TIBETAN CITATIONS OF BHARTRHARI'S VERSES AND THE PROBLEM OF HIS DATE.

by

Hajime NAKAMURA

University of Tokyo

About twenty years ago, the illustrious scholar to whom we are dedicating this commemoration volume pointed out the fact that some verses of a Vedāntin called Bhadrahari(?) is mentioned in the Tibetan version of some philosophical works of later Mahāyāna Buddhism.¹ The author of the present article wants to elaborate on the problem, gathering Tibetan verses ascribed to him, and collating some of them with Sanskrit originals which have been identified by the author.

In the first place the following verse is cited in the Tibetan version of the $Mah\bar{a}y\bar{a}na-s\bar{u}tr\bar{a}lamk\bar{a}ra-t\bar{\iota}k\bar{a}$, a commentary by No-bo-ñid med-pa (=Asva-bhāva) upon the $Mah\bar{a}y\bar{a}nas\bar{u}tr\bar{a}lamk\bar{a}ra$:

(fr. 1.) Bha dri ha ri(s) ji skad du /
nam mkhah sa rlun ñi ma dan //
rgya mtsho glin dan phyogs rnams ni /
nan gi ses paḥi no bo yi //
cha las phyi rol lta bur ḥhug /

ces bśad pa lta bu yin no // ḥdzin paḥi don las bzun baḥi don tha mi dad mthon baḥo // shes bya ba ni gzun ba dan ḥdzin paḥi rnam par śes pa kho nar zad kyi rnam par śes pa la ma rtogs pa byis pas kun brtags pa mig la sogs pa ḥdsin paḥi don ni yod pa ma yin no shes bya bar te / deḥi phyir don du snan ba thams cad sems kho na yin par ltaḥi / don sems las gshan par ni mi blta ḥo // deḥi tshe ni ḥdiḥi gzun ba la rnam par gyen pa spans la ḥdzin paḥi rnam par rtog pa ḥbaḥ shig lus te/de ni bzod paḥi gnas skabs yin no /

"As was declared by Bhadrahari · · ·

'Ether, earth, wind, the sun, the sea, continents and directions · · · they exist like external things, having been manifested from the innermost, proper portions of the interior intelligence (=adhyātma-jñāna)."

¹ Prof. Susumu Yamaguchi, "日本佛教學協會年報" VIII, Kyoto 昭和11年 (1936), pp. 152-153. ² His comment upon Dharmaparyeşti 5. Bstan-ḥgyur of the Peking edition, XLV, 90 a, 8-b, 1.

鉢羅門^{第一}跛尾怛藍^{二合} _{吉慶也} paramam pavitram | 第一吉義慶 本昵也高也吃喔二耶利迦囉傳卷 punya-kriyā-karaṇam 福利 所二饒 益二 忙哩也^{二合}若囊^{*}毗乳瑟珍^{稱讚} ārya-janān abhiṣṭutya | 稱_讚 諸 聖 衆_ 吃嘌瑳^入囊温也若伽^引娜說 jagāda kṛtsnaṁ 遍說具德尊 薄伽梵貫 本尼樂 可吃也合價 尼那阿西 bhagavām muniçākya-simhah | 牟尼釋師子上 怛慒蘗嚂^{彼慶}婆嚩覩也 tan mangalam bhavatu 汝 今 得,同二彼 扇底迦藍^{波作}怛嚩^引你也六个月也十一偈 çāntikaram tavādya ||11|| 作寂之嘉慶一 ① vasantatilakā

Then follows Asvabhāva's annotation, in which he says, "So everythings which has been manifested as an object is regarded as nothing but mind, and objects are not regarded as separate from mind." So we can assuredly conclude that Asvabhāva himself recognized in Bhadrahari's assertion something similar to the thought of Buddhist Idealism (vijñaptimātratā).

The Sanskrit original of the above-cited Tibetan verse is the following one:

```
dyauh kṣamāvāyur ādityaḥ sāgarāḥ sarito diśaḥ/antaḥkaraṇatattvasya bhāgā bahir avasthitāḥ//——Vākyapadīya III, 7, 41 (p. 200)³
```

(cf. antaḥkaraṇadharmo vā bahir evam prakāśate /——ibid., III, 6, 23. p. 170).

In the $\overline{A}rya$ -Lankāvatāra-vṛtti by Jñānaśrībhadra there are the following verses.

```
(fr. 2) de bas na Bha dra ha ris byed pa bstan pa las smras pa / grub dan ma grub ji sñed pa / bsgrub pahi phyir ni bstan par bya / rten pahi rim (23 b) gyi ran bsin phyir / de ni byed par brjod pa ho // ses pa ste / byed pa dpyad zin to //
```

Tr.— "Therefore it was told in a treatise written by Bhadrahari—

'In so far as a thing attained or a thing not yet attained should be denoted in order to be established,

On account of its essence being the order to be resorted to, it is declared to be an action."

(=yāvat siddham asiddham vā sādhyatvenābhidhīyate / āśritakramarūpatvāt kriyeti pratīyate //)4

(cf. kriyāyāḥ parinispattir yad vyāpārād anantaram / vivakṣyate yadā tatra karaṇatvaṃ tadā smṛtam //—— Vākyap. III, 7, b, 89. p. 237.)

(fr. 3)⁵ tshans par smra ba po bha ta ha ri la sogs pa yan smra ba /

thog ma med pahi tshans pa rtag/yan dag sgra ni yig hbru gan/gan las don dnos hbyun ba dan/hgro bahi rab tu byed pa yan//

³ Vākyapadīya, ed. by Pandit Rāmachandra Śāstrī Koṭibhāskara (Benares Sanskrit Series), Benares 1887 f., vol. 2, p. 200. According to the citation of the verse by Dr. Chakravarty antahkaranadharmasya instead of antahkaranatattvasya (Prabhatchandra Chakravarti: The Linguistic Speculations of the Hindus, University of Calcutta, 1933,, p. 197). The reading of the Benares text corresponds with the Tibetan version.

⁴ Vākyapadīya III, 8 (kriyāsamuddeśa), 1. p. 298 (Benares Sanskrit Series). However, the verse cited by Dr. Chakravarti reads vyapadiśyate instead of pratīyate. (P. Chakravarti: op. cit. p. 237)

⁵ All citations henceforth are taken from the Peking edition.

- (fr. 4) gan yons rtogs pa thams cad la / (127 a) snan bar yan ni mi gnas te / rtog pa lun dan rjes dpag pas / rnam pa du mar kun brtags paḥo //
- (fr. 5) hdas kyan hdu hdzi byed pa dan / dnos dan dnos med rim mi rim / bden dan brdzun par sna tshogs bdag / dben pa las ni rab tu snan //
- (fr. 6) hbyun po rnams kyi nan rgyu ba/ hgran dan rim duhan snan ba ste/ thar pa rab tu grol ba de/ grol hdod rnams kyis bstan par byed//
- (fr. 7) de yi tshor ba gcig po yan / rnam pa man por rab tu hbyed / hjig pa hbyun bahi me mdag dan / chu yi phun por chu bshin du //
- (fr. 8) de phyir da rod rigs gnas pa/ gsal baḥi gron rnams ḥbyur ba ni/ sprin rnams char dan ldan pa rnams/ rlun las ḥbyun ba bshin duḥo//
- (fr. 9) gsum gyi gzugs su de snan ba/ mur smra tha dad rnams la ni/ mchog gi yons su hgyur ba ste/ lta ba tha dad kyis ni bcins//
- (fr. 10) shi shin rigs bdag tshans pa ste / de las byun baḥi ma rig pa / des ni srin po bshin du med / gan smra mi nus rnam dag go //
- (fr. 11) ji ltar nam mkhaḥ rnam dag par / rab rib ḥkhrul paḥi skyes bu yis / ri mo rnam pa sna tshogs kyis / kun tu gan bar sems pa pa // de ltar tshans pa bdud rtsi ḥdi / ḥgyur ba med pa ma rig pas / rñog pa lta bur byas pas na / tha dad gzugs su snan ba ste //
- (fr. 12) lha sa rlun dan nam mkhaḥ dan / ri dan chu dan phyogs rnams kyan / nan du byed paḥi yan dag gi / cha rnams phyi rol bshin du gnas //
- (fr. 13) tshul ni gcig ñid gan yin las /
 tha dad mthu ni rnam gnas pas /
 mthu las tha dad ma yin yan /
 tha dad bshin du hbyun baho //
- (fr. 14) tshans sgrahi hdi ni sprul pa ste / sgra yi mthu las gnas pas na / sgra tsam las ni byun ba yan /

de dag ñid du sha bar hgyur //
(fr. 15) rab tu byed pa gcig hbyed la /
man por rab tu phye ba pa /
de ni (127 b) lun ston rtogs pa las /
tshans pa mchog ni chud par hgyur //
shes rgya cher ro //

- Tr. · · · Bhatahari and others, who are brahmavādins, also say:
- (fr. 3) 'The beginningless, eternal Brahman, whose essence is Word and Syllable (aksara, undestructable) · · · , from That, things have evolved, and the function of the world also has appeared."

(=anādinidhanam brahma śabdatattvaṃ yad akṣaram/ vivartate' rthabhāvena prakriyā jagato yataḥ//——Vākyap. I, I)

(fr. 4) 'Whatever has appeared by dint of imaginary assumption (pari-kalpa) does not abide.

Imaginary assumptions appear in various forms by dint of ratiocination (tarka, vitarka), scriptural dogma (āgama) and reasoning (anumāna).

- (cf. Vākyp. II, v, 143, p. 140; III, 4, 1-2, p. 139; III, 14, v. 199. p. 550)
- (fr. 5) 'Separation and connection (samsarga), being (bhāva) and non-being (abhāva), order (temporal sequence) and non-order (simultaneity), truth and falsehood, various things are manifested from Ātman, the Truth.
 - (cf. avirodhī virodhī vā sann asan vāpi tattvatah / kramavān akramo vāpi nābhāva upapadyate / —— Vākyap. III, 3, 66, p. 130) (cf. II, 317. p. 214.)
- (fr. 6) '(It is) the internal cause of beings (bhūta), which put forth effort and appear in time (temporal sequence). Deliverance is to be saved and relieved. This is taught by those who wish for deliverance.'
- (fr. 7) 'The sensation $(vedan\bar{a})$ of it is divided in a uniform and multiform way.

It is like water in contrast with the multitude of waters and like flames which flare and vanish.' (cf. Vākyap. II, 252; 480)

- (fr. 8) 'Therefore a man who now belongs to a superior clan becomes (a member) of a distinctive family (kula) as when many clouds bearing water are born of winds.'
 - (fr. 9) 'It appears in three forms.

To (those thinkers who adhere to) various extremes, it (= one of these) becomes the supreme one. They are bound by various heretical opinions (drsti).

(fr. 10) 'Brahman is Atman, which is to be known by tranquility, and from

which ignorance $(avidy\bar{a})$ appears.

By means of it (it) does not exist like $r\bar{a}ks$ as as (demons), which are of such a nature as can not be explained.

- (fr. 11) The Sanskrit verse corresponding to this verse and parallel verses are found in several works. We shall discuss it later.
- (fr. 12) 'Gods (deva), earth, the wind, the ether, mountains, water and directions are what the internal essential portion has manifested as if they were things external.' (cf. fr. 1.)
- (fr. 13) 'Whereas there exist various potentialities (śakti) different from the entity of one form, yet that is not different from potentialities.

They appear as if they were different.'

```
(≒ekam eva yad āmnātam bhinnam śaktivyapāśrayāt / apṛthaktve 'pi śaktibhyah pṛthaktveneva vartate //—— Vākyap. I, 2.)
```

- (cf. Vākyap. 11, v. 22, p. 78; v. 25, p. 79; v. 476, p. 279; III, 1, v. 20. p. 23; III, 14, v. 567. p. 714.)
- (fr. 14) 'This is the transformation (emanation) of śabdabrahman. Abiding by the potentialities of Words, they become tranquil in themselves, although they have arisen from Words alone.'
 - (≔—śabdasya pariṇāmo 'yam ity āmnāyavido viduḥ / chandobhya eva prathamam etad viśvaṃ vyavartata⁷ //—Vākyap. I, 121)

 (cf. Vākyap. II, 31. p. 82)
- (fr. 15) 'As the one entity is divided into many by means of various creative processes, the highest brahman will be attained by resorting to (the science of) grammar.'
 - (=Yad ekam prakriyābhedair bahudhā pravibhajyate/ tad vyākaraṇam āgamya param brahmādhigamyate// ——Vakyāp. I, 22.)

So the problem has been discussed in detail."

- (fr. 16) (42. 138 a⁸) de bṣin du nam mkhaḥi yan nam mkhaḥi kho na ste / bro ba la sogs pa du ma dan sbyor bas yul tha dad par brtags pa ste / man pohi gnas na nam mkhaḥi kho naho shes bha dra ha ris smras pa ho //
- "'Likewise there exists ether alone for ether. The object (place) is regarded as differing with adjuncts and with desires of various kinds.

Although there exist many things in ether, there is ether alone in reality'

⁶ The negative character of the absolute was stressed by Yājňavalkya, the Upanishadic thinker; and later in the Kāthapa-Up. (II, 6, 9; 12).

⁷ It seems that the Tibetan translator took vyavartata in the original for nyavartata.

· · · So spoke Bhadrahari."

```
(=samyogidharmabhedena deśe ca parikalpite/
tesu deśesu sāmānyam ākāśasyāpi vidyate//—Vākyap. III, 15. p. 20)
```

(42. 138 b—139 a) mtshan ñid kyi tshig ces bya ba ni smra ba rnams kyi rjod pahi don du hbyed pas na rtsod pa yan man ste / yi ge ma gtogs tshig gshan ni // yod pa ma yin brjod pa ni / yi ge tshig ni ma gtogs par (139 a) cun zad kyan ni yod pa min shes dpyod pa can gyi rjes su mthun par bya ste / Bhadra haris bśad pa /

```
(fr. 17) smras paḥi sgra kyan hdus pa ni / rigs ni hjug par hgyur ba ste / gcig bu yan lag med paḥi sgra / blo yis rigs su bsdu ba ho //
```

(fr. 18) thog maḥi tshig ni so sor kun / bltos dan bcas te de ltar yan / brjod paḥi phyir ni tha dad blo / tshul smra rnams kyi rnam pa man //

shes te /

"Bhadrahari says:

(fr. 17) 'Spoken Words, having been gathered, are classified into classes $(j\bar{a}ti)$.

A single, undifferentiated word is put in a class by the mind (of hearers).'

```
(=svā jātiḥ prathamam śabdaiḥ sarvair evābhidhīyate/
tato 'rthajātirūpeṣu tadadhyāropakalpanā//——Vākyap. III, 6.)
```

(fr. 18) 'The first syllables (akṣara) have each of them something to be seen (visible aspect) and express (meaning) in such a way, that the capability (of hearers to interpret them) is multiple.

There are many kinds of those expressing ways."

```
(=ekaşminn api drśye 'rthe darśanam bhidyate pṛthak / kālāntarena vaiko 'pi tam paśyaty anyathā punah // ekasyāpi ca śabdasya nimittair avyavasthitaih / ekena bahubhiś cārtho bahudhā parikalpyate //—— Vākyap. II, 138; 139, pp. 138-139.)
```

```
(cf. Vākyap. 11, v. 33. p. 82)
```

Bhavya, the Mādhyamika philosopher, commenting upon Nāgārjuna's $Madhyamaka-ś\bar{a}stra$ IX, 3 cites the following verse: 8

8 This verse is not found in the corresponding passage in the Chinese translation by Prabhāmitra of Bhāvaviveka's Prajñāpradīpa (報若燈論釋,偈本龍樹菩薩,釋論分別明菩薩,唐波羅頗蜜多羅譯,Taisho Tripiṭaka, vol. XXX, p. 82 c). According to Prof. Nozawa it is likely that this verse was omitted by the translator.

shes bya ba lta bur hgyur ro she na / de ni rigs pa ma yin te /

Avalokitavrata comments upon this as follows: don de ñid bsal bar bya baḥi phyir slob dpon bha ndri ha ris rjes su dpag pa sun phyun baḥi khuns bstan paḥi phyir / (a · · · a) shes bya ba smras te / dper na lon ba rkan paḥi tshod dpag gis lam bde ba spans te ñam naḥi lam du rgyug pa śin la sogs pa dag gis brdos pa la rdeg ḥchaḥ śin rnam par ltun ba, mi dkaḥ ba de bshin du / yid ches paḥi lun spans pa rjes su dpag paḥi tshod dpag gis rtog ge skam poḥi lam du rgyug pa dag kyan tshe ḥdi la yan ḥkhrul paḥi gcod par ḥgyur la / tshe phyi ma la yan ḥbras bu mi ḥdod par rnam par ltun bar ḥgyur ro shes zer ro /

The Sanskrit original of the cited verse seems to have been the following:

"hastasparśādibādhena viṣame (=girimārge, Comm.) 'py abhidhāvatā / anumānapradhānena vinipāto na durlabhah //" (Vākyap. I, 42.)

"Just as a (blind) man who is running quickly on a dangerous pass (in the mountains) may fall down if he fails to cling by hand, so it is not impossible for a man who chiefly relies upon his own reasoning (lit. inference) to fall down (into a hell)."

The Tibetan version seems to have a free translation for the first quarter of the verse. Bhartrhari asserted that the knowledge derived from scriptures alone is of absolute value, whereas that derived from other sources is of relative value. He denounced the validity of reasoning. (cf. Vākyap. I, 30; 31; 41, etc.)

Among the above-mentioned verses, fr. (1) and fr. (12) are nearly identical to each other. It is likely that the same original verse was cited in a slightly different way, as was mentioned, by the two scholars Asvabhāva and Jñānaśrībhadra, who lived in different periods. It is note-worthy that we can find the apparent Sanskrit originals of some of the fragments in the $V\bar{a}kyapad\bar{i}ya$ of Bhartrhari. As for some other fragments, also we find in this work verses of similar purport, as has been pointed out by the writer.

Moreover, we should add that a verse which might be regarded as the original of fr. (11) is cited in the Tattvasamgrahapañjikā⁹ of Kamalaśīla. In the Śabdabrahmaparīkṣā of the Tattvasamgraha by Śāntirakṣita, the doctrine which admits śabda-brahman as the world-principle is attacked. Kamalaśīla, the commentator, explains that the author attacks Bhartrhari's doctrine in the verses beginning with v. 128, and that he attacks "the doctrine of the opponent"

⁹ Ad v. 144, p. 72 (ed. by Embar Krishnamacharya, Baroda 1926, GOS, 30).

(paramata) in the verses beginning with v. 144. As an instance of the latter, he writes as follows:

```
yathoktam · · ·
```

yathā viśuddham ākāśaṃ timiropapluto janaḥ / saṃkīrṇam iva mātrābhiś citrābhir abhimanyate // tathedam amṛtam brahma nirvikāram avidyayā / kaluṣatvam ivāpannam bhedarūpaṃ vivartataḥ //

ji skad du /

ji ltar nam mkhah dag pa la/ rab rib kyis bslad skye bo yin/ rab rib sna tshogs dag dan ni/ ldan pa bshin du mnon par rlom// de ltar bdud rtsi hgyur med pahi/ tshans pa hdi ni ma rig pas/ rñog pa bshin du hgyur ba ste/ tha dad no bor rnam par hgyur//

shes bśad pa lta buho / 10

(In the Sanskrit original of the foregoing Tibetan version, the final word seems to have been vivartate instead of vivartatah.)

Ganganatha Jha's translation: · · ·

"This has been thus declared: · · ·

'Even though Ākāśa (Space) is pure, yet obsessed by darkness, people come to regard it as limited and made up of diversified parts; in the same manner, though Brahman is immortal and unmodifiable, yet It appears to be sullied by Nescience and hence diversely modified'. 11

The foregoing verses, moreover, nearly completely correspond to two verses of the Bṛhadāranyakopaniṣad-bhāṣya¹² by Sureśvara, a disciple of Śaṅkara. (In the latter, amalam occurs instead of amṛtam, pṛakāśate instead of vivartataḥ, upalakṣayet instead of abhimanyate; otherwise the passages completely the same.) These two verses are also cited by Prabhācandra, the Jain scholar, in a passage of the Prameyakamala-mārtaṇḍa where he criticized¹³ the doctrine of the śabdabrahmavādins. (In this text, amalam occurs instead of amṛtam, bhedarū-paṃ prapaṣyati instead of bhedarūpaṃ vivartataḥ). Once again, the same verse is cited by Abhayadeva, the Jain scholar, in his work Tattvabodhavidhāyini (p. 388), as a doctrine of the śabdabrahmavādins. (amalam instead of amṛtam, vivar-

¹⁰ The Der-ge edition, Bstan-hgyur, vol. 293, 187 b.

¹¹ The Tattvasangraha of Santaraksita with the Commentary of Kamalasila, tr. into English by Ganganatha Jha, vol. I, Baroda 1937, p. 126.

¹² Ad III, 5, 43; 44. p. 1246, AnSS.

¹³ p. 12 b, NSP.

tate instead of vivartataḥ.)¹⁴ Most strikingly, in the commentary (vṛtti) by Bhaṭṭa-Nārāyaṇakaṇṭha upon the Mṛgendrāgama, a very important, fundamental scripture of the Śaivas, those verses are ascribed to Bhartrhari, who is characterized as a vivartavādin, as follows: ¹⁵

tathā cāha tatrabhavān Bhartrharih · · ·

yathā viśuddham ākāśaṃ timiropapluto janaḥ / saṃkīrṇam iva mātrābhiś citrābhir abhimanyate // tathedam amṛtaṃ brahma nirvikāram avidyayā / kaluṣatvam ivāpannaṃ bhedarūpe pravartate //

evam cābhinnam evedam param brahma paramātmalakṣaṇam, manasām hi samsāradharmaiḥ sukhaduḥkhādibhir yogaḥ/paramātmā tu sūrya ivāmbhaḥpratibimbabhedair upādhibhir abhinno'pi bhinna iva pratibhāti/

The Sanskrit text by Nārāyaṇakaṇtha seems to be the least affected form of the original verse. These verses seem to be of the same purport as the $M\bar{a}\dot{n}$ - $d\bar{u}kya-k\bar{a}rik\bar{a}$ III, 8.

yathā bhavati bālānām gaganam malinam malaih / tathā bhavaty abuddhānām ātmāpi malino malaih //

"As the sky appears to be soiled with dirt to the ignorat, so appears Ātman, too, with impurities, to those who are not enlightened".¹⁷

The same view was shared by Śańkara also.18

What is most striking from the viewpoint of the history of ideas is that verses very similar to the foregoing are given by Dignāga, the, Buddhist philosopher, in vv. 31.—32 of his *Trikālaparīkṣā* as follows: 19

ji ltar nam mkhah rnam dag la / rab rib kyis ni bslad pahi mi / skra śad kyis ni kun gan ltar / sna tshogs su ni mnon par rtogs // 31 de ltar hdir yan rnam śes ni /

14 The text is not now available to the author, who has read it in E. Frauwallner's "Dignāga und anderes" (Festschrift Winternitz, S. 237).

15 Hārānacandra Śāstrī: Śabdabrahmavādah. (G. Jhā Commemoration Volume, p. 93.)

¹⁶ As for understanding the meaning of the verse, Ānandajñāna's tīkā upon the *Bṛhad. Up. Vārttika* is highly valuable.

17 The Agamasāstra of Gaudapāda, edited, translated and annotated by Vidhushekhara Bhatta-charyya, University of Calcutta, 1943, p. 53.

18 Ś. ad Bṛhad. Up. p. 152, l. 24 · · · na hi bālais talamalinatādibhir vyomni vikalpyamāne talamalinatādivišiṣṭam eva paramārthaṭo vyoma bhavati (Ś. ad BS. I, 2, 8. vol. I, p. 184, ll. 5-7). vyomnīva talamalādi parikalpitam (Ś. ad BS. I, 3, 19. vol. I, p. 279, 1. 7.). ākāše bālās talamalinatādy adhyasyanti (Ś. ad BS. I, l, l, vol. I, p. 11. AnSS). Upadešasāhasri,

II, 18, 22.

19 The author has cited the verse from the above-mentioned Frauwallner's work.

rnam par mi hgyur ma rig pas / chu rñog bshin du shugs pa ni / tha dad gzugs su rnam par hjug // 32

[Some noteworthy differences: skra śad kyis (keśondukaiḥ) instead of mātrābhiḥ; ḥdir yan rnam śes ni (atrāpi vijñānam eva) instead of idam amṛtam (amalam) brahma.]

Those verses cited in Tibetan versions should be considered as having probably been ascribed to Bhartrhari. Reasons: (1) Tibetan versions mention the name of the author of the verses as Bhadrahari, Bhadrihari, Bhatahari, Bharitehari or Bhandrihari. These names give ample testimony to the supposition that the original Sanskrit name of the author of those verses was a little difficult to transcribe with Tibetan characters, and so the name must have been Bhartrhari which could easily corrupted into any one of them.

- (2) We can find in the $V\bar{a}kyapad\bar{i}ya$ by Bhartrhari the Sanskrit original texts of at least 10 of these verses. As for some of the other verses we find similar verses in the same work.
- (3) Bhatṭa-Nārāyaṇakaṇṭha ascribed (fr. 11) to Bhartrhari. Although some of the above-mentioned verses can not be traced in the Vākyapadīya, the Bhartṛhariśataka or in collections of lyrical songs ascribed to him, it is possible that he might have composed 20 other works which are not extant now or that some of the verses might have been ascribed to him in later days. 21

There are on the other hand some difficulties in identifying Bhadrahari etc. with Bhartrhari. However these difficulties will be easily solved by the following considerations:

(1) It is a well-known fact that Bhartrhari adopted the standpoint of emanation-theory (parināmavāda), whereas the standpoint made clear in (fr. 11) is, as Nārāyaṇa-kaṇṭha asserts, manifestation-theory (vivartavāda). These two standpoints were strictly distinguished from each other by later Vedāntins.²² It seems that the author of (fr. 11) would be different from Bhartrhari, the author of the Vākyapadīya. However, in the days when these verses were composed these two philosophical standpoints were not strictly distinguished from each other. Bhartrhari himself used the two terms (parināma and vivarta) as nearly the same meaning,²³ just as the Brahma-sūtras used many similes which

²⁰ The Epigrams Attributed to Bhartrhari, ed. by D. D. Kosambi (Singhi Jain Series, vol. No. 23). Bombay 1948. This work contains not only the famous three śatakas, but also the Vitavrtta, Vijānaśataka and many other poems ascribed to him.

²¹ Professor Louis Renou told the author that, according to the information by the late S. Dasgupta the editions of the Vākyapadīya published hithertofore do not contain all the verses of the work, and so it might be possible that those verses not identified by the author are found in unpublished MSS.

²² Paul Deussen: Allgemeine Geschichte der Philosophie I, 1, 4 Aufl., Leipzig 1920, S. 63 f.

²³ The author discussed the problem in a Japanese work of his (哲學的思索の印度的展開, p. 239f). Cf. Paul Hacker: Vivarta, Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur. Abhandlungen der Geistes- und Sozialwissenschaftlichen Klasse, Jahrgang 1953 Nr. 5.

could be interpreted in different ways.

(2) Hithertofore it has been generally supposed that Bhartrhari died forty years before I-tshing sojourned in India, following the information given by I-tsing himself.²⁴ Accordingly Bhartrhari was regarded by J. Takakusu to have died in 651—652 A. D. If we examine this passage more closely, however, it becomes clear that in I-tsing's information there is something amiss. I-tsing said that Bhartrhari "was a contemporary of Dharmapāla", and yet also that Dharmapāla composed a commentary upon Bhartrhari's verses "26 These two are not consistent with each other. Let us discuss this problem.

Dr. Hakuju Ui²⁷ took the passage as meaning that Bhartrhari died forty years before the beginning of I-tsing's stay in the Nālandā monastery. According to his calculation Bhartrhari died c. 630 A. D. In the above-cited passage, however, he is said to have been a contemporary of Dharmapāla who commented upon the Prakīrnaka of Bhartrhari. The date of Dharmapāla is well-known; he lived 530—561 A. D. Now, Bhartrhari must have been somewhat Dharmapāla's senior. Suppose that the former was older than the latter by, say, ten years; then Bhartrhari should have lived c. 520—630. If we adopt Takakusu's view, he must be supposed to have lived c. 520—652. Needless to say, this supposition is absurd. We are brought to the conclusion that either (1) the information that "Bhartrhari died forty years before I-tsing" is wrong or (2) the information that Dharmapāla commented upon Bhartrhari's work is wrong. We shall take up this problem in another light. Mr. H. R. Rangaswamy Iyengar²⁸ made clear the fact that Bhartrhari lived in an age not so remote from that of Vasubandhu. He asserts as follows:

"In the second Kāṇḍa of the Vākyapadīya, while describing how the science of grammar, which had been almost extinct, was restored and propagated by the great grammarians, Chandra and Vasurāta, Puṇyarāja, the commentator of the Vākyapadīya, mentions several times Vasurāta as the teacher of Bhartrhari. In the Kārikā 490 of the Vākyapadīya Bhartrhari himself seems to refer to his teacher Vasurāta by 'Guruṇā' as is evident from the words of

²⁴ "It is forty years since his death (A. D. 651-652)." (J. Takakusu: A Record of the Buddhist Religion as Practised in India and the Malay Archipelago. Oxford 1896. p. 180)

²⁵ J. Takakusu: op. cit., p. 179.

²⁶ 南海寄歸內法傳 第四卷, Taishō, vol. LIV, p. 229.

²⁷ 印度哲學研究 vol. V, p. 130.

²⁸ H. R. Rangaswamy Iyengar: "Bhartrhari and Dignāga" (Śrī Ātmānanda Prakāśa, published by "Jain Atmananda Sabha", Bhavnagar, 1952, p. 27 f. The author obtained a copy by courtesy of Mr. Muni Jambuvijay).

²⁹ na tenāsmadguros tatrabhavato Vasurātād anyaḥ kaścid imam bhāṣyārnavam avagāhitum alam ity uktam bhavati (Puṇyarāja ad II, 486). kenacic ca brahmarakṣasānīya Candrācārya-Vasurātaguruprabhṛtīnām datta iti / te [taiḥ?] khalu yathāvat vyākaraṇasya svarūpam tata upalabhya satatam ca śiṣyāṇām vyākhyāya bahuśākhitvam nīto vistaram prāpita ity anuśrūyate (ad II, 489).

³⁰ Cf. II, 490b: · · · praņīto guruņāsmākam ayam āgamasamgrahaḥ.

Puṇyarāja prefaced to the verse.³¹ Again a Jain writer, Siṃhasūrigaṇi, who may be assigned to the beginning of the sixth century A. D., in his unpublished work, Nayacakraṭikā, a commentary on the Nayacakra of Mallavādin the senior,³² which is not now extant, mentions, twice in his work, Vasurāta the Upādhyāya of Bhartrhari.³³ This confirms the statement of Puṇyarāja and establishes that Vasurāta was a great grammarian of the day under whom Bhartrhari studied and that Bhartrhari often held views quite different from those of his master.

According to Paramārtha, Vasurāta was a Brahmin and brother-in-law of Balāditya, a pupil of Vasubandhu.³⁴ He was well-versed in grammar. He defeated Vasubandhu, through the intervention of Chandra, another great grammarian. This means that Vasurāta, Chandra, and Vasubandhu should be regarded as contemporaries and Bhartrhari, the pupil of Vasurāta, assigned to the fifth century A. D."

Another piece of evidence of much more importance was discovered by him. In the fifth chapter of Dignāga's *Pramāṇa-samuccaya*, which is devoted to the exposition of the *Apoha* theory, the following two Kārikās are found:

thigs pa dan ni tshogs pa yi / chu sogs rnams la rjod byed ni / grans dan tshad dan dbyibs rnams la / ltos pa med par hjug par byed // dbyibs dan kha dog yan lag rnams / khyad par can la gan hjug pa / de yi yan lag la sgra ni / rab tu hjug la dun asma yi //

[The above-mentioned Tibetan verses have been cited from Mr. Iyengar's article. According to the Sde-dge edition of the Tibetan Buddhist Canons kept by Tōhoku University, Sendai, the sentences run as follows:

la lar dnos su rjod par byed de dper na /

grans dan mtshan ñid dan ni dbyibs / ltos pa med par rab tu hjug / chu la sogs paḥi thigs pa dan / hdus pa la yan rjod par byed //

³¹ Cf. *ibid*.: atha kadācit yogato vicārya tatra bhagavatā Vasurātaguruņā mamāyam āgamaḥ samjñāya vātsalyāt praṇīta iti svaracitasya granthasya gurupūrvakam abhidhātum āha.

³² This Mallavādin should be distinguished from another Jain writer of the same name who is the author of Nyāyabinduṭippanī.

³³ Cf. Nayacakraţīkā, folio 272 a: · · · so 'bhijalpo 'bhidheyārthaparigrāhī bāhyāc chabdād anya iti Bhartrharyādimatam / Vasurātasya Bhartrharyupādhyāyasya matam tu · · · · · Cf. ibid., folio 277 a: · · · evam tāvat Bhartrharyādidarśanam uktam / Vasurātah Bhartrharer upādhyāyah

 $^{^{34}}$ Cf. "A study of Paramārtha's life of Vasubandhu and the Date of Vasubandhu" by J. Takakusu $~\mathcal{J}\!\mathit{RAS},~1905,~pp.~33~ff.$

la lar yan lag hbah shig la hjug pa ma yin te / dper na /

```
dbyibs dan kha dog cha śas kyis / khyad par byas nas hjug pa yin / sgra yis de yi cha śas la / rab tu hjug pa dmigs ma yin //
```

A restoration into Sanskrit of these verses might easily correspond to the following verses in the $V\bar{a}kyapad\bar{i}ya$:

```
bindau ca samudāye ca vācaķaḥ salilādiṣu/saṃkhyāpramāṇasaṃsthānanirapekṣaḥ pravartate//(Vākyap. II, 160.)<sup>35</sup> saṃsthānavarṇāvayavair viśiṣṭe yaḥ prayujyate/śabdo na tasyāvayave pravṛttir upalabhyate//(Vākyap. II, 157.)
```

This means that either Dignāga took the Kārikās from Bhartrhari's work or both Dignāga and Bhartrhari took them from quite a different work. But there is no evidence to support the latter alternative. We learn from Jinendrabuddhi, author of Viśālāmalaṭīkā on the Pramāṇa-samuccaya-vṛṭṭi of Dignāga, that Dignāga, is here referring to the views of Bhartrhari. This evidently supports the former alternative that Dignāga is quoting from Bhartrhari.

The foregoing are the pieces of evidence set forth by Mr. H. R. Rangaswamy Iyengar. But whereas he has pointed out just one Tibetan citation of a verse by Bhartrhari, we have in addition pointed out many citations of his verses. Taking all these pieces of evidence into consideration, we have to conclude that Bhartrhari must have lived prior to, or at latest contemporary with, Dignāga, Asvabhāva (No-bo-ñid med-pa), Bhavya (Bhāvaviveka) and Dharmapāla.

There has been much dispute about the date of Dignāga.³⁷ Randle once said that "all that can be said with certainty is that he lived somewhere between 350 A. D. and 500 A. D." According to most scholars he lived about 500 A. D.³⁹ Dr. H. Ui fixed his date as c. 400—480, on the ground that he

 $^{^{35}}$ The first and the second halves are given in reverse order in the edition of the Benares Sanskrit Series. (p. 185)

³³ Cf. Visālāmalaṭīkā: Mdo. re, folio 331 b. 1. 6 ff.: kha cig tu gtso bor cha tshas rnams la hjug te/bha rite haris yis smras pa/cha sogs rnams la zes paḥi sogs paḥi sgras. sa la yons su gzun no/(=kva cit tu mukhyā avayaveṣu vṛṭtiḥ/yathoktam Bhartṛhariṇā salilādiṣv iti ādiśabdena pṛṭhivyādīnām parigrahaḥ/)

³⁷ According to Tāranātha, Dignāga was a pupil of Vasubandhu (*Tāranātha*'s Geschichte des Buddhismus in Indien, übersetzt von Anton Schiefner, St. Petersburg 1869, S. 131).

³⁸ H. N. Randle: Fragments from Dinnaga. London 1926, p. 3. In another work he says: "Dinnaga's date shares the uncertainty attaching to that of his master Vasubandhu. He may fall anywhere between 400 and 500 A. D." (Randle: Indian Logic in the Early Schools. Oxford University press, 1930 p. 27).

³⁹ Moritz Winternitz: Geschichte der ichenndis Litteratur, Bd. III. Leipzig 1920. S. 467. Louis Renou et Jean Filliozt: L'Inde Classique, tome II. Paris 1953, p. 380.

must have lived a little earlier than Gunamati (德慧).⁴⁰ The latter must have lived prior to Paramārtha's arrival in Kuang-tung in China in 546 A. D. and earlier than Sthiramati.⁴¹ Sthiramati must have lived in the sixth century ⁴² or according to Dr. Ui, c. 470—550.⁴³ Winternitz assigns him to the fifth century.⁴⁴ Recently Professor Hikata has surmised that the date of Dignāga is about 440—520.⁴⁵

It is generally admitted that Asvabhāva lived earlier than Dharmapāla and later than Dignāga. He lived, according to Dr. Ui, c. 450—530 A. D., 46 and, according to Prof. Hikata, c. 470—550 A. D. 47

Bhavya was almost contemporary with Buddhapālita (c. 400—450?).⁴⁸ He is regarded to have lived and worked at the beginning of the fifth century A. D.⁴⁹ According to Dr. Ui, he lived c. 490—570.⁵⁰ In any case he was an elder contemporary of Dharmapāla. The date of Dharmapāla (護法) has precisely been fixed. He lived 530—561 A. D.⁵¹

So we can assuredly say that Bhartrhari must not have lived later than these Buddhist scholars. It has become clear that the statement of I-tsing that Bhartrhari died some forty years before the date of his record is incorrect.

It is, however, doubtless the case that Bhartrhari lived after Vasubandhu, as is clear from the above-cited materials. Vasubandhu is generally regarded to have lived in the fourth century,⁵² or in the latter half of the fourth century.⁵³ According to Dr. Ui, Vasubandhu lived c. 320—400.⁵⁴ According to Prof. Hikata, he lived c. 400—480.⁵⁵ Frauwallner asserted the existence of two Vasubandhus, ascribing the elder to 320—380 A. D. and the younger to 400—480 A. D.⁵⁶ Such has been the extent of the debate about his date.

So, taking these facts into account, we are brought to the conclusion that Bhartrhari, the author of the Vākyapadīya, must have lived c. 450—500 A.D.

```
40 "印度哲學研究', vol. V, pp. 142-145.
 41 Cf. ibid., p. 136.
 42 Filliozat: op. cit., p. 380.
 43 H. Ui: op. cit., p. 136.
 44 M. Winternitz: History of Indian Literature, vol. II. University of Calcutta, 1933. pp. 362-363.
 45 干潟龍祥:世親年代再考(「印度學佛教學論集 —— 宮本正尊教授還曆記念論文集 ——」三省堂,
昭和二九年, p. 321).
  46 H. Ui: op. cit., p. 147.
 47 R. Hikata: op. cit., p. 321.
 48 J. Filliozat: op. cit., p. 379.
 49 M. Winternitz: History, etc. II, p. 362.
 <sup>50</sup> H. Ui: op. cit., pp. 148-149.
 <sup>51</sup> H. Ui: op. cit., pp. 128-132; R. Hikata: op. cit., p. 321.
 52 M. Winternitz: History, etc. II, p. 355 f.
  53 J. Filliozat: op. cit., II, p. 380.
  54 H. Ui: "op. cit,", vol. I, pp. 413-414.
  55 R. Hikata: op. cit. pp. 315 f.
  56 E. Frauwallner: On the Date of the Buddhist Master of the Law Vasubandhu. Roma 1951. All
different views about Vasubandhu's date have been mentioned in this work.
```

At the end of this article the author should not fail to mention the names of the scholars who kindly helped him in carrying on this study. Prof. Yamaguchi introduced him to Dr. Kenshō Hasuba and Dr. Kyōgo Sasaki, who went over No-bo-ñid med-pa's works in order to discover the suspected citations of Bhartrhari's verses. Prof. Jōshō Nozawa kindly informed him of Bhavya's citation of a verse of Bhartrhari. Dr. Bunkyō Aoki and Prof. Shinya Kasugai did not spare efforts to give the author valuable suggestions. Here the author wants to express his sincere gratitude to these scholars, without whose kind help this article could not have been brought to its present state of completion. P. S.

Referring to the Vijñānavāda, Bhartrhari says:

'kecid vyāvrttirūpām tu dravyatvena pracaksate/(Vākyap. 3, 1, 19. p. 22.) This must refer to later Buddhist Idealists, not to such earlier ones as Asanga and Vasubandhu. If so, the date of Bhartrhari should not be fixed in a period much earlier than was set forth above.

The Sanskrit original of the above-mentioned fragment No. 5 is found in the commentary by Vṛṣabhadeva upon the Vākyapadīya. As the text is not now available to the writer, he wants to cite Dr. Hacker's explanation: "In einer Reihe von 12 Strophen, die der Kommentar zitiert, befindet sich die folgende (p. 7,1—2):

Vyatīto bheda-samsargau bhāvâbhāvau kramâkramau Satyânrte ca viśvâtmā pravivekāt prakāśate;"

(Paul Hacker: Vivarta. Studien zur Geschichte der illusonistischen Kosmologie und Erkenntnistheorie der Inder. Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur. Abhandlungen der Geistes- und sozialwissenschaftlichen Klasse, Jahrgang 1953. Nr. 5. S. 205.) Dr. Hacker takes this anonymous verse for a later development. Taking the above-cited Tibetan version into consideration, however, the present writer thinks that this verse also should be ascribed to Bhartrhari. He expresses his sincere gratitude to Dr. Hacker who kindly sent him copies of his valuable works.