## Sanskrit Naka-s: Gothic Nehw-s

## VITTORE PISANI

In his important review of Heinrich Lüder's Varuna ('Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft' 101, 1951, p. 407 ff.) Paul Thieme defines the names of the parts of the sky in Rgveda (p. 412): rócana-m means, according to him, the unvisible part, nā'ka-s the part that is visible as the firmament; only later on this word ends by indicating the sky in general. In a foot-note (4) Thieme suggests that nā'ka- may be formed, like úpāka- and ápāka-, from a nā which exists no more in Sanskrit but is preserved in Slav na 'on' (from \*nō, as shown by its Lithuanian correspondence nuo). His further comparison with Greek nôton 'back' is surely wrong; but the idea that nā'ka- may be formed like úpāka- ápāka- (cp. further úpāñc-, ápāñc- etc.; s. also my paper: Latino provincia, etc., 'Rendiconti dell' Istituto Lombardo di Scienze e Lettere' LXXIV, 1940, p. 148 ff.) is doubtless an illuminating one. Manfred Mayrhofer, A Concise Etymological Sanskrit Dictionary II, 1963, p. 149 mentions further etymologies that deserve no attention.

Now, if Thieme's etymology is right, then we must consider  $n\bar{a}'ka$ - as originally an adjective:  $n\bar{a}'ka$ -, like  $up\bar{a}ka$ - 'approximate',  $up\bar{a}ka$ - 'distant', may have indicated, to judge from Slav na and according to the significance of  $n\bar{a}'ka$ - 'firmament' as opposed to upropean what is situated on something or near to something. And one cannot avoid to compare it with Gothic upropean (German upropean), of which Sigmund Feist, upropean0 Wörterbuch der Gotischen Sprache, 3d ed. 1939, upropean1 rightly says that all extant

## 186 : SHRI MAHAVIRA JAINA VIDYALAYA GOLDEN JUBILEE VOLUME

comparisons are not reliable. Formally Skt.  $n\bar{a}'ka$ - and Goth.  $n\bar{e}hwa$ correspond exactly to each other, as descendants from an Indo-European
\* $n\bar{e}k$ \*wo-. It is possible that a formation of \* $n\bar{o}$  or better of I.-E. \* $n\bar{e}$ , nein apophony with it, is contained also in Sanskrit  $n\acute{e}d\bar{i}y\bar{a}n$   $n\acute{e}disthas$ ,
Avestan  $nazdy\bar{o}$  adv.  $nazdi\check{s}ta$ - (on which s. Mayrhofer, op. cit. p. 179),
further in Oscan-Umbrian nessimo-, Old Irish nessam (cp. my Lingue
dell' Italia antica, 2d ed. 1964, p. 138), etc.

We might now ask: has this Indo-European adjective \* $n\bar{e}k$ \*vo-acquired his meaning 'firmament, sky' at first in Rgveda? Or is it possible that this meaning did arise already in some Indo-European dialects? It is impossible to answer unhesitatingly this question; anyhow I would like to remember that Tokharian has a word, A  $\tilde{n}k\ddot{a}t$ , B  $\tilde{n}akte$  which means 'god' and could be a derivation by suffix-to-from \* $n\bar{e}k$ \*vo-: the palatalisation to be seen in  $\tilde{n}$  indicates that after the original n there was originally a front vowel,  $\bar{e}$  or e. In this case,  $\tilde{n}k\ddot{a}t/\tilde{n}akte$  would have meant originally 'celestial, inhabitant of the firmament', as a cast of \*dei\*wo- (Sanskrit de\*vá-s, Latin  $d\bar{v}$ \*vus de\*us, Lithuanian die\*vas, etc.), which is a derivation of \*dye\*w- (Sanskrit dy\*aus Greek Ze\*us, Latin  $d\bar{v}$ =s etc.) 'sky, heaven'.

