and festivals tended to foster piety and religiousness in the mind of young students. The formation of character by the proper cultivation of the moral feeling was the second aim of education. Character was considered to be more important than learning. He alone was learned who was righteous. During this period, students lived in hermitages (Aśramas) under the direct and personal supervision of their teacher who was not only responsible for their intellectual progress but also looked after their moral conduct.

The development of personality was the third aim of educational system. This was sought to be realised by eulogising the feeling of self-respect, by encouraging the sense of self-confidence, by inculcating the virtue of self-restraint and by fostering the powers of discrimination and judgement. The feeling of self-respect was developed among students by giving them honourable place in society. Self-confidence was fostered by emphasising self-reliance. For the attainment of self-restraint, simplicity in life and habits was insisted upon. The different branches of learning such as Logic, law and philosophy, bristling with controversies, helped to develop the powers of discrimination and judgement.

The inculcation of civic and social duties was the fourth aim of education. After finishing his studies, the student was not to lead a self-centred life but had to work for the good of the whole society. The promotion of social efficiency and happiness was the fifth aim of education. A large number of professions and industries came into existence during this period and the society accepted the theory of division of work. Each trade, guild and family trained its

members in its own profession. Differentiation of functions and their hereditary specialisation in families naturally heightened the efficiency of trades and professions.

The preservation and transmission of cultural heritage was another important aim of education. It was incumbent on the religious teachers to commit their respective sacred books to memory in order to ensure their transmission to unborn generations. Members of the professions were also to train their children along their own lines. These religious and professional teachers were not only preserving the knowledge of the ancients in these branches, but constantly increasing its boundaries by their own contributions. For the preservation of cultural traditions, special methods were adopted. The theory of three debts was propounded. of all, one owes a debt to gods, and one can liquidate it only by learning how to perform proper sacrifices and by regularly offering them. Religious traditions of the race were thus preserved. Secondly, one owes a debt to Rishis or savants of the bygone ages and one can discharge it only by studying their works and continuing their literary and professional traditions. This enabled one to master and maintain the best literary and professional traditions. The third debt was to ancestors, which could be rapaid only by raising progeny and by imparting proper education to it. There were also practices of Svādhyāya and Rsitarpaņa; the former enjoined a daily recapitulation of at least a portion of what was learnt during student-life and the latter required a daily tribute of gratitude to be paid to the literary giants of the past at the time of daily prayers.

SOME EDUCATIONAL PRINCIPLES AND POSTULATES

The aim of the educational system was not to impart general education but to train experts in different branches of learning. It took particular care to train and develop memory. Education was available to all those who were qualified to receive it. The *Upanayana* ritual, which marked the beginning of religious and literary education, was made obligatory both for males and females. Teaching was considered to be a pious duty which was to be discharged without any consideration

for fee. In order to bring education within the reach of the poorest, it not only permitted students to beg but elevated begging itself into the highest duty of student-life.

Education was a serious proposition. At the time of study, students had to lead a celibate life. Long, continued and laborious preparation was necessary to acquire real grounding and efficiency in a subject.

Both the rich and the poor had to submit to stern discipline in order to become learned. The fifth year and the eighth year were considered to be the proper time for the beginning of primary and secondary education respectively.

The Gurukula system was one of the most important features of the pattern of education during this period. The student began to live under the supervision of his teacher after his Upanayana. Direct, personal and continuous contact with a teacher produced a powerful effect on students. The general belief that Gurukulas (hermitages) were founded in forests, away from the din of city life, is only partly correct. In majority of cases, Gurukulas were located in villages or towns. The famous Gurukulas during this period, as known to us from Buddhist literature, were situated at Rājagriha, Champā, Vaiśālī, Nālandā, Śrāvastī, etc.

TEACHER AND STUDENT

The teacher was held in high reverence in society. He was to lead the pupil from darkness of ignorance to the light of learning.¹ He was considered to be a spiritual and intellectual father because he used to offer a new life, and no education was possible without his help and guidance.² During this period, sacred learning was transmitted orally from one generation to another. Great importance was attached to proper accent and pronunciation in its recitation and it could be correctly learnt only from the speech of a properly qualified teacher. Spiritual salvation also depended upon the proper guidance by the teacher. Books being rare and costly, the student had generally to rely upon his teacher alone. In the case of professions, a good deal had to be learnt from the teacher.

^{1.} Āp. Dh. S, i, 10, 11.

^{2.} Ibid. 1.1. 1. 12-17.

There were different classes of teachers such as $\bar{A}ch\bar{a}rya$, $Pravakt\bar{a}$, $\dot{S}rotriya$, $Up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}ya$ and $Adhy\bar{a}paka$. There was no course of teacher's training prescribed for these different categories of teachers. During the course of study, brilliant students acquired sufficient experience of teaching. They participated in debates, and discussions, and they were also provided opportunities for teaching.

The teacher was an ideal person of high character and was to treat his students impartially. He was well grounded in his own branch of knowledge and was to continue its study throughout his life. In the Sūtrakṛitānga¹, the ideal teacher has been described as follows: "He is not to conceal or contradict the truth, not to show any pride and not to denounce teachers of other religions. He is to be a genuine scholar having complete knowledge of all other religions. His life is to be full of penances and his speech should be chaste." Baudhāyana² insists that the teacher should teach his student the sacred science with whole-hearted attention without withholding from him any part of the whole Law. The generosity and large-heartedness of teachers can be judged from the conduct and exclamation of Alāra Kalāma, when the future Buddha had finished his education under him:

"Happy friends are we in that we look upon such a venerable one, such a fellow ascetic as you. The doctrine which I know, you too know, and the doctrine which you know, I too know. As I am, so you are, as you are, so am I. Pray, Sir, let us be joint wardens of this company".

The teacher was to adopt and love the pupil as his own son.⁴ Though it was the duty of the pupil to render services to the teacher to please him, the teacher must be careful to see that the pupil is not exploited for his own purposes to an extent detrimental to his studies. Such services were meant for the pupil's own moral improvement and not solely for the practical benefits of the teacher. In times of distress,

^{1.} Sūtra, 1. 14; 19-27.

^{2.} Bau. Dh. S, i. 2. 48.

^{3.} Further Dialogues of the Buddha, Ariyaparicesana Sutta, p. 116

^{4.} Bau. Dh. S, i. 2. 48.

however, the teacher was permitted to accept the assistance of his pupil.

The teacher had no fixed income. It consisted partly of offerings obtained by him on occasions of rituals and sacrifices and partly of voluntary gifts given by his students either during or after their course of study. The respectable status of a teacher depended not on his wealth but on his scholarship and character.

The relations between the teacher and the student were direct and not merely institutional. They were very cordially intimate, united, to quote the words of the Buddha, 'by mutual reverence, confidence and communion of life.' A good pupil never disobeyed his teacher or behaved rudely with him; he never told a lie and always carried out his command like a thorough-bred horse. If he perceived the teacher in an angry mood, he pacified him by meekness, appeased him with folded hands and avowed not to do wrong again. It is stated that a pupil should not sit by the side of the teacher, not before him, nor behind him; he should never ask questions when sitting on a stool or his bed, but always rising from his seat and coming near, he should ask him with folded hands.²

There were bad pupils too. They received kicks and blows from their teachers. They were also beaten with sticks and addressed with harsh words.³ Bad students are compared with bad bullocks who break down through want of zeal. Such pupils, if sent on an errant, did not do what they were asked to do, but strolled about wherever they liked. Sometimes, teachers were tired of such pupils, left them to their fate, and retired to the forest.⁴

It does not mean, however, that the student was to follow blindly even his teacher's misconduct. Both Buddha and Apastamba, who enjoin high reverence for the teacher, lay down that the student should draw his teacher's attention in private to his failings, dissuade him from wrong views if he happened to be inclined towards them; the duty of obedience

^{1.} Mv I. 32. I.

^{2.} Uttarā, I. 13 f, 12, 41, 18, 22.

^{3.} Ibid, 33; ibid, 3, 65a; also Ja, II, p. 279.

^{4.} Uttarā, 27. 8, 13, 16.

comes to an end if the teacher transgresses the limits of *Dharma*. His commands were to be regarded as ultravires, if they were likely to jeopardise the student's life or were against the law of the land.

PRIVATE TEACHERS AND OTHER AGENCIES

As education became more complex and exact during this period, specialists started appearing in the form of private teachers. They were to be found scattered all over the country, but they used to congregate in large numbers in certain places on account of the facilities they received. Such places were usually capitals of kingdoms and famous holy places. Taxila and Banaras became well known educational centres where a number of famous scholars imparted education in their individual capacity but did not as a rule combine to form any colleges. If the number of pupils under any teacher happened to be large, he would either engage an assistant teacher, or assign part of the work to brilliant advanced students.¹

Besides these private teachers, the followers of different Vedas had formed their own Academies of learning called the Charaṇas. These Charaṇas were merely loose organisations based upon a fellowship of teachers and students working at different centres but promoting the study of particular Vedic $S\bar{a}kh\bar{a}$. At different centres of learning, there were Councils of learned men known as Parishads which also worked as agencies of education. After completing their education, students were to present themselves for a test of their knowledge.

EDUCATIONAL CENTRES

Taxila became a widely known scat of learning during this period. It had many famous teachers to whom hundreds of students flocked for higher education from distant places like Rājagriha, Vaišālī, Banaras, Ujjayinī and Mithilā. These teachers were not members of any organized institution like college or University but every teacher, assisted by his advanced students, formed an institution by himself. One such institution under a world-renowned teacher had five

1. Anabhirati Ja, II p. 185 and Mahadhammepala Ja, IV, p. 447.

hundred students under his charge.1 From the Sutasoma . Fātaka, it is known that one of the archery schools at Taxila had on its roll 103 princes from different parts of India.2 Heir-apparents of Banaras came to this place for higher studies.3 King Prasenajit of Kośala, a contemporary of Mahāvīra, was educated here. Prince Jīvaka, an illegitimate son of Bimbisāra, spent seven years at Taxila in learning medicine and surgery. As Pāṇini hailed from Śalātura near Attock, he also must have been on the alumni of Taxila University.

Generally, students used to go to Taxila for higher studies at the age of sixteen. As a general rule, they stayed with their teachers. Those, who were rich like prince Junha from Banaras, used to have separate special houses for their residence.4 The well-to-do students used to pay their lodging and boarding expenses along with their fees, sometimes even at the beginning of their course. Poor students, who were unable to pay fees, used to work in their teacher's house by day, Special classes were held for them at night.

Next to Taxila, Banaras was an eminent seat of learning. In the earlier period, one of its kings, Ajātaśatru had been a great philosopher and a patron of learning. Many of the teachers of this place had been students of Taxila.5 It seems that Banaras, as a seat of learning, was largely the creation of the ex-students of Taxila. In the course of time, the teachers of Banaras began to attract scholars from far and wide. Kosiya and Tittiri Jatakas refer to the famous teachers of Banaras maintaining schools for the teaching of three Vedas and eighteen Sippas, and Akitta Jataka describes how students used to flock to Banaras for higher education, when they were about 16 years of age. The son of a Brāhmana magnate worth eighty crores was educated in Banaras.6 There were again certain subjects in the teaching of which Banaras seems to have specialized. There is a reference, for instance, to a school of

^{1.} Jā. I, No. 239, 317, 402; III, 18. 235, 143, 171 etc.

^{2.} Ibid, V, p. 407.

^{3. 1}bid, No. 252.

^{4:} Jā, No 456. 5: Ibif, No. 150, See also No. 80.

^{6.} Ja, Vol. IV, 237,

Music presided over by an expert who was "the chief of his kind in all India." Buddha selected this place for the first promulgation of his gospel because it became the famous seat of learning in eastern India. It it stated that prince Agadadatta of Sankhapura went to Banaras for study. He stayed in the house of his teacher, and returned home after completing the course of study. Savatthi² is mentioned as another centre of education.³

Mahāli⁴ a native of Vaiśālī, is known to have gone to Takshaśilā for learning Śilpa or arts. After the completion of his studies, when he came back home, he trained five hundred Lichchhavis. These five hundred again, after finishing their courses, instructed many in different parts of the country. Vaiśālī itself was a centre of learning.⁵ The Lichchhavis were so much interested in high religious and philosophical discussions that they built a Kūṭāgāra Hall,⁶ where such discussions took place. The Buddha gave many of his discourses at this place.

HERMITAGES AS CENTRES OF LEARNING

The educational system of this period produced men of affairs as well as those who renounced the world in the pursuit of Truth. The life of renunciation indeed claimed many an ex-student of both Taxila and Benaras. In the sylvan and solitary retreats away from the busy life of cities, the hermitages served as schools of higher philosophical speculation and religious training. These special schools of spiritual study are also referred to as being consisted of 500 ascetics gathering round the personality of an individual hermit of established reputation to impart instruction as his disciples. Such hermitages were generally established in the Himālayas. Sometimes,

- 1. Jã, No. 243.
- 2. Uttarā. Tī, 4, p. 83.
- 3. Ibid. 2, p. 22.
- 4. FAUSBOLL: Dhammapadam (Old edition), p. 211.
- 5. Chullakālinga Jā, No. 301.
- 6. RHYS DAVIDS: Surangalavilāsin, Pt. I, PTS, London, 1886
- 7. $\tilde{j}\bar{a}$, Vol. I, 141.
- 8. Ibid, Vol. I, 406, 431; III, 143; IV, 74.

however, they were built near the centres of population in order to have facilities for attracting recruits.

SUBJECTS OF STUDY

In the Bhagavatisūtra,¹ eighteen subjects—six Vedas, six Vedāngas and six Upāngas have been mentioned for study. In the Uttarādhyayana Tikā,² we find the following fourteen subjects of study—4 Vedas, 6 Vedāngas, Mimānsā, Nyāya, Purāṇa, and Dharmmasattha. Seventy two Kalās are frequently mentioned in Jaina texts. The list contains the Sippas and also the list of traditional knowledge and sciences. These Kalās may be classified under thirteen heads—1. Reading and writing; 2. Poetry; 3. Sculpture; 4. Music; 5. Clay-modelling; 6. Gambling; sports and indoor games; 7. Personal hygiene, toilet and food; 8. knowledge of various marks and signs; 9. The science of omens; 10 Astronomy; 11. Alchemy; 12. Architecture and 13. Art of fighting.³

The three Vedas, Grammar, Philosophy, Law and eighteen Sippas were the principal subjects selected for specialisation at Taxila. Among the latter were included Medicine, Surgery, Archery and allied military arts, Astronomy, Astrology, Divination, Accountancy, Commerce, Agriculture, Conveyancing, Magic, Snake charming, the art of finding treasurers, Music, Dancing and Painting. Jīvaka had gone to this place for studying medicine and surgery and two youths from Banaras went there for studying Archery and Elephant Lore. Two Chandala boys from Ujjayini in the disguise of young Brahmanas visited Taxila for the study of law.4 There were no caste restrictions on the choice of subject; Kshatriyas used to study the Vedas along with Brāhmaņas and the latter used to specialise in archery along with the Kshatriyas. A Brāhmana royal priest of Banaras had once sent his son to Taxila not to learn the Vedas but to specialise in Archery.5 Similar subjects were also taught at Banaras and other educational centres.

^{1.} Bhag, 5, 3. 3. 185.

^{2.} Uttarā, Ti. 3, p, 56.

^{3.} JLAIDJO, pp. 172-173.

^{4.} Jā, No. 498.

^{5.} Ibid. 522.

HOLIDAYS

A systematic list of holidays has been given in the Brahmanical literature.1 Interruptions of study were allowed for a variety of causes and circumstances. The principal cause of such interruption was the occurrence of certain natural phenomena—untimely clouds, thunder, heavy showers, frost, dust-storms etc. Secondly, the standing list of holidays included the following: four in the month at an interval of a week, the new and full moon days and the eighth day of each fortnight; certain other days were set apart for religious ceremonies and festival days. Thirdly, study was forbidden in the event of certain political or other incidents taking place, e.g. when the peace of the settlement was disturbed by an invasion or by incursions of robbers or cattlelifters, or when the king or a Brāhmana had met with an accident or died. Arrival of distinguished guests led to the suspension of studies. Fourthly, study was to be stopped when certain sounds were heard, e.g. howling of jackals, barking of dogs, braying of donkeys, grunting of camels, cry of a wolf, screeching of an owl; the sound of an arrow, of a large or small drum; the noise of a chariot and the wail of a person in pain or weeping.

ORGANIZATION AND DURATION OF COURSES

There was no clear cut course of a definite duration in different subjects because education was mostly imparted by private teachers without any government control. The duration and contents of the course were therefore largely determined by the will, capacity and convenience of the student. Those, who were content with a superficial knowledge, used to return home in six or even three years. Persons desiring higher education had to spend about 15 or 16 years subsequent to the time of his *Upanayana* at the age of eight or nine. Usually one could finish education and become an expert in one particular subject at about the age of 24 which was regarded as the ideal age for marriage. Actuated by spiritual motives, some persons used to observe life-long celibacy

^{1,} Gau. Dh. S, II. 7; Bau. Dh. S. I. 11. The works of Vasishtha, Vishnu and Vikhānas a hardly add anything new regarding interruption of study.

and devote their time entirely to religion and education. They were known as Naishthika Brahmachārins. Their primary motive was spiritual salvation, but they set out to achieve this not by penance or meditation, but by the dedication of a life of celibacy to the cause of the sacred lore.

FEMALE EDUCATION

The permission granted by Mahāvīra and the Buddha for the admission of women into their respective Orders, provided an impetus to the spread of education and philosophy among the ladies. Some of them distinguished themselves as teachers and preachers. They used to lead a life of celibacy, with the aim of understanding and following the eternal truths of religion and philosophy. Ajita Chandanā became the first disciple of Mahāvīra under whom a large number of nuns practised the rules of right conduct and attained salvation.1 Another famous lady Jayantī, the sister of king Sayānīva of Kośāmbī, abandoned her royal robe and became a devout nun.2 Some of the nuns well-versed in the knowledgeof the sacred texts became teachers of the junior nuns.

The ladies who entered the Buddhist order were known Theris, some of whom made themselves off. The most distinguished of them was Dhammadinnā who brought about her husband's spiritual salvation. She solved all difficult metaphysical problems with the ease of 'one who severs the stalk of a lotus with the sword.' Mahāprajāpati, the sister of the Buddha's mother, who entered the Order with a following of 500 other Śākya ladies constituting the Order of Nuns, was hardly inferior to any of the monks in piety and learning. Kisā Gotami was known for her progress in virtue and philosophical learning. Sukkā was such a successful speaker and preacher that, to hear her speak, people would flock out of the city and not feel tired of listening to her.3

When a large number of ladies were receiving higher education and were making their own contributions to the growth of knowledge, it is but natural to suppose that some of them

^{1.} Anta, 8; Kalpa, 5. 135.

Bhag, 12 2.
 C. A. Foley's article in the Ninth Oriental Congress Report Vol. I, pp, 340 f. See also A. S. ALTEKAR: Ancient Indian Education, pp.

must have followed the profession of teaching. Āchārvā¹ and Ubādhyāyā were the titles of female teachers. Pānini refers to female students as Chhātri and their hostels Chhātrīsālā.2 These hostels were probably under the superintendence of lady teachers, who had made teaching their profession.

Women students were divided into two classes - Sadvodvāhas and Brahmavādinis. The Sadyodvāhas used to prosecute their studies until their marriage at the age of 15 or 16. Girls could remain unmarried until the age of 16 and the Upanayana was as common in the case of girls as it was in the case of boys. During the eight or nine years, they used to learn religious hymns prescribed for daily and periodical prayers and for those rituals and sacraments in which they were to take active part after marriage. Like men, women used to offer their prayers regularly in the morning and in the evening. Brahmavādinīs aimed at high excellence in scholarship. They were lifelong students of Theology and Philosophy.

ART OF WRITING

The period of Lord Mahāvīra is noteworthy for the evolution of the art of writing. G.H. OJHA3, R.B. PANDEY,4 and D.R. BHANDARKAR⁵ are of the opinion that a system of writing was prevalent even earlier during the Vedic period. But, most of the indologists do not ascribe to this view. Since no positive evidence regarding writing has been found in Vedic literature, it is not possible to hazard any final conclusion.

The definite traces of writing hail from the sixth century B.C. The Pāli Tripitakas give numerous references to writing and the material used for it. Pitaka means 'basket' which implies something to hold or contain—a written document. References to writing occur in the Vinaya Pitaka at many places. The terms Lekhaka6 and Lekhāpeti7 are used for 'writer' and 'caused to be written' respectively. Further, a 'letter-game' known as Akkharikā clearly indicates that some-

^{1.} India as known to Pānini, p. 288.

Pā, V1. 2. S6.
 Prāchinalipimālā, p. 12.
 Indian Palaeography, p. 15.
 Sir Asutosh Mookerjee Silver Jubilee Volumes, Vol. III, p. 494 ff. 6. Vin, IV-8.

^{7.} Ibid, II-110.

sort of writing was known to the people. A prescribed thief is called Likhitaka chora which means literally 'registered thief.' The word Akkhara occurs in the Anguttara Nikāya,² the Samyutta Nikāya³ and the Dhammapada.⁴ The word Lekhanī (pen) is mentioned in the Anguttara Nikāya.⁵ The prose-Jātakas, which were admittedly compiled later, possess a number of references to writing, writing material and several kinds of written documents. All these Pāli evidences prove that some sort of writing definitely existed during the sixth century B.C. or even earlier, but unfortunately we do not know its name or character.

The Ashtādhyāyi of Pānini contains the terms denoting the existence of the art of writing—Lipi⁶ and Libi (script), Lipikāra⁷ (a writer or scribe), Yavanānī⁸ (Greek script), Grantha⁹ (a book) and Svarita¹⁰ (a mark in writing).

For the first time we meet two scripts, Brāhmī and Kharoshṭhī, in cursive and advanced forms of letters during third century B.C. in the Aśokan inscriptions. This fact also leads us to infer that writing had had a long history before the epigraphs of Aśoka were engraved.

Brāhmī and Kharoshthī are the two most important scripts mentioned in the Jaina and Buddhist texts. In the Jaina sūtras—the Pannavaṇā, the Samavāyāṇga (Ch. XVIII) and the Bhagavatī (Ch.V), the names of scripts are mentioned. The first two contain a list of eighteen scripts and the last one refers to only one—Brāhmī. The Buddhist work Lalitavistara contains the names of 64 scripts, both Indian and foreign, known to or imagined by the Indians during the

- 1. Vin, I-2.
- 2. Angu, I-72, III-107.
- 3. Sam, II-267, 1-38.
- 4. Dhp, (Tanhāvagga-19)
- 5. Angu, II-200.
- 6. Pā, 13. 2. 21.
- 7. Ibid.
- 8. Ibid, 4. 1. 49.
- 9. Ibid, 1.3.75.
- 10. Ibid, 1. 3. 11.
- 11. According to Jaina traditions, this script was given by the first Tirthankara Rishabha to his daughter Brāhmī, from whom it derived its name.

period when these lists were compiled. Out of these, only two, the Brāhmī and Kharoshṭhī seem to have been current in the sixth or fifth century B.C. The Brāhmī was written from left to right and it was popular in eastern India. G. Buhler¹ has adopted the designation Brāhmī for the characters in which the majority of the Aśoka edicts were written. He and his followers like W. Jones,² A Weber, and Isaac Taylor³ advocated that Brāhmī originated from a Semitic alphabet. The theory of the indigenous origin of Brāhmī has been propounded by many modern scholars, mostly Indians. Some of them like R.B. Pandey⁴ and D.C. Sircar⁵ even think that Brāhmī alphabet seems to have been derived from the pre-historic Indus Valley scripts.

The Kharoshthī script was written from right to left. It was introduced in the extreme north-west of India in about the sixth or fifth century B.C. and was used locally in Gandhāra. G. Buhler⁶ suggested that it originated from the Aramic alphabet because there is resemblance of letters in these two scripts. During the Achaemenian rule, Aramic script was used for official and other purposes in India and adjacent countries. Kharoshthī alphabet was the result of the intercourse between the offices or the Satraps and the natives. The Indians probably used at first the pure Aramic characters, and they introduced in the course of time the modifications observable in the Kharoshthī alphabet. On the other hand, R.B. Pandey⁷ does not agree with the theory of Aramic origin of the Kharoshthī and has proved that it was invented by Indian genius.

LANGUAGE

The most remarkable feature of this age is that Sanskrit lost its position as the medium of expression and its place was soon taken by the Prakrits (Vernaculars) which also grew

- 1. BUHLER: Indian Palaeography, pp. 9-11.
- 2. TAYLOR; The Alphabet, Vol. II, p. 304.
- 3. Ibid, Vol. I. pp. 335-346.
- 4. R. B. PANDEY: Indian Palacography, p. 50.
- 5. D. C. Sircan: Inscriptions of Asoka, p. 25.
- 6. BUHLER: Indian Palaeography, pp. 19-20.
- 7. R. B. PANDEY: Indian Palaeography, pp. 57-58.

as literary languages. It is for this reason that both Mahāvīra and Buddha propagated their faiths among the masses with the help of the Prakrits and not Sanskrit. It is probable, though not definitely proved, that both Mahavira and Buddha preached their doctrines in old Ardha-Māgadhī dialect, but the extant canonical texts of their sects are written in a language which is quite different. The original scriptures are lost, but the language of the preserved Jaina canons has undergone considerable changes and shows a strong influence of the Mahārāshtrī Prakrit. As regards Buddhist canon, the best preserved is that of the Hinayana school (Theravada) in Pali. The particular prakrit dialect from which pāli was derived is a matter of dispute among scholars and no unanimous conclusion has yet been arrived at. While some derive it from the Prakrit dialect current in Magadha, others find a closer association between it and the dialects of Kausambi or Avanti i.e. the Midland or Madhyadeśa.

From the different Sūtra works (600-400 B.C.) and Pānini's Ashtādhyāyī we know about the contemporary position of Sanskrit Language. This language had now become widely differentiated from the Vedic idiom. These Sūtras are written in a peculiarly terse style which may be traced to the prose of the Brahmanas. They, however, employ long compounds and gerunds to economize the use of syllables. language of the Sūtras comes very close to the norm set up by Panini. Occasionally, we find words and forms belonging to the Vedic period and also some Prākritisms and solecisms. The contact of the Aryans with the aboriginal tribes may have hastened to a certain extent the process of simplification of the older language. The language of these works was the spoken language as was current among the hieratic classes. Sanskrit language ceased to be the language of the masses and its use was restricted only to the highly educated class.

*LITERATUR*E

There was a general efflorescence of literary activity during this age. Because of the rise of different religions, religious and philosophical literature proliferated in context and diversity. Most of this rich literature was lost because it

was handed down by the religious teachers orally in the form of traditions and was not committed to writing. Even those preserved orally took literary form after considerable time, and it underwent many changes in language and subject matter. Hence, it is not possible to offer a definite and true picture of literature. The achievements in some branches of technical literature also were of high order.

THE JAINA CANON

Originally, there were two kinds of Jaina sacred booksthe fourteen Pūrvas and the eleven Angas. The fourteen Pūrvas are said to be coming down from the time of Pārśva, the illustrious predecessor of Mahāvīra. Traditionally, the eleven Angas based on the teachings of Mahāvīra are said to have been composed by his immediate disciples but actually they do not belong to one period. The fourteen Pūrvas were reckoned to make up a twelfth Anga called the Drishtivada. Mahāvīra preached his religion in Ardha-Māgadhī which is said to be the language of the canon. The language of the available canon, however, shows a great influence of Mahārāshtrī Prakrit. Besides, the present canon has undergone considerable modifications and interpolations and at the same time, certain canons or parts of the canons have become totally obsolete. Different names are ascribed to one and the same canon and the number of canons varies considerably.

The Agama or Canonical literature, according to the Svetāmbara Jains consists of the eleven Angas, twelve Upāngas, ten Painnas (Prakīrņas), six Chhedasūtras, Nāndī and Anuyogadvāra and four mūlasūtras. The eleven Angas are the oldest part of the Canon. On the other hand, according to the Digambar tradition, not only the Drishtivāda but also eleven Angas were lost by degrees in course of time. They do not know of other works grouped as Upāngas, Chhedasūtras, etc., which are found in the present canon of the Svetāmbaras. A list of these texts according to the usual enumeration is as follows:—

1. Eleven Angas: Āchāra, Sūtrakrita, Sthāna, Samavāya, Bhagavati, Jīnātādharmakathās, Upāsakadašās, Antakriddašās, Anuttaraupapātikadašās, Prašnavyākaraņa Vipāka (Drish ti-vāda, no longer extant).

- 2. Twelve Upāngas: Anupapātika, Rājapraśnīya, Jīvābhigama, Pranāpanā, Jambūdvīpaprajnapti, Chandraprajnapti, Sūryaprajnapti, Nirayāvali (or Kalpika), Kalpāvatamsikā, Pushpikā, Pushpachūlikā and Vrishnidasās.
- 3. Ten Painņas (Prakīrņas): Chatuḥśaraṇa, Samstāra, Āturapratyākhyānam, Bhaktāparijñā, Tanḍulavaiyālī, Chandavīja, Devendrastava, Gaṇivija, Mahāpratyākhyāna, and Vīrastava.
- 4. Six Chhedasūtras: Nišītha, Mahānisītha, Vyavahāra, Dasāśrutaskandha, Brihatkalpa and Panchakalpa.
- 5. Two Sūtras without a common name: Nandi and Anuyogadvāra.
- 6. Four Mūlasūtras: Uttarādhyayana, Āvaśyaka, Daśavaikālika and Pindaniryukti. 1

Among these different Angas, only the Āchārānga, the Sūtrakṛitānga and the Uttarādhyayana contain the oldest part of the canon from linguistic and literary points of view.² The same may be true to some extent of the Bhagavatī Sūtra. The Sāmāyika prayers, like the Buddhist formulae of confession, obviously formed the very beginning of the sacred writings, but unfortunately we do not have them in their authentic form. The older parts of the canon contain many archaic forms. The older prose works generally abound in endless repetitions but some contain systematic expositions. Of the twelve Upāngas, only the first two perhaps contain some early material, the rest being 'systematic' and exaggerated dogmatic, scientific and mythological treatises. Of the first two Upāngas

- 1. During the course of three recensions, the Jaina Sūtras have undergone cons Jer ble changes. The first attempt was made by convoking the council at Pāṭaliputra 160 years after Mahāvīra's death (i. e. about 307 B C.) and the sacred lore which was in a state of decay, was put in order. This is known as the Pāṭaliputra version (Vāchanā) of the Jaina Canons. Another council was summoned at Mathurā under the presidentship of Ārya Skandila between the years 827 and 84') after the death of Mahāvīra (i. e. 300-273 A. D.) and the Scriptural texts were brought into order. This is known as the Māṭhurī version (Vāchanā) of the Canons. Lastly the council of Valabhi met under Devardhi Gaṇin Kshamāsramaṇa (Vīra 980=A. D. 513) and the Jaina Canon was written down in book form. This is known as Valabhi version (Vāchanā) of the Canons.
- 2. SBE, XXII, pp. XI-XLIII.

the Rājapraśnīya, in particular, seems to be based on an old tradition, since the Pāyāsisutta in the Dīghanikāya is either an adaptation of it or draws on the same source. The painnas, as their title indicates, are miscellaneous pieces and their list is in reality quite indefinite. Of the Chhedasūtras, according to M. Winternitz, only the Bṛihatkalpa, with its supplement—the Vauhāra—and the Āyāradasāo, can be considered early.

THE BUDDHIST CANON

It seems that Gautama Buddha, like Mahāvīra, preached his doctrines in Old Ardha-Māgadhī, but he enjoined upon his disciples that his teachings should be studied by the people in their own dialects. We have definite evidence that Buddhist canon was redacted in Pāli, Māgadhī and other dialects. of these, the Pāli version alone has survived in its entirety. Of the rest, only very small fragments have so far come to light.

The Pāli canon consists of three piṭakas (baskets) known as the Tripiṭaka. These are Vinaya, Sutta and Abhidhamma Piṭakas. There is yet another division of the canon into nine Angas. They are—sermons in prose only (Sutta), sermons in prose and verse (Gavya), explanations (Veyyākaraṇa), stanzas (Gāthā), epigrams (Udāna), short saying beginning with "Thus spoke the Buddha" (Itivuttaka), stories of previous incarnations (Jātaka), miracles (Abbhutadhamma), and teachings in the form of question and answer (Vedalla).

The Vinaya Piţaka comprises the following texts: Pātimo-kkha, Sutta Vibhanga, Khandhakas and Parivāra. The Sutta Piṭaka comprises the following five collections called Nikāyas: (1) Dīgha, (2) Majjhima, (3) Samyutta, (4) Anguttara and (5) Khuddaka. The Abhidhamma comprises seven books commonly known as Sattapakaraṇa which belong to a later date containing a more elaborate and classified exposition of the Dhamma than given in the Nikāyas.

CHRONOLOGY OF THE BUDDHIST CANON

Like the Jaina canon, the Buddhist canon too was not compiled at one particular time. The quotations from scriptures in Asokan edicts, references to persons well-versed in sacred texts in inscriptions of the second century B. C. and

scriptures, reliefs and inscriptions on the railings and gateways at Bhārhut and Sanchi, suggest that the works on Dharma and Vinaya were current before the rise of the Maurya dynasty? The Mahāvagga and Chullavagga are evidently assignable to the period of Asoka, as they are silent about the third Council. The Sutta Vibhanga and the five Nikāyas which are referred to in the Chullavagga are certainly much older. There is no reference to the Abhidhamma, which is the latest of the Piṭakas. As the Nikāyas know no place in the east, south of Kalinga, and no place in the west, south of the Godāvarī, the Geography of the Nikāyas points to their age being much earlier than Asoka. Therefore, it appears that the bulk of the Vinaya Piṭaka and the first four Nikāyas of the Sutta Piṭaka were compiled before 350 B.C.

After discussing the chronology of the Pāli canonical texts from different points of view, B. C. Law places them in the following groups in their chronological order.¹

1. The simple statements of Buddhist doctrine now found in identical words in paragraphs or verses recurring in all the books;

2. Episodes found in identical words in two or more of the existing books;

3. The Sīlas, the Pārāyana group of sixteen poems without the prologue, the Atthaka group of four or sixteen poems, the Sikkhāpadas;

4. Dīgha Vol. I, Majjhima, Samyutta, Anguttara and earlier Pātimokkha with 152 rules;

5. Dīgha Vol. II, III, Thera-Theri-gāthā, 500 Jātakas, Suttavibhanga, Paṭisambhidāmagga, Puggalapañnatti and Vibhanga;

6. Mahāvagga, Chullavagga, Patimokkha with 227 rules, Vimānavatthu, Petavatthu, Dhammapada, Kathāvatthu;

7. Chulla-and Mahā-niddesa, Udāna, Itivuttaka, Sutta Nipāta,

Dhatukatha, Yamaka, Patthana;

8. Buddhavamsa, Chariyāpitaka, Apadāna;

9. Parivārapātha;

.... 10. Khuddakapātha.

Vangisa, a native of Magadha, is known to have been the celebrated poet during the time of Buddha. He repeated

^{1.} History of Pāli literature I, 42.

many beautiful stanzas before the Buddha who praised him much^{*1}

THE ATIVIKA CANON

That the Airvikas had a canon of sacred texts in which their doctrines were codified, is clear from the Pāli and Prakrit texts of Buddhism and Jainism. The Ajīvika canon consisted of eight Mahānimittas and two Mārgas, which are at least partially based upon the $P\bar{u}rvas$ coming down from the time of Pārsva. B.M. BARUA, on the other hand, interprets the word Purva in the text not in the specialised Jaina sense, but merely as past traditions.² His view is strengthened by the fact that the eightfold Mahānimitta of the Ajīvikas bears no resemblance to the titles of the fourteen lost Pūrvas of the Jaina tradition. In spite of this, it can be said that the scriptures of the Ajivikas may have had something in common with the earliest scriptures of the Jainas.

In the Bhagavatisūtra,3 it is described that the six Disāchāras "extracted the eight-fold Mahānimitta in the Puvvas with the Maggas making the total up to ten, after examining hundreds of opinions", and that this was approved by Gośāla mankhaliputta after brief consideration. The eight Angas of the Mahānimitta are as follows—

- 1. Divyam, "of the Divine".
 - 2. Autpātam, "of Portents",
 - 3. Antariksham, "of the sky"
- : 4. Bhaumam, "of the earth",
 - 5. Angam, "of the body".
 - 6. Svāram, "of sound",
 - 7. Lakshanam, "of characteristics"; and
 - 8. Vyānjanam, "of indications".

The Mahanimittas are listed in the Sthananga Sutras,4 with the variation Suvine (dreams) for Divyam. The Uttaradhyayana Sūtra⁵ gives a similar list, and adds that the Jaina Bhikkhu should not live by such means. The two Maggas are described

^{1.} Sam. I, p. 185.

^{2.} JDL, II, p. 41.

^{3.} Bhag, XV. Sū. 539, Fol. 658-9. . .:

^{.4.} Sthānā, VIII, 608.

^{5.} Uttarā, XV, 7.

by the commentator Abhayadeva to have been those of song and dance. The *Maggas* may represent texts containing Ajīvika religious songs and directions for ritua dances respectively.

VEDĀNGA LITERATURE

The Vedānga literature composed during this period does not form part of the Vedic literature, but is in close association with it. It is not the Veda, a divine revelation, but the Vedānga, "the limbs of the Veda", constituting works of human authorship. These Vedāngas include a number of exegetical sciences like Šikshā (phonetics), Kalpa (ritual), Vyākaraņa (Grammar), Nirukta (etymology), Chhandas (metrics), and Jyotisha (astronomy). These six Vedāngas refer to the six subjects that help the proper understanding, recitation, and the sacrificial use of the Vedas. As a whole, these have been written in a Sūtra style. A Sūtra has come to mean a short rule, in as few words as possible, giving a clue to the learning of a particular topic. The voluminous increase of knowledge along with the oral system of instruction necessitated this peculiar fashion of Sūtra style so that it might be easier to memorize. The intricacies of Vedic ritual, which were to be scrupulously observed in every small detail, contributed to a certain extent to the development of this form of literature.

In course of time, each of the original Vedic Angas gave rise to a number of allied sciences through its specialized and scientific study in special schools. The sacrificial ritual itself led to the growth of some of the sciences. Geometry and Algebra arose out of the elaborate rules for the construction of altars. Astronomy and Astrology grew out of the necessity of finding out the proper times and seasons for sacrifice and other purposes. The foundation of Anatomy was laid in the dissection of sacrificial animals. Grammar and Philology had their origin in the care to preserve the sacred texts from corruption and fix the methods of their proper pronunciation.

CLASSES OF SUTRA WORKS

The first branch of the Sūtra literature is called Śrauta. The Śrauta Sūtras deal with the rites and sacrifices which

involve the services of a number of priests. The second branch is the Grihya $S\overline{u}tras$ which are concerned with the numerous ceremonies applicable to the domestic life of a man and his family from birth to death. The third is the Dharma $S\overline{u}tras$ dealing with the customary law and practice. They enumerate the duties of the castes and stages in life ($\overline{A}srama$). They lay the foundation of civil and criminal law. The last is the Sulva $S\overline{u}tras$ giving minute details regarding the measurement and construction of the fire-altars and the place of sacrifice. They may thus be regarded as the oldest books of Indian geometry.

The dates of the principal Śrauta Sūtras and some of the Gṛihya Sūtras have been decided between 800 and 400 B.C. G. Buhler and J. Jolly have placed them between the sixth and fourth (or third) centuries B.C., though others assign to them a somewhat later date. Although none of the extant Dharma Sūtra is older than 600 B.C., there is no doubt that there were works of this class belonging to an earlier period.

PHILOSOPHICAL LITERATURE

In the sixth century B.C., there was a rise of new philosophical tenets often of a revolutionary character. Many of these philosophical dogmas had a merely temporary phase and gradually faded away but a few, however, came to stay. Besides Mahāvīra and Buddha, the chief heterodox religious teachers of this age were Pūrṇa Kassapa, Pakudha Kachchāyana, Makkhali Gośāla, Ajita Keśakambalin and Sañjaya Belatthiputta. They were renowned philosophers of their times and propounded independent views on different philosophical subjects. Their works are not available, but we know about their views from the Buddhist and Jaina literature.

The six systems of Indian Philosophy are distinguished as orthodox systems from the heterodox systems of the Buddhists, Jainas and Chārvākas, because they are all somehow reconcilable with the Vedic system, though they mutually differ in their relations to the same. The six systems are known as (1) the Sāmkhya of Kapila, (2) Yoga of Patanjali (2) Nyāya of Gautama, (4) Vaiseshika of Kanāda, (5) Pūrva Mīmānsā of Jaimini, and (6) Vedānta of Bādarāyana.

1. KHDS, I. pp. 8-9; SBE, II, XIV, Introduction.

These systems of philosophy certainly had their beginning much earlier, earlier perhaps than even Lord Mahāvīra, but the texts of the Sūtras which embody their conclusions were composed later. There is a great controversy among scholars about the chronology of these Sūtras. The proposed dates for about the chronology of these Sūtras. The proposed dates for the different Sūtras vary over a wide range of more than a thousand years between the fifth century B.C. and fifth century A.D. Generally, Vaiseshika and Nyāya Sūtras are regarded as the earliest and Sānkhya as the latest.

It is to be noted that the philosophers to whom these systems are ascribed were not necessarily their originators. They gave the final form to the Sūtras which themselves refer to older philosophers. Some of the Sūtras refer to the opinions of other Sūtras and their refutations which show that the

of other Sūtras and their refutations which show that the different philosophical schools were already in existence before the final redaction of the Sūtras took place. It may further be noted that the extant literary works in which the doctrines of the six systems are embodied are themselves much later in date than their original founders. It is possible that these systems of philosophy originated much earlier but they were composed in Sūtrā style much later.

TECHNICAL AND SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE

Another noteworthy feature of this age is that separate schools of Kalpa; Vyākarana, and Jyotisha apart from Vedic schools, came into existence. These subjects were not taught as auxiliary branches of the Vedic lore to the students of a common school, but each of these subjects was attaining independent development through treatment in a special school. Independent works were written on these branches of knowledge. ledge.

(A) Grammar

The earliest existing work, dealing with the Grammar of the contemporary spoken language is Pāṇini's Ashṭādhyāyi. The author refers to his predecessors like Śākaṭāyana and Śaunaka but their works are not available. It indicates the existence of a long tradition of grammatical studies before the days of Pāṇini. Pāṇini was the native of a village called Śālātura in N.W.F. Province. His work consists of some 4,000 Sūtras divided, as the title suggests, into eight chapters.

The date of Pāṇini is not definite, and he has been placed between the seventh and fourth centuries B.C. It is to be noted that the subject, as treated by Pāṇini, is no longer subservient to the needs of mere Veda-study but has an independent life and destiny of its own, though it does not exclude the Veda from its purview. It is no longer a mere handmaiden of the $Vedavidy\bar{a}$. It is a distinct science laying down the laws applicable to the entire Sanskrit language, of which the typical form assumed is what we call classical Sanskrit.

(B) Metrics

There are many scattered references to metre in the Brāhmaṇas, but it is in the Sūtras (e.g. the Śānkhāyana Śrauta Sūtra, the Rigveda Prātišākhya and the Nidāna Sūtra that an attempt is made to arrange the archiac metres systematically. The earliest existing work on Metrics is Pingala's Chhandašāstra. He started the practice of measuring a metrical line with the help of the Trikas or the eight groups of three letters each. From very old times, the Sanskrit metres in the Vedas were distinguished from each other by the number of letters contained in each line of a stanza. Pingala's date is uncertain but he may be assigned to the first or second century B.C. Pingala himself mentions earlier authorities like Rāta, Māṇḍavya, Kāśyapa and others while defining the classical metres, which shows that the development of the classical metres had begun long before Pingala.

(C) Science of Polity

It is only after the rise of the well organized states in the age of Mahāvīra that the Science of Polity seems to have originated. Both the Mahābhārata and the Arthafāstra give us information about the early writers of Hindu Polity and the theories propounded by them. These two works represent independent traditions and sources. Kautilya refers to nineteen teachers who precede him—Manu, Brihaspati, Parāśara, Uśanas, Bharadvāja, Viśālāksha, Piśuna, Kaunapadanta, Vātavyādhi, etc. The Mahābhārata mentions some common names besides five others.

Unfortunately, the works of these authors have been lost, but their opinions quoted in the Mahābhārata and the Artha-

śāstra give us some idea of their contents. One of them named Usanas went to the extent of advocating the extreme view that politics was the only science worth study. They believed in the monarchical form of government. They seem to have devoted considerable space to the discussion of the training of the prince and the qualifications of an ideal ruler. The relative importance that he should attach to the difficulties and calamities in connection with the treasury, forts and army were also exhaustively discussed. The constitution and functions of the Ministry were described at length by most of them and they widely differed from one another about the number of the Ministers and their qualifications. Principles of foreign policy were also debated upon, Bhāradvāja advocating submission to the strong when there is no alternative and Visālāksha recommending a fight to finish, even if it meant annihilation. Vātavyādhi did not ascribe to the theory of Shādgunya but advocated that of Dvaigunya. The questions of the control over revenue and provincial officers were discussed. These early works contain important sections dealing with civil and criminal law and laid down a scheme of fines and punishments, theft, robbery, misappropriation, etc.1

Thus, these different schools of political thought before Kautilya definitely prove that they were not confined to a mechanical repetition of each other's views but they ceaselessly endeavoured to ascertain how far the end of the state could best be realized within the ambit of the ancient dharma.

MATHEMATICS, ASTRONOMY AND ASTROLOGY

The early Jaina texts provide ample evidence of progress made in Mathematics, Astronomy and Astrology. It is said that Mahāvīra was versed in Arithmetic and Astronomy.² Gaņita is also described as one of the four expositions of the principle (anuyoga) in the Jaina text.³ The Thāṇānga mentions ten kinds of science of numbers, viz., parikamma (fundamental operation), vavahāra (subject of treatment), rajju ("rope" meaning geometry) rāsi ("heap" meaning measurement of

^{1.} State and Government in Ancient India, pp. 8-9.

^{2.} Kalpa, 1. 10.

^{3.} Das. chū, p. 2.

solid bodies), Kalāsāvanna (fractions), jāvamtāvam ('as many as' meaning simple equations), vagga ("square" meaning quadratic equation), ghana ("cube" meaning cubic equation), vaggavagga (liquidratic equation) and vikappa (permutation and combination).1

The Suriyapannatti and the Chandapannatti, the fifth and the seventh Upangas of the Jaina canon respectively deal with Astronomy. The Suriyapannatti deals with various astronomical views of the Jainas such as the orbits which the Sun circumscribes during the year, the rising and the setting of the Sun, the speed of the course of the Sun through each of its 184 cubits, the light of the Sun and moon, the measure of the shadow at various seasons of the year, the connection of the moon with lunar mansions (nakshatra), the waning and the crescent of the moon, the velocity of the five kinds of heavenly bodies (the Sun, the Moon, planets, nakshatras and tārās), the qualities of the moon-light, the number of Suns in Iambūdvipa, etc.2

The Jonipāhuda³ and the Chūdāmani⁴ deal with astrology. Vivāhapadala was another work of astrology. The knowledge of astrology was considered necessary for fixing the time of religious ceremonies.

It seems that the eight Mahānimittas, the early scriptures of the Airvikas contained considerable sections on the subject of Astrology because the Ajīvika mendicant often acted as an astrologer or reader of omens.5 The Jaina saint Kālaya or Kālaka is said to have learnt the Mahānimittas from the Ailvikas.6 That the Jainas, despite the veto of the Uttaradhyayana, also employed the eightfold Mahānimitta is shown by Kālaka's knowledge of it, and by an inscription at Śravana Belgolā, which states that the pontiff Bhadrabāhu knowing the eightfold Mahānimitta, seeing past, present and future, foretold in Ujjayini a calamity of twelve years' duration.7

Thā, 10. 747.

M. WINTERNITZ: History of Indian Literature, Vol. II, p. 457, JASB. Vol. 49, Pt. I, 1880.

^{3.} Brih Bha, I. 1303.

^{4.} Ibid. 1. 1313.

^{5.} Jā 1, p. 257. Nakkhatta Jātaka.6. Pañchakalpa chūrņi.

^{7.} Epi. Carn. II, No 1.

That the Brāhmanas also acted as the fortune-tellers by reading symbols of men and by interpreting the dreams and other omens is known by the evidence of the Jātakas.

SCIENCE OF MEDICINES

The science of Medicine (tegichchhaya or Ayuvveya) is said to have been discovered by Dhannantari² (Dhanvantari). He was well versed in the medical science which comprises eight branches.³ It is not possible to fix the date of Dhannantari.

In the days of Mahāvīra, the medical science was in the stage of advancement because Taxila was famous for the medical school which must have been the best of its kind in India. It is for this reason that prince Jīvaka spent seven years there, learning medicine and surgery. The practical course in Medicine included a first hand study of the plants to find out the medicinal ones. The Jātakas also refer to the medical students at Taxila treating for cranial abscesses and intestinal displacement.

On his return to Magadha after completing education, Jīvaka was appointed the royal physician because he was successful in operating on the fistula of king Bimbisāra. He had also to treat the *Bhikshu* patients suffering from leprosy, goitre, asthma, dry leprosy and apasmāra. He cured the head trouble of the wife of a banker of Sāketa, the skin disease of a banker of Banaras and jaundice of king Pradyota. There was also another physician at Rājagriha named Akāsagotta who operated on the fistula of a bhikkhu.

The Āchārānga mentions the following sixteen diseases: boils (gandī), leprosy (kuṭṭha), consumption (rāyamsī), epilepsy (avamāriya) blindness (kāṇiya), stiffness (jhimiya), lameness (kuṇiya), humpback (khujiya), dropsy (udari), dumbness (mūya), swelling (sūṇiya), over-appetite (gilāsani), trembling (vevai), disablement (pidhasappi), elephantiasis (silīvaya) and diabetes.

- 1. FSONB, pp. 229-234.
- 2. Nisī. chū, 15, p. 944; Ayoghara Jā. (No. 510), IV, pp. 496, 498.
- 3. Vivā, 7, p. 41.
- 4. Jā, No. 498.
- 5. Vinaya Texts of the Mülasarvāstivādins (Gilgit Manuscripts, Vol. III, part 2, pp. 1-52.
- 6. Vinayapitaka, I, p. 215.

(madhumeha).1

Hospitals (tigichchhayasālā) are freely mentioned. The Nāyādhammakhā mentions that a hospital was built on hundred pillars where a number of physicians and surgeons were employed who treated various kinds of patients with various kinds of medicines and herbs.² There were state physicians and hospitals as well.³ The physicians carried their bage of surgical instruments and gave various treatment according to the nature of the disease.

SCIENCE OF ENGINEERING

The science of Engineering seems to have become very popular and well-developed. The construction of cities, forts palaces, buildings, tanks, canals, etc. would not have been possible without a proper study of the subject. Even the Bhikshus, who now-a-days are seen only having a life of ease and comfort and engaging themselves merely in religious and philosophical studies and meditations, were then enthusiastically concerned with the work of superintending the construction of fine buildings.⁴

^{1.} Āchā, 6 1. 173.

^{2.} Nāyā, 13, p. 143.

^{3.} Bih. Bha, P. 1. 376; Vya. Bha, 5 21

^{4.} Chū, VI (Tr. by RHYS DAVIDS and OLDENBERG SBE, XX), pp. 189-90.



GENERAL BIBLIOGRAPHY

I. ORIGINAL SOURCES

(A) Texts and Translations

1. BRAHMANICAL

(a) VEDIC

Aitareya Brāhmana

· :

: Ed.K.S. Agashe, Poona, 1896.

Trans. by A.B. KEITH,

Hos, Vol. XXV, Cambridge,

1920.

Atharvaveda

: Ed. with Sayana's Comm. by

PANDIT, Bombay, 1895-8.

Trans. in part, M. BLOOMFIELD,

SBE, Vol. XLII, Oxford, 1897.

Brihadāran yaka Upanishad

: Ed. with trans. by O. Bohtlingk, Lei-

pzig, 1889.

Chhandogya Upanishad

: Ed. with trans. by O. Bohtlingk, Lei-

pzig, I889.

Gopatha Brāhmana

: Ed. by RAJENDRA MITRA and H. VIDYA-

BHUSHAN, Calcutta, 1872.

Kāthaka Samhitā

: Ed. by Von Schroeder, Leipzig, 1900-11.

Kaushitaki Brāhmaņa: Ed. by E. B. Cowell, Calcutta, 1891. Trans. by A. B. Keith, HOS, XXV,

Cambridge, Mass., 1920. : Ed. by Von Schroeder, Leipzig, Maitrāyani Samhitā

1881-6.

Panchavimsa Brāh-

mana

: Ed. by A. VEDANTAVAGISA, Calcutta, 1869-74.

Rigveda:

Samhitā and Pada text with Sāyana's Comm. Ed. by F. MAX MULLER (Second Edn.) 1890-2. Sainhitā and Pada text

with Sayana's Comm. Critically edited by Vaidika Samsodhana Mandala, Poona,

Four Vols. 1933, etc.

Satapatha Brāhmaṇa: Ed. by A. Weber, London, 1885. Trans.

by J. Eggeling, SBE, XII, XXVI, XLI,

XLIII, XLIV, Oxford, 1882-1900.

Taittiriya Samhitā : Ed. by A. Weber, Berlin, 1871-72; with

the Comm. of Mādhava, Calcutta, 1854-

99.

(b) SŪTRAS, EPICS, PURĀŅAS AND GRAMMAR

Āpastamba Dharma- : Ed. by G. Buhler (Bombay Sanskrit Sūtra Series), 1892-1894.

Apastamba Grihya- : SBE, Vol. XXX.

Sūtra

Ashtādhyāyī of Pāṇini: Tr. by S. C. Vasu, Vārāṇasī, 1891-1898.

Aśvalāyana Grihya-

sūtra : Ed. A. G. Stenzler, Leipzig, 1864.

Baudhāyana Dharma

sūtra : Ed. by W. CALAND, Calcutta, 1904-23.

Baudhāyana Grihya- Ed. by R. Shama Sastri, Mysore, 1920.

sūtra

Bhagavadgītā : Ed. by K.S. Agashe, ASS, Poona, 1909.

Eng. Trans. by K. T. Telang, SBE, 2nd

Ed. Oxford, 1908.

Bhāgavata Purāṇa : Ed. by V. L. Pansikar, Bombay, 1920,

Eng. trans. by J. M. Sanyal, Calcutta,

1930-34.

Gautama Dharmasūtra: Text ASS, Tr. SBE, II.

Mahābhārata : Eng. trans. by M. N. Dutt, Calcutta,

1985-1905.

Matsya Purāna : Eng. trans. by A. Taluqdar of Oudh.

SBH. 2. Vols. Allahabad, 1916-17.

Pāraskara Grihyasūtra: Ed. by GOPAL SHASTRI NENE, Benares,

1926.

Rāmāyana : Trans. into English prose by M. N.

DUTT, Calcutta, 1892-94.

Śānkhāyana Grihya

Sūtra : SBE, Vol. XXIX.

Sarvadarsana Sang-

raha : Trans. by Cowell and Gough.

Śrimālapurāna athavā: Ed. by Jatashankar Liladhar and Śrimāla Māhālmya: Keshavaji and Vishvanath, Ahmedabad, 1899, V. S. 1955.

Vasishiha Dharmasūtra: Ed. by A. A. Fuhrer, Bombay, 1916.

Vedāntasāra : Ed. Cowell.

2. JAİNA

(a) CANONICAL

Āchārānga : Niryukti, Bhadrabāhu.

: Chūrni, Jinadāsagani, Ratlam, 1941.

: Com. Šīlānka, Surat, 1935.

: Trans. H. JACOBI, SBE, XXII.

Antagadasāo : Com. Abhayadeva, Ed. by M. C. Modi, Ahmedabad. Ed. P. L. Vaidya, Poona,

1932. Trans. L. D. BARNETT, London,

1907.

Anuttarovavāiyadasāo: Com, Abhayadeva, Ed. by M. C. Modi, Ahmedabad, 1932.

: Ed. P. L. VAIDYA, Poona, 1932.

Anuyogadvāra : Chūrni, Jinadāsagani, Ratlam, 1928.

: Com. Haribhadra, Ratlam, 1928.

: Com. Maladhārī Hemachandra, Bhava-

nagar, 1939.

Āupapātika : Ed. by N. G. Suru, Poona, 1936.

Āvašyaka : Niryukti, Bhadrabāhu

: Bhāshya

: Chūrņi, Jinadāsagaņi, Ratlam, 1928.

: Com. Haribhadra, Agamodaya Samiti,

Bombay, 1916.

: Com. Malayagiri, Agamodaya Samiti,

Bombay, 1928.

: Niryukti Dipika, Mānikyasekhara, Surat,

: 1939.

Bhagavati : Com. Abhayadeva, Agamodaya Samiti, Bombay, 1921, Ratlam, 1937.

: Ed. by Jozef Deleu with introduction,

Panhavagarana

Critical analysis, commentary and indexes 'De Tempel' Tempelhof 37, Brugge -(Belgie). Brihatkalpa : Bhāshya, Sanghadāsagani. : Com. Malayagiri and Kshamākīrti, : Atmānanda Jaina Sabhā, Bhavanagar, 1933-38. : Niryukti, Bhadrabahu. Dasaveyāliya : Chūrņi, Jinadāsagani, Ratlam, 1933. 2012 Com. Haribhadra, Bombay, 1918. : Introduction and notes W. Schubring, Ahmedabad, 1932. Jīvābhigama : Com. Malayagiri, Bombay, 1919. Kalpasūtra : Trans. H. JACOBI, SBE, XXII, 2nd Reprint, Delhi, 1968. : Ed. W. Schubring, Berlin, 1918. Guj. Mahānisītha trans. Narsimha Bhai (Mss). Nandi, Devavāchaka: Chūrni. Jinadāsagaņi Ratlam, 1928. : Com, Haribhadra, Ratlam, 1928. Kshamāsramana Com, Malayagiri, Bombay, 1924. : Ed. N. V. VAIDYA, Poona, 1940. Nāyādhammakahā Com. Abhayadeva, Agamodaya, Bombay 1919. : Com. Chandrasūri, Ahmedabād, 1938. Niryāvaliyāo Ed. GOPANI and CHOKSHI, Ahmedabad, 1934, Ed. P. L. VAIDYA, Poona, 1932. Niśītha : Bhāshya Chūrņi Jinadāsagani, Ed. VIJAYA PREMA SURISVARA, V. S. 1995. Eka Adhyayana by D. S. MALAV. NIA. : Com. Dronāchārya, Bombay, 1919. Ogha Nijjutti Ovāiya : Com. Abhayadeva, Second Ed. Surat, V. S. 1914. Painnas (ten) : Bombay, 1927.

: Com. Abhayadeva, Bombay, 1919.

Pannavana	A critical introduction to the Panhavā-garaṇāim. by Amlyachandra Sen, Wurzburg, 1936. Com. Malayagiri, Bombay, 1918-19. Guj. trans. Bhagavandas, Ahmedabad, V. S. 1991.
Piṇḍanijjuti	: Com. Malayagiri, Surat, 1918.
Rāyapaseņiya	: Com. Abhayadeva, Ahmedabad V.S. 1994.
	: Eng. Trans. by N. V. VAIDYA, Ahmedabad, 1938.
Samavāyāṅga	: Com. Abhayadeva, Ahmedabad, 1938.
Sūtrakritānga	: Niryukti, Bhadrabāhu.
	: Chūrņi, Jinadāsagaņi, Ratlam, 1941.
	: Bom. Śilānka Agamodaya Samiti, Bom-
	: bay, 1917.
	: Eng. Trans. by H. JACOBI, SBE, XLV, Delhi, Reprint 1964.
Tandulaveyāliya	: Com. Vijayavimala, Devachandra Lalabhai.
Ţhāṇāṅga	: Com. Abhayadeva, Ahmedabad, 1937.
Uttarādhyayana	: Niryukti Bhadrabāhu.
	: Chūrņi Jinadāsagaņi, Ratlam, 1933.
	: Eng. Trans. by H. Jacoві, SBE, XLV, Delhi, Reprint 1964.
	: Ed. J. CHARPENTIER, Upsala, 1922.
Uvāsagadasāo	: Eng. Trans. by A. F. R. Hoernle, Calcutta, 1888, Ed. P. L. Vaidya, Poona, 1930.
Vavahāra	: Bhāshya
	: Com. Malayagiri, Bhavanagar, 1926.
Vivāgasuya	: Com. Abhayadeva, Baroda, V. S. 1922.
	: Ed. A. T. Upadhye, Belgaum, 1935.
	(b) NON-CANONICAL

Ārādhanā Kathākosha of Nemidatta with Hindi Trans. Bombay

Darsanasāra of Devasena, Ed. by N. R. PREMI, Bombay, V. S. 1974.

Dasabhaktyādi-samgraha of Pūjyapāda, MS

Harivamsapurāna of Jinasena Sūri, Bombay, 1930, with Hindi Trans. Bharatiya Gyanapitha, Kashi, 1962.

Jīvandhara Champū of Harichandra, with Hindi Trans. Bhāratiya Gyanapitha, Kashi, 1958.

Kasāya-Pāhuda (Jayadhavala) of Vīrasena and Jinasena, Vols. I & II, Mathura, 1944.

Mahāpurāna (Ādipurāna and Uttarapurāna) of Jinasena and Guna-, bhadra, Indore, V. E. 1973-75, with Hindi Trans. Bharatiya Gyanapitha, Kashi, 1951-54.

Mahāvīrachariya of Gunachandra, Bombay, 1929, with Gujarati Trans. Bhavanagar, V. S. 1994.

Mahāviracharita of Nemichandra Devendragani, Jaina Atmānanda Sabhā, Bhāvanagara, 1933.

Parisishta-Parvan of Hemachandra, Ed. by H. JACOBI, Calcutta, 2nd edition, 1932.

Paum-chariu (Rāmāyaṇa) of Vimalasūri, Ed. H. Jасові, Bhavanagar, 1914.

Tiloyapannati of Yativrishabha Ed. A. N. Upadhye & H. L. JAIN, Solapur, 1951.

Trilokasāra of Nemichandra, Bombay.

Trishashtisalākāpurushacharita, trans. by H. M. Johnson, Baroda, 1930.

Varanga-charita of Jatasimhanandi, Ed. by A. N. UPADHYE, Bombay, 1933.

Vardhamāna-charita of Asaga, Solapur, 1931.

Vasudevahindi of Dharmadasa, Bhavanagar, 1930.

Vividha-tirtha-kalpa of Jinaprabha, Ed. by JINAVIJAYA, Bombay.

3. BUDDHIST

(a) CANONICAL

: Ed. R. MORRIS and E. HARDY, PTS. Anguttara-Nikāya London, 1885-1900.

Tr. F. L. WOODWARD and E. M. HARE. The Book of the Gradual Sayings, 5 Vols. P.T.S. London, 1932-36; I & II Vols. 1951.

Apadāna 3 : Ed. MARY E. LILLEY, PTS, London, 1925-27

S. 74 3 34

: (Being a Hindi translation of selected Buddhacharya portions from the Tripitaka and Atthakahā Tr. by Rahula Sankrityayana, 2nd Ed. Sarnath, 1952.

: Text. Ed. by RAHULA SANKRITYAYANA, .Dhammapada Rangoon, 1937. Eng. Trans. by F. Max Muller, SBE, Oxford, 1898. Ed. and trans. by Mrs. RHYS DAVIDS, SBE, London, 1931.

: Ed. T. W. RHYS DAVIDS and J. E. CAR-Digha Nikāya PENTER, 3 Vols. PTS, London, 1890, 1903, 1911. Eng. trans. (Dialogues of the Buddha) by T. W. RHYS DAVIDS. 3 Vols. SBB. London, 1899-1910, 1921. Tr. RAHULA SANKRITYAYANA in Hindi Dighanikaya, Mahabodhi Sabha, Sarnath, 1936,

: Ed. V. FAUSBOLL. 7 Vols. London, 1877-97. Eng. trans. under the Editorship of E. B. Cowell, 7 Vols. Cambridge, 1895-1913.

Mahavagga : Ed. by N. K. BHAGAT, 2 Vols, Bombay 1944-1952.

: Ed. by V. TRENCKNER and R. CHAL-MERS, PTS, London, 1888-1902. Eng. Trans. (Further Dialogues of the Buddha by Ford Chalmers, 2 Vols. SBE, London, 1926-27. Tr. RAHULA SANKRITYAYANA in Hindi, Mahabodhi Sabha, Sarnath, 1933.

> : Mahaniddesa, Ed. by L. DE La VALLEE Poussin and E. J. Thomas. PTS, London, 1916-17. Chullaniddesa, Ed. by W. STEDE. PTS, London, 1918.

. Tataka

Majjhima Nikāya

Niddesa.

Petavatthu : Ed. by J. MINAYEFF, PTS, London, 1888. Samyutta Nikāya : Ed. by Leon Freer, PTS, London, 1884-98. Indexes by Mrs. Rhys Davids, London, 1904. Eng. trans. (Book of the kindred sayings or Grouped Suttas) by Mrs. Rhys Davids and F.L. Woodward. PTS, London, 1917-30. Sutta Nipāta : Ed. by D. Andersen and H. Smith, PTS, London, 1913. Eng. trans, by V. FALSBOLL, SBE, Oxford, 1898.Ed. by Rahula Sankrityayana, Rangoon, 1937. : Ed. by H. OLDENBERG, PTS, London, Theri-gatha 1883.Eng. trans (Psalms of the Brethren) by Mr. RHYS DAVIDS, PTS, London, 1913. : Ed. by R. PISCHEL, PTS, London, 1883. Thera-gāthā Eng. trans. (Psalms of the Sisters) by Mrs. Rhys Davids, PTS, London, 1909. : Ed. by P. STEITHAL, PTS, London, 1885. Udāna Eng. Trans. by D. M. STRONG, London, 1902. .:. Ed. by RAHULA SANKRITYAYANA, Ran-Vimanavatthu :::: goon, 1937. : Eng. trans. (Vinaya Texts) by T. W. Vinaya Pitaka RHYS DAVIDS and H. OLDENDERG. SBE, Oxford, 1881-85. Tr. by RAHULA SANKRI-TYAYANA in Hindi, Mahabodhi Sabha, Sarnath, 1935.

(b) NON-CANONICAL

Dhammapada Commentary Ed. by H.C. NORMAL, 5 Vols. PTS, London. 1906-15. Eng. trans. (Buddhist Legends) by E.W.

Burlingame, 3. Vols. HUS. Cambridge, Mass. 1921.

Dipavamsa : Ed. and trans. by H. Oldenberg, London,

Divyāvadāna : Ed. by E.B. Cowell and R.A. Nell, Cambridge, 1886.

Lalitavistarà : Ed. by R. MITRA, Calcutta, 1877.

: Ed. by S. Lefmann, Halle, 1902-08.

Mahāvamsa : Ed. & Tr. Geiger, PTS., London, 1912.

Mahāvastu : Ed. by E. Senart, Paris, 1882-97.

Milindapañho : Ed. by V. TRENCKNER, London, 1880. Eng. trans. by T.W. Rhys Davids, SBE, Oxford, 1890-94.

Sumangala Vilāsinī: Commentary on the Dīgha Nikāya. Ed. by T.W. Rhys Davids, PTS, London, 1886.

II. MODERN WORKS ON HISTORY AND LITERATURE

(A) ENGLISH

AGRAWALA, V.S.: India as known to Pāṇini, Lucknow, 1953. Indian Art, Varanasi, 1965.

ALLAN, J. : Catalogue of Coins, Ancient India, London, 1936.

ALTEKAR, A.S.: State and Government in Ancient India, 2nd ed. Benaras, 1955.

The Position of Women in Hindu Civilization, Benaras, 1938.

Education in Ancient India, Varanasi, 1957.

BANDOPADHYAYA, : Economic Life and Progress in Ancient N.C. India, Calcutta, 1945.

BANERJEA, J.N.: Development of Hindu Iconography, Calcutta, 1956.

BANERJEE, S.C. : Dharma Sūtras, A Study in their Origin and Development, Calcutta, 1962.

BARUA, B.M.: A History of Pre-Buddhistic Indian Philosophy, Reprint 1970, Delhi. Gaya and Bodha-Gaya, Calcutta, 1934.

380 BASHAM, A.L. : History & Doctrine of the Ajivikas, London, 1951. : Buddhist Records of the Western World BEAL SAMUEL from the Chinese of Hiuen Tsang, London, 1884. BHANDARKAR, Lectures on the Ancient History of India D.R. (Carmichael Lectures, 1918), Calcutta, 1919. BUHLER, J.G. : Uber die indische Secte der Jainas, Viena, 1887. (Eng. trans. The Indian Sect of the Jainas by J. Burgess, London, 1903). : On the Indian Origin of the Brahmi Alphabet. Cunningham, A : Ancient Geography of India, Calcutta, 1924. : Coins of Ancient India, London 1891. Deo, S.B. : History of Jaina Monachism, Poona, 1956. Deva Krishna and MISHRA, VIJAYA-: Vaiśālī Excavations, Vaiśālī, 1961. KANTA DIWAKAR, R.R.: (General Editor): Bihar through the Ages, Calcutta, 1959. : Early Buddhist Monachism, London, DUTTA, S.K. .: :·· 1924. · · · · · : Social Organisation in North-East India FICK, R. in Buddha's Time, Tr. S.K. MAITRA,

Calcutta, 1920. FORLONG, J.G.R.: Short Studies in the Science of Comparative Religions, London, 1897.

GHOSHAL, U.N. : History of Hindu Political Theories, London, 1923. Studies in Ancient Indian History and Culture, Calcutta, 1957.

..: Lord Mahavīra (A study in Historical JAIN, B.C. Perspective), Benares, 1948. : Jaina Bibliography, Calcutta, 1945. JAIN, C.L.

JAIN, J.C.: Life in Ancient India as depicted in the Jain Canons, Bombay, 1947.

JAIN, J.P.: The Jaina Sources of the History of Ancient India, Delhi, 1964.

JAIN, K.C.: Jainism in Rajasthan, Sholapur, 1963.
Malwa Through the Ages, Delhi, 1972.

JAYASWAL, K.P.: Hindu Polity, 3rd ed. Bangalore, 1955. KANE, P.V.: History of Dharmasastra, 3 Vols., Poona,

1941.

KERN, H.: Manual of Indian Buddhism, Strassburg, 1896.

Law, B.C. : India as Described in Early Texts of Buddhism and Jainism, London, 1941.

History of Pāli Literature, 2 Vols, London, 1933.

: Mahāvīra, His Life and Teachings, London, 1937.

: Geography of Early Buddhism, London, 1932.

: Some Jaina Canonical Sūtras, Bombay, 1949.

: Indological Studies, I & II, Calcutta,. 1962.

MAJUMDAR, R.C.: Corporate Life in Ancient India, Calcutta, 1918.

: History of Bengal.
Suvarnadvipa, Parts I & 2, Dacca, 1937-38.

MAJUMDAR, R.C.: Ed. The Age of Imperial Unity, 2nd ed., and Pusalker, A.D. Bombay, 1953.

: Ed. The Vedic Age, 2nd Impression,. London, 1932.

MAJUMDAR, R.C.: An advanced History of India, 2nd ed. RAYCHAUDHURI, H.C. London, 1950.

and DATTA, K. K.

MARSHALL, SIR . . : Mohenjo-Dāro and the Indus Civiliza-John tion, 3 Vols., London, 1931.

MATHUR, J.C. and

MISHRA, YOGENDRA: Ed. Homage to Vaiśāli, Vaiśāli, 1948.

MAX MULLER : Hibbert Lectures.

MEHTA, RATILAL N.: Pre-Buddhist India, Bombay, 1939.

MISHRA, YOGENDRA: An Early History of Vaisali, Delhi, 1962.

MITTAL, A.C. : Early History of Orissa, Banaras, 1962.

MOOKERJI, R.K.: A History of Indian Shipping, London, 1912.

: Ancient Indian Education, London, 1951.

: Hindu Civilization, London, 1936.

PANDEY, G.C.: Studies in the Origins of Buddhism, Allahabad, 1957.

PANDEY, R.B.: Indian Palaeography, Banaras, 1952.

PARGITER, F.E.: Ancient Indian Historical Tradition, London, 1922.

PRADHAN, S.N.: Chronology of Ancient India, Calcutta, 1927.

RAPSON, E.J. Ed.: Cambridge History of India, Vol. I: Ancient India, Cambridge, 1922.

RAYCHAUDHURI, : Political History of Ancient India, Sixth H.C. edition, Calcutta, 1953.

ROCKHILL, W.W.: The Life of the Buddha, Second Edition, London, 1884.

RHYS DAVIDS, T.W.: Buddhist India, ninth edition, Delhi, 1970.

: Buddhist Birth Stories, London, 1880.

SANKALIA, H. D. : Ed. Indian Prehistory, Poona, 1964.

SEN AMULYA: Schools and Sects in Jaina Literature,
CHANDRA Calcutta 1931.

SHAH, C. J. L. : Jainism in North India, London, 1932.

SHARMA, G. R.: The Excavations at Kausambi, Allahabad, 1960.

SHASTRI, K.C.

2489.

: Life in North-Eastern India -SINGH, M. M. in Pre-Maurya Times, Delhi, 1967. : Inscriptions of Aśoka, Delhi, 1967. SIRCAR, D. C. . Smith, V. A. : Jaina Stūpa and other Antiquities from Mathura, Allahabad, 1901. : The heart of Jainism, first Indian Edition Stevenson, Mrs. 1970. SINCLAIR TAYLOR, ISAAC : The Alphabet, 2 Vols. THOMAS E. J. : History of Buddhist Thought, London. New Delhi, 1953. : Pre-Vedic Existence of Śramana Tradi-Tulsi. tion. : The History and Palaeography of Mau-UPASAKA, C. S. ryan Brahmi Script, Varanasi, 1960. : A History of Indian Literature, Calcutta, WINTERNITZ, M. 1933. H. ZIMMER : Philosophies of India. (B) VERNACULAR HASTIMAL : Jaina Dharma Kā Maulika Itihāsa, I, Jaipur, 1971. : Bhāratīya Samskriti me Jaina Dharma Kā JAIN, H. L. Yogadāna, Jabalpur, 1962. : Jaina Tirtha Sarvasamgraha, Ahmedabad, 1953. : Śramana Bhagavān Mahāvira, Jalor, V. S. Kalyan Vijaya 1998. : Vīra Nirvana Samvata aura Jaina Kala Gananā, Jalor, 1930. : Jaina Sāhitya aura Itihāsa para Visada MUKHTAR, JUGAL-: Prakāša, Delhi, 1956. KISHORE : Agama aura Tripitaka eka Anusilana, 1969. NAGARAJA : Prāchina Bhāratīya Lipimālā, Ajmer, 1919. OJHA, G.H. : Jaina Sāhitya aura Itihāsa, Bombay, 1956. Premi, N.R.

: Jaina Sühitya kā Itihāsa, Varanasi, V.N.S.

VIJAYENDRA: : Tīrthānkara Mahāvīra, Bombay, V.S., 2017
GENĒRAL

B. C. Law Volume: Bhārata Kaumudī, Allahabad, 1945.

DE, N. L. : Geographical Dictionary of Ancient and Medieval India, London, 1927.

Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics.

Imperial Gazetteer of India, Vols. 12 and 15, New Edition, · Oxford, 1908....

JAYANTA VIJAYA : Ed. Arbudāchala pradakshinā Jaina. Lekha Samdoha, V. S. 2005.

MACDONELL, A. A.: Vedic Index of Names and Subjects, 2: and: Keith, A. B. Vols. London, 1912.

and: Keith, A. B. Vols. London, 1912.

MALASEKHARA, G.P.: Dictionary of Pali Proper Names, 2 Vols. London, 1237-1938.

Sir Asutosh Mookerjee Silver Jubilee Volume. Vaiśālī Abhinandanagrantha.

JOURNALS, REPORTS and MEMOIRS

Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona. Annual Reports of the Archaeological Survey of India. Epigraphia Carnatica.

Epigraphia Indica, Otacamund.

Indian Antiquary, Bombay.

Indian Archaeology-A Review, Delhi.

Indian Culture.

Indian Historical Quarterly, Calcutta.

Jaina Siddhānta Bhāskara (Hindi-English).

Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Calcutta.

Journal of the Bihar Research Society, Patna.

Journal of the Department of Letters, Calcutta University, Calcutta.

Journal of Indian History, Trivandrum.

Journal of the Numismatic Society of India, Banaras.

Journal of the Oriental Institute, Baroda.

Memoirs of Archaeological Survey of India.

Nāgarī Prachārinī Patrikā, Banaras.

Numismatic Chronicles.

Proceedings of the All-India Oriental Conference.

INDEX

Α Aitareya Brāhamaņa, 214. Abhaya (Writer), 156, 361. Ajakalāpaka, 192. Ajātasatru, 22, 65, 66, 72-77, 79, 88, 155, 173, 199, 201, Abhaya Abhayakumāra \mathbf{or} (Prince) 64, 70, 71, 106, 173, 205, 211, 224, 261. 204-207, 211, 215, 202, 217, 219, 220, 256, 260, Abhayarājakumāra Sutta, 72. 271, 318, 348. Abhidhamma, 359. Abhinandana, 2. Ajita, 2. Abū Road, 70. Ajita Keśakambalin, 154, 160, Achalabhrātā, 58. 161, 162, 297, 363. Achamenian Empire. 213. Ajja Mangu, 209. Āchārāmga Sūtra (Āyāramga Ajja Rakkhiya, 209. Sutta) 19, 20, 23, 34, 40, Ajjuṇaya, 290. 92, 95, 266, 357, 358, 368. Ajnānavāda, 19, 184. Ajnānavādins, 91. Achchha, 196. Akampana, 58. Achchhandaka, 50. Akampita, 58. Addhariyas (Aitareyas), 188. Akārakavāda, 155. Adhichchasamuppannikas, Akāsagotta, 368. 187. Akitta Jātaka, 348. Africa, 302. Akriyāvāda, 19, 106, 155,184. Agadadatta, 349. Akriyāvādins, 91. Aggañña Suttanta, 199. Ālabhi or Ālabhikā or Ala-Aggika Bhāradvāja, 180. bhiya, 21, 44, 46, 48, 53, 54, 61, 68, 192, 268. Aggika Jațilakā, 182. Aggimāla, 302. Alamgirpur, 315, 316. Agni, 190, 316. Ālāra or Alāra Kalāma, 172, Agnibhūti, 58. 214, 345. Agnihotra, 190. Alavi, 46, 48. Agnimitra, 63. Alexander, 308. Agnivešyāyanagotra, 58. Allahabad, 203. Ahaura. 339. Allakappa, 213, 214. Ahichchhatrā, 13, 29, 198, Alwar, 209. 208, 336. Āmalakappā Amarakanka, 255. Ahipāraka, 257. Aikshvākus, 20, 200, 201, Amarāvikkhepika, 164, 187. 246. Ambagāma, 52.

Ambapā l , 174, 257, 261, 262, 330. Ambattha, 238. Ambubhakkhī, 178. Ambuvāsī, 178. Āmravatī, 338. Anabhāra Sarabha, 181. Ananda (disciple of the Buddha), 226. Ananda (house holder), 46, 63, 242, 279, 299, 304, 313. Ananda Chaitya, 325. Ānanda Vikrama era 80, 84. Ananta, 2. Anāthapindika, 173, 243, 272, 304, 305. Anathi, 64. Andhavela or Anvachela, 58. Anga, 21, 27, 35, 45, 72, 183, 196, 197, 198, 203-205, 210, 218. Angas, 14, 58, 91, 357, 361. Angulimāla, 256. Anguttara, 26, 106, 156, 157, 164, 174, 181, 184, 197, 200, 247, 248, 259, 260, 354, 359, 360. Añjanavana, 277. Anna-Bhara, 180. Aņojjā, 39. Anomā, 202. Antakriddaśās, 357. Antānantikas, 187. Antakriksham, 361. Anugāra, 181. Anupapātika, 357. Anupiyā, 202. Anuttaraupapātikadašās, 357. Anuyogadvāra, 358. Anuyogadvārachūrņi, I82. Apadāna, 301, 360. Apāpāpurī, 62. Apastamba, 175, 240, 250,

253, 265, 313, 335, 346. Āpastamba-Grihya-sūtra, 271. Arabian Coast, 302. Arakan Coast, 301. Aramic Script, 355. Arbudabhūmi, 70. Ārdraka, 69, 70. Arhannaga, 300. Arishtanemi, 5-8. Arthaśästra, 365. Aruņa, 210. Aryadatta, 13. Aryaghosha, 13. \overline{A} sā, 190. Asaga, 25. Āsandīvant, 315. Ashtādhyāyī, 28, 197, 308, 354, 356, 364. Aśoka, 68, 354, 355. 359, 360. Assaka (Aśmaka), 197, 210. Assalāyana, 180. Assamedha, 188. Assapura (Aśvapura), 198. Asthikagrāma, 44, 45, 61. Asūrya, 128. Aśvaka, 210. Aśvalāyana, 325. Āśvalāyana Grihyasūtra, 335. Aśvasena, 12, 199. Aśvinī, 63. Atharvaveda, 6. Athens, 225, 228, 230. Atimukta, 64. Atranjikhera, 29, 329, 338. Atthakathā, 235. Atthāvaya, 178. Atthiyagama, 51, 53. Attock, 348. Attukkosiya, 185. Aturapratyākhyānam, 358. Aulikara dynasty, 86. Aumi (Anomā), 214. Aupamanyava, 213. Aupapātika Sūtra (Ovavāiya

Sūya) 19, 65, 170.

Autpātam, 361. Avadānaśataka, 272. Avāha, 196. Avanti, 22, 27, 28, 35, 73, 87, 88, 174, 197, 203, 205, 207, 209, 210, 211, 213, 215, 216, 274, 356. Avantiputra, 209, 212. Avasyaka Bhāshya, 49. Avasyaka Chūrņi, 23, 24, 49, **2**99, 358. Āvaśyka Niryukti, 49. Avasyaka Sūtra (Avassaya Sūya), 19, 22-24, 69. Āvaśyaka Ţīkā, 49. Avattagāma, 51. Aviruddhakas, 164. Aviwa, 46. Awra, 329, 338. Ayala, 295, 302. Ayodhyā or Ayojjhā, 5, 199, 310, 315, 317.

B

Babylonia, 152, 301, 302. Bactria, 298. Badaun, 208. Bādarāyaṇa, 363. Bahistan inscription, 213. Bahudaga, 182. Bahula, 47. Bahuśāla Chaitya, 37. Bahusālagagāma, 53. Bairāt, 209. Balabhāmukha, 302. Baladeva, 51, 53. Balakagrāma, 63. Balamitra, 85. Balarampur, 50. Bambhadatta, 256. Bambhanagāma, 51. Banda District, 333. Bāniyā, 36, 37, 45. Bankura, 52. Bārāņasī, 21, 48, 63, 68, 317, 325.

Bāravai, 296. Barbai, 301. Bareilly District, 208. Bargaon, 45. Basārh, 36, 37, 45, 200. Basedita, 50. Basukunda, 36, 37. Baudhāyana, 27, 175, 195, 197, 254, 256, 300, 325. Baveru Jātaka, 301, 306 Benaras, 49, 173, 199, 212, 295, 304, 305, 347, 348, 349, 350, 368. Bengal, 51, 54, 61, 71, 303. Bennāyada, 295, 302, 303. Besyngeitai, 301. Bhaddā, (Mother of Gośāla), 165. Bhaddā, 191. Bhaddasāla Jātaka, 199, 202, 227, 335. Bhaddiya (Bhadrika), 45, 52, 198, 234. Bhadrā, 63. Bhadrabāhu, 4, 23, 74, 75, 86, 87. Bhadrakālī, 335. Bhadravatī, 223. Bhadrikā, 44, 45, 61. Bhagalpur, 45, 198. Bhagava, 337. Bhagavad Gītā, 159. Bhāgavata Purāṇa, 6. Bhagavatī Sūtra, 17, 20, 24, 32-34, 40, 46, 48, 61, 63, 70, 76, 92, 110, 152, 165, 170, 177, 179, 196, 197, 223, 292, 350, 357, 358. Bhagga (Bharga) 213, 214. Bhaktāparijñā, 358. Bhaldaria, 333. Bhandira Jakkha, 192. Bhandīravaņa, 192. Bharadvāja, 365, 366. Bhāradvāja-Gotra, 58. Bharata, 5.

Brahmadatta, 205, 2-8, 210.

Bharata, King of Sauvira, 210. Bhārata Kula, 203, 210, 211. Bharhut, 331, 359. (Bhroach), Bharukachchha 299, 302, 303, 338. Bhāskara, 69. Bhauman, 361. Bheranda, 297. Bhikkahunda, 182. Bhilsa, 68. Bhīma, 16. Bhim Baithak, 333. Bhirmound, 308, 309, 339. Bhiuchcha, 182. Bhoga, 20, 71, 200, 201, 206. Bhoganagara, 52, 201, 202, 246, 325. Bhogapura, 54. Bhopal, 333. Bhūikammiya, 185. Bhujjo Bhujjo Kouyakāraka, 185. Bhūmaka, 83. Bhūtabali, 14. Bhūtānanda, 223. Bihar, 45, 51, 53, 61, 71, 200, 204, 326, 338, 339. Biharsharif, 46. Bihelaga, 191. Bijaigarh, 333. Bilavāsī, 178. Bimbisāra, 45, 64, 65, 66, 67, 72, 73, 76, 80, 81, 88, 93, 166, 173, 201, 204, 205, 206, 208, 211, 212, 213, 217, 219, 220, 221, 222, 256, 257, 260, 261, 274, 277, 309, 330, 348, 368. Birbhum District, 47, 53. Birs Nirmud, 301. Bodh Gaya, 338. Bodhi, 203, 214. Bodiya, 177. Brahmā, 188, 189, 332, 334. Brahmachārin, 13.

Brahmajālasutta, 26,103, 152, 156, 164, 186, 241, 269. Brāhmanakunda, 37. Brāhmī, 354, 355. Brihadāranyaka Upanishad, 249.Brihadratha dynasty, 204. Brihaspati, 365. Brihatkalpa Bhashya, 23, 24, 195, 267, 358. Buddha, 5, 11, 12, 14, 18, 26, 27, 31, 36, 45, 66, 68, 71, 72, 73-84, 106, 152, 154, 156, 157, 159, 161, 164, 173-175, 180-183, 166, 192, 193, 202, 208-210, 214, 226, 233, 234, 238, 239, 243, 249, 261, 262, 264, 267, 274, 297, 304, 314, 316, 325, 326, 328, 330, 332, 334, 337, 345, 346, 349, 352, 356, 360, 363. Buddhacharya, 78, 83. Buddhaghosha, 103, 108, 157, 168, 169, 171. Buddha Vamsa, 360. Bulis, 213, 214. Bundelkhand, 202. Burdwan, 52. Burma, 301. Buxar, 336, 337. C

Ceylon, 303.
Ceylonese Chronicles, 303.
Chammakhandia, 181.
Champā, 21, 22, 44, 45, 48, 51, 55, 61-67, 77, 88, 181, 192, 198, 203, 205, 221, 240, 261, 285, 288, 295-298, 300, 301, 304, 316. 317, 325, 344.

Champā (river), 204. Champānagara, 45, 198. Champāpura, 45, 198. Champaramanijja, 51. Champaran, 29, 326. Chanda, 84. Chandanā or Chandanabālā, 22, 60, 64, 67, 198, 247, 352. Chandapradyota, 22, 73, 81, 88, 195, 207. Chandāvīja, 358. Chandidevaga, 185. Chandiyā, 190. Chandragupta, 73-77, 80, 81, 86 Chandrakeiugarh, 29, 329. Chandraprabha, 2. Chandraprabhā, 66. Chandraprajñapti, 357, 366. Chandravarman, 213. Charaka, 181. Chariyāpiţaka, 360. Chashtana, 83. Chatuhsarana, 358. Chelanā, 57, 65, 201, 255.Chetaka or chedaga, 21, 22, 32, 34, 63, 65-67, 199, 201, 204, 206, 207, 223, 224, 234. Chetiya (Chedi), 197, 202, 203, 209. Chhamāņigāma, 55. Chhandāvas, 188. Chhāndogya Upanishad, 7, 195, 249. Chhandokas (Chhāndogyas) 188. Chhedasūtras, 358. Chhota Nagpur, 53, 204. China, 152, 301. Chirand, 314, 336, 338, 339. Chirika, 181. Chorāga, Sannivesa, 51. Choreya, 51, 52. Chūdāmani, 367.

Chulani Brahmadatta, 208.
Chūlanipriya, 63.
Chulla kālinga Jātaka, 210, 227.
Chullaniddesa, 197.
Chullaśataka, 63.
Chullavagga, 26, 330, 359, 360.
Chunar, 54.
Cleisthenian Constitution, 228, 230.
Confucious, 152.

Cyrus, 87. D Dadhimāla, 302. Dadhivāhana, 64, 67, 198, 203.Dagasoyariya, 185. Dakkhinakulaga, 176. Dakkinavāchala, 50. Dakkhināvaha, 282. Dakshinapatha, 296, 297. Dalabhum, 54. Damodar river, 55. Dantukkhaliva, 176. Darbhanga, 45. Darius, 87, 213. Dāsa, 83. Daśabhakti, 25. Daśa Brāhmaņa Jātaka, 240. Daśamabhadra, 68. Dasapura, 67, 280, 334. Daśārņa, 20, 68, 197. Daśārņabhadra, 20. Dāsasuyakkhandha, 23,358. Dasaveyāliya, 23, 24, 258. Delhi, 207. Devadaha, 213, 214, 272. Devadatta, 72, 205. Devadhamma Jātaka, 219. Devakī, 7, 33. Devānandā, 32, 33, 238. Devardhi, 92. Devendrastava, 358. Dhammachintaka, 185.

Dhammadinnā, 352. Dhammapada, 163, 214, 258, 354, 360. Dhana, 295. Dhanañjaya, 207. Dhampālī, 248. Dhanapati, 335. Dhanāvaha, 22. Dhanavasu, 295. Dhannantari, 367. Dhanyā, 63. Dharanendra, 12. Dharma, 2. Dhatarattha 210. Dhatarattha Maharaja, 190. Dhātukathā, 360. Dhauli, 54. Dhonasākha Jātaka, 214. Dhritarāshtra, 190, 332. Dhruvasena, 75. Dhuhabasti District, 52. Dīghanakha, 180, 181. Dighanikāya, 26, 103, 174, 186, 197, 198, 247, 271, 302, 325, 358-360.

Diluvāliyā, 297.
Dinna, 178.
Dīrghatapasvī, 71.
Disāpokkhi, 177.
Divyam, 361.
Dovaī, 255.
Drangiana, 87.
Driḍhavarman, 203.
Drishṭivāda, 14, 91, 357.
Druhyu, 212.
Dummuha, 331.
Durgā, 190.
Durmukha, 16.
Dvārikā, 7, 213.
Dvipalāsa, 37, 192, 335.

E

Eatwah, 46. Ekachacha-Sassatikas, 186. Elāpattra, 193. Empedocles, 160. Epicurus, 161. Eran, 29, 287, 314, 319, 338.

 \mathbf{F}

Farukhabad District, 49, 208.

Gaddabhāli, 180. Gāmāya Sannivesa, 52. Ganda, 296. Gandaki river, 36, 37, 50, 54, 200, 201, 300. Gandaritis, 87. Gandhara, 16, 87, 197, 205, 212, 213, 298, 302, 310. Gaṇḍitinduga, 191. Ganeśa, 334. Ganga, 176, 177, 200, 202-4, 206, 207, 224, 300, 301. Gangadatta, 191. Ganga valley, 166, 337. Ganga Yamuna Doab, 316. Gāńgeya, 17. Gaņivīja, 358. Gardabhilla, 85. Gārgya Gotra, 256. Gautama (Disciple of Mahāvīra) 15-17, 19, 32, 59,

61, 68, 70. Gautama (Dharmsūtra writer), 250, 252, 253, 256, 265,

304, 313. Gautama, (Nyāya) 363. Gautama Buddha, 172, 173,

214, 359. Gautama Gotra, 58. *Gautamīputra* Sātakarņi. 80.

Gayā, 173, 192, 204.

Gaya (king), 204. Gaya Kassapa, 182.

German, 229, 230. Ghaggara pokkharanī, 181.

Ghata Jātaka, 219.

Ghijhakūta mountain, 181.

Ghora Angirasa, 7.

Giranāra, 7. Girivraja, 204, 318. Gītā, 7. Giyarai, 185. Goama, 185. Gobbaragāma, 58. Gobhūmi, 53. Godāvarī, 360. Golakhapur, 30, 201, 308, 309, 339. Gonaddha, 298. Gopāla, 216. Gośāla, 11, 17, 21, 40, 46-48, 51-53, 75, 76, 82, 88, 101, 106, 159, 165-72, 182, 185, 291, 361, 363. Gotama, 325. Govvaia, 185. Greece, 152. Greek, 298, 302, 308. Greek Archon, 234. Gujarat, 75. Guṇabhadra, 25, 58. Gunachandra Gani, 25, 49. Gunasila, 192, 325.

H

Haihayas, 210. Hālahalā, 166, 291, 337. Halla, 21, 206, 223. Hamsa, 182. Hamugama, 83. Harappa, 8, 315, 316. Haribhadra Sūri, 24. Haribhadriya Vritti, 69. Harikeshabala, 20, 245. Hārīta Gotra, 58. Harivanisapurāņa, 25, 70, 81, Haryanka Kula, 204. Hastināpura, 28, 29, 207, 316, 317, 329, 336, 337, 338, 340.Hastipala, 44, 61. Hatthigāma (Hastigrāma) 45, 201.

Hatthisisa, 54, 296. Hatthitāvasa, 177. Hattināpura, 177. Hazara district, 213. Hazaribagh, 55. Heledduga, 51. Hemachandra, 25, 49, 65, 73-75, 77, 81. Herodotus, 303. Himalayas, 213, 332, 349. Hiranyanābha, 199. Hiri, 190. Hiuen Tsang, 215. Hoogly, 52. Hottiya, 176. Howrah, 52. Humbauttha, 176.

I

Ikshvāku, 5, 12, 200. Illisa Jātaka, 263. India, 153, 160, 196, 199, 202, 298, 301, 302, 303, 317, 348, 349, 355, 368. Indra, 5, 188, 189, 316, 332, 334. Indrabhūti Gautama, 21, 57, 58, 59, 62. Indrakūţa, 192. Indraprastha, 207, 208, 298. Indus valley, 193, 315, 333, 335. Iran, 152. <u>I</u>śāna, 188. Iśāna Midhusi, 335. Ishukāra (king), 207, Ishukāra (town), 207, 208. Isibhāsiya, 23. Isidāsī, 254, 258, 260. Itivuttaka, 360.

Jābālopanishad, 250. Jaimini, 363. Jaipur, 209.

Jalavāsī, 178. Jamālī, 39, 72. Jambhigaon or Jrimbhikagrāma, 55-57. Jambhiyagāma, 55. Jambudvīpa, 95, 367. Jambudvīpaprajnapti, 24, 357.Jambugrāma, 202. Jambukhādaka, 181. Jambusaṇḍa, 53. Janakapur, 45. Janavasabha Suttanta, 197. Jaṇṇaī, 176. Jānussoni, 180. Jarāsandha-kī-Baithaka. 329. Jatilas, 173, 182, 183. Jaugada, 339, Jāvā, 301. Jayadhavalā Ţīkā, 25. Jayaghosha, 20, 240. Jayanta, 335. Jayantī, 64, 352. Jehova, 152. 173, 272, 277, Jetavana, 304.Jews, 152. Jinadāsagaņi Mahattara, 24. Jinapāliya, 295. Jinaprabhasūri, 327. Jinasena, 25, 81, 84. Jinavakkhiya, 295. Jīvābhigama, 357. Jīvaka, 181, 205, 211, 261, 348, 350, 368. Jīvandhara, 69. Jīvandhara Charita, 69. Jīvantasvāmī Šrī Mahāvīra, Jiyasattu, 21, 49, 68, 331. (Nāyā-Jñātādharmakathā dhammakahāo), 19, 357. Jñātri or Jñātrika, 20, 36,

37, 71, 77, 200, 246.

Joi, 182.

Jonipāhuda, 367.

Junha, 348. Junha Jātaka, 242. Jyeṣṭhā, (wife of Nandivardhana), 22, 256. Jyeṣṭhā (goddess), 355.

K

Kabanadhī Kātyāyana, 157. Kabra Pahar, 333. Kachchāyana, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 393*.* Kachchāyanagotra, 181. Kachchhapa Jātaka, 256. Kadamba Bāhula river, 128. Kafiristan, 213. Kāka, 297. Kakutthā (Kakutsthā), 201. Kālahasti, 52. Kālaka or Kālaya, 367. Kālakanni, 334. Kālāmas, 199, 213, 214. Kalambuka Sannivesa, 52. Kālamukha, 301. Kalanduka Jātaka, 249. Kālāsavesiyaputta, 17. Kālāya Sannivesa, 51. Kālī (goddess), 334. Kāli (woman), 17. Kālī (courtesan), 262. Kalinga, 16, 20, 69, 197, 203, 210, 298, 360. Kāliyadīva, 296, 297. Kalki, 86. Kalpasūtra, 4-7, 12, 19, 24, 33, 34, 39, 40, 43-45, 48, 61, 70, 73, 75, 95, 189, 190, 201, 202, 233. Kālpasūtra Ţīkā, 49. Kalpāvatamsikā, 357. Kāmadeva, 63, 304. Kāma Jātaka, 273. Kamalāmalā, 255, 330. Kamatha, 12.

213,

197,

Kamboja,

298.

297,

Kammārabhikkhu, 186. Kauravas, 20, 200, 201, Kammāssadamma, 207. 246. Kāmpilyapura of Kāmpilya Kauśāmbī, 22, 54, 55, 64, 67, 174, 203, 211, 223, (Kampil), 13, 21, 48, 49, 63, 274, 285, 298, 300, 68, 192, 208, 315-317, 311, 315-317, 335, 338, 325. 352, 356. Kaṇāda, 363. Kauśika Gotra, 39. Kanakakhala, 50, 176. Kuţilya, 365, 366. Kañchanapura, 282. Kayalisamāgama, 52. Kandi, 207. Kayangalā, 51. Kanha, 255. Kayatha, 336. Kanhaparivāyaga, 182. Keśakambalin, 106. Kañkajol, 51. Kesaputta, 199, 213, 214. Kanki 180. Keśī (Disciple of the Tir-Kansa (the Bodhisattava), thankara Pārśva), 15, 16, 260.17, 22, 68, 70, 92. Kāntārapatha, 298. Kešī Kumāra (Prince), 66, Kāpathika Bhāradvāja, 180. 210.Kapila, 180, 182, 363. Keśī (Vedic Saint), 6. Kapilavastu, 46, 172, 174, Khalitābad Tehsi, 52. 199, 213, 214, 272, 298, Khanda, 227, 234. 326.Khandhakās, 359. Kāpiśī, 317. Khāravela, 69. Kappa, 23. Kharoshthī, 354, 355. Karakandu, 16, 20, 69. Khema, 205. Karmāragrāma, 36, 37. Khilpatthiya, 296. Kashmir, 70, 298. Khuddaka, 26, 27, 174, 359, Kāšī, 22, 28, 72, 73, 196-360. 203-206, 210, 234, Khuramāla, 302. 256, 285. Kisā Gotamī, 352. Kasiā, 45, 46, 201. Kisa Sankichcha, 9, 157, 165, Kāśī Bhāradvāja, 180, 277. 169. Kochchha (Kachchha), 196. Kāśī-Kośala, 19, 197, 200, 201, 205, 206, 317. Kodinna, 178. Kohāna, 214. Kāsivaddhaņa, 64. Kohbar, 333. Kāśyapa, 365. Kāśyapagotra, 32. Kolhuā, 47. Kajāhaka, 249. Koliyas, 213, 214, 227, 239, Katāhaka Jātaka, 249. 282. Katapūtanā, 52, 192. Kollāga-Sanniveša, 36, 37, Kathāvatthu, 360. 47, 50, 51, 58, 200. Kātyāyana, 106. Koluā, 37. Kaudinya Gotra, 58. Koravya, 207. Kosala, 22, 28, 46, 49, 58, Kaunapadanta, 365. 68, 72, 73, 173, 188, 196, Kaundinya Gotra, 39.

197-199, 201, 204-206, 214, 215, 219, 232, 234, 235, 256, 282, 307, 310, 348.

Koshthaka Shrine, 192, 325.

Kosi, 300.

Kosiya Jātaka, 348.

Koţigāma, 36, 261.

Kottiya, 176. Kovila, 182.

Krishna, 7, 8, 33.

Kriyāvāda, 105, 106, 184.

Kriyāvādins, 91, 105.

Kshaharāta, 78, 82. Kshatriyakunda, 35, 37.

Kshetrapāla, 335.

Kuchchiya, 186.

Kudivvaya, 182.

Kuiyanna, 243.

Kuiya Sannivesa, 52.

Kūladhamaga, 177.

Kulādhipa, 55.

Kulapura, 55. Kūmana Chhaparāgāchhī, 37.

Kumāragāma, 165. Kumāranandi, 288.

Kumārapāla, 81.

Kumārasena, 213.

Kumārāya Sannivesa, 51.

Kumbhāṇḍas, 332.

Kummagāma, 53, 185.

Kundāga Sannivesa, 53.

Kundagrāma or Kundapura, 22, 34, 35, 36, 37, 49, 71, 200, 234, 246, 298.

Kundakolita, 63.

Kundalakeśa, 258. Kuniya or Kūnika, 21, 22, 63, 65, 73, 75, 77, 81, 198, 199, 201, 202, 205, 206, 215, 217, 218, 223, 224.

Kunjarāvarta, 69.

Kūrmagrāma, 47-50. Kuru, 197, 207, 208.

Kurukshetra, 207.

Kurusha, 69.

Kusasmala, 12...

Kuśāvatī, 260.

Kushthaka, 192.

Kuśinārā or Kuśinagara, 46, 52, 72, 174, 201, 202,

233, 285.

Kūṭadanta, 180, 188.

Kūtavaņika Jātaka, 306.

Lachhwad or Lachhuar, 35. Lādha (Rādha) 52, 53, 197.

Lākshaņam, 361.

Lalitavistara, 212, 354.

Lāṭa, 297.

Lauria Nandangarh 29, 326, 327.

Lepa, 322.

Lichchhavis, 19, 20, 27, 35, 36, 45, 63, 67, 70-73, 106, 200-202, 204-207, 224, 226, 229-231, 233-235, 246, 249, 256, 257, 273, 349-

Likhunia, 333.

Loa-tse, 152.

Lohaggalā, 53.

Lohardagā, 51.

Lohārya, 59.

Lohichcha, 180.

Lokāyatas, 183. Lokāyatika Brāhmanas, 156.

Lothal, 315.

Lumbinivana, 172, 214, 272.

M

Machchha (Matsya) 197, 209.

Machhuatoli, 308. Maddakuchchi, 181.

Maddanagāma, 53. Madhayadesa, 259, 356.

Madhya pradesh, 68, 210.

Madra, 205, 260.

Magadha, 16, 21, 22, 26, 27, 28, 45, 52, 57, 65, 67, 70, 72, 73, 75, 79, 81, 87, 155, 166, 173, 179, 180-183, 188, 193, 196 197,

199-202, 206, 213-215, 218, 198, 211, 290, 292, 313, 208, 211, 221, 226, 359, 360. 235, 257, 261, 271, 298, 337, 338, 356, 360, 368. Māgaņdiya, 180. Mahāvamsa, 75, 204. Mahāvana, 181. Mahāvanija, Jātaka, 306. Mahāvastu, 197. Maghavā, 189. Mahāvīra, 1, 3, 5, 6, 11, 12, 14-22, 24-26, 28, 30-63, 7, 108, 202, Mahābhārata, 193, 203, 209, 210, 213, 365. Mahadeo Hills, 333. 96, 98-102, 65-93, 104-108, 110, 111, Mahāgovinda, 317. 129, 131, 153, 154, 159, 162, 164-166, 169, 161, Mahāgovinda Suttanta, 210. 172, Mahāhimavanta, 297. 176, 180, 184, 185, 187, Mahājanaka, 301. 188, 191-193, 196, 198-201, Mahākachchāyana, 67, 174. 203, 204, 209-213, Mahākāla temple 212. 215,225, 234, 237-240, 243, Mahāli, 349. 247, 249, 256, 265, Mahānāma, 249. 276, 297, 298, 304, 315, 316, Mahānāradakassapa jātaka, 325, 333-335, 337, 348, 352, 353, 356, 341, 314. 357, Mahānārāyaņa Upanishad, 359, 363, 365, 368. 334. Mahāniddesa, 301, 360. Mahāvīra Charitra, 49. Mahānimittas, 14, 166, 361, Mahāvīra Chariyam, 25. 367. Mahāvira era, 81, 85, 87. Mahāvīra Janmasūtra, 70. Mahānisītha, 358, Mahiddhi, 188. Mahāpajāpati, 352. Mähishmatī or Mahissati Mahāparinibbāna Sutta, 26, 298, 76, 265, 325. (Maheśvara) 210, Mahāprajāpati Gotamī 172, 299, 338. 174. Mahosadha, 324. Mahāpratyākhyāna, 358. Mahu, 83. Mahārāshtra, 210, 297. Mahurā, 316. Maitrāyaņī, 256, 334. Mahāsakuludāyi Sutta, 78. Mahasataka, 63, 304. Maitreya, 58. Mahāsayaga, 260. Majjhima, 26, 174, 260, 359, Mahāsena, 57. 360.Mahāsena Shanmukha (Kārt-Majjhima Nikāya, 11. tikeya), 334. Majjhima Pāvā or Madhyama Mahāsudassana, 26, 321. Pāvā, 55, 57, 79. Mālava (ka), 196. Mahasudassana Sutta, 26. Mahā Sutasoma Jātaka, 207. Malaya, 54, 196, 297. Mahā Ummaga, 27, 321, Malayagırı, 185. 332.Malaya Peninsula, 301. Mahā Ummaga Jātaka, 27, Malladinna, 306, 331. Mallakis, 19, 73, 201, 202, Mahāvagga, 26, 36, 106, 183, 205, 234.

Mallas, 46, 50, 71, 72, 174, 196, 197, 201, 202, 214, 233-235. Mallikā, 173. Mallikārāma, 181. Malwa, 81, 210. Mānasarovara Lake, 332. Mandara (Mt.) 44. Mandikata, 58. Mandsor, 86, 333. Manibhadra, 191. Manikpur, 29, 333. Manimāla, 192. Mankhali, 165. Mańkhali Gośāla, 11, 21, 73, 154, 157, 165, 169, 337. Manu, 6, 365. Mārgas (Maggas) 14, 166. 274, 361. Marudevi, 5. Masaon, 336, 337. Mātanga, 191. Mathura, 28, 29, 32, 174, 185, 192, 195, 209, 212, 295, 296, 298, 299, 317, 319, 327, 329, 336, 337. Maundra, 58. Maurya, 58, 85. Mauryan empire, 308, 309. Mauryaputra, Māyā, 172. Mediterranean, 302. Megha, 52. Megha (Ruler), 64. Meghakumāra, 252. Mehraria, 333. Mendhiyagāma, 54, 55. Merutunga, 25, 78, 81, 85. Metārya, 58. Midnapore, 52. Mihirakula, 86. Mirzapur, 29, 54, 333. Missakasāra, 189. Mithilā (Mihilā) 44, 45, 48, 54, 58, 61, 62, 68, 198,

200, 261, 285, 298, 316, 317, 347. Miyaluddhaya, 177. Mogallana or Maudgalyayana, 163, 173, 180. Mohenjo-dāro, 8, 9, 193, 315. Moliyasīvaka, 181. Monghyr, 35, 45, 198. Morāga Sannivesa, 50. Moranivāpa, 181. Mori, 333. Moriyas, 213-215. Moriya, Sannivesa, 58. Mosali, 54. Mṛigāra or Mṛigadhara, 63, Mṛigāvatī, 22, 64, 67. Muchilinda, 193. Mukunda, 273. Mūlasarvāstivāda, 231. Multan, 209. Muṇḍa, 173. Muṇḍakopanishad, 9. Mungusthala, 70. Munichanda, 17. Musiyadāraya, 288. Muzaffarpur, 36, 45, 200. Ν

Nābhirāja, 5. Nabhovāhana, 85. Nabonidus, 301. Nādi Kassapa, 182. Naga (Dynasty) 193, Nāgamuņdā, 249. Nāga Worship 193. Nagda, 29, 287, 329, 338. Nagnajit, 16, 212. Nahapāņa, 78, 82. Nahavāņa, 78, 82. Naksh-i-Rustam, 87. Nalagiri, 223. Nālakagāma, 181. Nalamāla, 302. Nālandā, 35, 44-48, 51, 61-

63, 72, 79, 173, 181, 344. Nāmasiddhi, Jātaka, 248. Nānachchhanda Jātaka, 248. Nanda (Weaver), 47. Nanda dynasty, 81, 85, 309. Nanda (Sethi) 243, 289. 248, Jātaka, Nanda 247,259. Nandarāja, 69. Nanda Vachcha, 157, 165, 169. Nandi, 358. Nandiggāma, 54. Nandi Magura, 213. Nandinipriya, 63. Nandi Samgha, 86. Nandisena, 210. Nandivardhana, 22, 39, 40, 42, 256. Nangala, 51. Nārāyaņa, 182, 195. Nārāyaņa Kottha, 185. Nāta Clan, 32. Nātaputta, 5-7, 21, 26, 31, 32, 71, 77, 78, 82, 106, 156, 173. Nātihas, 36, 246. Nāyādhammakahāo, 17, 21, 255, 295, 297, 300, 321, 368. Nāyasandavana, 49. Nebuchadnezzar, 301. Nemichandra, 25, 49, 81, 84. Neminātha, 7, 8. Nepal, 45, 172, 199, 200, 202, 297, 326. Nepal Tarai, 214. Neranjara, 181, 182, 183. Nevāsā, 280. Newal or Nawal, 46. Nidānakathā, 272. Nidāna Sūtra 365. Nikāyas, 92. Nīlakusamāla, 302. Nimajjaka, 176. Nimar, 210.

Nimi, 16, 212.
Nirvāņists or Ditthadhammanibbānavādins, 187.
Niryāvali Sūtra (Niryāvaliya
Sūya) 9, 21, 201, 224,
357.
Nišītha Chūrņi, 23, 182, 358.
Nišītha Sūtra, 24.
Nivvui, 255.
Noh, 336, 337.
North-West Frontier Province,
213.
Nubia, 302.
Nyagrodhavana, 215.

O

Orissa, 54, 339. Oriup, 339. Ovāiya Sutra, 176, 179, 198, 215. Oudh, 199.

P

Pachmarhi, 29, 333. Padakusalamāņava Jātaka. 216. Padaraona, 46, 201. Pādha (Pāndya or Paundra). 196. Padma, 64. Padmaprabha, 2. Paesi, 17, 22, 50, 68. Pagabbhā, 17. Paila, 30, 308, 309, 339. Painnas (Praktrnas), 357, 358. Paighāna, 298. Pakudha Kachchāyana, 154, 157, 158. Pālaka, 73, 81, 85, 88, 216. Pālayagāma, 55. Pālita, 21, 295, 296. Pamāvati, 206. Panchaguru Jataka, 217. Pańchakalpa, 358. Panchāla, 16, 197, 199, 208. 308.

Pañchaśikha, 274. Pañchavimsa Brāhmaṇa, 9. Paṇḍarabhikkhu, 182. Pāṇḍavas, 87, 209, 213. Pāṇḍu Mahurā, 296. Pāṇḍuraṅga, 182. 28, 197, 231, Pāṇini, 263, 268, 269, 273, 298, 308, 313, 317, 335, 348, 353, 356, 364. Paņiyabhūmi or Paņitabhūmi, 44-48, 61, 165. Pannā, 248. Pannavaņā, 110, 354. Pāpā or Pāvā, 44-46, 54, 55, 61,72, 75, 77, 82, 201, 202. Paramahamsa, 182. Paraparivāiya, 186. Pārāśara, 242, 365. Pārāsariya Vassakāra, 180. Pārasaula, 295, 302. Pāraskara, 335. Pāraskara Gṛihyasūtra, 260. Parisamedha, 188. Parisishtaparvan, 25, 61, 75. Parivāra, 359, 360. Parivrājakārāma, 181. Pārśvanātha, 1, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13-17, 22, 32, 40, 48, 64, 68,91, 92,98, 100,101, 108, 193, 198, 212, 357, 361. Pārśvanātha hill, 13. Pasannachand, 178. Pāṭaliputra, 65, 81, 173, 192, 207, 224, 282, 298, 300, 307, 336. Patanjali, 363. Pātimokkha, 359, 360. Patisambhidāmagga, 360. Patnā, 36, 37, 46, 50, 204. Patraha, 30, 308, 309. Pattakālaya, 57. Paṭṭhāna,, 360. Paudanya, 210. Paumanāva, 255. Pavārika's Mango grove, 181.

Pāyāsi, 162. Pāyāsi Suttanta, 22. Peḍhāla, 54. Peḍhālagāma, 54. Persepolis, 87. Persia, 213, 302, 303. Persian gulf, 302. Peshwar, 212. Petavatthu, 360. Phālguni, 63. Philip Ariadeus, 308. Phusati, 260. Pihuṇḍa Pithunda, or 198, 296. Pilotika Vachchhāyana, 180. Piņdaniryukti, 358. Piņģola Bhāradvāja, 180. Piṇḍolaga, 186. Piṅgala, 365. Pippalāda, 157. Pipphalivana, 213, 215. Piprahwa, 29, 326. Piśuna, 365. Pokkharasāti, 180.. Polāsa, 54. Polāśapura, 21, 48, 49, 63, 68, 192, 279, 291, 304,325, 337. Poțaliputta, 180. Poţāliya or Poṭali-putta, 180. Potana or Potali, 210. Potthapāda, 180. Pottiya, 176. Poyaṇapura, 176. Prabhāsa, 58. Prabhavati, 12, 64, 66. Pradyota or Pajjoya 63,64,66, 67, 73, 87, 88, 174, 203, 205, 210,211-213, 223, 224, 254, 274, 295, 319, 334, 335, 368. Pragalbhā, 52. Prahlādpur, 336. Prajāpati, 188, 189. Prajnāpaņā, 197, 357. Prasenajit or pasenadi, 12, 66,

72, 73, 166, 173, 188, 199,	R
201, 204, 205, 219, 256,	Rāḍha, 42, 46, 52.
260, 330, 348.	Rāhula, 170, 174.
Praśnavyākaranavipāka, 357.	Raivataka hill, 7.
Praśnopanishad, 157.	Rajaghat, 29.
	Rājagriha, 28, 29, 44-48, 51,
Pravahana Jaivali, 208.	57, 58, 61-66, 72, 77-79,
Pravritti Vāduka Purusha,	162, 172, 173, 180, 181,
65.	102, 172, 173, 100, 101,
Prishtichampā, 44, 51.	192, 193, 204-207, 211,
Prithvīrājarāso, 84.	224, 240, 200, 201, 271,
Priyadaršikā, 203.	213, 211, 289, 299, 291-
Priyakārinī, 32.	192, 193, 204-207, 211, 224, 243, 260, 261, 271, 273, 277, 285, 295, 297-300, 306-308, 317, 318, 225, 226, 320, 237, 238
Ptolemy, 301.	320, 320, 323, 307, 000,
Pubbārāma monastery, 174.	344, 347.
Puggala paññatti, 360.	Rajamati, 7.
Püjyapāda, 25.	Rajaori, 213.
Pulika (Puṇika) 211.	Rājapraśnīya Sūtra (Rāya-
Pulumāvi, 80.	paseniya Süya) 19, 68,
Puņḍarīka Parivrājakārāma,	303, 320, 331, 357, 358.
181.	Rājapura, 213.
Puṇḍariya, 17.	Rajasthan, 70, 299, 315.
Pūndavaddhana, 254.	Rajghat, 319, 329, 336, 338.
Puṇḍra, 27, 197, 297.	Rājovāda Jātaka, 222.
Pundravardhana, 281.	Rāmagāma, 213, 214.
Punjab, 87, 205, 213, 302.	Rāmāyaņa, 208.
Punnakalasa, 52.	Ramna, 308.
Punphachūlā, 17.	Rāmnagar 208.
Pūraņa Kassapa or Kassapa,	Rangpur, 315.
106, 154, 155-157, 159,	Rapti, 46, 214.
247, 363.	Rawalpindi, 213.
Purimtala, 53.	Rāyagiha, 13, 46, 53, 54,
Puruliā, 53.	224, 283, 289, 290, 316,
Pūrņabhadra, 191, 192, 325.	317, 330, 368.
Pūsanandi, 252.	Rāyapaseniyasūya, 17, 22.
Pushkalāvatī, 298, 299.	Rāta, 365.
Pushkarasārin, 73, 87, 205,	Rathāvarta, 69.
212.	Ratthapāla, 208, 260.
Pushpachūla, 13.	Ratthapāla Sutta, 260.
Pushpachūlikā, 357.	Red Sea, 302.
Pushpadanta, 14.	Reņu, 210.
Pushpamitra, 85.	Revair, 60.
Pushpikā, 357.	Rigycda, 6, 8, 9, 316.
Pushyā, 63.	Rigveda Prātisākhya, 365.
Putra, 58.	Rishabha, 2, 5, 6.
Puvvas, 13, 14, 58, 63, 91,	Rishabhadatta, 33.
166, 357, 361.	Rishipattana (Sārnāth), 173.

Rohini, 33, 213, 214, 227, 282.

Roman Consul, 234.

Rome, 225.

Roruka, 66, 210, 299, 317.

Rudra, 55, 195, 273.

Rudraka Rāmaputra, 172, 173.

Rudrāyaṇa Udrāyaṇa, 66.

Rukkamūlia, 178.

Rumminidei, 172, 214.

Rupar, 29, 315, 329, 338.

Ruppakūlā, 50.

Ruppini, 254.

S

Sabhiya, 180, 181. Sachchamkira Jātaka, 216. Sadānīra (Gandak) 199. Saddālaputta, 21, 291, 337. Saddhā (Śraddhā), 190. Saddhai, 176. Sagadamuha, 53. Sāgarachanda, 255, 330. Sāgeya or Sākeya, 13, 316. Sahaja, 209. Sahajāti, 202. Sahanipati, 189. Sahasrāmravana, 192, 325. Sahet-Mahet, 46, 50. Šaka, 83, 85. Šaka era, 84-86. Sākala, 298. Sakalakīrti, 25. Sākatāyana, 364. Sakdāl, 12. Sāketa, 199, 261, 277. Sakha, 301. Sakka or Sakra, 189, 190, 274, 318, 332, 334. Sakra, 190. Sakulā, 173. Sakula-Udāi, 180. Śākya, 186, 199, 208, 213, 214, 227, 232, 234, 239, 249, 256, 282, 326.

Śākya Kshatriyas, 238. Ṣalatipriya, 63. Śālātura, 348, 364. Sālavatī, 261, 262. Sālejjā Vāņamantarī, 53. Sālisisayagāma, 52. Sāmā, 262. Sāmāga, 56. Sāmañnaphala Sutta, 16, 26, 79, 152, 157-160, 163, 164, 168, 169, 271. Sāmavatī, 174. Samavāya, 357. Samavāyānga, 354. Sambhuttara (Sumhottara), 196. Sāmkāsya, 317. Sambhava, 2. Sambhava Jātaka, 242. Sambhūtivijaya, 74, 75. Samkhadhamaga, 177. Sammajjaka, 176. Sammapasa, 188. Sammedasikhara, 13. Sampakkhāla, 176. Samstāra, 358. Samudravijaya, 7, 284. Samyutta, 26, 174, 192, 330, 359, 360. Sanatkumāra, 189. Sanchi, 331, 359. Sandaka, 180. Sangiti Suttanta, 201. Sanjaya, 38, 64, 208. Sanjaya Bealatthiputta, 110, 162-164, 173, 180, 154, 363. Sanjīva Jātaka, 271. Sankha, 64. Sankha, 54, 182. Sankha Jātaka, 301. Sankhapura, 349. Sankha Sataka, 60. Şankhavana, 192. Sänkhäyana Srauta Sūtra,

365.

Sāṅkhya, 155, 158, 159. Sanmati, 38. Seyaviyā, 17, 50, 54. Shatkhandagama, 14. Santhal Pargana, 51. Siddhangrām, 47, 53. Siddhārtha, 32, 33, 172, 200, Sānulaţţhiyagāma, 54. Sappoiniyā river, 181. 234.Sarai Mohana, 336. Siddhārthagrāma, 47, 48. Sarasvatī Valley, 315. Siddhatthapura, 53, 54. Sigāla Jātaka 242, 245, 271. Saravana, 165. Siharaha, 254. Sardalaputra, 63, 304. Sāriputta, 162, 173, 180, 181, Sikhandī, 66. 263. Šīlānka 155, 158, 159, 162, Sarnath, 338. 163. Sarpikā or Syandikā, (Sai) Simha, 36, 67, 79, 106. river, 199. Simha (Son of Khaṇḍa), 234, Sasarakkha (Sarajaska) Bhik-257.Simhaladīva (Ceylon), 303. khus, 182. Sind, 298, 299. Sasarakkha, 177, 186. Sindhu River, 35. Saseva, 317. Sindhu Sauvīra, 35, 61, 66, Sassatavādas, 186. 269, 210. Ṣatānīka, 22, 63, 67, 68, 203. Sindhu Valley, 10. Satapatha Brāhmana, 316. Sindhu Vishaya or Sindhu-Satiabia, 50. deśa, 34, 35, 209, 297, Sattabhu, 210. 299.Sattagydia, 87. Singhanpur, 333. Sattambaka, 325. Singhbhum District, 54. Sattubhakta Jataka, 247. Sinhalese Chronicles, 88. Sātvatas, 209. Siprā, 320. Satyaka (Sachchaka), 71. Siri, 190. Sauma, 83. Siri Kālakanni Jātaka, 334. Saumya, 13. Sirimā, 190. Śaunaka, 364. Şirisavatthu, 192. Saurāshtra, 27, 197. Şiśupālgarh, 338, 339. Sauvīra, 20, 21, 209, 210, Sitala, 2. 299, 317. Sitavana, 181. Savatthi, 13, 46, 51, 53, 54, Siva, 177, 195. 68, 75, 76, 165, 166, 173, 180, 188, 199, 307, 316, 317, 337, 349. Sivä, 22, 66. Sivādevī, 7. Sāyaṇa Mādhava, 162. Şivanandā, 63. Sivi, 197, 256. Sayāniya, 352. Segala, 317. Skanda, 273. Sela, 240. Soma, 177, 188. Seshavati, 39. Somā, 173. Setavyā, 22, 199. Somadatta Jātaka, 240. Sevälabhakkhi, 178. Somila, 57, 178. Son, 204, 300 (River) Scväli, 178.

Sonadaņda, 180. Sonepur 29, 336, 338, 339. Sopāraya, 296. Sopārā, 302, Sophists, 153, 163, 165. Sparta, 225. Sotthivatinagara, 202. Sramana, 39. Sramaņa Bhagavān Mahāvīra **7**8, 83. Šravaņa Belagolā 367. Śrāvastī, 28, 29, 44, 46, 48, 61, 63, 79, 165, 166, 181, 199, 261, 272, 277, 282, 285, 291, 297, 298, 307, 319, 336, 338, 344. Srenika, 20, 21, 45, 54, 63-65, 67, 70, 72, 73, 80, 93, 198, 199, 217, 219, 255, 330. Śreyāmśa, 2, 32. Śreyanāka Sechanāga, or 21, 206, 223. Šrī, 335. Sridhara, 13. Śrikrishna, 7. Srīmāla, 70. Srīmālamāhātmya, 70. Srīvṛdha, 219. Sthāna, 357, 361. Sthānānga Sūtra, 170. Sthülabhadra,14. Subha, 13, 180. Subhadda, 191. Subhoma, 54. Suchchetta 54. Sūchi Jātaka, 305. Süchiloma, 192. Sudakshina, 213. Sudakshina, 213. Sudarsanā, 39, 46, 63. Suddhodana, 172, 199, 234. Sudhammā, 189. Sudharmā, 58, 59, 332. Sugh, 336.

Sujāta, 210, 257. Sujyestha, 22, 330. Sukkā, 352. Sukti or Suktimatī, 202. Sūlapāņi, 50, 191. Sulasā, 60, 262. Sulasā Jātaka, 262. Sumangalgāma, 55. Sumati, 2. Sumsumārapura, 54. Sumsumāra Hill, 213, 214. Sunandā, 13. Sunetta, 240. Sunidha, 219. Sunnakhālaya, 51. Sūpārśva, (Tīrthankara),2, 8. Suparśva (Uncle of Mahavīra), 39. Suppāraka Jātaka, 302. Surabhipura, 50. Sūradeva, 63, 304. Sūrasena, 197, 209, 212. Surattha, 296. Sūriyapaņņatti, 23. Sūryābhadeva, 22, 320, 331. Sūryaprajñapti, 357, 366. Susīma Jātaka, 259, 272. Sutasoma, 207. Sutasoma Jātaka, 348. Sūtrakritānga (Sūyagadamga), 19,23, 34, 36, 92, 108, 109, 142, 152, 155, 157-159, 183, 246, 345, 357, 358. Sutta Nipāta, 172, 192, 360. Sutta piṭaka, 25-27, 359, 360. Sutta Vibhanga, 359, 360. Suvannakūlā, 50. 198, 301, Suvarņabhūmi, 303. Suvarņāngulikā, 254. Suvarnapaksha Garuda, 334. Suvidhi, 2. Suvrata, 13. Svabhrabhūmi, 42, 53. Svapnavāsavadatta, 208. Svāram, 361.

Svayambhū, 13. Švetāšvatara Upanishad, 195. Šyāmā, 63.

T

Taittiriya Aranyaka, 6, 9, 334. Takkala, 301. Takkāriya Jātaka, 262. Takkala, 301. Tāmali, 301. Tāmalitti, 297. Tambapannidīpa, 192, 301. Tambāya Sannivesa, 53. Tamluk, 338. Tāmralinga, 301. Tandulavaiyālī 358. Tapodārāma, 181. Tarukkha, 180. Tāvatinsa, 189. Taxila, 27, 30, 73, 87, 212, 238, 347. Takshasilā, 213, 298, 306, 308, 317, 339, 348-350, 368. Ter, 280. Teyalipura, 288. Teyaliputta, 254. Thalai, 176. Thananga, 24, 366. Thaneshwar, 207. Theragāthā 27, 300, 360. 260, Therigāthā, 27, 258, 360. Thullakotthita, 207. Thüņāka Sannivesa, 50. Tilaura kot, 214. Tiloyapannatti, 25, 81, 84. Tinduga Garden, 191. Tirabhukti, 35. Tirthakalpa, 327. Tisagutta, 72. Tittiri Jātaka, 348. Tittiriyas, (Taittiriyas), 188. Todeyyas, 180. Todeyyaputta, 180. Tosali, 54, 297.

Trilokasāra, 25, 81, 84.
Tripuri, 202.
Triśalā (Tisalā), 32, 33, 63, 201, 234, 238.
Trishashtiśalākāpurusha-charita, 7, 25.
Turigika Sannivesa, 58.
Tungiya, 17.

U

Ubbhataka, 202. Uchchedavādīs, 187. Udaka, 17. Udāna, 360. Udayabhadra, 65. Udayana (Ruler of Vatsa), 67, 68, 73, 174, 203, 211, 214, 223, 224, 260, 274, 335. Udāyana (Ruler of Sauvīra) 20-22, 61, 62, 64, 66, 209. Udāyi, 81. Udāyin 223. Uddandaya, 177. Uddhamāghatanikas, 187. Udena, 325. Udichchha Brāhmanas, 238. Udrāyana, 210. Uggahamāna, 180, 181. Uggatasarıra, 188. Ugras, 20, 71, 200, 201, 246. Ugrasena, 7. Ujjayini (Ujjain), 22, 28, 29, 35, 55, 67, 73, 74, 81, 83, 86, 210, 223, 282, 295, 298, 302, 317, 319, 329, 334, 338-340, 347, 350, 367. Ujjuvāliyā, 57. Ukkattha, 199. Ukkavela, 181. Ummadanti, 257.

Ummajjaka, 176.

Unao District, 46.

U.P., 46, 61, 71.

Upaplavya, 209.

Uṇṇāga, 53.

Upāli, 63, 71. Upāli Grahapati, 173. Upāli Sútra, 63, 79. Uppala, 17, 53. Upāsakadaśā (Uvāsagadasāo), 19, 21, 40, 48, 192, 279, 325, 337, 357. Ur, 301. Uruga Jātaka, 307. Uruvela, 181, 182. Uruvelakappa, 202. Uruvela Kassapa, 182, 183. Uruvilva, 173. Usabhadatta, 32. Uśanas, 365. Uttarādhyayana Sūtra (Uttarājjhayaņasūya), 15, 16, 19, 20, 23, 24, 34, 64, 68, 92, 95, 100, 109, 207, 208 255, 258, 361, 367. Uttarakūlaga, 177. Uttarapatha, 213, 282, 296-298. Uttarapurāņa, 25. Uttaravāchāla, 50, 176. Uttar Pradesh, 208. Uttiya, 180. Uvavaiya Sūya, 22. Vāchāla, 50.

Vāchāla, 50.
Vachchha or Vamsa (Vatsa),
196, 197, 214.
Vachchhagotta, 180, 181.
Vaidehīputra, 203.
Vaidehī Vāsavi, 205.
Vaiśāli, 21, 22, 32, 34-37, 44-46, 52-54, 61-63, 65, 67, 70-72, 75, 79, 106, 172, 174, 181, 200, 201, 206, 207, 211, 224, 227, 228-231, 234, 235, 257, 261, 273, 282, 285, 295, 297, 298, 301, 307, 314, 317, 318, 325, 338, 339, 344, 347, 349.

Vaiseshika, 158. Vaiśravaņa, 332. Vaissadeva, 177. Vaitālika mountain, 129. Vaitaranī river, 128. Vājirā, 256. Vajjis, or Vriji, 26, 45, 71, 72, 75, 76, 196, 197, 200, 201, 204, 226, 234, 235, 236.Vajrā, 205. Vajrabhūmi, 42, 46, 53. Vajranakha-Tikshnada**m**shtra Narasimha, 334. Vajrasvāmī, 69. Vakavāsī, 178. Vakkalachiri, 176. Vakratundadanti, 334. 'Valabhī, 92. Vālahassa Jātaka, 301. Valāka, 83. Vāluyagāma, 54. Vāmā, 12. Vāņamantrī Salejjā, 192. Vanga, 27, 196, 197. Vangisa, 360. Vanimago, 186. or Vāņijya-Vāniyagrāma grāma, 17, 35, 36, 37, 44, 45, 48, 54, 61-63, 192, 242, 246, 304, 325. Vansāhvaya, 298. Varadhara, 180, 181. Varāhamihira, 87. Vārānasī (Banaras), 12, 28, 29, 54, 178, 191, 192, 271, 297, 298, 300, 301, 316, 319. Vardhamāna, 37.

Vardhamāna, (Modern Burd-

Vardhamāna Charitra, 25.

wan), 45.

Vārikhala, 186. Varshākāra, 26.

Vārttaka, 334.

Vāribhadraka, 186.

Varuņa, 177, 188. Vasantapura, 296. Vāsapūjya, 2. Vāsava, 189. Vāsavadattā, 212, 223, 254, Vāsavakhattiyā, 249. Vāsettha, 180. Vasishtha, 13, 175, 179, 250, 259, 313. Vasishtha Gotra, 32, 58. Vassakāra or Varshakāra, 206, 219, 224, 226. Vāsudeva, 51, 53. Vāsudeva Krishņa, 7, 195. Vātavyādhi, 365, 366. Vatsa, 67, 73, 203, 205, 311. Vāubhakkhī, 178. Vavahāra, 23. Vayaggāma, 84. Vāyubhūti, 58. Veda, 153, 154. Vedabbha Jātaka, 202. Vehalla, 21, 206, 223. Vejayanta, 189. Vekhanassa Kachchāna, 180. Velavāsī, 178. Veluvana, 66, 181, 277. Venice, 225. Vesamaņa, 177-178. Verunjā, 174. Verāpatha, 301. Vesayana or Vasāyaņa, 47, 185. Vessabhu, 210. Vessavaņa Mahārāja, 191. Vesunga, 301. Vethadīpa, 214. Viangaya, 64. Viblianga, 360. Vibhelaka Jakkha, 52. Vichāraśreņt 25, 78, 81, 84, Vidarbha, 16. Videha, 16, 21, 34-36, 45, 200, 203, 210, 212, 226, 227, 233, 234, 310.

Videhadattā, 32, 201. Vidhura Pandita Jātaka, 247. Vedisa or Vidišā, 67, 68, 69, 296, 298, 334. Vidudabha, 200. Vijayā, 17, 52. Vijaya, 38, 46, 63, 303. Vijayaghosha, 20, 240. Vikrama or Vikramāditya, 74, 78, 80, 82, 83, 85. Vikrama era, 84, 85. Vimala, 2. Vimānavatthu, 360. Vinaya Mahavagga, 163. Vinaya Pitaka, 25, 26, 262, 266-269, 273, 301, 311, 323, 328, 330, 353, 359, 360. Vinayavāda, 19, 184. Vinayavādins, 91. Vipulāchala, 57. Vira, 74, 75. Virabhadra, 13. Vīrajasa, 64. Virasena, 25. Vīrastava, 358. Virāṭa, 209. Virātanagara, 209. Virüdhaka, 332. Virulhaka Mahārāja, 190. Virūpakkha Mahārāja, 190. Virūpāksha, 332. Visākhā, 63, 174, 258. Višākha Pānchālīputra, 208. Viśālā, 35. Visālāksha, 365, 366. Visālie i.e. Vaišālika, 34, 36. Vishņu, 195. Vishņu (Dharmasūtra writer), Visuddhimagga, 273. Viśvabhū, 210. Vitibhaya, 61, 66, 209. Vitihotras, 209, 210. Vrijideša, 35. Vrishabha, 6.

Vrishabha (Writer), 25.

Vṛishṇidaśās, 357.
Vyākhyā, Prajñapti (Bhagavatī Viyāhapaṇṇatti), 19, 196.
Vyakta, 58.
Vyāñjanam, 361.
Vyavaḥāra Bhāshya, 23, 24, 334.
Vyavahāra Sūtra, 24, 358.

 \mathbf{X}

Xerxes, 303.

Y

Yādava race, 8, 209. Yādava Sātvata Vṛishṇi, 195. Yājñavalkya, 162. Yama, 177, 188. Yamaka, 360. Yamunā, 203, 300. Yaśa, 173. Yaśāmśa, 32. Yaśas, 13. Yaśodā, 39. Yaśodharā, 172. Yaśodharman, 86. Yaśovatī, 39. Yativrishabha, 81, 84. Yuan Chwang, 37.

 \mathbf{Z}

Zoroaster, 152.





