## KING PRADESHI

(प्रदेशी राजा)

## A STORY CUM SUBHASHITA

In the times when Bhagawana Parshwanatha (the twentythird Tirthankara of the Jains) had established the Religion ( शासन ), there was a great saint, who was a leader of many disciples (and hence called Ganadhara) by the name of Keshi. This Keshi Ganadhara was a very peaceful man ( शांत ), he had controlled all his senses ( इन्द्रिय ), and great ascetic (महातपस्वी). With his vast was also multitude of disciples he had come to the city of Shrāwastī. For his Darshana, as well as for the purposes of paying their salutations and listening to his nectar like preachings, a great many people had gathered. Amongst them was one Sachiwa Chitra, who was the chief-minister of the kingdom whose capital was Shwetāmbikā. . Because of some work pertaining to his kingdom he had come to Shrāwastī, and, having seen a multitude of people, he too became desirous of listening to the preachings, so, he came to the same spot.

To the multitude of men Shrī Keshi Ganadhara said: "Oh men who have the inherent potential of reaching Moksha (or of being liberated) ( भन्यजन)!—

"चतारि परमंगाणि "Chattāri Paramamgāṇi दुल्लुहाणीह जंतुणो । Dullahāṇīha Jantuṇo, माणुसत्तं सुई सद्धा Māṇusattam Suī Saddhā संजिम्मय वीरियं॥" Sanjammiya Wīriyaṃ."

(Source: Uttarādhyana Sūtra - उत्तराध्यन सूत्र - a Jain Scripture आगम ).

"The following four are the means par excellence to Liberation;

They are very rarely achieved by any living being (जीव):
(1) Humanness (2) Constant listening to religious (Dharma)

discourse (3) Unshakable faith in *Dharma* and (4) Application of all one's energy in the rigors prescribed by *Dharma*."

"This means that first of all, to be born a human being is a very rare phenomenon. After numerous cycles of births and rebirths through all the eighty-four lakh kinds of wombs, and after severe efforts in living virtuously (पुण्य), does the Jiwa reach such a birth; having reached it, to be fortunate enough to have the opportunity of listening to the Shāstra (scriptures) is still more rare; and even if one does listen to it, to have the unshakable faith in the preachings of Great Men is still more rare; and finally, even if the faith does become firm, it is still more rare to spend one's entire energy in living a life in accordance with the preachings."

"Bohina distriction" "Labhanti Wiulā Bhogā हिंदी क्रिक्ति सुरसंपया। Labhanti Surasampayā, हिंदी पुत्तिमित्तं च, Labhanti Putta-mittam Cha एगो धम्मो दुलभ्भइ॥" Ego Dhammo Dulabhbhai."

"In this world the Jiwas can gain the most delectable treasures and pleasures (विपुल भोगा); they can even reach the glory and pomp (संपत्ति) of the Dewas (denizens of heaven); they can even get children and friends who are in exact accordance with their wishes — but true Dharma is something that they cannot gain with ease."

## Hence:

भवकोटीदुष्प्रापामवाप्य "Bhawa-kotī-dushprāpāmawāpya
त्रभवादिसकलसामग्रीम् । Nrubhawādi-sakala-sāmagrīm,
भवजलिधियानपात्रे Bhawa-jala-nidhiyāna-pātre
धर्मे यत्नः सदा कार्यः ॥ Dharme Yatnaha Sadā
Kāryaha."

"Having found yourself as a human being with all the other appropriate strengths (of good health, long life etc.), which is a difficult occurrence among a myriad crore of

cycles of births and rebirths, one should constantly make efforts in *Dharma* — which is like a boat to cross the *Samsāra*, which is like a great ocean (i. e. this world where there is conitnuous birth and rebirth)."

"The great Tirthankaras have explained this Dharma follows. (1) Utmost compassion for all creatures ( जीवदया ), (2) Complete truthfulness ( सत्य ) and purity ( शीच ). (3) Total freedom from covetousness (अस्तेय). (4) Perfect abstinence from sex (ब्रह्मचर्य), (5) Blameless discarding of all possessions (अपरिग्रह), (6) Stoppage of eating after sunset and of consumption of wines, liquors and meats and all foods which are made out of a very large number of Jiwas ( अनन्तकाय -- it is said that in a part as small as a pinhead of most roots like onions there are an infinite number of Jiwas — and hence the prescription against eating them ), and other various forbidden ( अमध्य ) foods. (The whole subject of the nature of life is dealt with at great length in Jain Shāstras such as Jīwa Wīchāra and Pragnyā Panā Sūtra), (7) Unblemished humility, (8) Statements (of religion) which because they do not contain any unnecessary mystery, are easy to understand. (9) Total tranquility ( ज्ञांत ) and (10) Simplicity of heart. This Dharma, starting from charity to all who are deserving and needy, is like the Kalpawruksha (a tree said to bestow any boon asked of it) since it leads right up to the greatest of all fruits that is Moksha (Liberation). Further, just as all good qualities ( गुण ) are rooted in humility ( विनय ), in a like manner, the pillars of religions are the faith in the right God, the right Guru and the right Dharma. Such a faith is called Samyaktwa (सम्यक्तव)."

"Till this Samyaktwa is reached, this Samsāra (i.e. the world of births and rebirths) is like an ocean that is very difficult to cross for all Jīwas, and till that time there is always the experience of deep pains and anxieties. Hence O Men! Be of faith, that only he is God who is Wītarāga

( नीतराग - one who is totally free from the slightest desires and attachments); that only he is Guru, who is Nirgrantha ( निर्मेश - one who has given up all and every possession. Also, later in the story, the meaning of this word becomes clear); and that only the tenets and the principles expounded by the Omniscient ( सर्वज्ञ) is Dharma."

So ended the discourse of Shri Keshi Ganadhara.

The words and speech of true saints are very sweet and pleasant, and they are full of high precepts; hence their effect is quick and definite; and when one lives in accordance with them they lead to an unperturbable happiness. The same was the effect of this discourse of Shrī Keshi Ganadhara on the listners, and in particular, upon the chief minister Chitra, who was deeply moved. His faith in Dharma grew manifold. Instantly, he reached the stage of Samyaktwa and accepted the twelve vows (37) of the householder.

Then with great humbleness, this religious minister bowed down in salutation to the Guru and said: "Oh Lord! Oh Merciful! our King Pradeshi is a very brave man, and so also he is full of profound courage and patience (খা ) and yet he is a great atheist (নাইনক). He does not believe in Atmā, Virtue (पुण्य), Sin (पाप) or Religion (খম ). Hence Sire, be gratious and please come to my city of Shwetāmbikā. I am very hopeful that my king's mind will change when he comes into contact with you — and so he too shall discover this wonderous Dharma."

The great saints never use definitive language and so Shri Keshi Ganadhara, his new found Guru said to the minister: "As the circumstances shall permit (श्रेत्र स्पर्शना)".

As soon as his work was completed, the chief minister Chitra returned to the city of Shwetāmbikā. Then he called the keeper of the garden and said to him: "My

good man, henceforth whenever any saint comes to our garden, please inform me first — and I shall take good care of you." The chief-minister had a purpose in giving such instructions: Were the king to hear of the arrival of the saint, then by deprecating him, the king may well order the saint to leave his kingdom; and were this to happen, the opportunity for any change in the king's attitude would be lost. On the other hand, were the minister to know first about the arrival of the saint, he may well be able to arrange things in a desirable manner.

Then one day, the keeper of the garden came to the chief-minister and said: "Sir, a Ganadhara called Shri Keshi, who has taken the five great vows ( w महानत ) and follows the five rules of conduct ( ५ आचार ); and controls his daily routine in accordance with the five Samitis ( ५ समिति ) and the three Guptis ( ३ गुप्ति ); and who is celebate and remains always within the nine barriers (बाड) to non-celebacy (अब्रह्मचर्य); who has mastery over the five senses (इन्द्रिय) and its twenty three objects (विषय): who is free from the four Kashāyas (i.e. the "great defects" of anger, pride, deceit and greed); who has already gained the four kinds of knowledge ( ज्ञान ); who is wise. profound, full of patience, brave and generous as no one is. who is full of equipoise and contentment, and is always cheerful. and thus he is one who has removed many of the bondages around the Atma, and is therefore called Nirgrantha ( निर्मेश); and who does nothing that leads to the slightest violent loss of life ( निरारंभी ). Such is this Shrī Keshi Ganadhara, who has come this morning to the garden in all his glory.

The chief-minister was very much delighted with these news, and by giving the appropriate reward he satisfied the gardener and started thinking:

"वार्ता च कौतुकवती

विशदा च विद्याः, लोकोत्तरः

परिमलश्च कुरंगनाभे :।

तैलस्य बिन्दुरिव वारिणि दुर्निवार-

मेतत्त्रयं प्रसरतीह किमत्र चित्रम्?॥

"Wārtā Cha Kautukawatī

Wishadā Cha Widyāhā, Lokottaraha

Parimalashcha Kuranganābhehe;

Tailasya Binduriwa Wāriṇi Durniwāra-

Metattrayam Prasaratiha Kimatra Chitram?"

"The news which have an element of unusualness, the knowledge which is pure and the fragrance of Kasturi-these three, just like a drop of oil on water, spread every where: about this, there is nothing surprising."

"So let me go to the king before he comes to know of the arrival of the Guru, and let me, by stratagem, take the king to him; so that he has the benefit of coming into contact with a holy man ( सत्यंग). Because it is said:

"जाड्यं धियो हरति

्सिश्चति वाचि सत्यं,

मानोन्नतिं दिशति पापमपाकरोति।

चेतः प्रसादयति दिश्च तनोति

कीर्तिं, सत्संगतिः

कथय किं न करोति पुंसाम ?॥"

"Jādyam Dhiyo Harati

Sinchati Wāchi Satyam,

Mānonnatim Dishati Pāpamapākaroti;

Chetaha Prasādayati Dikshu

Tanoti

Kirtim, Sat–sangatihi

Kathaya Kim Na Karoti Pumsām?"

"The Satsanga (सतसंग) of holy men leads to the removal of the dirt covering one's intellect; it gives honesty to one's speech, makes one's mind joyous, and spreads one's prestige everywhere. So O friend! what can this (i e. such Satsanga) not give?"

Having so thought, the Chief - Minister Chitra went to his majestey, the king Pradeshi, and said: "O Lord! today is a very bright and pleasant day, as there is the

welcome arrival of Spring and this cool breeze which is now laden with the fragrance of flowers is blowing ever so gently. And the creepers who emulate the limbs of dancers have adorned themselves with new flowers, and the mango trees are looking beautiful being full of blossoms.....so O Lord, let us ride out and enjoy sporting with our horses."

The king was pleased to hear this, and so both the and his chief minister mounted themselves on the best of their horses and went out of the city for a ride; and soon they came near the garden where Shrī Keshi Ganadhara was giving his discourses. The king dismounted from his horse, and was enjoying the sight of the trees, the creepers, the music of the birds and the gurgling sound of clear crystal streams while standing under the shade of a large tree — when the sweet sound of the discourse of the Ganadhara fell upon his ears. He asked: "My chiefminister, whose is this beautiful sound?"

The chief minister replied: "O King, the sound is coming from this direction — so let us go forward and we shall soon know."

To this the king agreed, and no sooner they had walked a little in that direction, that they saw the *Dharmāchārya* giving his discourse. The king was immediately filled with a kind of disgust and said: "This *Āchārya* seems to be giving a talk on *Dharma* to our people: I do not like it at all because it is (as Karl Marx has said) a kind of "opium to the masses" (i.e. it deludes them). Hence see to it that this *Āchārya* is sent away, so that my people are not polluted by wrong notions."

Now it is said:

आज्ञाभङ्गो नरेन्द्राणां, गुरूणां मानखण्डना। वृत्तिच्छेदो द्विजातीनाम-शस्त्रवध उच्यते॥" Agnyā-bhango Narendrāṇām,
Gurāṇām Māna khaṇdanā;
Wruttichchhedo DwijātīnāmaShastra-wadha Uchyate.

"To disobey the orders of a king, to insult one's elders, and take away the means of livelihood of a *Bhramin* is equivalent to killing (them) without the use of weapons."

So the minister, giving the impression of being desirous of putting the king's orders into effect went forward; but there after he feigned as though he suddenly remembered something and came back, and said: "O Lord, if we send this Achārya from our country in this manner, then he will go to other lands and shall say: "The king of Shwetāmbikā is a fool, he knows nothing and insults the wise and the learned and men of good qualities." Because of this Sire, your good name will be spoiled."

So the king was puzzled and he asked: "Then what shall we do?"

The chiefminister replied "O Lord, you are a very clever man, are also learned and wise, and are unbeatable in a debate. Hence by questioning him, why don't you tongue-tie this  $\bar{A}ch\bar{a}rya$ — so that, having lost and being ashamed because of it, he will leave on his own and never utter a word."

The king was elated and he exclaimed: "Blessed is your intelligence, my minister! you have shown me the right way."

The king Pradeshi together with the chief minister Chitra approached the Keshi Ganadhara and started saying: "Hey Achārya, when did you come here?" There were no salutations, no Pranāmas (greetings) and no respect in his language — only a blunt question and in such insulting language! But this did not affect the saint even a trifle — for he was profoundly calm like an Ocean....... Instead, in a sweet and quiet tone he replied: "O King, I have just arrived."

The king however continued: "Hey Achārya, you seem to have made a deep study of some perverse and treacherous subject — otherwise, how can you delude so many people? Nonetheless, when I look at your face, you look like a prince. So in your interest, I say to you to give up this kind of a shameful activity. Come with me and become one of my protected (junior) kings, and so enjoy the wealth and pleasures of life. In fact, the pains of this Japa ( जप - chanting ) and Tapa ( तप penance) are really without any benefit. If you believe, that by wearing this kind of a dress and doing various rituals, you will be able to purify your Atmā, then, you are deluded. Because, in this world, there is no such thing as the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$  - so where is the question of its purification and its Liberation? If you believe that there are branches to a tree, when there are no roots at all — is this not a monumental stupidity?"

"Hey  $\bar{A}ch\bar{a}rya$ , now listen to how I came to the conclusion that, in this world, there is no such thing as the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$ ; so that, your delusions regarding the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$ , and because of it, all these doings of Virtue (qvq) and Sin (qq), and the tales of heaven and hell are put to an end, once and for all! So now listen."

Argument I: "My mother was, as one would say - in accordance with the standards of this world — a very religious person. She was always trying to sow the seeds of religion (संस्थार) in me; while my father was — again in accordance with the standards of this world — an atheist. He tried very hard to keep me away from religion. However, one thing common in them, inspite of their differences, was their great love for me."

"When my mother was breathing her last, I said to her: "O mother, you have spent your whole life in accordance with your religious beliefs, hence you will from now on live in heaven. So when you are born in heaven, come and tell me what it is like there, so that I am convinced of the importance of Virtue (पुण्य) and Sin (पाप), and so start living in accordance with the tenets of Dharma."

"Then my father was on his death bed, and realising that his time was at an end, I said to him: "O Father, in your life you have done nothing in accordance with what men call *Dharma*; hence you will from now on live in hell. So when you are born in hell, come and tell me what it is like there, so that I am convinced of Virtue ( पुण्य ) and Sin (पाप), and by stopping all sinning, I come to follow the tenets of religion."

"After the death of both of them, I have waited with great eagerness for them, but none of them, who loved me so dearly, have come back; and so, I have decided that this talk of heaven and hell is false."

Argument II: "There was once a thief who was sentenced to death; and after his sentence was carried out, I had his body cut in very very small pieces to see where the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$  dwelt. But, I could not locate the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$  in any one of the pieces. So I decided that there is no such thing as the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$ ."

Argument III: "Once I weighed a thief very carefully before and after his death, but I could not detect the slightest difference. If there was something called the Atmā which departed at death from his body, surely there would have been an ever so slight a reduction in weight? But no such thing happened, So I have decided that there is no such thing as the Atmā."

Argument IV: "Once I had locked up a thief in a very very tight casket and thouroughly sealed it. Then after a few days, I had the casket opened. There I saw that the body was dead and full of many insects. Now if the

Atmā had left the casket, then surely it would have broken, or at least there would have been a small hole in it, from whence once could surmise that it had left? But no such thing happened. So I have decided that there is no such thing as the Atmā."

Argument V: "Whenever I was asked "why is it that after death, there is no activity of speaking, walking, eating, drinking etc.?" I would say that "by a strange and as peculiar combination of the five bhutas, [(प्च भूत)—namely पृथ्वी (solids), জল (water), अग्नि (fire), वायु (air), and आकाश (space)], there is the potential of speaking, walking etc. In this body the skin and other solid matters represent Pruthawi (पृथ्वी), the tears, urine and other liquids represent Jala (जल), the stomach and others (digestive tracts) represent Agni (अग्नि), the breath and others represent Wāyu (बायु), and all the empty spaces represent Akāsha (आकाश)."

"The peculiar and fantastic combinations of these five elements, that is this body, grows through eating and drinking. The clean air, the warmth of the sun and clean places preserve this body. The enjoyment of the body lies in indulging in worldly substances Whenever there is a disturbance in the balance of the comb nation of these five elements, the body feels uneasy. When one of the elements completely separates from the others, then the power of speaking, walking etc. is lost. This loss can be because of a weapon, or by consumpsion of undesirable foods (poisons) or by locking oneself up or because of any other reason. And when the body has completely lost the power of speech, walking etc, it becomes thoroughly useless; and so it is burnt to ashes or is buried, or is set forth on the oceans/rivers or is left in the wilderness to wild animals and birds."

'In this manner I have thought a great deal and have done many experiments, and have finally come to the con-

clusion that there is no such thing as the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$ . Hence O  $\bar{A}ch\bar{a}rya$ , enjoy the good things of the senses and give up these unnecessary tribulations."

Shrī Keshi Ganadhara then said: "O King, from your talk, I can appreciate that you have tried to determine whether or not the Atma exists; but these efforts were not of the right type, and hence one can call them "lame" efforts. One should inquire into a particular matter after taking into account the nature of that matter: For example, one cannot look at the wind through one's eyes-and yet if one were to say that there is no such thing as the wind, he would be patently unreasonable. Similarly the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$  is beyond the perception of the senses. and hence it cannot be seen - but, to say therefore, that no such thing exists is again false. Further, by the sense of touch and the deduction therefrom, one can say that there is such a thing as the wind. Similarly, by one's mind and by other deductions, one can prove that there is such a thing as the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$ ."

Reply to Argument No. I: "O King, you told me, "neither my father nor my mother, both of whom loved me dearly have come to tell me that heaven is such and such, and that hell is such and such — and so I have decided that the talk of heaven and hell is false". But this conclusion of yours is not sound, because you cannot say, that since they have not come there is no such place. There can be many other reasons for their not coming."

"This will become clear to you from the following example: Imagine that you have once setforth upon a journey, and that you meet and get together with a poor man on the way. Because of the time spent together, you build up some affection for him, and before leaving you tell him: "I am a king my friend, and I am the master of untold wealth; and if you come to my city, I will see that you become rich." After that, imagine that you have parted and returned to your city and have become

busy with state affairs. Shortly thereafter, the same poor man comes to your city but you're not able to meet him due to your preoccupations. So the poor man thinks: "The other day, the man who met me could not have been a king, because, were he really was the king, why is he not meeting me and relieving me of this burden of poverty as per his promise?" "Surely O King, this thinking of the poor man is most obviously unreasonable and wrong."

"Similarly, just because your mother has not come from heaven to tell you what it is like there, you cannot say that there is no heaven — to think otherwise would also be unreasonable and hence improper."

"Further is said in the scriptures:

" संकतदिव्वपेमा, विसयपसत्ताऽसमत्तकतव्वा । अणहीणमणुअकज्ञा, नरभवमसुहं न इंति सुरा ॥ चत्तारिपंचजोयण— सयाइं गंघो य मणुअलोगस्स । उट्टं वच्चइ जेणं, न हु देवा तेण आवंति ॥" "Sankatadiwwapemā,

Wisaya-pasattāsamattakatawwā:

Anahīna-manuakajjā,

Nara-bhawam-asuham Na Inti Sura

Chattāripanch-joyana-

Sayāim Gandho Ya Manualogassa;

Uddham Wachchai Jenam,

Na Hu Dewā Tena Āwanti."

"Deeply attached to the delectable pleasures of heaven; thoroughly absorbed in the enjoyment of the senses and sense - objects; not free from various things to do and always occupied with something to do; and being completely of freewill in regard to their behaviour towards humans—the denizens of heaven are independent and so they do not come down to this world of humans. Furthermore,

there is a filthy aroma above the world of humans which rises to a height of four to five hundred Yojanas [1 Yojana (योजन) is approximately equal to 4200 miles (२००० कोष Kosas)] and this is one more reason as to why the Dewās do not come to this world (as they do not like such filth)."

Shri Keshi Ganadhara continued: "At times of conception (च्यवन ), birth, initiation into the life of a Sādhu ( दिक्षा ), reaching Omniscience (केवलज्ञान) and the final Liberation ( मोक्ष ) of the Tirthankaras (See Vol. II/No. 2 for the meaning of this) and by being attracted by the unparralled good destiny, virtue ( qua ) and greatness of the Tirthankaras, the Dewas come to this world of humans. They also come by being attracted to the great penances ( तप ) of ascetics or to the impeccable devotion of other holy souls; but they do not visit for any other reason. So your mother, who is thoroughly absorbed in the pleasures of heaven, because there is no great Dharma in you and because of the paucity of your goodness and virtue ( पुण्य ), she may well not have come to you. Because of this to - conclude that there is no heaven is worng. O King. the indisputable truth is that there is heaven and one can reach it by being good and virtuous ( पूज्य )."

"Now let us ponder over your statement that your father has not come to see from hell. Imagine that there is a rich man in your kingdom. He takes care of his family members in an exemplary way and so is quite happy But he has some vices and because of which he commits a theft, but in so doing he is caught. The sepoys tie him up and are about to bring him to you. At that time his family members come and tell him: "Please come back soon and as usual take care of us." But when the rich man is brought to you as an ordinary criminal, you sentence him to imprisonment for life. Thus the rich man does not go back and meet his dear ones. Similarly, inspite of the fact that your father dearly loved you, by

becoming guilty in the eyes of the "king of Karma (कर्म)" he is spending his life in hell, and so is unable to come to the world of humans. Because of this, can you really conclude that there is no such place as hell? Surely O King, to believe so would be most unreasonable and improper."

"Further it is said in the scriptures:

"नरया दसविहवेयण—सी "Narayā Dasawihaweyaṇa-Si-उसिण - खुह - पिवाय - कंडूहिं। Usiṇa-Khuha-Piwāya-Kandūhiṃ; परवस्सं जर - दाहं - भय - Parawassaṃ-Jara-Dāhaṃ-BhayaSogaṃ Chewa Weyanti."

"The Jiwas that are born in hell undergo the following 10 kinds of pains, anxieties and sufferings: 1) Intense cold, (2) Intense heat, (3) Unquenchable thirst, (4) Unsatiable hunger, (5) Continuous allergy which makes the body scratchy, (6) Constant bondage, (7) Oldage, (8) Constant high fever in the body, (9) Constant fear and (10) Constant sorrow"

Shri Keshi Ganadhara continued: "Your father, while undergoing such pains and anxieties is not able to come to this world of humans and tell you what it is like in hell; but therefore to believe, that there is no hell is false."

"By killing souls which have all the five senses, by consuming meat, by constant and indiscriminate sensual indulgence and other such vices including running businesses and industries that lead to a great loss of life of living creatures (आरंभ/समारंभ), great accretion of wealth ( महापरिमह), the Jiwas are born in hell and they suffer the pains and anxieties mentioned above."

"Thus the wise have said: "दु:खं पापात् मुखं धर्मात् – Pains and anxieties (दु:ख) come about from Sin (पाप), while pleasure and happiness (मुख) come about through *Dharma* (धर्म)." So having indicated that Sin and Virtue (पाप/पुण्य) are important, one can say that the experiencer of its results and ultimately he who frees himself from its results is the *Atmā*."

Then continuing to reply to the king's other arguments Shri Keshi Ganadhara said:

Reply to Argument No. II: "O King, it is universally accepted that there is fire in wood (because by rubbing against itself fire can come about), but if in order to find out where it is, one were to cut it into small pieces, would one "see" that fire? And therefore, by not seeing it can one say that in the wood there is no such thing as fire? If one were to so conclude, one would be thought of as being without intelligence. Similarly, by cutting up a living body and examining its pieces and because one cannot "see" the Atmā, one concludes that there is no such thing as the Atmā — then, that too shows a lack of intelligence."

- "O King, one can experience joy, mourning, fear, happiness, pleasure and pain but one cannot see them with one's eyes. Similarly one can experience the Atmā, but one cannot see it with one's eyes."
- "O King, the method of inquiry made in proving the existence of the Āmā by cutting up a live body is improper. Therefore, the wise have shown Japa ( ज्ञप constant repetition (as indicated in the translator's notes of previous issues)), Tapa ( ज्ञप penance), Dhyāna ( ज्ञ्ञप meditation) etc. as the method of arriving at the true experience of the Ātmā."

Reply to Argument No. III: "O King, take two bags of rubber which are similar in all respects except that one has a little air in it and that the other is empty. If you were to weigh them on an ordinary gross scale, then it would appear that there is no difference in them From this can you surmise that there is no air in one of them? If you did come to such a surmise, it would be patently false! In the same way just because a dead body weighs no less than the living one, it would be incorrect to say that there is no  $\bar{\Lambda}tm\bar{a}$ ."

"O King, weight and heaviness is a property of ordinary matter (जड पदार्थ) and in order to exhibit this property it must be touchable—because till one can touch it and hold it, one cannot weigh it. Thus substances like air and light, even though have weight, because they are not touchable they cannot be easily weighed in the ordinary way; so what can one say about weighing that which is quite distinct from ordinary matter, which can never be touched and which in no way can be held? This is to say, that the attempt to prove the existence of the Atmā by the process of weighment is a wrong approach and so one can never succeed in such an attempt."

Reply to Argument No. IV: "Further, O King, you know that if you were to blow a horn in a completely closed large box, you would be able to hear the sound of it, even if there is no break or hole in the box. So can you say that the horn never blew and that no sound emitted from it? So also, from the departure of the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$  from a body which was locked up in a box, inspite of there being found no hole or break in the box, one cannot say that there was no  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$  in the body which could have gone out."

"O King, the rays of light can traverse a box of glass even if there is no hole, or a door or a passage into it. Similarly, sound can traverse from one corner of the universe to the other, after passing through walls, trees and mountains. So what is so surprising if the Ātmā, which has no shape, size or feeling etc., can go through all and every kind of substance? It is because the Ātmā has this property that its motion cannot be checked, it is known as having Awyāhatagati (अञ्चाहतगति)."

Reply to Argument No. V: "O King, you explained that because of the peculiar combinations of the five elements, the powers of speech, walking, eating, drinking etc. come about and so the various activities are carried on. Because of this, you said, that it is not necessary to believe that there is such a thing as the Atmā. Well, this argument too, is not proper. Because were it so and that in our activity there is no other prime substance which was controlling the same, then it should, like a gramophone record continuously go on speaking; or like the wheel of a machine go on continuously spinning. Because we are able to speak only at certain times and speak of only specific things and in a specific manner; because we can choose when and the manner of our walking, eating and drinking; this shows that there is a controller who regulates this. Hence there is a need for a substance which has the power to control and regulate. This substance is concious ( सचेतन ) and unlike the five nonconcious substances, and this is what is called the Atmā."

"O King, in this manner the existance of the Atmā is proved — and it is only because that one can reach its unblemished state and hence find beatitude that I follow the Religion ( चर्म ). This Religion is the only way for gaining the greatest truth, and there is no other way. Hence O King, take refuge in this wonderful path, and hence find the purpose of life for which you are born."

The words of Shrī Keshi Gaṇadhara destroyed the deep darkness of Mithyātwa ( मिथ्यात्व – wrong beliefs ) that had enveloped the personality of King Pradeshi, and in its place he was flooded with the light that is Samyaktwa (सम्यक्त्व), which is like the rays of the sun. In that light, he could see that there is Ātmā, that there is Pāpa (Sin) and Punya (Virtue), that there are places (i.e. heaven and hell) where their fruits are reaped. Therefore with deep humility and folded hands he said: "O Great Saint, by the Mantra of one who knows and preaches the

science of Mantra, the evil spirits run away; in the same way, by your preachings the evil spirit of delusion (अज्ञान) that resided heart in my has also O Maharshi, as darkness vanishes at the rising of the sun, similarly your words have removed my ignorance. O Sire, who are the embodiment of compassion, I am definite that the Dharma propounded by the Tirthankaras is the very best, and I accept and submit to it." Thus, King Pradeshi, with proper rites, took the vows of the Grahastha Dharma ( महस्थार्म — householder's vows ) which is the root cause in reaching that Samyaktwa ( सम्यक्त्व ). He followed it in all the prescribed details and so after his death, he was born in heaven as a Dewa (देव) named Suryābha ( सुर्याभ ).

Acknowledgement and Source: This story appears in many Jain Shastras. Pujya Muni Shri Tattvānandavijayaji gave me a Gujarati version and has gone through the translation. For this, we are thankful to him.

## (Contd. from Page No. 1)

One can understand that for serious readers the arguments propounded in this story will not suffice. Deeper metaphysical arguments proving the existance of the Atmā, ofcourse, do exist in various Shāstras. Some of Jain one's are: 1) Wishesha Awashyaka Bhāshya by Pujya Jinabhadragani Shramāshramana 2) Kalpa Sūtra, and the various commentaries upon it. (A portion of which, namely, Gaṇadharawāda, has been translated in Gujarati by Pandita Malawaniya and 3) The fifth of the five Sūtras known as Pancha Sūtra.

Because of the length of this story, the translation of *Prashamarati* is: not given in this issue. From the next issue, it will restart.