

TAL SERIES No. 2.

# ANCIENT JAINA HYMNS

EDITED

BY

CHARLOTTE KRAUSE

UJJAIN SEINDIA ORIENTAL INSTITUTE 1952

Shree Sudharmaswami Gyanbhandar-Umara, Surat

www.umaragyanbhandar.com

CRITICALLY EDITED WITH

INTRODUCTION, DISCOURSES, NOTES AND INDEX

ΒY

#### DR. CHARLOTTE KRAUSE

#### UJJAIN

SCINDIA ORIENTAL INSTITUTE



# PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT CENTRAL PRESS GWALIOR

1952

Shree Sudharmaswami Gyanbhandar-Umara, Surat

www.umaragyanbhandar.com

### PREAMBLE

"Ancient Jaina Hymns" is the latest monograph in the Scindia Oriental Series of the Scindia Oriental Institute. The Institute was established by the Gwalior Darbar to foster oriental learning and research in Indology and is now maintained by the Madhya Bharat Government. It has a special library of Sanskrit, Pali, Prakrit, Hindi and Marathi books and valuable manuscripts. Its present Curator Shri S. L. Katre has published numerous research monographs in various learned and research journals. But lack of facilities for publications has prevented most of the research work done at the Institute from coming before the public, and paucity of funds has been responsible for so few publications by it. The Madhya Bharat Government has now undertaken its reorganisation, and it is hoped that before long not only the scope of its work will expand, but also the necessary facilities for the publication of its researches will be made available.

The present work is a scholarly study of ancient Jaina Hymns by the distinguished German Scholar and Orientalist Dr. Charlotte Krause, who has spent over twenty-five years in Madhya Bharat and for a long time carried on her researches under the guidance of the

distinguished Jain Scholar and Saint Shri Muni Vidya Vijavaji of Shivapuri. When the devotees recite the hymns, little do they realise what wealth of historical and geographical facts is hidden in them, and how these hymns can throw light on many obscure problems of history, geography and biography of long forgotten saints and poets. A perusal of the very well written "Remarks on the Texts" will show how the illuminating analysis and study reveal many hidden facts, which were little known to the ordinary devotee. Scholars now universally realise the need of research in the old sacred literature of the Hindus-especially the Puranas, in which numerous historical facts are buried in a mass of poetic descriptions. But, few people realise the need of research in the ordinary old hymns. Dr. Krause is to be thanked for her pioneer work in this line. It is hoped that the path shown by her will be followed by some other workers as well, and systematic and scientific studies of the numerous hymns-Hindu, Jaina and Buddhist-will be undertaken. Dr. Krause deserves our hearty congratulations for her very thorough and illuminating study of the laina Hymns.

Gwalior, \_ September 9, 1952. S. N. CHATURVEDI, Director of Education, Madhya Bharat.

. ...

- -

### CONTENTS

**.** .

		C 2	¢.			
,		ç	с :		$\mathbf{P}_{i}$	ag <b>e</b>
Prefac	ce	••	• •	• •	• •	i
Introd	luction	• •	• •	••	••	I
Remarks on the Texts					••	Ι
1.	The Mu	nisuvrata	stavana	••	• •	1
2.	The De	vakulādir	nātha-stav	ana	••	29
3.	The Va	rakāņa-Pā	ārsvanātha	a-stavana	••	34
4.	The Sai	akhe <b>s</b> vara	-Pārsvanā	tha-stavana	••	42
5.	The Ti	thamālā-	caityavan	dana	••	52
6.	The Vi	ra-stuti	••	••	••	54
7.	The Ma	ahāvira-st	uti	• •	••	83
8.	The Sir	nandhara	-stavana	• •	••	85
The	Texts—					
1.	Jñānas	āgara Sūr	i, Munisuv	vrata-stavana	ı	93
2.	Saroda	ya Gani, I	Devakulād	linātha-stava	.na	100
3.	Hemav	vimala Sü	ri, Varakā	iņa-Pārsvanāt	tha•	
	stav	ana	• •	••	• •	105

## Contents

Page Nayavimala, Śaikhesvara-Pārsvanātha-4. stavana . 114 Tirthamālā-caityavandana 5. .. 118 Vīra-stuti 6. .. 119 Jinapati Sūri, Mahāvīra-stuti 7. .. 120 Sīmandhara-stavana 8 .. 120 Critical Apparatus .. 125 Notes .. 129 Bibliography .. 135

# PREFACE

The Scindia Oriental Institute of Ujjain, well-known repository of manuscripts of works pertaining to Hindu Religion and Philosophy, and to Indian Literature in general, owns a number of manuscripts of Jaina works. Some of the latter are hitherto unpublished and even unknown, and deserve to be made accessible not only to the narrower circle of Jaina specialists, but to indologists in general. A selection of such texts is being published in the present volume. All of them belong to the category of hymnal literature, and are of Svetambara origin. Leaving aside their literary merits, some of these texts are of high interest as the creations of renowned authors, which, by some unexplained chance, have remained hidden from the light of publicity for centuries. There is a Mahāvira-stuti from the hand of as old and distinguished an author as Jinapati Sūri (born in V. S. 1210 and died in 1277). Another text, "The Munisuvrata-stavana", so far known from literary references only, is the creation of that renowned and erudite  $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya J\bar{n}\bar{a}nas\bar{a}gara S\bar{u}ri$ , who died in V. S. 1460. The author of the "Varakāṇa-Pārsvanātha-stavana" is *Hemavimala Sūri*, known as a Jaina theologian and as an eminent ecclesiastic dignitary, who died in V. S. 1583, and many of whose numerous disciples are, in their turn, famous for their literary achievements. Nayavimala, author of the "Śaṅkhesvara-Pārsvanātha-stavana", is identical with the celebrated "Jňānavimala Sūri", many of whose devotional songs, Rāsas and exegetical works both in Sanskrit and Gujarati, are well-known.

The "Munisuvrata-stavana", moreover, is of historical interest by its reference to "Asvāvabodha" or "Śakunikāvihāra", that famous shrine of the Jainas at Broach, which, believed to have been in existence already at the time of the composition of the Sacred Books, *i. e.* more than 2000 years ago, and for the last time directly mentioned as existing at the time of Vastupīla and Tejapīla, *i. e.* about 700 years ago, is described in our text as a still flourishing place of pilgrimage of miraculous sanctity. Our hymn thus represents the last definite record of the existence of that sacred place, its Requiem, as it were.

Similarly, the *Devakulādinātha-stavana* extols the sanctity of Devakula, another famous old place of pilgrimage, identical with to-day's Delwara near Udaipur, which once resounded with the chimes of the bells from 300 Jaina temples, and is now nothing but an insignificant village with three Jaina temples, surrounded by acres of ruins. A whole list of such names of ancient places of pilgrimage is contained in the short *Caityavandana*, and constitutes its interest.

The *Vira-stuti* is a striking example of onomatopoetic expression, and, at the same time, an indirect, but eloquent testimonial to the important rôle which music and musical science once played in the Śvetāmbara ritual.

The Simandhara-stavana, last but not least, is of linguistic value as another of those not very frequent specimina of late Gaurjara Apabhramsa in its transition to Middle Gujarati. Besides, it is not without poetic charm, an outcome of both the skill and the devotion of the poet, who, incidentally, was one of the great figures of earliest Gujarati Literature, if a conjecture ventured in the discourses is correct.

The introduction is meant to facilitate the understanding of the texts in their hagiographical settings. The subsequent discourses attempt to define the historical and literary background, and, so far as possible, to identify the author, of each individual text.

Thanks are due to my learned colleague at the Scindia Oriental Institute, Ujjain, Pt. Gopikrishna N. Dvivedi, as well as to the erudite Controller of the Institute, Dr. H. R. Diwekar, for going through the Sanskrit texts and suggesting several improvements.

Difficulty I feel in duly expressing the gratitude I owe to His Highness Shrimant Jiwaji Rao Maharaja Scindia of Gwalior, who, during the raging of the Second World War, graciously granted me sanctuary in the quietude of his Oriental Institute. In the following Sanskrit stanzas I have attempted to express this gratitude in the way of the bards of yore:

यत्सेवाभयकल्पपाद्पवने सद्भावमेघोक्षिते न्यायाचारसमीरजीतविटपे नीत्यौषधैर्वासिते । पूज्याचार्यकवीन्द्रनिर्मितवरस्तोत्रादिपुष्पोत्करं संचित्येममगुम्फमत्र विदुषां सद्बोधये ग्रन्थकम् ॥१॥ यद्राज्ये सुखशाग्तिशालिनि सदा सारा विशाला-गता ज्ञानश्री रमते विकस्वररुचिर्ग्रन्थालयोद्भासिता। चैत्यं चाश्रयिणी गुरोर्विजययुग्विद्यांकितेनर्षिणा धर्मश्रीः करुणारता शिवपुरे संरक्षिता शोभते॥२॥ हर्म्यारामसुरम्यगोपनगरे वात्सल्यसौम्याजिता राजश्रीविलसत्यसौ च विमला यस्य प्रतापाम्विता । जीवाजीपृथिवीपतिर्विजयतां श्रीमान्स सद्भावयुग् इत्याशीर्मम हृद्गता सफलतां यायात्सुभद्रा शुभा ॥३॥

Ujjain, Scindia Oriental Institute, Makara Sankranti, 1947.

CHARLOTTE KRAUSE.

### INTRODUCTION

As the hymns published in this volume address themselves to Tirthankaras, and contain allusions to not generally known ideas connected with the latter and with their background, a few of the main features which Jaina Hagiography teaches about them, are memorized below.

It must be remembered that according to Jaina Cosmography, the world of human beings', situated between the worlds of the gods on top, and the hells below, forms the centre of a pattern of ring-shaped islands, alternating with oceans, of steadily increasing circumference, which are concentrically arranged around the disk-shaped "Jambu-dvipa". The ocean immediately surrounding the latter is "Lavanoda", the "Salt-sea". Next comes the ring-island of "Dhātakī-khanda", which the "Kaloda" or "Black Sea" surrounds. Then follows the island-world of "Puskaravara-dvipa", and the further countless ring-oceans and ring-worlds, up to "Svayambhūramaņa-samudra", the outermost and therefore largest of the oceans, which is alluded to in the Munisuvrata-stavana published below (st. 21) as "caramajaladhi", and in the Simandhara-stavana (st. 2) as "carama-sāyara". The latter is immediately adjacent to the "Aloka", and thus forms the end of the world in the horizontal dimensions.

<sup>(1)</sup> Tattv. III, 7 ff., Tikâ, p. 248 ff.

Human beings inhabit only the central "Two-and-ahalf-worlds", viz., "Jambu-dvīpa", "Dhāṭakī-khaṇḍa", and the inner portion of "Puṣkaravara-dvīpa", demarcated by an insurmountable ring-shaped mountain-range, the "Mānuṣottara-parvata", which divides this island into two concentric parts. The Tīrthamālā-caityavandana published below, mentions this mountain-range as containing places of pilgrimage (st. 3).

"Jambu-dvipa", the central island of the "Manusyaloka", is traversed, from east to west, by six insurmountable mountain-ranges, which divide it into seven continents, viz., the two segments of "Bharata" and "Airāvata" in the south and north respectively, and, between the latter two, following one another from south to north, the five zones of "Haimavata", "Hariksetra", "Mahāvideha", "Ramyaka-ksetra", and "Hairanyavata". The central one of these seven continents, Mahāvideha, is the largest. It is diagonally traversed by four insurmountable mountain-ranges, radiating, as it were, from Mt. Meru, the hub of Jambu-dvīpa. "Mahāvideha" is thus sub-divided into four parts, viz., "Devakuru" and "Uttarakuru" south and north of the Meru, and "Purvavideha" and "Aparavideha" in the east and west respectively. Devakuru and Uttarakuru are often grouped together as "the two Kurus", while Purvavideha and Aparavideha are referred to as "the two Videhas", or as "Mahāvideha" in the narrower sense. Each of the two "Videhas" is again subdivided into two portions by a huge river named "Sita". Each of those portions has eight provinces, which are known as "Vijayas", and in fact are independent worlds of their own, the boundaries of which are untransgressible for the human beings and animals inhabiting them. The whole of Mahāvideha has thus 32 Vijayas. Such a

<sup>2</sup> 

#### INTRODUCTION

"Vijaya" is referred to in the Sīmandhara-stavana (st. 16).

Three out of the seven continents of Jambu-dvīpa, wiz., Bharata, Airāvata, and Mahāvideha in the narrower sense, are grouped together as the "Karmabhūmis". There, people have to work to earn their livelihood, and Tirthankaras appear, creating the spiritual basis from which salvation can be attained, a feat which is not possible anywhere else in the universe.

Among the three "Karmabhūmis", again, Bharata and Airāvata occupy a separate position. For only there, the "Kālacakra", the "Wheel of Time", revolves, alternately bringing into play, in never ending crescendodecrescendo, periods of evolution, called "Utsarpiņīs", and such of degeneration, or "Avasarpiņīs", each of them being sub-divided into six "Aras" or spokes, *i. e.*, sub-periods of increasing and decreasing duration<sup>1</sup>. Each main-period lasts ten "koṭākoṭīs of sāgaropamas"<sup>2</sup>. A "Kālacakra" or "Kalpa", *i. e.*, the aggregate of one Utsarpiņī plus its subsequent Avasarpiņī, thus lasts twenty koṭākoṭīs of "sāgaropamas".

An "Avasarpin<sup>‡</sup>" has the following six "Aras", the direction of development (and the order of which is simply reversed in an "Utsarpin<sup>‡</sup>":

(1) "Susama-susamī", the beginning of which is characterized by an optimum of physical development

<sup>(1)</sup> Tattv. IV, 15, and Tika, p. 294 ff.

<sup>(2) 1</sup> koțā=1 crore or ten millions; accordingly 1 koțākoțā=the square of a koțā or 10,00,00,00,00,000. A "sāgaropama" is equal to ten koțākoțās of "palyopamas", and a "palyopama" is the number of years which it would take to empty •cylindri al container of a height and circumference of one yojana (=4 miles), filed under enormous pressure with the finest body-hairs, if every 100 years one hair is removed. *Vide* Tattv. IV, 15, Bhāşya, p. 294, and Prav., Dvāra 1  $\rightarrow$  an 159, p. 302 ff., where details and <sup>further</sup> specifications are given.

and well-being of all that breathes. Human beings have a body-height of six miles, and live up to an age of three palyopamas, enjoying undisturbed bliss, fed and clad by wishing-trees, husband and wife being born together as twins, and dying together when their time is up. There is neither fear nor pain, neither crime nor vice, nor worrying about scruples of justice or religion. But on the other hand, there is no possibility of spiritual enlightenment. No **Tīrthaňkara** is born, and salvation cannot be attained. As this period passes, everything slowly deteriorates, till, after four koțākoțīs of sāgaropamas, a certain limit is reached, which marks the beginning of the next "Ara".

(2) "Suṣamā", at the outset of which the bodyheight of man is four miles, and his maximum age two palyopamas. It lasts three koṭākoṭīs of sāgaropamas. Tīrthaṅkaras are not born. Everything deteriorates further.

(3) "Suṣama-duṣṣamā", at the beginning of which men have a height of two miles and an age of one palyopama. Towards its end, the first *Tirthankara* appears, with a body 500 "dhanu"<sup>1</sup> high, and enters "Nirvāṇa" after a life of 84,00,000 "pūrvas"<sup>2</sup>. Three years and eight and a half months after his "Nirvāṇa", this period comes to an end, having lasted two koṭākoṭīs of sāgaropamas, and seen the end of the wishing-trees and of the twinship of husband and wife.

(4) "Dussama-susamā", during which deterioration continues, till at its end, the human body is only seven "hasta" high, and the maximum age 100 years. It

(3) 1 "hasta"=1 cubit.

<sup>(1) 1 &</sup>quot;dhanu"=4 "hasta" or cubits.

<sup>(2) 1 &</sup>quot;pūrva"=84,00,000 "pūrvāngas", one "pūrvānga" equalling 84,00,000 years: Vide Tattv. IV, 15, Ţikā p. 293.

lasts one koţākoţī of sāgaropamas minus 42,000 years. During this period, the remaining 23 Tīrthankaras out of the stereotyped "Tīrthankara-caturvimsatikā", which, as a law, appears during an Avasarpiņī (as during an Utsarpiņī), succeed one another in gradually decreasing intervals, each inferior to his predecessor in height and duration of life. Thus, the first Tīrthankara of this "Ara" (the second of the Caturvimsatikā) is 450 "dhanu" high and lives 72,00,000 "pūrvas" of years, while the last one reaches a height of only 7 "hasta", and an age of less than 100 years.

(5) "Dussamā", during which the body-height decreases to two "hasta", and the duration of life to 20 years. Tīrthaṅkaras no longer appear, religion slowly lapses into oblivion, and the gate to salvation is closed again. This period sees the last of the Sādhus and the last Jaina layman. It lasts 21,000 years.

(6) "Dussama-dussamā", during which the lowest limit of degeneration is reached. Human beings are, at the best, 1 "hasta" high, and their span of life does not exceed 16 years. Religion and civilization are utterly dead. The world is populated by a miserable horde of savages, who dwell in caves, troubled by wild beasts, vermin, disease, and extremes of heat and cold, against none of which evils they are any longer able to protect themselves. Having lost the art of using fire, they live on raw fish and tortoises. This ever-increasing misery lasts for 21,000 years, when the first "Ara" of the next "Utsarpin" starts, with fresh hope for a gradual improvement of things.

While the chain of these six "Aras", interminable like an endless band, goes on revolving for ever in Bharata and in Airāvata, there are neither Utasarpiņīs nor Ava-

sarpiņīs in the rest of the universe. Even in  $P\bar{u}rvavideha$ and Aparavideha, though they too belong to the category of "Karmabhūmis", time eternally stands still. All the 32 "Vijayas" invariably are in a condition of "*Dussama-Susamā*", with a fair average of development, and a fair share of both work and pleasure. Tīrthaṅkaras are always in existence there, pointing out the way to salvation. As a rule, each group of eight "Vijayas" north and south respectively of the river Sītā, always has a Tīrthaṅkara in one or another of its parts, while it may also happen that Tīrthaṅkaras simultaneously exist in all the 32 Vijayas.

Thus much for the "Karmabhūmis". The remaining four of the seven parts of Jambu-dvīpa are "Bhogabhūmis", whose inhabitants, exempt from the necessity of working, eternally "enjoy" themselves, living in neverchanging Utopian conditions, such as prevail in the first three "Aras" of an Avasarpinī, but being also precluded from having the darsana of a Tīrthankara. Thus, the first "Ara" always prevails in the two "Kurus", the second in Hari-and Ramyaka-kṣetra, and the third in Haimavata and Hairanyavata.

All the above refers to Jambu-dvīpa. The remaining parts of the Manusya-loka, viz, the whole of  $Dh\bar{a}tak\bar{i}-khanda$  and the inner ring of *Puskaravara* $dv\bar{i}pa$ , are likewise sub-divided into a number of continents, or rather worlds, and further divisions, in such a way that these ring-islands have each two sets of exact repliqua of the seven continents described just now with regard to Jambu-dvīpa.

Thus, the whole Manuşya-loka has all in all 15 "karma-bhūmis" (viz., 5 Bharatas, 5 Airāvatas, and 5 Mahāvidehas) and 30 "Bhoga-bhūmis" (viz., 5 Haimavatas, 5 Hari-ksetras, 5 Devakurus, 5 Uttarakurus, 5 Ramyaka-ksetras, and 5 Hairanyakas) with 5 *Merus*, each with a separate system of suns, moons and other heavenly bodies<sup>1</sup>.

We are supposed to live in the Bharata-kṣetra of Jambu-dvīpa in the 3rd millennium of the 5th "Ara" of an Avasarpiņī, the last Tīrthaṅkara of which latter, Mahāvīra, expired roughly two and a half millennia ago. This "Ara" is assumed to have started 3 years and  $8\frac{1}{2}$  months after Mahāvīra's Nirvāṇa. At present, not only Bharata, but also Airāvata is believed to be without a Tīrthaṅkara, while four Tīrthaṅkaras exist in Mahāvi-deha. Exactly the same holds good for the other two ring-worlds outside Jambu-dvīpa, so that the whole Manuşya-loka has just now 20 Tīrthaṅkaras, the minimum number possible<sup>2</sup>, generally designated as the 20 "Vihara-māṇas." One of them is the Lord Sīmandhara, imagined to exist in the Aparavideha of Jambu-dvīpa, to whom one of the stavanas published below<sup>2</sup> is addressed.

The maximum number of simultaneously existing Tirthaňkaras, on the other hand, is 170. It is reached at periods when there is one Tirthaňkara in each of the 32 "Vijayas" of each of the 5 Mahāvidehas, and one in each of the 5 Bharatas and the 5 Airāvatas<sup>3</sup>. This figure is considered not only as sacred, but as endowed with magical potentialities, and plays an important part in Jaina-Tantra-Śāstra, as exemplified by the popular "Tijayapahutta"-stotra, which is one of the "Smaraņas" of the Śvetāmbaras supposed to be daily recited<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>(1</sup> Tatty. III, 12-13.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide Pravac., Dvāra 13, st. 327 (where, as an alternative, 10 is also given as the minimum number).

<sup>(3)</sup> Pravac. loc. cit.

<sup>(4)</sup> Paficaprat., Bh., p. 431 and elsewhere.

Best known among the Tirthankaras of all the 15 "Karmabhūmis", past, present, and future ones, is naturally the past "Caturvimsatika" of the world in which we live, *i. e.*, those 24 ones who appeared during the past two "Aras" of the present "Avasarpini" in the "Bharata-ksetra" of Jambu-dvīpa. They are: (1) Rsabha (Adinātha), (2) Ajita, (3) Sambhava, (4) Abhinandana, (5) Sumati, (6) Padmaprabha, (7) Supārsva, (8) Candraprabha, (9) Suvidhi (Puspadanta), (10) Sītala, (11) Śreyāmsa, (12) Vāsupūjya, (13) Vimala, (14) Ananta, (15) Dharma, (16) Śānti, (17) Kunthu, (18) Ara, (19) Malli, (20) Munisuvrata, (21) Nami, (22) Aristanemi (Neminātha), (23) Pārsvanātha, (24) Mahāvīra (Vīra, or Vardhamāna). Out of them, the first, the 17th, the 18th, the 20th, the 21st, the 23rd, and the 24th are referred to in the hymns published in this volume.

The main data which Jaina tradition has handed down with regard to this group of Tīrthankaras, have been presented in poetical form by Somatilaka Sūri in his "Saptatisata-sthāna-prakaraṇa", composed in V. S. 1387<sup>1</sup>. As the name indicates, these data are 170 in number. Some of them are mentioned in our stotras too (sometimes slightly i eviating). Such data are, *e.g.*:

(1) The "Kalyāņa-pañcaka", i. e., the dates of the five main events of a Tīrthańkara's life, viz., conception, birth, initiation into monkhood, attainment of omniscience, and final salvation. The Munisuvratastavana mentions these five "Kalyāṇaka" with regard to the 20th Tīrthaṅkara (st. 15).

(2) The "antarāni", *i. e.*, intervals between the Nīrvānas of two Tīrthankaras succeeding one another.

<sup>(1)</sup> Publ. by the Ātmānanda Jaina Sabhā, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1975 (with the Commentary of Devavijaya).

According to the law of the "Kālacakra", these intervals are on a steady decline, as this *Caturvimśatikā* belongs to an Avasarpiņī. Thus, 50 lakṣa-koṭī of sāgaropamas of years intervened between Ŗṣabha and Ajita, the two first ones, but only 250 years elapsed between Pārśva and Mahāvīra, the two last ones.

(3) The spans of their lives, which likewise steadily decrease, as the Avasarpini progresses. Thus, 84 laksa purvas of years is given as the age of Rsabha, 30,000 years as that of Munisuvrata (mentioned in st. 6 of the pertinent stavana), 100 as that of Parsva, and 72 as that of Mahāvīra.

This set of data, combined with the previous one, allows a kind of chronology to be established. Rsabha, the first Tirthankara's birth took place 84 laksa purvas, 3 years and  $8\frac{1}{2}$  months before the beginning of the 4th "Ara", and his death 3 years and  $8\frac{1}{2}$  months before the same. As we are supposed to live in the third millennium of the 5th "Ara", and the duration of the 4th "Ara" is 1 kotākotī of sāgaropamas minus 42,000 years, this leads down into mythological ages ! Munisuvrata, another of the Tirthankaras mentioned in our texts, is supposed to have been an approximate contemporary of Rāma and Sītā, and to have died 1 laksa and 84,000 years before Vira, or roughly 11,84,500 B.C. As he died at the age of 30,000 years, he would have been born 12,14,500 B. C. It is also interesting to realize that Neminatha, the 22nd, is believed to have been contemporary with Krsna, and to have died 84,000 before Vira, *i. e.*, roughly 84,500 B. C. As he was then 1,000 years old, he would have been born 85,500 B. C. With Pārśvanātha, the 23rd, the dawn of history is reached. As he is stated to have died 250 years before Vira, at the age of 100 years, the date of his birth roughly comes

to 880 B. C. and that of his death to 780 B. C. He is generally admitted to be an historical personality. Mahavīra's well-known data are, of course, history, though their accurate placing is still an object of discussion.

(4) The names of the Tirthankaras' fathers and mothers, out of which those of Munisuvrata are quoted in our texts (st. 5 of the pertinent stavana).

(5) The *dynasties* to which they belonged (loc. cit.).

(6) Their birth-places (loc. cit.).

(7) The countries to which they belonged (loc. cit.).

(8) Their colour: 16 being of brown, and each two of white, black, red, and bluish complexion. Munisuvrata's "syāma-varņa" is referred to in the text just quoted (st. 6).

(9) The characteristic *body-marks*, such as Munisuvrata's tortoise mark (loc. cit. st. 6) or Pārsva's snake mark.

(10) The body-height (for Munisuvrata loc. cit. st.6).

(11) Biographical items of their previous existences. In our texts, nine previous existences of Munisuvrata are mentioned (loc. cit. st. 3-4), re. which the individual treatise infra may be referred. The Sankheśvara-Pāršvanātha-stavana (st. 3-4) contains an allusion to Pāršvanātha's former lives in the shape of a reference to "Kamaţha", Pāršvanātha's hostile brother in his existence as Marubhūti, a minister's son. In that existence, which happened aeons ago, Kamaţha killed Marubhūti. Subsequently, both brothers were reborn in various parallel existences, and each time, the incarnation of Kamaţha hated and finally killed that of Marubhūti. In Marubhūti's final existence as Pāršvanātha, Kamatha was incarnated as Katha, a Hindu ascetic,

who, while practising the five-fire-penance, once very nearly burnt a snake, which was hidden in one of the logs. By chance, Parsva, then Prince of Benares, appeared, and, aware of the plight of the snake by his supernatural knowledge, rescued the latter. Katha resented the interference, and his ageold hatred was re-kindled. This hatred followed him into his next existence as the Asura Meghamālin, who again tried to worry Pārsva. Pārsva had, in the meantime, become an ascetic and was wandering about in the wilderness. Meghamalin caused him to be attacked by ferocious beasts, nearly suffocated by duststorms, and drenched by cloud-bursts, but did not succeed in disturbing the concentration of the Lord. In the end, the snake, whom the Lord had saved, and who had become re-incarnated as Dharana, King of the secpent-demons, appeared with his consort Padmavati, and both protected the Lord, forming baldachins over him with their hoods. Reproached and enlightened by Dharana, Meghamalin repented, asked the Lord's pardon, and, having attained spiritual enlightenment, found the path to salvation'. Dharana, or Dharanendra, however, kept serving the Lord Parśva, and is still worshipped as his divine attendant and devotee, along with his spouse.

(12) Names of the *male and female deities* believed to be in attendance, each couple on one of the **Tirthankaras** or his places of worship, as Dharanendra and Padmāvatī, mentioned just now, in Pārsva's case. Both are referred to in the Sankhesvara-Pārsvanāthastavana published below (st. 9 and 10). These divine

<sup>(1)</sup> Cp. M. Bloomfield, The Life and Stories of the Jaina Saviour Pārçvanātha, Baltimore, 1919, Introduction, and the original works mentioned therein.

attendants of the **Tirthankaras** are generally known and worshipped as the Yaksas and Yaksinis, or the  $S\bar{a}sanadevas$  and  $S\bar{a}sanadevis$ , and are often found represented at the side of images of the Tirthankaras.

References to these divinities in this particular function are obviously restricted to post-canonical literature: the earliest being contained in Pādalipta's "Nirvāņakalikā"<sup>1</sup> (according to Winternitz<sup>2</sup>, prior to the 5th century) on the Svetāmbara, and in Yativṛṣabha's Tiloyapaṇṇattī<sup>3</sup> and Vasunandin's Pratiṣthāsāroddhāra<sup>4</sup> (both about contemporaneous with the former) on the Digambara side. In Śvetāmbara canonical literature, the very expressions "Śāsanadeva" and "Śāsanadevi" do not occur, and the word "Yakṣa" has a different sense. Generally, it stands as a denomination of one of the eight sub-classes of Vyantaras, which latter, in their turn, are one of the four main categories of gods<sup>5</sup> known to Jaina dogmatics. But that at

(1) Nirnayasågara Press, 1926; p. 34 ff.

(2) M. Winternitz, A History of Indian Literature, Vol. II, Publ. by the University of Calcutta, 1933, p. 478.

(3) Part I, Publ. by Jaina Samskriti Samraksaka Samgha, Sholapur, 1943, p. 266 (IV, st. 934 ff.).

(4) As quoted in Vastusāra-prakaraņa, Jaipur City, 1036, "Parišista", p. 169 ff.

(5) I. Bhavanapatis, whose realm lies 1,000 yojanas below the surface of the earth, stretching thousands of yojanas into the depth, and who are divided into the 10 sub-classes: Asura-, Nāga-, Vidyut-, Suparņa-, Agni-, Vāta-, Stanita-, Udadhi-, Dvīpa-, and Dik-kumāras;

II. Vyantaras, whose abodes lie 100 yojanas below the surface of the earth, and who are divided into the 8 sub-classes : Kinnaras, Kimpuruşas, Mahoragas, Gandharvas, Yakşas, Rākşasas, Bhūtas, and Piśācas;

III. Jyotiskas, located high up in space, and represented by the several suns, moons, planets, fixed stars, etc.;

IV. Vaimānikas, residing in vimānas in a layer of space high above the realm of the Jyotişkas, and represented by divinities of the highest degrees of perfection, which increases in proportion to the elevation of their abodes from the ground.

Vide Tattv. IV, 11 ff.; Prajũ. II, Sūtra 46 ff., Tiloyap. III, Devendrastavaprakīmaka st. 15 ff. etc.

least Dharana, or Dharanendra, the most popular of the Sāsanadevas, cannot be meant to belong to the Yaksa sub-class of the Vyantaras, is clear from the facts (1) that this Yaksa sub-class of the Vyantaras is stated to be ruled by two Indras only, viz., Purnabhadra and Mānibhadra<sup>1</sup>, not leaving room for a third Indra "Dharana", and, (2) that they are not snake-deities<sup>2</sup>, while the Sasanadeva Dharana is most decidedly a snake-god. Obviously, he is identical with the Dharana whom Jaina dogmatics mention as the Indra of the southern section of the Naga-kumaras, the second sub-class of the Bhavanapatis<sup>3</sup>, whose emblem is the snake<sup>4</sup>. Yet if this identity is assumed, the difficulty arises that the Sasanadeva Dharana is unanimously described as being accompanied by his mate Padmavati, while a list of the names of the chief-queens of the Naga-kumara ruler Dharana<sup>5</sup> does not contain the name of "Padmāvatī", as Professor H. R. Kapadia has pointed out<sup>6</sup>. If the Senaprasna is correct in insisting on the identity of both, as well as on Padmavati being Dharana's chief queen', the name of "Padmāvatī" would have to be taken as an original epithet or apposition. to any one of those Indranis, replacing, later on, the actual name.

As thus Dharanendra, anyhow, does not belong to the Yaksa sub-class of the Vyantaras, the now prevalent technical meaning of the word "Yaksa" as "divine

(7) II, 112, as quoted loc. cit.

<sup>(1)</sup> Cp. Tattv. IV, 6 and Devendrast. st. 15 ff. and 66 ff.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide Tattv. IV, 12, p. 284, Bhāṣya, where these Yakṣas are called "vata-vīkṣadhvaja", *i. e.*, having the banyan tree as their emblem.

<sup>(3)</sup> Vide Prajñ. loc. cit.; in Tiloy. the name is "Dharananda".

<sup>(4)</sup> Tattv. IV, 11, p. 282, Bhāsya ("phani-cihna").

<sup>(5)</sup> Bhagavati-sūtra X, 5; Jñātādh. II, 1, etc.

<sup>(6)</sup> Sobhana Muni, Stuti-caturvim satikā, Agamodaya-samiti, 1926, p. 279.

attendant on a Tirthankara" cannot directly be connected with the former meaning. There is more "likelihood of its being based on the meaning which the word "jakkha" bears in two isolated passages of the Uttarādhyayana-sūtra<sup>1</sup>, where it serves as a synonymon for "deva", and refers to gods of higher categories, who reside in "Kalpas", thus proving that it is not restricted to the sub-class of the Vyantaras called Yakṣas.

The idea that each couple of Yakṣa and Yakṣiṇi serving one and the same Tirthaṅkara, are husband and wife, as assumed by B. C. Bhattacharya<sup>2</sup>, certainly holds good in the case of Dharaṇa and Padmāvatī. There is, however, no indication which would confirm this as a general principle. Nor is the idea of the same scholar tenable that originally, every Yakṣa was the leader of the disciples of his resp. Tirthaṅkara, and each Yakṣiṇi his first female convert<sup>3</sup>. The very life-story of Pārsva suffices to disprove this, as he was attended by Dharaṇa and Padmāvatī before he attained omniscience and began his teaching activity.

Over and above the 170 stereotyped data, out of which the above ones have been culled, tradition has banded down *life-stories* and legends of those 24 Tirthankaras. Most of the latter are found collected in Hemacandra Sūri's famous compendium "Triṣaṣțisalākāpuruṣa-carita". It is seen that the details available for the majority of those Tīrthankaras are

<sup>(1)</sup> III, st. 14 and 16.—This important meaning is not given in Pt. Hargovind Das T. Sheth's generally useful Prakrit Dictionary "Päia-Sadda-Mahannavo !"

<sup>(2) &</sup>quot;The Jaina Iconography", Punjab Oriental Series, Lahore, p. 163.

<sup>(3)</sup> loc. cit. p. 93.

scanty, while there are elaborate biographies of a few of them, who enjoy special popularity. Their lives, including previous existences, form also the subjects of individual monographs in Sanskrit, Prakrit, Apabhramsa, and Gujarati. Adinātha, Munisuvrata, Pārsvanātha, and Mahāvīra, to whom some of the hymns published below are dedicated, belong to that category.

Much less attention than to this last Caturvimsatikā of our Bharatakṣetra has naturally been paid to the 24 Tīrthaṅkaras who were contemporaneous with them in Airāvata. Their names, however, are handed down<sup>1</sup>. The same must be stated with regard to the Caturvimsatikās who appeared previous to the latter, *i. e.*, in the last Utsarpiņī both in Bharata<sup>2</sup> and in Airāvata<sup>3</sup>. It seems that a certain tradition exists regarding the five "Kalyāṇakas" of those three groups<sup>4</sup>.

Lists of the names of the Tīrthaṅkara-caturvimsatikās destined to appear in Bharata<sup>5</sup> and Airāvata<sup>6</sup> in the coming Utsarpinā are likewise handed down. These future Tīrthaṅkaras are of somewhat stronger interest, since they are linked up with the past by certain predictions found in the Sacred Literature with regard to those personalities in whom they were once incarnated. Thus, Sulasā, a loyal lady-devotee of Mahāvīra, is to be reborn as the 16th Tīrthaṅkara of the coming Utsarpiņī of Bharata. In the same way, King Śrenika, the ruler of Magadha during Mahāvīra's time,

(1) Samav., Sūtra 159, st. 66 ff. (p. 153) and Pravac., Dvāra 7, st. 296-299.

(2) Pravac., Dvāra 7, st. 288-290, and Abhidhān. I, st. 50 ff.

(3) loc. cit.

(4) Pūrņa-kņema-vallabha-vilāsa, Sangraha-karttā Śri-Vallabhasāgara Gaņi, Neemach, V. S. 1990, Bhāga 3, p. 28.

(5) Samav., Sūtra 159, st. 72 ff.; Pravac., Dvāra 7, st. 293-295; Abhidh. I, st. 53 ff.

(6) Samav., Sūtra 159, st. 87 ff.; Pravac., Dvāra 7, st. 159, 87 ff.

and one of his layman-followers, is to be the first, and Sri-Krsna, cousin and layman-follower of Neminatha, the past 22nd Tirthankara of Bharata, is to be the 21st Tirthankara of the future<sup>1</sup>.

At present, anyhow, those future Tirthankaras are assumed to be still roaming about in a state of relative imperfection, and are, therefore, little satisfactory objects of worship. The past ones, on the other hand, are supposed to have shed their human shape, and, having attained final salvation, to be no longer capable of action nor of interest in mundane affairs, and, therefore, utterly out of reach of the worshipper's imagination. Still, Tirthankara-worship forms one of the six-Avasyakas or daily observances of every Jaina, meant to effect internal purification. In view of this aim, all the Tirthankaras are considered equal, and full scope is left to the personal liking of the worshipper in addressing his hymn or his prayer to any one out of them, or even to a particular statue at a particular place of pilgrimage, imagined to represent the Tirthankara by "sthapana". What is more natural than that the worshipper should turn his mental sight towards the distant world of Mahāvideha, or rather of the several Mahavidenas, where at this very moment, the twenty "Viharamānas" are wandering about in actual human shape, and yet perfect in their supernatural knowledge and their absolute purity of thinking, feeling and acting, apparently much nearer in approach for the naïve type of bhakti than those past and future Tirthankaras. Both the Digambaras and the Svetambaras have lists of names of those twenty "Viharamānas<sup>2</sup>", as well as a number of hymns address-

<sup>(1)</sup> Samav., Sūtra 159, st. 77 ff.

<sup>(?)</sup> For the Digambara Tradition, vide "Jaina-vānī-sangraha", Calcutta, V. S. 1982, Adhyāya 7, p. 66; for the Śvetāmbara one: "Śrī Hindi-Pañca-Pratikramaņa", Indore, A. D. 1927, p. 523.

ed to one or the other or to all of them. A special favourite among them is the Lord Simandhara, to whom one of the hymns published below, is addressed. He is believed to live at present, in the full possession of omniscience, in the Vijaya "Puşkaravara" of the Pūrvavideha of Jambu-dvīpa, having a body-height of 500 dhanu (=2,000 cubits). As our poem recalls to mind (st. 16 ff.), he was born in the city of Pundarikini in the period intervening between the Nirvana of Kunthu<sup>1</sup>, the 17th, and that of Ara, the 18th Tirthankara, which latter is supposed to have taken place about  $\frac{1}{4}$  of a palyopama of years, *i. e.*, aeons, ago. He renounced the world in the interval between Munisuvrata. the 20th, and Nami, the 21st Tirthankara, obtained omniscience subsequently, and is destined to attain Nirvana by the time when the 7th Tirthankara of the coming Utsarpini of the Bharata of Jambu-dvipa. Udaya, will have attained salvation, *i. e.*, millions of years hence<sup>2</sup>.

Thus much about the Tirthankaras collectively. As regards the *individual Tirthankara* (also designated as *Arhant* or *Jina*), he is, as has already been hinted at, so free from passion that not even traces of the four "kaṣāyas", viz., anger, deceit, pride and greed, mar the perfect peace of his mind. The omniscience which he has achieved, in fact presupposes the complete annihilation of the four types of "obnoxious karman" ("ghātikarman") which Jaina metaphysics assumes, viz., (1) karman obscuring knowledge, (2) karman obscuring vision of mind, (3) karman preventing ethically correct

<sup>(1)</sup> Kunthu is believed to have been born  $\frac{1}{2}$  palyopama, 66 laksa, 79 m'llennia of years and 89 fortnights previous to the beginning of the 5th "Ara".

<sup>(2)</sup> For further data re. Simandhara vide 'Ratnasamuccaya-grantha'' Publ. by Seth Manekchand Pitambardas, Hubli, V. S. 1985, p. 202, st. 517 ff.

acting, and (4) karman which produces obstruction in general. Consequently, the four infinite qualities which in fact inhere, in latent form, in every soul (the "ananta-catuska"), viz., infinite knowledge, infinite mental vision, infinite bliss, and infinite power, are fully manifested in him. Nothing separates him from final emancipation but remnants of the four types of "non-destructive karman" ("aghāti-karman"), viz., (1) karman pre-ordaining pleasure and pain, (2) karman pre-ordaining the duration of life in the respective incarnation, (3) karman pre-ordaining the characteristics of body and surroundings, and (4) karman, pre-ordaining family, social rank, etc. So long as particles of these four categories of karman remain unconsumed, the Saint retains his human body, and wanders about, passionless, perfect, preaching the true religion, adored by mortals, immortals and animals. Innumerable beings gain spiritual enlightenment and follow in his path, both in the figurative and the literal sense. In this way, a "Tirtha" is formed, i. e., the prototype of the fourfold community, consisting cf ascetics and laymen, both male and female. professing the newly revived eternal Jaina Faith, which had been dormant since the Nirvana of the preceding Tirthankara. Many members of this Tirtha become "Kevalins", i. e., omniscient saints, and precede the Tirthankara to final salvation ("Moksa" or "Siddhi") as Siddhas, i. e., emancipated, perfect souls, to reside for ever at the top of the universe, from where there is no return into the Samsara or circle of metempsychosis. A time comes when the Tirthankara himself enters Moksa, his store of karman being exhausted. From that time onward, omniscience again becomes unattainable for all, excepting a few sporadic cases happening

in the immediately following decades. The existing "Kevalins" enter Nirvāņa. Then, Mokṣa too can no longer be attained, till, after aeons over agons, another Tīrthankara appears.

The only feature which distinguishes a Tirthankara from the infinite number of likewise perfect "Kevalins", is the fact that the former initiates a period of religious revival, founds a "Tirtha", whose supreme leader he remains during his lifetime, and gives, as it were, the signals for the opening and closing of the gate to salvation by the beginning and end respectively of his Tirthankara activity, as pre-ordained by a peculiar type of karman, a variety of "punya" of the most exalted degree, named "Tirthankara-nāma-karman".

Not content with this definition of the personality of a Tirthankara, Jaina hagiography describes him as invariably distinguished by a number of stereotyped "eminences". Thus, every Tirthankara belongs to a royal dynasty, and some are ruling princes or emperors themselves, before renouncing the world. His imminent birth is announced to his mother by a chain of stereotyped auspicious dreams. His five "Kalyānakas" are celebrated by the gods with divine pomp. From his very conception, he is equipped with supernatural knowledge, and from early childhood possesses extra-ordinary physical strength. When he prepares to renounce the world, he distributes, for a year, valuables of all kinds, which are continuously replenished by devoted genii. Then, seated in his royal palanquin, he moves into the wilderness, with a huge retinue or mortals and immortals, halts under some tail tree, and removes, along with his royal robes and ornaments, his hair in five handfuls. Leaving his followers behind, he wanders about from place to place, a homeless ascetic,

practises severe penance, and patiently suffers hardships and persecutions, till he obtains omniscience. Only then, his activity as a Tīrthaňkara begins, in which he perseveres till his karman is consumed. Wherever he goes, gods and genii produce miraculous phenomena to his glorification.

Generally, 34 miraculous phenomena<sup>1</sup> of this kind are described in Jaina texts under the name of "the 34 atiśayas", which are also referred to in some of our hymns. They are as follows:

(a) 4 innate atisayas:

- (1) His body is of exquisite beauty, always clean, fragrant, and free from perspiration.
- (2) His breath has the fragrance of lotuses.
- (3) His flesh and blood are the colour of milk and free from odour.
- (4) The actions of eating and of evacuating are imperceptible to the human eye (or: do not take place according to Digambara doctrine).
- (b) 11 atisayas produced by exhaustion of "ghātikarman":
  - (1) One koțākoțī of gods, men and animals find place within the space of one mile around him, to listen to his words.
  - (2) Every living being listening to him, can understand his teachings in his or her own language within that space.
  - (3) A sun-like halo surrounds his head
  - (1) Abhidh. I, st 57-64; Saptat., Sthana 97.

#### INIRODUCTION

- (4) No disease appears within the space of one yojana around him.
- (5) Nor does enmity exist therein.
- (6) Nor calamity produced by the seasons.
- (7) Nor epidemics.
- (8) Nor excess of rain.
- (9) Nor drought.
- (10) Nor famine.
- (11) Nor fear from government or from enemies.
- (c) 19 atisayas created by gods:
  - (1) The "dharma-cakra" steadily accompanies him, floating in the air in front of him.
  - (2) Divine chowries keep fanning him.
  - (3) A throne with foot-stool accompanies him.
  - (4) A triad of divine parasols keeps moving with him.
  - (5) A jewel-flag accompanies him.
  - (6) Golden lotuses arise wherever he puts down his feet.
  - (7) Wherever he stops to preach, the "Samavasarana-hall" miraculously arises around him, with three enclosures of precious materials.
  - (8) Though he sits down with his face turned towards the east, reflections of his shape are visible in the remaining three directions, so that he seems to be present fourfold ("caturmukha").

- (9) In the middle of those four shapes, a huge Asoka tree is visible, overshadowing the audience.
- (10) Wherever his foot treads, thorns turn their spikes downward.
- (11) The trees salute him, as it were, bending down towards him.
- (12) The sound of divine drums keeps accompanying his voice.
- (13) A pleasant breeze keeps blowing.
- (14) The birds fly around him in the auspicious direction.
- (15) Showers of fragrant water fall.
- (16) Divine flowers of all the five colours fall in showers and cover the ground knee-deep.
- (17) His hair and nails do not grow.
- (18) At least one krore of gods and genii are always near him to serve him.
- (19) The weather is always pleasant.

All these "atisayas" are enumerated in the Munisuvrata-stavana (st. 8-13). Seven out of those mentioned under (b) and (c), viz., the Asoka tree, the flower-rain, the chowries, the throne, the halo, the divine drums, and the three parasols, with the addition of a further item, viz., divine music accompanying the Lord's voice (generally quoted as the third in stereotyped order), form the "eight pādihera", or "prātihārya". They are described in the Varakāņa-Pārsvanātha-stavana (st. 20 ff.) in detail.

(1) Vide Pravac., Dvāra 440, p. 106.

Besides, the voice of the Tirthankara is described as possessing 35 special merits<sup>1</sup>, his body as adorned with 1008 auspicious characteristics<sup>2</sup>, and his *mind* as free from 18 weaknesses which inhere in ordinary human beings<sup>3</sup>. References to these characteristics are given in the Munisuvrata-stavana (st. 14)<sup>4</sup>.

The latter hymn also mentions some further features generally connected with a Tirthankara's appearing. Thus there are the 12 "parsadah" (st 16), i. e., groups of listeners who surround the Lord in the "Samavasarana"-hall, viz., the four classes of gods and goddesses, male and female Jaina ascetics, and human laymen and laywomen<sup>5</sup>. Then there is that miraculous power of the Lord simultaneously to answer all the questions and dissolve all the doubts that may arise in the minds of any number of individuals of his vast audience (st. 16<sup>16</sup>. There is, moreover, that mode of argumentation so typical of Jaina Philosophy known as the "Syādvāda" (i. e., the method of deducing an absolute truth from an aggregate of statements made from various stand-points, or, in other words, the method of relativity in argumentation), which likewise finds a mentioning there as one of the characteristics of the teachings of the Lord (st. 31).

(4) Digambara tradition deviates slightly in all these points: cp. J. Jaini, "Outlines of Jainism", Cambridge, 1916, App. 1V.

(5) Vide Triéast. I, 6, st. 100 ff. and I, 3, st. 423 ff., where full descriptions of the Samavasarana are given. This work is now partially available in the English translation by Miss H. Johnson, G. O. S. No. 51.

(6) Vide s. v. "Samavasaraņa" in the "Abhidhānarājendra-kośa".

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide Abhidh. I, st. 65-71; Saptat. Sthana 98.

<sup>(2</sup> Vide Saptat., Sthāna 44.

<sup>(3)</sup> Vide Abhidh. I, st. 72-73.

#### 1. THE MUNISUVRATA-STAVANA.

As its name indicates, this hymn is addressed to Munisuvrata, the 20th of the 24 Tirthankaras who appeared in this Bharataksetra of Jambu-dvīpa during the previous two "aras" of the present Avasarpiņī.

After announcing, in st. 1, his *intention* to sing the praise of Munisuvrata, and expressing, in st. 2, his incompetence to do justice to this task, the poet gives, in st. 3-7, the data of the *last nine existences*, in which he was incarnated respectively as :--

- (1) King Śivaketu of Supratistha-nagara.
- (2) A god in "Saudharma" (the southern half of the lowest heaven).
- (3) Kuberadatta of Varapura.
- (4) A god in the third heaven.
- (5) King Vajrakundala of Paurāna-nagara.
- (6) A god in the fifth heaven.
- (7) King Śrivarma of Campa.
- (8) A god in "Aparājita" (one of the five "vimānas" ot "Anuttara", the highest heaven, the inhabitants of which are predestined to attain final salvation after at the most, two more re-births).

(9) Munisuvrata, son of King Sumitra of Rājagrha, of the Harivamsa dynasty, and Queen Padmā, and later himself King of Magadha, which position he resigned, to become a homeless ascetic, attain omniscience, and after a long and beneficent career as a Tirthaňkara, enter Mokṣa.

While dwelling on this last existence, the poet alludes to some of the *stereotyped features* common to all Tirthankaras, the birth festival celebrated by the gods, and the supernatural knowledge inherent in them from birth, mentioning also Munisuvrata's black complexion, the characteristic tortoise-mark on his body, his bodyheight of 20 "dhanu" (*i. e.*, 80 cubits), and his age being 30,000 years.

The next stanzas, 8-14, describe the conventional "Atišayas" and other supernatural phenomena believed to accompany a Tirthankara's appearance (as specified above).

Then follows, in st. 15, the list of Munisuvrata's five "Kalyāņakas", viz.:

- (1) entering his mother's womb on Śrāvaņa Pūrņimā,
- (2) birth on the dark Astami of Jyestha,
- (3) initiation as a monk on the bright Dvādasi of Phālguņa,
- (4) attainment of omniscience on the dark Dvādasī of Phālguņa,
- (5) "nirvāņa" on the dark Navamī of Jyestha.

With regard to all the above data of Munisuvrata's life, our poet agrees with a tradition represented by Somatilaka Sūri's "Saptatisata-sthāna-prakaraṇa", as

(1) Loc. cit. Sthāna 1, st. 26.

well as Dharmaghosa Sūri's "Munisuvrata-stotra", except that the latter has "Sirikëu" instead of "Sivakëu" as the name of Munisuvrata's first incarnation. A poetical passage in Prabhācandra's "Prabhāvaka-carita" said to be quoted from the "Agama" in illustration of Munisuvrata's nine last existences<sup>4</sup>, likewise agrees regarding that subject, except that here, "Pranata" (the tenth heaven) stands for "Aparajita". Another tradition, represented by Vimalasūri's "Päumacaria", Hemacandrācārya's "Trisasti-salākāpurusa-carita", and Jinaprabha Sūri's "Vividha-tīrtha-kalpa", knows only of the three last existences of Munisuvrata, which deviate from the former version in so far as here, the name of the first incarnation is "Siridhammo" according to Vimalasūri, "Suraśresthin" according to Hemacandra, and "Surasiddha" according to Jinaprabha (he is King of Campa in Aparavideha according to all three unanimously), while the second is that as a god in "Prānata" (only Vimalasūri has "Pupphottara" instead), and the last as Munisuvrata. Hemacandra further deviates in giving the Dasami instead of the Dvadasi as the "Dīkṣā-kalyāṇaka". It would be futile to try to assess the mutual relationship and value of these variants, before further works dealing with Munisuvrata's life, particularly all those monographs mentioned in

(1) Jaina-stotra-sandoha, I, p. 109 ("Varāīammi" must be corrected there to "varāiammi").

(2) Singhi Jaina Series 13, p. 42, st. 27-28; the stanza is not located.

(3) Uddeso 20 and 21, on pp. 96 ff. and 104 ff. of H. Jacobi's edition, published by the Jaina-dharma-prasāraka-sabhā, Bhavnagar; 1914 (the work is believed to be composed at the beginning of the Christian era).

(4) Parvan VI, Sarga 7, st. 1 ff. (edited by the J. D. P. S., Bhavnagar, 1903-13).

(5) Singhi Jaina Series 10, p. 20, line 12.

H. D. Velankar's "Jinaratnakośa", will have become available in print<sup>1</sup>.

Only thus much may be mentioned that none of all the above post-canonical works follows the Samavāyānga-sūtra in including, in the list of pre-existence names, that of "Sīhagiri", the only pre-existence name of Munisuvrata which that Sūtra, and for the matter of that the canon, happens to mention<sup>2</sup>.

The stanza of our hymn following this biographical sketch (st. 16), extolls some of the stereotyped merits inherent in a Tirthankara's *preaching*.

The next stanzas contain a very compressed summary of the legends of "Aśvāvabodha-tīrtha" and "Śakunikā-vihāra" (st. 17-21). This time-honoured place of pilgrimage of Munisuvrata at Broach is frequently mentioned in Jaina literature, and, though no longer existing as such, still reverently remembered. The very Avasyaka liturgy of the Śvetāmbaras contains a reference to it in the much recited passage:

"Jayäu.....Bharuacchahim Munisuvvaya!", i. e., "At Broach, Munisuvrata ('s image) be victorious !"

These are words of the "Jagacintāmaņi-caityavandana"<sup>3</sup>, which is believed to go back to the Lord Gautama Indrabhūti, Mahāvīra's direct disciple, and

(3) "Pañca-Pratikramana-Sūtra", Jaina Ātmānanda Sabhā, Bhavnagar, V.S. 1982, p. 26 ff.

<sup>(1)</sup> A modern "Munisuvratasvāmī Caritra" in Gujarati, by M. T. Jhaveri, Thana, V. S. 1998, written with a devotional outlook, does not make attempts in that direction, though it contains some bibliographical hints.

<sup>(2)</sup> Sūtra 157, st. 13 (p. 151 a): in the case of other Tirthankaras too, the generally followed tradition does not agree with this Sūtra regarding the pre-existence names.

thus to be older than the Agamas themselves. This indicates that Broach must indeed have been a very ancient centre of Munisuvrata worship. According to the legends referred to, it is even a "Jīvamta-Sāmitittha", *i. e.*, a Tīrtha<sup>2</sup> founded during the lifetime of the Tīrthankara<sup>3</sup>, in this case nearly 12 lakhs of years ago. The reference to it in our hymn is, therefore, amply justified from the poet's stand-point.

The legends themselves are a popular subject of Jaina literature, and, therefore, handed down in slightly varying shapes<sup>4</sup>. According to the version followed by our poet, their gist is as follows:

Munisuvrata once came to know by his omniscience that an Asvamedha-sacrifice was about to be performed

(1) Mahendra Sūri Astottarī-Tīrthamālā, st. 80; V. T. K., p. 21.

(2) Here and in the following, this word is used in the ordinary sense of "place of pilgrimage".

(3) This is the *topular* explanation!

(4) Some of the more important sources, also for the history of the Tirtha are :—

1. Vādideva Sūri, Syādvādaratnākara, I. 1, st. 2 (ed. by Motila) Ladhaji, Poona, Vīra Samvat 2:53, composed V. S. 1181.

2. Hemacandra Sūri, Trișașți-śalākāpurușa-carita, IV, 7, st. 1 ff., composed V. S. 1216-29.

3. Somaprabha Sūri, Kumārapāla-pratibodha, Prastāva V, Prakaraņa 10, and (translated into Gujarati: Jaina Atmananda Sabha, Bhavnagar, p. 436), composed V. S. 1241.

4. Mahendra Sūri, Așțottarī-Tīrthamālā-Caityavandana (ed. in Vidhipakşagacchasya Pañcapratikramaņa Sūtrāņi, V. S. 1984, 56 ff.), composed V. S. ca. 1290.

5. Prabhacandra Sūri, Prabhāvaka-carita, Singhi Jaina Series, VI, p. 41 ff., composed V. S. 1334.

6. Inscription No. 297 of Mt. Abu Jaina Temples (Jayantavijaya, "Abu", I, p. 109 ff. and II, p. 124, Sri-Vijaya-Dharma-Sūri Jaina Granthamālā), dated V. S. 1335.

7. Merutunga Sūri, Prabandha-cintāmani (Singhi Jaina Series, para. 146 and 187, pp. 87 and 100 f., composed V. S. 1361.

8. Jinaprabha Sūri, Vividha-tīrtha-kalpa (Singhi Jaina Series), X, p. 20 ff., composed V. S. 1389.

at Broach, and that a stallion was destined to be sacrificed who, in a former human existence, had been his friend<sup>1</sup>. As according to the Jaina doctrine, dying with a depressed or frightened or otherwise worried mind causes re-incarnation in a low order of living beings, the Lord foresaw that this might happen to the stallion, who owed his present plight too to such a previous death<sup>2</sup>. Deciding to save him, the Lord hastened from Pratisthana, where he was staving, to Broach, doing the whole distance of 60 yojana  $(=240 \text{ miles})^3$  in one night. He halted in the grove "Korintaka-vana" near Broach, where his Samavasarana was arranged. High and low, gods, men and animals flocked there to listen to his sermon. In the crowd was the sacrificial stallion<sup>4</sup>. Listening, the remembrance of his former life, when the Lord had been his friend, suddenly came over him, and with it, religious enlightenment. Fortified by the re-

9. Purātanaprabandha-sangraha (Singhi Jaina Series), pp. 40 and 76.

10. Jinamaņdana Gaņi, Kumārapāla-p-abandh3, p. 74; composed V. S. 1.92.

11. Somadharma Gani, Upadeśa-saptati (Ahmedabad, V. S. 1998), Adhikāra II, Upadeśa 2, p. 26 a, composed V. S. 1503.

12. Jinaharsa Gani, Vastupāla-caritra (Translation into Gujarati, Jaina Dharma Prasāraka Sabhā, V. S. 1974), Prastāva IV, p. 136 ff., composed V. S. 1793.

13. Laksmīvijaya Sūri, Upadeša-prāsāda, Sthambha V, Vyākhyāna 70, p. 148 ff., composed V. S. 1843.

Besides, most works dealing with Ambada and with Te apāla refer to the subject: vide M. D. Desai, Short History of Jaina Literature, V. S. 1989, paras. 313, 324, 385, 456, 527, Note 374.

(1) According to most sources, this happened in the 7th of the above mentioned nine pre-existences of Munisuvrata.

(2) On this point, the several sources greatly differ from one another. According to Hemacandra, e. g., this death occurred in the same existence in which the stallion was the friend of the Lord.

(3) The Prabhāvaka-carita has "200) yojana".

(4) Hemacandra omits the Aśvamedha, relating that the stallion was the mount of King Jitaśatru of Broach, and mere chance took it into the Samavasarana, and the Lord to Broach.

quisite observances, including the vow of fasting unto death, he died with a serene mind, and was thus re-incarnated as a god. In grateful remembrance, this god built, on the scene of his enlightenment, a temple dedicated to Munisuvrata. According to the present version, it contained the foot-prints of the Lord<sup>1</sup>. This temple became known as "Aśvavabodha", i. e., "Stallion's Enlightenment", vying in religious importance with the local "Aśvamedha" Tīrtha of the Hindus.

Near the Asvavabodha Temple, a female bird, according to our hymn a "vata-sabalikā" ("sabalikā"= Prakrit "savaliya"=Gujarati "samalı")<sup>2</sup>, was once hit by an arrow. Wounded to death, she fell down at the foot of the Banyan tree, which contained the nest with her young ones. Two Jaina Sadhus saw her, and, desirous to help her to improve her future life, whispered the "Namaskara-mantra" into her ear. Soothed by the sound of the words of this holiest of all Jaina prayer formulas, which is believed to possess miraculous faculties, the bird died with a calm mind. She was thus reborn as the daughter of the King of Ceylon, and was named Sudarśanā. Once, a Jaina merchant from Broach. who attended the Durbar of her father, was seized by a fit of sneezing, and spontaneously exclaimed "Namo Arihamtanam" ! When the sound of these words reached the ear of the Princess, she suddenly awoke to a recollection of her previous life, when, as a bird, she had heard recited the sacred mantra which contains these

<sup>(1)</sup> According to the Vividha-Tirtha-kalpa and the Upadeśa-prāsāda, the god decorated the temple with statues of Munisuvrata and of the horse.

<sup>(2)</sup> The pre-existences of the bird are dwelt upon with great length in some sources.

<sup>(3) &</sup>quot;Namo Arihamtānam, namo Siddhānam, namo Āyariyānam, namo Uvajjhāyānam, namo loe Savva-sāhūnam ! Eso pamca-namukkāro savvappāvappaņāsaņo, mamgalānam ca savvesim padhamam havai mamgalam".

very words. Eager to see the scene of that event once more, she undertook a pilgrimage to Broach, accompanied by that merchant, as well as by a large retinue, accommodated in 700 ships<sup>1</sup>. She became a devoted Jaina laywoman, and restored the shrine of Asvāvabodha. In commemoration of her experience, the place became known as "Sakunikā-vihāra" or "Samalikā-vihāra", the "Bird's Temple". After a virtuous life, she died and became re-incarnated as a goddess in Isāna (the northern half of the lowest heaven), from where she sometimes descends to worship the Lord Munisuvrata, producing miraculous phenomena in the temple. Obviously, our poet refers to the latter belief, when calling the temple-walls "adhisthita" (st. 38)<sup>2</sup>.

So far the legend.

To substantiate these accounts of animals—horse and bird—awakening to a recollection of pre-existences and spiritual enlightenment, the poet then quotes, in st. 21, the instance of a fish in the "Last Ocean", *i. e.*, the "Svayambhūramaṇa-samudra". Encountering another fish, whose body faintly resembled a Jina statue, he remembered how in a previous human existence, he had seen such a Jina image (and, so the story goes on according to Somadharma Gaṇi's Upadesa-saptati<sup>3</sup>,

(3) Loc. cit. Adhikāra I, Upadeśa 24, p. 23:

" विनापि भावं विहितः प्रणामो जिनेषु न स्यादफलुः कदापि । स दुःसुतः श्रेष्ठिवरस्य मीनोऽप्यापत् प्रबोधं यत उद्धतोऽपि ॥१॥" as well as the following stanza quoted there: " तातादेशवशादपीह नृभवे न त्वं मयाराधितस्

तेनाहं भवसागरे निपतितोऽम्भोघौ महापातकौ । तत् त्रायस्व जिनेन्द्र मामशरणं सर्वज्ञबिम्बाकृते— मीनो मीनवरान्नमस्कृतिपरो जातिस्मृतेः स्वर्ययौ ॥"

<sup>(1)</sup> According to the Prabhāvaka-carita 18.

<sup>(2)</sup> This belief is particularly dwelt upon in the Prabhāvaka-carita.

had paid many involuntary obeisances to it, as it had been placed close to a very low house-door by his devout father, in the intention of thus reforming a religiously indifferent son). This recollection inspired the fish to introspection and to a religiously blameless conduct, which caused his becoming incarnated as a god.

The subsequent stanzas, 22-36, glorify the Jaina Religion in general, and express the poet's firm belief in the sublime teaching of the Tirthankara as the means by which he hopes to be rescued from the terrifying ocean of re-births, and to attain final beatitude.

Then his thoughts revert to Munisuvrata's shrine at Broach, whose sacredness he extolls in st. 37-39 under both the ancient names, "Asvavabodha" as the general name of the Tirtha, and "Sakunika-vihara" as that of the temple<sup>1</sup>. Of great interest are the attributes "pravara-mahima", i. e., "being of outstanding grandeur", applied to the whole Tirtha, as well as "tatra-samstha", i. e., "standing there" (viz., at Asvavabodha) applied to the temple, and "guru-parivrdhadhisthita-supratistha", i. e., "big, massive, attended and protected by divine power, and well founded", applied to the surrounding wall, the dwellers within the precincts of which "are not robbed even by cruel thieves !" This sounds like irony nowadays, when the ancient place of pilgrimage no longer exists, and its very site can only be inferred ! Yet it shows that the poet cannot have had the modern Munisuvrata Temple in view, which is only a small, unimpressive building, standing in a row of houses. sandwiched between them, without any surrounding space, not to speak of a "big and massive" wall. The poem can only have been composed at a time

<sup>(1)</sup> Similar to the application of these names in the Prabhāvakacarita (p. 42, st. 39; p. 43, st. 65) and the Vividha-tirtha-kalpa (p. 20, 1.31).

when Śakunikā-vihāra was an impressive stone building and a renowned Jaina place of pilgrimage. Since the poem itself is anonymous and undated, it will be necessary to define that period more precisely so as to ascertain the time of its composition. What happened to Asvāvabodha and Śakunikā-vihāra after its restoration by Sudarsanā ?

Literature is full of accounts of its existence, its sanctity and popularity, and of its being restored and embellished over and again by kings and ministers<sup>1</sup>. Some of those accounts lead back into mythological darkness, so that it is not easy to draw a sharp line between legend and history. According to a summary of the history of the shrine, given in the Prabhāvaka-carita<sup>2</sup>, Asvavabodha had twice been restored previous to Sudarsanā, viz., by the pre-historical emperors Padma (i. e., Śri-Rāma) and Harisena. After the restoration effected by Sudarsana, it is related to have been renewed by the Śrāvaka King Samprati, Asoka's grandson, who, according to Jaina belief, never tired of building and restoring temples of the Tirthankaras, nor of installing their images, though there are historians who doubt his existence. Then followed a restoration effected, on Siddhasena's advice, by the great Vikramāditya, who, in the essential aspects, shares Samprati's fate. The subsequently reported events indicate that the poet indeed refers to the "Samvatsara-pravartaka" of 56 A. D., though in reality. Siddhasena was not contemporary with the latter, but with some Gupta-Vikramaditya<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide the above note for bibliography.

<sup>(2)</sup> Loc. cit. VI, 1, p. 41 ff.

<sup>(3)</sup> Vid- my article "Siddhasena Divākara and Vikramāditya" in the Fnglish Vikramāditya Memorial Volume published by the Gwalior State.

The sacred place fell then into the hands of the Bauddhas, from whom  $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya~Khaputa$  wrangled it back 484 years after the Vīra-Nirvāņa, *i. e.*, 14 years previous to the Vikrama Samvat. In the Vīra-Nirvāņa Samvat 845, *i. e.*, 375 V. S., the "Turuskas", having destroyed Valabhi, tried to take Broach, but were repulsed by the intervention of the divine incarnation of Sudarsanā. In the Vīra-Nirvāņa year 884, *i. e.*, 414 V. S., the influence of the Bauddhas, who had again gained preponderence there, was paralysed by the Jaina ascetic *Mallavādin*, whose identity is not clearly established.

The temple is also related to have been restored by King "Sātavāhana", and the subsequent consecration to have been performed by the well-known Jainācārya Pādalipta Sūri, who is credited with the authorship of several works preserved up till now. A monograph on Pādalipta Sūri contained in the same "Prabhāvakacarita" which relates that event<sup>1</sup>, is, however, so seriously marred by chronological improbabilities, that his date is still a subject of discussion. The fact that Arvaraksita, who is assumed to have died in V. S. 127. mentions Padalipta as the author of "Taramgavai" in his Anuyogadvāra-Sūtra, makes it appear that Pādalipta Sūri must have flourished before that date, so that those inconsistencies would dissolve themselves into mere anachronisms, due perhaps to the possible existence of several Pādaliptas at different periods<sup>2</sup>.

Subsequently, at the time of  $Vijayasimha S\bar{u}ri$ , who is known as the author of an ancient hymn, though his time is uncertain<sup>3</sup>, Broach was destroyed by fire,

<sup>(1)</sup> Loc. cit. V, p. 28 ff.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vid. M. Bh. Jhaveri on p. 179 of his "Comparative and Critical Study of Mantrasastra", Ahmedabad.

<sup>(3)</sup> Vid Jainastotrasandoha, ed. by Muni Caturavijaya, I, Ahmedabad, 1932, p. 190 and Introduction, p. 9 f.

which consumed the wooden structure of Śakunikāvihāra. Vijayasimha Sūri, who was the Acārya of the temple, caused the same to be re-erected in wood. According to our source, this structure, having been rendered uninflammable by the Sūri's magic power, lasted till, in the time of the Solanki King Kumārapāla of Gujarat, Ambada rebuilt it in stone. Re its fate in the intervening period, our source has nothing to say.

Its existence is, however, testified by two references in other works. One of these references is contained in Śricandra Sūri's "Munisuvrata-caritra", a work of nearly 11,000 Prakrit Gathas, which is assumed to have been composed in about V.S. 12001. It states that Maladhārin Abhayadeva of the Harsapurīya Gaccha, one of the author's spiritual ancestors (otherwise known to have performed the consecration of Antariksa Parsvanātha, a famous Tīrtha at Sirpur, near Akola, in V.S. 1142), caused golden pinnacles ("hemamaya-kalasā") to be fixed on "Savaliyā-vihāra", i. e., "Sakunikā-vihāra" by "Varanaga-suya Samtuya"<sup>2</sup>, i. e., Sāntu, or Sampatkara, the pious Jaina Minister of the Solanki kings Bhīma (V. S. 1078-1120), Karna (1120-50) and Jayasimha (1150-99), a well-known figure of the Prabandha literature<sup>3</sup>.

The second reference occurs in the Prakrit Parśvanātha-carita of Devabhadra Sūri (of the Kharatara Gaccha)<sup>4</sup>, who states<sup>5</sup> that he composed this work in

(5) Loc. cit., p. 503, st. 8 (above).

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide "Jinararatnakośa", p. 311.

<sup>(2)</sup> M. D. Desai, 1.1., paras. 312, 313, 300.

<sup>()</sup> Prabandha-cintāmaņi, paras. 89-93 and 124, and Purātanaprabandha sangraha, paras. 58, 65, 323, 326.

<sup>(4) &</sup>quot;Sri-Pāsanāha-Cariyam", Samisodhaka Ācārya Mahārāja Śrīvijayakumuda-sūri, Maņivijayagaņi-granthamālā, X, Ahmedabad, A. D. 1945; vide also M. D. Desai, 1 1., para. 3 4.

V. S. 1168 in "Amadatta's Temple" at Broach, which town he describes as being "attractive by the temples of Vīra and of *Munisuvrata*, adorned with golden pinnacles" ("sovannimdaya-mamdiya-Muņisuvvaya-Vīra-bhavaņa-ramaņīe Bharuyacche tehim <u>t</u>thiehim mandire Amadattassa"). This reference very clearly indicates that in V. S. 1168, the temple of Munisuvrata must still have been in the possession of the Jainas, and that it must have been a place fairly frequented and held in esteem by them, to say the least. Most likely the pinnacles<sup>1</sup> which Devabhadra Sūri saw, were those which Sāntu had presented.

We return now to the account of the Prabhāvakacarita<sup>2</sup>. According to the latter, the old wooden building of Sakunikā-vihāra lasted till the time of Kumārapāla<sup>3</sup>, when it was in a state of utter decay, brought about by white ants and monsoon-moisture (according to Jinaharṣa Gaṇi<sup>4</sup>, by the floods of the Narbada). In that condition, it was seen by Kumārapāla's brave General, the "*Rāṇaka Ambaḍa*", Governor of Lāṭa and other parts of the kingdom, who had won the title of "Rājasamhāra" by his victory over the Kadamba King Mallikārjuna of the Konkan. He was the son of the Śrāvaka Minister Udayana of the Śrimāla clan, and younger brother of Kumārapāla's later Minister Bāhaḍa or Vāgbhaṭa, and a good Jaina himself. He undertook the next restoration

(1) Strictly speaking, the word "imdaya" (=Skr. "anḍaka") denotes the central part of the pinnancle or "kalasa" (=Skr. "kalaśa") only, which latter expression, as we saw above, is used by Śrīcandra Sūri. Vide "Vāstusāra-prakaraņa" by Thakkura Pheru, Jaipur, A. D. 1936; p. 139. Both the words are, however, used as synonyma in colloquial modern Gujarati, as Muni Jyantavijaya kindly informs me. This seems to hold good in the present case too.

- (2) VI, st. 136 and XXII, st. 725-766.
- (3) regnal years V. S. 1199-1229.
- (4) Loc. cit. IV, p. 136 ff.

of Sakunikā-vihāra 11,85,686 years after Munisuvrata's Nirvāna, i. e., in V.S. 1216<sup>1</sup> (according to later sources, he did so in fulfilment of the last wish of his dying father, whose death<sup>2</sup> occurred between V.S. 1205 and 1208). He caused the whole old wood structure to be dismantled, and re-built the temple in stone from its very foundations. It was only after a hard struggle with the treacherous river-soil, which once buried the foundation, and with it, a batch of masons, that Ambada succeeded in erecting a firm building, according to the Prabandha-cintāmani<sup>3</sup>. It took a year to complete it, according to the Puratana-prabandha-sangraha<sup>4</sup>. The Prabhavaka-carita states that it measured 18 "hasta" ("hastāstādasakam caityam"), i. e., 8 square-yards, which measurement refers, of course, to the innermost sanctum, not counting the several entrance-halls ("rangamandapa", "dvāra-mandapa", etc.), nor the surrounding chapels which the Prabhāvaka-carita itself refers to ("aneka-devavesmādhyam"), and which later literature mentions under the name of "deva-kulikās". According to Jinaharsa Gani, the temple contained a "lepyamaya" (plaster) statue of Munisuvrata<sup>6</sup>. All the sources concur in stating that the consecration-ceremony was performed by the Raja-guru Hemacandra Suri (who was intimately connected with the family of Ambada, and whose very ordination ceremony had been arranged by

- (3) Para. 146.
- (4) Para. 81.
- (5) Vide infra.

(6) Srivastupala-caritra, Gujarati translation, Jaina-Dharma-prasarakasabha, V. S. 1974, IV, p. 136 ff.

<sup>(1)</sup> Obtained by deducting 11,84,000, the number of years defining the interval between Munisuvrata's and Mahâvira's Nirvâna, and the number of years imagined to have elapsed from Mahâvira's Nirvâna.

<sup>(2)</sup> M. D. Desai, Short History, etc., para. 383.

Ambada's father, the Minister Udayana)<sup>1</sup>. Some of the later sources add that King Kumārapāla himself was present at the consecration of Ambada's temple, and performed the "Arātrika" rite (modern "āratī"). The very much later Jinamandana states in his "Kumārapālacarita"<sup>2</sup> that the consecration took place in V. S. 1220.

All those sources, including the Prabhāvaka-carita, are chronologically considerably remote from the actual event, none by less than a century. The only source which stands closer, is Somaprabha Sūri's Kumārapāla-pratibodha, composed in V. S. 1241. This source not only lacks in such features of glamour as Hemacandra's and Kumārapāla's presence at the consecration, but just plainly states that when Hemacandra once visited Broach, accompanying his Guru, he worshipped Munisuvrata at Sakunikā-vihāra and advised the Kotavala Ambada" to restore the temple, which the latter did<sup>3</sup>! If those later sources are correct, Ambada would thus have followed Hemacandra's advice more than 50 years after it was given, for Hemacandra's Guru, Devendra Sūri, in whose company he is stated to have been then, is known to have died shortly after Hemacandra's initiation as an Acarva in V. S. 1166<sup>4</sup>.

Hemacandra Sūri, moreover, would at that time have been composing his Triṣaṣṭi-śalākāpuruṣa-carita (1216-1229), the later portion of which contains (1.1.) an account of the legends of the origin of Śakunikā-vihāra. As, according to the above later sources, he deemed it proper to praise Ambaḍa's restoration in panegyrical

- (3) Translation into Gujarati, p. 436.
- (4) Vide Bühler, 1.1., p. 11.

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide Bühler, Life of Hemacandra, translated, Singhi Jaina Series, p. 6.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide Bühler, 1.1., p. 90, note 67.

stanzas in the presence of the king, the former poem would have been an ideal place for inserting one or another of those stanzas, or at least mentioning Ambada's name. Yet Hemacandra himself, strangely enough, is perfectly silent about the subject. This would be in order, if the restoration had been effected not in the immediate past, but many decades back, at the time when he was still a young and unknown Sādhu and shortly after he had visited that place with his Guru.

The Caritas and Prabandhas generally cannot be relied upon as revealers of absolute historical truth, since their tendency is the supply of convenient devotional reading matter, which, though based on a certain tradition re historical events and characters, is, after all, fiction to some extent. In the present case, it is, therefore, a priori possible that Ambada's restoration has been post-dated, so as to allow of its being glorified by accounts of the illustrious presence of Kumārapāla and his Guru. Devabhadra's above statement re his stay in "Amadatta's Mandira" in V. S. 1168 even raises this assumption to something like a certainty, provided it can be admitted that "Amadatta" is another of the many variants in which Ambada's name has been handed down, to mention only "Amrabhata'', ''Amabhata'', ''s mradeva'', ''Ambāka'', ''Ambada", "Amada", "Ambaa".

It may be objected that the "Amadatta" in whose "Mandira" Devabhadra Suri stayed, must not be separated from the "Amadatta" mentioned in st. 29 of Dharmaghoṣa Sūri's "Śatruñjaya-kalpa"<sup>1</sup> (composed

<sup>(1) &</sup>quot;Satrunjaya-Yatra-Vicara", Bhavnagar, V. S. 1985, p. 204.

prior to V. S. 1357, when the poet died) and in st. 35 of Jinaprabha Sūri's poem of the same name<sup>1</sup> (V. S. 1389), in both of which works this name occurs along with the names of Samprati, Vikramāditya, Sātavāhana, Pādalipta, and Vāgbhata, all mentioned as Satruñjaya. Jinaprabha Sūri restorers of gives the name "Amadatta" combined with "Padalipta" to a dvandva-compound, which he treats not as a Dual, but as a Plural, thus indicating that he considered "Amadatta" as two separate names, viz., "Ama" and "Datta". Modern interpreters accordingly explain "Amadatta" as the name of king Ama or Nagavaloka of Kānyakubja (who died in V. S. 890 and is known as a devout Jaina and Bappabhatti Sūri's patron) plus that of "Datta", a future Patriarch, whose existence the Agamas predict (Samavāyānga-sūtra 153)! Devabhadra's reference, however, suggests that the "Amadatta" who gave his name to the temple of Broach, must have been somebody who lived in the past and probably built or re-built that temple. Most likely the same person built or re-built temples at Satruñjaya. That our Ambada is that very person, seems likely from the fact that the name "Amadatta" stands side by side with that of Vagbhata, Ambada's elder brother in both the above poems !

Ambada, who is otherwise also known to have built the famous stairs leading up to Mt. Girnar (for which epigraphic evidence is available), would thus have joined his brother in beautifying Satruñjaya too, besides restoring Sakunikā-vihāra, which latter enterprise would have been completed prior to V. S. 1168. This would go well with Somaprabha Sūri's account,

<sup>(1) &</sup>quot;Vividha-tirtha-kalpa", p. 2.

and would also explain Hemacandra's silence re the restoration.

It is thus probable that it was the Śakunikā-vihāra after its restoration through Ambada, which Sāntu decorated with golden pinnacles, which are again mentioned at the occasion of the presentation of golden flag-staffs by Tejapāla about a century later.

From Ambada's restoration onward, Prabhāvakacarita, Vividha-tīrtha-kalpa, and Prabandha-cintāmaņi have nothing further to say re Śakunikā-vihāra. The next reference to the sacred place, this time under the name of "Asvāvabodha", is found in the Upadesamālāvrtti "Doghatțī", which its author *Ratnaprabha Sūri* (Brhad Gaccha) states to have been composed in V. S. 1238<sup>1</sup> in that very temple.

Then, Mahendra Sūri (Vidhipaksīya Gaccha) mentions the Tīrtha in his "A stottarī-tīrthamālā-stavana" (st. 77-80), some time after V. S. 1287<sup>2</sup>. This stavana forms part of the Vidhipaksa-Avasyaka Liturgy, and contains both the names "Asvāvabodha" and "Samaliyāvihāra" with references to the legends.

With Mahendra Sūri, we have approached the period of the brothers Vastupāla and Tejapāla, the Śrāvaka Ministers of the Vāghelā Rājā Vīradhavala of Dholka (vassal of the Solanki King Bhīma II of Gujarat, who ruled from 1234 to 1298). Both are famous not only as statesmen and generals, but particularly as builders and restorers of Jaina temples, and as patrons of Jaina poets and saints. They belonged to the Porvād clan and died in V. S. 1296 and 1304 respectively. Out

<sup>(1)</sup> M. D. Desai, 1. 1, para. 483.

<sup>(2)</sup> Loc. cit.; vide also Pt. Lalacandra Gandhi, Tejapalano Vijaya, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1991, Introduction, p. 10 f.

of the bulky contemporaneous literature in praise of their achievements, it is particularly the "Vastupala-Tejapāla-Prasasti" that interests us here. Its author is Jayasimha Sūri, pupil of Vīrasūri<sup>2</sup>, and Acārya of the Munisuvrata Temple of Broach. The Prasasti is believed to have originally been incised on a stone slab in the Sakunikā-vihāra Temple which Ambada had reerected, but is now only preserved in a MS. In this Prasasti, Jayasimha Sūri relates how he once requested Tejpäla on a visit of the latter to Broach, to replace the bamboo staffs on the 25 chapels ("devakulikā", st. 67) of the Munisuvrata Temple of the "Mandalesvara Ambada" by golden ones, so as to match their golden pinnacles ("kalyana-kumbha", st. 67) obviously those which Santu had donated, and Devabhadra Suri mentioned. With the consent and assistance of his elder brother Vastupala, Tejapala had complied with this request of the Acarva (st. 64-69). The Prasasti also extolls Vastupala for having installed images of Parsvanātha and Mahavīra in Ambada's Śakunikā-vihāra Temple (st. 63).

The Prasasti is not dated, nor does it mention the dates of those events. The circumstances of the poet are not known either, except the fact that he composed another work in honour of the two brothers, *viz.*, the drama "Hammīra-Mada-Mardana"<sup>3</sup>, the oldest MS. of which is dated V. S. 1286, and which is stated to have been acted at Cambay at the order of Vastupāla's son Jaitrasimha<sup>4</sup>. It celebrates the victory of the two

- (2) Hammira-Mada-Mardana, 1. 1., p. 2, st. 5.
- (3) Vide supra.

ł

(4) M. D. Desai, para. 552.

<sup>(1)</sup> Published in "Hammira-Mada-Mardana", Gaekwad's Oriental Series, X, p. 59 ff. vide also M. D. Desai, 1.1., paras. 528 and 552 and Winternitz, 1. 1., II, p. 547.

brothers over Amīr Shikār or Sultan Samsud-dunyā, who had tried to invade Gujarat. As Vastupāla entered the services of Vīradhavala, for whom he fought, in V. S. 1276, the drama must have been composed between these two dates. The fact that the Prasasti mentions Vastupāla's nomination as a Minister, but not his above victory, indicates that it must have been written before the drama. The presentation of golden flag-staffs to Sakunikā-vihāra must, therefore, have happened between V. S. 1276 and 1286.

The Puratana-prabandha-sangraha<sup>1</sup>, one of the versions of which proclaims to have been written in V. S. 1290 by Udayaprabha's pupil Jinabhadra of the Nāgendra Gaccha for Vastupāla's son, the Minister Javatasimha (= Jaitrasimha), likewise contains an account of the donation of those flag-staffs by Tejapala, but states them to have been 72 instead of 25 in number. and the name of the Acarya who inspired the same, Rāsilla Sūri instead of Jayasimha Sūri<sup>2</sup>. As this reference is chronologically not far remote from the event, it has a certain weight. From the fact that the uncommon name "Rāsilla" is characteristic of the Vāyada Gaccha<sup>3</sup>, it may, therefore, be assumed that the former is another name of Jayasimha Sūri, and that this Acārya belonged to the Vavada Gaccha, just like the poet Jinadatta (author of the "Viveka-vilāsa"), and his two famous disciples Amarasimha and Arisimha, all of whom were likwise protégés of Vastupala and Tejapala.

The Purātana-prabandha-sangraha also mentions a donation of 12 villages made to Sakunikā-vihāra by Vastupāla at the time when Bālahamsa Sūri (not identi-

<sup>(1)</sup> L. 1., p. 136.

<sup>(2)</sup> L. 1., para. 127.

<sup>(3)</sup> M. D. Desai, 1.1., Fara. 479 and note 393.

fied so far) was the "adhisthāyaka" of the "matha" of that temple, enjoying a "rājya" of 700 horses<sup>1</sup>!

Jinaharsa Gani in his considerably later "Vastupālacarita" (VII, st. 97-103) likewise relates the episode<sup>2</sup> of the flag-staffs presented to "the Minister Ambada's Temple" at Broach. Re their number, he follows the Purātana-prabandha-sangraha, but omits the name of the dignitary to whom he simply refers as "Vāyada-gaņādhipa", i. e., "head of the Vāyada Gaccha" (VII, st. 99). This corroborates our assumption of Javasimha Sūri belonging to that Gaccha. Jinaharsa then adds that Tejapāla also installed a metal "snātrapratima" (i. e., an image that can be used for the abhiseka rite at a Pūjā cremony) in front of Munisuvrata's plaster statue, donated a "snatra-pitha" with a golden image, and provided flower gardens for the supply of ever fresh flowers for offerings, spending one Krore of "drammas". According to the same work, Vastupala too donated to that temple a metal image, which he caused to be consecrated by Jagaccandra Sūri (the celebrated founder of the Tapa Gaccha and revered Guru of Vastupala's family)<sup>3</sup>, and erected 4 temples at Broach. Besides, he extended the temple of "Samali-vihara" by two chapels dedicated to Ajitanatha and Santinatha, and set up portraits of himself and his consort in the sanctum.

Shortly after these events, the Prabhāvaka-carita was written (V. S. 1334). It contains the memorable words (as part of a prophecy of Lord Mahāvīra):

"Etat sāmārthya-vasād Bhrgupuram etan na bhangam āpnoti", *i. e.*,

<sup>(1)</sup> L. 1., para 72.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide extract in G. O. S., X, App. p. II.

<sup>(3)</sup> M. D. Desai, 1. 1., para. 565.

"Owing to her (*i. e.*, Sudarsanā's) power, Broach cannot be destroyed by enemy action."

It is clear that these words could only have been written before the Musalman invaders had appeared on the scene, and at a time when Sakunikā-vihāra was still a Jaina Tīrtha.

One year later, *i. e.*, in V. S. 1335, the beautiful bas-relief representing Asvāvabodha as a pavilion with the foot-prints of the Lord, and Sakunikā-vihāra as a temple with a high spire, containing a statue of the Tirthankara, was installed on Mt. Abu, where it still adorns chapel No. 19 of the "Lūņavasahi" of the Delwara Temples<sup>1</sup>. This would likewise show that at that time, Asvāvabodha and Sakunikā-vihāra had not yet been desecrated and thus disproved their much boasted sanctity.

For, a few decades afterwards, the Musalmans, whom the two brothers had held back from Gujarat so bravely, flooded "Karana Ghela's" kingdom (regnal years V. S. 1353-60) under Gyās-ud-dīn (regnal years A. H. 720-725=V. S. 1376-81), and destroyed many of those shrines which Ambada and Vagbhata, as well as Vastupāla and Tejapāla had so lovingly restored, embellished, and endowed. Asvavabodha-Sakunikavihāra seems to have been among them. It is not known when and how it ended, but anyhow, from then onward, it is no longer heard of. Some scholars think that the present Jami Mosque represents what is left of that ancient Jaina shrine. This seems possible in view of the situation of the mosque on the bank of the Narbada outside the city, and the remains of ancient

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide "Abu" by Muni Jayantavijaya, I (V. S. 1990), p. 109 f. and II, (V. S. 1994), p. 124.

Hindu-Jaina architecture and sculpture which its three impressive and exquisitely carved domes enclose. The style and workmanship of the latter indeed recall those of the suites of "Raṅga-maṇḍapa", "Navacaukī", and "Gūḍhamaṇḍapa" of temples like the Vimala-vasahi of Abu, and some of the pillars, covered with representations of Jaina mythological scenes, complete the impression. The stones of its walls too, are said to be of the same type as those used for the city-wall erected by Jayasimha and Kumārapāla<sup>1</sup>.

The northern gateway of this mosque bears an ancient inscription in Persian, which, though extremely worn off and only partially decipherable, reveals the date A. H. 721 (=V. S. 1378) and the name "Dawalat Shah Muhammad Butmari", along with a scriptural quotation referring to the merit acquired by building a house for Allah<sup>2</sup>. This obviously allows of the conclusion that in that year, the original temple, after having been taken, desecrated, and partially demolished by the Muslims, as usual in those centuries, was adapted to serve as a mosque. As such, it still stands, now carefully protected.

The same northern wall of the mosque bears another Persian inscription, saying that the mosque was built in A. H. 458 (=V. S. 1115), and that the domes were completed in A. H. 721 (=V. S. 1378). This inscription is, however, a recent one, as Qazi Syed Nuruddin Hussain, the learned historian of Broach, informs me, adding that, according to an ancient note-book preserved in his family, the former date goes back to "the

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide "Sri Muni uvrata Car'tr ", Lekhaka: Man aladasa Trikamadasa Jhaveri, Thana, V. S. 1998, p. 67 f.

<sup>(2)</sup> V<sup>i</sup>de "Epigr: phica Indo-Moslemica" by Dr. M. Nazim, p. 26, Plate No. XIVb (for which reference I am indebted to the Qa iji).

verbal authority of the Koli-Rājā Panchāl Mānbhāv", and that it was also "in the Koli-Rājā's Chopadā". If this tradition is correct, it would signify that, having fallen into the hands of the Muslims, the building would have come back into the possession of the Jainas previous to V. S. 1168, and that too prior to its being erected in stone ! The authenticity of this tradition seems, however, doubtful, especially in the light of the older inscription referred to above.

If, therefore, the Jami Mosque does represent the remains of Asvāvabodha-Sakunikā-vihāra, it stands to reason that it would only be up to V. S. 1378 at the utmost, that it could have answered the description of our hymn as a Jaina shrine of miraculous sanctity and inaccessibility to profanation.

On the other hand, the hymn, as pointed out previously, suggests a firm structure, probably in stone, by referring to its walls as big, massive, and well-founded, and thus chronologically *presupposes the re-erection in stone of the old wooden temple* effected by Ambada on the advice of Hemacandra. This fact would indicate that the terminus a quo for its composition is given by the year of Hemacandra's ordination, *viz.*, V. S. 1154. If, however, the reading "Tapākūpāra" in its last stanza is correct, this would probably suggest that the poet belonged to the *Tapā Gaccha*, and, the latter name having been created as late as in V. S. 1285, that the hymn could not have been composed prior to that date.

The colophon, anonymous and undated like the hymn itself, proclaims the latter to be a work of " $J\bar{n}\bar{a}nas\bar{a}gara\ S\bar{u}ri$ ". Unfortunately, no bearer of this name is so far known to have flourished in the specified period, *viz.*, between V. S. 1285 and 1378. The earliest

Jñānasāgara Sūri whom our present records mention, is the distinguished disciple of the 49th (or 50th) Tapā Gaccha pontiff Devasundara Sūri, mentioned himself, by a certain tradition, as the next pontiff, and as succeeded, in his turn, by his brother-disciples Kulamandana and Somasundara, while another tradition does not count him as a pontiff<sup>1</sup>. This Jñānasāgara Sūri was born in V. S. 1405, ordained as a monk in 1417, as an Acārya in 1441, and died in 1460. He is known as the author of the following works :---

- (1) Avacūri to the "Oghaniryukti" in V. S. 1439<sup>2</sup>,
- (2) Avacūrņi to the "Avasyaka-sūtra" in V. S. 1449.
- (3) Avacūrņi to the "Uttarādhyayana-sūtra" in V. S. 1441.
- (4) "Munisuvrata-stava".
- (5) "Ghanaugha-Navakhanda-Pārsvanātha-stava".
- (6) "Śāsvata-caitya-stavana".

The profound erudition of this Acārya forms the object of the enthusiastic praises of the famous prodigy, the Sahasrāvadhānin Munisundara Sūri, who, in his "Traividyā-goṣṭhī"<sup>4</sup> (composed in V. S. 1455, when he was only 9 years old !), calls himself "Śrī-Jñānasāgara-

(4) Published by Shā. Devakaraņa Mulajī, Bombay, V. S. 1966.

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide M. D. Desai, "Jaina Gurjara Kavio", II, p. 719 f. and the same author's "Short History of Jaina Literature", paras. 652, 653, and 679.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide Jinaratna-Kośa.

<sup>(3)</sup> This work is only mentioned in Muni Caturavijaya's Introduction to "Jaina-stotra-sandoha", II, p. 83. The same Muni, in his Introduction to Part II of the same publication, p. 74 wrongly ascribes to this Jfiānasāgara Sūri a "Vimalanātha-caritra", which in reality is a work of Ratnasimha Sūri's pupil of the same name, composed in V. S. 1517.

Gurūttama-pāțhita" (p. 19 b), and again shows his indebtedness to him in the words (p. 1 a, st. 2)—

"श्री**ज्ञानसागर।**ह्वस्वगुरूणां ज्ञानवारिघिम् । उपजीव्योपदेशं च कुर्वे त्रैविद्यागोष्ठिकाम ॥"

In his Gurvāvalī,<sup>1</sup> a basic and widely known, though extremely difficult work on Tapā Gaccha history, composed in V. S. 1466, the same Munisundara Sūri (p. 35 ff., st. 325 ff.) extolls him in the strongest terms, saying towards the end of his long and flowery eulogy (st. 362)—

> "तत्कृतिवेला जल्पति पीतत्रैवैद्यवाधिगाम्भीर्यम् । भृगुपुरघोघातीर्थस्तोत्रमुखा विहितचित्तसुखा ॥"

It is obviously this stanza with its reference to a "Bhrgupura-stotra" composed by Jñānasāgara Sūri, which has caused M. D. Desai and other scholars to mention a "Munisuvrata-stava" among the works of that Acārya, though no such work has been known to exist up till now.<sup>2</sup>

Is it to be assumed that it was this statement of the "Gurvāvalī", which led the copyist of our present "Munisuvrata-stavana," published below, to imagine that he had the lost poem of that celebrated Acārya before him, and caused him to denote it as such in his colophon on the basis of mere inference ? Or could it really be that famous hymn itself, in spite of apparent chronological inconsistency ?

Compared with Jñānasāgara Sūri's "Ghaṇaugha-Navakhaṇḍa-Pārsvanātha-stava", our hymn em-

(1) Publishei by the "Yaśovijaya-Jaina-Granthamāla", 4, Vīra-Samvat 2437.

 (2) On being consulted by me re the whereabouts of that "Munisuvrata-\*ava", the learned Pandit of the Baroda Oriental Institute, Pt. Lålacandra
 3. Gandhi, kindly intimated this as his opinion.

<sup>(3)</sup> Printed under the name of "Ghoghā-maṇḍana-Pārśva-Jina-stava" on p. 47 of "Stotra-Samuccayaḥ", edited by Muni Caturavajaya, 1928 A. D. (N. S. P.).

phatically proclaims to be indeed the twin-creation of the former, as which Munisundara Sūri represents it in the phrase "Bhrgupura-Ghoghā-tīrtha-stotra".-Both the hymns not only extoll parallel subjects, but both are also built according to an identical scheme, present identical style and diction, and, to some extent, even identical metres (Vasantatilaka with concluding Sardulavikrudita in the former, Mandakranta and Vasantatilaka with concluding Sārdūlavikrīdita in the latter). Not only thus much, but their twinship seems to have been intentionally accentuated bv the author himself by the strikingly parallel construction of both the last stanzas, as well as by the still more striking identity of the wording of the last part of their first lines, which read as follows :

"पुण्यामोघ सुघोष घोघनगरालङ्कारचुडामणे।"

in the Ghoghā-stotra, and

"एवं श्रीमरुकच्छवर्यंनगरालङ्कारचुडामणे ।"

in the Bhrgupura-stotra.

Both the hymns also agree in abstaining from betraying the author's name directly or by Ślesa, and both use the word "deva" repeatedly, allowing it to be referred to Jñānasāgara's erudite Guru Devasundara Sūri.

It can thus be assumed as fairly certain that our "Munisuvrata-stavana" is indeed the lost creation of the great Jainācārya Jñānasāgara Sūri, and thus represents a find of no small importance.

If this assumption is correct, the above referred to idea that the Jami Mosque of Broach represents the remains of "Śakunikā-vihāra", must be abandoned. For, if not from V. S. 1115, it has definitely been in the hands of the Muslims from V. S. 1378. It may be

one or another of the remaining Jina Temples which Vastupāla and Tejapāla are stated to have built in that city. "Sakunikā-vihāra", in any case, must have been intact at the time when Jñānasāgara Sūri flourished, say at least till V. S. 1420. Being the Lord's "Samavasarana-place", it would probably have been situated farther outside the city, and that in the north-eastern direction, according to the above-quoted stanza of the Syādvāda-ratnākara. Perhaps, it was located somewhere near Sukla-tirtha and that worldfamous Banyan-tree known as the "Kabīra-vata" (about 10 m. from the city). Such a long distance from the town itself would satisfactorily explain the fact that the ancient shrine could have survived so long after the Mohammedan occupation, at a time when most Taina and Hindu shrines must have been destroyed or desecrated. This again would justify the apparent exultation with which the poet dwells on "caurah kraurā api" as incapable of violating the time-honoured sanctity of Asvāvabodha-Śakunikā-vihāra.

The hymn is preserved in M. S. No. 6628 of the Scindia Oriental Institute only, on the basis of which it is published below. It consists of one leaf of very old and brittle paper, closely written in black ink, verse numbers being tinted with red pencil. The script is Devanāgarī, with "Adhomātrā" being carried through and "Paḍimātrā" mixed with "Ūrdhvamātrā". In the centres of both sides, rhombs have been left blank. The text is preceded by the usual Jaina diagram and followed by the following colophon:

"श्रीमुनिसुव्रतसामिस्तवनं श्रीज्ञानसागरसूरिकृविरचितं ॥श्रीः ॥ ॥शुभं भवतुः॥ १।कल्याणमस्तु ॥ ॥श्री॥ ॥च्छ॥ ॥च्छः॥" ( sic ! ! )

The margin bears explanatory glosses in three places

(vide foot-notes to the text). The rendering of the text is fairly correct and unambiguous, so that only a few corrections were necessary, as may be seen from the critical apparatus.

Out of the 40 stanzas, 34 are in Mandākrāntā-(1; 2; 6-39), 3 in Vasantatilaka- (3-5), and 1 in Śārdūla vikrīdita-metre (40).

#### 2. THE DEVAKULĀDINĀTHA-STAVANA

This hymn is addressed to Adinātha or Rsabhadeva, the first of the last group of 24 Tirthaṅkaras of the Bharata-kṣetra of Jambu-dvīpa. It is, however, so entirely void of references to this Jina's life that it could be applied to any Tirthaṅkara. Not only thus much, but it is even so free from allusions characteristic of a Jina, that it could be an expression of the worldweariness and devotion of the follower of any religion that stands for faith in an omniscient and in every way perfect God, an immortal soul, and a final salvation from the misery of life in this world, were it not for a few Jaina termini like "Jina", "Tirthakrt", "karman", "sat-kāyāḥ", some proper nouns like "Vṛṣabha", "Nābheya" (both synonyms of the Jina's name), etc., and the emphasis laid on the Tirthaṅkara's perfect passionlessness, which betray its Jaina origin.

Following a custom in vogue with Jaina poets of the period, the author does not address the Tirthankara as such (the "Bhāva-Jina", to use a Jaina technical term) but the Tirthankara image of a certain sacred place (the "Sthāpanā-Jina"), in the present case the Adinātha image of "Delaüala", or, sanskritized, "Devakula", or "Devakula Pāṭaka", modern Delwārā. This is a small place in Mewar, about 3-4 m. from Ekalingji, north of Udaipur, famous for its archæological remains,

particularly such of Jaina temples, which confirm the tradition that some centuries ago, the bells from 300 Tirthankara temples would sound there simultaneously. Even now, three Jaina temples of the Bāvana-Jinālaya type stand there, two of which are dedicated to Adinātha.<sup>1</sup>

Stanza 25 of this hymn bears a reference to Acārya Somasundara Sūri, the 50th (according to others: 51st) pontiff of the Tapā Gaccha, whom we had occasion to mention as a brother-disciple of Jñānasāgara Sūri, the author of the Munisuvrata-stavana, as well as to Somasundara Sūri's pupil, the Sahasrāvadhānin Munisundara Sūri, who was likewise mentioned before, as a student of Jñānasāgara Sūri. The names of both these dignitaries are followed by the expression "tridaša-vrnda", which, by way of a pun, allows of the interpretation of "belonging to the flock of Acārya Devasundara Sūri", which latter, as already stated, was the common Guru of Jñānasāgara Sūri and Somasundara Sūri.

The assertion of our poet that the feet of the Adinātha of Devakula Pāṭaka are worthy to be reverentially saluted by Somasundara and Munisundara, bears reference to actual happenings, for epigraphical and literal evidence show that the history of this Tīrtha is bound up with the activity of these two Acāryas.<sup>2</sup> Somasundara Sūri (born V. S. 1430, ordained 1437, became Vācaka 1450, Acārya 1457, died 1499) consecrated various temples and images there. After having

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide Ācārya Vijaya Dharma Sūri, "Devakulapātaka", Yaśovijaya Jaina Granthamālā, and Muni Vidyāvijaya, Meri Mevādayātrā, Vijay Dharma Sūri Jaina Granthamālā, p. 61. ff.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide Ācārya Vijaya Dharma Sūri, loc. cit.; Puran Chand 'Nahar, "Jain Inscriptions", Part II, 1927, Inscriptions Nos. 1972, 1983, 1980, 1968, 1971; M. D. Desai, Short History of Jaina Literature, para. 672 ff.

<sup>30</sup> 

become a Vācaka, he was honoured there by a grand celebration, and it was this place again which he selected as the venue for the celebration of the investiture of his grand-pupil ("prasisya") Ratnasekhara with the Vacaka title in V. S. 1493. There, his disciple Munisundara Sūri, recipient of the proud title of of "Kālīsarasvatī", (born V. S. 1436, ordained 1443, became Vācaka 1466, Acārya 1478, died 1503), composed his well-known "Santikaram Stotra<sup>1</sup>, which still forms part of the Tapa Gaccha liturgy. In his "Yugādideva-stava", the poet Subhasundara, obviously a "pra-prasisya" of Munisundara Sūri, calls the Adinātha of Devakula "Munisundara-stuta",<sup>2</sup> which reference clearly points at the "Deulavada-Rsabha-stotra", a hymn in 26 Sanskrit stanzas composed by Munisundara.<sup>3</sup>

The mentioning of these two names, Somasundara and Munisundara, in our hymn, indicates that the poet must have belonged to their circle, and probably flourished in the last part of the 15th or first part of the 16h century (V. S.). The colophon states "Sārodaya Gaņi" as the poet's name, which is confirmed by the last word of the hymn itself. The word immediately preceding the latter, "Prasama-madhura", possibly points to Śānticandra<sup>4</sup>, that ascetic disciple of Somasundara, as the poet's Guru. The colophon moreover states that the hymn was composed at "Ardraja".<sup>5</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> M. D. Desai, loc, cit., para. 674.

<sup>(2)</sup> Jaina Stotra Sandoha, I, p. 368.

<sup>3)</sup> Edited in Part I of the Stotra-sangraha of the Yasovijaya Jaina Granthamälä (vide Appendix p. 18 of Jaina-stotra-sandoha, loc. cit.)

<sup>(4)</sup> Vide Munisundara Sūri, "Gurvāvalī", loc. cit., st. 449.

<sup>(5)</sup> Probably modern "Adrej", a village in Gujarat with a Jaina Temple and Jaina population (between Bhoyani and Pansar, not far from Ahmedabad): vide Muni Cāritravijaya, "Vihāradaríaņa", V. S. 1988, p. 84 f

So far, a Sādhu bearing the name of "Sārodaya Gaņi" is not known in Jaina ecclesiastical history. Only thus much is certain from the title "Gani", that our poet did belong to the religious order. As he professes himself to be one of the followers of Somasundara and Munisundara, and the retinue of pupils, grandpupils and great-grand-pupils of those distinguished Acāryas was remarkable by number and brilliancy, it is quite probable that a personality of the obvious erudition and poetic gift of this Sārodaya may have remained unnoticed and unknown, especially in case of a promising career shortened by an eventual untimely death. It is also possible that Sārodaya may be the unknown monk's name of some of the famous personsonalities, known only under their later, changed Acārya's name. Anyhow, the dignified and pleasing diction, which sometimes tends to becoming too highflown, betrays the hand of a promising poet, capable of expressing genuine sentiment in a convincing way by the expedient of so stiff and conventional a medium as Sanskrit poetry.

The poem consists of 26 stanzas, 11 in Śikhariņī (2-12), 1 in Pṛthvī (25), 2 in Mandākrāntā (24 and 26), and 12 in Vamsastha metre, alternating with Indra-vamsā (1;16-23).

The poem is handed down in MS. No. 6592 of the Scindia Oriental Institute, consisting of one leaf of country paper of apparently very high age. The characters are ordinary Devanāgarī, with only the "ai" and "au" in Padimātrā. In the centre of each side, a rhomb is left blank. The beginning is marked by the usual Jaina diagram and the words : "श्रीसर्वज्ञाय नम:" The

colophon runs as follows: "इति श्रीदेलउला-श्रीआदिदेवस्तवनं ।।छ।। कृतमाईजस्थेन सारोदयगणिना ।।शुभं भवतु ।।श्रीः।।"

In several places, the text has been corrected. by the same hand which wrote the original. Thus, the reading adopted for the present edition," स्फूरन रागक्चोरो भवजलनिघो '' (st. 7) is entered on the margin, while the original reading, "भगभोधी रागप्रबलचरटो" still stands undeleted in the text itself. The poet obviously felt compunction after having written the word "carata", which in fact is not pure and genuine Sanskrit, but apparently an attempted Sanskritization of Prakrit "carada", which denotes a special type of robber.<sup>1</sup> In st. 12 too, the poet can be watched at his work.. Here, he had first put down the word. "prākāsye", then deleted it and written above the line "drsyatve", which in the end, he likewise rejected in favour of "'dhyaksatve", entered on the margin. The word "sahacaranasilāh" in st. 8 is likewise a later correction. The original order of the stanzas has also been changed later, indicated by marks. The present. edition is based on the amended form.

Being thus obviously an authograph from the poet's hand, the MS. is reliable. Its spelling too is correct throughout. Yet its perfection is badly marred by external damage, one corner being torn off and part of the text thus lost. The improbability of further Mss. of this hymn being in existence, may justify the present edition of the hymn in its defective condition.

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide "Pääsaddamahannavo" by Pandit Hargovind Das T. Sheth, Calcutta, 1928, p. 400: "lutere ki eka jäti". The Sanskrit word "carața" (only found with lexicographers) in the meaning of "wagtail", was of course not in the poet's mind.

THE VARAKANA—PARŚVANATHA—STAVANA.

The author of this hymn is Acārya Hemavimala Sūri, a well-known ecclesiastic diginitary, for whose life the following sources are available:

(1) "Vira-vamsāvali" in Gujarati, a work which seems to be full of detailed information, but was accessible only in abstract;<sup>1</sup>

(2) "Laghu-Posālika-pațțāvalī", likewise available only in abstract;<sup>2</sup>

(3) "Hemavimala-phāga" by Muni Hamsadhīra, pupil of Dānavardhana, V. S. 1554, edited by Muni Jinavijaya;<sup>3</sup>

(4) "Hemavimalasūri-sajjhāya", anonymous, obviously composed during the Acārya's life-time;<sup>4</sup>

(5) 'Hemavimalasūri-sajjhāi'' by Sundarahamsa, which was not available to me;<sup>5</sup>

(6) "Gacchanāyaka-pa**țțā**val**ī**-Sajjh**ā**i", composed by Somavimala in V. S. 1602, which Muni Jinavijaya quotes;<sup>6</sup>

(7) "Tapā-Gaccha-pațțāvalī-sūtra", composed by Upādhyāya Dharmasāgara in V. S. 1646 in Prakrit and Sanskrit;<sup>7</sup>

(1) J. G. K., II, p. 723, note; J. St. Sand., II, Introduction, p. 108, note.

(2) J. Ait. G. K. S., Appendix, p. 96 ff.; J. G. K., II, p. 743; J. St. Sand., II, Introduction, p. 109.

(3) J. Ait. G. K. S., p. 186-190; it is also mentioned by Muni Caturavijaya, J. St. Sand., II, Introduction, p. 115, where, however, the poet's Guru is quoted as "Dayāvardhana".

(4) J. Ait. G. K. S., p. 190-192.

(5) J. G. K., III, p. 553.

(6) J. G. K. I, p. 188; J. Ait. G. K. S., Ap. p. 96.

(7) Patt. Sam. I, p. 68 ff.

(8) "Mahāvīra-patta-paramparā", composed by Devavimala Gaņi between V. S. 1639 and 1656, in Sanskrit;<sup>1</sup>

(9) "Sūri-paramparā", composed by Vinayavijaya in V. S. 1708, in Sanskrit;<sup>2</sup>

(10) "Pațțāval**I**-sāroddhāra", composed by Ravivardhana in V. S. 1739, in Sanskrit;<sup>3</sup>

(11) Guru-pațțāvalī", anonymous.4

In some points, these sources deviate from one another. Thus, according to some of them, Hemavimala Sūri was born in V. S. 1520 and ordained in V. S. 1528, but according to others, in V. S. 1522 and 1538 respectively. Some state his secular name to have been "Hadarāja", and his monk's name (prior to becoming an Acārya) "Hemavimala", others, however, "Hādakumāra" and Hemadharma" respectively.

Yet in main points, they appear to be unanimous. He was ordained as a monk by Acārya Laksmisāgara Sūri, the 53rd Tapā Gaccha pontiff, who, in turn, was the third in succession from the famous Munisundara Sūri mentioned above. Laksmīsāgara Sūri's immediate successor, Acārya Sumatisādhu Sūri, became our poet's teacher, and bestowed on him the title of Acārya in V. S. 1548, since when he has been known as "Hemavimala Sūri". As such, he became the 54th Tapā Gaccha pontiff after Sumatisādhu Sūri's death in V. S. 1551.

Prior to Hemavimala Sūri's investiture with the **Ācārya** title, Sumatisādhu Sūri had bestowed this title

<sup>(1)</sup> loc. cit., p. 134, st. 131.

<sup>(2)</sup> loc. cit., p. 146, st. 22 f.

<sup>(3)</sup> loc. cit., p. 157.

<sup>(4)</sup> loc. cit., p. 172.

on two other pupils, viz., Indranandin and Kamalakalasa, but had decided later on to nominate the junior Hemavimala his successor as head of the Gaccha, in supersession of the other two. Thus, the spiritual descendents of the latter came to be considered as side branches of the Tapā Gaccha, under the names of Kutubpurā Gaccha and Kamalakalasa Gaccha respectively, while the main line, carried on by Hemavimala Sūri's pupils, is designated as the Pālhanapurā Śākhā or Hema Śākhā. It is this line which represents the Tapā Gaccha now-a-days, and which has produced celebrities like Akbar's spiritual guide Hīravijaya Sūri, the great logician and poet Yasovijaya, the popular poet Vīravijaya, and the far-sighted reformer and scholar Vijaya Dharma Sūri of venerable memory.

To judge from the above sources, Hemavimala must have been an outstanding personality, who exercised great influence over his contemporaries and commanded their unrestrained respect, mainly by the integrity of his character and the strictness of the monastic discipline which he enforced on himself as well as on his flock, at a time when monastic ethics called for reformatory steps. His popularity is reflected in the great number of inscriptions testifying to the numerous consecrations of temples and installations of images performed by him during his extensive wanderings. It is moreover reflected in those accounts of festivals and receptions arranged in his honour by the communities of various places through which he passed, such as the famous reception accorded to him in V. S. 1572 by the over-enthusiastic Jaina community of Kapadvani, said to have been fit for an emperor. Its report reached the Emperor Muzaffar Shah, and aroused his jealousy to such an extent that he sent emissaries to

seize the Sūri who dared compete with him. The Acārya, persecuted, took to flight, was however arrested, and kept in confinement, till the Jaina community purchased his freedom at the exorbitant ransom of 12,000 "tankā."

The Sūri's influence can further be judged from the statement contained in the above mentioned "Laghuposālika-paţţāva!I", that he ordained 500 persons as monks and nuns, and in Devavimala Gaņi's statement, contained in his "Mahāvīra-paţţa-paramparā", that 1800 monks obeyed his orders. Other sources relate that all his monks strictly followed the ancient ritual which he had revived in V. S. 1556. Besides, a glance on the Jaina literary history of his period reveals that many of the outstanding writers and poets of the latter were his pupils or grand-pupils. This fact has been duly stressed by Muni Caturavijaya, on the basis of the huge genealogical tree of this Sūri's retinue prepared by him.<sup>1</sup>

As his successor as head of the Tapā Gaccha, the Sūri had nominated Anandavimala, his pupil, on whom he had bestowed the title of Acārya in V. S. 1570. It seems however that later he changed his mind, and nominated, in his stead, another disciple, Saubhāgyaharṣa, in 1583. Yet when Hemavimala Sūri died in that very year, Anandavimala was generally accepted as the 56th pontiff, while from Saubhāgyaharṣa, a new line branched off, known as the Laghu-Pausālika Gaccha.

That Hemavimala Sūri, though not a habitual writer, was a scholar of profound erudition and a gifted poet, is obvious from the only Sanskrit creation from his hand that has been known so far, viz., his" Pārsva-

<sup>(1)</sup> J. St. Sand., II, Introduction, p. 113 ff. and table facing p. 122.

Jina-stavana," which comprises 32 stanzas and reveals its author's skill by the use of the word "kamala" in each "carana" in various shades of meaning.<sup>1</sup> The newly discovered "Varakāna-Pārsvanātha-stavana," published and mentioned here for the first time, goes to fortify the impression of Hemavimala's qualification as a Sanskrit poet.

That he also tried himself in the field of Gujarati poetry, can be seen from the "Mrgāputra-sajjhāi", which is a creation from his hand, and is also known under the name of "Mrgāputra-copaï", composed in V. S. 1562.<sup>2</sup>

Another Gujarati poem composed by him, has been found in the collection of the Scindia Oriental Institute. It bears the title of "Tera Kāṭhīyānī Sajjhāi" and is preserved on fol. 8 of MS, No. 5097,<sup>3</sup> from which I published it in the 'Jaina Satya Prakāsa' of 15-12--1946.<sup>4</sup>

Besides the above four poems, no further creation from the hand of Hemavimala Sūri has been traced so far.

The Varak $\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ -P $\bar{a}rsvan\bar{a}$ tha-stavana is handed down in MS. No. 846 of the Scindia Oriental Institute, which consists of four folios written on country paper with black ink. The characters are ordinary Devan $\bar{a}gar\bar{i}$ , with a few instances of "ai" and "au" rendered in Padim $\bar{a}tr\bar{a}$  style. The colophon runs as follows:

(1) Edited by Muni Caturavijaya in J. St. Sand., II, p. 217-226.

(2) J. G. K. II, p. 68, and III, p. 503.

(3) lts colophon runs as follows (on fol. 12 a): "सं. १८४६ रा देसाष वद ९ शनीवारे साकथली नगरे सांतीनाथजी प्रसादात् पं. मोजीजी वांचनार्यं श्री कांठलदेसे श्री श्री श्री लिषतं पं. हरिविजय श्री श्री श्री".

(4) XII. 3, p. 73 pp. : "श्री हेमविमलसूरिकृत 'तेर काठीयानी सज्झाय' ".

इति श्रीवरकारणस्तोत्रं पार्श्वनाथस्य स्तोत्र संपूर्णं: लषतं मुंनी ववेकविजययेन:" The rendering is extremely unsatisfactory, owing to mistakes obviously due to deficiency in both grammatical training as well as memory on behalf of the copyist, and necessitated numerous corrections and conjectures, as the apparatus shows.

The hymn itself consists of 46 stanzas in Vasantatilaka metre, which, though their language is a high-flown and proud Sanskrit, and though they are laden with the usual adornments of Kāvya style, make pleasant and easy reading, owing to the lucidity and melodious flow of their phrasing. This is all the more creditable to the poet since the whole poem is an instance of "samasyā-pūraņa," or rather double "samasyā-pūraņa". It is carried through in such a way that, except for the first and last stanzas, the first and last "caranas" of each stanza are taken from the corresponding stanzas of what are considered to be the two model Jaina hymns by both Śvetāmbaras and Digambars, viz., Siddhasena Divākara's "Kalyāņa-mandira-stotra" and Merutunga Sūri's "Bhaktāmara-stotra". Only the second and third "carana" of each stanza, which artistically connect the two heterogeneous "carana", as well as stanza 1 and 46, are the poet's own creation. Only in one instance, he has changed the "samasyā", viz., in st. 45, where the pertinent "carana" of the Kalyana-mandirastotra" (st.44) was required to be re-shaped so as to fit into the metrical scheme.

In Jaina literature, "samasyā-pūraņa", particularly on the basis of those two classical stotras, which are very popular and credited to be gifted with miraculous virtues, has repeatedly been practised. Five "Bhaktāmarastotra-pādapūrti-stotras" have been edited in two

stately volumes by Professor H. R. Kapadia,<sup>1</sup> along with a learned introduction dealing with the subject in general, and with further references re this type of literature. Our present hymn forms a supplement to that collection.

Our hymn is addressed to Pārsvanātha, the 23rd Jina, without however containing any allusion to the latter's life or personal characteristics, except for a reference to the king of the snake-demons and his mate, the well-known "Śāsana-deva" and "Śāsana-devī" of that Jina, in st. 45. It describes, on the other hand, in detail, the eight "prātihāryas", common to all the Jinas (st. 21-29), as well as the conventional eight great dangers from which the devotee can be saved by remembering the Tīrthaṅkara (st. 35-42).

Like the "Devakulādinātha-stavana", this hymn too is addressed to a "sthāpanā-Jina", in this case the ancient Pārsvanātha image of Varakāṇa. The latter is even now a famous and much visited place of pilgrimage in Marwar, 3 m. from the B. B. C. I. Ry. Station Rani,<sup>2</sup> known as one of the five sacred places which form the "Pañca-tīrthī" of Marwar (*i. e.* Nadol, Nadulai, Ghaneray, Ranakpur, and Varkana). It possesses a huge temple of the "Bāvana-Jinālaya" type, dedicated to Pārsvanātha, whose image is believed to be very old and equipped with magical powers.<sup>3</sup>

Munisundara Sūri, whose name the poet has woven into the last stanza, was already mentioned before as the 51st pontiff of the Tapā Gaccha, and one of the poet's spiritual ancestors. The last word of the hymn,

<sup>(1) &</sup>quot;Śri-Bhaktāmarastotra-pādapūrti-rūpasya Kāvyasangrahasya Prathamo Vibhāgah (Āgamodaya-Samiti), 1926," and "Dvitīyo Vibhāgah 1927".

<sup>(2)</sup> Muni Caritravijaya, "Vihāra-darśana", p. 234.

<sup>(3)</sup> Muni Śāntivijaya, Jaina Tirtha Guide, p. 135 ff.

"Vimaladharma", if at all meant as a "Śleṣa", may be an allusion to a personality of that name to whom the poet felt bound in gratitude or admiration, perhaps some one under whom he studied. This is all the more likely since the same name occurs a second time in this hymn, in st. 19, though disguised in the form of "Vimalāvabodha".

Contemporaneous literature does indeed know of a personality of the name of "Vimaladharma". An anonymous Gujarati poet refers to him as to his Guru in his "Jīrāülā Pārsvanātha Vinati", and his "Mahāvīra Vinati", the latter composed in V. S. 1520, in the following words:<sup>1</sup>

> "जय पंडितवर सिरि विमलधर्म, ते जाणइ आगम वेद मर्म।" and: "राय राणा भूप अति घणाए, जिण रंजिय देस नयर तणाए, विमलधर्म पंडित तणइए उपदेसिइ जीर्ण उघार करइए ॥१३"

The colophon of an ancient MS. of the "Gautama Rāsa" too mentions the name of Vimaladharma in the following way:<sup>2</sup> "पूज्याराध्य पंडित शिरोमणि पं. विमलधर्म गणि शिष्य पं. जिनशील गणि पं. श्रीविमल गणि शिष्य पं. माणिक्यविमल गणि."

This record is undated. It is, however, supplemented by another, dated one, *viz.*, the colophon of a MS. of "Śrī Gurūņām Svādhyāya", of V.S. 1569, in which the same Māņikyavimala, who wrote the above MS. of the "Gautama Rāsa", states his Guru "Śrīvimala" to be a pupil of the "Laghu-Śālīya Gacchanāyaka Śrī-Hemavimala Sūri". Since, as we saw above, the "Laghu-

<sup>(1)</sup> J. G. K., III, p. 552.

<sup>(2)</sup> J. G. K. III, p. 553 f.

Pausālika", or "Laghu-Šālīya" Gaccha branched off from the Tapā Gaccha after Hemavimala Sūri's death, these two records clearly indicate that "Vimaladharma Gaņi" belonged to the the same order as Hemavimala Sūri. The former two references show that this Vimaladharma must have been at least 20 years older than our poet, and that he was a "Paṇḍita", familiar with the Ågamas and the Vedas, as well as a personality of influence and attractive force. Nothing stands thus in the way to assume that this "Vimaladharma", may have been our poet's "Vidyāguru", whom he felt bound in gratitude to extol in his hymn.

### 4. THE ŚAŃKHEŚVARA-PĀRŚVANĀTHA-STAVANA.

Hemavimala Sūri, the 55th pontiff of the Tapā Gaccha main line, was, as we saw above, succeeded by his pupil Anandavimala, in the straight continuation of that line, the "Hema Śākhā". In a minor line branching off from the latter, the Sādhus Harsavimala, Jayavimala, Kirttivimala, Vinayavimala, and Dhiravimala Gani succeeded one another in this order. The latter's disciple *Iñānavimala Sūri* made himself a name as a poet and scholar during the pontificate of Vijayaprabha Sūri, the 61st head of the Tapa Gaccha. and gained such influence that, after Vijayaprabha's death, he was counted as the 62nd pontiff by his followers. The new branch thus initiated is known as the "Vimala Śākhā" or "Vimala Gaccha." It is this dignitary who interests us here as the author of the "Sankhesvara- Pārsvanātha-stavana".

A sketch of his life has been given by the late M. D. Desai,<sup>2</sup> along with a survey of his works, so that details

<sup>(1</sup> J. G. K., II, p. 753 f.

<sup>(2)</sup> J. G. K., II, p. 308 ff.

re both need not be reiterated here. For the present purpose, it will be sufficient to know that he was born in V. S. 1694, ordained by his Guru Dhīravimala Gaņi in 1702 under adoption of the monk's name of "Nayavimala", invested with the title of "Acārya" under adoption of the name of "Jñānavimala Sūri" in 1748 or(1749) by orders of the head of the Gaccha, and died in 1782 at the ripe age of 89 years. He was a prolific writer. His creations comprise a number of Rāsas, Stutis, Stavanas, Sajjhāyas, and Bālāvabodhas in Gujarati, while his Sanskrit works are commentaries on texts like the Praśnavyākaraṇa-sūtra and the Dāvānala-stuti, as well as an orginal "Śrīpāla-caritra" in prose, and a "Praśna-dvātrimšikā-stotra.

The "Śańkheśvara-Pārśvanātha-stavana", published here for the first time, thus brings the number of his Sanskrit hymns up to two. The fact that this hymn opens with the word "aindra", naturally makes the reader think of Yaśovijaya,<sup>1</sup> the famous author and reformer, senior to our poet by a few decades, who had such a predilection for this word that he began many ci nis Sanskrit works with it. For, according to his own testimonial,<sup>2</sup> it was by repeating the first syllable of this word, "aim", the "mantra-bīja" of Sarasvatī, that this goddess bestowed her favour on him, on the bank of the Ganges, during his 12 years' stay at Benares as a student. The mystic meaning of the whole word "aindra", which, in Tantra-sāstra, is an equivalent of "mati", "buddhi",<sup>3</sup> may also partially be responsible for Yaśovijaya's predilection for the same. One could therefore think of Yaśovijaya as the

<sup>(1)</sup> He was ordained in 1688 and died in 1743 (J. G. K., II, p. 20 ff).

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide J. St. Sand., I, Introduction, p. 4.

<sup>(3)</sup> Vide "Tantrābhid..āna", ed. A. Avalon, p. 60.

author of the present hymn, assuming "Naya+vimala" in the last stanza to be a lapsus calami for "Naya+ vijaya", which latter is the name of Yasovijaya's Guru, who might have been glorified by the poet in this way. The proud language and the erudition displayed therein, would be in congruence with such illustrious authorship. Yet the mentioning of "Dhīravimala" in st. 13 leaves no doubt that its author can be nobody else but the latter's disciple Nayavimala alias Jñānavimala Sūri. Since he gives his name as "Navavimala", the hymn must have been composed before this name was changed to "Jnanavimala Suri" at his investiture with the Acarva title in V. S. 1748-9; and since he mentions, in the same stanza, Vijayaprabha Sūri as pontiff, it must have been after the death of the preceding pontiff Vijayadeva Sūri in V. S. 1713 (or anyhow, after VijayaprabhaSūri's investiture with the Acarya title in V. S. 1710).

Still, the word "aindra" is not a wrong clue, if interpreted as pointing towards eventual connections of the poet with  $\bar{Y}$  as ovijaya. Such connections are indeed established. For it is well known that Nayavimala-Jñānavimala wrote Bālāvabodhas on two of Yasovijaya's works, viz., (1) on his "Sīmandhara-stavana" (V.S. 1763)<sup>1</sup> and (2) on his "Atha-yogadisti-sajjhāi" (undated).<sup>2</sup> Muni Caturavijaya' has inferred from Yasovijaya's "Astapadi" and from the "Navapada-pūjā" going under the latter's name, that personal relations existed between Yasovijaya on one side and the three philosopher poets Jñānavimala, Anandaghana, and Devacandra on the other side. This is quite impossible in Devacandra's case, who was born in V. S. 1746, i. e., three years

<sup>(1)</sup> J. G. K., II, p. 5 ff. p. 7, and III, p. 1312 and 1631.
(2) J. G. K., II, p. 39; p. 336; and I I, p. 1637.
(3) J. St. Sand., , p. 01.
(4) Vide "Srīmad-Yaśovi ayail Upādhyāya-kita Śri Navapada i Pūā", Jaina Ātmānanda Sabhā, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1931, Introd. p. 7 f.

after Yasovijaya's death (V.S. 1743). Yasovijaya may personally have known the Sage Anandaghana, whom he celebrates eloquently in his "Astapadī", and it is possible that he and our poet, who was his junior by only 14 years, did meet. Such personal acquaintance is, however, not inferable from the fact that the "Navapada-pūjā" contains, besides Yasovijaya's name, also the names of those poets: the passages composed in "Ulālālanī Dešī" and the "kalasas" being marked as Devacandra's work, and the passages in "Bhujangaprayāta" and in "Mālinī" metre as Jñānavimala Sūri's. For, though no written account of the history of this collaboration is so far known to exist, there is an oral tradition,<sup>1</sup> according to which this "pūjā" was compiled after Yasovijaya's death in execution of a joint resolution of the representatives of the three main Svetāmbara Gacchas, viz., our poet Jñānavimala Sūri as representative of the "Vimala Gaccha", Devacandra Sūri as representative of the "Kharatara Gaccha", and Uttamavijava Sūri as representative of the main line of the "Tapa Gaccha", decreeing that a puja text should be created which would be palatable to followers of the three Gacchas. To achieve this, certain portions of the extremely popular "Śrīpāla-rāsa" composed by Yasovijava, representing the Tapa Gaccha, were approved as the basis of the pūjā text, while Jnanavimala Sūri on behalf of the Vimala Gaccha and Devacandra on behalf of the Kharatara Gaccha contributed each a number of stanzas prepared ad hoc, a compilation which proved successful that SO it forms even

<sup>(1)</sup> Venerable Upådhyāya Labdhimunijī, who commands my sincere admiration as a poet, a scholar, and before all as a true embodiment of the ancient ideal of Jainasadhu-hood, has assured me that this tradition is handed down in his "Sampradāya" as a historical fact, which is sufficient guarantee for its reiliability.

now the most frequently used  $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$  text of the Svetāmbara community.

In any case, it is certain that Navavimala-Iñāna. vimala was an admirer of Yasovijayajī. It would thus have been natural for him to show his reverence for the great philosopher and scholar by opening his hymn with the two syllables which the latter loved so much. And in fact he opened it not only with those two syllables, but with the whole phrase which follows them in one of Yasovijaya's works, the "Pratima-sataka", which likewise begins with the words "Aindra-sreninata".1 Not only thus much, but in this very way of expressing his veneration, our poet seems to follow the example of Yasovijaya, who opened his Sankhesvara-Pārsvanātha-stotra with the words "Ananta-vijnānam apāstadosam'' in imitation of Hemacandra's "Anya-yogavyavaccheda-dvātrimsikā" (which begins "Anantavijñānam atīta-dosam"), and who, at the end of some of his works, used the word "rahasya", the literary stamp of the erudite neo-logician, Pandita Mathuranatha, who had been his teacher, and whom he greatly admired.<sup>2</sup>

Like the preceding two hymns, Nayavimala's "Śańkheśvara-Pāršvanātha-stavana" addresses itself to the image of a particular place of pilgrimage, here the timehonoured and much worshipped statue of Pāršvanātha at Śańkheśvara, an ancient Jaina place situated 16m. from the B. B. C. I.'Ry. Station Harij in the Radhanpur District of Gujarat. Its history and legends have been dealt with in detail recently, in a monograph entitled "Śańkheśvara Mahātīrtha" (in Gujaratī) by the

<sup>(1)</sup> So does the (probably Svopajña) Țabă to Yaśovijaya's "Dravya Guņa Paryāya Rāsa" (J. G. K., I<sup>(</sup>, p. 30).

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide M. B. Jhaveri, "Comparative and Critical study of Mantrashastra", Ahmedabad, A. D. 1944, Introduction p. 245 f, and J. G. K. II, p.25.

learned Muni Jayantavijaya (Vijaya-Dharma-Sūri-Jaina-Granthamālā 57),<sup>1</sup> where also the pertinent epigraphic, as well as literary records are given. The present hymn, which has been discovered only recently in the collection of the Scindia Oriental Institute, is not included therein, so that the following edition supplements that work. A previous reference to the hymn was made in my Gujarati article "नंइक शंखेश्वर साहित्य", "published in the "Jaina Satya Prakāsa".<sup>2</sup>

The main portion of the hymn consists of prayer for transcendental bliss and eulogy of the Tirthankara, who is repeatedly identified with certain aspects of *Hindu deities*, being invoked as "Visnu", "Sankara", "Dhātar", "Sata-dhrti", "Kālāri", "Krtīja", "Gaurīguru", as has been in vogue with Jaina poets ever since the days of Siddhasena Divākara and Samantabhadra. The Jaina reader, familiar with this way of expression, does not find it difficult to grasp the "Ślesas" and their intended implications, and duly to adapt them to Jaina ideas and ideals.

The Jina's *life* is not alluded to, except for an isolated reference to Kamatha, the Jina's enemy throughout his last pre-existences, in st. 3.

In st. 9-12, the poet introduces ideas of Tantrasāstra, sublimated by transcendental-mystic application. Jaina Tantra-sāstra with its direct implications was once a well developed science. It seems to have played a great part in Jaina culture in those early days when and even before the Agamas were collected, perhaps even previous to Mahāvīra, in the age of Pārsvanātha. Throughout the centuries, it has inspired and influ-

<sup>(1)</sup> V. S. 1998.

<sup>(2)</sup> Varsa 11, Anka 3, p. 73-80.

enced works of a number of well-known poets, such as Mānatunga, Hemacandra, Dharmaghosa, Munisundara and even Yasovijaya.<sup>1</sup>

In st. 9,our poet extolls the magic power of the most popular and ancient of all the Pārśvanātha-mantras, which is generally known as the "Visahara-phulimga-" or "Cintāmaņi"-mantra, and which is mentioned in as early a piece of Jaina literature as Bhadrabāhu's famous "Uvasaggahara stotra", assumed to have been composed in the 6th century A. D., if not earlier.<sup>2</sup> Many texts of the subsequent centuries, such as Mānatunga's famous "Bhayahara-stotra", composed during the reign of king Harsa of Thanesar, Dharmaghosa Sūri's "Śrī-Cintāmani-kalpa", belonging to the 14th century or perhaps even to an earlier period, the Pārsvanātha hymns by Tarunaprabha Sūri, Kamalaprabha Sūri, Ratnakīrtti Sūri, Jinapati Sūri, etc.3 contain the mantra, (some with prescriptions re its use), and glorify its miraculous efficacy. The "mula-mantra" runs as follows: "Namiūņa Pāsa visahara vasaha Jiņa phulimga".4

Around this "mūla-mantra", various "bījas" are generally arranged in varying number and order. Our poet mentions the following "bījas": "aim" (general, for "Sarasvatī"), "om" (general, for "praṇava"), "hrīm" (general, for "Māyā"), the latter twice, "arham" (especially Jaina, for "Tīrthaṅkara", "Siddhacakra", or "Aṣṭa-mahā-siddhi",<sup>5</sup> or according to another school

(5) This definition is given in a list of "mantra-bījas", contained on an ancient stray MS. leaf in the possession of the Venerable Upādhyāya Labdhimuniji.

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide M. B. Jhaveri, loc. cit., and J. St. Sand. I and II, where a number of texts of this type are published.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide J. St. Sand. II, Introduction p. 8.

<sup>(3)</sup> Vide J. St. Sand., both parts.

<sup>(4)</sup> Vide J. St. Sand. II, p. 27.

of thought, for "jñāna"),<sup>1</sup> and "**s**rī(m')" (general, for "Lakṣmī"), without however giving any hint as to their intended arrangement.<sup>2</sup>

In the same way, st.10 glorifies the efficacy of a mantra of Padmāvatī, Pārsvanātha's much worshipped "Sāsana-devī", whom Jaina Mantra-sāstra believes to embody Sarasvatī, Durgā, Tārā, Sakti, Aditi, Lakṣmī, Kālī, Tripurasundarī, Bhairavī, Ambikā, Kuṇḍaliņī, etc. The "mūla-mantra" is given in the following form:

"Padmāvatyai namo'stu sphuṭa hana daha rakṣa rakṣa".

It is accompanied by the following "bījas": "om" ("praṇava"), "hrīm" ("Māyā"), "klīm" ("Anaṅga"), "srīm ("Lakṣmī"), "blīm" ("ākarṣaṇa"), "hsom" ("Sakti"), which are all well-known from general Tantric lore, except for "blīm", which seems to be particularly Jaina.<sup>3</sup> The poet winds the list up with "svadhā", which, according to Jaina Tantrasāstra, indicates "sānti",<sup>4</sup> just as "namo" is said to stand for "mokṣa".<sup>5</sup> If the third line of the pertinent stanza, an isolated Citralekhā-pada between two Sragdharā-padas, and, for the matter of that, the only Citralekhā-pada of the whole poem is to be corrected

(1) Vide the list of "mantra-bījas" on p. 139 ff. of "Śrī Jaina-Kalpa-Mantra-Mahodadhi", I, by Muni Vīravijaya, Multan, V. S. 1999.

(2) The following arrangement is generally found :--

"Om hrīm śrīm (arham) namiūņa Pāsa visahara vasaha Jiņa phulimga hrīm (śrīm) (svāhā)". Vide J. St. Sand. II, p. 15, 27, 34, M. B. Jhaveri, loc. cit., Texts p. 43, 44, and "Pamcapratikramaņa-sūtra", Jaina Ātmānanda Sabhā, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1982 p. 455.

(3) Though "blim" is occasionally found in Jaina texts, still I have seen this explanation only in Upādhyāya Labdhimuni's list referred to above.

(4) M. B. Jhaveri, loc. cit., Texts, p. 20, l. 11.

(5) Vide "zrī-Sūri-mantra-t rahatkalpavivaranam", Al medalad, Vīra. S. 2458, p. 2 a.

into a Sragdharā-pada, it would most likely have contained also the bīja "aim", the most important of the bījas applicable to Padmāvati-Sarasvatī from the stand-point of our poet. The line would then perhaps read as follows:—

"aim klīm srīm blīm tathā hsom" etc. It is also possible that the well-known "slīm" ("amrta") is a more correct reading than the rather doubtful "blīm". Yet when constituting the text, I thought it advisable not to trust conjecture, so long as the latter is avoidable.

I have not succeeded in tracing this particular mantra anywhere else, though similar mantras are frequent, so far as thus much can be stated without proper "uddhāra".<sup>1</sup>

That a precise "uddhāra" is not possible in the case of both the mantras on the basis of the data as the poet puts them before the reader, is only natural, for to him, like to the equally spiritual-minded among his predecessors on the field of "mantra-garbhita" poetry, the mantra, divested of its original implication of sorcery, is nothing but another means of self-realization, so that its precise wording is of minor importance. It is passion ("kaṣāya"), the "bhāva-ripu," *i., e.,* the esential enemy of the ātman, which the poet visualizes (and the Jaina reader correctly conceives) as the object of the barbarous-sounding "hana" and "daha", and it is "Final Beatitude" or "mokṣa", which hides behind the mystic-allegorical veil of the secular-luxurious-sounding "Sāmrājya-Lakṣmī" in st. 11.

In the case of the "kavaca"-like "nyāsa" of the Jina's image on various parts of the body, as recom-

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide M. B. Jhaveri, loc. cit., Texts, and J. St. Sand. I, Texts.

mended in st. 12, the imagined spiritual aim, viz., "siddhi", final emancipation, after only 2-3 more rebirths in high forms of existence, is clearly expressed.

The hymn consists of 21 stanzas in the Śārdūlavikrīdița *metre*, which occasionally, sometimes in the middle of a stanza, changes over to Sragdharā (8a,8b; 10b, 10d, 11b-12d, and 20d), and once (st.10c) to Citralekhā, unless the latter is to be changed to Sragdharā, as discussed above.

The hymn is handed down in MS. No 5084 of the Scindia Oriental Institute, on two leaves of very old country paper. The first page is blank, the second contains, on its right side, a blank space, obviously meant for an intended, but not excuted illustration, the third is fully covered with writing, and the fourth has only three and a half lines of writing at the top. The centres of pages 1b and 2a are each filled with a rhomb of red lines, each rhomb being sub-divided by further red lines into 9 small rhombs, each of which contains one letter of the running text. The characters are ordinary Devanāgarī, "Adhomātrā" being carried through, and "Padimatra" regularly used for the "ai" and "au" only. The writing is in faded black ink, with occasional superimposed corrections in age-browned vellow pigment. The cyphers and some of the signs of punctuation, as well as the "Mangalacarana" formula ("श्रीभुवनेख्वयें नमः ॥", preceded by the usual diagram) are overpainted with red ink, darkened by age. The MS. has no colophon, except for the words "इति श्रीशंखरेपार्श्वप्रभुस्तोत्रं" (sic !).

After st. 20, the words "श्रीशंखेश्वरतीर्थभूषणमणे श्रीयुक्तपा" are clearly readable through the yellow pigment. This may be an indication that the MS. was written

by the poet himself, who first framed the beginning of this stanza in this way, and later rejected the phrase in favour of the present wording. The general correctness of the spelling of the whole text is in favour of such an assumption. This would greatly enhance the value of the MS., which is, anyhow, the only record of this hymn known up till now, and, in view of the celebrity of its author, a find of no small literary importance.

### 5 THE TÎRTHAMĀLĀ-CAITYAVANDANA·

According to Svetāmbara terminology, a "caityavandana" is a short ritual performed (either separately in the temple, or as part of the "Avasyaka"-liturgy) in praise of the "caitya", i. e., the Jina Temple, and what the latter stands for. It consists in the recitation of liturgic formulas and hymns in Sanskrit, Prakrit, Apabhramsa, and Modern Indian languages respectively, under adoption of prescribed postures, accompanied by the performance of a number of obeisances ("khamāsaņā") and the "kāyotsarga" rite (i. e., a certainposture which is held for a certain measure of time with perfect motionlessness ). Those formulas and hymns are fixed, except for two hymns which the devotee selects himself, one for being recited in the beginning, the other at the end of the ritual. The former of these two hymns bears itself the name of "caitya-vandana", which represents a particular type of hymnal literature.

A "caitya-vandana" in the latter sense is always a short poem in praise of the Tīrthaṅkara, the Tīrthaṅkara image, the Tīrthaṅkara temple, the Jaina Tīrtha, or any abstract idea connected with Tīrthaṅkara worship which lends itself to eulogy. The Jina statue, being

installed and consecrated under special rites (the "añjana-salākā"), is not merely holy by association, but is considered a concrete representative of the Tirthankara by "sthapana", though, contrary to the Hindu conception, it is not imagined to be in any way presided over, or animated by him whom it represents. The ritual importance of the temple with its multitude of images, and of the place of pilgrimage with its multitude of temples and chapels can thus easily be understood. The caitya-vandana, however, often does not content itself with extolling image, temple, and place of pilgrimage, but pays homage to a whole chain of such sacred places. The caityavandana published below, belongs to this type, justifying its name "Th thamalacaitya-vandana", the first part of which, "tirthamala" denotes, in ancient Gujarati, a poem describing a number of places of pilgrimage, or a pilgrimage undertaken to them.<sup>1</sup>

Our Tirthamālā-caitya-vandana is anonymous. It consists of five  $\hat{S}$ irdūlavikiIdita stanzas in Sanskrit, which are formally all but perfect, and void of poetical charm. The interest of the poem lies in the list of names of ancient Jaina tīrthas which it contains, and most of which are borne out as genuine geographical names, either by their modern equivalents, or by other references in old Jaina works. In the order of the poem, they are as under (names inferred by conjecture being marked by (?))

(1) Śatruñjaya (modern Shatrunjaya Hill),

(2) Raivatāri (modern Mt. Girnar),

(3) Bhrgoh Pattana (modern Broach),

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide "Prācīna Tirthamālā-sangraha", Samiéodhaka Śrī-Vijayadharma Sūri, I, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1978.

- (4) Simhadvīpa<sup>1</sup>
- (5) Dhanera (modern Dhānerā in Palanpur State, 22 m. n. w. of Deesa Station),
- (6) Mangalapura (modern Mangrol on the south coast of Kathiawar),
- (7) Ajjāhara (modern Aj**ā**rā near Veraval on the south coast of Kathiawar),
- (8) Śrīpura (modern Sirpur in Berar, near Akola, with "Antarikṣa-Pārsvanātha" Temple),
- (9) Kodināraka (modern Kodinar, near Mt. Girnar),<sup>2</sup>
- (10) (Mantri-) Dāhadapura (modern Dahidro near Mt. Abu),
- (11) Mandapa (modern Fort Mandu),
- (12) Arbuda (modern Mt. Abu),
- (13) Jīrāpalli (modern Jiravla, with "Jīrāvlā-Pārsvanātha" Temple, near Deesa),
- (14) Phalarddhi (modern Falodhi in Marwar, near Merta Station, with "Falodhi Pārsvanātha" Temple),

(15) Pārakanaga<sup>3</sup>

(1) Simhadvipa may stand for "Simhaladvipa", Ceylon, where an ancient place of Jaina worship is testified to have existed in the "Vividha-tirtha-kalpa" (loc. cit. p. 85), or for "Singhapura", an ancient Jaina tirtha near Jhelum mentioned by Hiuen Tsang (Cunningham, p. 142 ff.), and in V. T. I., p. 3, 85, 86.

(2) Vide Muni Caritravijaya, "Vihāradarsana", p. 229, and V. T. K., p. 107.

(3) Perhaps "Pārakaraga", for "Pārakara", would be the correct reading. Jaina paces of worship situated in "Pārakara-deśa", *i. e.*, the modern Thara-Parakara District of Sindh, are referred to in the V. T. K, p. 85 and the Astottari-Tirthamālā, st. 83 ff.

- (16) Sairīsa (modern Seraiya,<sup>1</sup> near Kallol in Distr. Ahmedabad),
- (17) Śańkheśvara (modern "Śańkheśvara-Pārśvanātha" Tīrtha, near Radhanpur, Gujarat);
- (18) Campāneraka (?) (modern Champaner<sup>2</sup> at the foot of Pavagadh, Baroda State),
- (19) Dharmacakra (?) (modern Taxila)<sup>3</sup>
- (20) Mathurā,<sup>4</sup>
- (21) Ayodhyā,<sup>5</sup>
- (22) Pratisthānaka (modern Paithan),6
- (23) Svarnagiri (modern Jalor in Marwar),<sup>7</sup>
- (24) Suragiri (modern Daulatabad)<sup>8</sup>
- (25) Devakī Pat<u></u>ana (modern Prabhas Patan near Veraval in Kathiawar),<sup>9</sup>

(1) In ancient texts, this place is referred to as "Serisa" or "Serisayapura" (vide V. T. K, p. 24, 25, and 106). It seems to have once been an important town. Vide also Muni "Jñanavijaya, Jain Tirthono Itihās", p. 40 ff.

(2) About the history of this ancient place vide Pt. Lālacandra Gāndhi, "Tejapālano Vijaya" and "Pāvagadh thī Vadodarā mām Prakata Thayelā Jīrāvalā Pārśvanātha", Bhavnagar, V. S. 1997 and 1991.

(3) Vide V. T. K., p. 85 and Astot, st. 41 and 56, and p. 66 ff. ("Dhammacakka-tittham"). According to "Purātana-prabandha-sangraha"— 1., p. 107, para. 232, Takṣaśilā had once 105 "tīrthas."

(4) The importance of Mathurā as an ancient Jaina centre has been revealed by excavations. Detailed references are found in the V. T. K. *Vide* also "Prācīna Tīrthamālā-Sangraha", Introduction p. 40.

(5) For ancient references vide the V. K. T.; for further information : "Prācīna Tirthamālā-sangraha", Introduction, p. 34.

(6) Vide V. T. K. p. 61 ff., p. 86, etc.

(7) Cp. Așțot., st. 86 f. ("Jālaure.....Suvannagiri-sihare"), etc.

(8) The form "Devagiri" is better known : cp. V. T. K., p. 44f.

(9) Cp. the references given by M. D. Desai, "Short History", Index p. 994.

- (26) Hastodīpura<sup>1</sup>,
- (27) Pādalīpura (modern Patna),<sup>2</sup>
- (28) Dasapura (modern Mandasor in Gwalior State),
- (29) Cārupa (modern Charup near Patan in Gujarat),
- (30) Pañcāsara (modern Panchasar near Wadhwan in Radhanpur State),<sup>3</sup>
- (31) Karaņāvatī (?) (modern Ahmedebad),
- (32) Śivapura (modern Ahichchhatta),<sup>4</sup>
- (33) Nāgadraha (modern Nagda in Udaipur State),<sup>5</sup>
- (34) Nāņaka (modern Nana near Pindwara in Jodhpur State),<sup>6</sup>

(1) "Hastodipura" is, according to the well-known specialist in the history of Jaina tirthas, Muni Jayantavijaya, whom I consulted, identical with "Hastikundi", an ancient Jaina tirtha, the name of which occurs in inscriptions pertinent to a tirtha now known as "Rātā Mahavira", situated in the jungles about 2 m. from Bijapur (the latter place being situated 8 m. south-east from the Ry. Station Eranpura Road). In an inscription of V. S. 1345, this "Hastikundi" is referred to as "Hathiudi" (Nahar, "Jaina Inscriptions", I No. 897), which linguistically lends itself well as prototype for a demi-Sanskritic "Hastodipura". The "Hastikundiya-gachha" (Vijaya Dharma Suri, "Prācīna-lekha-sangraha", No. 43) seems to have derived its name from that place.

(2) Cp. "Pādalipura" etc., in V. T. K., p. 34, and "Pādaligāma" in Astot., st. 82; vide also Prāc Tīrtha. Sangr., Introduction, p. 15. The form "Pātaliputra" is better known.

(3) Vide Pt. L. Gandhi, "Pāvāgadh" etc., p. 52, 71, 90.

(4) In Astot., st. 59, this place is referred to as "Sivanayari". Vide also prac. Tirth. Sangr., Introduction, p. 39. The modern place of the name of "Ahichchhatta" is situated near the E. I. Ry. Station, Aonla, not far from Rampur (Distr. Bareilly).

(5) Cp. Muni Vidyāvijaya, "Merī Mevād-yātrā", p. 59 ff. The place is situated about 13 m. north of Udaipur.

(6) Cp. V. T. K. p. 86 Astot., st. 89 ("N naya").

(35) Meru (mythological),<sup>1</sup>

(36) Kundala (myth.),<sup>2</sup>

(37) Mānusa (myth.),<sup>3</sup>

(38) Rucaka (myth.).<sup>4</sup>

(39) Vaitādhya (myth.),<sup>5</sup>

(40) Nandīsvara (myth.),6

(41) Astāpada (myth.),<sup>7</sup>

(42) Gundara,<sup>8</sup>

(43) Gajapada,<sup>9</sup>

(44) Sammetasaila (modern Mt. Sametshikhar or "Shikharji" in Bihar),

(1) Vide above, chapter on the "Tirthankaras."

(2) Name of the 11th ring-continent, counted from Jambu-dvipa, also of the ring-mountain traversing it. Being outside the "Manuşyaloka", its temples are built and attended only by divine and semi-divine beings (Sthānāṅga-Sūtra. "Kuṇḍala").

(3) "Mānuṣa" is an abbreviation for "Mānnsottara" similar to the form "Maṇua" used in the Aṣṭot., st. 25. Re the Mānnuṣottara Mountain, *vide* chapter on the "Tīrthankaras".

(4) Name of the 13th ring-continent as well as of the ring-mountain traversing it. Its temples are divine. (Sthānānga-Sūtra IX : "Ruyaga").

(5) Mountain-range which traverses the Bharata-ksetra from east to west, parallel to its northern boundary, the Himavat (Tattv. III, p. 256).

(6) Name of the 8th ring-world, famous for its splendid temples and the gorgeous religious festivals celebrated there by gods and genii. (Sthānāuga-Sūtra IV, 3: "Nandīsara").

(7) According to V. T. K., p. 91, this much eulogized semi-mythological sacred mountain ("Atthavaya") is situated 12 yojana north of Ayodhya, and is identical with the Kailāsa, also called "Dhavalagiri", which, so this work states, can be seen from Ayodhya on clear days, with its range of white summits.

(8) "Gundara" may be the same as "Gudara-giri", which is mentioned in the Astot., st. 83, as situated in "Parakara-deśa", *i. e.*, in Sindh, and a 3 being sacred to Adinātha.

(9) Gajapada may be the same as "Gajāgrapada" ("Gayaaggapaa"), a Jaina Tirtha mentioned in Astot., st. 41 and 49 under this name and under the name of "Daśārnakūța" ("Dasannakūḍa"). According to V. T. K., p. 38, a "Dasanna-pavvaya" was situated in Magadha near the Tirtha 'Koțiśila". There is matter for research.

i

- (45) Vindhya (?) (modern Vindhya Mountains).<sup>3</sup>
- (46) Sthambhana-nagara (modern Cambay),
- (47) Sīțțha-Mīțțha-nagara (modern Set-Mahet),<sup>2</sup>
- (48) Rājadraha (?) (modern Rajasagar Lake in Udaipur State),<sup>3</sup>
- (49) Śrīnaga (modern Parwattam on the Krishna River),<sup>4</sup>
- (50) Kuntīvihāra (Modern Nasik),<sup>5</sup>
- (51) Pallavihāra (modern Palanpur in Gujarat).
- (52) Tāraṇagaḍha (modern Taranga Hill in Mahikantha, Gujarat),<sup>6</sup>
- (53) Sopāraka (modern Soparo near RY. Station Nalasopara, north of Bombay),<sup>7</sup>

(1) The V. T. K., p. S6 refers to a jaina Tirtha in the Vindhya-Mountains.

(2) Modern "Set-Mahet", or "Sahet-Mahet", situated near the O. B. Ry. Station Balrampur, represents the site of ancient "Śrāvasti", as the former part of the name suggests (vide Prāc. Tirth. Sangr., Introduction, p. 36 f.). The V. T. K., p. 70, testifies that at the time of its composition (V. S. 1389), the old city of Śrāvasti ("Sāvatthi") was known as "Māhethi".

(3) "Rājadraha" is an obvious equivalent of "Rājasīgara", the name of the famous lake in Udaipur State, at the shore of which the ancient Jaina place of "Rājanagara" was situted. Even now, the ruins of the latter exist, with the remains of a grand Tirthankara Temple, known as "Dayālshāh kā Kilā": tide Muni Vidyāvijaya, "Meri Mevāḍ-yātrā", p. 64 ff.

(4) "Śrinaga" obviousły stands for "Srijarvata", a name often mentioned in Jaina Literature, as, e. g., V. T. K., p. 86 and 106. M. D. Desai, Short History, para. 524 locates it "near Conjeeveram". Nundo Lal De identific- ancient "Śriśaila (r Śriparvata" with Parwatam, 50 m. from the G. I. P. Ry. Station Krishna.

(5) Vide V. T. K., p. 53.

(6) Cp. Așțot., st. 100, where this sacred place is referred to as "Tā anagiri".

(7) Vide also V. T. K., p. 85. The modern place lies 40 m. from Andher. It was once an important Jaina centre.

- (54) Arāsaņa (modern Arasan near Mt. Abu),<sup>1</sup>
- (55) Dvārāvatī (modern Dvaraka),<sup>2</sup>
- (56) JIrņavapra (modern Junagadh near Mt. Girnar),
- (57) Thārāpadrapura (modern Tharad near Radhanpur in Gujarat),
- (58) Vāvihapura (modern Vavipur near Radhanpur),
- (59) Kāsadraha (modern Kasindra, 8 m. north of Abu Road Station),<sup>3</sup>
- (60) Idara (modern Idar in Gujarat),
- (61) Tejallavihāra;<sup>4</sup>
- (62) Nimbatataka,<sup>5</sup>
- (63) Candra (modern Chandur close to Sankheshvara, vide above, No. 17),
- (64) Darbhāvatī (modern Dabhoi, 25 m. from Broach),

(1)  $\bar{A}rasana$  is a synonymon of "Kumbhāriya", once a flourishing city, much mentioned in Jaina literature. As a tirtha, it is also received to in Astot., st. 102.

(2) Vide also V. T. K., p. 12, 85, 83, etc.: Dvārakā was once a Jaina tirtha, bound up with the History of the 22nd Jina Neminātha, contemporary and relative of Śri-Kṛṣṇa. Cp. also M. D. Desai, loç. cit. para. 842.

(3) This place is mentioned in the Astot., st. 102 as "Kāsaddaha", and in V. T. K., p. 85 as "Kāśahrada".

(4) V. T. K., p. 7, st. 3 and p. 10 mentions a place "Tejalapura", built by Tejapäla at the foot of Mt. Girnar. *Vide* also Prå. Tirth. Sangr., Introduction p. 57 f. "Tejallavihāra" may refer to the temple erected there by him in honour of Pårśvanātha, and named "Āsarāyavihāra" in honour of Tejapāla's father.

(5) This may be "Nimbūyāda", a Jaina place mentioned in Meghavijaya's "Pārévanātha-nāma-mālā", st. 20 (Prāc. Tirth. Sangr., p. 151), or "Nibedā Kalā", mentioned in the "Tirthāvalī-pravāsa" (No. 383) as possessing an old Jaina temple. Perhaps both names denote one and the same place.

- (65) Satyapura (modern Sachor, 80 m. from Deesa station),<sup>1</sup>
- (66) Bāhadapura (modern Bahadpur near Mt. Shatrunjaya),<sup>2</sup>
- (67) Rādadraha,<sup>3</sup>
- (68) Vāyada (modern Bayad near Kapadvanj in Gujarat),<sup>4</sup>
- (69) Nandasama,<sup>5</sup>
- (70) Samī (modern Sami near Radhanpur),
- (71) Dhavalaka (modern Dholka in Gujarat),
- (72) Marjjāda (modern Majadar near Patan in Gujarat),
- (73) Muṇḍasthala (modern Mungthala at the foot of Mt. Abu),<sup>6</sup>

(1) Satyapura, mentioned in this form in the V. T. K., p. 4 and 86, and in the Prakrit form "Saccaura" in the Astot., st. 85. This is a very o'd place referred to already in the "Jagacintimani-caityavandana" (ascribed to Mahāvīra's direct disciple Gautama) as sacred to Mahāvīra.

(2) Vide Muni Jñānavijaya, "Jain Tirthono Itihās", p. 5.. The place was founded by Kumārap la's Minister Vāgbhaţa, the elder brothe: of the above mentioned Ambaḍa, who restored Śakunikā-vihāra.

(3) This place is frequently mentioned in old works in varying form, as "Rādadraha", "Rādadaha", "Rādadre", "Lātahrada", "Rādadhadā", (etc.), one of the oldest references being in Astot., st. 84. From an ancient inscripttion of V. S. 1209 (M. D. Desai, Short History, p. 261, note 294), it appears that this place was part of the territory of King Ālhaņa Deva, a vassal of Kumārapāla of Gujarat. The learned Jainācārya Śrī Vijayendra Sūriji refers me to Epigraphica Indica IX, p. 73-78 and XI, p. 44 f. and 74 f., which were not available to me.

(4) Vide also V. T. K., p. 86 and Astot., st. 101, as well as M. D. Desai, Short History, para. 496. Re the modern place, vide Cāritra-vihāra, p. 155 and Tirthāvali-pravāsa, No. 696.

(5) From Aştot., st. 90 ("Mevāda-desa-gāme......Namdisama-nāme, Sagadāla-mamti-kāriya-Jina-bhavane"), it appears that this place was situated in Mewar and contained a Jina Temple built by the Minister "Sagadāla". Nothing further could be ascertained.

(6) Vide V. T. K., p. 86 Aştot., st. 97, and Muni Jayantavijaya, "Abu", II, p. 245, Inscription 10-11, according to which references, "Mundasthala" was an old Jaina place.

- (74) Modhera (modern Modhera near Bamanvada in Baroda State),
- (75) Dadhipadra (modern Dahod near Godhra in Gujarat),

(76) Karkarapura (modern Karakal near Mudbidri in South Kanara)<sup>1</sup>

It is obvious that the above sacred names have not been arranged according to any fixed scheme, but are strung together as they presented themselves to the memory of the poet, and as metrical exigency demanded. Though most of the places belong to Gujarat, with which the poet must have been particularly familiar from wanderings there, still he seems to have endeavoured to incorporate names of places situated in as many parts of India, or, for the matter of that, in as many parts of the universe as possible. In his pious zeal and his firm belief in the pertinent Jaina dogmata regarding cosmography, he has thus mixed up genuine geographical names with purely mythological ones, such as Meru, Vaitādhya, Astāpada, Kundala, Manusottara, Rucaka, and Nandisvara, explicitly referring to temples situated in the realms of all the four classes of gods.<sup>2</sup>

Yet among the remaining references, a great number of names of even now popular Jaina places of pilgrimage can be recognized at first sight, such as Shatrunjaya, Girnar, Broach, Mangrol, Ajara, Sirpur,

<sup>(1)</sup> Karakal has long since been "famous for the Jaina and Buddhist pilgrims", according to Nundoo Lal De, p. 93; vide also Tirth<sup>5</sup>va'i-pravāsa, No. 416..

<sup>(2)</sup> Viz., (a) Bhavanaväsin, in the underworld, (b) Vyantara, in the ayer between the underworld and the world of men, (c) Jyotişka, in the lower strata of the atmosphere, and (d) Vaimānika, in layers above the latter in movable "vimīnas".

Fort Mandu, Mt. Abu, Falodhi, Sankheshvara, Prabhas Patan, Mandasor, Charup, Ahmedabad under the ancient Hindu name of Karanāvati, Mt. Sametshikhar, Cambay, Palanpur, Taranga Hill, Arasan, Junagadh, Idar, Dabhoi, Sachor, Karakal. Others of the places mentioned as tīrthas, are now not much in prominence, but do possess Jina temples, and some of them also a Jaina population, such as Dhanera, Kodinar, Dahidro, Jiraval, Champaner, Jalor, Panchasar, Nana, Tharad, Vavipur, Kasindra, Nibeda, Chandur, Bahadpur, Bavad, Sami, Dholka, Majadra, Modhera, Dahod. Some places of both these types as, e.g., Fort Mandu, Arasan, Champaner, Jalor, Panchasar, Dholka, were once flourishing and celebrated centres of Jaina culture, whose former grandeur can be inferred from literary references or architectural remains.

Another type of places is represented by names like Taxila, Mathura, Ayodhya, Paithan, Daulatabad, Patna, Nasik, Dvaraka, Parwatam, all of which are still flourishing and well-known, but seem to have lost their association with Jainism, which is amply testified for the past.

A further category of ancient tirthas mentioned in our poem is formed by places which have lost both their association with Jainism and their economical importance, their very sites being identifiable now by nothing but either fields of ruins with here and there an epigraphical testimonial, or with the half-hearted help of the modern names of otherwise unsuspect villages built over their remains. Such places are Ahicchattra, Nāgadraha, Śrāvasti, Rājanagara, Sopāraka, Tejalapura, Mundasthala, Serīsa, and the tīrthas of Sindh. Entire oblivion seems to envelop those tīrthas which our poem mentions as existing in the

Vindhya Mountains, as well as places like Gundara, Gajapada, Rādadraha, Nandasama, which have escaped identification up till now.

From references like the above, to names which the later hymnal literature no longer knows, it would appear that the Caityavandana must have been composed at least several centuries ago, when the reminiscences of those places were still alive. A terminus a quo is given by the names of Bahadpur and Tejalavihāra, founded by Kumārapāla's Minister, Vāgbhata and Viradhavala's Minister, Tejapala, the latter of whom died in V. S. 1296. If Rajadraha is the correct reading, in st. 3 c, the poem cannot, however, have been composed prior to V. S. 1731, when Dayalshah built the famous temple near the Rajasagara Lake in Mewar, so high with its nine stories that the shadow of its flag fell as far as six kos across the land. On the other hand, it must have been composed before Aurangzeb partially destroyed that temple, and before it thus ceased being an object of worship. The reading is, however, doubtful

The text is contained on fol. 10 of MS. No. 855 of the Scindia Oriental Institute, in which a collection of stavanas and caityavandanas is handed down (all in all 23). The material is country paper, the writing in black ink. In the centre of each page, a rhomb is left blank, with four letters of the text jutting out, so as to form another, smaller rhomb. The characters are modern Jaina Devanāgarī. The writing looks extraordinarily neat and spectacular, the text is, however, very incorrect, and necessitated frequent conjectures, as the apparatus shows. After the text of our poem, the following colophon is given: "इति श्री तीर्थमालचैत्यवंदन समाप्तं: २२ सं. १८८० वर्षे माघकृष्ण चतुर्थे श्रीशमीनगरे रू: ॥", which is

followed by one more caityavadana with a colophon of its own, written by the same hand.

### 6. THE VIRA—STUII.

In older Svetambara works, the word "stuti" is often used in the ordinary sense of "hymn" in general.<sup>1</sup> Mostly, however, especially in more recent terminology, its use is restricted to a particular category of hymnal literature, viz., hymns which are rather aggregates of four separate "stutis", and eulogize, strictly in this order, 1. any of the Tirthankaras individually in the in the first part, 2. all the Tirthankaras collectively in the second, 3. the Jaina doctrine or the Jaina Sacred Writings in the third, and 4. any of the Sāsana-devas. Śāsana-devīs, Vidyā-devīs, the "Śruta-devī" Sarasvatī. or other divinities known to be devoted to the Jaina religion, in the fourth (each part mostly consisting of a single stanza). That this definition is generall $\mathbf{v}$ accepted, can be seen from the fact that the hymns contained in any random collection of "stutis", are throughout built according to this scheme.

(Only the followers of a modern sect, known as the "Tri-stuti-mata", believe it to be *infra dignitatem* for a good Jaina to pay homage to beings so much below the moral standard of perfection as gods are supposed to be, and therefore dispense with the fourth parts of stutis.)

Stutis of this description form part of the "Pratikramanasūtra", *i. e.*, the text of the daily ritual, of all the Śvetāmbara sects, since "Tīrthankara-vandana" is one of the six "Avasyakas", or indispensable daily duties of a Jaina. The first quarter of a stuti of the

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide the references quoted by Professor H. R. Kapadia, in his edition of "Śri-Bappabhatțisūri-viracitā Caturvimiatikā", Āgamodaya Samiti, No. 53, "Upodghāța", p. 45 ff.

devotee's selection also forms part of the caityavandana ritual mentioned in a former chapter, representing the second of the two changeable recitation pieces of the pertinent liturgy.

Our present stuti is true to type with its fourfold eulogy, clearly presented in four exactly parallel Sragdhara stanzas.

One of its formal attractions is the skilful way in which onomatopoeia has been carried through therein, suggesting, in the first stanza, vocal, and in the second and third ones, instrumental music. Obviously, the poet intends this musical performance to illustrate the belief that when the Tirthankara preaches in the "samavasarana", his voice is not only in itself distinguished by "upanita-rāgatvam",<sup>1</sup> *i. e.*, possession of melody in the technical sense (one of its 35 stereotyped supernatura! qualities), but it is also harmoniously accompanied by celestial music, which devoted gods and genii continuously produce (another of the stereotyped atisayas of the Tirthankaras).<sup>2</sup>

The use of onomatopoeia in this way, though, is not unique. A famous Sanskrit stuti composed by the celebrated Saint and poet Jinakusala Sūri of the Kharatara Gaccha, who died in V. S. 1389, is composed according to the very same principle. I refer to the Pārsvanātha-stuti<sup>3</sup> beginning with the words "Drem drem ki dhapa mapa", which, forming part of the Pākṣika Pratikramaṇa of the Kharatara Gaccha,

<sup>(1)</sup> Hemacandra Sūri makes this expression clear by his explanation "Mālava-Kaućikyādi- rāmarāga-yuktatā" in the Svopajña-țīkā to his "Abhidhāna-Cintāmaņi-Koća", I, st. 66.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide Introduction.

<sup>(3)</sup> Vide A. and Bh. Nahta, "Dādā Śrī Jinakuśala Sūri, Calcutta, V. S. 1996, p. 58.

is also known as the "Pākṣika-stuti".<sup>1</sup> It is possible that our poet, whose name and whereabouts are not known, tried to emulate that popular piece of poetry and its fascinating tingle of sounds.

Yet while Jinakusala Sūri's stuti is in honour of Pārsvanātha, the present hymn celebrates Mahāvīra, the last Tīrthankara, in its first quarter.

The object of eulogy in the fourth quarter is likewise a different one. In Jinakusala Sūri's stuti, it is the "Śāsana-devatā" quite generally,<sup>2</sup> while our poet addresses himself to *the goddess* "Vairotyā", whom he visualizes, with extraordinary vividness, as a snake deity of militant qualities and of an appearance fit to fill an adversary's heart with terror, whom he seems to invoke in a spirit of tantric ecstasy and expectation.

Jaina Literature knows several goddesses of this name, as under:---

1. In the shape of "Vairoți", it designates the  $S\bar{a}sana-devi$  of Vimalanātha, the 13th Tirthankara, in Digambara literature.<sup>3</sup> She is represented as "harivarņā", mounted on a snake ("gonasa"), and holding snakes in her four hands. In Svetāmbara literature, Vimalanātha's female attendant, described as being of the same colour, but seated on a lotus, and holding a single snake, bow, arrow, and noose in her hands, is referred to as "Viditā", or "Vijay".<sup>4</sup> The male

(1) "Pūrņa Kņema-Vallabha-Vilāsa", Neemach, V. S. 1990, Part II, p. 16 ff.

(2) Loc. cit., p. 22.

(3) Cp. B. C. Bhattacharya, "The Jaina Iconography" Punjab Oriental Series No. 26, Lahore, 1939, p. 133.

(4) Nirvāņakalikā, edited by M. Bh. Jhaveri, Nirņaya Sāgara Press, Bombay, A. D. 1926, p. 35.

counterpart in attendence on Vimalanātha is, in both literatures, "Ṣaṇmukha", who is seated on a peacock, and holds various weapons in his twelve (or eight) hands.<sup>1</sup> Our hymn being a Śvetāmbara text, this "Vairoțī" is *a priori* not likely to be invoked therein, though she is a snake goddess too.

2. In the shape of "Vairotyā"<sup>2</sup> (Sanskrit), "Vairuțțā", "Vairuțțā", "Vairuțțā"<sup>4</sup> or "Vairotā<sup>5</sup> (Prakrit), the name further designates the Śāsana-devī of Mallinātha, the 19th Tīrthankara, in Śvetāmbara works. She is described as "kṛṣṇavarṇā", and as seated on a lotus. In Digambara sources, the Śāsana-devī of Mallinātha is "Aparājitā,"<sup>6</sup> described as "harid-varṇā", and as seated on that fabulous creature named "aṣṭāpada" or "śarabha".<sup>7</sup> Both sects agree re the attributes in three of her hands, viz., "varada-mudrā", citrus-fruit, and sword, while the fourth carries a rosary according to the Śvetāmbaras, but a shield according to the Digambaras. Her male counter-part is, according to both, the four-headed Yakṣa Kubera.

In neither of the two categories of sources is this deity associated with snakes, which form an essential attribute of the goddess invoked in our hymn.

(1) Cp. Bhattacharya, 1.1, p. 107; Pheru, Västusära Prakarana, Jaipur, A. D. 1936, p. 168-12 and p. 156-13. In some Digambara works, the name "Caturmukha" is found.

(2) Nirvāņakalikā, p. 36; Saptatiśatz-sthāna-prakaraņa, 1.1., Dvāra 109; Ţīkâ; Pravacanasāroddhāra, Ţikā, Dvāra 27; Hemacandra, Abhidhānacintāmaņi-kośa, I, 45, Ţikā.

(3) Munisundara, Santikara-stavana, st. 10.

(4) Saptatiśata-sthāna-prakaraņa, st. 228.

(5) Pravacana-sāroddhāra, st. 376.

(6) Pratisthā-sangraha, as quoted by Bhattacharya, 1.1. pp. 139; Juide Iso "Tiloya-pannatti", st. 939.

(7) On what basis Bhattacharya, 1.1., mentions a "lion", is not clear.

Only from Hemacandra's "Abhidhāna-cintāmanikosa'', I, st. 45, such an association might appear to exist. For, Hemacandra, instead of mentioning Mallinatha's Śāsana-devī under her actual name, refers to her as "Dharana- priva", which he explains, in his "Svopajñatīkā", as "Dharaņoragendrasya priyā Vairotyā", i. e., "Vairotya, consort of Dharana, the Indra of the Snakes". Obviously, this Vairotyā cannot be separated from the goddess whom Aryānandila invokes in his "Vairotyā-Devī-stava'', as "Dharaņinda-padhama-pattī Vaïruttā nāma Nāgiņī" (st.4), and as "Dharanoraga-daïa..... Vaïruțța" (st.12). The Prabhāvaka-carita contains, in its "Aryanandila-carita",<sup>2</sup> an account of the origin of this stava, in the form of a legend, the motif of which recurs in Hindu folk-lore. According to this lengend, Vairotvā was the wife of a merchant's son named Padma, and the mother of Nāgadatta, a disciple of Aryanandila (the second "a" of the latter name being short here). By some action of kindness, Vairotyā gained the favour of the snake people, who adopted and treated her as a relative, overshowering her with divine favours. After her death, she became the queen of their ruler Dharana, the same divinity whom we mentioned previously as Pārsvanātha's Śāsana-deva ("Dharaņendrasya devī Srī-Pārsva-sevituh"), and has since then been assisting her mate in coming to the rescue of devotees Pārsvanātha, especially in danger threatening of from poison or fire. Aryanandila, who had been Vairotya's Guru in her human existence, composed in her honour, the above mentioned hymn, the recitation

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide J. St. Sand., I, p. 347 ff. and its Introduction, p. 8.

<sup>(2)</sup> L. l., p. 19 ff.; *vide* also Muni Kalyānavijaya's temarks in his Introduction to the Gujarati Translation of the Prabhāvaka-carita (Jaina Atmananda Sabha, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1987), p. 22.

of which is asserted to be particularly efficacious in securing her help.

In the "Pādalipta-carita" of the Prabhāvakacarita<sup>1</sup> too, a "Vairoṭyā Dev**ī**", obviously the very same goddess, is referred to, for she bears the epithet of "Pha**ṇ**Indra-kāntā", and is described as an attendant of Pīrsvanātha, the patron saint of the snake-king.

Yet it is difficult to understand how this Vairotyā, who is thus supposed to have lived and died as a human being during the life-time of Aryanandila, *i. e.* roughly in the former half of the second century after Vikrama,<sup>2</sup> and to have been a snake deity and Dharanendra's consort only since then, could be identical with Mallinātha's Śāsana-devī. For, as all the Śāsanadevas and -devīs are believed to come into existence during the time of the "tīrtha," *i. e.* the original community of the resp. Tīrthaṅkara,<sup>3</sup> Mallinātha's Śāsana-devī would have been in existence since more than 65,86,000 years, Mallinātha's nirvāṇa being assumed to have taken place 65,84,000 years before the Vīra-nirvāṇa.

Did the great Hemacandra allow himself to be misled by the chance identity of the name of Mallinātha's Šāsana-devī with that of Aryanandila's "Iṣṭadevatā", and thus wrongly apportioned to the former goddess the epithet of "Dharaṇa-priyā", to which only her namesake was entitled? This seems likely in view of the already mentioned absence of all snake attributes in Mallinātha's Śāsana-devī: for, a consort of Dharaṇendra would necessarily belong to the class of the

(3) Cp. Nirvānakalikā, l. c., p. 34 ff., particularly the regularly recurring attribute of the "Yaksinis": "tasminneva tīrthe samutpannā").

<sup>(1)</sup> L. 1., p. 28 f.

<sup>(2)</sup> Cp. Muni Kalyāņavijaya, loc. cit.

"Nāgakumāras", and as such, be bound to wear the snake emblem,<sup>1</sup> as Aryanandila's Vairotyā ostentatiously does ("nāgiņī nāgārūdhā nāga-karā nāga-bhūsiyasarīrā, nāgehim siramālā nāga-muhā sā", st. 3 of the pertinent stava).

As the deity whom our poem eulogizes, is doubtiessly a snake-goddess, she would probably not be Mallinātha's Śāsana-devī, but may be identical with the Dharaṇa-priyā Vairoṭyā of Aryanandila's hymn. It remains to be seen whether she cannot be more clearly defined.

(3) The Jaina Pantheon knows of a third goddess of the name of Vairotyā, viz., one of the 16 "Vidyādevīs".<sup>2</sup> The latter are common to Digambaras and Śvetāmbaras. Like the Śāsana-devas and -devīs, they too are first mentioned, as a group, and described, with their emblems, in post-canonical works.<sup>3</sup> They are often invoked in connection with rites of a more or less tantric character as well as for purposes of magic protection, and thus play a great part in Jaina Mantra-sīstra<sup>4</sup> and in hymnal literature. Though it is possible that some of their features, particularly their number (16), are connected with such of the 16 tantric Goddesses of the Hindu Ågamas, viz., the ten forms

(1) Cp. Tattv. IV, 11, Bhāsya, p. 282 ("éirassu phanicihnā Nāgakumārāķ").

(2) The word "Vidyā-devi" does not mean "Goddess of Learning" at all, as Bhattacharya (loc. cit., p. 163 ff.) translates it, but "divine personnification of magic lore". The "Goddess of Learning" is ithe "Śruta-devi" Sarasvatī.

(3) The earliest references appear to be: with the Śvetāmbaras the "Nirvāṇakalikā" of Pādalipta Sūri, and with the Digambaras the "Pratisthā-sāra" of Vasunandin.

(4) Vide M. Bh. Jhaveri, "Comparative and Critical Study of Mantrasastra", p. 258. of Tripurā and her six friends,1 still the very idea of "vidyās" in the sense of "tantric lores", can be traced back to the Jaina Sacred Writings themselves.<sup>4</sup> In their role as personifications of such tantric lores, they are linked up with the ancient Jaina legend of the origin of the "Vidyādharas", as related in a number of texts.<sup>3</sup> According to that legend, Nami and Vinami, two princes, had been absent when Rsabhadeva, the first Cakravartin, and, subsequently the first Tirthankara of this avasarpini, gave away all his property to his relatives and friends, to become an ascetic. Thus deprived of their share, they followed the Lord, serving him perseveringly, in the hope of material reward. The Lord, however, had now nothing to bestow. Dharana, the ruler of the Nāgakumāras, and a devotee of the Lord, felt a desire to fulfil their hope. The earth and everything on it having been given away already, Dharana gave them land outside the usual realm of mortals, on the slopes of Mt. Vaitadhya, that mythological mountain range which traverses Bharataksetra from east to west, being embraced by the Ganges and the Sindhu respectively. The land being 10 yojana above the ground, and inaccessible to ordinary man, Dharana also bestowed on the two princes 48,000 "vidyās", enabling them to walk through the air and on water and to perform other miraculous feats. Thus outfitted, they settled on Mt. Vaitādhya with their kith and kin, and founded each

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide Daksinamūrti, "Uddhārakoća", ed. by Raghuvira, Introduction p. 9 f.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide M. Bh. Jhaveri, loc. cit., p. 147 ff., and 271 ff.

<sup>(3)</sup> Representative are: Sanghadāsa Gaņi, "Vasudevahiņdi", IV,
p. 163 f.; Jinadāsa Gaņi Mahattara, "Āvásyaka-Cūrņi", p. 161 f.; Haribhadra
Sūri, "Āvásyaka-Tīkā", p. 143 fl.; Hemacandra Sūri, "Trisasti-śalākāpurusacarita", III st. 124-233; Vinayavijaya, Kalpasūtra-tikā "Subodhikā", I, 212
(p. 152);—Cp. also M. Bh. Jhaveri, l. c., p. 175 f. and 260 fl.

a row of cities on the northern and southern slope respectively, directly below the cities of the Vyantara gods. Dharana then installed Nami and Vinami as the rulers of the semi-divine "Vidyādharas", by which name they and their followers became known, Nami ruling in the south, and Vinami in the north.

Each of these two rulers was the lord of 8 "Vidyādhara-nikāyas", to each of which a particular group of "vidyās" was apportioned. The 16 "Vidyādharanikāyas" were named after the countries from where the pertinent group of Vidyadharas had originally emigrated, and the several "vidya" groups were named accordingly. Lists of the names of these 16 groups of "vidyās" are given in Prakrit in the "Vasudevahindī" and the "Avasyaka-cūrņi", and in Sanskrit in the "Tri-sastisalākāpurusa-carita", all three deviating from one another in several items. According to the lastnamed text, these "vidyā"-groups were : 1. Gauri-, 2. Manu-, 3. Gandhari-, 4. Manavi-, 5. Kausiki-, 6. Bhu-, mitundā-, 7. Mūlavīryā-, 8. Sankukā-, 9. Pāndukī-, 10. Kālī-, 11. Śvapākī-, 12. Mātangī-, 13. Pārvatī, 14. Vamsālava-, 15. Pamsumula-, and 16. Vrksamula-vidyas. Out of these names, the "Subodhika" mentions only Nos. 1 and 3, as belonging to the southern, and 9 and 14, as belonging to the northern part of "Vidyadhara"land.

Besides these "vidyā"-groups, the "Åvasyakacūrņi" and the "Subodhikā" mention four individual "mahāvidyās", viz., 1. Gaurī, 2. Gandhārī,<sup>1</sup> 3. Rohiņī, and 4. Prajñapti. Haribhadra Sūri, obviously following

<sup>(1)</sup> These two vidyās are also mentioned in the Sūtrasrtanga-Sūtra (II, 2, Sūtra 30, p. 318).

#### REMARKS ON THE TEXTS

the former text, mentions them with the exclusion of any other name.

Traces of the "Vidyādhara"-legend can be found in the Jaināgama itself, where the two rows of "Vidyādhara-cities" are repeatedly mentioned as adorning the slopes of the Vaitādhya<sup>1</sup>, though details and names are not given.

Though it is not feasible restlessly to solve, with the help of the text-material available at present, the fascinating problem of the origin of the 16 "Vidyā-devīs" and of their relationship with the ancient "vidyās", as raised by Dr. Miss Helen Johnson<sup>2</sup>, still thus much can be inferred from a comparison of the respective names that the first, second, ninth and tenth "Vidyadevis" are identical with the four "mahāvidyās" enumerated above, the two latter of whom again seem to have derived their names from the designations of the first and third "vidyā"-groups of "Vidyādhara"-land. Besides, the names of two further "Vidyā-devīs", viz., Nos. 7 and 12, seem to have been derived from the names of the "vidya"-groups Nos. 10 and 4 respectively. It is thus possible that each of the 16 "Vidyā-devīs" may originally have been imagined as representing one of the 16 "vidyā-nikāyas" of "Vidyādhara"-land, bearing either an individual name, or a generic name derived from that of her group, or perhaps both.

Incidentally, the names of all the sixteen "Vidyādevīs" find themselves again, without exception, in

<sup>(1)</sup> Jambudvīpa-prajñapti IV; Sthānānga-sūtra X, 3.

<sup>(2)</sup> In her English Translation of the "Trișașți-śalākāpurușa-carita", Vol. I, p. 176.

the list of the names of the "Śāsana-devīs", some in that of Śvetāmbara, others in that of Digambara tradition, and some in both simultaneously, in such a way that 11 of the Śvetāmbara, and 13 of the Digambara Śāsana-devīs, 8 of them being common to both, appear to be in fact nothing but "Vidyā-devīs", though some of the names slightly vary. The following synoptic table will make this clear:<sup>1</sup>

Vid <b>yā-de</b> vī.	Vid <b>y</b> ādhara-vidyā.	Svetāmbara Sāsanadevī.	Digam <sup>1</sup> ara Śā sanadevi.
1. Rohiaī	Mah <b>ā</b> vidy <b>ā</b> No. 3.		No. 2.
2. Prajñapati.	Mahāvidyā No. 4.	No. 15.	No. 3.
3. Vajrāsrn- khalā.			No. 4.
4. Vajrān- kušā.	· · ·	No. 14. (Ankusā).	••
5. Apraticakrā or Cakre- <b>s</b> varī.		No. 1.	No. 1 (only Cakresvarī).
6. Purușadat- tā or Na- radattā.		No. 20 (only Naradatt <b>ā</b> ).	No. 5 (only Purușadatt <b>ă)</b>
7. Kāli	Vidyā-nikā- ya No. 10.	No. 4.	No. 7 or 18.

(1) Small variances found in some individual texts, are not considered. The Arabic figures in the columns of the Sāsana-devīs denote the resp. Tirthankara to whom the goddess concerned is attached.

REMARKS ON THE TEXTS

Vidyā-devī.	Vidyādhara-vidyā.	Svetåmbara Śāsa <b>n</b> adevī.	Digambaræ Ś sanadevi
8. Mahākāli.	••	No. 5.	No. 9.
9. Gaurī	Mahāvidyā No. 1 and Vidyā-nikā- ya No. 1.		No. 11.
10. Gandh <b>ārī</b> .	Mahāvidyā No. 2 and Vidyā-nikā- ya No. 3.	No. 21.	No. 12.
11. Sarvāstr <b>ā-</b> Mahājv <b>ā-</b> l <b>ā.</b>	••	No. 8 (Jv <b>ā-</b> lā).	•••
12. Mānavī	Vidyā-nikāya No. 4.	No. 11.	No. 7 or 10.
13. Vairoțyā.	••	No. 19	No. 13.
14. Acchuptā.	••	No. 20.	••
15. Mānasī		• •	No. 15.
16. Mahāmā- nasī.			No. 16.

B.C. Bhattacharya (1. c. p. 164), who also noticed that there are "clear points of identity between Vidyādevīs and Śāsanadevīs, not only regarding names, but also attributes, etc.", has come to the conclusion that "the Vidyā-devīs in conception were modelled after the Yakṣinīs", and this "on the ground of the priority of the

Yaksinis as connected in mythology and ritual with the Tirthankaras". It does not seem, however that such a priority can be proved for the  $\mathbf{\hat{S}}$  as a class. leaving aside individual cases. I think, the above synopsis goes, on the contrary, strongly against this theory. For, the list of the Vidyā-devīs of the Svetāmbaras (vide "Nirvāṇakalikā") exactly agrees with that of the Digambaras (vide "Pratistha-sara") not only with regard to the names themselves, but also to their order (deviations being restricted to their attributes), while, on the other hand, the lists of the Sasanadevis (vide the same two works) differ from each other so strikingly both in names and order (not to speak of the attributes) that in fact only three out of the 24 can be recognized at first sight as mutual replica (viz., Nos. 1, 23, and 24). This leads to the conclusion that the common list of the Vidya-devis must go back to the time before the schizma of the Jaina-saigha into Digambaras and Svetāmbaras had occurred, and that it has been handed down unaltered from generation to generation up to this day, while the lists of the names of the Sāsana-devīs, as entities, developed separately in two different directions, incorporating, of course, single items, which represented a common heritage, such as the names of Sāsana-devīs Nos. 1, 23, and 24, as well as those of the pertinent Vidyā-devīs, pointed out above. Significantly enough, even those eight Vidya-devi-names which are common to the list of the 11 taken over by the Svetāmbaras, and the 13 taken over by the Digambaras as Sasana-devi-names, have been apportioned to different Tirthankaras. This accounts for the fact that Vairotya, the thirteenth Vidyā-devī, who alone interests us here. has become associated with the 13th Jina in Digambara. but with the 19th in Svetāmbara theology.

The name of the Vidyā-devī Vairoţyā occurs in the forms of "Vairoţyā"<sup>1</sup> in Sanskrit, and "Vairoţī"<sup>2</sup> as well as "Vaïruțţā"<sup>3</sup> in Prakrit sources. All the texts, so far as they give a description, agree in depicting her as holding a snake, or snakes, in one, or two respectively, of her four hands. The "Nirvāṇakalikā" further describes her as "syāma-varṇā", and mounted on a Boa constrictor ("ajagara-vāhanā"), Sāgaracandra Sūri in his "Śrī-Mantrādhirāja-kalpa" (st. 15)<sup>4</sup> as "payodharābhā" and mounted on a "vihaṅga-rāja", and the likewise Śvetāmbara "Acāradinakara" as "abja-muda-tāra-tuṣāragaurā"<sup>5</sup> and seated on a lion ("simhavāhanā"), while according to the Digambara "Pratiṣṭhā-sāroddhāra", she is "abhra-nīlā" or "haritā"<sup>6</sup> and seated on a lion ("simhagā").

It appears that Bappabhatti Sūri and Šobhana Muni refer to this Vidyā-devī when they eulogize a goddess "Vairotyā" each in the fourth stanza of one of the stutis of their famous, "Caturvimsatikās" (Nos. 18 and 23 resp.), the former describing her as "syāmā" and "nāgāstrapatrā" (*i. e.* "having snakes as weapon and vehicle"), and the latter as "syāma-dehā", as "aviṣama-viṣabhrdbhūṣaṇā" (*i. e.* "having harmless snakes as ornaments), and as "yātā....pārindra-rājam" (*i. e.* "mounted on a king of pythons").

(1) Hemacandra, Abhidhānacintāmaņi, II, st. 154; Nirvāņakalikā, p. 38 Ācāradinakara, as quoted by Bhattacharya.

(2) Pratisthā-sāroddhāra, as quoted by Bhattacharya, p. 175.

(3) Santikara-stava, loc. cit.

(4) J. St. Sand., II, p. 241.

(5) Here in the sense of "shining" as in the expression "gaurānga" as an epithet of Krsna.

(6) Here in the sense of "dark-blue".

(7) Edited by Professor H. R. Kapadia, Agamodaya-Samiti Nos. 53 and 52.

The only serious discrepancy, viz., that re the mount, which according to some sources is a snake. according to others a lion, and according to a third group, a Garuda, can easily be removed by the assumption that the archetypus, to which they all go back in the last instance, contained a word like "pārindra", which may mean a snake as well as a lion, or like "vyāla", which may denote any vicious animal and could refer to a snake as well as to some dangerous quadruped. "Vihanga-rāja", the Garuda, may simply be a misread "bhujanga-raja". Since Vairotyā is anyhow equipped with the snake emblem, the idea that her mount was originally likewise a snake, does not seem to be a far-fetched conclusion. In any case, it means only a supplementary stroke of the brush to complete her picture as that of a doubtless snake-deity.

In this way, the similarity of this Vidyā-devī with the Digambara Sāsana-devī of Vimalanātha of identical name, emblem and mount, is even more perfect than Bhattacharya assumes.<sup>1</sup> As moreover, in the invocation quoted by that scholar, Vimalanātha's Sāsana-devī is actually addressed as a Vidyā-devī ("Vairoțī haritārcyate, om hrīm Vidyā-devī<sup>2</sup>"), no doubts can obviously be raised regarding their original identity. While thus the Digambaras apportioned this Vidyā-devī to Vimalanātha, without changing her original character, the Śvetāmbaras, when associating her with Mallinātha, allowed her snake attributes to fall into oblivion. The only link that still connects this Śvetāmbara goddess with her original home, the snake-world, is apparently the above-mentioned name of "Dharaṇa-priyā" under which Hemacan-

<sup>(1)</sup> Loc. cit., p. 140.

<sup>(2)</sup> Loc. cit., p. 175, note.

#### REMARKS ON THE TEXTS

dra refers to her. Thus, this "kalikāla-sarvajña" is once more vindicated as standing on the firm ground of a very old and original tradition, while the legend of the Prabhāvaka-carita re Vairotyā Devl's direct association with Aryanandila is proved to be nothing but a recent growth of devotional fiction.

The fact that the Vidyā-devī Vairoţyā was indeed imagined to be the consort of Dharaṇa, the king of the snake-demons, is amply testified. Thus, Sobhana Muni gives her the epithet of "Ahīnāgryapatnī". Sāgaracandra Sūri calls her "Bhujagendra-patnī". Both these epithets exactly correspond to the expression "Phaṇīndra-kāntā" of the hymn of Āryānandila, who moreover refers to her as "Dharaṇoraga-daïā", and, even more explicitly, as "Dharaṇimda-padhama-pattī Vaïruțṭā nāma nāgiņī vijjā", which latter phrase, besides, clearly defines her as a "vidyā", *i.e.* "Vidyā-devī".

Yet since the honour of being Dharanendra's favourite queen, in generally known to go to Padmavatī, the Śāsana-devī of Pārsvanātha, and actual female counterpart of Dharanendra, the Sasana-deva of that same Jina, the above epithets obviously bring Vairotvā into collision with Padmāvatī. The question may be raised as to whether both these names, Vairotvā and Padmāvatī, may not be synonyms for one and the same deity. This seems possible from a passage of the "Supāsanāha-cariya" (composed in V.S.1199), which runs: "atthi supasiddha-vijjā vijjāsāhaga-sahassa-naya-calanā mamta-saroruha-sarasī devī Padmāvatī nāma'', and clearly calls Padmāvatī а vidyā, i. e., Vidyā-devī Padmāvatī is, in fact, the main goddess of Jaina Tantric literature. According to an

(1) p. 131, st. 54.

old "Padmāvatī-stotra", ' she is the equivalent of the Sakti of Hindu tantric lore, the Gauri of the Saivas. the Gayatri of the Vedantins, the Prakrti of the Sāmkhyavādins, the Tārā of the Buddhists, the Vajrā of the Kaulikas. From the name "Vajrā" in its Prakrit form "Vaïra", and eventual derivatives, it seems to be but a small step to "Vairotya". The Pādalipta-carita of the Prabhāvaka-carita moreover clearly states that the "Phanindra-kanta Vairotva" was worshipped in a temple of Pārsvanātha at Kosalā as the "Sāsana-devī", and "Aryānandila's" hymn as well as the "Aryanandila-carita" of the Prabhāvaka-carita agree in asserting that this Vairotyā favours the devotees of **Pārs**vanātha with her help.

That in spite of so much semblance of identity, both the names will have to be understood as designating two separate deities, is, however, suggested by the following two pieces of literary evidence:—

- a prayer formula (quoted by Professor H. R. Kapadia in proof of their separateness),<sup>2</sup> in which Dharanendra is described as accompanied by both the goddesses ("Vairotyā-Padmāvatī-devī-yuta"),and
- the second stanza of Aryānandila's hymn, where both are clearly referred to as separate individuals ("Dharaņoraga-daïā devī Paumāvāi ya Vairuțţā").

If the Śvetāmbara poets eulogize Vairotyā as Dharaṇa's "agrya-patnī" and "padhama-pattī", this may be due to the idea that since Vairotyā is the

 <sup>(1) &</sup>quot;Comparative and Critical Study of Mantrasastra," Appendix
 V (p. 28), st. 20.

<sup>(2)</sup> Edition of Sobhana's "Caturvimsatika", l. l. p., 279, note 3.

\$asanadevī of the 19th Jina, she must naturally be senior to Padmāvatī, the \$asanadevī of the 23rd. (That, for the matter of that, she must also be senior to her husband by millions of years, is of no consequence, in view of the Jaina belief in the eternal youth of their deities.)

The above expressions leave no doubt about the fact that anyhow Vairotyā is considered to be an "Agramahisi" of the Indra Dharana of the Nāga-kumāras. The same has been stated with regard to her co-wife Padmāvatī.<sup>1</sup> Professor Kapadia, who has stressed this idea, has expressed his disappointment on not finding: the name of either of these two queens in the list of the names of Dharanendra's "Agramahisis" given in the Sacred Books.<sup>2</sup> Besides the Bhagavatī (Vihāyapannatti), which he quotes (X,5, Sūtra 406), the Jñātādharmakathānga (Nāyādhammakahāo) likewise (II,1) contains that list, which runs as under: (1) Alā or Ilā, (2) Sakkā or Sukkā, (3) Sakrā or Sadārā, (4) Sodāmiņi, (5) Imdā, (6) Ghanavijjuyā. To solve this difficulty, it might be assumed that either the names Vairotyā and Padmāvatī have developed from original epithets into proper names, or that those six names are not genuine proper names, but rather appellative nouns, designating the rank or "office" of these six goddesses, the incumbents of which go on changing, just as is the case with the various "Indras" of the Jaina Pantheon: the word "Indra" being nothing but an office designation either.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Senapraéna II, Praéna 112, p. 31.

<sup>(2)</sup> Edition of Śobhana's Caturvimśatikā, l. l., p. 278 f.

<sup>(3)</sup> Thus, the "Dharanendra" who presented Nami and Vinami with 48,000 Vidyās, during the life-time of the first Tirthankara, is of course assumed to be a different individual from the sāsana-deva of the 23rd Tirthankara, for the latter "Dharanendra"'s soul was still incarnated in a snake when Pārśvanātha was Prince of Kāsī, and became incarnated as god only subsequently.

Padmāvatī's being referred to as a "Vidyā" in the above passage, is nothing out of the ordinary in view of her being the central figure of Jaina Tantrasāstra, and, as such, subject to the moods and whims of the "ārādhaka", who considers it as his privilege to invest his "Sakti" with whatever attributes his desire may drive at, not hesitating to address her as Lakṣmī, Ambikā, Tripurāsundarī, Kālī, Cakresvarī, Sarasvatī, or even Kuṇḍaliņī.<sup>1</sup> Besides, "Padmāvatī" may in fact originally have been a "Vidyādevī", *i. e.*, one of the original Vidyādhara-vidyās.

On what basis the Prabhāvaka-carita (V, st. 11) mentions Vairotyā as the "Śāsana-devatā" in Pārsvanātha's temple, is not clear. The poet may have done so, thinking of her as one of the consorts of Dharaṇa, the Śāsanadeva, or he may have used the word "Śāsanadevatā" not in the technical sense of "divine attendant on a particular Jina", but in a more general sense of "divine devotee".

Anyhow, the goddess which the poet of our present "Vīra-stuti" invokes under the name of Vairotyā, is most decidedly the divinity whom later Śvetāmbara literature would define as the 13th "Vidyādevī". Her martial qualities, suggested in her representations and descriptions by the sword and shield in two of her hands, are clearly expressed in the first two lines, while her character as a snake goddess is unambiguously represented by the writhing snakes with which our poet describes her as tying her matted locks.

The MS. in which this stuti is handed down, belongs to Muni Srī Nyāyasāgaraji (disciple of Paņyāsa Śrī Candrasīgara Gaņi), with whose permission the

<sup>(1)</sup> M. Bh. Jhaveri, l. c. p. 63 and 315.

<sup>82</sup> 

stuti is published. It is a single leaf, containing 5 stutis in Sanskrit and Gujarati, without a colophon. Paper and ink are ordinary. The writing is in 17 lines of rather modern Devanāgarī. The MS. appears to be at the utmost 100 years old. Our stuti is the first text, its end being marked by the legend "sfa  $s\hat{n}$  $a\hat{n}$  end m. The wording is very faulty, necessitating various emendations, as the apparatus shows. To the best of my knowledge, the stuti has not been published before.

#### 7. THE MAHAVIRA-STUTI.

This poem belongs to the same category of hymns as the preceding one.

It proclaims to be a creation of  $\overline{A}c\overline{a}rya$  Jinapati Sūri, the 46th pontiff of the Kharatara Gaccha, whose name is contained in the last stanza, in a slesa characteristic of the former<sup>1</sup>, and whose Guru, Acārya Jinacandra Sūri, is likewise alluded to, in the third stanza.

Jinapati Suri<sup>2</sup> was born in V. S. 1210, ordained in 1218, invested with the Acārya title in 1223, and died in 1277. He is known not only as an influential pontiff and the Guru of celebrities like Acārya Jinesvara Sūri (his successor), Upādhyāya Jinapāla, Vācaka Sūraprabha, Pūrņabhadra Gaņi, and Sumati Gaņi, but also as a hymnist and author of commentaries. The following works are from his hand:

(1) Caturvimsati-Jina-stavana, published,3

(3) J. St. S. I., p. 206-209.

<sup>(1)</sup> Cp. the conclusions of the Cintamani-Parśvanātha-stotra and the Caturvimśati-Jina-stava, in Jaina-stotra-sandoha II, p. 47, and I, p. 209.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide J. G. K. II, p. 677, and J. St. S. I, Prastāvanā p. 35, and II, Prastāvanā p. 31.

- (2) Cintāmaņi-Pārsvanātha-stava, likewise published,<sup>1</sup>
- (3) Antariksa-Pārsva-stava, not found as yet,
- (4) Tirthamālā, likewise not found as yet,
- (5) Vidhiprabodha-vādasthala (Prabodhodayavādasthala), available in MSS.,<sup>2</sup>
- (6) Brhat-tīkā to Jinavallabha's Sanghapattakaprakaraņa, published,<sup>3</sup>
- (7) Vivarana to Jinesvara Sūri's Paũcalingī, published,<sup>4</sup> and
- (8) Mahāvīra-stuti, published and mentioned for the first time in this volume.

The Mahāvīra-stuti consists of four Mālinī stanzas, the first of which eulogizes Mahāvīra, while the fourth invokes the "Yakṣa Sarvānu".

The name "Sarvānu" looks like a sanskritization of Prakrit "Savvāņa", which occurs in the "Vihāyapaṇṇatti", the "Bhagavatī" of the Jainas (Śataka III, Uddeia 7, Sūtra 168, p. 200), in the description of the retinue of Vesamaṇa (Sanskrit "Vaisramaṇa" or "Kubera"), one of the four Lokapālas' or frontier-guard officers of Śakra, the Indra of the southern part of the first Heaven "Saudharma". There, "Savvāṇa" is mentioned along with a number of other godlings, such as Māṇibhadra and Pūrṇabhadra, known to belong to the "Yaksa" sub-class of the "Vyantaras", one of the four categories of gods. According to that Sūtra, all those deities were favoured by Vesamaṇa as if they were his own offspring ("ahāvaccābhinnāyā", *i. e.*,

(5) Tatty. IV, 4 and commentaries.

<sup>(1)</sup> J. St. S. II, p. 44-47.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide Jinaratnakośa.

<sup>(3) 1.1.</sup> 

<sup>(4) 1.</sup> l.

("yathāpatyābhijñātāh"): a relationship which seems in order, the Yakṣas being genii of treasure, just as Vesamaṇa is the god of wealth.

This "Sarvānu" may be identical with the "Yakṣa Sarvānubhūti" whom Acārya Bālacandra, Hemcandra's notorious rather than famous, disciple, glorifies in the fourth stanza of his sparklingly beautiful stuti "Snātasya", which forms part of the Pākṣika Pratikramaṇa-sūtra of the Tapā Gaccha. There, the Yakṣa is described as mounted on a ruttish elephant of cloud-colour with curved tusks and tingling bells, as granting the desires of the devotee, and as manifesting himself in any shape at will.

Our "Mahāvīra-stuti" is preserved in the same MS. as the previous one, forming the second text, marked by the legend: "इति महावीरस्तुति: ॥"

#### 8. THE SIMANDHARA-SVAMI-STAVANA.

This hymn is addressed to the Tirthankara Simandhara, one of the twenty "Viharamana" (vide Introduction), who is believed to be wandering about at present in the portion "Puskaravara-Vijaya" of Purvavideha, the eastern half of the distant world Mahāvideha, preaching the Jaina religion. As the hymn recalls to the reader's mind, he is believed to have been born there in the city of Pundarikini, during the interval between Kunthu, the 17th, and Ara, the 18th Tirthankaras of the last Caturvinisatika of Bharata-ksetra, *i. e.*, uncountable years ago, to have abandoned his royal rank and possessions and become an ascetic in the interval between Muni Suvrata, the 20th, and Nami, the 21st Jinas, and to have subsequently attained the status of a Kevalin or omniscient saint (st. 16 f.). He is predestined to reach final

Salvation after a long activity as a Tirthankara, in a far remote future, viz., at the time when Udaya, the 7th Jina of the coming Utsarpini of Bharata-kṣetra, will have entered Nirvāna. The poet expresses his fervent desire that in his next incarnation, he may be re-born himself in Mahāvideha, sit at the feet of the Lord Sīmandhara, and be allowed to listen to his sermons, to bow to him, to sing his praise, and perhaps even to become a monk in the Lord's retinue, and attain omniscience and subsequent Salvation in a very near future. (st. 14 f.).

To the reader who tries to define the language of the hymn, a number of conspicuously archaic-looking Prakrit forms<sup>1</sup> obtrude themselves at the first glance, such as e. g., namira, vimda, vamdiya, payam, kitti, lakkhana, vihamdano, sattame, Jina, jhāna, dhanuha, jamma, micchatta, harisa, damsana, rajja, māhappa, khittammi, hīnassa, dīnassa, kunai, phiriūna, tiriyattane. Forms like nihi, mahura, savvahā, bohamkaro, would brand it as "Jaina-Prakrit".

Other forms, however, are developed beyond the Prakrit stage, showing clear characteristics of Gaurjara Apabhramsa.<sup>2</sup> Such forms are: nouns of the a-declension with -aü in the Nom. sg. masc., as divasaü, lagaü, jugaü, phaliyaü, miliyaü; with -aha in the Gen. sg., as  $b\bar{i}jaha$ ; with -i in the Loc. sg., as sari, sihari, gayaṇi, vasi; nouns of the i- and u-declensions with -i and -u in the Nom. sg. masc., as sāmi, taru, guru; nouns of the

<sup>(1)</sup> Vide B. G. Bhandarkar, "Wilson Philological Lectures on Sanskrit and the Derived Languages," Bombay, 1914, p. 81 ff.; N. B. Divatia, "Gujarati Language and Literature", Bombay, 1921 and 1932.; Keśavarāmā Kāśirāma Sāstrī, "Apaņā Kavio, Ahmedabad, 1942 (Gujarat Vernacular Society), I.

<sup>(2)</sup> Vide Bhandarkar, 1.1., p. 108 ff. and Keiavarāma Šāstrī, 1.1., p. 78 ff.

 $\bar{a}$ - and  $\bar{i}$ -declensions with  $-\bar{a}$ ,  $-\bar{i}$ , and  $-\bar{i}$  in the Acc. sg., as karuṇā, vāṇī, siri; pronominal forms like haüm, mūm, and mū in the First Person sg., and tumhaji in the Second Person sg. (with suffix of emphasis); verbal forms like vīṇavaum, namaum, lagaum, in the First Person sg. Ind. Pres.; thuṇaha do. in pl.,; rāṣi, kari, tāri in the Second Person sg. Imp.; nisuṇiso, paṇamiso, gāyaso, pāmiso, in the First Person sg. Fut.; hoisii in the Third Person sg. Fut.; Absolutiva like older karavi, pariharavi, and more recent joḍi, kari, suṇi; as well as numerous endingless case forms like hīṇa, nayara, jasa, vayaṇa, nāma, rūva, poa.<sup>1</sup>

Some forms can even be recognized as bearing the stamp of rather a late stage of Gaurjara Apabhramsa, if not of Gujarati. To this category belong the above-mentioned bijaha for older bijahu,<sup>2</sup> thunaha for thunahu, and i-absolutiva like kari, jodi, besides chaum for acchaum (Modern Gujarati chum),<sup>3</sup> the Nom. sg. neutre te, hiva<sup>4</sup> (Sanskrit adhunā, Modern Gujarati have),<sup>5</sup> eha pari (corresponding to Sanskrit etad+prakare, Modern Gujarati e + per),<sup>6</sup> forms with final *i* changed to e' as sampajjae, gajjae, dippae, viharae (all four verbs being used in the Parasmaipada only, in Prakrit), and several cases of consonant aggregates being replaced by single consonants with or without lengthening of the preceding vowel,<sup>8</sup> as *lāgi*, Prakrit *laggi*, Sanskrit *lagna*), tājiu (Prakrit tajjiya, Sanskrit tarjita), vinavaum

- (1) Keśavarāma p. 175, 181, 265.
- (2) 1.1., p. 279.
- (3) Divatia I, p. 368; II, p. 55 ff.
- (4) L. 1., p. 30; Keśaavarāma p. 264.
- (5) Divatia, I, p. 333 and 378; Keśvarāma p. 159.
- (6) Divatia, I, p. 129 ff.; II, p. 28.
- (7) Divatia, II, p. 77.
- (8) Divatia I, p. 385 and 396 ff.; Keśavarāma p. 158, 221, 232, 236, 244.

(Prakrit viņņavemi, Sanskrit vijnāpayāmi), at the side of lagaum, jugaum.

Forms like the latter ones are rare in, if not alien to, even later Apabhramsa, such as represented by the Apabhramsa portions of Somaprabha Sūri's Kumārapāla-pratibodha<sup>1</sup> (not to speak of the earlier Bhaviyasattakahā<sup>2</sup> and the Harivamsa-purāna by Dhanapāla, or of the Vasudevahindi),<sup>3</sup> and characterize the earlier stages of Middle Gujarati rather than Apabhramsa, gaining more and more ground in Modern Gujarati.

All those phonological phenomena, it is true, cannot be ascribed with certainty to the poet himself, as for some of them (just as for occasional "ya-śruti"), clerical influence might be responsible. Yet a number of them are testified as doubtlessly genuine by metre, rhyme, as well as by the persistency of their occurrence. On this basis, the language of the poem can safely be defined as being either very late Gaurjara Apabhramsa, or, with more probability, early Middle Gujarati.

The mixture of archaic and recent forms, the numerous tatsamas, such as nikara, tāta, sampūraka, durita, tāraka, nāyaka, pāpa, tāpāpaha, sādara, rddhikara, kathina, hatha, ghata, lobha, rāga, bhoga, indra, pada, gati, mati, abhinava, mada, bodha, dāyaka,<sup>4</sup> the frequent ignoring of Sandhi-rules,<sup>5</sup> even in compounds, such as in namira-sura-asura, sāra-upayāra, viṣaya-viṣama-amiya-bhara, Nami-amtaram, all these peculiarities

(4) Keśavarāma, p. 158, 181, 189, 221, 232, 236, and 265.

<sup>(1)</sup> V. S. 1241.

<sup>(2)</sup> Pre-Hemacandra.

<sup>(3)</sup> Probably earlier than 7th century (V. S.) xide M. D. Desai, Short History para 203.

<sup>(5)</sup> General tendency of Middle Gujarati, stabilised in the modern anguage.

go to stamp the language of our hymn as true to type. So does the word *alajayā* (st. 20), if taken as a loanword from Arabic-Persian, equivalent<sup>1</sup> to Modern Gujarati *alijahām*, meaning "august", and being here an attribute of the Jina.<sup>2</sup>

Tadbhava-like, hybrid formations, such as padiyau, cadiyau, sāhaga, moaga, jagi, jaga-jantu, vaṇa-rāji, kammāṇi, saṁsiddhae, upayāra, jāgaramaṇā, haratāra, along with genuine Prakrit forms such as mentioned above, as well as Sanskritisms, like mama, karavāṇi (for karavāni, First Person sg. Imp.), dehi, gamī, obviously represent attempts of the poet to express himself in archaic style, in order to enhance the dignity and solemnness of his eulogy. Nominal and verbal forms in -o and -e (for -i and -u) may also fall under this category of phenomena, though, on the other hand, they may also be recent development, representing cases of "contracted svara-yugma",<sup>3</sup> so typical of Middle and Modern Gujarati.

The language of the interlinear commentary ("Țabbā") is far more developed, and can be defined as Modern Gujarati of rather an early type, to judge from formations like  $en\bar{s}$  parim, dihādau (Modern Gujarati dahādo), mū and mūhanai (Modern Gujarati mane), atibhāgu (modern atibhāmgyo), lāgaü (modern lāgyo), lādhi (modern lādhī), hum gāyasum (modern hum gāīs), hum joyusu (modern hum joīs).

Out of the 21 stanzas of the present hymn, the first 20 are in a metre of twenty matras in each of its

(3) Keśavarāma, p. 265 and 278, Divatia II, p. 69 ff.

<sup>(1)</sup> Keśavarāma, p. 232.

<sup>(2)</sup> The commentator takes the word as an equivalent of Prakrit *alajja*, "shameless", and connects it as an apposation with *majha*, "me" (Dat. sg.), which however does not seem satisfactory.

four lines with caesura after the tenth mātrā and paired end-rhyme: the metre which Hemacandra in his "Chando'nusāsana" (IV, 8; Vrtti p. 32 a) designates as "Avalī" (mātrās 6+4+4+4+2).<sup>1</sup> The last stanza is in Harigīta, likewise with paired end-rhyme.

As regards his own identity, the poet says in st. 20: "कम्मकरु विणयपरु जोडि कर वीनवुं ", which passage the commentator explains in the following way: " हुं ताहरउ दास विनयपर हुंतउ हाथ जोडी वीनती करउं ". According to this interpretation, the poet would have remained anonymous. The interpretation is. itself, unobjectionable, especially since the exin pression "vinayaparu" (here sg.) is reflected by the similar "sādaraparā" (st. 7 : there pl.). Still, it appears likely that instead of "vinayaparu", the archetypus read "Vinayapahu", and thus contained the actual name of the poet (with the Genitive sg. ending -u, typical of Apabhramsa). Vinayapaha, generally known by his sanskritized name Vinayaprabha Sūri, was a famous Jainācārya and poet of the very period to which our poem belongs, who composed in the very same language.<sup>4</sup> He was a direct disciple of the well-known Saint and poet "Dada" Jinakusala Sūri of the Kharatara Gaccha, was ordained as a monk in V. S. 1382 and raised to the rank of an Upādhyāya between V. S. 1394 and 1412. His famous "Gautama-Rāsa", composed in V. S. 1412 at Cambay, is even now so popular that it forms part of the standing repertoire of recitation pieces of Śvetāmbara Sādhus and Sādhvīs. Among his further

(2) अ. भ. नाहटा, "दादा श्री जिनकुशल सूरि", कलकत्ता वि. सं. १९९६; मो. द. देशाई, "जैन गुर्जर कविओ", प्रथम भाग, ई. स. १९२६, पृ. १५, त्रीजो भाग ष्. ४१६; केशवराम काशीराम शास्त्री, पृ. २७३.

<sup>(1)</sup> From the stand-point of Sanskrit prosody, it would be "Matta", but for some minute deviations.

creations are five hymns in honour of various Jinas, which are of approximately the same length (19-29 stanzas), and, to judge from the scanty specimina reproduced by Mr. Nahta,<sup>1</sup> of the same linguistic and poetical qualities as our hymn. Not only thus much, but in his Mahāvīra-stavana of 24 stanzas, the same metre seems to have been used in which the 20 first stanzas of the former are composed. In depth of religious sentiment, gracefulness of diction, and melodiousness of flow, our hymn is not inferior to the Gautamarāsa either.

It is, therefore, quite possible that our hymn may another creation of this poet, whose represent authorship of the Gautama-rasa too was long unknown, owing to a similar mutilation of that part of the text which contained the name, till older Mss. with a more authentic text were found, and the truth revealed with their help. In the present case, the conjectured "Vinayapahu" makes smoother reading from the standpoint of the tonic qualities, and gives more aesthetic satisfaction from that of the train of ideas than the "vinayaparu" of our MS., by harmoniously gratifying the curiosity regarding the poet's identity, which folded hands, I, your servant....pray", arouse in the reader.

The manuscript from which this hymn is being published was kindly placed at my disposal by Upādhyāyaji Śrī Labhimuniji of the Kharatara Gaccha, from the Bhandar of the Śāntinātha Temple of Ujjain. It consists of six leaves of country paper (4/10), bearing on the margin the figures 3-8. Each leaf has four lines

(1) नाहटा पृ. ६०.

of bold "padimātrā" Devanāgarī characters of archaic type, in which the hymn is recorded, with an interlinear commentary written above the text in smaller letters. all in black ink. The cyphers, colophons of the original hymn as well as of the text which preceded the latter. and of which only the final passage is preserved, and the vertical marginal lines are in red, the marginal lines being double, and the interstices filled with yellow pigment. The right and left margins moreover are decorated with multicoloured floral designs, considerably reduced by frequent handling, owing to which even the original marginal cyphers of pageing have partially disappeared, being subsequently over-written by fresh ones. The yellow pigment used for corrections, has likewise become so much worn off by friction, and is so much faded that the corrected letters are clearly discernible below it. From all this it seems that the MS. must be fairly old.

The first two leaves obviously contained the wellknown and often published "Bharatesvara-sajjhāi" (part of the Tapā Gaccha Liturgy), part of the last stanza of which appears on the top of the first leaf numbered as the third.<sup>1</sup> Our hymn is the last text of the MS. and bears the following colophon: "इति श्रीसीमंघर-स्वामिस्तवनं ॥ समाप्तं ॥ छ ॥" The interlinear commentary has the colophon: "इति श्रीसीमंघरस्वामिस्तवनावचूरि समाप्ता ॥". More than half of the last page, left blank by the copyist, is filled with meaningless scribblings from later hands.

To the best of my knowledge, the hymn has never been printed nor cited before, nor does there seem to exist any further MS. of the same.

(1) "उ। अज्जवि विज्जइ जासिं। जस पडहो तिहुअणे सयले ॥१३॥ इति महातस महासती कुल समाप्तं ॥छ॥″

## THE TEXTS

# (१) श्रीमुनिसुव्रत-स्वामि-स्तवनम्

# श्रीज्ञानस।गरस्ररि-कृतम्

श्रीकैवल्यावगमविदिताशेषवस्तुस्वभाव-भावद्वेषिप्रमथनपटुं दोषनिर्मुक्तवाचम् । भक्तिप्रहन्तिभुवननतं सुव्रत श्रीजिनाहं देव स्तोष्ये भृगुपुरमहीमौलिमौले भवन्तम् ॥१॥ प्रज्ञोत्कर्षाधिगतसकल्रद्वादशाङ्गार्थसार्थे-रीशांचके न कविवृषभैर्थां स्तुतिं ते विधातुम् । मादृक्षः किं निबिडजडिमा तद्विधौ स्यात्समर्थो बालः किं वा कलयति निजाशक्तिशक्त्योर्विभागम् ॥२॥ आद्ये भवे त्वमभवः शिवकेतुभूमी-शः सुप्रतिष्ठनगरे; सुमना दितीये। सौधर्मगो; ऽवरपुरेऽथ कुबेरदत्त-स्तस्माजनतीयदिति दैवतपुंगवोऽभूः ॥३॥ श्रीवज्रकुण्डलन्पो नगरेऽजनिष्ट पौराणनाम्न्यथ च पञ्चमकरुपवासी । श्रीवर्मभूपतिरुदग्रबलस्तु चम्पा-स्वामी; विमानमपराजितमागमस्त्वम् ॥४॥

च्युत्वा ततो हरिकुले विपुलेऽवतीणः श्रीमत्सुमित्रनृपवंशविशेषकस्त्वम् । पद्मात्मजः प्रवरराजग्रहे; गरीयः पुण्यास्पदं जनिमहं तव तेनुरिन्दाः ॥ ५ ॥ आयुस्त्रिंश्र श्रिभुवनविभो वत्सराणां सहस्रा देहोच्चत्त्वं तव जिनपते विंशतिः कार्मुकाणि । आद्यज्ञानत्रयपरिगतः इयामवर्णाभिरामो राजत्वं ते मगधविषयं कूर्म्मरूक्ष्मा व्यधास्त्वम् ॥ ६ ॥ उत्मुज्यातः पुरधनमहाराज्यराष्ट्रादि सर्वं प्रवज्य सागधिगतमनःपर्ययज्ञानशाली । हत्वा मोहाद्यरिकुलबलं सारखादैस्तपोभि-र्लोकालोकाकलनकुशलं कैवलं लेभिषे त्वम् ॥ ७ ॥ देहः स्वेदाममलविकलोऽतुल्यरूपः सुगन्धः ्रवासः पङ्केरुहपरिमलुः प्रोज्ज्वले मांसरक्ते । चर्मीक्षाणामविषयमिहाहारनीहारकृत्यं चत्वारोऽमी लसदतिशया जःमनस्ते सहोत्थाः ॥ ८ ॥ ्देवादीनां समवसरणे संस्थितिः कोटिकोटे-वणि तिर्यग्नरसुरसदोबोधिदानप्रवीणा । अर्कज्योतिर्विजयि विमलं देव भामण्डलं ते मौलेः प्रष्ठे स्फ़रति जगतो बाढमाइचर्यकारि ॥ ९ ॥ एकैकस्यां दिशि शतमितकोशमध्ये जनानां न स्युर्मारिभुवनभयदा स्वस्य चकोत्थभीतिः । दुर्भिक्षोग्रामयभरमहावृष्ट्यवृष्टीतिवैरं स्वामिन, कर्मक्षयसमुदिता एवमेकाद्शैते ॥ १० ॥ 94

Shree Sudharmaswami Gyanbhandar-Umara, Surat

देते विश्वेश्वर सुरकृता विंशतिर्धेकहीना ॥१३॥ पन्न्वत्रिशद्वरतरगुणा वाचि संस्कारवृत्तौ-दात्त्याद्यास्ते मनसि परमः कोऽप्युदासीनभावः । स्वामिन्नष्टोत्तरदशशती बाद्यसल्रुक्षणानां सत्त्वादीनां वपुषि तु तथानन्त्यमाभ्यन्तराणाम् ॥१९॥ दीक्षाज्ञाने द्यसितसितयोः पक्षयोः फाल्गुनस्य द्वादश्योस्ते; च्यवनमथ च श्रावणे पूर्णिमस्याम् । ज्येष्ठेऽष्टम्यां जनुरिन शितौ; मुक्तिलामो नवम्या-मासन्विश्वयप्रमदविधयेऽमूनि कल्त्याणकानि ॥१५॥ आसर्वार्थे जिन तनुमतामत्र संस्थो व्यपास्यन् संख्यातीतानपि च युगपत्संशयानेकवाचा । धर्म स्मात्थ त्रिभुवनगुरो पर्षदां द्वादशाना-मग्लान्या द्विः प्रतिदिनमहो विष्टपानुग्रहस्ते ॥१६॥ 95

मिष्टो वातः सकल्ल्शकुना दक्षिणावर्त्तचाराः ॥१२॥ वर्षं गन्धप्रवरपयसामिन्द्रियार्थानुकूल्यं जानूत्सेधः कुसुमनिचयः केशरोमाद्यवृद्धिः । पार्श्वे सेवाविहितहृदया देवकोटिर्जेघन्या-

चातूरूप्यं तरुवरनतिर्न्यङ्मुखा कण्टकाली वृक्षोऽशोकः समवसृतिभूव्यापकस्तापहर्चा । उच्चैर्नादो ध्वनति गगने सर्वतो दुन्दुभीना-मिष्टो बातः सकल्शकता दक्षिणावर्त्तचाराः ॥१२॥

भास्वत्सिंहासनमनुपमं पादपीठेन युक्तं । भौढच्छत्रत्रयमुरुतरश्रीकरत्नध्वर्जोऽन्हि-न्यासे चामीकरनवपयोजानि दीपत्रिवपी ॥११॥

आकाशे ते रुचिरचमरश्रेणयो धर्मचकं

#### THE TEXTS

मध्ये कोरिण्टकवनवने<sup>1</sup> त्वं प्रतिष्ठानतोऽत्रा-गत्यैकस्यां सपदि रजनौ योजनानां तु षष्टिम् । यागे जोह्रयितहरिवरं बोधयित्वा स्वमित्रं स्वामिन् धर्मेऽनशनविधिनाऽजीगमः स्वर्गलोकम् ॥१७ ॥

तद्गन्धर्वामरविरचिते श्रीमदश्वावबोधे तीर्थेऽस्मिित्त्वच्चरणयुगलीपाविते साधुदत्तम् । शुश्रावैका वटशबल्का म्लेच्छबाणेन विद्धा निःशेषांहोहरणनिपुणं श्रीनमस्कारमन्त्रम् ॥ १८॥

मृत्वा साऽभूत्सुकृतवशतः सिंहलेशस्य पुत्री बुद्धा भूयोऽपि च भृगुपुरेऽभ्योक्ततन्मन्त्रमाव्य । भीता पापात्कुगतिजनितात्सप्तशत्या तरण्डै-रेत्योद्देधे जिनगृहमिह त्वत्पदद्दन्द्वपूतम् ॥१९॥

त्वत्पूजायां भृशमवहिता<sup>°</sup> ब्रह्मचर्यादिपुण्या-न्यातन्वाना त्रिदशरमणीशानकल्पे बभूव । सम्यग्भावातिशयविहिता पर्शुपास्तिस्त्वदीया किं किं दत्ते न सुखमतुरुं कल्पवल्लीव पुंसाम् ॥ २०॥

यद्बुध्यन्ते प्रवरवचनाकर्णनात्पाणिवर्गा आरचर्यं तत्तव न हि जगद्बोधदायिञ्जिनेन्द्र । ब्राम्यन्मीनरचरमजरुत्रौ कोऽपि कर्मानुभावा-ज्जैनाकारं झषमपि समालोक्य बुद्धस्तु चित्रम् ॥२१॥

(1) Marginal gloss: पूर्वमियमभिधा वभूव ।

(2) Marginal gloss: श्रावकत्वे ।

#### THE TEXTS

यद्वत्पूर्णं पृथुतरनदे संचरनिन्दुबिम्बं कुर्मोऽपश्यत्पवनदलितोहामसेवालबन्धे । कर्मोऽत्क्रष्टस्थितिविघटनेऽनन्तकालात्तथाहं त्वामद्राक्षं जिन पुनरयं भाग्यलभ्यो हि योगः ॥२२॥ आज्ञायां ते विमुखमनसां मुरिकमीवृतानां भयोऽपि त्वं भवसि भविनां नाथ दृष्टोऽप्यदृष्टः । आयर्वेदी व्यपनयति किं रोगमुग्रं जनानां निर्बद्धीनामवगणयतामुक्तभैषज्यजातम् ॥२३॥ दःखानन्त्यं त्रिजगति मया योनिलक्षेषु सेहे भ्रामं भ्रामं प्रतिपदमविश्रान्ति धर्मं विना ते । कि पाथेयं दृढतरमते दीर्भमध्वानमाप्तः स्यादध्वन्यः क्वचिदपि सुखी क्षुत्पिपासाभिभूतः ॥२४॥ मिथ्यात्वाद्यैर्बह्भवभवाभ्यासपुष्टैः प्रमादैः संसारान्तर्न सुखकणिकाः काश्चनापं कदापि । सञ्जायन्ते न खलु निहितैः कोद्रवैः क्षेत्रमध्ये शालिस्तम्बा रुचिरकणिशश्रेणिसम्पत्तिमन्तः ॥२५॥ मोक्षोपायं भगवद्धदितं भावतो ये प्रपनाः प्रत्यासन्नीभवति भविनां निवृतिर्नाथ तेषाम् । पारे पाथोनिधिगुरुतराच्छिद्रबोहित्थमध्या-सीना केचिजिंगमिषुजनाः स्युने गन्तुं समर्थाः ॥२६॥ देवानादिर्निखिल्लभविनां कर्मराशिस्त्वदुक्ता ऽनुष्ठानस्याभ्यसनविधिना द्राग्दनीध्वस्यतेऽसौ । स्वर्णानाना भवति न हि किं वहियोगेन सद्यो sलोलीभावस्थितिरपि मलो मार्त्तिकः सर्व एव ॥२७॥

च्चे धर्मे नवशिवगतिं प्रापुरन्तर्मुहत्तति क्लेशैर्भुक्ता भविकनिवहाः साम्यपीयूषतुष्टाः । गेहस्यान्तर्भवति तिमिराकीर्णवस्तुपलम्भः कि नो पुंसां विलसति सुखात्सर्वतो दीप्रदीपे ॥२८॥ अन्येऽध्वन्याः स्वमतनिरता वर्षकोटीतपोभिः क्लिश्यत्कायाः शिवपदमगुर्न त्वदाज्ञाविहीनाः । किं जात्यन्धा अभिमतपुरप्रापणेऽहं भवेयु-र्भ्राम्यन्तोऽपि प्रसभर्माभेतो देव जङ्घाबलेन ॥२९॥ संसत्यन्तर्भ्रमिभवमहातापनिर्वापहेतोः शिश्रायासौ जनसमुदयः शासनं तावकीनम् । नो छीयन्ते किमु पथगतं शार्वछं पान्थसार्था नो के ऽशोकाभिधवरतरुं म्रीष्मभीष्मार्कतप्ताः ॥३०॥ त्वत्त्याद्वादेऽखिलनयमये विश्वलब्धप्रतिष्ठे स्फूर्ज्जत्युच्चैः परमतगणा भान्ति नो लेशतोऽपि । तेजःपञ्जे प्रसर्रात दिवा भानवीये ऽथवा किं द्योतन्ते ऽन्तर्धरणिवऌयं क्षद्रखद्योतपोताः ॥३१॥ रागद्वेषौ विरुजत इतो हन्ति कामः प्रकामं मोहोऽत्यर्थं तुदति सततं चैकतः शत्रुवन्माम् । त्रायस्वातस्त्र भुवनपते भीतभीतोऽहमद्य त्वत्पादाब्जं शरणमगमं संश्रितानां शरण्यम् ॥३२॥ पारावारोत्तरणमनसो मानवा यानपात्रं मार्गभ्रष्टा अभिरुचितभूप्रापकं सार्थवाहम् । नानाव्याधिव्यथितवपुषः प्राप्य वैद्याधिरांज मोमुद्यन्ते जिनवर यथा पेप्रियेऽहं तथा त्वाम् ॥३३॥

#### THE TEXTS

स्वामिन्दन्ताबरूघनघटा उत्कटा वाजिघटुटाः स्थाममौढा विकटसभटाः स्यन्दनाइचाभिरामाः। भव्यं द्रव्यं कनकरजतं भूरिमाणिक्यमुक्ता-राशिर्न स्युस्तव मतमृते दुर्गतित्राणहेतोः ॥३४॥ कामाध्मातः प्रवणमनसा विश्ववन्द्यान पादां-रचके वाचा विविधविकथा न स्तुतिस्ते गुणानाम् । पापं कर्म व्यरचि वपुषा पर्युपास्टिर्मया नो ही जन्मोदग्विफलमगमं देव दुर्दैवयोगात् ॥३५॥ पुष्पैर्गन्धैर्बहुपरिमलैरक्षतेर्धूपदीपैः सत्रैर्वाद्यैः शुभफलगणैर्वारिसम्पूर्णपात्रैः । कुर्वाणास्ते जगदधिपतेरचनामष्टभेदां सर्वाशंसारहितमतयो विश्ववन्द्या भवन्ति ॥३६॥ एतत्तीर्थं प्रवरमहिमं श्रीमदश्वावबोध त्वत्पादाम्भोरुहपरिचयप्राप्तपाविच्यभावम् । तुष्णाच्छेदं मलविशरणं सर्वदाहोपशान्ति कुर्यात्केषां न हि मुनिपते देव भव्याङ्गभाजाम् ॥३७॥ नरयत्यंहो जिन शक्कनिकाश्रोविहाराभिधान-मासादेनाश्रिततनुमतामत्र संस्थेन दूरे । माकारेऽन्तर्गरुपरिवृढाधिष्ठिते समतिष्ठे चौराः कौश अपि विदधते मोषमावासिनां नो ॥३८॥ धन्यो लोको भृगुपुरगतः कस्य पुण्यात्मनोऽपि इलाघास्थानं न भवति विभो प्रत्यहं यः प्रभाते । उत्थाय त्वच्चरणयुगरोपास्तिमस्तान्यकृत्य-रचकाणः स्वं सृजति सफलं मानुषं जन्म लब्धम् ॥३९॥ 99

Shree Sudharmaswami Gyanbhandar-Umara, Surat

www.umaragyanbhandar.com

तथा यौगं योगं निरुपमतपोजापविधिभि-स्त्वमभ्यासीर्व्यासीत्त्वयि स हि यथा कर्ममलहत् । धराद्याः षट्कायाः षडपि ऋतवः पञ्चविषया-स्तवेतन्माहात्म्याज्ञिन यदनुकूऌत्वमगमन् ॥४॥

100

विभो दायं दायं भवसुखमभीष्टं शिवसुखं पदत्से वात्सल्याज्जिन निजपदोपास्तिसजुषाम् । प्रसिद्धो नीरागस्तदपि विजयेथास्त्वमथवा न माद्दक्षैरुक्षं विरुसितमहो दैवतमिदम् ॥३॥

न कीर्त्ती सम्प्रीतिर्न हि विदुरतायाः प्रकटनं न चातुच्छा वाञ्छा विषयजसुखे न व्यसनिता । प्रयुङक्ते मां स्तोत्रे जगदधिपतेरेव भवतः परं सवर्गभीष्टप्रथननिपुणं नाथ सहजम् ॥२॥

श्रीमन्तमादीश्वरमादरेण तं स्तुवे परात्मानमनन्तसंविदम् । यः साम्पतं देवकुलाश्रमे सतां हितार्प्पणेर्दर्शयति स्वमंशतः ॥१॥

# (२) श्रीदेवकुलादिनाथ-स्तवनम् श्रीसारोदयगणि-कृतम्

संसाराम्बुनिधौ विभो निरवधौ मज्जन्तमत्राणकं देव श्रीम्रुनिसुत्रतोद्धर तपाकूपार मामानतम् ॥४०॥

एवं श्रीभरुकच्छवर्यनगरालड्कारचूडामणे नूतो मुग्धजनोचितेन मयका भक्तिस्तवेनामुना ।

#### ANCIENT JAINA HYMNS

#### THE TEXTS

प्रणिघ्नन् विष्नौघान् प्रबरूरिपुभृतादिदमनैः प्रयच्छन्नानन्दाभ्युदयमभितोऽभीष्टघटनैः । अपस्मारस्मेरस्मरगडुविकारज्वरमुखा रुजोऽजर्यस्थैर्यात् प्रमथसि सपर्याप्रणयिनाम् ॥ ५ ॥

इमौ रागद्वेषौ प्रसभमनिवायौं भववने प्रबाधेते व्याधाविव बहु मनो मे मृगमिव । क्रुपालुस्त्वं नाथस्त्रिजगदवनोपायजनक-स्तदाभ्यां नो कस्मादवसि भवदेकाश्रितमिदम् ॥ ६ ॥

स्फुरन् रागक्वौरो भवजलनिधौ द्वेषमकरो महामोहमाहो जनिमृतिचलद्वीचिनिचयाः । भृशं सर्वं दुःखप्रदमिदमभुन्मे परमतो न च त्रातर्थस्मात्तव पदयुगल्याप्यत तरी ॥ ७ ॥

स्वयं निर्माप्यामी भृशमशुभमर्माण्यसुमतां तुदन्तो विद्वेष्याः सहचरणशीलाः प्रतिपदम् । यथा स्युस्ते चिन्त्या इह न हि बहिःस्थास्तदिव ते ततस्त्वं मदूरीभवनमुभयेषामपि कुरु ॥ ८ ॥

त्रिलोक्यन्तस्तावच्चिरपरिचितं राज्यमजितं जनस्वान्तास्थान्यां ननु विदधवां मोहमहिमा । न यावत्सर्वान्ता रिपुकुळतरोर्मूलविभुजो हठात्तामाकामत्यधिप तव निस्सीममहिमा ॥ ९ ॥

(1) Thus corrected on margin, substituting the original reading "भवामोघौ रागप्रबलचरटो".

सुलापेक्षः श्रीमन् वृषभ निलिल्ठो दुःलविमुलो विमोक्षो दुःखेभ्यः प्रभवति सुधर्मानुपरमात् । सुसामग्रीयोगात्स च भवति तस्मादपि स त-च्छ्तानामन्योन्याश्रयमनयमेनं व्यपनय ॥१०॥

पवित्रत्वद्गोत्रस्मरणविधिभिः संसृतिभवै-र्घनापायैः कामैः प्रसृमरतराण्यान्तरतनौ । अवद्यं नद्यन्ति प्रथमजिन्पैनांसि भविनां विषावेगा वेगादिव पटुतरैर्जाड्गुळिजपैः ॥११॥

जगच्चक्षुः साक्षात्त्वमसि कमल्लोल्लासजनना-दल्लक्ष्योऽप्यध्यक्षो भवभवतिरस्कारचतुरः । यतस्तेऽध्यक्षत्वे<sup>1</sup> प्रशमगुणभाजामसुखदा न हि प्रोज्जयन्तेऽभ्युदितुमवनौ तामसगणाः ॥१२॥

तवानुकम्प्येषु भवन्ति सवदा समृद्रयः सर्वहितार्थसिद्रिदाः । बतोऽनुकूले जलदेऽस्तिलं भवे-

दिलातलं मञ्जुलशाद्वलं न किम् ॥१२॥

शनैः शनैर्नीरदवृष्टिभिः क्षितौ प्रजायते शास्तिगणः फलेप्रहिः । प्रसन्नतायाः पदपङ्कजस्य ते फलोदय(स्तत्)क्षणमे(व) न(ो) ध्रुवः ॥१४॥

(1) Thus corrected on margin for original "সাকাহয়" and its first correction "दुरयद्वे" entered above the line.

#### 103

तव कमौ वन्दितुमुत्सुकायितः कृती स्वभावाल हि नीचकैःशिराः । गरीयसा किं तु तदा समार्जित-स्वधर्मसम्भारभरेण मन्महे ॥१९॥ उच्चैः क्रमादुन्नततां तव कमौ स्वस्मिन्नुदारमकृती द्रीषृतः । स्वभाक्तिकानामपि तां चरीकृतः स देव दीयेत दयाछनापि यत् ॥२०॥

( = - - -) देहमृतां तवेश त-ल्लोकोत्तरं माभवमत्र चित्रकृत् ॥१७॥ फल्लन्ति वाचां मनसां च गोचरै-र्भुवं फलैर्देवतपादपादपाः । भवांश्च विश्वेशितरप्यगोचरे-र्जगत्यतस्ते समतादरिद्रता ॥१८॥

न रुष्यसि त्वं न च तुष्यसि स्वत-स्तदप्यनिष्टेष्टरमासमर्पणैः ।

र्भवन्तमा (— ५ ५ — ५ — ५ — । < - - -) नल्पविकल्पकल्पना निरस्य वश्यात्मतया सुमेधसा ॥१६॥

· < – –) हितयीमदीदृशः । तवोपकर्तुः सुधृतीर्नतीः स्तुती-रतो न कस्कोविदधीत तत्त्वधीः ॥१५॥

मवद्वयीशर्मदधर्म (- - -

धन्यास्त एव स्तवनैर्नवैर्नवै-

#### THE TEXTS

### 104

इत्थङ्कारं स्तुतिपथमहं त्वामनैषं कृतार्थं धर्मार्थादीन् स्वहितजनकानाप्तुकामः पुमर्थान् । नाथः प्रार्थ्यप्रथननिपुणः प्राय्यसे त्वत्प्रासादा-च्छीनाभेय प्रशममधुरा मेऽस्तु सारोद्यश्रीः ॥२६॥

स सामग्रानसुन्द्रात्रदराष्ट्रन्दवन्धकनः । स दैवकुलिको मम प्रथमतीर्थनाथः पृथू-करोत्ववितथाः प्रथाः प्रमथितापदां सम्पदाम् ॥२५॥

स सोमग्रनिसुन्दरत्रिदरावृन्दवन्धकमः ।

कतार्थितमनोरथः सकलवर्ण्णसर्द्रणनः

नो शास्त्रार्थे मसरति मतिर्नो तपस्मु प्रयत्नो नात्मध्याने ष्टतिरनुभवो नैव योगप्रयोगे । नाप्युत्साद्दः प्रथमजिन मे धर्मक्वत्येऽपरस्मि-न्नोद्दे मुक्तिं तदपि तव यत्तत्र भक्तिः प्रयोक्त्री ॥२४॥

त एव वर्ण्या भगवन् विकल्पना निरस्य साम्याम्टतनित्यशीतल्ठाः । नासाग्रविन्यस्तदृशः स्मरन्ति ते पदाम्बुजं ये हृदयाम्बुजे निजे ॥२३॥

मनः समाधानावधिं विधेहि मे ॥२२॥

भवोदभवोपप्छवविप्छतात्मनो

व्यपास्य संसारविकारविष्ळवा-

स्फुरन् गुणौघो हृदये च हारति मभुस्तवैवात्र पदमसन्नता ॥२१॥

नमन्दमानन्दपदं दधास्यतः ।

ग्विलासिनीनां विलसद्यशांसि यत् ।

प्रणेमुषां कौसुमशेखरन्ति दि-

ANCIENT JAINA HYMNS

#### THE TEXTS

(३) श्रोवरकाण-पार्श्वनाथ-स्तोत्रम् श्री-हेमविमलप्तरि-कृतम् ।

श्रेयोमहोदयऌतावनयौवनश्री-सम्प्राप्तिमाधवमगाधतरं महिम्ना । पाइर्वप्रभुं स्तवयुगैकपदैः समस्या-बन्धालवीमि वरकाणपुरीगिरीशम् ॥१॥ कल्याणमन्दिरमुदारमवद्य भेदि<sup>1</sup> पादाम्बुजं त्रिजगतीश तव स्तवीमि । अम्भस्तरङ्गनिकरैः स्नपितं मिषेण भक्तामरमणतमौळिमणिप्रभाणाम् 11२॥ यस्य स्वयं सुरगुरुर्गरिमाम्बुराहोः प्रज्ञानिधिन हि विभुगेदितं गुणौधम् । अत्यणवायितगणं परमेष्ठिवर्गे स्तोष्ये किलाहमपि तं प्रथमं जिनेन्द्रम्' ॥३॥ सामान्यतोऽपि तव वर्णयितं स्वरूप-<sup>5</sup> मज्ञः कथं स्तुतिविधौ प्रभवेयमीश । सङ्क्रान्तमप्सु शशिनं निशि वा विनार्भ-मन्यः क इच्छति जनः सहसा ग्रहीतम् ॥४॥ मोहक्षयादनुभवन्नपि नाथ मत्योँ मानातिगांस्तव गुणान्न हि चङ्कमीष्ट । प्राज्यप्रभुत्वपरमेश्वरमप्रगाह्य को वा तरीतुमलमम्बुनिधिं भुजाभ्याम् ॥५॥

(1) "Kalyānamandira stotra" st. 1a. (2) "Bhaktāmara-stotra" st. 1a.
(3) K. 2a. (4) B. 2d. (5) K. 3a. (6) B. 3d. (7) K. 4a (8) B. 4d.
105

अभ्युद्यतोऽस्मि तव नाथ जडाशयोेऽपि भक्त्येरितः स्तुतिविधावुपहासदेऽपि<sup>!</sup> । रागात्स्वशक्तिमविचिन्त्य हरिं कुरङ्गी नाभ्येति किं निजशिशोः परिपाल्लनार्थम्<sup>2</sup> ॥**६॥** 

ये थोगिनामपि न यान्ति गुणास्तवेश<sup>³</sup> स्तोतुं कथं चतुरता मम तान् समेतु । यद्वा त एव खलु यान्तु चिदाश्रयं मा-मल्पश्रुतं श्रुतवतां परिहासधाम<sup>4</sup> ॥७॥

आस्तामचिन्त्यमहिमा जिन संस्तवस्ते<sup>3</sup> दूरेऽस्तु दर्शनमपि प्रशमप्रशस्यम् । नाम्नाऽपि ते दुरितसन्ततिरन्तमेति सूर्यांशुभिन्नमिव शार्वरमन्धकारम्<sup>6</sup> ॥८॥

हृद्वर्त्तिनि त्वयि विभो शिथिलीभवन्ति<sup>7</sup> भव्याङ्गिनां भवशताजिर्तकर्मपाशाः । आत्मा श्रयेद्विमलतामिव शुक्तिसङ्गा-न्मुक्ताफलयुतिमुपैति ननूद्विन्दुः<sup>8</sup> ॥९॥

मुच्यन्त एव मनुजाः सहसा जिनेन्द्र<sup>°</sup> त्वत्सेवनादशुमकर्मभरेण भव्याः । तेषां च धाम शुचिरं विऌसत्यपास्य पद्माकरेषु जऌजानि विकाशभान्जि<sup>10</sup> ॥१०॥

(1) K. 5a. (2) B. 5d. (3) K. 6a. (4) B. 6a. (5) K. 7a. (6) B. 7d. (7) K. 8a. (8) B. 8d. (9) K. 9a. (10) B. 9d.

तेषां।गुणाः शशिरुचः शवते त्रिलोकीं कस्तान्निवारयति सञ्चरतो यथेष्टम्" ॥१५॥ (1) K. 10a. (2) B. 10d. (3) K. 11a. (4) B. 11d. (5) K. 12a.

(6) B. 12a. (7) K. 13a. (8) B. 13d. (9) K. 14a. (10) B. 14d.

त्वां योगिनो जिन सदा परमात्मरूप-मारोप्य हृत्कमलकोशपदे भजेयुः ।

रोषद्रिषो विमहसः स्वयमेव नेशः । भानुर्विभां किमु हरेच्छशिमण्डलस्य यद्वासरे भवति पाण्डुपछाशकल्पम्ं ॥१४॥

स्त्वच्छासनं लघु तरन्ति भवाम्बुराशिम् । . विश्वत्रयेऽप्यनुपमाः खल्ज ते प्रजातो यैः शान्तरागरुचिभिः परमानुभिस्त्वम्<sup>°</sup> ॥१३॥

स्तं मन्मथं क्षपयतो भवतोऽन्यदेवम् । कः सेवतेशससितगव्यपयः प्रपीय क्षारं जलं जलनिधेरशितं क इच्छेत्⁴ ॥१२॥

यत्त्वां हृदा परिवहन्त्यथवान्तरस्थम् । त्वं तारयस्यथ न चेन्महतोऽययता का भूत्याश्रितं य इह नात्मसमं करोति ॥११॥

र्ल तारको जिन कथं भविनां त एव<sup>1</sup>

यस्मिन् हर**प्रभृतयोऽपि हतप्रभावा**-<sup>3</sup>

स्वामिन्ननल्पगरिमाणमपि प्रपन्ना-⁵

क्रोधस्त्वया यदि विभो प्रथमं निरस्तः

#### THE TEXTS

ध्यानाज्जिनेश भवतो भविनः क्षणेन

कर्मावलिक्षयकरान्न मनाकु चलेयुः ।

दर्गोपसर्गनिवहेन; युगान्तवातैः

किं मन्दराद्रिशिखरं चलितं कदाचित् ॥१६॥

अन्तः सदैव जिन यस्य विभाव्यसे त्वं

यसिंमस्तमः प्रशमयन्नपधूमवर्त्ति-

आत्मा मनीषभिरयं त्वदभेदबुद्धया-

त्वद्वदुभवेदुभविकपद्मवनावबोधः

त्वामेव वीततमसं परवादिनोऽपि

वाक्यं तवेश जयताद्विमलावबोधं

धर्मोपदेशसमये सविधानुभावाः

मन्ये तदेव हृदयं निल्वयं शिवस्य ।

रोपेण संस्मृत इह प्रगुणप्रभावः ।

र्दीपोऽपरस्त्वमसि नाथ जगत्वकाशः ॥१७॥

सूर्यातिशायिमहिमाऽसि मुनीन्द्र लोके ॥१८॥

प्राप्य प्रभो छघु तरन्ति भवाम्बुराशिं ।

नित्थोदयं दलितमोहमहान्धकारम्ं ॥१९॥

कार्यं कियज्जलधरेर्जेलभारनमेः 10 ॥२०॥

नेच्छन्ति वा; फलितशस्यवतीह विश्वे

K. 15a. (2) B. 15d. (3) K. 16a. (4) B. 16d. (5) K. 17a. (1) (6) B. 17d. (7) K. 18a. (8) B. 18a. (9) K. 19a. (10) B. 19d. 108

स्वान्ते समक्षसमुदो; भवशर्म भव्या: ।

Shree Sudharmaswami Gyanbhandar-Umara, Surat

www.umaragyanbhandar.com

Shree Sudharmaswami Gyanbhandar-Umara, Surat

स्वामिन्सुदूरमवनम्य समुत्पतन्तः

संसूचयन्ति भविकानिति चामराः किम् । यन्नेतरा सुतमसूत विभो समं ते स्त्रीणां शतानि शतशो जनयन्ति पुत्रान् ॥२३॥ श्यामं गभीरगिरमुज्ज्बलहेमरत्न-सिंहासनेऽमरगिरिस्थनवाम्बुदाभम्<sup>7</sup> । त्वामीक्षते भविककेकिगणो यतस्त्वं नान्यः शिव: शिवपदस्य मुनीम्द्र पन्थाः ॥२४॥ उदगच्छता तव शितिद्युतिमण्डलेन' भात्या वधुः परिवृतं सुषमां बिभर्त्ति । **लम्पत्यशोककिसलान्सलतानतस्त्वां** ज्ञानस्वरूपममलं प्रवदन्ति सन्तः<sup>10</sup> ॥२५॥ (1) K. 20a. (2) B. 20d. (3) K. 21a. (4) B. (21d. (5) K 22a.

स्थाने गभीरहृदयोदधिसम्भवा या वाचस्तवामृततुला विबुधास्त्वदीयाः । यद्भेजुषां सुमनसां न परो भवत्तः कश्चिन्मनो हरति नाथ भवान्तरेऽपि ॥२२॥

चित्रं विभो कथमवाङमुखवुन्तमेव सुनोत्करं तव किरन्ति यथा सुरास्ते । नैवं परस्य; महिमा सुमणेस्तु यादङ् नैवं तु काचशकले किरणाकुलेऽपि<sup>2</sup> ॥२,॥

### THE TEXTS

भो भोः प्रमादमवधूय भजध्वमेनं<sup>1</sup> कर्मच्छिदे विभुमिति त्रिजगज्जनौधानं । आमन्त्रयत्यमरदुन्दुभिरुन्नदंस्ते व्यक्तं त्वमेव•भगवन् पुरुषोत्तमोऽसि<sup>3</sup> ॥२६॥

उद्योतितेषु भवता भुवनेषु नाथ<sup>³</sup> अष्टाधिकार इव तारकवच्छशाङकः । इवेतातपत्रमिषतस्त्रितनुर्विधत्ते तुभ्यं नमो जिन भवोद्धिशोषणाय<sup>\*</sup> ॥२७॥

स्वेन प्रपूरितजगत्त्रयपिण्डितेन<sup>°</sup> चन्द्रांशुसान्द्रयशसा गुणराशिपूर्णः । दोषैरलब्धनिल्यैर्गमितैर्विदूरैः स्वप्नान्तरेऽपि न कद्याचिदपीक्षितोऽसि<sup>°</sup> ॥२८॥

दिव्यस्रजो जिन नमत्त्रिदशाधिपानां<sup>?</sup> मूद्र्नों महामणिमयानपहाय मौलीन् । रोश्रय्यति क्रमयुगं तव तत्मतिक्षि-मुच्चैरशोकतरुसंश्रितमुन्मयूखम्<sup>§</sup> ॥२९॥

त्वं नाथ जन्मजल्ल्घेर्तिंपराङ्मुखोऽपि<sup>°</sup> तीर्णरुच तारयसि संश्वितभव्यलेकम् । धामस्थितं भविकहृत्सु तमोपहं ते तुङ्गोदयाद्रिशिरसीव सद्दस्ररइमेः<sup>10</sup> ॥३०॥

(1) K. 25a. (2) B. 25d. (3) K. 26a. (4) B. 26d. (5) K. 27<sup>a</sup>. (6) B. 27d. (7) K. 28a. (8) B. 28a. (9) K. 29a. (10) B. **?9d** 110

Shree Sudharmaswami Gyanbhandar-Umara, Surat

### THE TEXTS

कष्टव्रजाद्वितनुषेऽभिमतं श्रितानाम् ।

त्युच्चैस्तटं 'सुरगिरेरिव शातकौम्भम्' ॥३१॥

विश्वेश्वरोऽपि जनपालक दुर्गतस्त्वं

सिंहासनं द्युतिरुचा भवतेश राज-

माग्भारसंम्भृतनभांसि रजांसि रोषा-<sup>3</sup> त्साराद्यथा जल्मुचा प्रगलन्ति तद्वत् । आमस्तव स्मृतिवशेन भवेदसङ्गः प्रख्यापयत्त्रिजगतः परमेश्वरत्वम्' ॥३२॥ यद्गजदूर्जितघनौधमदभ्रभीमं<sup>5</sup> भीतिच्छिदो जयति गीस्तव युक्तमेवम् । विश्वत्रयेऽपि गुरुतां भजतस्तवांच्ही उत्तिद्वहेमनवपङकजपुम्जकान्ती<sup>6</sup> ॥३३॥

भ्वस्तोर्ध्वेकेशविक्वताक्वतिमत्यमुण्ड-<sup>7</sup> भूषाधनेषु गिरिशादिषु सा न हि श्रीः । या ते;ऽजनिष्ट सवितुः किछ।यः प्रकाश-स्ताद्दवक्वतो प्रहगणस्य विकाशिनोऽपि<sup>8</sup> ॥३४॥

धन्यास्त एव भुवनाधिप ये त्रिसन्ध्यं<sup>9</sup> त्वामर्चयन्ति; विगलन्मदभिन्नगछम् । व्याल्लं बल्लोत्कटमतीवरुषन्तिकाप्तं दृष्ट्वा भयं भवति नो भवदाश्रितानाम्<sup>10</sup> ॥३५॥

(1) K. 30a. (2) B. 30d. (3) K. 31a. (4) B. 31d. (5) K. 32a.
(6) B. 32a. (7) K. 33a. (8) B. 33b. (9) K. 34a. (10) B. 34d.
111

Shree Sudharmaswami Gyanbhandar-Umara, Surat

अस्मिनपारभववारिनिधौ मुनीश' चण्डानिलोद्धतजले विषयोर्मिराशिः । निर्यामकोज्झितनिजकिययानसंस्थं नाकामति कमयुगाचलसंस्थितं ते<sup>3</sup> ॥३६॥ जन्मान्तरेऽपि तव पादयुग न देव<sup>3</sup>

नेमे; वयाइमपतनप्रसृतं दवाझिम् । ज्वाल्रालिदग्धविपिनं भयदं समेतं त्वन्नामकीर्त्तनजङं शमयत्यरोषम्<sup>+</sup> ॥३७॥

नूनं न मोहतिमिरावृतलोचनेन<sup>°</sup> दृश्य प्रभो भवदुपास्तिवशाज्जनोऽयम् । भीमद्विजिह्वमणुवन्मनुते भुजङ्गं रक्तेक्षणं समदकोकिल्कण्ठनील्लम्<sup>°</sup> ॥३८॥

आकर्णितोऽपि महितोऽपि निरीक्षितोऽपि<sup>7</sup> त्राता जिन त्वमसि यत्समरेे रिपूणाम् । सेना गजाश्वसुभटध्वनिभीषणापि त्वत्कीर्त्तनात्तम इवाशु भिदामुपैति<sup>§</sup> ॥३९॥ त्वं नाथ दुःस्विजनवत्सल हे शरण्य<sup>9</sup>

रक्षेति संस्मृतिपरा विचरत्कवन्धे ।

युद्धेऽस्त्रविद्रगजभीमरवे जयश्रीं

त्वत्पादपङ्कजवनाश्रयिणो लभन्ते'' ॥४०॥

(1) K. 35a. (2) B. 35d. (3) K. 36a. (4) B. 36d. (5) K. 37a. (6) B. 37a. (7) K. 38a. (8) B. 38d. (9) K. 39a. (10) B. 39d. 112

### THE TEXTS

मीशं प्रपद्य मृगपस्य शरनखस्य ।

हेल्राविनिर्दलितकुम्भिघटस्य सत्त्वा-स्नासं विहाय भवतः स्मरणाद्वजन्ति ॥ ४१॥ **देवेन्द्रवन्ध** विदिताखिल्बस्तुसार<sup>3</sup> त्वद्भक्तितः झगिति जीवितसंशयाप्ताः । कासक्षयज्वरजन्गेदुररुकुप्रतप्ता मत्यी भवन्ति मकरध्वजतत्त्वरूपाः⁴ ॥४२॥

यद्यस्ति नाथ भवदंन्हिसरोरुहाणा-⁵ मन्तः षडंन्हितुलित सुधियां तदाशु ।

मोक्षं भजन्ति निबिडं निगडैनिंबदा आपाद्कण्ठमुरुगृङ्खल्लवेष्टिताङ्गाः<sup>6</sup> ॥४**३॥** 

इत्थं समाहितधियो विधिवज्जिनेन्द्र

हर्यामवेधनभियइच गलन्ति तस्य

भव्याङ्गिद्वकुमुद्चन्द्रनिभप्रभाव<sup>°</sup>

यस्त्वां नवीति वरकाणपुराधिपैवंऽ

निःसंख्यसारशरणं शरणं शरण्य-

मत्तेभवायनलनागरणाङ्गणोत्थाः ।

यग्तावकं स्तवमिमं मतिमानधीते ॥ ४४॥

भोगीन्द्रमोगिललनान्चित पार्क्वदेव ।

तं मानतुङ्गमवशा समुपैति लक्ष्मीः10 ॥४५॥

(1) K. 40a. (2) B. 40d. (3) K. 41a. (4) B. 41d. (5) K. 42a. (6) B. 42a. (7) K. 43a. (8) B. 43d. (9) Purport only of K. 44a.

(wording changed) "जननयनकुमुदचन्द्र". (10) B. 44d. 113

स्पष्टं जुष्टफणिस्फटामणिगणाश्चिष्ठकमाम्भोरुहं तं ग्रङ्खेश्वरवरपार्श्वनाथ० ॥३॥ दुर्ध्यानाधिकवातकम्पितपरमोढमभावे मह-त्यस्मिन् कालकरालिते कलियुगे कल्पान्तकालोपमे । जाम्रचारुयशोभिराममल्योद्भूताभितः सौरमं न श्रङ्खेश्वरवरपार्श्वनाथ० ॥४॥ 114

श्रीशङ्खेरवरपार्श्वनाथ० ॥२॥

यद्वचानोत्कटचित्रभानुरनिशं नीरोत्करर्दिद्यते

यन्नामाभिनवमभूतसुमहोधाराधरासारतः कल्याणावलिवऌरी कलयति प्रस्कूर्ज्जतः सान्द्रताम् । भव्यानां भवदीयपादयुगलोपास्तिप्रस्कतेर्जुषां

मक्तैर्दष्टशठेन तेन कमठेनानिष्ट इस्कर्मणा ।

ऐन्द्रश्रेणिनतावतंसनिकरञ्राजिष्णुमुक्ताफल्ल-ज्योतिर्जालसदालवाललहरीलीलायितं पावितम् । यत्पादाद्भुतपारिजातयुगलं भाति प्रभाञ्राजितं श्री**द्यङ्खेर**ारपार्श्वनाथजिनपं श्रेयस्करं संस्तुवे ॥१॥

( ४ ) श्रीशङ्खेश्वर-पार्श्वप्रभु-स्तोत्रम् श्री-नयविमल-कृतम्

संसेव्य हेर्मावमलस्तुतसद्गुणौघ । आशालताः सफल्ल्येर्घरकाणनाथ श्रीपाद्यें मे विमलधर्मशुभप्रभावात् ॥४६॥

एवं जिनेश मुनिसुन्दरवंशजाली-

ANCIENT JAINA HYMNS

### 115

ओंकारमथितावदाततरयुग् ऱ्हींकारसाराश्रितः पद्मावत्ये-नमोऽस्तु-स्फुटहनदहता-रक्ष-रक्षेति युक्तः । क्लीं श्रीं ब्लीं ह्तों प्रतियतिसमयं सस्वधामन्त्रवीज-प्रोद्यद्रामप्रतापान्वितविशदतरस्फारवीर्यप्रचारः ॥१०॥

ऐंकारादिमसिद्धसाध्यमहिमा ओंकारऱ्हींकारयुङ् मायाबीजसमन्वितो विसहरस्फौळिंङ्गताश्लेषितः । अर्हश्रींनमिऊण**पासकलितस्त्रैलेक्यसौख्याकरो** भूयाच्छी्**धरणेन्द्र**से<sup>द्</sup>वतपदः **qाश्व्र्यभुभूतये ।**।९।।

नष्ट दुष्टोग्रकर्माष्टककरटिगणैर्निर्गतं क्रोधमान-प्रोद्यत्पन्चप्रमादादिकचटुळतरर्क्षोत्करैः क्र्ररूपैः । भग्नं पातकजातशाखिनि ब्रहैरापच्छिवाभिर्गत दृष्टेऽस्मिन् भवदीयदर्शनमहानादे दयायुक् प्रभो ॥८॥

श्रीगच्छङ्खपुराविगाव जिनव त्राख्यनगवादुउत त क्षीणाज्ञानभरो नतामरनरश्रेणिप्रयुक्तादरो ध्वस्तारोषदरस्तमोभयहरः कमद्रुमे मुद्गरः । लोकोद्योतकरः स्मरज्वरहरः सौख्यद्रुधाराधरो दुद्यादुभूरितरप्रमोदनिवहं त्वन्नाममन्त्राक्षरः ॥७॥

सम्प्राप्ता ग्रुसदामधीशविनुतप्राज्यप्रतिष्ठाः पुनः । सञ्जाता परमा रमा सहचरी सौख्यं सदालिङ्गितं श्रीमच्छङ्खपुराधिनाथ जिनप प्रौढपभावाद्भुत ॥६॥

यन्नामापि पिपतिं पुण्यजनितान् कामान्मनोभीष्टकान् । विश्वाशापरिपूरणाय किमिमं विश्वागतं स्वस्तरुं तं शङ्खेश्वरवरपार्श्वनाथ० ॥५॥

श्रीमद्धचानविधानतानमनसां भव्यात्मनां भाविनां

श्रैमत्कास्यविलोकनान्मम करे स्फूर्ज्जन्महासिद्धयः

### THE TEXTS

ये जानन्ति जपन्ति सन्ततमभिध्यायन्ति मन्त्रद्विकं तेषां साम्राज्यलक्ष्मीः क्वतकल्लिलया जायते संमुखीना । सप्ताङ्गा; गाङ्गनीराक्वतिविशदयशोराशिरुज्जम्भते;ऽस्मि-छोके सम्पूर्णकामोऽमितगुणनिकरस्थैर्यमालम्बते ते ॥११॥ कृत्वालीके च वामेतरभुजयुगले नाभिदेशे च वक्त्रे शस्ते हस्तद्वये वा ह्यभिमतफल्डदं मूर्दिन संस्थापयित्वा । पार्श्वं शङ्खेश्वराख्यं सुरतरुकरणिं ये जपन्तीह शहव-त्ते भञ्या यान्ति सिद्धिं तनुतरदुरिता द्वित्रकैः सदभवैश्च ॥१२॥ दुर्ध्यनिद्रमखण्डखण्डनखटो दुर्दम्यदन्ताबरूः श्रीधीराद्रिमलप्रबोधकमलप्रीतिप्रदानोज्ज्वलः । सद्रवानप्रबरुप्रतापबहुरुज्वालावलीधूमलो ध्वस्तारोषखरुः खलीकृतमरुः सिद्धयङ्गनाकामलुः ॥१३॥ मूर्द्रिन स्फारफणीन्द्रजालजटिलोऽक्षुद्रक्षमाकन्दलः सम्यग्ज्ञानजलप्रवाहपयसा प्रक्षालितक्ष्मातलुः । धैर्यम्वर्ग्यचलुः सुसाधितकलो दौर्भत्यवारार्भलो दत्ताभीष्टफूलः पुनातु सुवनं पाइवों घनइयामलः ॥१४॥ पाइर्व त्वत्पद्पद्मपूजनकृते सत्केतकीनां वने तीक्ष्णेरुत्कटकण्टकैश्च सततं विध्यन्ति येषां कराः । तेषां चारुपतिंवरेव भविनां चकित्वराकश्रियः स्वैरस्थैर्यतया चलत्वरहिता भव्यं भजन्ते प्रभो ॥१५॥ साफल्यं जनुषो ममाद्य सुतरां जातं प्रशस्यो दिनः श्ठाघ्यं जीवितमद्य हृद्यसफलुश्रेयानयं स क्षणः । जाता कृत्यकृतार्थिनी बहुफला सा धारिका कारिका

सौख्यस्येव यदीश शर्मऋदिदं खद्दर्शनं प्रापितम् ॥१६॥

### THE TEXTS

ल्रोला त्वञुतिल्रोलुपा तव गुणम्रामायते मे श्रुति-र्नित्यं त्वद्वदनावल्लोकनजुषी स्वामिन् पुनश्चक्षुषी । शीर्षं त्वत्पदमण्डनं तव विभो ध्यानैकतानं मनो जातं तन्मम सर्वमेव शुभक्वदात्माप्ययं त्वन्मयः ॥१७॥

शस्य त्वद्गुणचक्रवाल्रजल्धेः पारं न यामि प्रभो आत्मीयोत्कटदुष्टदुष्कृतततेर्नो यामि पारं पुनः । तस्माद्देव तथा विधेहि भगवन् प्राप्नोमि पारं तयोः सम्यक्त्वेन विधाय भव्यकरुणां कारुण्यपात्रे मयि ॥१८॥

श्रीखण्डागुरुघूपवासनिवहैः कर्पूरपूरैस्तथा काश्मीरदवसान्द्रचान्द्रविहित्तैः स**द्वन्दनैश्चान्दनैः ।** स्वामिंस्त्वत्पदयामलं गतमलं येऽर्च्चन्ति चर्चाचणा लोके लोकिततत्त्वसत्त्वसहितास्तेऽप्यर्चनीयाः सदा ॥१९॥

विष्णुस्त्वं मुवनेऽसि मुपतिरसि श्रेयस्करः शङ्करो धाता सत्यमहाव्रती शतधतिः काल्लारिरुम्रस्तथा । ऋद्धवा सिद्धियुतः क्वतीजजनको गौरीगुरुस्त्वं सदा जैनैजैंनेतरैश्चाद्भुततरविभवैर्गीयसेऽस्यां जगत्यां ॥२०॥

सूरिश्रीविजयप्रमादिसुगुरौ राज्यस्थितिं कुर्व्वति प्रीत्येवं नयपूर्वताविमलयुङ्नाम्ना प्रभुः संस्तुतः । भूयास्त्वं भवभीतिमेदभिदुराकारोपमानः सदा स्वर्द्धामद्रुमसात्रमो मम पुनः सर्वार्थसम्पत्त्तये ॥२१॥ 117

# (५) श्री-तीर्थमाला-चैत्यवंदनम्

श्रीशत्रुन्जयरैवताद्रिशिखरे द्वीपे भृगोः पत्तने सिंहद्वीपधनेरमङ्गळपुरे चाज्जाहरे श्रीपुरे । कोडीनारकमन्त्रिदाहडपुरे श्रीमण्डपे चार्बुदे जीरापल्ठिफलर्द्विपारकनगे शैरीसशङखेश्वरे ॥१॥

चम्पानेरकधर्मचक्रमथुरायोध्याप्रतिष्ठानके वन्दे स्वर्णगिरौ तथा सुरगिरौ श्रीदेवकीपत्तने । हस्तोडीपुरपाडलादशपुरे चारूपपञ्चासरे वन्दे श्री करणावतीशिवपुरे नागद्रहे नाणके ॥२॥

मेरौ कुण्डलमानुषे च रुचके वैताव्यनन्दीश्वरे वन्देऽष्टापदगुण्डरे गजपदे सम्मेतशैलाभिधे । विन्ध्यस्थम्भनशीट्टमीट्टनगरे राजद्रहे श्रीनगे कन्तीपर्ख्यविहारतारणगढे सोपारकारासणे ॥३॥

द्वारावत्यपरे गढे मढगिरौँ श्रीजीर्णवमे तथा थारापद्रपुरे च वाविहपुरे कासद्रहे चेडरे । श्रीतेजछविहारनिंबतटके चंद्रे च दब्मीवते वन्दे सत्यपुरे च बाहडपुरे राडद्रहे वायडे ॥४॥

वन्दे नन्दसमे समीधवल्लके मर्जादमुंडस्थले मोढेरे दधिपद्रकर्करपुरे ग्रामादिचैत्यालये । ज्योतिर्न्थन्तरकल्पवासिनिलये भौमेषु वा भूतले ते सर्व्वेऽपि हि शाश्वतेतरजिनाः कुर्व्वन्तु वो मंगल्लम् ॥५॥

## THE TEXTS

(६) वीर-स्तुतिः

पापाधाधानिधाधा धम धम धगसा सागसा सारिगापा सासागागारिधापा निगरम सरिगा पापगा सारिधाधा । इत्थं षड्जादिरम्यं करणळययतं सत्कलाभिः समेतं संगीत यस्य देवैर्विहितमतिराुमं पात्वसौ वर्द्धमानः ॥१॥

षुंदा षुंदा षुष्रुंदां डिषि डिषि डिषिमां टाघुमाटां घुमाटां दुक्मां दुक्मां दुदुक्मां दुल्लि दुल्लि दुल्लिमां भीभभां मंभभांभम् । तल्मां छल्मां छछल्मां टिकरिटिकरिमां भांभ्रुवां भंभ्रुवांभ्रं येषामातोद्यवाद्यं विदधति विबुधाः पान्तु वस्तीर्थपास्ते ॥२॥

कोटेंटं रावणेंटं त्रिभुवनकरिटं कर्प्पणंट रणंटं डाव्यं डाव्यं डडाव्यं डह डह डहवत् त्रांगुलं त्रांगुनेत्रम् ॥ झंप्रं झंप्रं झझप्रं त्रिषि मषि षुषुमां भांक्षुभिः क्षुद्रमासै-रेभिस्तूर्येरलेशं **जिनपति**वचसः पातु पूज्योपचारः ॥३॥

त्रेताभिस्त्रोटयन्ती त्रुटितकटितटं कण्टकं लोटयन्ती कोटान्दुःकोटयन्ती कपटमतिमटंकापदं शाटयन्ती । उत्तालैर्व्यालफालैः स्फुटजटिलजटाजूटकं जोटयन्ती वेरोट्याऽव्याज्जयन्ती घनमदमवशा छन्दसा वर्द्धमानम् ॥४॥

<sup>(1)</sup> In the onomatopoetic passages, "可" most likely stands for "평" at least in st. 2.

### 120

नमिर-सर-असर-नर-विंद-वंदिय-पर्यं रयणिकर-कर निकर-कित्तिभर-पूरियं । पंचसय-धणुह-परिमाण-परिमंडियं थुणह भत्तीइ सीमंधरं स्सामियं ॥१॥

# (८) श्री-सीमन्धर-स्वामि-स्तवनम्

प्रणतविहितकक्षः स्मेरपद्मोपमाक्षः । नियतकुशरूपक्षः सद्यशोभावरुक्षः मवचनकृतरक्षः सोऽस्तु सर्वानुयक्षः ॥४॥

जिनपतिनतदक्षः प्छुष्टमिथ्यात्ववृक्षः

नमत विहितभद्रं सत्त्वपीडादरिद्रं कुमतकमळचन्द्रं **शासनं जैनचन्द्रम्** ॥३॥

प्रणतसुरसुरेन्द्रं ध्वस्तसम्मोहनिद्रं सुगुणमणिसमुद्रं यत्कषायारिरौद्रम् ।

सुखमतुलमुदारास्तीर्थपा लोकसारा ददतु शिववधूरोमण्डने तारहाराः ॥२॥

हतविषयविकाराः कर्मवछीकुठाराः नतसुरवरवाराः प्राप्तसंसारपाराः ।

मदनदहननीरं क्रोधनोद्धैकधीरं

मदजलदसमीरं दम्भभूभेदसीरम् । जल्धगुरुगभीरं लन्धलोभान्धितीरं कनकरुचिशरीरं श्रीजिनं नौमि वीरम् ॥१॥

श्री-जिनपतिसरि-कृता

(७) महाचीर-स्तुतिः

ANCIENT JAINA HYMNS

सुजण-मण-नयण-आणंद-संपूरकं दुरित-हरतार, तारक, मुणी-नायकं । सयल्ञ-जग-जंतु-भव-पाप-तापापहं नमउं सीमंधर, चंद-सोहावहं ॥६॥ सुर-भवणि, गयणि, पायालि, भूमंडले नयरि, पुरि, नीरनिहि, मेरु-पव्वय-कुले । देव-देवी-गणा, नारि-नर-कित्तरा तुह्य जस, नाह, गायंति सादर-परा ॥७॥ 121

तेअ-भर-भरिअ-दिसि-विदिसि-गयणंगणो पबल्ल-मिच्छत्त-तम-तिमिर-विद्रंसणो । भविअ-जण-कमल्ल-वणसंड-बोहंकरो सामि सीमंधरो दिप्पए दिणयरो ॥५॥

े विषय-घण-कम्म-वणराजि-संराजिए । भव-जल्लहि-मज्झि निवडंत-जंतू-कए सामि सोमंधरो पोअ जिम सोहए ॥४॥

विमल-सुह-झाण-संधाण-संसिद्रए । असुह-दल-कम्म-मल-पडल-निन्नासणं तात, करवाणि तुह संथवं बहु-गुणं ॥३॥

मेरुगिरि-सिहरि धय-बंधणं जो कुणइ

तहवि, जिण-नाह, निय-जम्म सफली-कए

मोह-भर-बहुछ-जल-पूर-संपूरिए

गयणि तारा गणइ, वेलुआ-कण मिणइ । चरम-सायर-जले लहरि-माला मुणइ सोवि नहु, सामि, तुह सब्वहा गुण थुणइ ॥२॥

THE TEXTS

नाण-गुणि,झाण-गुणि, चरण-गुणि मोहिया सार-उवयार-संभार-संसोहिआ । रयणि दिणि हरिस-वसि, सुत्त जागरमणा तात, तुह नाम झायंति तिहूयण-जणा ॥८॥ सिद्धिकर, ऋद्धिकर, बुद्धिकर, संकरा विषय-विष-अमिय-भर, सामि सीमंधरा । पुञ्ब-भव-विहिअ-वर-पुन्न.वय-पामिआ राषि हिव भूरि-भव-भमण मू, सामिआ ॥९॥ कम्म-भर-भार-संसार-अइभग्गउ घणउं फिरिऊण, जिण, पाय तुह लग्गउ । मज्झ हीणस्स, दीणस्स. सिव-गामिया करवि करुणा-रसं. सार करि सामिआ ॥१०॥ कठिण हठ घाय तिरियत्तणे ताजिउ नरय-गइ करुण विलवंत नहु लाजिउ । मणुअ-गइ हीण, पर-कम्म-वसि पडियउ लागि तुह चरणि आणंदि हव चडियउ ॥११॥ ,केवि तुह दंसणे, देव, सिव-साहगा केवि वाणी सुणी चरणि भव-मोअगा । भरह-खित्तंमि हउं झाणि छउं लग्गउ देहि आलंबणं, नाह, जइ जुमाउ ॥१२॥ धन ते नयर जहिं सामि सीमंधरो विहरए. भविअ-जण-सन्व-संसयहरो । काम-घट, देव-मणि, देव-तरु फल्लियउ तीह घरि जीह रहिं, सामि, तउं मिलियउ ॥१३॥ 122

### THE TEXTS

कर-जुअङ जोडि करि, वयण तू निसुणिसो बाल जिम हेल देइ. पाय तुह पणमिसो । महुर सरि तुम्ह गुण-गहण हउं गायसो निय-नयणि रूव रोमंचिउ जोइसो ॥१४॥ तुम्ह पासि ट्विउ, चरण परिपालिसो हणिअ कम्माणि, केवल्र-सिरिं पामिसो । तुम्ह, जिण् निअय-करु सिरसि संठविसउ सोवि कईआवि मं होइसिइ दिवसउ ॥१५॥ भरह-खित्तंमि सिरि-कुंथ-अर-अंतरे जम्म पुंडरिगणी, विजय पुक्खलवरे । मणिसवय-तित्थ-नमि-अंतरं इह जया रज्ज-सिरि परिहरवि. गहिय संजम तया ॥१६॥ हणिय कम्माणि, लहु लद्र केवल-सिरी देहि मे दंसणं, नाह, करुणा करी । भाविए डटय जिणि सत्तमे सिव-गए बह्रअ-कालेण सिद्धि गमी, सामिए ॥१७॥ मोह-भर. मान-भर, लोभ-भर भरियउ राग-भर, दुंभ-भर, काम-भर पूरिउ । एड परि भरह-खित्तमि मूं, सामिअ सार करि, सार करि, सार करि (तारि) गोसामिअ ॥१८॥ भोग-पद, राज-पद, नाण-पद, संपदं चक्रि-पद, ईंद्र-पद, जाव परमं पदं । तुज्झ भत्तीइ सब्वं पि संपज्जए एड माहप्प तुह सयल जगि गज्जए ॥१९॥ 123

तुंहजि गति, तुंहजि मति, तुंहजि मम जीवन तात, तउं परम गुरु, कम्म-मल्ल-पावनं । कम्मकरु विणय-परु जोडि कर वीनवउं देहि मे दंसणं अल्जया अभिनवं ॥२०॥

इय, सुवन-भूषण, दल्पि-डूषण, सब्व-ळक्खण-मंडणो, मद-मान-गंजण, मोह-भंजण, वाम-काम-विहंडणो । धुरराय रंजण, नाण-दंसण-चरण-गुण-जय-नायको जिण-नाह, भवि भवि, तात, भव मे बोधि-बीजह दायको ॥२१॥

## CRITICAL APPARATUS<sup>1</sup>

#### 1. MUNISUVRATA-STAVANA

2a सकल on gamb. over सकले; 2d भाग; 3b मुप्रतिष्ट; 4d मार्गम; 6d ते। or तो stands above the line; 7b श्राग; 8b प्रोज्व; 11a रुचिचम; 14b दात्या (+यो above line); 14d सत्वा; 18a दस्वव; 22b बन्धि; 27b नुष्टा; 27c त्ताना; 29a द्वघ्या with illegible gloss on margin; 30b कीनां।; 30d नौके; 31a प्रतिष्टे; 31c कि; 32a षौरूजयत; 33b तम्:; 34b स्थामं; 35a ध्माता:; पादा; d दविफ; 36a न्धैबहुलप; 36b वाद्यै:; 37a महिम; बोध; 38c प्रतिष्टे; 39d लब्ध; 40b मयिका.--

#### 2. DEVAKULADINATHA-STAVANA

Ic देलउला; 6d ७; 7a भवांभोधौ रागप्रबलचरटो (the adopted variant stands on the margin; the र in रागरचौरो not being visible); ८; 8b णज्ञोला on margin (in text विष above two deleted letters in line); ९; 9d १०; 10a जगच्चक्ष: साक्ष्यमुखा; 10d ११ and sign indicating st. 11 (numbered as "१२" and written after 12d and 13) to be inserted here; 11b letter after पायै: का not readable owing to hole in paper; 11d १२ with sign indicating the place of the stanza to be after 10; 12c यतस्तेप्रज्ञम with प्राकाश्य above line, deleted, and overwritten by दृझ्यत्वे, likewise deleted, with sign referring to

(1) The following changes are not recorded: Anusvara to class nasal,  $\vec{q}$  to  $\vec{s}$  and v. v., addition of Avagraha and Visarga, removal of redundant Anusvāra.

margin with the final reading अध्यक्षत्वे; 12d प्रोजायंते; १३; 13d १४; 14 the whole stanza is written on the margin without any indication re its place, which has been conjectured from the context and metre; 15 only partially readable owing to holes in paper; 16 do.; 17 do. .-

#### 3. VARAKĀŅA-PĀRŚVANĀTHA-STAVANA

1a गघत; 2d प्रणितमो; भाणा; 3a गुरू; 3b प्राज्ञानिधिर्निहि; 3c मेण्टिब; 3d पितंमिप्र; 4d इछति; 5b चक्रमीष्टे; 5c प्राज्य ; मंबगाह्य; 5d लंमांबु; 6a डासयापि; 6d हरिकुरींगी; 7b चतुमामम; 7c तएवयंतु; 7d वतांरि; 8a स्तामि; 8c नार्म्नामिते; 8d सार्ब्व; 9a विभो; 9b भव्यांग; ताजित;  $9\mathrm{d}$  नुर्दीव;  $10\mathrm{b}$  दश्रुभ;  $10\mathrm{c}$  सुचिरंविलसझ्वपास्य;  $10\mathrm{d}$  विकास; 11a यन; भवनं; 11b यत्वां; परिवहत्य; 11c भारयस्यघ; 11d जियिनात्म; 12a हर: प्रप्टतियोपिहत: प्रभावस्तं; 12b भवन्य; 12d सितुंकइछेत्; 13a न्नतल्य; पनास्त्व; 13b बुराशि; 13d ये; रूचभिः; भिस्ते; 14b षोवि; 14c बिंभांकिमहछसि; 14d पलास; 15b हत्क; 15c शशीरुचोशवते; 15dकस्त्वांनि; संचिरतोधतीष्टं; 16a नाजि; भवनः; 16b मनाग; 16d मंदि; क्तिषरं; 17b तदेह्री; 17c यस्मिस्तमंप्रसमयन्नय; वत्तिः; 17d परस्त; जग्; 18b भाः वः; 18c नाचवोधा; 18d सुर्या; यमहिमासमुनिद्र; 19b राज्ञे; 19c वोघ; 20 शसये; भाव; 20b सांते; 20c निछंति; 20d नाम्रै:; 21a कथंवाङमु; 21b यथासुस्तन; 21c महीमासुमणोस्त्रु; 21d त्रु; क्लेपि; 22a गंभीरहु; 22b विबुधात्वदायाः; 22c नयरे; 23b चामरं; 23c यत्रेतरो; ममुत; भोः; 23d शतसो; 24a गंभीरगी; ज्वल; 24c गणोश्रेयतस्व; 25c लुपत्यशौककिशलासलताः मतस्त्रां; 26b छिदे; नाघा; 26d भगवंन्नपुरषोत्तमोशि; 27b ईव; 27c नुवि; 27d सोष; 28b चंद्रांश्रु; 28c पैरलध्व; गमितैबिद्रुरै; 28d पिक्षितोसि; 29a अजो; त्रिद; 29c तिक्षीं; 29d शौक; युषं; 30d द्रीसिशिव; रस्मैः; 31a दूरगतस्तं; 31c तिरुणा; 31d त्मुच्चैस्टंकं; 32b मचप्र; 32c आमास्त; वर्श; भवानसंग; 32d मैश्व; 33b छिबो; 33cयैंपि; 33d कांति; 34a द्वंकेशबि; 34b सानही; 34c यातज; 34d शनो; 35a नादिष; 35b भिन्नगस्मं; 36b विषमें मिरा; 37b नातर्बयोस्यपदन; 37d समय; 38b वज्ञज्ज; 39d त्कीत्तिना; वासु; 40a त्वन्ना; सरण्य; 40d लभ्यंते; संख्यसारणंसरणं; 41c क्रंभि; 42b त्वद्भक्तयो; 42c रुप्रत; 42d भिवंति; 43a दंहीश; हानां; 43b तदाश्रु; 43c भजंती; निगवै;

### CRITICAL APPARATUS

43d मरु; सलु; 44a इछं; समाही; 44b णोच्छा; 44d वमम; चिते; 45a दृकुमद; प्रभोवी; 45c चरकाण; 45d सुमुर्य; 46a सुंदवासवाली; 45b सदगुणोघ; 45c आसा; 45d भावः.—

4. SANKHEŠVARA-PĀRŠVANĀTHA-STAVANA

2b स्फूर्ज्जता; 2c प्रशक्ते; 3c फणोफणा; 4a दुर्यवना; 6b प्रतिष्टाः; 9a युक्; 10c बीजं; 11c रुजृ; 12a वस्त्रे; 13a खटा; 13b नोज्वलः; 13c बूमल; 14b सम्यक्जा; 16b जीवितमे; 17a श्रुती.—

#### 5. TÍRTHAMĀLĀ-CAITYAVANDANA

la कोत्रुं; शीख; भृगु; 1b सिंहे; अज्जाहरे श्रीपूरे; 1c कोडीनाहडमंतृ (कोडी on margin); अर्बुदे; 1d पल्ली; फलद्धी; शैरंसी; 2a चंपाराजच-चक्रमथुराजोद्व्वाप्रतिष्टानगे; 2b स्वर्ण्णगीरौ; सुरगीरौ; देवके; 2c पाडलादशपूरे; 2d कर्ण्णाटके शीवपूरे; 3a मेरु; 3b वन्देश्रापद; भीघे; 3c विणा; राजन्गरे; 3d कुंत्तिपल्लवी; 4a त्यपूरे; गढमढगी; 4b वावीहपूरे; ईडरे; 4c वीहार; 4d शत्यपूरे; बाहडपूरे; 5a नंदवंदसमौसमि; मंड; 5b कर्करपूरे; चत्या; 5c ज्जोतिर्वितरकल्पवाशीनी; भोमेषुच; 5d स्तेसर्वेपीहीसांस्वतेतिजिननांक.---

#### 6. VİRA-STUTI<sup>1</sup>

1c इथं; षट्जाति; जतंसकलातिः; 1d विदित; पातसौ; 2a षुषुदां; 2d येषांवातो; बुघापातु; 3c भांक्षुद्रभिः; 3d स्तुर्यंलोषं; पांतु; 4a त्रिटा-भिस्त्राटयंति; करितटं; 4b कोटादुः; मटिमटं; साटयंती; 4c उता; 4d ठ्याद्यालयंती; वसा; छंवसौवर्ढमानः.—

#### 7. MAHĀVĪRA-STUTI

1a क्रौघ, 2c सुषम; 2d दघतु; मंडनेमंडने; 3a मदनसुरः; 3b रौद्रं; 3d सासनं; 4b विहितघुक्षः; 4c सोभावलष्यः; 4d सर्वाणु.—

#### 8. SĪMANDHARA-STAVANA

1d स्वामिय; 2b वेलुअ; 6d नमउं; 10b लगउ; 12c लगउ; 12d नाहजद; जुगउ; 13a घन्न; 13d रहि; 14b देद्द; 14c तुम्ह; 14d रूव; 15a ठिउचरण; 17d बहुअ; 18d करितारिगो; 20a तुंहजि (3 times); 20b तडं; 20c वीनवडं.---

(1) In the onomatopoetic passages, **u** has been left unchanged, as it does not seem possible to decide when it stands for **u** there.

## NOTES

#### 1. MUNISUVRATA-STAVANA

(1) "bhāva-dvesi"="enemy by nature". Here, "bhāva" is the last of the four "nikṣepa" or principles of definition of Jaina dialectic, which are: (a) nāman=denomination, (b) sthāpanā=assumption, (c) dravya= substance, and (d) bhāva=nature or attributes, cp. Tattv. I, 5. Thus, a person of the name of "Jina" may be called a "Nāma-Jina", a Tīrthaṅkara statue a "Sthīpanā-Jina", a being whose soul is predestined to become incarnated as a Tīrthaṅkara in a later life, a "Dravya-Jina", and a saint possessing all the characteristics of a Tīrthaṅkara, would be a "Bhāva-Jina". The "bhāva-dveṣin" or "bhīva-ripu" of the soul is, of course, karman, owing to the harm which it brings to the latter, by suppressing the innate godliness of the ātman.

(2) "Dvādašānga" = the twelve original Sacred Writings of the Jainas, out of which the Svetambaras believe the first 11 to be represented by those 11 works now known as "Angas", while the 12th, the bulky "Drstivāda", is unanimously admitted to be lost.

(3) "Kalpa"=a kind of celestial state, the rulers, officials and subjects of which consist all of gods belonging to the lower of the two sub-sections of the fourth and highest category of Jaina gods, the "Vaimānikas". These gods are known as "Kalpopapanna", while the other sub-section of the Vaimānikas is designated as "Kalpātīta", from the idea that they do not live in "Kalpas", but are all of equal social rank. The "Kalpas" are 12 in number, and occupy the 8 lower strata of Heaven. The lowest layer consists of the first and second "Kalpas", viz., Saudharma in the south, and Īśāna in the north. The second layer contains the third and fourth "Kalpas", viz., Sanatkumāra in the south, and Māhendra in the north. The 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th layers are each occupied by an independent "Kalpa", viz., Brahmaloka the 5th Kalpa, Lantaka the 6th Kalpa, Śukra the 7th Kalpa, and Sahasrāra the 8th Kalpa, respectively. The 9th and 10th Kalpas,

viz., Ānata in the south, and Prānata in the north, form the 7th layer, and the 11th and 12th Kalpas, viz., Ārana in the south, and Acyuta in the north, constitute the 8th layer. Above these 12 Kalpas are situated the realms of the Kalpātīta gods. They consist of two layers, the lower of which contains the 9 Graiveyaka-vimānas, and the higher one, or the tenth Heaven, the 5 Anuttara-vimānas, named Vijaya, Vaijayanta, Jayanta, Aparājita, and Sarvārthasiddha. Cp. Tattv. IV.17 ff. and Commentary. The physical and ethical refinement of the various classes of divinities is in direct proportion to the elevation of their respective abodes.

Out of the nine last existences of Munisuvrata, four were spent in Heaven, vis., the second in the first Kalpa Saudharma, the fourth in the third Kalpa Sanatkumāra, the sixth in the fifth Kalpa Brahmaloka, and the eighth in the Anuttara-vimāna Aparājita, each being preceded and followed by a human one, and each representing a higher level of refinement than the preceding one.

(5) "Hari-kula"="Hari-vamśa", the Kṣatriya dynasty to which the two Tirthankaras Munisuvrata and Neminātha belonged, while the remaining 22 were all scions of the "Ikṣvāku" dynasty.

(6) "jani-maham" = "janma-mahotsavam", alluding to the belief that the gods celebrate the birth of each Tirthankara with great éclat, after taking the new-born babe to the fairy-island of Nandiśvara-dvīpa, outside the world of men.

"adya-jüäna-traya" = the first three out of the five categories of knowledge, viz, (a) mati-jüäna or knowledge obtained through the senses and the process of thinking, (b) iruta-jüäna or knowledge acquired by instruction, (c) avadhi-jü na or transcendental knowledge of material things, (d) manahparyäya-jüäna or thought-reading, and (e) kevala-jüäna or omniscience. Cp. Tattv. I, 9 ff. The Tirthańkaras are believed to possess the first 3 categories from their very conception, and to acquire the last two at later stages of their lives.

(7) "mohādyari-kula" refers to the mohaniya and the other categories of karman, represented as the enemies of the soul (vide above).

(8)-(14) vide general chapter.

(11) "tri-vapri"=the threefold enclosure of the "Samavasarana".

(12) "cātūrūpyam"=the fourfold appearance of the Tirthankara, created by the gods in the "Samavasarana" in such a way that he seems to face all the four directions simultaneously.

(14) "audāttya"="high tone," a quality attributed to the Tīrthankara's voice: cp. Hemacandra, Abhidh. I, 65 and Commentary.

#### NOTES

(15) "sita" and "asita" = "fukla" and "kṛṣṇa" with reference to the two halves of the month, the order of the two words being dictated here by Kaumudi, Sūtra 904 (2/2/33). In fact, the "dikṣā" took place in the bright half, and the "kevala-jõāna-kalyāṇaka" in the dark (cp. Saptatiśata 59 and 87, Dharmaghoṣa's Munisuvrata-stava, 1. 1., and Triṣaṣṭ. IV, 7, st. 154 and 159).

"*i*titi" means "dark" here, as both "janman" and "moksa" took place in the dark halves of the resp. months according to the available sources (*vide* above).

(20) "İśāna"=the 2nd Kalpa (vide above).

(21) "carama-jaladhi" refers to the Svayambhūramaṇa-samudra, re which *vide* general chapter. According to Jaina cosmology, aquatic animals are only found in the first two ring-oceans, counted from Jambu-dvīpa, *viz.*, Lavanoda and Kaloda, and in the last ocean, the Svayambhūramaṇa Sea. All the other countless ring-oceans are void of life.

(22) This stanza contains a reminiscence of the famous "Tortoiseparable", one of the 10 stereotype allegories used over and again to illustrate what a rare chance it means for a living being to be born as a man, and thus to have the chance of finding the path to moksa by following the Jina's teachings. It is equal to the chance which a tortoise, living at the bottom of a lake thickly overgrown with aquatic weeds, would have to see the fullmoon through a hole made in the weeds by a chance gale in a full-moonnight (cp. "Śri-Ratnasaficaya-grantha, published by Seth Chaturbhuj Tejpal, Hubli, V. S. 1984, p. 53, st. 119).

(27) "marttika" adj.

"alolibhava-sthiti"="of long standing".

(28) "antarmuhūrtta" = somewhat less than a mūhurtta (=two ghațikă,
 =48 minutes).

"vilasati" Loc. sg. of the Part. pres.

(31) "naya"="logical stand-points", the adoption of a single one of which in viewing a problem leads to error, due to onesidedness of judgement, while the adoption of a plurality of them is indispensible in finding the truth, according to the Jaina doctrine (cp. Tattv. I, 34 f.).

"syādvada"=relativity of truthfulness of a statement.

(35) "vikathi" (sanskritized "vigahi") = "undesirable, idle talk" such as is forbidden from the stand-point of monastic discipline. The Sthänängasütra (IV. 2, Sütra 282) discerns four types, viz., (a) "itthi-kahi" or talk about women, (b) "bhatta-kahi" or talk about food, (c) "desa-kahi" or talk about countries, and (d) "räya-kahi" or talk about princes.

(36) "astabhedi-pūjā"=pūjā by 1. water, 2. sandal-paste, 3. flowers,
4. frank-incense, 5. light, 6. rice-grains, 7. sweetmeats, and 8. fruit, as is usually performed to this day by Mūrti-pūjaka Śvetāmbaras.

#### 2. DEVAKULĀDINĀTHA-STAVANA

(2) "sahajam"=disposition.

(4) "sat-kāyāh"=the six categories of living beings, viz., earth-, water-, fire-, air-, plant-bodies, and animals (cp. Pravac., Dvāra 152, st. 989).

The pleasantness of everything in his surroundings, as described in this stanza, is one of the "atiśayas" of a "Tirthankara: cp. general chapter.

(7d) "the boat consisting in the pair of your two feet, was not obtainable".

(10) "Vrsabha"=Rsabha, or Ādinātha.

(18) "In this world, there is a scarcity of your likeness", i. e., your equals are rare.

(19) "We believe that when the devotee, eager to bow to your feet, bends his head down low, this is not due to his natural trend, but to the heavy store of merit formerly acquired by him (as without such merit, the opportunity of coming into contact with the Jaina Faith, cannot be obtained)".

(21) "The fame of those who bow to you, spreads so far that (reaching the ends of the world) it forms, as it were, flower-chaplets for the Dikkumāris". The latter are goddesses belonging to the Dikkumāra sub-class of the first main category of gods of the Jaina Pantheon, the Bhavanavāsins, and are the guardians of the heavenly quarters (Tattv. IV, 11).

(23) "vikalpanih" Acc. plur.

(26) "Nabheya"="son of Nabhi", i. e., Rsabha or Adinatha.

#### 3. VARAKĀŅA-PĀRŚVANĀTHA STAVANA

(3) "prathama" refers, in the original context of the Bhaktāmarastotra (st. 2d), to the first Jina  $\bar{A}$ din tha, and therefore stands there in the literal sense. As the present hymn, however, is addressed to the 23rd Jina, it must here be taken in the sense of "foremost", "best".

(12) "sita"="sugar".

(14) "mahasah"="swiftly".

(15) "savate"=3rd Person plur. Pres. Tense of "su" "to go".

(20) "samud"="joyful", a synonymon of "aíoka", meant here as an allusion to the Aíoka-tree, the first of the eight "prātihāryas", which are referred to, in their conventional order, in st. 20-27, each in one stanza.

#### NOTES

(22) "yad bhejuşām sumanasām.....manah"="yā vicah (yām vāņīm) pibatām sajjanānām.....manah"; in this context "vibudha" must be taken as an adjective.

(34c) The first sentence ends after "yā te".

(35b) "bhinna"="puffed up".

(37) "na neme"="I never bowed" (here the sentence ends).

"vaya"="fākhā": "a forest conflagration caused by the falling of lightning on branches (of forest trees)".

(41) "śaran"=Part. Pres. of "śri" "to tear".

(45) "Bhogindra"="the Indra of the Snake(-demon)s", *i. e.*, Dharanendra, the Indra of the Nägakumäras, Pärśvanātha's Śāsanadeva: "Bhogi—lalanā"=the Śāsanadevī of Pārśvanātha, Padmāvatī, consort of the former.

"Laksmih" is, of course, meant in the metaphorical sense of "Highest Bliss", *i. e.*, "Moksa".

#### 4. ŚAŃKHEŚVARA-PĀRŚVANĀTHA-STAVANA

(3) "Kamatha"=the name of Parsvanatha's hostile brother in his pre-existence as the Minister's son Marubhūti. This Kamatha was, in Parsvanatha's last existence, re-incarnated as the Asura Meghamālin, who tried to disturb the Lord's meditation by sending against him ferocious animals, dust-storms, and cloud-bursts, which latter are alluded to here along with the just mentioned snake-deities, who tried to protect him with their expanded hoods.

(8) "asța-karma-karați"="the (hostile) elephants, consisting in the eight (well-known) karma-prakrtis": vide general chapter.

"pañcapramādāh"="the five intoxicants", viz., alcohol, sexual lust, passion, sleep, and undesirable talk ("vigahā"), cp. Uttarādh. IV.

#### 5. TĪRTHAMĀLĀ-CAITYAVANDANA

(4c) The Locative "Darbhāvate" presupposes a rather unusual "Darbhāvata"!

(5c) This passage refers to the four main categories of gods, viz., Bhavanavāsin, Vyantara, Jyotiska, and Vaimānika, and to their abodes in the various strata of the universe, all of which are supposed to contain temples of the Tirthankaras.

#### 6. VIRA-STUTI

- (2) "Tirthapa"="Tirthankara".
- (4) "treta"="taurya-trika", the triad of song, dance, and music.

"ațankā" = "void of pride": cp. "amadehā" (i. e. "amada" + "īhā"), an attribute of similar meaning, bestowed on this goddess by Šobhana Muni in his 23rd Stuti (loc. cit. p. 276, st. 92).

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aryaraksita, Anuyogadvara-sutra, Agamodaya Samiti, Bombay, 1924

Așțottari-tirthamălă-stavana = Așțottari-tirthamălă-caityavandana, vide Mahendrasūri

Bappabhațțisūri-viracită Caturvimsatikă, Agamodaya Samiti, Bombay, 1926

Bhagavati-sūtra, Agamodaya Samiti, Bombay, 1918-21

Bhaktāmarastotra-pādapūrti-rūpasya kāvyasangrahasya prathamo vibhāgah, dvitīyo vibhāgah, Agamodaya Samiti, Bombay, 1926-27

Bhandarkar, B. G., Wilson Philological Lectures on Sanskrit and the Derived Languages, Bombay, 1914

Bhattacharya, B. C., The Jaina Iconography, Punjab Oriental Series, Lahore, 1939

Bloomfield, M., The Life and Stories of the Jaina Saviour Pārśvanātha, Baltimore, 1919

Caritravijaya Muni, Vihāradarśana, Viramgam, V. S. 1988.

Cunningham, A., Ancient Geography of India, ed. Mazumdar, Calcutta, 1924

Daksināmūrti, Uddhārakośa, ed. Shodo Taki, Sarasvati Vihara Series 4, ed. Dr. Raghuvira, International Academy of Indian Culture, Lahore, 1938

Desai, M. D., A Short History of Jaina Literature, Jain Shvetambara Conference Office, Bombay, 1933

Devabhadrasūri, Pāsanāha-Cariyam, ed. Acharya Shri Vijayakumudasuri, Manivijayagani-granthamala, Ahmedabad, 1945

Devendraslava-prakirnaka, Agamodaya Samiti, Bombay, 1927

Divatia, N. B., Gujarati Language and Literature, Bombay, 1921;1932.

Dey, Nundo Lal, The Geographical Dictionary of Ancient and Mediaeval India, London, 1927

Hargovind Das T. Seth, Païa-sadda-mahannavo, Calcutta, 1928

Haribhadrasūri, Āvasyaka-niryuktiķīkā, Agamodaya Samiti, Bombay, 1916-17

Hemacandrasūri, Abhidhāna-cintāmaņi, ed. Sri Vijayadharmasūri, Yaiovijaya Jaina Granthamālā, Bhavnagar, Vira S. 2446

Hemacandrasūri, Anya-yoga-vyavaccheda-dvātrimsikā, ed. A. B. Dhruva, Bombay, Sanskrit Series, 1933

Hemacandrasūri, Tri**ş**aşți-śalākā-purușa-caritra, Jaina Dharma Prasaraka Sabha, Bhavnagar, 1906-13

Hemacandrasūri, Trisasți-faliki-purușa-caritra, Parvan I, Parts I and II, translated into English by H. Johnson, GOS, 1931-37

Hindi-Pañca-pratikramana, Indore, 1927

Jaina Aitihāsika Gurjara Kāvya Sañcaya, ed. Muni Jinavijaya, Jaina Atmananda Sabha, Bhavnagar, 1926

Jaina Gurjara Kavio, I-III, ed. M. D. Desai, Jain Shvetambar Conference Office, Bombay, 1926, 1931, 1944

Jaina-stotra-sandoha, ed. Muni Caturavijaya, Part I, Ahmedabad, 1932

Jaina-vāņi-sangraha, Calcutta, V. S. 1982

Jaini, J., Outlines of Jainism, Cambridge, 1916

Jaina Satya Prakāša (Magazine), ed. C. G. Shah, Ahmedabad

Jayantavijaya Muni, Abu, Vijayadharmasūri Jaina Granthamala, Ujjain, 1933

Jayantavijaya Muni, Śańkheśvara Mahłtīrtha, Vijayadharmasūri Jaina Granthamala, V. S. 1998

Jayasimhasūri, Hammīra-mada-mardana, GOS, No. 10

Jkaveri, M. Bh., Comparative and Critical Study of Mantrashastra, Ahmedabad, 1944

Jhaveri, M. T., Munisuvrata Svāmi Caritra, Thana, V. S. 1998

Jinadāsa Gani Mahattara, Āvaśyaka-cūrni: Āvaśyaka-niryukti, ed Rsabhadevaji Keśarimalaji Śvetāmbara Samsthā, Ratlam, 1928

Jinaharsa Gani, Vastupala-caritra, Gujarati Translation, Jaina Dharm. Prasaraka Sabha, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1974

Jinamandana Gani, Kumarapala-prabandha, Jaina Atmananda Sabha, Bhavnagar, 1971

Jinaprabhasūri, Vividha-tirthakalpa, Singhi Jain Series 10, Santiniketana, 1934

Jinaratna-kośa, vide Velankar

Jñānavijaya Muni, Jaina Tīrthono Itihāsa, Palitana, V. S. 1981

Jñātādharmakathā-sūtra, Agamodaya Samiti, Bombay, 1916

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Keśavarāma K. Šāstrī, Āpaņā Kavīo I, Ahmedabad, 1942, Gujarat Vernacular Society

Krause, C., Käika Śańkheśvara Sähitya, Jaina Satya Prakaśa, Varșa 11, Amka 3, pp. 73-50

Krause, C., Siddhasena Divâkara and Vikramāditya, Vikrama Volume, Scindia Oriental Institute, Ujjain, 1948, pp. 213-280

Krause, C., Śrī-Hemavimalasūri-kṛta Tera Kāṭhiyānī Sajjhāya, Jaina Satya Prakaśa, Varṣa 12, Amka 3, pp. 74-76

Lakshmivijayasūri, Upadeša-prāsāda, Jaina Dharma Prasaraka Sabha, Bhavnagar, 1914-23

Lālacandra, Pt. Bhagavāndāsa, Pāvāgadha thī Vadodrā mī Prakața Thayelā Jirāvāla Paršvanātha, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1992

Lālacandra, Pt. Bhagavāndāsa, Tejapālano Vijaya, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1991

Mahendrasūri, Astottari-tirthamilā-caityavandana, Vidhipaksa-gacchasya Paŭca-pratikramaņa-sūtrāņi, V. S. 1984

Mangaladāsa Trikamdāsa Jhaveri, vide Jhaveri, M.

Merutungasūri, Prabandha-cintāmaņi, Singhi Jaina Series I, Santiniketana, 1933

Munisundarasūri, Gurvāvalī, Yasovijaya Jaina Granthamala, 9, Benares V. S. 1961

Munisundarasūri, Traividyā-gosthi, Sha Devakarana Mulaji, Bombay, V. S. 1966

Nahar, P. C., Jain Inscriptions, Parts I-III, Calcutta, Vira S. 2444, A.D. 1927, 1929

Nahta, A. and Bh., Dàdā Śri Jinakuśalasūri, Calcutta, V. S. 1996

Nazim, Dr. M., Epigraphia Indo-Moslemica

Nemicandra, Pravacanasiroddhāra, Devchand Lalbhai Pustakoddhar Fund Series, Bombay, 1922

Nundo Lal, vide Dey

Pādaliptasūri, Nirvāņa-kalikā, Nirnayasagara Press, 1926

Pañca-pratikramaņa-sūtra, Jaina Atmananda Sabha, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1982

Pattāvali-sangraha, ed. Muni Jinavijaya, Calcutta, 1932

Pheru, Vāstu-sāra-prakaraņa, Jaipur, 1936

Prabhācandra, Prabhāvaka-caritra, Singhi Jaina Series 13, Ahmedabad-Calcutta, 1940

Prabhācandra, Prabhāvaka-caritra, Gujarati Translation by Muni Kalyanavijaya, Jaina Atmananda Sabha, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1987

Prabhāvaka-caritra, vide Prabhācandra

Prācina-lekha-sangraha, ed. Sri Vijayadharmasuri, Part I, Yasovijaya Jaina Granthamala, Bhavnagar, 1929

Prācina-tirthamālā-sangraha, ed. Sri Vijayadharmasūri, Part I, Yasovijaya Jaina Granthamala, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1978

Prajñāpanā-sūtra, Agamodaya Samiti, 19-20, Bombay, 1918-19

Pravacanasāroddhāra, vide Nemicandra

Purātana-prabandha-sangraha, Singhi Jaina Series 2, Calcutta, 1936

Pūrņa-Kšema-Vallabha-vilāsa, ed. Sri Vallabhasagara Gani, Neemuch, V. S. 1990

Ratna-samuccaya-grantha, ed. Seth Manekchand Pitambaradas, Hubli, V. S. 1985

Samavāyānga-sūtra, Agamodaya Samiti, 15, Surat, 1919

Sanghadāsa Gaņi, Vasudevahiņdi, Jaina Atmananda Sabha, Bhavnagar, 1930

Sāntivijaya Muni, Jaina Tirtha Guide, Ahmedabad, V. S. 1967

Satruñjaya-yātrā-vicāra, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1985

Savāni, L. N., Jaina Tirthāvalī Pravāsa, Teravala, V. S. 1963

Senapraśna, vide Śubhavijaya

Śobhana Muni, Caturvińśati-Jina-stuti, ed. H. R. Kapadia, Agamodaya Samiti, 52-53, Bombay, 1926

Somadharma Gani, Upadeśa-saptati, Ahmedabad, V. S. 1998

Somaprabhasūri, Kumārapāla-pratibodha, GOS 14, Baroda, 1920

Somaprabhasūri, Kumārapāla-pratibodha, Gujarati Translation, Jaina Atmananda Sabha, Bhavnagar

Somatilakasūri, Saptatiśata-sthina-prakarana, Atmananda Jaina Sabha, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1975

Sthānānga-sūtra, Agamodaya Samiti 21-22, Bombay, 1918-20

Stotra-sangraha, Yasovijaya Jaina Granthamala

Stotra-samuccaya, ed. Muni Caturavijaya, Nirnayasagara Press, 1928

Śubhavijaya Gani, Senapraśna or Praśnottara-ratnākara, Devchand Lal-

bhai Pustakoddhar Fund Series No. 51, Bombay, 1919

Sūrimantra-brhat-kalpa-vivaraņam, Ahmedabad, Vira S. 2458

Sūtrakrtānga-sūtra, Agamodaya Samiti 18, Bombay, 1947

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Syādvāda-ratnākara, vide Vadidevasūri

*Tantrābhidhāna*, ed. Panchanana Bhattacharya, Tantrik Texts, ed. A. Avalon, Calcutta and London, 1937

Tattvārthādhigama-sūtra, vide Umāsvāti

Tiloya-pannatti, vide Yativrsabha

Tirthāvali-pravāsa, vide Savānī

Umāsvāti, Tattvārthādhigama-sūtrāņi Bhāsya-sahitāni, ed. M. L. Ladhaji, Poonu, Vira S. 2453

Umāsvāti, Tattvārthādhigama-sūtra, with Vritti and Bhāṣya, ed. H. R. Kapadia, Devchand Lalbhai Pustakoddhar Fund Series 67 and 76, 1926-30

Uttarādhyayana-sūtra, Yasovijaya Jaina Granthamala 46, Bhavnagar, 1927

Vādidevasūri, Pramiņa-naya-tattvālokālabkāra with Syādvāda-ratnākara, Yasovijaya Jaina Granthamala, Benares, Vira S. 243-37

Velankar, H. D., Jinaratna-kośa, Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona, 1944

Vidyāvijaya Muni, Merī Mevād-yātrā, Vijayadharmasuri Jaina Granthamala, Bhavnagar

Vijayadharmasūri, Devakulapitaka, Yasovijaya Jaina Granthamala, Bhavnagar

Vimalasūri, Paüma-caria, Jaina-Dharma Prasaraka Sabha, Bhavnagar, 1914

Vinayavijaya, Kalpasūtra-țīkā Subodhikā, Jaina Atmananda Sabha. Bhavnagar, V. S. 1975

Viravijaya Muni, Śri Jaina-Kalpa-Mantra-Mahodadhi, I, Multan, V. S. 1999

Vividha-tirtha-kalpa, vide Jinaprabhasūri

Winternitz, M. A., History of Indian Literature, published by the University of Calcutta, 1927-33

Yasovijaya Upādhyāya, Śrī Navapadajī Pūjā, Jaina Atmananda Sabha, Bhavnagar, V. S. 1984

Yativrsabha, Tiloya-paṇṇatti, Jaina Samskrti-samraksaka-sanghu, Sholapur, I. 1943

## CORRIGENDA

Page + line, note or stanza				Incorrect	Correct	
Introduc	tion p.	3	n.	2	"cylindrical	a cylindrical
,,	,,	6	1.	31	all in all	in all
"	"	7	"	2	Haira <b>ņ</b> yakas	Haira <b>ņ</b> ya- vatas •
"	,,	8	;,	23	eviating	deviating
,,	,,	12	n,	4	Vastusāra	Vastusāra
,,	"	12	<b>,,</b>	4	1036	1936
Text	,,	2	1.	24	A <b>șț</b> ami	Așțamī
,,	,,	5	n.	1	Sūri	S <b>ū</b> ri,
,,	,,	5	,,	4.5	Prabhacandra	Prabhācandra
,,	,,	5	"	4.6	Sri	Śrī
,,	,,	7	1.	7	Asvavabodha	<b>Asvā</b> vabodha
,,	,,	7	n.	3	havai	hava <b>ī</b>
"	,,	10	,,	3	Vid	Vide
,,	,,	11	"	2	Vid	Vide
"	,,	11	,,	3	Vid	Vide
,,	"	13	,,	1	pinnancle	pi <b>nn</b> acle
,,	,,	14	,,	6	Sri	Śr <b>ī</b>
,,	"	17	,,	7	Amadatta	<b>Å</b> madatta
• >	,,	17	,,	23	Amadatta	Amadatta
,,	,,	18	,,	17	A ștottar <b>i</b>	Așțottar <b>ī</b>
141						

Page+lin	e, note	or sta	nza		Incorrect	Correct
Text	p.	23	n.	1	Muni uvrata	Munisuvrata
,,	,,	25	,,	4	Sha.	Sha
"	"	26	""	2	ava	stava
"	,,	29	1.	6	Śārdāla	Śārdūla-
"	,,	39	,,	1	श्रीवरकारण	श्रीवरकाण
"	,,	39	,,	· 1	ववेकविजययेनः	ववेकविजयेनः
"	"	41	,,	27	Svādhy <b>ā</b> ya	Svādhyāyaḥ
<b>9</b> 7	,,	45	n.	1	reiliability	reliability
,,	,,	49	1.	16	blīm	blīm
"	,,	49	n.	5	b <b>ṛ</b> ahat	b <b>ŗ</b> hat
<b>?</b> 9	,,	<b>5</b> 5	,,	1	Jñana	Jñāna
"	,,	55	,,	3	Aștot,	Astot.,
,,	"	57	,,	2	Sūtra.	Sūtra,
<b>9</b> 7	"	57	,,	3	"Mānusot- tara"	"Mānusot- tara"
"	,,	57	,,	3	M <b>ānn</b> u <b>s</b> ottara	Mānusottara
"	<b>9</b> 7 ·	58	1.	13	Ry	Ry.
"	"	61	n	2	ayer	layer
,,	,,	65	"	1	rāmarāga	grāmarāga
"	,,	66	,,	1	Pūrņa	- Pūrņa-
"	"	71	,,	1	Daksina	Dak <b>s</b> iņā
,,	,,	79	1.	19	•	is
,,	,,	85	,,	].	(''yath <b>ā</b>	"yathā
,,	.))	87	1.		prakare	prakāre
				14	2	_

Shree Sudharmaswami Gyanbhandar-Umara, Surat

# ĈO**RRI**GENDA

o <b>r sta</b> i	stanza		Incorrect	Correct
87		4	Ke <b>s</b> aava	Ke <b>s</b> ava
87	87 "	5	Ke <b>s</b> va	<b>Kes</b> ava
88	<b>3</b> 8 1.	1	vinnavemi	viņņavem <b>i</b>
<b>8</b> 8	88 n	. 3	xide	vide
<b>8</b> 8	88 "	5	anguage	language
89	39 "	2	apposation	apposition
90	90 I.	3	Vrtti	Vrtti
91	91 ,	29	Labhimuniji	Labdhimuniji
94	94 st	. 16d	जमनस्ते	जन्मनस्ते
95	95,	<b>, 1</b> 4a	সিহা	সিঁহা
95	95 "	14b	दात्त्याद्वचास्ते	दात्याद्यास्ते
97	97,	<b>, 2</b> 6b	निवृति	निर्वृति
98	98,	<b>,</b> 30c	शाड्वलं	शाद्वलं
<b>9</b> 9	99,	, 34a	बलघटा	वलघटा
99	99,	, 37a	वबोध	वद्योधं
100	00,	, 2c	प्रयुङकते	प्रयुङ्कते
100	00,	, 2d	सवा	सर्वा
104	04,	, 22d	समाधानावधि	समाधानविधि
104	.04 ,	, 26d	च्छीना	च्छ्रीना
107		, 13d	परमानु	परमाण्
109	09,	, 2a	वाङमु	वाङ्मु
10 <b>9</b>	09,	, 24d	मुनीम्द्र	मुनीग्द्र
110	10 ,	26b	त्रिजगज्जनौधानं	त्रिजगज्जनौधा <b>न्</b>
110	.10 ,	, 30a	ना थ	नाथ
	1	110 "	110 ,, 26b 110 ,, 3 <b>0</b> a	110 ,, 26b त्रिजगज्जनौधानं

Shree Sudharmaswami Gyanbhandar-Umara, Surat

		•
ANCIENT	JAINA	HYMNS

Page+li	ne, note	or sta	nza	Incorrect	Correct	
Text	р.	111	st. 34c	याते; ऽजनिष्ट	या ते ऽ जनिष्ट;	
"	"	<b>1</b> 11	" 34c	किलाय:	किल यः	
"	"	<b>1</b> 13	" 43b	तुलित	तुलितं	
,,	"	1 <b>1</b> 3	" 44d	यन्तावकं	यस्तावकं	
"	,,	<b>1</b> 14	<b>,, 3</b> a	नीरोत्करर्दिधते	नीरोत्करैर्दिद्युते	
,,	"	114	" 4a	प्रोढ	प्रौढ	
,,	"	114	" 4d	नं	तं	
<b>&gt;</b> 7	"	115	" 8a	नष्ट	नष्टं	
"	"	<b>1</b> 15	" 8c	वाभिर्गत	वाभिर्गतं	
,,	"	<b>1</b> 15	" 9d	सेवत	सेवित	
"	"	115	"10c	इतों	हूसों	
<b>7</b> 9	"	116	" 11c	ज्जम्भते	ज्जूम्भते	
,,	"	<b>1</b> 17	" 20Ъ	शतघातिः	<u> शतघृतिः</u>	
,,	,,	<b>1</b> 17	,, <b>2</b> 1d	सान्नभो	सन्निभो	
,,	,,	<b>1</b> 18	,, 1d	<b>शङखे</b> वरे	शङ्खे <b>त्र्वरे</b>	
) <del>.</del>	"	1 <b>19</b>	" 1c	षडू	ऌड्	
"	"	119	" 3d	लेश	लेशं	
,,	,,	120	,, la	कोधनोद्ध	कोधरोध	
"	"	<b>1</b> 20	,, 4c	तियत	नियत	
31	,,	121	" 6d	सीमंधर	सीमंघरं	
"	,,	124	<b>,, 21</b> a	डूषण	दूषण	
))	"	129	l. 11	bhava-ripu	bh <b>āva-r</b> ipu	
"	"	130	n. 23	adya	ādya	
11	,,	131	,, 28	m <b>ū</b> hurtta	muh <b>ūrtta</b>	

### **PUBLICATIONS**

1

#### OF

### THE SCINDIA ORIENTAL INSTITUTE

#### UJJAIN

- तत्वसार (Marathi)—composed in 1312 A. C. by Cāngadeva Vațeśvara, a contemporary of the celebrated Marathi poet and saint Jñāneśvara. This unique work was discovered and published by the Institute in 1936. Editor: DR. H. R. DIWEKAR, M. A., D. LITT., Sāhityācārya. Crown 8vo, 106 pages with 2 photo-prints. Re. 1/-
- 2. अलडकारमञ्जूषा (Scindia Oriental Series No. 1)—a Sanskrit treatise on poetic figures by Devasankara Purohita (c. 1765 A. C.). The illustrative verses eulogise some Peshwas of Poona. Editor: SADA-SHIVA L. KATRE, M. A. Demy 8vo, 384pages. Rs. 4/
- 3. Ancient Jaina Hymns (Scindia Oriental Series No. 2)—containing seven so far unpublished stotras in Sanskrit and one in Apabhramsa composed by renowned Jaina authors. Editor : DR. CHARLOTTE KRAUSE. Demy 8vo, 176 pages. Rs. 5/-
- 4. जास्त्रतत्त्वविनिर्णय: (Scindia Oriental Series No. 3) a Sanskrit rejoinder to John Muir's Mataparikşā by Nīlakaņţha (Father Nehemiah Goreh). This work refuting the doctrines of Christianity and defending those of Hinduism was composed by the author c. 1844 A. C., i. e. four years before his conversion. Editor: SADASHIVA L. KATRE, M. A. Demy 8vo, 136 pages. Rs. 5/-

1. Catalogue of Manuscripts in the Institute's Library:-

Part	I <b>(</b> 1936)	- 4/-
Part	II <b>(</b> 1941)	-/4/-

Further parts in preparation.

2. Descriptive Catalogue of Manuscripts in the Institute's Library

-10,000 entries. -In progress

Vikrama Volumes in commemoration of the completion of the 2nd millennium of the Vikrama Era published under the auspices of the Institute:—

- 2. विकम-स्मृति-ग्रन्थ (Hindi) ... ,, 20/-
- 3. विकम-स्मृति (Marathi) ... ,, 10/-

Postage etc. extra in all cases.

The three Vikrama Volumes are obtainable from the Director of Archæology, M. B., Gwalior Fort.

For the rest, apply to :---

THE CURATOR, Scindia Oriental Institute, Ujjain (M. B.—India).



-